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Incentives for the long-term integration of renewable energies: a plea for a market value model

By Karsten Neuhoff, Nils May, and Jörn Richstein

Due to increasing shares of renewable energies in electricity production, the cost-effective system integration of these installations is becoming more and more important. Technologies and locations are viewed as system-friendly when they are more cost-efficient and easier to integrate because they, unlike other installations, produce at times when electricity is more valuable.

This report shows that project developers of renewable energies in Germany have had limited incentives to invest in system-friendly installations. A market value model is derived based on five criteria for the further development of support instruments. This model creates appropriate incentives for investments in system-friendly installation while simultaneously avoiding additional financial risks for project developers. With such an approach based on a market value factor, the support costs for renewable energies as well as for levies in the overall electricity system and for the energy transition in general can be minimized over the long-term.

Germany wants to raise the percentage of renewable energy in its gross electricity consumption from currently slightly less than one-third—19 percent wind and solar energy and 12 percent other renewable energies—to 55 to 60 percent in 2035 and up to at least 80 percent in 2050. Since growth is primarily coming from wind turbines and solar panels, it will become increasingly important that these installations are well integrated into the power market. The more system-friendly the installations are, the more easily they can be integrated. System-friendly installations generate electricity when it is particularly valuable. This can be achieved, for example, by building installations that generate electricity more consistently than others even though they might produce less overall. One example are wind turbines, which can be designed to produce more electricity during times of lower wind speeds.

There are two challenges here. First, the power market does not yet reflect all the advantages of system-friendly installations, for example because of low CO₂ prices or lack of a location-specific price signal. Second, installations built today will operate for around 30 years. However, project developers do not adequately consider such

---

1 AG Energiebilanzen (2017): Stromerzeugung nach Energieträgern 1990–2016 (available online, accessed October 5, 2017. This applies to all other online sources in this article unless indicated otherwise).


3 Analyses of early wind turbines and solar panels show that in many cases, life spans longer than the originally planned 20 years are possible (Juan Lopez-García, Alberto Pozza, Tony Sample (2015): Analysis of crystalline silicon PV modules after 30 years of outdoor exposure. 31st European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference; Ann-Kathrin Wallasch, Silke Lüers, Knud Rehfelt (2016): Weiterbetrieb von Windanlagen nach 2020, Studie der Deutschen WindGuard GmbH). The first photovoltaic manufacturers offer product guarantees for a 30-year life span, and wind turbine manufacturers design their products to run for 30 years. Wind turbines and solar panels built in the next few years will therefore have expected life spans of up to and over 30 years, respectively.

4 This article uses the term "project developers" to also mean "operators."
long-term perspectives because of high discount rates applied to uncertain revenues.

Support instruments for renewable energies can also be used as incentives to invest in system-friendly installations. By further developing the current support system into a market value model, installations’ long-term system-friendliness can be taken into consideration when making investment decisions.

The market value model functions independent of whether the remuneration level is determined in a call for tenders or is set by the regulatory authorities. It is possible in both cases to offer project developers incentives for system-friendly installations with a market value model. In the case of tenders, for example, the bids are adjusted according to the installations’ expected market value with a market value factor.6

**Long-term perspectives are important for transforming the electricity system**

Public investments in areas such as education or transport infrastructure are evaluated using low discount rates. For example, when conducting an economic assessment of transport infrastructure investments, the expected future benefits need to bear interest at a social discount rate of 1.7 percent.8 In the case of renewable energy, a large amount of the benefits of system-friendly installations now being built will only materialize with a larger share of renewables in the overall system. At a low discount rate of 1.7 percent, the benefits occurring in the eleventh to thirtieth year of operation would dominate the overall project evaluation with a weight of 61 percent.

However, project developers are exposed to uncertainties regarding the political and regulatory framework conditions for the further expansion of renewable energies and the commercial benefit of system-friendly installations. It is unclear whether or not the advantages of system-friendly installations will be reflected in the market design and lead to additional revenues in the future for individual project developers, even though such installations are associated with higher investment costs per megawatt hour than conventional ones. Therefore, it can be assumed that lenders require significantly higher return expectations, around ten percent, when assessing investment projects. At such a discount rate, revenues in years 11 to 30 would only be included in the investment decision for system-friendly installations at 35 percent. From a public perspective, it would be preferable to properly assess the long-term benefits of system-friendly installations. This can be done by continuing to develop support policies for renewable energies.

**Design support instruments so that investors consider the long-term market value**

The declining technology costs of renewable energies mean that the importance of support policies for covering incremental costs is declining.10 Instead, the importance of support policies is increasing in terms of reducing regulatory risks and enabling hedging against market risks. This way, financing costs can be minimized and cost increases which otherwise would have occurred can be avoided.11 Furthermore, support instruments can be used to internalize negative environmental externalities, such as emissions avoided by electricity from renewable sources. This is an important aspect as long as the negative climate impacts of electricity from coal and gas power plants are insufficiently reflected in European emissions trading.12 Therefore, it can be assumed that support policies will continue to be used.

Currently, new wind turbines and solar installations from 750 kilowatts and upwards are being subsidized by a sliding market premium. The premium is calculated using the difference between the weighted average of the hourly electricity prices of the respective month and a reference returns.

9 Support policies currently hedge many regulatory uncertainties and thus allow the extensive use of debt capital (loans, bonds). This leads to low financing costs for non-system-friendly installations. Since the additional revenues from system-friendly installations are uncertain, the additional investment costs must be backed up by equity and meet the correspondingly high expected returns.

10 Such extra costs historically existed due to technology costs of renewable energies and because the climate-damaging emissions from fossil fuel power plants are not priced properly.


Criteria for further developing support instruments

The continued development of the sliding market premium must fulfill a set of criteria.

It must reflect the market value of electricity, including system aspects that may not yet be reflected in the price of electricity, such as insufficiently priced CO₂ externalities or redispatch costs.¹⁴

Furthermore, the support must take the future development of the market value into consideration; reflect positive externalities such as innovation or learning effects in supply chains; and minimize financing costs, for example by reducing regulatory risks or taking opportunities to hedge market risks that are not hedged bilaterally over the long term due to institutional frameworks. Finally, support instruments should avoid excessive rents.

These criteria must be fulfilled in terms of the four elements which make up system-friendly installations: the design, choice of location, choice of technology, and operation of the installation.

Incentives for system-friendly designs

System-friendly installations are easier to integrate into the energy system. System-friendly wind turbines are one example; they generate more electricity during hours of lower wind speeds as opposed to regular wind turbines. Three technical parameters determine how to achieve system-friendly production: the rotor blade length, the hub height, and the generator’s nominal capacity. A longer rotor blade exposes the turbine to increased wind energy and can thus generate electricity for more hours. A higher hub experiences higher wind speeds, which has the same effect. Finally, a generator with a low nominal capacity increases the full-load hours and also lowers costs, which can be used to increase the other two parameters (see Figure 1).¹⁵

Another example for a system-friendly design are solar panels facing east or west, causing them to generate electricity earlier in the morning and later in the afternoon when it is usually most needed. However, these photovoltaic systems generate less electricity overall compared to south-facing panels (Figure 2).¹⁶ A comparable effect occurs with bi-facial photovoltaic panels¹⁷ and with larger module surface areas in relation to the inverter capacity.

The current support system does not fulfill the criteria for system-friendly installations

Consider the market value of the electricity: In the case of sliding market premiums, the incentives depend on the reference period in particular, that is whether the premium is determined hourly, monthly, or annually. The premium is calculated based on the average production value of all other installations within these periods. All incentives for system-friendly investment decisions resulting from the electricity price profile within one year are maintained if the premium is determined annually, such as in the Netherlands.¹⁸

However, if an installation deviates from this average annual production profile and tends to run at times of higher market prices, project developers will profit from the correspondingly higher revenues. Incentives for designing installations that maximize their production in months with higher electricity prices are lost when the premium is determined monthly, as in Ger-

---

¹⁴ Redispatch costs are incurred when network bottlenecks force network operators to shut down certain power plants and other plants must operate in their place.

¹⁵ Fraunhofer IWE (2013): Entwicklung der Windenergie in Deutschland. Commissioned by Agora Energiewende (in German) (available online).


¹⁷ Bi-facial panels capture solar radiation from both orientation and transform it into electricity, see Photon 06/2017.

Incentives remain for designing system-friendly installations corresponding to the electricity price profile within a period of one month. When the premium is determined hourly, like in the United Kingdom, there are no incentives for system-friendly investment decisions. In every case, incentives for system-friendly installations can only arise when these benefits are built into the design of the electricity market—as regionally differentiated electricity prices, for example.

In order for the future development of the market value to be able to contribute to system-friendly decisions, the above-listed criteria for the design of the premium and the electricity market first must be fulfilled. However, even with an efficient electricity price signal, it can be assumed that project developers will only partially incorporate these developments into their investment because of strong discounting of uncertain future revenues.

Financing costs can be minimized by facilitating the hedging of electricity price risks between installations and end customers with the definition of an hourly market premium, like in the United Kingdom. Risks for project developers arise when the reference period is extended to a month or even a year. End customers are safeguarded to a lesser extent and the financial costs increase.

**Market value model offers incentives for system-friendly designs**

The market value model capitalizes on the benefits of a sliding market premium—and minimizes financing costs with an hourly reference period. Comprehensive incentives for an installation with a system-friendly design are created by a market value factor that reflects the system-friendliness of an installation’s location and technology (see Box 1). In a call for tenders, project developers win by having the lowest bid price, which is adjusted by the market value factor. As a result, the tendering process and therefore also project developers take the expected market value of electricity into account.

In doing so, benefits of a system-friendly installation which aren’t yet reflected in the electricity market design, such as regional aspects, can also be considered. The market value factor reflects the expected development of the market value and thus allows this development to be appropriately weighted when selecting system-friendly installations.

**Incentives for choosing a system-friendly location**

The choice of location determines how much support the installation requires and how easy it will be to integrate large shares of renewable energy. One example of a beneficial choice of location is wind-generated electricity placed near load centers with few network requirements or wind production in southern Germany, where electricity may also be generated when the wind isn’t blowing in the north. A system-friendly choice of location should weigh these factors and take them into consideration. However, there is currently a lack of incentives to do so.

---

20 At a fixed premium, where project developers receive a fixed premium in addition to electricity revenues, or in the absence of any support, project developers are much more exposed to electricity price risk, increasing financing costs and making incentives stronger.
21 An alternative support scheme proposed by the Öko-Institut under the name “EEG 3.0” aims at more system-friendly installations yet increases the financing risks and related costs as it is based on fully exposing project developers to the price of electricity. For details, see Öko-Institut (2014): Erneuerbare-Energien-Gesetz 3.0 (Langfassung). Commissioned by Agora Energiewende (in German) (available online, accessed October 9, 2017).
22 Procedurally similar to the existing reference yield model that adjusts bids on wind power tenders according to the expected yield. For more details, see German Parliament (2016): I.c.
In addition, as the current electricity price signal does not reflect the redispatch costs, the market value is not reflected. In principle, these are more likely to occur in locations affected by network bottlenecks. Thus, project developers don’t have any incentives to choose system-friendly locations that lead to low redispatch costs.\(^{24}\)

Here, too, the future development of the market value is taken into account only to a limited extent because project developers ascribe less importance to long-term developments and there are uncertainties about mapping the regional components in the electricity price signal.

A market value model would lead to appropriate incentives for a system-friendly choice of location, including from a long-term perspective. This would take not only location-dependent redispatch costs and network bottlenecks into consideration, but also the simultaneity with the production of other installations and demand. In some countries, such as Mexico, similar approaches to selecting locations have been implemented with some success (see Box 2).

Scarcity rents at locations with better resources are reduced under the market value model because the market value factor is calculated for a long-term horizon and with the assumption of a further increase in renewable energies. At market equilibrium, investments in renewable energy are spread across sites so that the value of electricity generated at each site is equal to their cost. This leads to the market value factor offsetting location-specific cost differences and thus reducing windfall profits.

However, revenues in one region can exceed costs, for example when there is a scarcity of locations. Such additional revenues lead to landowners earning higher rents on their land and can at the same time encourage them to make more land available. If there are fears of large windfall profits, then regionally differentiated tenders could be carried out, similar to how they already exist through a limit on the volume of bids accepted from within a North German grid expansion area.\(^{25}\)

Financing costs are minimized under the market value model by not exposing project developers to the uncertainties about developments in the electricity market design or grid expansion.

**Incentives for choosing system-friendly technology**

Wind turbines and solar panels often generate electricity at different times, both in terms of seasonal production and day/night cycles (Figure 3). A portfolio comprising multiple technologies can better cover the electricity demand throughout the year and thus achieve a higher value.\(^{26}\)

The market value of different technologies is insufficiently reflected by a monthly sliding market premium alone. However, the different values of technologies in one portfolio would be reflected well with a transition to a market value model so that principally, joint tendering for all technologies would be possible.

However, positive externalities arise with the growing experience and innovation (learning effect) that occurs by installing technologies.\(^{27}\) For example, the cost of large solar panels in Germany fell by 85 percent between 2007 and 2017. This enables cheaper investments in the future.

---


\(^{25}\) Only a limited proportion of the bids submitted for wind energy tenders may be attributed to the northern German grid development area; the rest must go to southern and central Germany. For more details, see Federal Network Agency (2017): Entwurf einer Verordnung zur Änderung der Erneuerbare-Energien-Ausführungsverordnung (available online).


Furthermore, a stable demand for a technology allows value chains—from production to distribution and installation and maintenance—to establish and develop.

On the other hand, significant rents can come about if the need for renewable energy expansion cannot be covered by only one technology. In this case, a second, more expensive technology would set the price. Project developers with the cheapest technology would then offer the highest price and make a profit.

Separate tenders for different technologies like wind or solar should be maintained in the market value model as well so that positive externalities can develop and rents can be avoided. However, it is important to avoid differentiation between include too many technologies in order to ensure the competition in the tenders is not weakened.

**Incentives for market-oriented operation**

A goal of integrating renewable energies into the system is to create incentives for early and precise forecasts of wind turbine and solar panel generation as well as an efficient integration into the energy system. For example, 24 hours prior to actual electricity generation, a majority of conventional power plants and, in the future, flexible loads, can offset a projected reduction (or increase) in production. The closer it gets to the time of actual electricity generation, the less power plants or flexible loads can compensate for an installation’s reduced electricity generation and the higher the costs and prices of an adjustment are (see Figure 4).

Project developers have incentives to make good forecasts and to compensate for expected deviations of production in the market with a sliding market premium. In Germany, the sliding market premium is calculated relatively to the prices of the day ahead auction in the power market. At that time, however, wind and solar forecasts are still unsure. If there are deviations from subsequent, better forecasts, they are adjusted on the market with other market actors. Although the costs for these deviations can be reduced by improving the quality of the forecasts, the price risk, which depends on developments in the electricity market, supply (flexibility options, for example), and demand, remains with the project developers.28

---

28 Although this uncertainty is generally passed on to third parties in yearly marketing contracts, the uncertainty regarding future years remains and is reflected in an uncertainty about the prices at which marketing contracts will be closed in the future.

---

**Box 1**

**The market value model**

The goal of the market value model is to create incentives for project developers of wind turbines and solar panels to build system-friendly installations.1 These incentives should, first, reflect the value of the electricity generated in a functioning market and, second, result in appropriate weight being given to longer-term development of the electricity system. The market value model is conceived as a further development of the market premium with the adjustment through a market value factor.

A model of the electricity sector, hosted, for example, by the national regulatory agency (in Germany, the Federal Network Agency, Bundesnetzagentur), is used to project hourly, location-specific electricity prices for a reference year (such as 2035). It would be comprised of endogenous investments in renewable energy technologies in terms of technology selection, design, and location based on renewable expansion targets.

Every project developer as well as regulatory body can calculate the location-specific energy production of an installation using the available data about wind speeds or solar radiation for a reference year. Together with the published hourly price projections, this results in the average realized electricity price. The difference between the average realized price and the calculated average price of all installations forms the market value factor. This reflects the system friendliness of an installation. Each project developer can calculate this factor and thus incorporate it in their design and location choice.

In the case of a tender, project developers continue to offer a reference price. In the clearing algorithm of the tender, the bids are adjusted for the market value factor. The bids with the lowest reference price minus the market value factor win.

In an example calculation for a location in northern Germany (Boltenhagen in the federal state of Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania), the market value model leads to system-friendlier installations being selected. Under the current sliding market premium, project developers decide on turbines with a rotor blade length of 52.8 meters and a rotor sweep of 8,756 square meters. Using the market value model, the design changes to a system-friendlier turbine.

---

with a rotor blade length of 54.7 meters and a rotor sweep of 9,386 square meters. The capacity falls from 2.7 megawatts to 2.4 megawatts and the hub height increases from 118 meters to 128 meters. This system-friendlier design increases the amount of full-load hours by 11 percent while keeping the amount during strong winds (more than ten meters per second) the same. The number of full-load hours increases during frequent moderate wind speeds by 19 percent and by 26 percent during low wind speeds (less than five meters per second). The electricity market value is principally higher during these hours.²


---

Two scenarios for the addition of renewables in comparison

### 2035, Scenario 1: System-friendly addition based on market values 2035
- Non-system-friendly wind turbine (Rotor size small as compared to capacity, low hub height)
- System-friendly wind turbine (rotor size large as compared to capacity, high hub height)
- Photovoltaic panels with Southern alignment
- Photovoltaic panels with Western or Eastern alignment

### 2035, Scenario 2: Non-system-friendly addition based on market values 2017

Figure

Source: Authors’ own depiction.
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If the long-term perspective is taken into account for investment decisions today, more system-friendly installations will be built.
In the medium term, the real time price could be used as a reference price for the sliding market premium instead of the price in the day ahead auction in the power market.\(^2\) This could also avoid the price risk that cannot be influenced by project developers in order to prevent additional financing cost surcharges for renewables. Incentives for good forecasts and adjusting the sale early would be preserved because more favorable prices can be achieved at earlier auctions. As is currently, this marketing could be organized in a decentralized manner, but alternatively could also be organized through public tenders for wind and photovoltaic marketing.

How the sliding market premium is determined also has a limited influence on the timing of maintenance activities. When maintenance can be planned flexibly, it may be considered that less energy production is lost during times of low wind speeds or little sunlight. It should be performed at times when the electricity price is low. Incentives to consider these factors in the timing of maintenance activities can result from the reference period of the sliding market premium (either hourly, monthly, or annually). In principle, longer periods give incentives for planning maintenance in a value-maximizing manner. Generally, however, the exact time of the maintenance work is less important than for conventional power plants because the individual installations of a wind or solar park can be maintained independently and thus with very limited impact on overall production capacity and volume while a conventional power plant often has to be completely shut down for maintenance.

The market value model can create incentives for system-friendly installations while simultaneously minimizing risks and financing costs

There are conflicting goals surrounding the sliding market premium currently used in Germany for most renewable energy installations. The conflict is between stronger incentives for system-friendly installations and better mitigation of financing risks, which can be achieved with an annual reference period and a shorter or hourly period of reference (see table), respectively. However, both goals can also be achieved together. This requires complementing a sliding market premium with an hourly reference period in the market value model with an ex-ante determined market value factor. The market value factor adjusts the remuneration amount for the anticipated market value of a system-friendly investment. The use of

---

Box 2

The example of Mexico

In 2015, Mexico launched tenders for renewable energy with bids adjusted according to expected system friendliness. To do this, the Secretariat of Energy (Secretaria de Energia) first calculates what value the electricity will have in different regions during the installations’ lifetime using an energy market model. The bidding order rewards projects in regions of higher value with a bonus, and projects in regions with lower expected value receive a penalty. This gives project developers incentives to build projects in specific regions according the market value in those regions without being exposed to additional (price) risks.\(^1\)

Furthermore, if installations produce in hours of higher or lower value, then they receive additional bonuses and penalties, respectively. This creates (so far, weak) incentives for system-friendly installation designs, as in principle, these produce during more valuable hours.\(^2\)

The underlying electricity market model is updated in each bidding round to reflect new developments for the next set of bids without exposing investors in earlier projects to uncertainties from these new developments. While surprisingly strong regional differences were still recorded in 2015 during the first round of calls (with a maximum difference of 42.69 US dollars per megawatt hour), the anticipated grid expansion was subsequently taken into account. Thus, the longer-term price differentials paid for electricity from renewable energies converge with the regional differences. In the second tender round in 2016, there was a maximum difference of 30.67 US dollars, while in the third round in 2017, there was only a maximum difference of 13.53 US dollars.\(^3\)

---


\(^{2}\) Nera (2015): l.c.

\(^{3}\) Cenace (2017): Subastas de Largo Plazo (in Spanish) (available online).
Conclusion: The market value model enables the long-term integration of renewable energies

Selecting system-friendly locations, technologies, and installation designs for the use of renewable energies is important for the economic implementation of the energy transition. To do this, project developers must have the right incentives. Since it is expected that many installations will have a technological lifespan of around 30 years, the future development of the market value should already be taken into account today.

However, project developers cannot appropriately take the long-term benefits of system-friendly installations into consideration. System-friendly installations require higher investment costs per megawatt hour of generated electricity, while their long-term benefits are uncertain for individual project developers. Therefore, the additional investment cost of system-friendly installations needs to be backed by equity and deliver a high rate of return. As a result, the benefits of system-friendly installations are strongly discounted and receive limited weight.

The market value model remedies this situation. It builds upon existing support mechanisms, which continue to be necessary even with falling wind and solar energy costs to avoid regulatory risks and enable the hedging of market risks. This prevents risk premiums from leading to high financing costs and thus creating additional burdens for end customers. Moreover, such support systems enable the factoring in of negative environmental externalities that are so far otherwise insufficiently priced.

The market value model can be combined with tenders as well as regulatory set feed-in tariffs. Incentives for system-friendly investment decisions arise from a market value factor that reflects the system-friendliness of an

---

In doing so, benefits of a system-friendly installation which aren’t yet reflected in the electricity market design are taken into account, and the expected longer-term development of the market value receives appropriate weighting by project developers in the design and location of a technology.
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