

Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Jacobsen, Jannes; Klikar, Julius; Schupp, Jürgen

Research Report

Scales manual IAB-BAMF-SOEP survey of refugees in Germany

SOEP Survey Papers, No. 475

Provided in Cooperation with:

German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin)

Suggested Citation: Jacobsen, Jannes; Klikar, Julius; Schupp, Jürgen (2017): Scales manual IAB-BAMF-SOEP survey of refugees in Germany, SOEP Survey Papers, No. 475, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (DIW), Berlin

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/172790

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





475

SOEP Survey Papers

Series C - Data Documentation

 ${\sf SOEP-The\ German\ Socio\text{-}Economic\ Panel\ study\ at\ DIW\ Berlin}$

2017

Scales Manual IAB-BAMF-SOEP Survey of Refugees in Germany

Jannes Jacobsen, Julius Klikar, Jürgen Schupp



Running since 1984, the German Socio-Economic Panel study (SOEP) is a wide-ranging representative longitudinal study of private households, located at the German Institute for Economic Research, DIW Berlin.

The aim of the SOEP Survey Papers Series is to thoroughly document the survey's data collection and data processing. The SOEP Survey Papers is comprised of the following series:

Series A – Survey Instruments (Erhebungsinstrumente)

Series B – Survey Reports (Methodenberichte)

Series C – Data Documentation (Datendokumentationen)

Series D - Variable Descriptions and Coding

Series E – SOEPmonitors

Series F – SOEP Newsletters

Series G – General Issues and Teaching Materials

The SOEP Survey Papers are available at http://www.diw.de/soepsurveypapers

Editors:

Dr. Jan Goebel, DIW Berlin

Prof. Dr. Martin Kroh, DIW Berlin and Humboldt Universität Berlin

Prof. Dr. Carsten Schröder. DIW Berlin and Freie Universität Berlin

Prof. Dr. Jürgen Schupp, DIW Berlin and Freie Universität Berlin

Please cite this paper as follows:

Jannes Jacobsen, Julius Klikar, Jürgen Schupp. 2017. Scales Manual IAB-BAMF-SOEP Survey of Refugees in Germany. SOEP Survey Papers 475: Series C. Berlin: DIW/SOEP



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

© 2017 by SOEP

ISSN: 2193-5580 (online)

DIW Berlin

German Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP)

Mohrenstr. 58 10117 Berlin Germany soeppapers@diw.de

Scales Manual IAB-BAMF-SOEP Survey of Refugees in Germany

Jannes Jacobsen^{1,2}*

Julius Klikar^{1,3}

Jürgen Schupp^{1,4}

Berlin, November 2017

- 1) SOEP at German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin)
- 2) Berlin Graduate School for Social Sciences (BGSS), Humboldt Universität zu Berlin
- 3) Student at Faculty for Economics and Social Science, Universität Potsdam
- 4) Institute for Sociology, Freie Universität Berlin
- * Corresponding author (jjacobsen@diw.de)

Contents

Introduction	3
Life Satisfaction	4
Locus of Control	7
Reciprocity	9
Risk Aversion	11
Self Esteem	12
Loneliness	13
Political System and Attitudes towards Democracy	14
Gender Equality	16
Human Rights in Country of Origin	18
Political Interest	19
Anxiety	20
Prospects	21
Health	23
Language Skills	26
Resilience	28
Cognitive Abilities	29
Mental Distress	30
Literature	31

Introduction

This manual presents the scales used in the IAB-BAMF-SOEP Refugee Survey. It cites sources and best practice results for the individual scales, lists the items used in the sample, and gives the exact wording of the questions and respective scales. By providing each scale in the form of an easy-to-understand table listing variable names and summary statistics, it allows the individual items to be found and aggregated quickly.

It should be noted, however, that the items presented here are always tested jointly. It might therefore make analytical sense to exclude specific variables from estimations under some circumstances. In certain cases, we have added items to known concepts to address the unique situation of refugees. Furthermore, time constraints in questionnaire development for this survey led to the shortening of some scales. When the data from this survey are incorporated into the SOEP-Core data, any divergences will be addressed.

Most scales come from the SOEP questionnaire and some are adapted from the World Value Survey (WVS). For a detailed presentation of the scales, we recommend the Scales Manual by David Richter et al. (2013 & 2017) for the SOEP items and the 2015 WVS documentation (WVS 2015).

The tables in this manual contain mean values, standard deviations (SD), item-test correlations (ITC), and a Cronbach's alpha (internal consistency) for each measured construct for all adult respondents to IAB-BAMF-SOEP Refugee Survey (M3 and M4). The calculation of a Cronbach's alpha relies on case-wise deletion of missing data. Observed and missing cases on an item level are reported as well. German versions of the instruments are available on the SOEP homepage.¹

Before comparing those scales to other data sources, please be aware that in some cases, the scales in the SOEP have changed over time or been adapted to the SOEP standard, resulting in deviations from the original source.

The sample consists of 4,816 individuals living in 3,554 households. For further methodological information on the IAB-BAMF-SOEP Refugee Survey, see Kroh et al. (Kroh et al 2017).

All analyses are based on the November 2017 SOEP data release.

DOI: 10.5684/soep.v33

¹ http://www.diw.de/de/diw_02.c.222729.de/instrumente_feldarbeit.html

Life Satisfaction

Life satisfaction has been measured annually in the SOEP since 1984 (see Richter et al 2013 & 2017). In the IAB-BAMF-SOEP Refugee Survey, we use a general measure of life satisfaction encompassing satisfaction with diverse aspects of the respondent's current living situation (health, income, work, and dwelling).

We have also generated an item that reflects the current dwelling situation because many individuals in this target group currently live in public housing or shared accommodations. These questions were developed jointly by the research partners. To achieve comparability, we used the same eleven-point scale as used in other SOEP items.

Additionally, we measured life satisfaction retrospectively, aiming at a time before the crises emerged that led to the respondent leaving their country of origin. Hence, over time, comparisons should be possible.

Items "Life Satisfaction in General"

How satisfied are you...

- (1) bgpr457: ...currently with your life in general?
- (2) bgpr298: ...with your current health?
- (3) bgpr187: ...currently with your personal income?
- (4) bgpr188: ...with your current work situation?
- (5) bgpr_l_58: ...in general with your current living arrangements?

Scale: 0 (Totally dissatisfied) to 10 (Totally satisfied)

	Life Satisfaction in General								
	P (Individual Questionnaire)								
				Item			Cronbach's		
							Alpha		
Year		1	1 2 3 4 5 (1						
	Label	bgpr457	bgpr298	bgpr187	bgpr188	bgpr_l_58			
	Mean (SD)	7.4 (2.3)	7.9 (2.5)	6.5 (3.0)	7.2 (2.8)	6.8 (2.9)	0.7		
2016	ITC	0.76	0.64	0.82	0.76	0.76	(0.0)		
	N	4773	4797	670	703	4789	(0.0)		
	(Missing %)	(0.9)	(0.4)	(86.1)	(85.4)	(0.6)			

Items "Life Satisfaction – Dwelling"

In your current living arrangements, how satisfied are you...

- (1) bgpr I 59: ...with the quality of the food?
- (2) bgpr_I_60: ...with the noise level?
- (3) bgpr_l_61: ...with the privacy that you have?
- (4) bgpr_l_62: ...with the leisure opportunities?
- (5) bgpr_l_63: ...with the public transport connections?
- (6) bgpr_l_64: ...with the safety of your neighborhood?
- (7) bgpr | 65: ...with the safety in the accommodation itself?
- (8) bgpr_l_66: ...with the opportunities provided to learn German in your accommodation or nearby?

Scale: 0 (Totally dissatisfied) to 10 (Totally satisfied)

	Life Satisfaction - Dwelling									
	P (Individual Questionnaire)									
					Ite	em				Cronbach's
										Alpha
Year		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	(Missing %)
	Label	bgpr_l_59	bgpr_l_60	bgpr_l_61	bgpr_l_62	bgpr_l_63	bgpr_l_64	bgpr_l_65	bgpr_l_66	
	Mean (SD)	8.6 (2.2)	7.4 (3.1)	7.4 (3.2)	5.5 (3.3)	7.4 (2.9)	8.8 (2.2)	8.4 (2.5)	6.2 (3.3)	0.79
2016	ITC	0.57	0.69	0.72	0.69	0.50	0.64	0.70	0.60	(0.17)
	N	4600	4786	4741	4411	4760	4754	4766	4661	(0.27)
	(Missing %)	(4.5)	(0.6)	(1.6)	(8.4)	(1.2)	(1.3)	(1.0)	(3.2)	

Items "Life Satisfaction - Retrospective"

Regarding the last paid work in the country of origin of the respondent:

- (1) bgpr I 154: How satisfied were you with your personal income at that time?
- (2) bgpr_l_155: How satisfied were you with your work situation at that time?

Please think back to the time before the crisis, the war or the conflict in your country of origin. How satisfied were you with...

- (3) bgpr_l_158: ...your living arrangements at that time?
- (4) bgpr_l_159: ...your health at that time?
- (5) bgpr_l_160: ...your life in general at that time?

Scale: 0(Totally dissatisfied) to 10 (Totally satisfied)

	Life Satisfaction - Retrospective								
			P (Individu	al Questionna	ire)				
				Item			Cronbach's		
							Alpha		
Year		1	1 2 3 4 5 (Mi						
	Label	bgpr_l_154	bgpr_l_155	bgpr_l_158	bgpr_l_159	bgpr_l_160			
	Mean (SD)	5.7 (3.1)	6.5 (3.1)	6.8 (3.1)	8.1 (2.6)	6.7 (3.1)	0.85		
2016	ITC	0.77	0.80	0.85	0.72	0.84	(1.1)		
	N 3101 3113 4686 4714 4693								
	(Missing %)	(35.6)	(35.4)	(2.7)	(2.1)	(2.6)			

Locus of Control

Locus of control is a familiar and widely used concept in psychology, describing the extent to which individuals believe they are able to determine the events in their own lives (internal locus of control) or the extent to which they feel dependent on external factors (external locus of control, see Rotter, 1966). It is measured repeatedly in the SOEP.

Items "Locus of Control (Internal)"

To what extent do you agree with the individual statements?

- (1) bgpr328: My life's direction depends on me.
- (2) bgpr331: If you are socially or politically active, you can influence social circumstances.
- (3) bgpr333: You must work hard to achieve success.
- (4) bgpr334: When I encounter difficulties in life, I often doubt my abilities.
- (5) bgpr336: The abilities we have are more important than the efforts we make.

Scale: 1 (I totally disagree) to 7 (I totally agree)

	Locus of Control (Internal)							
	P (Individual Questionnaire)							
				Item			Cronbach's	
							Alpha	
Year		1	2	3	4	5	(Missing %)	
	Label	bgpr328	bgpr331	bgpr333	bgpr334	bgpr336		
	Mean (SD)	5.6 (1.7)	5.2 (1.9)	6.5 (1.1)	3.4 (2.1)	5.1 (1.9)	0.3	
2016	ITC	0.41	0.41	0.25	-0.56	-0.47	(4.2)	
	N	4268	4007	4553	4248	4182	(/	
	(Missing %)	(11.2)	(16.8)	(5.5)	(11.8)	(13.2)		

Items "Locus of Control (External)"

To what extent do you agree with the individual statements?

- (1) bgpr329: In comparison with others, I haven't achieved what I deserved to achieve.
- (2) bgpr330: What can be achieved in life is mainly a result of fate or luck.
- (3) bgpr332: I often find that other people dictate my life.
- (4) bgpr335: The options that I have in life are determined by social circumstances.
- (5) bgpr337: I don't have much control over what happens in my life.

Scale: 1 (I totally disagree) to 7 (I totally agree).

	Locus of Control (External)								
	P (Individual Questionnaire)								
				Item			Cronbach's		
							Alpha		
Year		1	2	3	4	5	(Missing %)		
	Label	bgpr329	bgpr330	bgpr332	bgpr335	bgpr337			
	Mean (SD)	4.1 (2.2)	4.6 (2.1)	2.7 (2.1)	5.0 (1.8)	3.8 (2.0)	0.49		
2016	ITC	0.59	0.60	0.60	0.55	0.58	(5.3)		
	N	4086	4330	4360	4111	4273	(3.3)		
	(Missing %)	(15.2)	(10.1)	(9.5)	(14.6)	(11.3)			

Reciprocity

Reciprocity describes the tendency to respond in kind to other people's actions. A distinction is made between "positive" and "negative" reciprocity (Fehr & Schmidt, 2006; Perugini et al., 2003). Positive reciprocity occurs when an action producing a positive affect is returned with an action having a similarly positive effect, whereas negative reciprocity occurs in response to an action producing a negative effect.

Items "Positive Reciprocity"

To what extent do the following statements apply to you personally?

- (1) bgpr338: If someone does me a favor, I am willing to reciprocate it.
- (2) bgpr341: I make particular effort to help someone who has previously helped me.
- (3) bgpr343: I am prepared to incur costs myself to help someone who has previously helped me.

Scale: 1 (I totally disagree) to 7 (I totally agree).

	Positive Reciprocity							
P (Individual Questionnaire)								
			Item		Cronbach's			
					Alpha			
Year	1 2 3 (Miss							
	Label	bgpr338	bgpr341	bgpr343				
	Mean (SD)	6.8 (0.8)	6.7 (0.7)	6.5 (1.1)	0.56			
2016	ITC	0.68	0.73	0.81	(3.2)			
	N	4629	4622	4575	(3.2)			
	(Missing %)	(3.9)	(4.0)	(5.0)				

Items "Negative Reciprocity"

To what extent do the following statements apply to you personally?

- (1) bgpr339: If someone does me a serious wrong, I will get my own back at any price at the next opportunity.
- (2) bgpr340: If someone puts me in a difficult position, I will do the same to them.
- (3) bgpr342: If someone insults me, I will insult them.

Scale: 1 (I totally disagree) to 7 (I totally agree).

	Negative Reciprocity							
	P (Individual Questionnaire)							
			Item		Cronbach's			
					Alpha			
Year		1	(Missing %)					
	Label	bgpr339	bgpr340	bgpr342				
	Mean (SD)	1.8 (1.6)	1.6 (1.3)	1.9 (1.6)	0.75			
2016	ITC	0.83	0.83	0.82	(4.0)			
	N	4527	4551	4570	()			
	(Missing %)	(6.0)	(5.5)	(5.1)				

Risk Aversion

Risk aversion is a construct that is usually measured with seven items reflecting different domains of life. But for the purposes of the Refugee Survey we only used a general estimate. For a more detailed explanation of the construct in the SOEP, see Richter and colleagues (2013 & 2017) and Dohmen et al. (2011).

Items "Risk Aversion"

(1) bgpr349: How do you rate yourself personally? In general, are you someone who is ready to take risks or do you try to avoid risks?

Scale: 0 (Not prepared to take risks at all) to 10 (Prepared to take risks).

Risk Aversion								
	P (Individual Questionnaire)							
Item N								
			(Missing					
Year		1	%)					
2016	Label	bgpr349	4513					
2016 Mean (SD) 4.8 (3.5) (

Self-Esteem

Self-esteem is a central construct in social psychology. It serves both as a predictor and as a dependent variable. As in previous SOEP surveys, "self-esteem" is measured using a single variable (see Richter et al., 2013 & 2017, Robins et al, 2001).

Item "Self-Esteem"

(1) bgpr344: I have a positive attitude about myself.

Scale: 1 (I totally disagree) to 7 (I totally agree).

Self Esteem								
	P (Individual Questionnaire)							
	Item							
			(Missing					
Year		1	%)					
2016	Label	bgpr344	4425					
2010	2016 Mean (SD) 6.3 (1.2)							

Loneliness

The concept of loneliness is derived from the UCLA Loneliness Scale (Russel, 1996), which was translated for SOEP purposes (Hawkley et al., 2015; Richter & Schupp 2015). Luhmann & Hawkley (2016) present results of the first implementation of a three-item loneliness scale in SOEP in survey year 2013. For the purpose of our study, we added a fourth item, reflecting contact to people in the respondent's country of origin.

Items "Loneliness"

How often do you...

- (1) bgpr321: ...miss the company of others?
- (2) bgpr322: ...feel like an outsider?
- (3) bgpr323: ...feel socially isolated?
- (4) bgpr324: ...miss people from your country of origin?

Scale: 1 (Very often); 2 (Often); 3 (Sometimes); 4 (Occasionally); 5 (Never)

	Loneliness								
	P (Individual Questionnaire)								
		,		em		Cronbach's			
						Alpha			
Year		1	2	3	4	(Missing %)			
	Label	bgpr321	bgpr322	bgpr323	bgpr324				
	Mean (SD)	3.2 (1.4)	3.6 (1.3)	3.7 (1.3)	2.3 (1.3)	0.72			
2016	ITC	0.80	0.81	0.78	0.56	(1.5)			
	N	4631	4568	4610	4711	(1.5)			
	(Missing %)	(3.8)	(5.2)	(4.3)	(2.2)				

Political System and Attitudes towards Democracy

The items are derived from the World Value Survey (WVS). Please note that we have changed the scaling to fit the SOEP standard. The original WVS scales can be found online.² The questions on political systems utilize three key concepts: totalitarian, technocratic, and democratic systems of government.

In the questions about attitudes towards democracy, respondents are asked to classify different goals in terms of democratic value. With these two constructs, we are able to assess what political system or type of democracy the respondent prefers.

Items "Political System"

Please tell us the extent to which you agree with the following statements on forms of government.

- (1) bgpr376: You need a strong leader who does not have to be concerned with a Parliament or elections.
- (2) bgpr377: Experts, not the Government, should decide what is best for the country.
- (3) bgpr378: There should be a democratic system.

Scale: 1 (I totally disagree) to 7 (I totally agree)

Items and Scale Statistics

	Political System							
	P (Individual Questionnaire)							
			Item		Cronbach's			
					Alpha (Missing %)			
Year		1	1 2 3					
	Label	bgpr376	bgpr377	bgpr378				
	Mean (SD)	2.3 (2.2)	4.6 (2.2)	6.7 (0.9)	0.32			
2016	ITC	0.71	0.69	-0.17	(9.7)			
	N	3658	3600	4276	(511)			
	(Missing %)	(24.0)	(25.3)	(11.2)				

-

² http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/WVSDocumentationWV6.jsp

Items "Attitudes towards Democracy"

Do you think that the following things are what should happen in a democracy or not?

- (1) bgpr379: The government taxes the rich and supports the poor.
- (2) bgpr380: Religious leaders ultimately determine the interpretation of laws.
- (3) bgpr381: The people choose their government in free elections.
- (4) bgpr382: Civil rights protect the people from government oppression.
- (5) bgpr383: Minorities are protected.
- (6) bgpr384: Women have the same rights as men.

Scale: 0 (Should definitely not happen in a democracy.) to 10 (Should definitely happen in a democracy.)

	Attitudes towards Democracy							
			P (Ir	ndividual Ques	stionnaire)			
				lte	em			Crombach's
								Alpha
Year	Year 1 2 3 4 5 6							(Missing %)
	Label	bgpr379	bgpr380	bgpr381	bgpr382	bgpr383	bgpr384	
	Mean (SD)	8.0 (2.9)	1.8 (3.1)	9.6 (1.4)	9.3 (1.8)	9.3 (1.7)	9.2 (1.9)	0.60
2016	ITC	0.57	-0.49	0.56	0.62	0.65	0.61	(7.4)
	N	4136	3893	4279	4076	4116	4387	(
	(Missing %)	(14.1)	(19.2)	(11.2)	(15.4)	(14.5)	(8.9)	

Gender Equality

The questions regarding gender equality are derived from the World Value Survey and the International Social Survey Programme (ISSP).³ Many analyses have already been carried out based on these data. However, we have changed the scale into a seven-point scale to fit the SOEP standard. Since the sex of the respondent may be of particular interest in this area, we also provide the scale statistics separately for men and women.

Items "Gender Equality"

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

- (1) bgpr385: Having a job is the best way for a woman to be independent.
- (2) bgpr386: Even a married woman should have a paid job so that she can be financially independent.
- (3) bgpr387: If a woman earns more money than her partner, this inevitably leads to problems.
- (4) bgpr388: For parents, vocational training or higher education for their sons should be more important than vocational training or higher education for their daughters.
- (5) bgpr389: At home, the husband should have the final say.

Scale: 1 (I totally disagree) to 7 (I totally agree)

Items and Scale Statistics - All Gender

	Gender Equality						
			P (Individu	al Questionna	ire)		
				Item			Crombach's
		•				_	Alpha
Year		1	2	3	4	5	(Missing %)
	Label	bgpr385	bgpr386	bgpr387	bgpr388	bgpr389	
	Mean (SD)	6.0 (1.6)	5.8 (1.7)	2.9 (2.3)	2.0 (2.0)	3.2 (2.3)	0.58
2016	ITC	0.57	0.59	-0.59	-0.50	-0.65	(4.2)
	N	4454	4446	4331	4465	4514	(/
	(Missing %)	(7.5)	(7.7)	(10.1)	(7.3)	(6.3)	

_

³ http://www.issp.org/menu-top/home/

Items and Scale Statistics - Men

	Gender Equality						
			P (Individu	al Questionna	ire)		
				Item			Crombach's
							Alpha
Year		1	2	3	4	5	(Missing %)
	Label	bgpr385	bgpr386	bgpr387	bgpr388	bgpr389	
	Mean (SD)	5.9 (1.6)	5.8 (1.7)	2.9 (2.2)	2.2 (2.1)	3.2 (2.3)	0.57
2016	ITC	0.55	0.58	-0.59	-0.53	-0.65	(4.3)
	N	2712	2714	2635	2721	2744	(5)
	(Missing)	(7.7)	(7.6)	(10.3)	(7.4)	(6.6)	

Items and Scale Statistics - Women

	Gender Equality						
			P (Individu	al Questionna	ire)		
				Item			Crombach's
							Alpha
Year	Year 1 2 3 4 5						
	Label	bgpr385	bgpr386	bgpr387	bgpr388	bgpr389	
	Mean (SD)	6.0 (1.6)	5.9 (1.7)	3.0 (2.3)	1.8 (1.9)	3.1 (2.3)	0.58
2016	ITC	0.60	0.62	-0.58	-0.45	-0.64	(4.0)
	N	1742	1732	1696	1744	1779	
	(Missing %)	(7.2)	(7.8)	(9.7)	(7.1)	(5.8)	

Human Rights in Country of Origin

This scale has been developed in the SOEP. It takes macro-indices such as the "Political Terror Scale" or the "Freedom House Index" as its point of orientation. In contrast to these indices, however, ours works on a micro-level, which allows us to better reflect subjective experiences and assessments.

Items "Human Rights in Country of Origin"

How would you personally assess the current situation in your country of origin regarding the following areas of life?

- (1) bgpr370: How well has political freedom been achieved currently in your country of origin?
- (2) bgpr371: How well has civic freedom been achieved currently in your country of origin, such as the freedom to express opinions, right of assembly, and an independent judiciary?
- (3) bgpr372: How well has the freedom of press and freedom of opinion been achieved currently in your country of origin?
- (4) bgpr373: How well is the right to practice religion or faith achieved currently in your country of origin?
- (5) bgpr374: How well is equal treatment of ethnic minorities achieved currently in your country of origin?
- (6) bgpr375: How well is the equal treatment of men and women achieved currently in your country of origin?

Scale: 0 (Very bad/badly) to 10 (Very well)

Items and Scale Statistics

	Human Rights Country of Origin							
			P (Ir	ndividual Ques	stionnaire)			
				Ite	em			Cronbach's
								Alpha
Year		1	2	3	4	5	6	(Missing %)
	Label	bgpr370	bgpr371	bgpr372	bgpr373	bgpr374	bgpr375	
	Mean (SD)	0.9 (1.9)	1.0 (2.0)	1.2 (2.2)	3.1 (3.4)	2.0 (2.8)	3.2 (3.2)	0.82
2016	ITC	0.71	0.76	0.75	0.79	0.79	0.69	(6.6)
	N	4309	4298	4269	4293	4188	4372	(3.3)
	(Missing %)	(10.5)	(10.8)	(11.4)	(10.9)	(13.0)	(9.2)	

-

⁴ http://www.politicalterrorscale.org/Data/

⁵ http://www.freedomhouse.org/report-types/freedom-world

Political Interest

The question regarding political interest is measured regularly in the SOEP. A comparative analysis between different sub-groups covered in the SOEP is therefore possible.

Item "Political Interest"

(1) bgpr366: Just a few general points. How seriously are you interested in politics?

Scale: 1 (Very strongly), 2 (Strongly), 3 (Not that seriously), 4 (Not seriously at all)

	Political Interest						
	P (Individual C	Questionnaire)					
	ltem N						
			(Missing				
Year		1	%)				
2016	Label	bgpr366	4714				
2010	Mean (SD)	3.4 (0.8)	(2.1)				

Anxiety

The variables regarding anxiety are derived from the SOEP. However, we developed a few more questions to take the unique situation of refugees into account. For an overview of the SOEP items, see Dittmann (2009).

Items "Anxiety"

- (1) bgpr354: Do you worry about your own economic situation?
- (2) bgpr355: Do you worry about your health?
- (3) bgpr356: Do you worry about anti-foreigner sentiment and xenophobia in Germany?
- (4) bgpr357: Are you worried about your asylum application?
- (5) bgpr358: Are you worried that you will be unable to stay in Germany?
- (6) bgpr359: Are you worried that you will be unable to return to your country of origin?
- (7) bgpr360: Do you worry about losing your job?

Scale: 1 (Yes, I worry a lot), 2 (Yes, I worry somewhat), 3 (No, I don't worry at all)

	Anxiety								
				P (Individu	al Questionna	ire)			
					Item				Cronbach's
									Alpha
Year	Year 1 2 3 4 5 6 7							(Missing %)	
	Label	bgpr354	bgpr355	bgpr356	bgpr357	bgpr358	bgpr359	bgpr360	
	Mean (SD)	2.0 (0.8)	2.4 (0.7)	2.7 (0.6)	2.2 (0.9)	2.2 (0.9)	2.4 (0.8)	2.5 (0.7)	0.67
2016	ITC	0.61	0.55	0.50	0.74	0.75	0.36	0.69	(1.1)
	N	4699	4739	4658	4656	4678	4610	680	(=-=)
	(Missing %)	(2.4)	(1.6)	(3.3)	(3.3)	(2.9)	(4.3)	(85.9)	

Prospects for the future

We asked the respondents how they see their prospects in diverse areas of life to get an idea of their integration plans and perceived possibilities. The scales were developed by the research partners. The questions all used the following scale:

Scale: 0% to 100% (10pp steps)

How likely is it that you will...?

Item and Scale Statistics

(1) bgpr361: ...have a job in 2 years' time in Germany?

	Prospect Employment						
	P (Individual Questionnaire)						
	Item N						
			(Missing				
Year		1	%)				
2016	Label	bgpr361	4570				
2010	Mean (SD)	6.6 (3.3)	(5.1)				

Item and Scale Statistics

(2) bgpr362: ...be working independently in 2 years' time in Germany?

	Prospect Self-Employed					
	P (Individual C	Questionnaire)				
Item N						
			(Missing			
Year		1	%)			
2016	Label	bgpr362	4489			
2010	Mean (SD)	3.3 (3.5)	(6.8)			

Item and Scale Statistics

(3) bgpr363: ...attend a school in 2 years' time in Germany?

	Prospect School					
	P (Individual C	Questionnaire)				
	N					
			(Missing			
Year		1	%)			
2016	Label	bgpr363	4541			
2010	Mean (SD)	3.8 (4.0)	(5.7)			

Item and Scale Statistics

(4) bgpr364: ...be attending training or a continuing professional development course in 2 years' time in Germany?

	Prospect Vocational Training						
	P (Individual C	Questionnaire)					
	Item N						
			(Missing				
Year		1	%)				
2016	Label	bgpr364	4576				
2010	Mean (SD)	5.4 (3.7)	(5.0)				

Item and Scale Statistics

(5) bgpr365: ...be studying at a higher education establishment in 2 years' time in Germany?

	Prospect University					
	P (Individual C	Questionnaire)				
	ltem N					
			(Missing			
Year		1	%)			
2016	Label	bgpr365	4610			
2010	Mean (SD)	1.9 (3.2)	(4.3)			

Health

Respondents' health is often measured with the Mental and Physical Component Summary Scale (MCS/PCS). Both scales are well-known and have been used repeatedly in the SOEP. The MCS and PCS, also known as SF-36, are implemented as a short version, consisting of twelve different variables (SF-12). It has been used in major surveys all over the world. For a methodological review, see Ellert & Kurth (2004).

For the purpose of the MCS and the PCS, the twelve variables are first condensed into eight distinct variables, centered around their mean, and normed on a scale from 0-100. They are then z-transformed. To build the MCS and PCS, it is suggested doing an exploratory factor analysis and using the factor loadings for each corresponding construct as a factor in the latent construct. The summary statistics for the MCS and the PCS are displayed below, as well as the summary statistics for the SF12 in general. For a detailed explanation, see Nübling et al. (2006). For replications, please note that the exploratory factor analysis was estimated using the whole SOEP sample and not only the refugee data. Nevertheless, the PCS and MCS presented below only display the refugee sample.

Items "SF-12"

(1) bgpr299: How would you describe your current state of health?

Scale: 1 (Very well) to 5 (Poor).

- (2) bgpr300: If you have to climb stairs, i.e. walk up several floors: Does your state of health restrict you a lot, a little, or not at all?
- (3) bgpr301: And what about other strenuous activities in everyday life, e.g. when you have to lift something heavy or need to be mobile: Does your state of health restrict you a lot, a little or not at all?

Scale: 1 (A lot), 2 (A little), 3 (Not at all).

How often in the last four weeks...

- (4) bgpr303: ...did you feel in low spirits and melancholy?
- (5) bgpr304: ...did you feel calm and balanced?
- (6) bgpr305: ...did you feel full of energy?
- (7) bgpr306: ...did you suffer from severe physical pain?
- (8) bgpr307: ..., due to health problems of a physical nature, did you achieve less in your work or everyday activities than you actually intended?

- (9) bgpr308: ..., due to health problems of a physical nature, have you been restricted in the type of tasks you can perform in your work or everyday activities?
- (10) bgpr309: ..., due to psychological or emotional problems, did you achieve less in your work or everyday activities than you actually intended?
- (11) bgpr310: ..., due to psychological problems or emotional problems, did you perform your work or everyday activities less carefully than usual?
- (12) bgpr311: ..., due to health or psychological problems, have you been restricted in terms of your social contact to for example friends, acquaintances or relatives?

Scale: 1 (Very often), 2 (Often), 3 (Sometimes), 4 (Almost never), 5 (Never)

	SF12													
	P (Individual Questionnaire)													
							Ite	em						Cronbach's
Year		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Alpha
2016	Label	bgpr299	bgpr300	bgpr301	bgpr303	bgpr304	bgpr305	bgpr306	bgpr307	bgpr308	bgpr309	bgpr310	bgpr311	(Missing %)
2016	Mean (SD)	2.0 (1.1)	2.6 (0.6)	2.6 (0.6)	3.4 (1.3)	2.5 (1.1)	2.5 (1.1)	3.9 (1.3)	4.1 (1.1)	4.2 (1.1)	4.0 (1.2)	4.0 (1.2)	4.2 (1.1)	
	ITC	-0.69	0.58	0.61	0.62	-0.51	-0.54	0.74	0.81	0.82	0.80	0.78	0.72	0.90
	N	4801	4761	4758	4692	4696	4688	4748	4638	4626	4601	4595	4615	(0.2)
	(Missing %)	(0.3)	(1.1)	(1.2)	(2.6)	(2.5)	(2.7)	(1.4)	(3.7)	(4.0)	(4.5)	(4.6)	(4.2)	

Items and Scale Statistics

MCS								
	P (Individual Questionnaire)							
		Item	N					
			(Missing					
Year		1	%)					
2016	Label	MCS	4397					
2016	Mean (SD)	50.1 (9.5)	(8.7)					

	PCS							
	P (Individual Questionnaire)							
	N							
			(Missing					
Year		1	%)					
2016	Label	PCS	4397					
2010	Mean (SD)	50.2 (9.7)	(8.7)					

Language Skills

Measuring the language skills of refugees is a crucial part of the survey. By collecting such data, we are able to screen respondents for individuals who might have experienced difficulties answering the questions at hand. Furthermore, language abilities are a key integration indicator. We asked respondents about their skills in German, English, their mother tongue, and the official language of their country of origin. The question regarding the official language was only asked when it did not match the mother tongue.

Scale: 1 (Very well), 2 (Well),3 (Averagely), 4 (Not very well), 5 (Not at all)**Items "German Language Skills"**

(1) bgpr87: How well can you speak German?

(2) bgpr88: How well can you write in German?

(3) bgpr89: How well can you read in German?

Items and Scale Statistics

	German Language Skills									
	P (Individual Questionnaire)									
			Item		Cronbach's					
					Alpha					
Year		1	2	3	(Missing %)					
	Label	bgpr87	bgpr88	bgpr89						
	Mean (SD)	3.3 (1.0)	3.4 (1.1)	3.3 (1.1)	0.93					
2016	ITC	0.90	0.95	0.95	(0.0)					
	N	4814	4813	4813	(5.5)					
	(Missing %)	(0.0)	(0.1)	(0.1)						

Items "English language skills"

(1) bgpr | 81: How well can you speak English?

(2) bgpr_l_82: How well can you write in English?

(3) bgpr_l_83: How well can you read in English?

	English Language Skills								
	P (Individual Questionnaire)								
			Item		Cronbach's				
					Alpha				
Year		1	2	3	(Missing %)				
	Label	bgpr81	bgpr82	bgpr83					
	Mean (SD)	3.8 (1.3)	3.8 (1.3)	3.7 (1.4)	0.97				
2016	ITC	0.96	0.98	0.97	(1.4)				
	N	4749	4749	4748	(= ,				
	(Missing %)	(1.4)	(1.4)	(1.4)					

Items "Mother Tongue Skills"

- (1) bgpr_l_73: How well can you speak your native language?
- (2) bgpr_l_74: How well can you write in your native language?
- (3) bgpr_l_75: How well can you read in your native language?

Items and Scale Statistics

	Skills Mother tongue									
	P (Individual Questionnaire)									
			Item		Cronbach's					
					Alpha					
Year		1	2	3	(Missing %)					
	Label	bgpr_l_73	bgpr_l_74	bgpr_l_75						
	Mean (SD)	1.1 (0.4)	1.8 (1.4)	1.8 (1.4)	0.77					
2016	ITC	0.4	0.98	0.98	(0.0)					
	N	4813	4809	4811	(0.0)					
	(Missing %)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.1)						

Items "Official Language Skills"

- (1) bgpr_l_78: How well can you speak in this official language?
- (2) bgpr_I_79: How well can you write in this official language?
- (3) bgpr_I_80: How well can you read in this official language?

	Skills Mother tongue								
	P (Individual Questionnaire)								
			Item		Cronbach's				
					Alpha				
Year		1	2	3	(Missing %)				
	Label	bgpr_l_78	bgpr_l_79	bgpr_l_80					
	Mean (SD)	1.7 (1.2)	2.2 (1.5)	2.2 (1.5)	0.92				
2016	ITC	0.84	0.97	0.97	(0.1)				
	N	1099	1099	1099	(0.1)				
	(Missing %)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)					

Resilience

The concept of resilience describes the ability to cope with situations that are stressful. The scales are derived from the BRCS (Brief Resilient Coping Scale). For an overview, see Kocalevent et al. (2013) and Sinclair and Wallston (2004). On their use in the SOEP, see Richter et al (2013).

Items "Resilience"

- (1) bgpr345: I try to think of how I can change difficult situations.
- (2) bgpr346: No matter what happens to me, I think I have my reactions under control.
- (3) bgpr347: I think I can develop further if I deal with difficult situations.
- (4) bgpr348: I actively seek ways to balance out the losses that have affected my life.

Scale: 1 (Totally disagree) to 7 (Totally agree)

	Loneliness									
	P (Individual Questionnaire)									
	Item									
						Alpha				
Year		1	2	3	4	(Missing %)				
	Label	bgpr345	bgpr346	bgpr347	bgpr348					
	Mean (SD)	6.3 (1.2)	5.9 (1.4)	6.2 (1.2)	6.2 (1.2)	0.67				
2016	ITC	0.72	0.69	0.77	0.71	(4.7)				
	N	4456	4462	4354	4337	(/				
	(Missing %)	(7.5)	(7.4)	(9.6)	(10.0)					

Cognitive Abilities

As in previous SOEP surveys, we included a test of cognitive abilities: the "symbol-digit test," where respondents have to match numbers to certain arbitrary symbols. It measures how many matches a person can accomplish in 30, 60, and 90 seconds. This ultra-short test is designed to reflect cognitive performance in terms of speed, accuracy, processing capacity, coordination, and inhibition of basic cognitive processes. The test is considered to be culturally neutral, meaning that comparisons between sub-groups are legitimate. For a more detailed overview, see Richter et al. (2013 & 2017) and Pagel et al (2017). For the first implementation of the test in SOEP, see Schupp et al (2008).

Items and Scale Statistics

	Symbol-Digit-Test (90 sec.)							
	P (Individual Questionnaire)							
	N							
			(Missing					
Year		1	%)					
2016	Label	F099richtig90	3589					
2010	Mean (SD)	26.9 (11.1)	(25.5)					

Items and Scale Statistics

	Symbol-Digit-Test (60 sec.)						
	P (Individual Questionnaire)						
	Item N						
			(Missing				
Year		1	%)				
2016	Label	F099richtig60	3589				
2010	Mean (SD)	17.5 (8.1)	(25.5)				

	Symbol-Digit-Test (30 sec.)							
	P (Individual Questionnaire)							
	N							
			(Missing					
Year		1	%)					
2016	Label	F099richtig30	3589					
2010	Mean (SD)	8.0 (4.4)	(25.5)					

Mental Distress

The items on mental distress come from the PHQ-4 scoring, which was originally developed by Kroenke et al (2009). The reliability and the validity of the PHQ-4 has been demonstrated in several studies (Kroenke et al. 2009, Löwe et al. 2010). Recently, the PHQ-4 were also used in another survey of Arabic-speaking refugees in Germany (Kliem et al., 2016). The PHQ-4 is measured using four items. Whereas the first two measure depression, the second two measure anxiety.

Items "Mental Distress"

How often have you felt negatively affected by the following complaints in the last two weeks?

- (1) bgpr312: Little interest or pleasure in your activities?
- (2) bgpr313: Low spirits, melancholy or hopelessness?
- (3) bgpr314: Nervousness, anxiety or tension?
- (4) bgpr315: Unable to stop or control worrying?

Scale: 1 (Not at all), 2 (On some days), 3 (On more than half the days), 4 ((Almost) every day)

	Mental Distress									
	P (Individual Questionnaire)									
	Item									
						Alpha				
Year		1	2	3	4	(Missing %)				
	Label	bgpr312	bgpr313	bgpr314	bgpr315					
	Mean (SD)	1.7 (0.6)	1.7 (0.9)	1.8 (0.9)	1.8 (0.9)	0.79				
2016	ITC	0.66	0.84	0.84	0.80	(2.3)				
	N	4534	4631	4664	4558	(2.5)				
	(Missing %)	(5.9)	(3.8)	(3.2)	(5.4)					

Literature

Dittmann, J. (2009). Unsicherheit in Zeiten gesellschaftlicher Transformation. Zur Entwicklung und Dynamik von Sorgen in der Bevölkerung in Deutschland. *SOEPpapers 243*

Dohmen, T., Falk, A., Huffman, D., Sunde, U., Schupp, J., & Wagner, G. G. (2011). Individual Risk Attitudes: Measurement, Determinants and Behavioral Consequences. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, *9*, 522-550.

Ellert, U. & Kurth, B.-M. (2004) Methodische Betrachtungen zu den Summenscores des SF-36 anhand der erwachsenen bundesdeutschen Bevölkerung. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt-Gesundheitsforschung-Gesundheitsschutz*, 47,1027-1032

Fehr, E. & Schmidt, K. M. (2006). The economics of fairness, reciprocity and altruism. Experimental evidence and new theories. *Handbook of the Economics of Giving, Altruism and Reciprocity*, 615-691.

Hawkley, L. C., Duvoisin, R., Ackva, J., Murdoch, J. C., Luhman, M. (2015) Loneliness in older adults in the USA and Germany: Measurement invariance and validation. *Working Paper Series, NORC at the University of Chicago*, Paper 2015-002

Luhmann, M., & Hawkley, L. C. (2016). Age differences in loneliness from late adolescence to oldest old age. *Developmental Psychology*, 52(6), 943-959.

Kliem, S., Moessle, T., Klatt, T., Fleischer, S., Kudlacek, D., Kröger, C., ... & Wiltink, J. (2016). Psychometric Evaluation of an Arabic Version of the PHQ-4 based on a Representative Survey of Syrian Refugees. *Psychotherapie, Psychosomatik, medizinische Psychologie*, 66(9-10), 385-392.

Kocalevent R.D, Zenger M., Heinen I., Dwinger S., Decker O., Brähler E. (2015). Resilience in the General Population: Standardization of the Resilience Scale (RS-11). *PLoS ONE, 10*

Kroh, M., Böhm, A., Brücker, H., Jacobsen, J., Kühne, S., Liebau, E., Scheible, Jana A., Schupp, J., Siegert, M., Trübswetter, P. (2017). Die IAB-BAMF-SOEP-Befragung von Geflüchteten: Studiendesign und Feldergebnisse der Welle 1 (2016). *Politikberatung kompakt 123*, 4-17

Krönke, K., Spitzer, R. L., Williams, J. B. W., Löwe, B. (2009). An ultra-brief screening for anxiety and depression: the PHQ-4. *Psychosomatics*, 50(6), 613-621.

Löwe, B., Wahl, I., Rose, M., Spitzer, C., Glaesmer, H., Wingenfeld, K., ... & Brähler, E. (2010). A 4-item measure of depression and anxiety: validation and standardization of the Patient Health Questionnaire-4 (PHQ-4) in the general population. *Journal of affective disorders*, 122(1), 86-95.

Nübling, M., Andersen, H. H., Mühlbacher, A. (2006). Entwicklung eines Verfahrens zur Berechnung der körperlichen und psychischen Summenskalen auf Basis der SOEP – Version des SF 12 (Algorithmus). *Data Documentation*, 16.

Pagel, L., Richter, D., Schupp, J. (2017). Kognitive Potenziale von Geflüchteten. *Politkberatung kompakt,* 123, 66-77

Perugini, M., Gallucci, M., Presaghi, F., Ercolani, A. P. (2003). The personal norm of reciprocity. *European Journal of Personality*, *17*, 251-283

Richter, D., Metzing, M. Weinhardt, M., Schupp, J. (2013). SOEP Scales Manual. *SOEP Survey - Paper Series C, Data Documentation*, 138.

Richter, D., Schupp, J. (2015). The SOEP Innovation Sample (SOEP-IS). *Schmollers Jahrbuch*, 135(3), 389-399.

Richter, D., Rohrer, J., Metzing, M., Nestler, W., Weinhardt, M., Schupp, J. (2017): SOEP Scales Manual (updated for SOEP-Core v.32.1). *SOEP Survey Papers Series C, Data Documentation, 423*.

Robins, R. W., Hendin, H. M., & Trzesniewski, K. H. (2001). Measuring global self-esteem: Construct validation of a single-item measure and the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 27*, 151-161.

Rotter, J. B. (1966). Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement. *Psychological Monographs: General and Applied, 80,* 1-28.

Russel, D. W. (1996). UCLA Loneliness Scale (Version 3): Reliability, validity, and factor structure. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 66, 20-40

Schupp, J., J., Herrmann, S., Jaensch, P., Lang F. R. (2008). Erfassung kognitiver Leistungspotentiale Erwachsener im Sozio-oekonomischen Panel (SOEP), Data Documentation, 32

Sinclair, V. G., Wallston, K. A. (2004) The development and psychometric evaluation of the Brief Resilient Coping Scale. Assessment, 11, 94-101

WVS. (2015). World Value Survey (2010-2014). Crossings by Country. Derived from http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/WVSDocumentationWV6.jsp (28.08.2017)