# **ECONSTOR** Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Sah, Raaj K.

### Working Paper A Useful and Easily-Tested Statement of Some Schur-Cohn Stability Criteria for Higher-Order Discrete Dynamic Systems

Center Discussion Paper, No. 614

**Provided in Cooperation with:** Yale University, Economic Growth Center (EGC)

*Suggested Citation:* Sah, Raaj K. (1989) : A Useful and Easily-Tested Statement of Some Schur-Cohn Stability Criteria for Higher-Order Discrete Dynamic Systems, Center Discussion Paper, No. 614, Yale University, Economic Growth Center, New Haven, CT

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/160536

### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



## WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

### ECONOMIC GROWTH CENTER

YALE UNIVERSITY

Box 1987, Yale Station New Haven, Connecticut 06520

CENTER DISCUSSION PAPER NO. 614

### A USEFUL AND EASILY-TESTED STATEMENT OF SOME SCHUR-COHN STABILITY CRITERIA FOR HIGHER-ORDER DISCRETE DYNAMIC SYSTEMS

Raaj K. Sah

Yale University

October 1989

Notes: Center Discussion Papers are preliminary materials circulated to stimulate discussion and critical comments.

Sec. 1.

This paper is forthcoming in the <u>IEEE Transactions on Automatic</u> <u>Control</u>.

Sec. A. S. S.

Forthcoming: IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control

### A USEFUL AND EASILY-TESTED STATEMENT OF SOME SCHUR-COHN STABILITY CRITERIA FOR HIGHER-ORDER DISCRETE DYNAMIC SYSTEMS

Raaj K. Sah

Associate Professor of Economics, Yale University

Revised: October 1989

Abstract: The classical Schur-Cohn criteria are an important source of stability conditions for discrete dynamic systems. However, the conventional statement of these criteria is opaque and not of much direct use for an applied analysis of higher-order dynamic systems. The contribution of this note is to show how some of these criteria can be stated as useful and easilytested restrictions on the gradient matrices of the original higher-order system.

Mailing address: Yale University 27 Hillhouse Avenue New Haven, CT 06520 Phone: (203) 432-3610 Fax: (203) 432-3898

I thank an anonymous referee for many valuable suggestions, and Alejandro Ascencios and Naomi Zellner for assistance.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Consider autonomous discrete dynamic systems represented by the following set of difference equations:

$$y(T) = f(y(T-1), ..., y(T-L), \theta)$$
, (1)

where L is the maximum order of an equation, y(T) is a  $(K \times 1)$  vector, f is a  $(K \times 1)$  vector function,  $\theta$  is a parameter,  $K \ge 1$ ,  $L \ge 1$ , and T is time. For  $\ell = 1, \ldots, L$ , define  $f^{\ell} = \partial y(T)/\partial y(T-\ell)$  as the  $(K \times K)$  gradient matrices of (1) with respect to various lagged vectors of variables.

The stability analysis of the higher-order system in (1) requires the standard step of transforming it into a first-order system, z(T) = g(z(T-1)), where z(T) is a (KL × 1) vector, and g is a (KL × 1) vector function. A "companion" matrix to system (1), defined as  $A = \partial z(T)/\partial z(T-1)$ , is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} f^{1} & f^{2} & \dots & f^{L-1} & f^{L} \\ I_{K} & O_{K} & \dots & O_{K} & O_{K} \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ O_{K} & O_{K} & \dots & I_{K} & O_{K} \end{bmatrix},$$
(2)

where  $I_K$  is an identity matrix of order (K × K), and  $O_K$  is a null matrix of order (K × K). Denote the characteristic polynomial of A by

$$p(\lambda) = |\lambda I_{KL} - A| = \lambda^{KL} + c_1 \lambda^{KL-1} + \dots + c_{KL}$$
(3)

Let a steady-state of (1) be denoted by the (K  $\times$  1) vector x; thus, x satisfies the relationship

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}, \theta) \quad . \tag{4}$$

Throughout this note we will assume that A has been evaluated at the steadystate in question. Let r(A) denote the spectral radius of A; that is, the maximum absolute value of the eigenvalues of A. Then the property

is important for the following well-known reasons: (i) If system (1) is linear, then property (5) is necessary and sufficient for there to be a unique steady-state that is asymptotically stable. (ii) If system (1) is non-linear, then (5) is a sufficient condition for the asymptotic stability of a steadystate of (1); a necessary condition is  $r(A) \le 1$  [1, p. 38]. When (1) is nonlinear, an asymptotically stable steady-state with r(A) = 1 can arise in principle (this is called the non-hyperbolic case); however, it is extremely rare in the sense explained in [2, p. 157] and [3, pp. 19-20].

The classical Schur-Cohn criteria provide a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for (5) to hold. Among these are the following two necessary criteria, which are of interest for the present note:

$$p(1) > 0 \text{ and } (-1)^{KL} p(-1) > 0$$
. (6)

See [1, p. 27] for the other necessary criteria. These other criteria, along with those in (6), are together sufficient for (5) to hold.

It is apparent from (2) and (3) that it is nearly impossible to discern a transparent connection between the gradient matrices  $f^{\ell}$  and the criteria in (6). Also, the criteria in (6) are tedious to verify.

The contribution of this note is as follows. The theorem in the next section shows that the criteria in (6) can be stated directly in terms of the gradient matrices  $f^{\ell}$  of the original higher-order system. This alternative statement is not only easier to test than (6), but it also permits us to see the restrictions that (6) imposes on the gradient matrices  $f^{\ell}$ . Moreover, the theorem yields some useful information for the sensitivity study of the stable steady-states of (1). These aspects, concerning the practical value of the

2

(5)

theorem, are illustrated in Section III.

The proof of the theorem, as well as of the lemma on which it depends, is straightforward. However, based on an extensive literature search, I believe that these or similar results have not previously been reported.

### II. RESULTS

Lemma: 
$$|\lambda I_{KL} - A| = \lambda^{KL} |I_{K} - \sum_{0} \lambda^{-\ell} f^{\ell}|$$
, for  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

Proof: From (2),  $|\lambda I_{KL} - A| =$ 

$\lambda I_{K} - f^{1}$	•	•	-f <sup>L-1</sup>	$-f^L$
-ī <sup>K</sup>	•	•	0	0
0			λΙ <sub>κ</sub>	0
0			-I <sub>K</sub>	λI <sub>K</sub>

In (8), multiply each of the last K columns by  $1/\lambda$ , and add these columns respectively to the preceding K columns. That is, for k = 1 to K: (i) multiply column (L-1)K + k by  $1/\lambda$ , and (ii) add the resulting column to column (L-2)K + k. This yields:  $|\lambda I_{KL} - A| =$ 

$$\lambda^{K} \begin{vmatrix}
\lambda I_{K} - f^{1} & \dots -(f^{L-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda}f^{L}) & -\frac{1}{\lambda}f^{L} \\
-I_{K} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & \\
0 & \dots & \lambda I_{K} & 0 \\
0 & \dots & 0 & I_{K}
\end{vmatrix} = (9)$$

$$\lambda^{K} \begin{vmatrix}
\lambda I_{K} - f^{1} & \dots -f^{L-2} & -(f^{L-1} + \frac{1}{\lambda}f^{L}) \\
-I_{K} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \\
0 & \dots & \lambda I_{K} & 0 \\
0 & \dots & -I_{K} & \lambda I_{K}
\end{vmatrix} = (10)$$

(7)

(8)

(To obtain (10) from (9), expand the determinant in (9) along the last row. The last row and and the last column drop out. Repeat this K-1 times.)

A repetition of all preceding steps, L-1 times, yields the desired result:  $|\lambda I_{KL} - A| = \lambda^{K(L-1)} |\lambda I_{K} - \sum_{\ell} \lambda^{1-\ell} f^{\ell}| = \lambda^{KL} |I_{K} - \sum_{\ell} \lambda^{-\ell} f^{\ell}|$ . *Theorem*: The criteria in (6) can be stated respectively as

$$\left|\mathbf{I}_{K}-\sum_{\ell}\mathbf{f}^{\ell}\right|>0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\mathbf{I}_{K}-\sum_{\ell}(-1)^{\ell}\mathbf{f}^{\ell}\right|>0 \quad . \tag{11}$$

Proof: Since  $p(\lambda) = |\lambda I_{KL} - A|$ , (6) can be rewritten as

$$|I_{KL} - A| > 0 \text{ and } (-1)^{KL} |-I_{KL} - A| > 0$$
. (12)

Next, substitute  $\lambda = 1$  and -1, respectively, into (7). The resulting expressions imply that (12) can be restated as (11).

### **III. REMARKS AND EXAMPLES**

It is clear that though the conditions in (11) are the exact equivalents of the Schur-Cohn criteria in (6), the former are transparent and directly observable restrictions on the gradient matrices  $f^{\ell}$ .

Two brief examples are presented below. The first example illustrates how the theorem presented above makes it easier to test the criteria in (6). The second example shows how this theorem yields useful information for the sensitivity analysis of the stable steady-states of (1). In these examples, the elements of the vectors y(T) and x are denoted respectively as  $y(T) = (y_1(T), \ldots, y_K(T))$  and  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_K)$ .

Example 1. Consider the following non-linear system, with K = L = 2:

$$y_{1}(T) = \{y_{1}(T-1)\}^{2} + y_{2}(T-1) + y_{2}(T-2), \text{ and} \\ y_{2}(T) = y_{1}(T-1) + \beta y_{2}(T-2), \quad (13)$$

where  $\beta$  is a real constant. The gradient matrices of (13), evaluated at a

4

steady-state x, are:

$$f^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_{1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad f^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix}.$$
(14)

It is easily ascertained that system (13) has only two steady-states; they are x = (0,0), and  $x = (-(1 + \beta)/(1 - \beta), -(1 + \beta)/(1 - \beta)^2)$ .

Suppose we are interested in determining the values of  $\beta$  for which the steady-state x = (0,0) satisfies the criteria in (6). A direct use of (6) would first require constructing a (4 × 4) matrix A, as defined in (2), and then solving for the values of  $\beta$  that satisfy the inequalities in (6). In contrast, the theorem presented earlier allows the following simpler calculation. From (14),

$$I_{2} - \sum_{\ell} f^{\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} 1-2x_{1} & -2\\ -1 & 1-\beta \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{2} - \sum_{\ell} (-1)^{\ell} f^{\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+2x_{1} & 0\\ 1 & 1-\beta \end{bmatrix}$$

It then follows by inspection that the conditions in (11) are satisfied at x = (0,0) if and only if  $\beta < -1$ . It can be similarly verified that at  $x = (-(1 + \beta)/(1 - \beta), -(1 + \beta)/(1 - \beta)^2)$ , the corresponding restriction is  $-1/3 > \beta > -1$ .

Example 2. Consider the sensitivity analysis of an asymptotically stable steady-state x with respect to a scalar parameter  $\theta$ . Recalling the reasons noted in Section I, we assume here that (5) is satisfied at x. From (4), the effect of a small change in  $\theta$  is described by  $\frac{dx}{d\theta} = [I_K - F]^{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}$ , where  $F = \Sigma f^{\ell}$ . This yields  $\frac{dx}{d\theta} = D \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} / |I_K - F|$ , where D denotes the adjoint matrix  $\ell$  of  $I_K - F$ . From the first inequality in (11), therefore,  $sgn\{\frac{dx}{d\theta}\} = sgn(D \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta})$ . Thus, by the theorem presented earlier, we need not be concerned about the sign of  $|I_K - F|$  when evaluating the signs of the vector  $\frac{dx}{d\theta}$ . This useful information is clearly unavailable from the criteria in (6).

5

### REFERENCES

- J. P. LaSalle, The Stability and Control of Discrete Processes, Applied Mathematical Sciences 62. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [2] M. W. Hirsch and S. Smale, Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems and Linear Algebra. New York: Academic Press, 1974.
- [3] R. Seydel, From Equilibrium to Chaos. New York: Elsevier, 1988.