

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Fei, John Ching-han

Working Paper

Economic Development and Traditional Chinese Cultural Values

Center Discussion Paper, No. 531

Provided in Cooperation with:

Yale University, Economic Growth Center (EGC)

Suggested Citation: Fei, John Ching-han (1987): Economic Development and Traditional Chinese Cultural Values, Center Discussion Paper, No. 531, Yale University, Economic Growth Center, New Haven, CT

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/160454

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



ECONOMIC GROWIH CENTER

YALE UNIVERSITY

Box 1987, Yale Station New Haven, Connecticut 06520

CENTER DISCUSSION PAPER NO. 531

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TRADITIONAL CHINESE CULTURAL VALUES

John C. H. Fei Yale University

April 1987

Note: Center Discussion Papers are preliminary materials circulated to stimulate discussion and critical comments. References in publications to Discussion Papers should be cleared with the authors to protect the tentative character of these papers.

ABSTRACT

Economic Development and Traditional Cultural Values

Among the more than 100 less developed countries in the post-war world, the economic region surrounding mainland China, including Taiwan, South Korea, Hong-Kong and Singapore, have been most successful in their transition growth process (1950-1985) from their prewar agrarian background toward what was referred to by Professor Kuznets as the epoch of modern economic growth. Why is it that these countries have been so successful as compared to other regions?

The economists have identified two causal factors behind the miracle of the "Far Eastern Gang of Four" namely, i.e., the <u>openness</u> in orientation and the <u>depoliticization</u> of their economic system. As manifested in the evolution of their growth related policies in the course of the last 35 years, openness and liberalization encouraged "competition". This paper*/ argued that the policy evolution has "roots" that reside deep in the traditional Chinese cultural values.

^{*/} This paper was completed in November 1985 and is to be followed by a second paper in which I intend to show that "fundamental" traditional Chinese cultural values can explain not only "economic prosperity" but also their relative "social-political" stability.

Economic Development and Traditional Chinese Cultural Values

John C.H. Fei
Yale University
November 1985

From a long run historical perspective, the economic development of contemporary less developed, or developing, countries after the Second World War has been a unique type of growth experience, i.e. a transition process from a colonial, agrarian heritage before the war toward what was referred to by Professor Simon Kuznets as the epoch of modern economic growth. For less developed countries, the post-war period is comparable to the transition period of England at the time of the "industrial revolution" toward the end of the 18th century, or that of Japan toward the end of the 19th century, when, for the first time in the life cycle of those economics, the exploration of the frontiers of science and technology suddenly became the primary growth-promotion forces. The post war economic development of China, both in Taiwan and on the mainland, is but a typical example of transition growth of the less developed world containing three quarters of the total world population. It is not an accident that, at the present time, a primary concern in both parts of a divided China is the "modernization by means of science and technology", which is indeed the sine qua non of the modern growth epoch (現代化半長红礼).

I. The Far Eastern Economic Miracle and Traditional Chinese Culture

Since about ten years ago, it has become increasingly apparent that among the more than 100 less developed countries in the world, the geographic region surrounding mainland China, including Taiwan, South Korea, Hong-Kong and Singapore has been most successful in its transition growth performance, as measured by all types of reasonable statistical indices such as the rapidity of the growth of national income and/or the equality of income distribution. This fact has earned for these four countries, the honored title of NICA — the Newly Industrialized Countries of Asia or, enviously, the Gang of Four of East Asia. The success of these Far Eastern countries is all the more amazing when, by all consensus, the region is unfavorably endowed with natural resources when compared with the oil-rich Arab world or the natural-resource rich Latin American countries. With its natural resources close to zero, post-war prosperity in the city state of Hong Kong, for example, is often referred to as "the miracle on a rock."

The miracle of the Gang of Four during the last 35 years raises the following question: Why is it that these countries have been so successful as compared to other regions? The fact that they are deficient in natural resources suggests that this unfavorable economic-geographic background factor must have been more than compensated for by the comparative abundance of their human resources, their laborers, farmers, entrepreneurs and government officials. Furthermore, their locational adjacency to the Chinese mainland suggests, that the excellence of their human resources may be traceable to the fact that they shared the same cultural influence of traditional China. The cultural self-deprecation of China since the "May 4th movement" in Peking some 60 years ago must now be completely reevaluated. For the post-war evidence

their entirety as a hindrance to economic modernization. The fact that the suppression of traditional cultural values by brute force, has led to economic stagnation for thirty years, between 1949 and 1978, in mainland China, can only support the central thesis which I want to discuss today, namely that some of the traditional Chinese cultural values may have been conducive to the economic life typical of the modern epoch, and that their suppression was costly in terms of foregone economic growth.

The economists have identified two causal factors behind this economic miracle, namely the openness in orientation (司教化) and the depoliticization of their economic system (自由心), as political interference was gradually withdrawn from the operation of the market system (幸揚 判 度). The prospect is that, given the trend of openness, or external orientation (村分 場句 sustained, the rapid growth of the Far Eastern region, including Japan, will shift the center of gravity of world trade to the Pacific Basin 体有详盆地) from the traditional Atlantic Basin by the end of this century. While Hong Kong, and, to a lesser extent, Singapore, has always had a depoliticized market system, the maturity of nationalism has led Taiwan and South Korea to depoliticize their economic systems gradually over the course of the last 25 years, culminating in the liberalization movement (自由化定動) that has recently been "sloganized". What underlies their "openness" and "depoliticization" is the dedication to a spirit of rivalry (or competition) in the international or domestic markets through which human energies and talents are encouraged to be devoted to economic creativity rather than wasted in the pursuit of "other values" which may even be "higher" from other points of view. While the miracles of the Gang of Four are explainable in these terms by the

economists, I would like to argue, nevertheless, that their roots reside deep in traditional Chinese cultural values.

Is there a relationship between traditional cultural values and modern economic growth? In his masterpiece on modern economic growth, Professor Kuznets, a Nobel Laureate pointed out that

"The broad views associated with modern economic epoch can be suggested by three terms: secularism, egalitarianism and nationalism emphasizing somewhat different aspects of values."

While the arguments of Professor Kuznets were based on historical evidence from the West, I will argue that the "internally oriented" traditional Chinese cultural values (注意人里內這之中國行意之外和自己的 have always satisfied the criteria of nationalism, secularism and egalitarianism that accounted for the post-war economic success of the Far Eastern countries.

Since the emphasis of economic modernization is on the relatively mundane

(世俗) aspect of human activities, in our examination of traditional cultural values due emphasis must also be placed on the cultural values that have trickled down to the lower levels of popular culture (下房文人). In the case of traditional China, it is one of the characteristic features that high culture and popular culture were not as sharply differentiated from each other, as in the West. (上房文化为下房文化之间分类资本中国党建筑有为方) In this 和原版文本、不要的。
regard popular cultural values always centered on the biological instincts of sex and economics which were regarded as having natural primacy as well by those at higher levels (食色用工厂民业会大大).

I) Cultural Nationalism as an Aspect of Chinese Culture

In respect to the first criterion, nationalism, a careful distinction should be drawn between "political nationalism" and "cultural nationalism". The definition of "nationalism" by Professor Kuznets reads as follows:

"(Nationalism is) the claim of community of feeling grounded on a common historical past and its cultural heritage — in its extreme form, an overriding claim of allegiance of the members to the larger community and sovereignty vis-a-vis all groups beyond that national unit."

This leaves no doubt that what is beneficial to modern growth is cultural nationalism (文化序族) rather than a narrowly focused political nationalism (政治院) with a "xenophobic connotation" when carried to an "extreme form". The Chinese political value of a "universal world" (六下為 公) suggests that "nationalism" in China has always been of the cultural type. The acceptance of an openness or external orientation that integrates the domestic economy with the rest of the world in the post—war period was, perhaps, not an the post—war period was, perhaps, not an accident since possed in all the successful Far Eastern countries.

Traditional Chinese culture is certainly quite explicit in recognizing the historical continuity of its tradition. This is evident from the "links of ancestor worship" (成之祖太, 境华远远) applied to the common people (下層)

It is also evident, at the higher level (片層) via the "missionary" dedication to the abstract historical - cultural "link" stressing the commonness of cultural purpose (道弘)

for: intellectuals of all times. The historic continuity of Tas Tao (道) linking the past to the present (道景古今) is logically traceable to the Chinese transcendental value integrating man with the cosmos (道永人). The Chinese heaven (天) has its own life comparable to human history (道景古久道大原物). The emphasis of cultural nationalism is so

unique as an aspect of traditional Chinese values that no further elaboration is needed.

The urban-centered modern economic life is far more complex than that of a traditional society as the division of labor becomes highly specialized and as joint asset ownership becomes dominant. The rapid increase in the demand of public services -- roads, harbors, communication, water, sewage, the settlement of commercial disputes for the enforcement of contractual agreements, etc.

--requires a polity for the apportionment of tax burdens for their production.

Cultural nationalism is an essential precondition for all members to reach agreement on economic contracts and to compromise in order to form a social consensus on the sharing of tax burdens. The perpetual and rampaging price inflation that has blocked rapid economic growth in Latin America, in contrast, has been due primarily to their inability to reach a social consensus on the sharing of the tax burden. Price inflation in the Far Eastern countries has been much milder in the post-War period due to their capacity to reach social agreement because of their heritage of cultural nationalism.

III Secularism as an Aspect of Chinese Culture

The second cultural trait, secularism, is defined by Professor Kuznets as follows:

"By secularism, we mean a concentration of life on earth with a scale of priorities that assigns a high rank to economic attainment... as contrasted with a view of life on earth as a brief transition phase that deserves relatively little attention... the increased power of man over resources provided by science that constituted the basis of the view of man as captain of his destiny in this world...".

"Secularism", as an aspect of cultural traits in the West, was achieved rather "late" as it was the product of secularization (世俗似), the most 一部为近代文章 important evolutionary characteristic of Western culture. (是的智人凡的16化的)
It took the

slow disintegration of a personified God (人格心上帝) in the 19th century to complete the Western journey of secularization.

To the extent that secularism is a prerequisite for modern economic growth, traditional Chinese culture is vastly superior to that of the West as there was no need for such a "movement toward secularization." The Chinese culture has always been "secular" since at least the time of Confucius. To an "unreformed" Westerner, life was indeed a brief transition phase abruptly terminated by death and, thereafter, the individual enters heaven (or hell) prepared for him by God. This is not true for the Chinese. A person at the higher level of culture (上京文化) is spiritually bound up with heaven (中野文化)

A "personified god" never existed in China as the "other world" and "this world" are always integrated. () 六人,六人后一).

An important point that must not be missed is that the Chinese "heaven" (六) is anything but weak and stagnant. Since the heavenly principle (适) is vast, strong, righteous and dynamically lively so must human beings be. By its righteous "breathing" (氣) that is continual (住生), heaven is perpetually creative (元地之五德,9生), with a vast power to transform itself into mountain and stars (在大地方河流中是) or into indomitable human beings (於人田污色之). Strengthened by their moral fiber, human beings are perpetually creative (葡萄新,日新,又日新) with the active behavioral manifestation (元行(建己子自治,万息) and inexhaustible effort (湿人力以形元元) and an optimistic attitude (元元也)

Chinese secularism is by no means a cultural trait of only the elite (高度弄美)

The culture of the lower level (万意社母) reflects the value of "stomach-first"

(另可格神, 不俗共多人) and spiritual values later (克陽超4站可识别种在积呢)

plus "leaning on heaven (not man) for his daily bread" with optimism 经入线方私赔多程

nore than 2000 years of documented evidence on population, the race that originated from the Yellow River Valley has multiplied to become the largest stock of human beings on earth, adaptive to all types of ecological adversities in all five continents? The common Chinese, from the time of the ancient idea of toil and rest (日身前城, 日入南原。), always had priorities that "assigned a high rank to economic attainment", i.e. to survive and to be prosperous. The Chinese are indeed the most secular people according to the definition provided by Prof. Kuznets if we use the size of population as a rough index of "secular" survival rate, or, indeed, the rate of the survival of the fittest.

When Professor Kuznets visited Taipei in the sixties, he was amazed by the "running and racing" of the pedestrians and taxicabs and said to me "here is something that I don't understand". The "running and racing", that is indeed the secret of economic success everywhere, is a way of worldly life, ordained to have top priority by Confucius (人道丘木道上)—in turn ordained by heaven. The "practice" orientation of Confucius was much admired by later generations, e.g. when Emperor Hsuan-Tsing of the Tang dynasty would humble himself before his altar and ask "Why, oh Why, has your Sage labored so hard in your lifetime?" (大子河南西本村 中?). The answer, as provided in a self-evaluation by the Sage himself was "Tell everyone that he is a person who works, forgets meals, always with optimism, until his last breath on earth. And

that is all." (英人之, 發名志食, 柴ッ志曼, かねん 特主, 本). This is a personal philosophy apparently attributable to Heaven (たれ さ 其 六 ・・子?).

actions is the "secret" of the yellow race.

With a high value on economic pragmatism, the contemporary Chinese urban working class would much rather seek "salvation" via hard work in a stable political environment than through the invasion and occupation of university campuses (工人道道 化花花) or marching in the streets with, to them, irrelevent virtues such as "democracy" upheld. Such energy-consuming activities, in contrast, inevitably created the disturbance to political stability so frequently found in the labor movements of Latin America in the post-war years. In all the four Far-Eastern countries enthusiasm for the union movement, if any, has always been an upper-class hobby "for" the workers rather than "of" the workers themselves. The "workers are the master" philosophy (工人意文人) on mainland China at the present time is merely an illustration of the same truth, in an exaggerated form, which all Chinese can appreciate much more readily than Westerners.

IV Rational Egalitarianism: An Analytical Approach.

Let us now examine analytically egalitarianism, the last cultural trait mentioned by Prof. Kuznets. A belief that "all man are born equal" is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for egalitarianism as an operational guide for distributional justice. Since, in all societies, the benefit (47 1/2002) of high income, social status and power to control must always be allocated, somehow, to all members of a community, rational egalitarianism must be carefully distinguished from an "irrational" one which implies quite the opposite principle of distributional justice. I will define rational egalitarianism as one that places high value on the principle of equal opportunity so that larger benefits are given, usually by necessity, to a small minority, via a selective screening process involving a "test" for meritorious performance. The contemporary competitive examination system or a political election system (2004) are typical examples. I want to make it perfectly clear, that such rational egalitarianism is my choice of an operational definition for analytical purposes.

When it comes to the "zero-sum games" of most secular affairs, the presumption of a "screening test" invariably implies a spirit of rivalry or competition. While everyone can try to be a sage (為紀元之聖人) in the non-secular domain, for everyone to be the "first" scholar of the land (朱之) or "chief" executive (春秋, えが) is a mathematical impossibility. Rational egalitarianism carries the connotation of competition, ex ante and an inequality of benefits, ex post, for almost all secular affairs. With the definitional matter cleared away, we may ask, what characteristics of traditional cultural values are conducive to rational egalitarianism as defined?

The answer is that rational egalitarianism can only be the product of a culture, such as the Chinese culture, that believes all man are equal only in a 人人气3萬元群,併门) while fully recognizing that the 大闹,将相干的桂。 inborn talents do differ from person to person (将也,能生处种。打手指为一栋奇 so that a perfect realization of that equal potential (满街堂是聖人 is not likely to be observed. Faith in human nature of this type may be referred to as an optimistic realism (樂观真贵派 high value attached to individualistic effort to achieve (以人还要自改人,历史与自治。 to the tough moral fiber to be independent (遗世独立好溪做争好溪墙. to freedom that can be equated to self-determination (D L) and, in secular affairs, to rivalry and the survival of the fittest. Modern conservatism, such as Reaganism or Friedmanism which has so much faith in free competition in the market (市場自由競争自然海体) always justifies its their position by the moral value of self reliance and freedom.

clearly recognized but is not debatable (大其存而方論) just as the "superiority" of Marxian-Maoism (馬一列一七章戏) and the Three People's Principles (三元章文) can not be calmly reasoned about. Whether or not traditional Chinese culture is rationally egalitarian, as I have defined, is, however, a debatable issue which can and should be analyzed.

While rational and irrational varieties of egalitarianism may have their own merits on other groups, our problem is less controversial. For all we want to know is which egalitarianism is conducive to Modern Economic Growth. Prof. Kuznets wrote that:

"Egalitarianism is a denial of any inborn differences among human beings unless and except as they manifest themselves in human activities which makes every man a full-fledged participant in the community of man.... (and) no allowance is made for innate distinctions hallowed by untestable myth.... One could go on to argue that it is the increased power of man over resources provided by science that constituted the basis of the view of man as a captain of his own destiny.... egalitarianism contributed greatly to economic growth by inducing a much larger flow of talents and energy into economic rather than other pursuits.

The answer is clear: it is rational egalitarianism and definitely not the irrational variety. Notice that in the West, rational egalitarianism, as a cultural trait, was a by-product of the secularization movement, when the arrival of "science" in the 16th and "technology" in the late 18th century created the new "testing laboratory" for individualistic endeavors. In the case of traditional China, all this was unnecessary because rational egalitarianism has been highly valued since ancient times.

V) Rational Egalitarianism on an Aspect of Chinese Culture

The belief that human beings are the relatively important part of the heavenly universe (人為為杨之塞.六咫之代人為黃 六地 我 中代) almost logically

The Chinese always believed in a Chinese "personalism" (中国之個存弃教) that implies a different attitude toward other members of society than that in the West at the present time. For the Chinese believe that one has an obligation to himself that is almost the opposite of a corrupted version of Western "individualism" (個人主人) under which, in the context of the post-war welfare state, "help" from other members is considered to be what the society owes one (社為 技"他们"发"他们.) as a matter of "human right" (人 样). While traditional Chinese culture has certainly recognized the value of a "full stomach" and "warm clothing" for all (聚瓦分化万息, 采成 约月念) 公子兔子), we can, by no stretch of the imagination, interpret this concern with "equity" (不忠義而告みt) to mean the "right" of individuals to demand full equality of income resembling an egalitarianism of the irrational Variety. Ffor, to the Chinese, "individual rights were always subordinate to "social obligation" (中国人们权利意议-同被压缩在专转观念之下.——余美吗) that, was, in turn, centered primarily in

**unproductive" population (定在名以及人之光,如是在为以及人之幼,然系统以及成员,答有所养力 Those who are strong and healthy should be captains of their own destiny (并有行为,少年方努力,是大张传统).

Rational egalitarianism implies a tough moral fiber and the desire to be independent. In the West, under the veneer of God-defying toughness, a product of the individualism of the 19th century is always the God-fearing shame of original Sin. (原準). In China, the toughness of the moral fiber, upheld by Chinese Personalism, knows no upper bound. To be humble (की कि in China is a manifestation of cultiavted strenth which is different from) where it is a frank admission of human Western humility (weakness. The Chinese have defied the "establishment" and "convention" by their conduct (母亲的秋礼道名学们该) much earlier than the 20th century "hippies" and, when tormented, would not hesitate to defy the heavenly will (大麦 towards which a dying patient has nothing but contempt (多為是初小兒行為. same toughness of independence is evident in the value system of the lower classes for both males (共雄有派万整禪.丈夫何少受人情,吃自己的敌.流自己的行 and females (绝代有任人, 幽居在空谷,大寒翠袖薄, 口署何份介, 指挥引宜,跪也征来我,咬钉、寄铁改偏部). in thought and practice. Human beings of this caliber may not be altogether irrelevant to the avenue of growth through competition that has led to the Post-War economic miracles of the Far East.

Rational egalitarianism implies competition in secular affairs. Under the influence of their cultural values, there is little doubt that the institutions of traditional China, especially the political and educational institutions, where highly competitive — in any case, much more so those of the the West. One would not hesitate to compete with the sages (常人也,杂礼人也,) or even with heaven (人之形元、我自林刀何元天). The imperial

examination system, a trade-mark of Chinese culture, was but one example of

rational egalitarianism. At the higher social level, the Chinese spoke lovingly

of the achievers, especially the culturally refined achievers (足之人移)

who excelled in their lifetime (之為尺段 大 中) and were tireless

(元为纪山), in serious rivalries (子紀子此子之子本 and, in less serious rivalries

(氏为纪山) disciplined themselves for competition. At the lower level, to excel

in competition, either serious (子泉、皇為、皇人祖 也), or less seriously (元子之)

Nas by no means an unappreciated victure.

When modern times arrive, the acceptance of a discipline by competition in

the marketplace is natural to the Chinese, the "entrepreneurs of South East

the marketplace is natural to the Chinese, the "entrepreneurs of South East

Asia", the "Oriental Jew" and, statistically, the most welcome customers of the

casinos in Atlantic City. Entrepreneurs who are the captains of capitalism

receive profit income as a reward for their willingness to take uninsurable

risks. The Chinese are anything but risk averse!

a perversion of the traditional Chinese cultural values, a truth that the Communists have learned, belatedly, to their credit.

VI) Chinese Social Groups and Rational Egalitarianism The above interpretation of traditional values according to rational egalitarianism must stand up to the leftist challenge that the Chinese people were stratified by the "Five Proprieties" (五偏, 謹 seriously, by the "struggle" between the landlord and landless classes. In respect to the former, the pair-wise propriety of behavior between father and 久子) husband and wife, (夫婦) old and young, (長幼) are "natural" in that these are the most frequently encountered human contacts in daily life for which "rules of conduct" must be prescribed to maintain orderliness () instead "order" in the sense of command (4 3 Their function is comparable to the traffic light and the rules for driver courtesy in modern cities that, by no stretch of the imagination, can be interpreted as "ordering" where one has to go except by free will. Even the king's power to rule (in) was not an "inborn-right", as when the king did not pass the test of propriety (是說是 如大島) rebellion was perfectly justified (正视飞如岩机). Whatever respect a subordinate had for his king (居日之英) is comparable to that reserved for the police officer, a socially necessary evil, who issues a ticket for a traffic violation in modern times (号名.人名西布尔得己之意,非名称忠心之诚者一年子)。 Equality under a "natural heaven" (云地之性人為黃) is far more important.

For the more serious issue of the class dichotonomy between the "landed" and "landless", Prof. Kuznets made the following observation about the West:

"In premodern days the large incomes of the Church were felt to be justified because the Church dignitaries were assumed to be free from the sins of avarice etc.; those in the hands of the anointed nobility were justified on the theory of the nobles superiority on religious grounds". Was "land ownership" in traditional China justified on such "mysterious" grounds as the Communists would have us believe?

To provide an answer to this question, we must realize that in all agrarian societies, land is the basic economic asset. The feasibility of interfamily transfers of the title of land, that carries, with it income, social status, and power to control is the basic criterion that can be used to assess the rationality of egalitarianism. The issue, in other words, is the tolerance for the descendants of the landless families (何能之子珠 titles to land and hence to their upward climb along an institutionalized social ladder ensuring inter-class mobility. Economists have, in recent years, gradually come to the view that an equitable principle is comparable to the "rotation of dealership" (褐流似莊) in the game of Majong (打麻料) ! where the benefit of dealership (莊泉的 粉选 where "consecutive dealership" (* # #) is regarded as fair because of the demonstrated skill and/or the luck (eta abla) of the dealer. It is the only principle of distributional justice consistent with the principle of "equal opportunity" under rational egalitarianism. Equalization of opportunity is intrinsically a "probablility event." The Chinese attach high value to a heavenly-willed luck (美氣, 流天) as a part of distributional justice. A settled colony of Chinese is always a paradise for fortune tellers (為何) with Ph.D. customers in New York Chinatown that are devoted Christians!

I do not have to dwell on the operational dynamics of the very unique "inter-generational" land transfer institution that prevailed for some 800 years before modern times, on the foundations of land inheritance (土地 道) transactions and tenure (土地 道 社)), all integrated with the imperial examination system. The fact that the upper class in traditional China was the land owning literate gentry class (信有土地 道 我) 之士大大党 级)

testifies to its uniqueness in the world. There were no serious restrictions on land transactions, access to education, qualifications to take part in the imperial exam or interclass marriage that blocked inter-class mobility on "mysterious", religious, geneological, or anthropological grounds (e.g. a cast system in India, or a kinship system in Japan).

It was only natural that family fortune "rotates" according to the Majong enable that the Majong enable the Majong enable the Majong enable that the Majong enable the Majong enable that the Ma

It is not too far-fetched to argue that the traits of nationalism, secularism and rational egalitarianism and hence the post war miracle of the Far East, can all be deduced, indirectly from the transcendental value of the omnipotence and omnipresence of the Chinese idea of heaven. This surprisingly simple conclusion is supportable by the force of logic as well as empirical evidence.

What is to be emphasized is that Chinese rational egalitarianism, manifested in inter-class family mobility and land transaction, encouraged precisely those "testable" human activities such as hard work (力), frugality (力) and, above all, devotion to education that are so essential for modern economic growth. The central thesis of Prof. Theodore Schultz (another Nobel Laureate) is that human resources, especially education, are the key ingredient for the

contemporary less developed countries to be modernized in this age of science and technology. The Chinese are indeed very well "tuned up" for this effort by their traditional culture that places so much emphasis on education through competitive examination.

Contemporary Chinese are not only "well prepared" by their traditional culture for "competition" but are "finely-tuned" to immunize themselves from anxiety (E. E.) and alienation (流読 景心) that are the well recognized diseases resulting from the competitive pressures of modern city .life. For they know, by intuition, that to be successful in the long run they 切,和 must compete fairly () in the economic arena (利思. 均移. 均移. 和疆 和堡). They are also psychologically prepared by a certain calmness (静、定. ع. 止) to accept consequences with relative detachment (知止, 笔货 片仁) to live a busy life with diversions · (偷閒,柴六知句 and to look forward to the days of soul-fulfilling retirement, (界 所 和 改 應 居,). Social alienation is less of a problem when so much emphasis is placed on the harmony of "natural" human relations in daily contact (人 片 水), the modern counter-part of the ancient code of "proprieties" (倫 神). Indeed, the mysterious "oriental attitude" that takes the place of tranquilizers and sleeping pills, has always been thought to be the right medicine, and the "last hope" for the maladies of the competitive culture of the West. In the years ahead, the Far Eastern regions may well turn out to be a paradise for all except the Western psychiatrists.

VII) Contingurary Significance of Traditional Chinese Culture

In my enthusiasm to put forward a thesis that the attachment of high values to cultural nationalism, action oriented secularism and rational egalitarianism in traditional China has paved the road for the post-war economic miracles, I

might have been carried away and glorified that culture by over valuation. But this is only fair. Since, for almost 60 years now, that culture has been persistently undervalued, by no others than the Chinese themselves. During the movement of enlightenment in the inter-War period after May 4, 1919, (之のほう), the demand was for "total Westernization" (之意 南北、全皇 中心) and, much worse, during the Post-War socialistic transformation (社名文文化)) the demand was for its total annihilation, by hatred and brute force. (中国人代色含是"中国人").

We must realize that, under the conditions of social unrest, political upheaval and wars, economic modernization is impossible regardless of "traditional cultural strength". The experience of the inter-War period cannot be taken seriously as evidence to pass judgement on the relevance of traditional Chinese cultural values to modern economic life. The social scientists and the sinologists in this room are, indeed, very fortunate as they have the unique opportunity to study the significance of traditional Chinese culture for the modern life of mankind. (中国传统文化)对设代化人類生活主意義)。 The two parallel experiments that have taken took place on the mainland and the region bordering it over the last 35 years, have already produced a whole set of new inductive evidence as Chinese society marched into the modern epoch with an unprecedented speed rarely matched in the entire period of previous Chinese history. The economic miracle in the Far East suggests that the chapter of cultural self condemnation (文化自清) should be closed and rewritten in the light of the new evidence. My interest, however, has been a narrowly focused economic one. Similar attempts should be made in other areas of academic discipline.

That Chinese cultural values still live with vitality at the present time is clearly seen from the revival of the market on the mainland since 1978. Turning

a "Cultural Revolution" and towards the more down-to earth matters of four modernizations (如何说代心) represents a secular reorientation.

Reviving competition, profit incentives and the inequality of income distribution obviously entails an orientation towards rational egalitarianism.

Rehabilitating the "father land" (記元 及 元) Confucius (中元)) and competitive exams represent a reorientation toward cultural nationalism. All the evidence points in the direction of the need for a cultural renaissance that the Communists can neglect only at the peril of their own avowed aim of the four modernizations. (四祖 建成 化).

Apparently the Communists have yet to learn that to insist on

Marxism-Leninism-Maoism is to deny the essence of Chinese cultural values. For centralized authoritarian command is an antithesis of self-help and inner strength (自覚之内的元). Moral values, to the Chinese, can only be introspectively cultivated (存场) through interactions with the experiences of daily conduct (百字行為). There is always the danger of the absence of "heart-felt sincerity (正方成元) when moral values are thought to be hastily manufactured (状态设态), especially along the lines of an alien ideology, with brutal force. The result, as history shows was clearly disastrous when exaggerations and ever his were acceptable virtues!

descendants of a long moral and philosophical tradition (15 5%)

can be quieted forever, they have greatly underestimated the strength of the cultural forces that lie behind their tough moral fiber. Intellectuals can forgive (15) though not forget the injuries inflicted upon them

POSTSCRIPT

Ying-shih Yü

It is gratifying to know that my friend John Fei, an economist, has found my preliminary articulation of the value system of Chinese culture helpful to his discussion of China's future economic development. Humanists and social scientists alike have, in recent decades, become increasingly aware of the importance of a holistic understanding of a culture system to the analysis of any of its integral parts. The revival of · interest in hermeneutics and in the Weberian approach to religious ethic among philosophers, historians, anthropologists, sociologists as well as economists is an indication of a possible intellectual breakthrough. Should that breakthrough eventually take place, we could surely expect a kind of creative synthesis of the positivitic and the hermeneutic methods in our inquiry into the human as opposed to the natural world. then can we possibly take a step beyond objectivism and relativism in whose positions both the social and the humanistic sciences have long been locked.

In his paper on mdoern economic growth and the Chinese culture, John Fei has made intelligent use of the theoretical framework of Simon Kuznetz. But I believe that John's work can be further enriched and refined by also taking into consideration Weber's thesis concerning the Protestant ethic and its analogy in East Asia.

*「您价值条统查中国文化的现代总美」。

The little essay I wrote on the value system of Chinese culture and its modern significance is no more than a working I have ventured to suggest in that essay some tentative broad generalizations made at a high level of abstraction which were meant to be suggestive rather than conclusive. All of my observations are and must be subject to empirical tests to be carefully carried out in the various relevant disciplines. But it will also require an interdisciplinary approach as well as a comparative historical perspective to guide the specialized empirical tests. For otherwise the hermeneutic circle would be broken with the whole and its parts hopelessly dismembered. Recently John Fei and I have had many opportunities to exchange views on this vast but extremely fascinating subject. Both of us are agreed that we should get more people from other fields seriously interested in a common effort to re-examine China's contemporary problems in all their complexities in light of its cultural values.