

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Mergen, Francois; Judd, M. A.; Putnam, J.

# **Working Paper**

Forestry Research: A Provisional Global Inventory

Center Discussion Paper, No. 503

# **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Yale University, Economic Growth Center (EGC)

*Suggested Citation:* Mergen, Francois; Judd, M. A.; Putnam, J. (1986): Forestry Research: A Provisional Global Inventory, Center Discussion Paper, No. 503, Yale University, Economic Growth Center, New Haven, CT

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/160426

# Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



## ECONOMIC GROWTH CENTER

YALE UNIVERSITY

Box 1987, Yale Station New Haven, Connecticut

CENTER DISCUSSION PAPER NO. 503

FORESTRY RESEARCH: A PROVISIONAL GLOBAL INVENTORY

Francois Mergen Yale University

R.E. Evenson Yale University

M.A. Judd Yale University

J. Putnam Yale University

May 1985

Note: This research was supported in part by a grant from the General Service Foundation.

Center Discussion Papers are preliminary materials circulated to stimulate discussion and critical comment. References in publications to Discussion Papers should be cleared with the authors to protect the tentative character of these papers.

# Abstract: Forestry Research: A Provisional Global Inventory

Data on resources allocated to forestry research in either developing or developed countries are not widely available. This paper presents a first attempt to construct an international inventory of spending and scientist man-years devoted to forestry research. The problems inherent in collecting internationally comparable data are discussed. Country data for about 40 countries are presented and regional estimates for investment levels worldwide are given. The data show consistently low levels of research investment in the developing countries and regions of the world.

Measures of research spending and manpower intensities are also presented and compared with the same measures for agricultural research. These comparisons indicate that all countries, regardless of region or income group, have been investing more in agricultural research than in forestry research. The final data section of the paper explores some specific forestry research station characteristics obtained from approximately 140 completed survey questionnaire returns. These characteristics include the distribution of research expenditures by area of research, type of tree, and funding sources; and the distribution of personnel by administrators, scientists, and technicians.

The concluding section of the paper suggests both that the inventory needs to be improved and expanded and that the area of forestry research needs to be given more emphasis by individual countries and by international aid donors.

## Forestry Research: A Provisional Global Inventory

F. Mergen

R. E. Evenson

M. A. Judd

J. Putnam

At present no inventory of resources devoted world-wide to research on forest production exists. Reliable estimates of spending or scientist man-years (SMYs) directed toward forestry research in different regions have not been available. International aid agencies and national governments, therefore, have had little in the way of internationally comparable data to guide investment decisions. International aid agencies, for example, have not known how the developing nations compare with more developed countries in terms of research intensities (research spending relative to the value of harvested forest products). Many national forestry agencies do not know how much research is being undertaken in other countries with similar forest production problems or, in many cases, how much research is being undertaken by other organizations within their own country.

Until 1971, there was a similar lack of data for agricultural research and extension. A survey conducted by Evenson and Kislev in 1971 provided an international inventory of resources directed towards agricultural research and extension. This inventory was updated and expanded in 1974 by Boyce and Evenson and again in 1982 by Judd, Boyce, and Evenson. 1/ The experience gained in the compilation of these inventories has shown that the process is both difficult and valuable. It is difficult because few governments are in a position to provide inventories of national expenditures. In many cases no clear demarcation exists between research activities and other activities such as regulation and management. Such inventories are valuable, however, because

they can provide policy-makers with a basis for determining where severe under-investment in research or extension activities exists.

The present paper develops an inventory of resources devoted to forestry research. The inventory is based primarily on returns from a mail survey of forestry research institutions, supplemented wherever possible by secondary data sources such as annual reports from specific institutions or general governmental reports on research spending in a number of fields including forestry. Although the data at this stage are incomplete because they do not cover all research institutions, the coverage they provide is sufficient to be able to make reliable estimates of global forestry research investment.

The estimates of worldwide investment, while based on this preliminary inventory, do have important policy implications. They show, for example, that huge disparities exist between developed and developing countries in terms of research intensities. Developing countries have agricultural research intensities roughly one-third as high as those for developed countries. For forestry research, the intensities are less than one-tenth as high in the developing countries as they are in the developed countries. Overall, forestry research intensities are consistently lower than agricultural research intensities.

In Part I of this paper, the problems inherent in compiling forestry research data are discussed. In Part II, the results of the national investment survey are reported, and then a number of comparisons between forestry research and agricultural research investment patterns are made in Part III. Data on budgets, staffing, sources of funds and program emphasis for approximately 140 research institutions are presented in Part IV. In the final section, the major trends observed in the data are discussed and

suggestions are made for ways to improve the data base for forestry research resources.

#### I. DEFINITIONAL AND MEASUREMENT ISSUES

One of the major definitional problems encountered in developing a global inventory of forestry research investment is defining what forestry research is. To some foresters and program administrators, forestry research relates only to activities surrounding the growing and harvesting of trees. Others include activities involving the manufacture and marketing of products derived from trees. The forestry research expenditures presented in the tables in Parts II and III of the paper are expenditures for both forest production and forest product research. The station data contained in Part IV provides some insight into the mix of production/product research for the major regions of the world.

Past experience with the development of agricultural research inventories (Kislev and Evenson, 1975; Boyce and Evenson, 1975; and Judd, Boyce, and Evenson, 1983) proved to be of value in the present endeavor because many of the definitional problems encountered were the same. The definition of what activities constitute research varies somewhat from country to country and from institution to institution. For example, depending on the sophistication of the organization, one group might classify its activities as research while another group might consider similar work to be demonstration or extension. Or, in some countries, biology teachers and professors carrying out botany research on woody species might have their work included under forestry research. While this type of work is interesting and necessary to the advancement of science, it may have little bearing on the advancement of professional forestry objectives.

The quality of research also varies from country to country and from

institution to institution. Some "research" may be poorly organized and devoid of imagination and hence not real research.

The nature of forest production itself often hinders the development of quality research projects. For some research objectives, the benefits from the research will not be experienced during the lifetime of the researcher. Research financed by international aid agencies is usually on a time span of 3 to 5 years. Compared to the life span of a tree, this is too short a period to become involved in studies that cover a significant portion of the life of a tree, even if one is dealing with fuelwood or short rotation trees in the tropics. As a consequence, many research studies are very short-term in outlook, and financing of research is often on a crash basis which does not allow adequate lead time to assemble the plant material and qualified researchers required to produce quality research.

The quality of research scientists can vary as much as the quality of the research itself. Scientific training varies by academic system so one cannot impose a single standard, e.g., completion of a doctorate, to define a scientist. Further, many scientists may be engaged in multiple activities and do little actual research. Some forestry professors may spend most of their time teaching while others devote a substantial proportion of their time to research.

One final problem encountered in developing both the present inventory as well as the agricultural research inventories is that of converting local currencies into U.S. dollars.

These problems defeated efforts to construct an inventory of agricultural research until 1971 and have defeated similar efforts in forestry research in the past. The first agricultural research inventory did not overcome all of these problems. Nor, for that matter, has the most recent

agricultural research inventory resolved them all. It is clear from experience, however, that most of these problems can be dealt with satisfactorily and that the development of improved data bases requires the production of an initial data base and a demonstration that such a data base is useful. Only then can progress be made toward more reliable data.

It is in this spirit that the current inventory is presented. The most reasonable adjustments and modifications possible were made in compiling it. The inventory should be useful and should stimulate further efforts to improve upon it. The data base shows clearly that much of the developing world invests practically nothing in forestry research and that research investment relative to the value of product is substantially lower in all regions for forestry than for agriculture. This broad comparative pattern emerges from the data even if one is highly skeptical of the quality of the data. No reasonable adjustment for errors could alter it.

#### II. FORESTRY RESEARCH: AN ESTIMATED GLOBAL INVENTORY

We utilized two sources of data in constructing the global estimates of forestry research expenditures and Scientist Man-Years (SMYs) reported in this section. We utilized data from more than 140 survey questionnaires that were returned by forestry research institutions (more than 400 were sent). To facilitate the study and to obtain more accurate data, the questionnaires were translated into several languages. We also obtained estimates from as many secondary sources as possible.

We were able to obtain what we considered to be "hard" estimates of forestry research expenditures and SMYs for 46 countries for the years 1970, 1975, 1980 and 1981. We had questionnaires returned from over 90 countries, but in many cases we could not develop national estimates from these questionnaires because they covered only a single institution in the country.

The 46 countries, however, do conduct 75 percent of the world's forestry research, and if we consider the estimate for the USSR to be a reasonable estimate, the 46 countries plus the USSR conduct close to 90 percent of the world's forestry research.

Data for the 46 countries are reported in Table 1.2/ It shows that among the low-income developing countries for which data are available, India has been spending much more than any other country even though its spending in 1981 was only around \$9.5 million (in 1980 U.S. dollars). Indonesia was spending \$3 million in 1981 and Bangladesh around \$1.3 million. Spending levels in the other three countries in this group were very low. The same pattern holds for SMYs with India having many more scientists engaged in forestry research than any other country in the group, followed by Indonesia and Bangladesh.

Spending among the nine middle-income countries in this sample is dominated by Nigeria where spending levels were over \$10 million in 1981.

Nigeria also had the most dramatic expansion in investment levels between 1970 and 1981 with spending increasing from \$.6 million to \$7.5 million between 1970 and 1975 and to slightly over \$10 million by 1981. Ghana and the Philippines were both spending almost \$3 million in 1981. However, Ghana's spending level doubled between 1970 and 1981 while spending in the Philippines was actually lower in 1975 and 1980 than in 1970, and was only about 15 percent higher in 1981 than it had been in 1970. In general, however, the 1970s was a period of expansion, in terms of expenditures, for the forestry research programs in these countries, although the rate of growth in expenditures was modest in many of the countries.

The interpretation of the SMY data for the middle-income countries is more problematic because these data are probably not as reliable as the

Table 1. Forestry Research Expenditures and SMY's by Country

		Total Expe 00 1980 U.		;)	(P)	SMT h.D ar	l's ad M.	S.)
Country	1970	1975	1980	1981	1970	1975	1980	1981
Low-Income								
Developing Countries		1					1	
Africa					•	İ	1	
Kenya	236.6	254.0	281.8	574.7	•	6	6	7
Malawi	47.2	97.3	92.6	138.8	7	7	5	5
Asia								
Bangladesh	-	573.5	976.9	1,260.8	1	23	39	49
India	5,205.4	4,336.5	7,315.5	9,441.5		1	1	1
Indonesia	879.2	1,655.8	2,502.0	3,010.0	11	24	56	69
Nepa1	156.2	140.3	216.7	283.3	2	2	3	3
Middle-Income Developing Countries								
Oceania							1	
Papua New Guinea*	981.7	1,106.1	1,336.5	1,360.4	4	6	4	4
Latin America						ĺ	1	1
Colombia*	580.9	759.6	990.7	1,124.4	1	1	11	7.5
Paraguay	-	55.1	44.6	89.3	l –	2.5	2.5	2.5
Africa					İ		1	
Congo	381.6	549.6	496.9	828.2	5	7	9	9
Ghana	1,452.9	2,092.7	2,184.9	2,942.9	17	25	30	31
Ivory Coast	636.7	917.1	1,350.5	1,537.7	13	9.5	12	13
Nigeria	644.4	7,477.0	7,490.3	10,207.8	13	38	45	45
Asia		l			]	1	1	
Philippines ·	2,520.6	2,061.7	2,177.3	2,951.6	11	33	38	60
Thailand	618.6	766.0	1,303.6	1,868.3	10	24	41	44
Semi-Industrialized Countries								
Latin America	6 440 4	9 700 5	0.062.0	10,782.6	29	33	52	75
Brazil*	6,449.4	8,799.5	8,062.0		•	1		
Mexico*	868.2	6,749.8	9,835.5	12,850.4 840.4		2	4	12
Suriname	630.9	458.6	840.4	64U.4	2.3	2.3	*	1 3
Africa South Africa	2,657.5	5,046.4	5,228.5	7,488.0	36	53	62	64
Asia		1				1	1	1
Cyprus	12.3	17.1	24.1	69.4	1	1	1	1
Iran*	3,513.6	3,405.6	1,200.0	500.0	10	10	6	
Korea, South	1,793.7	1,904.6	3,664.9	4,112.9	13	24	24	29
Malaysia*	1,755.0	2,814.4	4,811.6	7,466.9		6	13	17

<sup>\*</sup>SMY figures for these countries are probably underestimated, but the question-naire returns did not contain enough data to permit better estimates.

Table 1 (continued)

	((	Total Exp	enditures .S. Dollar	s)	SMY's (Ph.D and M.S.)				
Country	1970	1975	1980	1981	1970	1975	1980	1981	
Semi-Industrialized									
Countries (cont'd.)	l						ļ		
Europe	İ						l		
Greece	2,225.3	2,674.8	3,979.0	3,462.5	20	35	60	50	
	754.3	803.9		1 -	6	8	12	11	
Portugal				1	32	46	53	54	
Spain	5,478.9	5,850.8	4,827.2	4,921.0	32	40	33	34	
Western Europe									
Austria	1,062.5	3,011.5	3,477.9	3,915.7	103	112	113	116	
Belgium	1,078.7	2,450.5	3,398.7	3,740.4	26	31	35	36	
Denmark	2,296.9	3,583.4	3,970.2	4,358.9	46	44	47	44	
Federal Republic	15 500 4		22 250 5			040	250		
of Germany	15,733.1	32,052.4	31,358.5	34,384.0	230	240	250	24:	
Fin1and	18,416.1	16,484.8	15,459.1	16,880.4	107	120	170	180	
France	8,653.2	19,096.5	22,665.3		99	123	151	161	
Ireland	1,255.7		•		5	10	15	12	
Italy	8,250.7	, -	10,651.2	15,471.5	59	79	103	96	
Netherlands	6,877.4	1 '			118	127	147	150	
Norway	6,217.9				126	120	122	124	
Sweden	21,420.5				309	401	490	513	
Switzerland	5,312.4	13,743.7			215	215	285	295	
United Kingdom	23,386.1	25,246.0		•	286	310	312	297	
Other Developed									
Countries			ł						
Australia	20,983.0	27,237.0	27,519.0	30,823.5	102	156	200	200	
Japan	23,649.1	34,598.6			649	858	953	962	
Canada	109,489.2			133,042.7	1345	1277	1283	1264	
United States				196,800.0					
Planned Economies									
Hungary	753.7	1,025.7	1,289.9	1,347.5	19	24	33	3:	
Romania	2,168.7	1,379.7			100	120	127	130	
Yugoslavia	4,216.3	7,100.7			60	105	105	9:	

expenditure data. As indicated in Table 1, there are a number of countries for which the SMY estimates appear to be too low, but the available questionnaire returns do not contain enough data to permit better estimates. The numbers of scientists engaged in forestry research were highest in the Philippines, followed by Nigeria, Thailand and Ghana. Judd, Boyce and Evenson (1983) found that costs per scientist in agricultural research were high in Africa relative to Asia. A comparison of these figures for the countries in this limited sample suggests this may be the case in forestry research as well. (Thousands of dollars per SMY in 1981 were \$92.0 for the Congo; \$94.9 for Ghana; \$118.3 for the Ivory Coast; \$226.8 for Nigeria; \$49.2 for the Philippines; and \$42.5 for Thailand).

Brazil and Mexico were investing the most in 1981 in forestry research among the semi-industrialized countries, \$10.8 million and \$12.9 million respectively. South Africa and Malaysia were both investing around \$7.5 million, Spain approximately \$5 million, South Korea \$4.1 million, and Greece \$3.5 million. The remaining countries in this group were investing less than \$1 million in 1981. Mexico, as was true for Nigeria, experienced a dramatic increase in expenditure levels between 1970 and 1975. Expenditures increased from \$.9 million to \$6.7 million. Between 1975 and 1981, Mexico's expenditures almost doubled, rising from \$6.7 million to \$12.9 million. With the exception of Iran, Portugal and Spain, the countries in this group all increased investments in forestry research between 1970 and 1981.

The developed countries of Western Europe and the rest of the world generally have had much higher investment levels than the countries of other income groups. Canada and the United States had the highest levels of expenditures in 1981, \$133.0 million and \$196.8 million respectively. Japan, with expenditures of \$66.2 million in 1981, and Sweden, whose expenditures

were \$57.3 million in 1981, also have substantial research programs. These four countries also have the highest number of SMYs. With a few exceptions, the period between 1970 and 1981 was one of program expansion for the developed countries. Japan's expenditures were 2.8 times higher in 1981 than they had been in 1970, France's were 3.3 times higher, Sweden's 2.7 times higher, and Norway's 2.2 times higher. Expenditures generally increased more slowly in the other developed countries.

Although hard data were not achieved for more than these 46 countries, these hard data can be "expanded" to global estimates in a reasonable way. This is done in Table 2. The procedure used was to first obtain data on the total number of publications in forest science in the Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau (CAB) data base for the period 1972-82. This number was available for all countries (see the Appendix). Next, for each region (see Table 2) the average ratio of expenditures to publications for those countries with "hard" expenditure data was computed. A common ratio was used for all African regions, another for all Latin American regions and another for West, South and Southeast Asia. These ratios were then used to "predict" expenditures from the publications data for the missing countries. For consistency, ratios for expenditures/publication for forestry were compared with similar ratios for agricultural research. The resultant ratios of expenditures to the value of product for each country are also checked to be sure that there were not any unusual numbers. The SMY data for many regions was not sufficiently reliable to attempt to estimate a global SMY inventory.

The resultant global forestry research expenditure inventory (and partial SMY inventory) is summarized by region in Table 2. In 1981, world-wide expenditures on forestry research were estimated to be just over one billion dollars. This represents almost double the amount spent in 1970.

Table 2. Forestry Research Expenditures and SMY's by Major Geographical Region

			penditures U.S. Dollar	rs)	(Ph	SM? .D. aı	l's nd M.	5.)
Region	1970	1975	1980	1981	1970	1975	1980	1981
Northern Europe	72,993.2	111,072.1	115,012.0	126,851.2	879	1005	1156	1170
Central Europe			87,196.8		791	848	981	1003
Southern Europe	16,709.2	17,834.6	19,945.4	24,553.8	117	168	228	211
Total Western Europe		214,457.9			1787	2021	2365	2384
Eastern Europe	36,783.2		70,287.3		F			1
USSR	52,550.9	69,711.1	100,417.1	120,945.9	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Total Eastern Europe/USSR	89,334.2	111,505.6	170,704.4	205,602.5	n.a.	n,a.	n.a.	n.a.
North America	240,078.0	260,664.6	291,368.7	329,842.7	2872	2872	2923	2937
Oceania	36,233.1	46,864.3	47,568.4	53,143.8	175	268	340	340
Total North America and Oceania	276,311.1	307,528.9	338,937.1	382,986.5	2112	2292	2409	2456
Temperate South America	2,346.5	4,608.6	5,416.5	7,025.4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Tropical South America	7,987.2	10,444.0	10,314.1	13,289.9	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Caribbean and Central America	969.1	7,533.8	10,977.9	14,343.0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Total Latin America	11,302.8	22,586.4	26,708.5	34,658.4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
North Africa	1,356.8	1,679.5	1,790.0	3,411.2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
West Africa	3,575.4	12,663.1	13,223.4	17,806.0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
East Africa	2,840.9	3,516.6	3,747.8	7,142.3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Southern Africa	3,635.3	6,903.2	7,152.3	10,243.2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Total Africa	11,408.4	24,762.4	25,913.5	38,599.7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
West Asia	6,786.8							
South Asia	8,273.1		13,129.8		t		E .	
Southeast Asia	5,773.4		-			1		
East Asia	26,570.2		71,929.9	-				
Total Asia	47,403.5	59,285.9	102,253.5	112,351.8	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Total All Regions	564,179.4	740,127.1	886,671.2	1,024,563.6	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

North America and Oceania accounted for 37 percent of world expenditures in 1981, down from 49 percent in 1970. Western Europe's share did not change significantly between 1981 and 1970 (24% vs. 23%). The other major region, Eastern Europe--USSR, expanded its share from 16 percent to 20 percent over this period.

The low-income regions of the world account for relatively small shares of global forestry research spending. Latin America increased its share from 2 to 3 percent from 1970 to 1981. Africa increased its share from 2 to 4 percent, and Asia (excluding Japan) increased its share from 4 to 5 percent. It is clear that the developed countries of the world dominate investment in forestry research.

#### III. COMPARATIVE INDICATORS: FORESTRY AND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

Tables 1 and 2 provided a broad overview of the forestry research system. Tables 3 - 5 report three comparative indicators of research systems that allow comparisons between forestry and agricultural research and between forestry research and economic variables. These are: (1) expenditures as a percent of the value of production; (2) SMYs per 10 million dollars of product; and (3) expenditures per SMY. These indicators are computed for 42 countries for which there was "hard" data for both forestry and agricultural research. (Nepal, The Congo, Suriname and Papua New Guinea were included in the forestry research data set, but there were no comparable agricultural research data available for these countries).

Tables 3 and 3A report expenditures as a percent of the value of production and as a percent of the value of production plus imports, by major geographic region and by income group. 3/ The countries in all regions and income groups are consistently spending a larger share of the value of production on agricultural research than on forestry research. This

Table 3. Research Expenditures as a Percent of the Value of Production, by Region and Income Group for Forestry Research and Agricultural Research

	Expendi	stry Res tures as roduction	a % of	Agricultural Researc Expenditures as a % o Production				
Region/Income Group	1970	1975	1980	1970	1975	1980		
Africa (6)	.071	.119	.122	.765	.764	1.272		
Asia (10)	.056	.079	.075	.983	.998	1.117		
Latin America (4)	.060	.068	.053	.510	.648	.887		
Europe (19)	.272	.299	.246	1.036	1.010	1.214		
North America/Oceania (3)	.316	.291	.269	1.491	1.352	1.234		
Low-Income Developing (5)	.019	.023	.019	.222	.230	.451		
Middle-Income Developing (7)	.046	.077	.059	.553	.508	.863		
Semi-Industrialized (10)	.096	.100	.070	.612	.652	.816		
Western Europe (13)	.281	.329	.267	1.106	1.128	1.456		
Other Developed (4)	.272	.266	.253	1.723	1.614	1.515		
Planned (3)	.166	.133	.148	.853	.795	.690		

Table 3a. Research Expenditures as a Percent of the Value of Production Plus Imports

Region/Income Group	Expen of To	stry Res ditures tal Prod us Impor	as a % luction	Agricultural Researce Expenditures as a % of Total Production Plus Imports				
Region/Income Group	1970	1975	1980	1970	1975	1980		
Africa (6)	.068	.114	.118	.695	.680	1.106		
Asia (10)	.052	.072	.068	.813	.751	1.117		
Latin America (4)	.058	.067	.052	.477	.584	.773		
Europe (19)	.213	.235	.188	.635	.553	.645		
North America/Oceania (3)	.297	.271	.250	1.264	1.126	1.034		
Low-Income Developing (5)	.019	.023	.019	.206	.209	.419		
Middle-Income Developing (7)	.045	.075	.058	.512	.462	.754		
Semi-Industrialized (10)	.091	.093	.066	.523	.524	.630		
Western Europe (13)	.217	.255	.199	.622	.545	.672		
Other Developed (4)	.250	.241	.226	1.398	1.239	1.177		
Planned (3)	.146	.116	.135	.747	.691	.600		

Note: Number of countries in parentheses.

Table 4. SMY's per 10 Million (Constant 1980) Dollars of Production, by Region and Income Group for Forestry Research and Agricultural Research

	Dollar	per 10 M s of For roduction	estry	SMY's per 10 Million Dollars of Agricultural Production				
Region/Income Group	1970	1975	1980	1970	1975	1980		
Africa (6)	.112	.103	.117	1.135	1.395	1.985		
Asia (10)	.126	.192	.127	2.548	2.547	2.744		
Latin America (4)	.067	.077	.059	1.324	1.377	1.556		
Europe (19)	.394	.303	.274	1.735	1.732	1.920		
North America/Oceania (3)	.359	.306	.263	1.123	1.068	.969		
Low-Income Developing (5)	.053	.092	.073	.685	.831	1.251		
Middle-Income Developing (7)	.050	.070	.069	1.340	1.410	1.758		
Semi-Industrialized (10)	.093	.108	.080	1.391	1.323	1.468		
Western Europe (13)	.405	.310	.281	1.441	1.516	1.833		
Other Developed (4)	.346	.320	.267	2.049	1.960	1.861		
Planned (3)	.415	.348	.286	3.152	2.850	2.500		

Table 4a. SMY's per 10 Million (Constant 1980) Dollars of Production Plus Imports

	Dollar P	per 10 M s of For roduction us Impor	estry n	SMY's per 10 Million Dollars of Agricultura  Production Plus Imports				
Region/Income Group	1970	1975	1980	1970	1975	1980		
Africa (6)	.107	.099	.114	1.030	1.241	1.648		
Asia (10)	.119	.175	.115	2.107	1.916	2.067		
Latin America (4)	.065	.075	.058	1.239	1.240	1.356		
Europe (19)	.308	.238	.209	1.063	.948	1.020		
North America/Oceania (3)	.338	.286	.245	.952	.889	.812		
Low-Income Developing (5)	.053	.091	.072	.637	.758	1.164		
Middle-Income Developing (7)	.049	.075	.067	1.238	1.281	1.536		
Semi-Industrialized (10)	.088	.100	.075	1.190	1.062	1.134		
Western Europe (13)	.312	.240	.209	.811	.733	.846		
Other Developed (4)	.319	.291	.238	1.662	1.504	1.445		
Planned (3)	.366	.304	.261	2.759	2.479	2.172		

Note: Number of countries in parentheses

Table 5. Expenditures per SMY (000 1980 U.S. Dollars), by Region and Income Group for Forestry Research and Agricultural Research

		Forestr	<b>y</b>	Agriculture				
Region/Income Group	1970	1975	1980	1970	1975	1980		
Africa (6)	63.411	114.690	103.648	67.418	54.796	67.102		
Asia (10)	43.921	40.919	58.829	38.588	39.179	40.729		
Latin America (4)	90.000	89.075	89.151	38.539	47.071	56.984		
Europe (19)	68.953	98.663	89.676	59.712	58.306	63.234		
North America/Oceania (3)	87.781	95.080	102.109	132.756	126.626	127.329		
Low-Income Developing (5)	35.878	24.882	26.848	32.401	27.631	36.008		
Widdle-Income Developing (7)	91.607	100.607	86.330	41.412	36.066	49.088		
Semi-Industrialized (10)	102.919	93.102	88.182	43.988	49.330	55.508		
Western Europe (13)	69.384	106.174	95.027	76.768	74.413	79.477		
Other Developed (4)	78.584	82.990	94.418	84.087	82.329	81.430		
Planned (3)	39.881	_		1	27.885	27.617		

Note: Number of countries in parentheses.

percentage for forestry research was lower for almost all regions and income groups in 1980 than it had been in 1970 (Africa, Asia, and the middle-income countries showed slight increases while the percentage was unchanged for the low-income developing countries.) Several regions and groups -- Latin America, Europe, Asia, low and middle-income developing countries, semi-industrialized countries, and Western Europe -- had an increase in this percentage for forestry research between 1970 and 1975, followed by a decline in 1980. In contrast, the percentage for agricultural research was higher in 1980 than in 1970 with few exceptions (North America/Oceania, other developed countries, and planned economies). The addition of imports to the value of production has a somewhat greater effect in agriculture than in forestry and has a much greater effect among the developed countries and regions than among the developing countries and regions.

For both forestry and agricultural research, there is a positive correlation between level of development and the proportion of production devoted to research. In both cases, Western Europe and the other developed countries are spending a much larger percentage of the value of production on research than are the low and middle-income developing or semi-industrialized countries. The differences between the developing and the developed countries are greater in the case of forestry research than for agricultural research. In addition, the percentages themselves are very low for forestry, e.g., in 1981 Western Europe was spending not quite three-tenths of one percent of production on forestry research while the proportion was close to one and one-half percent for agricultural research. By comparison, in 1981, the low-income developing countries were spending approximately two one-hundredths of a percent of the value of production on forestry research and close to one-half of one percent on agricultural research.

SMYs per \$10 million of production and of production plus imports are given in Tables 4 and 4A. As was the case for expenditure intensities, manpower intensities are consistently and significantly higher for agricultural research than for forestry research. Among the regions, Europe and North America/Oceania had the highest manpower intensities for forestry research in 1981; Latin America had the lowest.

Over time, manpower intensities in agricultural research have tended to increase for most regions and income groups, with the exception of North America/Oceania, other developed countries, and planned economies. In forestry research, on the other hand, manpower intensities have either declined or increased only slightly. SMYs per \$10 million of forestry production decreased between 1970 and 1980 for all regions except Africa and Asia and for all income groups except low and middle-income developing countries. As was true in the case of expenditure intensities, forestry manpower intensities often increased between 1970 and 1975, only to decline between 1975 and 1980.

The final table in this section presents expenditures per SMY for forestry and agricultural research. The forestry data for Table 5 were taken directly from Table 1, with modifications. In the case of those countries where SMYs were possibly underestimated, an upper limit of \$90,000 per SMY was established before averages were calculated. This adjustment was applied to Colombia, Brazil, Mexico, Iran, and Malaysia.

The data in Table 5 show that expenditures per SMY have generally been higher for forestry research than for agricultural research. North America/Oceania is the major exception to this. In 1980, expenditures per SMY in both forestry and agricultural research were lowest for Asia. In forestry research, they were highest for Africa; in agricultural research, they were

highest for North America/Oceania. The breakdown by income group shows that, in forestry research, expenditures per SMY have consistently been the lowest for the low-income developing countries. By 1980, the other income groups (with the exception of the planned economies) were all spending close to the same amount per SMY. The pattern shown by the figures for agricultural research is one in which expenditures per SMY consistently increase with income level.

#### IV. EXPERIMENT STATION CHARACTERISTICS

The 140-plus completed questionnaire returns provided station characteristic data that are informative. They are summarized by region and by level of development in Tables 6 - 9. The reader should note that the "sample" of returned questionnaires for a region or group is not necessarily "representative." Some selectivity bias is likely in terms of the willingness to return questionnaires. Nonetheless, given the absence of any prior data on these characteristics, they deserve discussion.

Table 6 reports the distribution of research expenditures by three broad categories of research. The first is traditional forestry research directed to the production of management and biological research of trees. The second is research directed to the conversion of primary forest products into processed forest products. The third is a more general category covering ecological studies, wildlife, recreation, marketing and other studies. The table shows that the proportion of spending on research directed to products has tended to fall in most regions (except North America where it has a low proportion) over time. This has been offset by rises in the proportion of spending on traditional forestry research in the developing countries and by rises in the other research in the semi-industrialized and developed countries. This trend for the developing countries is probably related to the

Table 6. Percentage Distribution of Research Expenditures for Individual Forestry Research Facilities, by Region and Income Group

		For	stry			Proc	lucts		Other				
Region/Income Group	1970	1975	1980	1981	1970	1975	1980	1981	1970	1975	1980	1981	
Africa	42.5	45.2	42.2	44.6	38.6	35.2	41.3	39.0	18.8	19.6	16.5	16.4	
Asia	48.6	58.3	48.1	50.3	27.2	21.2	19.4	20.0	24.2	20.5	32.5	29.7	
Latin America	89.3	75.0	72.9	71.2	.33	2.6	3.1	2.2	10.3	22.4	24.0	26.6	
Europe	54.5	54.3	53.3	54.9	36.0	35.1	29.2	28.7	9.5	10.6	17.6	16.4	
North America/Oceania	70.9	68.9	74.4	73.0	8.0	12.1	11.3	9.2	21.1	19.0	14.3	17.8	
Low-Income Developing	37.8	52.4	41.4	49.3	53.4	35.3	48.9	42.8	8.9	12.3	9.8	7.9	
Middle-Income Developing	16.0	44.7	48.0	53.8	70.6	43.2	40.3	35.1	13.4	12.0	11.7	11.1	
Semi-Industrialized	61.0	64.8	64.0	64.2	14.7	12.5	11.1	9.9	24.3	22.7	24.9	25.9	
Western Europe	54.6	54.1	53.0	54.7	36.1	35.6	29.6	29.0	9.4	10.4	17.5	16.3	
Other Developed	71.3	68.9	69.5	68.8	7.4	11.3	9.7	8.5	21.3	19.8	20.8	22.	
Planned	53.0	61.6	61.7	60.9	35.4	21.8	18.2	19.4	11.6	16.6	20.1	19.3	

Table 7. Percentage Distribution of Personnel for Individual Forestry Research Institutes, by Region and Income Group

	Adı	Administrators				Ph.D.			M.S.				В	S.		Technicians				
Region/Income Group	1970	1975	1980	1981	1970	1975	1980	1981	1970	1975	1980	1981	1970	1975	1980	1981	1970	1975	1980	1981
Africa	18.5	21.4	28.8	25.5	8.3	5.5	4.7	5.2	9.6	8.9	9.2	9.1	19.1	14.6	9.5	10.6	44.6	49.6	47.9	49.6
											10.3									
Latin America	18.0	20.0	16.3	34.4	12.0	10.5	14.9	3.4	22.0	14.7	16.8	4.9	42.0	38.9	34.2	38.4	6.0	15.8	17.8	18.7
Europe	10.4	11.1	10.6	11.3	17.6	17.6	17.9	18.7	15.0	15.4	17.6	17.6	14.0	14.3	15.0	13.9	43.0	41.5	39.0	38.5
North America/Oceania	13.4	15.9	15.7	14.7	11.6	11.5	13.3	13.6	11.2	10.1	10.6	9.6	20.3	16.4	16.9	18.2	43.5	46.1	43.5	44.0
Low-Income Developing	18.8	19.2	23.0	22.5	.36	1.4	3.5	3.9	2.4	4.8	8.4	9.8	10.4	12.5	15.5	14.6	68.1	62.1	49.5	49.2
Middle-Income Developing																				
											9.2									
											17.5									
							ł .				10.9		1	•				4		
Planned	7.0	9.9	9.5	9.6	9.9	10.1	14.8	14.9	16.3	17.8	20.9	21.2	31.5	29.7	23.6	23.4	35.2	32.6	31.3	30.9

Table 8. Percentage Breakdown of 1981 Research Budget by Mission for Individual Forestry Research Facilities, by Region and Income Group

			Region					Income	Group		
	Africa	Asia	Latin America	Europe	North America/ Oceania	Low- Income Develop- ing	Middle— Income Develop— ing	Semi- Indus- trialized	Western Europe	Other Developed	P1anned
Ecosystems	13.7	3.0	9.5	7.5	6.0	3.2	2.4	10.0	7.6	6.0	7.0
Land Classi- fication	1.9	4.0	.05	2.7	8.1	1.6	.33	2.5	2.7	8.2	4.2
General Silviculture	15.7	10.7	7.8	12.8	14.8	12,2	13.7	10.0	12.9	15.0	9.6
Tropical Silviculture Other	9.4	4.2	7.0	.16	.99	7.7	24.1	2.2	.17	.57	.09
otner Silviculture Total	.18	2.9	.59	2.3	.62	3.1	4.6	1.7	2.3	.11	2.8
Silviculture	40.9	24.8	25.0	25.6	30.6	27.7	45.2	26.4	25,6	29.9	23.6
Physiology	1.4	1.1	1.6	3.1	5.5	.42	1.1	.88	3.2	5.6	1.6
Breeding	12.1	11.3	10.5	11.4	11.3	4.7	21.3	8.6	11.5	11.6	7.8
Pathology	1.7	15.1	3.2	3.8	9.6	1.3	4.7	12.0	3.7	9.1	4.5
Entomology	1.6	1.7	3.2	3.3	7.3	1.6	4.5	1.8	3.3	6.8	3.8
Other Protection	.13	2.8	0 -	1.5	3.4	.37	0	1.7	1.6	3.4	.14
Total Protection	17.0	32.0	18.6	23.1	37.0	8.5	31.6	24.9	23.3	36.6	17.9
Operations	.59	3.4	10.9	7.7	3.0	1.6	.01	5.0	7.3	3.5	19.7
Mensuration	5.2	5.6	15.6	5.9	4.4	3.7	5.6	8,8	5.8	4.7	9.2
Inventory	.28	1.9	.04	2.9	1.2	5.2	.50	.48	3.0	1.2	1.8
Economics	.38	1.9	1.0	3.3	1.1	.53	1.1	.35	3.3	1.5	4.6
Other Planning		.43	0	.62	.07	0	0	.07	.59	.16	1.7
Total Planning	5.8	9.9	16.7	12.8	6.7	9.4	7.3	9.7	12.6	7.5	17.3
Wood Quality Wood	10.7	10.0	4.4	5.4	14.5	18.6	5.0	8.5	5.5	14.3	3.7
Processing	11.6	9.8	1.8	7.4	2.3	15.9	3.9	9.9	7.4	2.1	8.8
Paper	6.8	2.6	3.2	10.1	0	2.2	.02	5.6	10.4	.11	.60
Other Products Total Products		4.9 27.4	.30 9.7	4.6 27.5	1.3 18.1	15.8 52.4	4.5 13.4	1.7 25.8	4.6 27.9	1.2 17.7	3.7 16.8
Remote Sensing	2.7	.60	2.0	3,1	14.6	0	.26	6.5	2.0	3,1	1.5
Research	.18	.42	.49	0	4.5	.32	.12	1.7	.51	.07	.14
Other General	0	1,5	.82	1.5	0	0	2.1	.06	.75	1.6	3.1
Total General	2.8	2.6	3.3	4.5	19.1	.32	2.5	8.2	3.3	4.8	4.6

Table 9. Percentage Breakdown of 1981 Budget by Type of Tree and by Funding Source for Individual Forestry Research Facilities, by Region and Income Group

			Region					Income	Group		_
	Africa	Asia	Latin America	Europe	North America/ Oceania	Low- Income Develop- ing	Middle- Income Develop- ing	Semi- Indus- trialized	Western Europe	Other Developed	P1anned
Type of Tree											
Broadleaf	37.9	42.6	38.2	28.8	29.3	54.4	63.1	44.9	28.1	25.0	53,5
Coniferous	57.4	52,5	45.9	62.8	70.1	37.9	27.9	43.9	63.8	73.6	25.9
Palm	1.0	.20	.10	.57	0	.95	1.7	.10	.58	.10	.20
Other Species	.06	1.1	15.8	.63	0	.35	.11	10.9	.62	.004	1.7
Non-Specific	3.6	3.6	.002	7.2	.62	6.4	7.2	.20	6.9	1.3	18.7
Funding Source											
Government	68.4	94.6	84.4	73.5	95.3	95.9	83.6	84.8	74.1	94.7	56.4
Industry	27.7	3.3	5.8	23.6	4.6	0	13.7	7.5	23.0	5.2	42.4
Other Private	2.7	1.9	.87	2.3	.09	0	1.3	2.1	2.4	.10	0
International Organizations	1 7 7 1	.12	8.9	.60	.03	4.1	1.4	5.6	.58	0	1.2

fact that many of these countries are reaching the end of the period of harvesting existing stands and are beginning to be more concerned about long-run production issues. In developed countries, the ecology, wildlife and recreation issues have demanded more attention in recent years.

Table 7 reports the distribution of research staff by administrators, scientists with Ph.D., M.S., and B.S. degrees, and technicians. The table shows that developing countries have relatively high proportions of administrative personnel and that in low-income and semi-industrialized countries this proportion has risen somewhat. The proportion of scientists with Ph.D. degrees has risen in the developing countries since 1970 (as expenditures in developing countries tripled). This proportion fell in the semi-industrialized countries largely because of an apparent increase in administrative personnel in 1981. The proportion of scientists with M.S. degrees fell. It appears that developing countries have been achieving an upgrading of staff skills while expanding their small systems. Low-income developing countries appear to place the highest reliance on technicians but are moving toward other countries in this regard.

Table 8 reports the distribution of the research budget by the mission orientation of the research in 1981. (This is not available for earlier years.) A number of differences in mission emerge by group. It was noted earlier that the low-income developing countries are highly oriented toward forest products research. That is shown to be the case here as well. Accordingly, they have less emphasis on silviculture, entomology and pathology. The relative absence of work on tropical silviculture by the countries of Europe, North America, and Oceania, and by the planned economies is notable indicating that little of the work on silviculture in these countries is relevant to the low-income tropical countries. Work on

physiology, economics, land classification and remote sensing tends to be concentrated in higher-income countries. Work on the pulp and paper industry is also concentrated in a few European and semi-industrialized countries. Low-income countries are engaged in some work in this field, but even though all of these countries import paper, they are not emphasizing research on paper products. In general, work in the area of pulp and paper requires expensive, sophisticated equipment and needs the support of industries. These industries are non-existent in virtually all of the developing countries.

Table 9 reports distributions of research work according to type of tree and funding source. Most developing countries in general have few native conifers that are used commercially and, therefore, do less work on coniferous trees and more on palm trees than is the case for developed countries.

Funding sources show, rather interestingly, that international agencies tend to provide more support for semi-industrialized country research and neglect the middle-income developing countries. The private sector is not supporting research in the low-income developing countries, but does support some work in middle-income developing countries (especially in Africa) and is quite important for support of research in Europe.

## V. IMPLICATIONS FOR POLICY-MAKING AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER DATA COLLECTION

This inventory is incomplete. For many countries there are no hard data. Some of our data are also subject to problems of definition. There are problems of currency conversion. Nonetheless, the hard data coverage is sufficiently complete that we believe our estimates of regional aggregates are quite reasonable. The broad patterns shown by our data are very likely to be revealed in better data. These patterns have substantial relevance for policy-making.

The major pattern shown by our data is that there is a low level of

investment in forestry research in low-income developing countries and in the tropics generally. The World Bank and other international agencies concerned with forest productivity can hardly be complacent about the state of forestry research in the developing world. Research spending is low and institutional development is poor in most low-income countries. When we compare the development of research institutions in forestry with the development of agricultural research institutions in developing countries we find great contrast. Many countries with significant forestry sectors appear not to have begun the complex process of building research institutions to address problems of production management, harvesting, and marketing of forest products. Some of these countries, on the other hand, have made progress in building agricultural research institutions. Indeed many developing countries have not only built agricultural research institutions but have realized significant benefits from this investment. 4/

We do not suggest that the same institutional, social, and economic mechanisms that have helped to create the research capacity in agriculture will also stimulate the development of research capacity in forestry. Indeed, one of the reasons for compiling data of the type reported in this paper is to enable us to obtain a better understanding of the factors that influence both public agencies and private firms to invest in research and to seek productivity improvement.

The state of development of forestry research institutions in developing countries today is probably comparable to that existing for agriculture three or four decades ago. If the substantial expansion and development of agricultural research institutions in these countries is at all indicative of what may occur in forestry, it will be important that we obtain better data to

guide that development. Our present effort illustrates the disparities in research system development between agriculture and forestry and between developed and developing countries. International aid agencies may not be fully aware of the extent of these disparities.

We anticipate that in the future the building of research capacity in developing countries, and in the tropics generally, will take on more importance for many countries and in international agencies. Better data will aid the design and implementation of research programs. Forestry research programs will differ from agricultural research programs in many respects. Nonetheless, there are lessons in the agricultural research development experience. This is especially the case in the agroforestry field where, in spite of widespread concern by forestry scientists about the effects of the conversion of forest land to agricultural land, little investment in research has been made.

We offer these limited data in part to show that international comparisons are useful. Our broader purpose is to stimulate a process of improvements in the data base dealing with activities and institutions that are important to further development.

## FOOTNOTES

- 1. See Y. Kislev and R. E. Evenson, 1975, James K. Boyce and R. E. Evenson, 1975, and M. Ann Judd, James K. Boyce and R. E. Evenson, 1983.
- 2. The five country groups are (1) Industrialized countries members of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, except for Greece, Portugal, Spain and Turkey; (2) Planned Economies Eastern Europe, USSR, and China; (3) Semi-industrialzied countries other countries with annual per capita income above \$1050; (4) Middle-income developing countries other countries with annual per capita income between \$360 and \$1050; (5) Low-income developing countries other countries with annual per capita income below \$360. See World Development Report (Washington, D. C., World Bank, 1980). p. viii. The term "developing countries" is used to refer to countries in the latter three groups.
- 3. Appendix Tables 1, 1A, 2, 2A and 3 report data on expenditure and manpower intensities and expenditures per SMY by country.
- 4. See Ruttan, 1984, for a survey of studies of returns to research in agriculture.

#### REFERENCES

- 1/ Bengtsson, B. and G. Tedla (eds.), <u>Strengthening National Agricultural Research</u>, Swedish Agency for Research Cooperation with Developing Countries, 1980.
- 2/ Boyce, James K. and Robert E. Evenson, <u>National and International Agricultural Research and Extension Programs</u>, New York: The Agricultural Development Council, 1975.
- 2/ Chaparro, Fernando, Gabriel Montes, Ricardo Torres, Alvaro Balcab zar, and Hernab n Jaramillo, "Research Priorities and Resource Allocation in Agriculture: The Case of Colombia," in Douglas Daniels and Barry Nestel (eds.), Resource Allocation to Agricultural Research, IDRC-182e, Ottawa: International Development Research Centre, 1981.
- 4/ Directorate General of Forestry, "Forestry in Indonesia," 1972.
- 5/ FAO, Yearbook of Forest Products, Rome, 1980.
- 6/ Gill, G.J., "Operational Funding Constraints on Agricultural Research in Bangladesh," BARC Agricultural Economics and Rural Social Science Papers, No. 9, Dacca, April 1981.
- Idachaba, F.S., "Agricultural Research Resource Allocation Priorities: The Nigerian Experience," in Douglas Daniels and Barry Nestel (eds.), Resource Allocation to Agricultural Research, IDRC-182e, Ottawa: International Development Research Centre, 1981.
- 8/ Isarangkura, R., "Inventory of Agricultural Research Expenditures and Manpower in Thailand," in Douglas Daniels and Barry Nestel (eds.), Resource Allocation to Agricultural Research, IDRC-182e. Ottawa: International Development Research Centre, 1981.
- 9/ Judd, M. Ann, James K. Boyce and Robert E. Evenson, "Investing in Agricultural Supply," Discussion Paper No. 442, Yale University, Economic Growth Center, 1983.
- 10/ Kislev, Yoav and Robert E. Evenson, "Investment in Agricultural Research and Extension: A Survey of International Data," Economic Development and Cultural Change 23 (April 1975): 507-521.
- 11/ Mustapha, N.I.B.M., "The Agricultural Research Resource Allocation System in Peninsular Malaysia," in Douglas Daniels and Barry Nestel (eds.),

  Resource Allocation to Agricultural Research, IDRC-182e, Ottawa:

  International Development Research Centre, 1981.
- 12/ Philippine Council for Agriculture and Resources Research, "Research Budget Recommendation for CY 1978," Los Ban<sup>b</sup>os, Laguna.
- 13/ Philippine Council for Agriculture and Resources Research, "Framework of the National Research Program on Agriculture and Natural Resources for CY 1981," Los Ban<sup>8</sup> os, Laguna.

- 14/ Report of the Forest Administration of West Malaysia for the Year 1970, Kuala Lumpur.
- 15/ Ruttan, Vernon W., Agricultural Research Policy, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1984.
- 16/ Sharma, R.P., "Agricultural Research Resource Allocation in Nepal," in Douglas Daniels and Barry Nestel (eds.), Resource Allocation to

  Agricultural Research, IDRC-182e, Ottawa: International Development Research Centre, 1981.
- 17/ USDA, Science and Education Administration, <u>Inventory of Agricultural</u>
  Research, various issues.
- 18/ USDA, Forest Service, <u>Forestry Activities and Deforestation Problems in Developing Countries</u>, Report to Office of Science and Technology, Development Support Bureau, Agency for International Development, July 1980.

# International Forestry Research Survey: Questionnaire Returns

# <u>Africa</u>

Congo:

Centre Technique Forestier Fropical, Pointe Noire

Ivory Coast:

Centre Technique Forestier Tropical, Abidjan

Kenya:

Forestry Research Department, Kikuyu

Malawi:

Forestry Research Institute of Malawi, Zomba

Nigeria:

Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan

South Africa:

South African Forestry Research Institute, Pretoria

National Timber Research Institute, Pretoria

Faculty of Forestry, University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch Wattle Research Institute, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg

Sudan:

Silvicultural Research Section, ARC Ministry of Agriculture,

Food and Natural Resources, Wad Medani

#### Asia

China:

Beijing College of Forestry, Beijing

Cyprus:

Department of Forests, Ministry of Agriculture and Natural

Resources, Nicosia

India:

Conservator of Forests, Research and Development, Lucknow,

Uttar Pradesh

Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi, Kerala

Forestry Department, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University,

Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

Department of Forestry, Mimachal Pradesh Agricultural

University, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

Department of Forestry, Punjab Agricultural University,

Ludhiana, Punjab

Indonesia:

Forest Products Research and Development Center, Bogor SEAMEO-BIOTROP Regional Center for Tropical Biology, Bogor

Forest Research Institute, Bogor

Iran:

Faculty of Natural Resources, Tehran University, Karadj

Israel:

Forestry Division, Agricultural Research Organization,

Hasharon

Japan:

Department of Forestry, Ehime University, Matsuyama Department of Forestry, Miyazaki University, Miyazaki Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Ibaraki

Department of Forestry, Tokyo University, Tokyo Kyoto University Forest, Kyoto University, Kyoto

Oji Institute for Tree Improvement, Oji Paper Co., Ltd., Hokkaido

Department of Forestry, Yamagata University, Tsuruoka Department of Forestry, Nagoya University, Nagoya

Department of Forestry, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima Department of Forestry, Shinshu University, Nagano Department of Forestry, Niigata University, Niigata Department of Forestry, Gifu University, Gifu City Department of Forestry, Tokyo University of Agriculture

and Technology, Fuchu, Tokyo

Department of Forestry, University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa

Korea, South:

Institute of Forest Genetics, Gyeonggido

Department of Forestry, Seoul National University, Suwon

Malaysia:

Forest Research Center, Sandakan, Sabah

Forest Department, Kuching, Sarawak

Faculty of Forestry, Universiti Pertanian Malaysia, Serdang,

Selangor

Nepal:

Department of Forestry, Forest Survey and Research

Department, Kathmandu

Philippines:

Forest Products Research and Development Institute, Laguna

Thailand:

Royal Forest Department, Bangkok

Faculty of Forestry, Kasetsart University, Bangkok

#### Latin America

Brazil:

Laboratório de Produtos Florestais, Campus UnB, Brasília

Departamento de Engenharia Florestal, Universidade

Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa

Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais, Departamento de Silvicultura da E.S.A.L.Q.-USP, Piracicaba, São Paulo Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias do Pará, Escola de Florestas,

Belém, Pará

Colombia:

Instituto de Desarollo de Los Recursos Naturales

Renovables, Bogota

Departamento de Recursos Forestales, Universidad Nacional

de Colombia, Medellin

Facultad de Ingenieria Forestal, Universidad del Tolima,

Ibaque

Costa Rica:

Departamento de Ingenieria Forestal, Institute Tecnologico

de Costa Rica, Cartago

Mexico:

Institute Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Coyoacan

Paraquay:

Carrera Ingenieria Forestal, Universidad Nacional de

Asuncion, Asuncion

Peru:

Programa Académico de Ingenieria Forestal, Universidad

Nacional de la Amazonia Peruana, Iquitos

Suriname:

Center for Agricultural Research in Suriname, Paramaribo

# North America/Oceania

Australia:

Forestry Section, School of Agriculture and Forestry,
University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria
Forestry Commission of New South Wales, Sydney
CSIRO Division of Forest Research, Canberra, A.C.T.
Department of Forestry, Australian National University,

Canberra, A.C.T.
Tasmanian Forestry Commission, Hobart, Tasmania
Department of Forestry, Brisbane, Queensland

Woods and Forests Department, Mount Gambier, South Australia

Forestry Commission of Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria A.P.M. Forests Proprietary Ltd., Morwell, Victoria

Forests Department, Perth, Western Australia

CSIRO Division of Building Research, Highett, Victoria

Comalco Aluminum Ltd., Weipa, North Queensland

Canada:

Canadian Forestry Service, Ste. Foy, Québec

Pacific Forest Research Centtz, Victoria, British Columbia

Research Branch, Ministry of Forests, Victoria, British Columbia

Forest Research Branch, Alberta Forest Service,

Spruce Grove, Alberta

Faculty of Forestry, University of British Columbia,

Vancouver, British Columbia

New Zealand:

Department of Scientific and Industrial Research,
Physics and Engineering Laboratory, Lower Hutt

New Zealand Forest Products, Ltd., Auckland

School of Forestry, University of Canterbury, Christchurch

#### Europe

Austria:

Forstliche Bundesversuchsanstalt, Vienna

Fachgruppe Forst-und Holzwirtschaft, Universitaet Fuer

Bodenkultur, Vienna

Belgium:

Station de Recherches des Eaux et Forêts, Hoeilaart

Onderzoekscentrum voor Bosbouw, Gent

Université de Liège, Service des Forêts et Jardins, Liège

Centrum voor Bosbiologisch Onderzoek, Genk

Centre de Recherche et de Promotion Forestières, Gembloux

Denmark:

Danish Forest Experiment Station, Klampenborg

Arboretum of the Royal Veterinary and Agricultural

University, Hoersholm

Danish Land Development Service, Viborg

Finland:

The Finnish Forest Research Institute, Helsinki

Department of Logging and Utilization of Forest Products,

University of Helsinki, Helsinki

Forest Products Laboratory, Technical Research Centre of

Finland, Espoo

Forestry Department, Work Efficiency Association,

Helsinki

Metsäteho, Helsinki

France:

Ecole Nationale du Genie Rural des Eaux et des Forêts,

Nancy

Centre Technique Du Bois et de L'Ameublement, Paris

Laboratoire Botanique et Forestier, Université

Paul Sabatier, Toulouse

Association Forêt-Cellulose, Nangis

Greece:

Forest Research Institute of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki

Hungary:

Forest Research Institute, Budapest

Ireland:

Forest and Wildlife Service, Research Branch, Wicklow Forest Products Department, Institution for Industrial

Research and Standards, Dublin

Italy:

Istituto Sperimentale per la Selvicoltura, Arezzo

Istituto di Selvicoltura, Firenze

Societa Agricola e Forestale Per La Piante Da Cellulosa

e Da Carta, Roma

Istituto per la Ricerca sul Legno, Firenze Istituto per la Technologia del Legno, Trento

Centro di Studio per la Patologia Specie Legnose, Montane

Netherlands:

Department of Forest Management, University of Wageningen,

Wageningen

Department of Silviculture, Agricultural University,

Wageningen

Forest Products Research Institute, Delft

Research Institute for Plant Protection, Wageningen

Norway:

Agricultural University of Norway, Institute of Forest

Economics, As

Norwegian Forest Research Institute, Bergen

Department of Wood Technology, Agricultural University of

Norway, As-NLH

Norwegian Institute of Woodworking and Wood Technology, Oslo

Norwegian Pulp and Paper Research Institute, Oslo

Poland:

Institute of Dendrology, Kórnik

Portugal:

Centro de Estudos Florestais, Lisboa

Romania:

Universitatea din Brasov, Brasov

Spain:

Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agrarias, Madrid Escuela Tecnica Superior de Ingenieros de Montes, Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, Madrid

Sweden:

The Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Stockholm

Logging Research Foundation, Stockholm

Swedish Forest Products Research Laboratory, Stockholm

The Institute for Forest Improvement, Uppsala

Switzerland:

ETH, Zurich

Swiss Federal Institute of Snow and Avalanche Research,

Davos-Dorf

United Kingdom:

Department of the Environment, Building Research

Establishment, Buckinghamshire

Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, Belfast,

Northern Ireland

Forestry Commission, Northern Research Station, Roslin,

Midlothian, Scotland

Department of Forestry, University of Oxford, Oxford

Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, University

of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland

Department of Forestry, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen,

Scotland

Department of Forestry and Wood Science, University

College of North Wales, Bangor, Gwynedd, North Wales

NERC Institute of Terrestrial Ecology, Penicuik,

Midlothian, Scotland

West Germany:

Federal Research Center for Forestry and Forest Products,

Hamburg

Bayerische Forstliche Versuchs-und Forschungsanstalt,

München

Forstliche Versuchs-und Forschungsanstalt Baden-Württemberg,

Freiburg

Institute of Wood Research, University of Munich, Munich

Forest Experiment Station of Lower Saxony, Göttingen

Hessian Forest Search Station, Munich

Yugoslavia:

Institute of Forestry and Wood Industry, Beograd

# Appendix

# I. Estimation Procedures

The questionnaire sent to over 400 forestry research institutions throughout the world asked for detailed information on budgets, personnel, areas of research, number and size of research stations, etc. In addition the respondent was asked to estimate the percentage of total national research accounted for by that particular institution. These percentages then formed a base from which to estimate total expenditures for the country. Occasionally, questionnaire returns from several institutions in the same country gave conflicting answers to this question, e.g., two institutions accounting for over 100% of a country's research and there being four or five other institutions in the country also engaged in research. In such cases it was necessary to revise the estimates of the percentage of national research accounted for by an institution before total expenditures for the country were estimated. In a few cases, secondary source data could be used to check the reasonableness of estimates.

All currencies were converted to U. S. dollars using I.M.F. exchange rates. The U.S. wholesale price index was used to obtain constant 1980 dollars.

Appendix Table 1

Country         1970           Congo         .29           Ghana         .32           Ivory Coast         .12           Kenya         .02           Malawi         .01           Nigeria         .01           South Africa         .17           Bangladesh         —           Cyprus         .12           India         .02           India         .02           India         .02           India         .02           India         .02           India         .00           Iran         .42           Japan         .00           Korea, South         .02           Malaysia         .05           Nepal         .01           Philippines         .06           Thailand         .01           Brazil         .06           Colombia         .02           Mexico         .06           Paraguay         .05           Belgium         .09           Denmark         .50           England         .78           Finland         .26           France	Forestry Research Expenditures as a % of the Value of Total Forestry Production			Agricultural Research Expenditures as a % of the Value of Total Agricultural Production		
Ghana       .32         Ivory Coast       .12         Kenya       .02         Malawi       .01         Nigeria       .01         South Africa       .17         Bangladesh       —         Cyprus       .12         India       .02         Indonesia       .00         Iran       .42         Japan       .00         Korea, South       .02         Malaysia       .05         Nepal       .01         Philippines       .06         Thailand       .01         Brazil       .06         Colombia       .02         Mexico       .06         Paraguay       .05         Belgium       .09         Denmark       .05         England       .78         Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Iral       .26         Hungary       .14         Iral       .25         Norway       .29         Portugal       .29         Sw		1975	1980	1970	1975	1980
Ivory Coast   .02		.341	.292	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Kenya       .02         Malawi       .01         Nigeria       .01         South Africa       .17         Bangladesh       —         Cyprus       .12         India       .02         India       .02         India       .02         Indonesia       .00         Iran       .42         Japan       .10         Korea, South       .02         Malaysia       .05         Nepal       .01         Philippines       .06         Colombia       .02         Mexico       .06         Paraguay       .05         Belgium       .09         Denmark       .05         England       .78         Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Italy       .25         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Syain       .29         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         Wes	·	.296	.301	.474	.431	1.013
Malawi Nigeria South Africa Bangladesh Cyprus India Indonesia Iran Japan Korea, South Malaysia Nepal Philippines Thailand Brazil Colombia Mexico Paraguay Suriname Austria Belgium Denmark England Finland France Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Netherlands Norway Portugal Romania Spain Sweden Switzerland West Germany  01 01 02 04 04 02 06 06 07 06 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07	·	.098	.138	1.426	1.100	.780
Nigeria South Africa  Bangladesh Cyprus India Indonesia Iran Japan Korea, South Malaysia Nepal Philippines Thailand  Brazil Colombia Mexico Paraguay Suriname  Austria Belgium Denmark England Finland Finland France Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Netherlands Norway Portugal Romania Spain Swetzerland West Germany  12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 17 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	1	.014	.015	.679	1.059	1.315
South Africa  Bangladesh Cyprus India Indonesia Iran Japan Korea, South Malaysia Nepal Philippines Thailand Brazil Colombia Mexico Paraguay Suriname 2.21 Austria Belgium Denmark Finland Finland France Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Netherlands Norway Portugal Romania Spain Sweden Switzerland West Germany 12 12 10 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 17 18 10 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	.	.013	.013	1.203	1.196	1.599
Bangladesh Cyprus India India Indonesia Iran Japan Korea, South Malaysia Nepal Philippines Thailand Brazil Colombia Mexico Paraguay Suriname 2.21 Austria Belgium Denmark England Finland France Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Netherlands Norway Portugal Romania Spain Sweden Switzerland West Germany 12 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 12 13 13 14 14 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	٠ ا	.109	.103	.551	.540	1.517
Cyprus       .12         India       .02         Indonesia       .00         Iran       .42         Japan       .10         Korea, South       .02         Malaysia       .05         Nepal       .01         Philippines       .06         Thailand       .01         Brazil       .06         Colombia       .02         Mexico       .06         Paraguay       .07         Suriname       2.21         Austria       .05         Belgium       .09         Denmark       .50         England       .78         Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .25         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Spain       .29         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22		.217	.257	1.028	1.012	1.096
India Indonesia Indonesia Iran Japan Korea, South Malaysia Nepal Philippines Thailand O1 Brazil Colombia Mexico Paraguay Suriname Permark England Finland Finland France Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Netherlands Norway Portugal Romania Spain Sweden Switzerland West Germany O2 A42 A02 A03 A03 A04 A05 A05 A06 A07 A07 A07 A07 A07 A07 A07 A07 A07 A07		.072	.063	.067	.074	.718
Indonesia Iran Japan Korea, South Malaysia Nepal Philippines Thailand O1 Brazil Colombia Mexico Paraguay Suriname Austria Belgium Denmark Finland France Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Netherlands Norway Portugal Romania Spain Sweden Switzerland West Germany  10 02 06 06 07 07 08 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09		.150	.089	.498	.588	1.363
Iran	- 1	.027	.024	.222	.218	.351
Japan         .10           Korea, South         .02           Malaysia         .05           Nepal         .01           Philippines         .06           Thailand         .01           Brazil         .06           Colombia         .02           Mexico         .06           Paraguay         .05           Suriname         2.21           Austria         .05           Belgium         .09           Denmark         .50           England         .78           Finland         .26           France         .15           Greece         .87           Hungary         .14           Italy         .25           Norway         .29           Portugal         .10           Romania         .10           Spain         .29           Sweden         .24           Switzerland         .57           West Germany         .22	۱ ا	.015	.011	.182	.151	.526
Korea, South Malaysia Nepal Philippines Thailand  Brazil Colombia Mexico Paraguay Suriname  Austria Belgium Denmark England Finland Finland France Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Netherlands Norway Portugal Romania Spain Sweden Switzerland West Germany  .05 .06 .06 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07		.577	.113	.548	.707	.728
Korea, South Malaysia Nepal Philippines Thailand  Brazil Colombia Mexico Paraguay Suriname Austria Belgium Denmark England Finland France Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Netherlands Norway Portugal Romania Spain Sweden Switzerland West Germany  .05 .06 .06 .07 .06 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07 .07	۱ ا	.154	.196	2.994	3.164	3.341
Malaysia       .05         Nepal       .01         Philippines       .06         Thailand       .01         Brazil       .06         Colombia       .02         Mexico       .06         Paraguay          Suriname       2.21         Austria       .05         Belgium       .09         Denmark       .50         England       .78         Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .68         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22		.032	.031	.583	.564	.433
Nepal         .01           Philippines         .06           Thailand         .01           Brazil         .06           Colombia         .02           Mexico         .06           Paraguay            Suriname         2.21           Austria         .05           Belgium         .09           Denmark         .50           England         .78           Finland         .26           France         .15           Greece         .87           Hungary         .14           Ireland         1.10           Italy         .25           Norway         .29           Portugal         .10           Romania         .10           Spain         .29           Sweden         .24           Switzerland         .57           West Germany         .22	1	.086	.063	.526	.411	.845
Philippines         .06           Thailand         .01           Brazil         .06           Colombia         .02           Mexico         .06           Paraguay		.015	.012	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Thailand       .01         Brazil       .06         Colombia       .02         Mexico       .06         Paraguay       —         Suriname       2.21         Austria       .05         Belgium       .09         Denmark       .50         England       .78         Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .25         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Spain       .29         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22		.066	.036	.158	.180	.204
Colombia         .02           Mexico         .06           Paraguay		.026	.023	.447	.361	.606
Colombia         .02           Mexico         .06           Paraguay		.049	.029	.604	.860	1.051
Mexico       .06         Paraguay		.023	.021	.835	.750	.599
Paraguay         ————————————————————————————————————		.284	.363	.204	.273	.751
Suriname       2.21         Austria       .05         Belgium       .09         Denmark       .50         England       .78         Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .68         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Spain       .29         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22	- 1	.012	.006	.212	.343	1.110
Belgium       .09         Denmark       .50         England       .78         Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .25         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Spain       .29         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22		.975	1.352	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Denmark       .50         England       .78         Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .25         Netherlands       .68         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Spain       .29         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22		.087	.070	.505	.413	.608
Denmark       .50         England       .78         Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .25         Netherlands       .68         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Spain       .29         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22	. 1	.160	.189	.672	.913	1.079
England       .78         Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .25         Netherlands       .68         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Spain       .29         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22		.686	.595	.761	.725	.808
Finland       .26         France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .25         Netherlands       .68         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Spain       .29         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22	- 1	.697	.776	1.254	1.274	1.823
France       .15         Greece       .87         Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .25         Netherlands       .68         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Spain       .29         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22		.177	.108	.415	.545	.785
Greece .87 Hungary .14 Ireland 1.10 Italy .25 Netherlands .68 Norway .29 Portugal .10 Romania .10 Spain .29 Sweden .24 Switzerland .57 West Germany .22		.221	.216	1.044	.964	.975
Hungary       .14         Ireland       1.10         Italy       .25         Netherlands       .68         Norway       .29         Portugal       .10         Romania       .10         Spain       .29         Sweden       .24         Switzerland       .57         West Germany       .22		.548	.610	.408	.393	.428
Ireland 1.10 Italy .25 Netherlands .68 Norway .29 Portugal .10 Romania .10 Spain .29 Sweden .24 Switzerland .57 West Germany .22		.106	.105	1.248	1.007	.893
Italy .25 Netherlands .68 Norway .29 Portugal .10 Romania .10 Spain .29 Sweden .24 Switzerland .57 West Germany .22		1.154	1.267	1.314	1.310	1.820
Netherlands .68 Norway .29 Portugal .10 Romania .10 Spain .29 Sweden .24 Switzerland .57 West Germany .22		.183	.165	.669	.683	.820
Norway         .29           Portugal         .10           Romania         .10           Spain         .29           Sweden         .24           Switzerland         .57           West Germany         .22		1.210	.962	1.917	2.384	5.138
Portugal .10 Romania .10 Spain .29 Sweden .24 Switzerland .57 West Germany .22	•	.401	.388	2.596	2.959	3.793
Romania .10 Spain .29 Sweden .24 Switzerland .57 West Germany .22		.056	.025	1.735	1.894	2.010
Spain .29 Sweden .24 Switzerland .57 West Germany .22		.039	.029	1.011	.944	.808
Sweden .24 Switzerland .57 West Germany .22	- 1	.166	.107	.769	.540	.627
Switzerland .57 West Germany .22	,	.361	.317	1.064	1.096	1.356
West Germany .22		1.106	.588	1.799	2.327	2.952
7		.303	.221	1.799	1.229	1.229
		.303	.221	.414	.441	.376
Australia 1.15	ı	1.173	1.064	3.124	2.992	3.025
Canada .56		.426	.364	2.674	2.363	2.220
,			.371	Ł	1	
Papua New Guinea .40 United States .21		.387 .223	.209	n.a. 1.205	n.a. 1.096	n.a. .977

Appendix Table 1A

	Expension of the Fores	estry Reseanditures as e Value of stry Produc lus Imports	a % Total ction	Agricultural Researc Expenditures as a of the Value of Tot Agricultural Product plus Imports		
Country	1970	1975	1980	1970	1975	1980
Congo	.290	.339	.291			
Ghana	.315	.284	.299	.417	.379	.895
Ivory Coast	.123	.095	.136	1.187	.851	.628
Kenya	.022	.014	.014	.614	.943	1.227
Malawi	.012	.013	.013	1.024	1.051	1.510
Nigeria	.016	.106	.100	.528	.506	1.277
South Africa	.151	.194	.238	.893	.867	.991
Bangladesh		.072	.063	.057	.058	.652
Cyprus	.044	.061	.028	.329	.258	.681
India	.027	.027	.024	.210	.207	.336
Indonesia	.007	.015	.011	.162	.124	.429
Iran	.383	.353	.089	.507	.601	.530
Japan	.092	.126	.152	1.966	1.662	1.764
Korea, South	.026	.029	.029	.461	.406	.295
Malaysia	.049	.083	.062	.413	.312	.637
Nepa1	.013	.015	.012			
Philippines	.065	.065	.035	.144	.161	.184
Thailand	.018	.025	.022	.416	.329	.536
Brazil	.065	.049	.029	.568	.775	.903
Colombia	.029	.023	.021	.793	.701	.553
Mexico	.056	.257	.327	.190	.242	.653
Paraguay		.012	.006	.162	.274	.872
Suriname	2.017	.806	1.151			
Austria	.047	.078	.062	.342	.241	.331
Be1gium	.062	.097	.098	.281	.307	.294
Denmark	.239	.287	.249	.546	.469	.490
England	.361	.308	.318	.560	.515	.756
Fin1and	.257	.172	.106	.311	.384	.529
France	.120	.174	.170	.725	.611	.576
Greece	.534	.351	.402	.328	.276	.301
Hungary	.093	.064	.077	1.051	.872	.755
Ireland	.495	.494	.358	1.003	.922	1.147
Italy	.175	.129	.102	.389	.315	.365
Netherlands	.326	.531	.363	.861	.854	1.605
Norway	.259	.345	.332	1.362	1.332	1.681
Portugal	.092	.052	.024	1.055	.822	.800 .727
Romania	.099	.038	.028	.897	.855	
Spain	.244	.138	.089	.575	.400	.447
Sweden Switzerland	.235	.349	.304	.638 .837	.607	.736 1.260
	.413	.809 .224	.400	.700	.904 .556	.540
West Germany Yugoslavia	.162 .224	.244	.153 .263	.368	.368	.320
Australia	.948	.906	.825	2,922	2,792	2,774
Canada	.554	.410	.356	1.983	1.623	1.548
Papua New Guinea	.393	.387	.371			
United States	.198	.207	.192	1.026	.924	.828

Appendix Table 2

		PP VII.		_		
		10 Million estry Produ		SMY's per 10 Million Dollars of Agricultural Production		
Country	1970	1975	1980	1970	1975	1980
Congo	.383	.434	.528			
Ghana	.381	.353	.413	1.009	2.285	2.817
Ivory Coast	.257	.102	.127	1.113	1.005	.709
Kenya	.034	.033	.031	1.840	2.198	2.315
Malawi	.172	.097	.072	2.434	7.240	7.799
Nigeria	.032	.055	.062	.440	4.24	1.350
South Africa	.239	.228	.304	1.971	2.118	2.296
Bangladesh		.289	.253	.427	.525	3,435
Cyprus	1.038	.849	.372	2.016	3.364	3.280
India	.082	.135	.100	.654	.702	.685
Indonesia	.009	.022	.025	.711	1.112	2.336
Iran	.122	.169	.056	1.284	1.193	.834
Japan	.294	.381	.282	7.130	7.246	7.652
Korea, South	.020	.040	.020	1.931	1.866	1.432
Malaysia	.009	.018	.017	.873	.534	1.073
Nepa1	.017	.021	.016			
Philippines	.029	.105	.063	1.724	1.630	1.368
Thailand	.030	.082	.071	2.284	2.280	3.548
Brazil	.028	.017	.011	1.415	1.500	1.773
Colombia	.005	.003	.024	2.193	2.083	1.637
Mexico	.007	.017	.030	.757	.848	1.143
Paraguay		.056	.035	.711	.928	1,305
Suriname	.878	.531	.643			
Austria	.493	.322	.226	.537	.506	.744
Be1gium	.238	.203	.194	2.242	2.499	2.955
Denmark	1.014	. 842	.704	1.620	1.634	1.820
England	.955	.856	.774	2.520	2.758	4.234
Finland	.151	.129	.119	1.031	1.190	1.716
France	.175	.142	.144	.628	.593	.964
Greece	.788	.718	.920	1.411	1.639	1.783
Hungary	.366	.249	.267	2.903	2.188	1.940
Ireland	.438	.624	1.011	2.248	2.453	1.218
Italy	.180	.170	.159	.963	.975	.487
Netherlands	1,181	1.011	.913	2.355	2.452	3.189
Norway	.601	.396	.380	4.480	5.311	6.816
Portugal	.080	.055	.062	4.157	4.957	3.846
Romania	.466	.336	.302	3.711	3.659	3.133
Spain	.171	.130	.118	1.048	.676	1.033
Sweden	.348	.279	.311	.906	1.147	1.424
Switzerland	2.342	1.730	1.550	1.507	1.553	1.970
West Germany	.324	.227	.176	1.498	1.608	1.609
Yugoslavia	.364	.401	.275	2.777	2.526	2.154
Australia	.562	.672	.773	3.326	3.580	2.558
Canada	.692	.570	.405	1.651	1.567	1.689
Papua New Guinea	1	.210	.111			
United States	.248	.215	.194	. 844	.783	.756

Appendix Table 2A

Appelluix raute Zn							
	of Fore	Forestry Production of Agricultus			SMY's per 10 Million Dollar of Agricultural Production plus Imports		
Country	1970	1975	1980	1970	1975	1980	
Congo	.380	.432	.527				
Ghana	.369	.339	.411	.889	2.010	2.488	
Ivory Coast	.251	.099	.125	.927	.777	.571	
Kenya	.033	.032	.030	1.663	1.957	2.162	
Malawi	.171	.096	.071	2.072	6.362	7.366	
Nigeria	.032	.054	.060	.422	.398	1.136	
South Africa	.205	.204	.283	1.712	1.816	2.075	
Bangladesh		.289	.252	.362	.412	3.116	
Cyprus	.355	.346	.115	1.332	1.476	1.638	
India	.082	.134	.100	.620	.666	.656	
Indonesia	.009	.022	.025	.634	.914	1.905	
Iran	.109	.104	.044	1.189	1.013	.608	
Japan	.252	.312	.220	4.683	3.806	4.040	
Korea, South	.019	.037	.019	1.526	1.344	.976	
Malaysia	.008	.018	.017	.686	.406	.809	
Nepa1	.017	.021	.016				
Philippines	.029	.104	.062	1.567	1.454	1.234	
Thailand	.030	.080	.069	2.124	2.079	3.136	
Brazi1	.028	.017	.011	1.331	1.352	1.524	
Colombia	.005	.003	.023	2.082	1.947	1.511	
Mexico	.006	.015	.027	.705	.752	.993	
Paraguay		.055	.035	.544	.742	1.026	
Suriname	.799	.439	.548				
Austria	.454	.290	.201	.364	.295	.405	
Belgium	.150	.123	.101	.939	.840	.806	
Denmark	.480	.353	.294	1.163	1.058	1.105	
England	.442	.379	.317	1.124	1.116	1.756	
Finland	.149	.125	.117	.772	.839	1.156	
France	.137	.112	.113	.436	.376	.570	
Greece	.480	.460	.606	1.134	1.148	1,254	
Hungary	.233	.149	.197	2.446	1.895	1.641	
Ireland	.197	.267	.286	1.717	1.727	.768	
Italy	.125	.120	.098	.560	.450	.217	
Netherlands	.560	.444	.345	1.058	.878	.996	
Norway	.525	.341	.325	2.350	2.390	3.021	
Portugal	.073	.052	.059	2.528	2.151	1.530	
Romania	.456	.329	.299	3.292	3.315	2.818	
Spain	.143	.109	.098	.783	.501	.737	
Sweden	.340	.271	.299	.543	.635	.773	
Switzerland	1.670	1.267	1.056	.701	.603	.841	
West Germany	.237	.168	.122	.820	.728	.707	
Yugoslavia	.319	.361	.247	2.468	2.109	1.833	
Australia	.461	.519	.599	3.111	3.340	2.346	
Canada	.681	.549	.397	1.224	1.076	1.178	
Papua New Guinea	.160	.210	.111		<del></del>		
United States	.231	.200	.178	.719	.659	.641	

Appendix Table 3

Congo         76.320         78.514         55.211         —         —           Ghana         85.465         83.708         72.830         46.957         18.852         3           Ivory Coast         48.980         96.540         108.790         128.073         109.418         11           Kenya         67.600         42.330         46.970         36.895         48.186         5           Malawi         6.740         13.900         18.520         49.439         16.524         2           Nigeria         49.570         196.760         166.450         125.227         127.357         11           South Africa         73.820         95.215         84.331         52.178         47.758         4           Bangladesh         —         24.935         25.049         15.653         14.089         2           Cyprus         12.300         17.700         24.100         24.730         17.481         4           India         33.368         19.892         23.598         33.902         31.101         5           Indonesia         79.927         68.992         44.679         25.553         13.552         2           Iran         36.440 <th colspan="3">Expenditures per SMY (000 1980 U.S. Dollars) Agricultural Research</th>	Expenditures per SMY (000 1980 U.S. Dollars) Agricultural Research		
Ghana         85.465         83.708         72.830         46.957         18.852         3           Ivory Coast         48.980         96.540         108.790         128.073         109.418         11           Kenya         67.600         42.330         46.970         36.895         48.186         5           Malawi         6.740         13.900         18.520         49.439         16.524         2           Nigeria         49.570         196.760         166.450         125.227         127.357         11           South Africa         73.820         95.215         84.331         52.178         47.758         4           Bangladesh         —         24.935         25.049         15.653         14.089         2           Cyprus         12.300         17.700         24.100         24.730         17.481         4           India         33.368         19.892         23.598         33.902         31.101         5           Indonesia         79.927         68.992         44.679         25.553         13.552         2           Iran         351.360         340.560         200.000         42.691         59.286         8           J	980		
Ivory Coast       48,980       96.540       108.790       128.073       109,418       11         Kenya       67,600       42,330       46.970       36.895       48.186       5         Malawi       6.740       13.900       18.520       49.439       16.524       2         Nigeria       49.570       196.760       166.450       125.227       127.357       11         South Africa       73.820       95.215       84.331       52.178       47.758       4         Bangladesh       —       24.935       25.049       15.653       14.089       2         Cyprus       12.300       17.700       24.100       24.730       17.481       4         India       33.368       19.892       23.598       33.902       31.101       5         Indonesia       79.927       68.992       44.679       25.553       13.552       2         Iran       351,360       340.560       200.000       42.691       59.286       8         Japan       36.440       40.320       69.210       41.990       43.665       4         Korea, South       137.980       79.360       152.700       30.208       30.235       3			
Kenya       67.600       42.330       46.970       36.895       48.186       5         Malawi       6.740       13.900       18.520       49.439       16.524       2         Nigeria       49.570       196.760       166.450       125.227       127.357       11         South Africa       73.820       95.215       84.331       52.178       47.758       4         Bangladesh       —       24.935       25.049       15.653       14.089       2         Cyprus       12.300       17.700       24.100       24.730       17.481       4         India       33.368       19.892       23.598       33.902       31.101       5         Indonesia       79.927       68.992       44.679       25.553       13.552       2         Iran       351.360       340.560       200.000       42.691       59.286       8         Japan       36.440       40.320       69.210       41.990       43.665       4         Korea, South       137.980       79.360       152.700       30.208       30.235       3         Malaysia       78.100       70.150       72.230       12.799       12.114       1 <td>5.952</td>	5.952		
Malawi       6.740       13.900       18.520       49.439       16.524       2         Nigeria       49.570       196.760       166.450       125.227       127.357       11         South Africa       73.820       95.215       84.331       52.178       47.758       4         Bangladesh       —       24.935       25.049       15.653       14.089       2         Cyprus       12.300       17.700       24.100       24.730       17.481       4         India       33.368       19.892       23.598       33.902       31.101       5         Indonesia       79.927       68.992       44.679       25.553       13.552       2         Iran       351.360       340.560       200.000       42.691       59.286       8         Japan       36.440       40.320       69.210       41.990       43.665       4         Korea, South       137.980       79.360       152.700       30.208       30.235       3         Malaysia       585.000       469.070       370.120       60.205       76.933       7         Nepal       78.100       70.150       72.230       12.799       12.114       1	0.095		
Nigeria       49.570       196.760       166.450       125.227       127.357       11         South Africa       73.820       95.215       84.331       52.178       47.758       4         Bangladesh       —       24.935       25.049       15.653       14.089       2         Cyprus       12.300       17.700       24.100       24.730       17.481       4         India       33.368       19.892       23.598       33.902       31.101       5         Indonesia       79.927       68.992       44.679       25.553       13.552       2         Iran       351.360       340.560       200.000       42.691       59.286       8         Japan       36.440       40.320       69.210       41.990       43.665       4         Korea, South       137.980       79.360       152.700       30.208       30.235       3         Malaysia       585.000       469.070       370.120       60.205       76.933       7         Nepal       78.100       70.150       72.230       12.799       12.114       1         Philippines       229.150       62.480       57.300       9.165       11.039       1 <td>6.780</td>	6.780		
South Africa     73.820     95.215     84.331     52.178     47.758     4       Bangladesh     —     24.935     25.049     15.653     14.089     2       Cyprus     12.300     17.700     24.100     24.730     17.481     4       India     33.368     19.892     23.598     33.902     31.101     5       Indonesia     79.927     68.992     44.679     25.553     13.552     2       Iran     351.360     340.560     200.000     42.691     59.286     8       Japan     36.440     40.320     69.210     41.990     43.665     4       Korea, South     137.980     79.360     152.700     30.208     30.235     3       Malaysia     585.000     469.070     370.120     60.205     76.933     7       Nepal     78.100     70.150     72.230     12.799     12.114     1       Philippines     229.150     62.480     57.300     9.165     11.039     1       Brazil     222.393     266.652     155.038     42.691     57.310     5       Colombia     580.900     759.600     90.064     38.079     36.010     3       Mexico     868.200     1,687.4	0.507		
Bangladesh         —         24.935         25.049         15.653         14.089         2           Cyprus         12.300         17.700         24.100         24.730         17.481         4           India         33.368         19.892         23.598         33.902         31.101         5           Indonesia         79.927         68.992         44.679         25.553         13.552         2           Iran         351.360         340.560         200.000         42.691         59.286         8           Japan         36.440         40.320         69.210         41.990         43.665         4           Korea, South         137.980         79.360         152.700         30.208         30.235         3           Malaysia         585.000         469.070         370.120         60.205         76.933         7           Nepal         78.100         70.150         72.230         12.799         12.114         1           Philippines         229.150         62.480         57.300         9.165         11.039         1           Thailand         61.860         31.917         31.795         19.567         15.811         1           B	2.399		
Cyprus         12.300         17.700         24.100         24.730         17.481         4           India         33.368         19.892         23.598         33.902         31.101         5           Indonesia         79.927         68.992         44.679         25.553         13.552         2           Iran         351.360         340.560         200.000         42.691         59.286         8           Japan         36.440         40.320         69.210         41.990         43.665         4           Korea, South         137.980         79.360         152.700         30.208         30.235         3           Malaysia         585.000         469.070         370.120         60.205         76.933         7           Nepal         78.100         70.150         72.230         12.799         12.114         1           Philippines         229.150         62.480         57.300         9.165         11.039         1           Thailand         61.860         31.917         31.795         19.567         15.811         1           Brazil         222.393         266.652         155.038         42.691         57.310         5           <	7.756		
India       33.368       19.892       23.598       33.902       31.101       5         Indonesia       79.927       68.992       44.679       25.553       13.552       2         Iran       351.360       340.560       200.000       42.691       59.286       8         Japan       36.440       40.320       69.210       41.990       43.665       4         Korea, South       137.980       79.360       152.700       30.208       30.235       3         Malaysia       585.000       469.070       370.120       60.205       76.933       7         Nepal       78.100       70.150       72.230       12.799       12.114       1         Philippines       229.150       62.480       57.300       9.165       11.039       1         Thailand       61.860       31.917       31.795       19.567       15.811       1         Brazil       222.393       266.652       155.038       42.691       57.310       5         Colombia       580.900       759.600       90.064       38.079       36.010       3         Mexico       868.200       1,687.450       1,229.440       26.959       32.242	0.919		
Indonesia       79.927       68.992       44.679       25.553       13.552       2         Iran       351.360       340.560       200.000       42.691       59.286       8         Japan       36.440       40.320       69.210       41.990       43.665       4         Korea, South       137.980       79.360       152.700       30.208       30.235       3         Malaysia       585.000       469.070       370.120       60.205       76.933       7         Nepal       78.100       70.150       72.230       12.799       12.114       1         Philippines       229.150       62.480       57.300       9.165       11.039       1         Thailand       61.860       31.917       31.795       19.567       15.811       1         Brazil       222.393       266.652       155.038       42.691       57.310       5         Colombia       580.900       759.600       90.064       38.079       36.010       3         Mexico       868.200       1,687.450       1,229.440       26.959       32.242       6         Paraguay       -       22.040       17.840       29.808       36.968       8<	1.552		
Iran       351.360       340.560       200.000       42.691       59.286       8         Japan       36.440       40.320       69.210       41.990       43.665       4         Korea, South       137.980       79.360       152.700       30.208       30.235       3         Malaysia       585.000       469.070       370.120       60.205       76.933       7         Nepal       78.100       70.150       72.230       12.799       12.114       1         Philippines       229.150       62.480       57.300       9.165       11.039       1         Thailand       61.860       31.917       31.795       19.567       15.811       1         Brazil       222.393       266.652       155.038       42.691       57.310       5         Colombia       580.900       759.600       90.064       38.079       36.010       3         Mexico       868.200       1,687.450       1,229.440       26.959       32.242       6         Paraguay       22.040       17.840       29.808       36.968       8	1.244		
Japan       36.440       40.320       69.210       41.990       43.665       4         Korea, South       137.980       79.360       152.700       30.208       30.235       3         Malaysia       585.000       469.070       370.120       60.205       76.933       7         Nepal       78.100       70.150       72.230       12.799       12.114       1         Philippines       229.150       62.480       57.300       9.165       11.039       1         Thailand       61.860       31.917       31.795       19.567       15.811       1         Brazil       222.393       266.652       155.038       42.691       57.310       5         Colombia       580.900       759.600       90.064       38.079       36.010       3         Mexico       868.200       1,687.450       1,229.440       26.959       32.242       6         Paraguay       -       22.040       17.840       29.808       36.968       8	2.539		
Korea, South         137.980         79.360         152.700         30.208         30.235         3           Malaysia         585.000         469.070         370.120         60.205         76.933         7           Nepal         78.100         70.150         72.230         12.799         12.114         1           Philippines         229.150         62.480         57.300         9.165         11.039         1           Thailand         61.860         31.917         31.795         19.567         15.811         1           Brazil         222.393         266.652         155.038         42.691         57.310         5           Colombia         580.900         759.600         90.064         38.079         36.010         3           Mexico         868.200         1,687.450         1,229.440         26.959         32.242         6           Paraguay         22.040         17.840         29.808         36.968         8	7.187		
Malaysia       585.000       469.070       370.120       60.205       76.933       7         Nepal       78.100       70.150       72.230       12.799       12.114       1         Philippines       229.150       62.480       57.300       9.165       11.039       1         Thailand       61.860       31.917       31.795       19.567       15.811       1         Brazil       222.393       266.652       155.038       42.691       57.310       5         Colombia       580.900       759.600       90.064       38.079       36.010       3         Mexico       868.200       1,687.450       1,229.440       26.959       32.242       6         Paraguay       22.040       17.840       29.808       36.968       8	3.665		
Nepal       78.100       70.150       72.230       12.799       12.114       1         Philippines       229.150       62.480       57.300       9.165       11.039       1         Thailand       61.860       31.917       31.795       19.567       15.811       1         Brazil       222.393       266.652       155.038       42.691       57.310       5         Colombia       580.900       759.600       90.064       38.079       36.010       3         Mexico       868.200       1,687.450       1,229.440       26.959       32.242       6         Paraguay       22.040       17.840       29.808       36.968       8	0.221		
Philippines         229.150         62.480         57.300         9.165         11.039         1           Thailand         61.860         31.917         31.795         19.567         15.811         1           Brazil         222.393         266.652         155.038         42.691         57.310         5           Colombia         580.900         759.600         90.064         38.079         36.010         3           Mexico         868.200         1,687.450         1,229.440         26.959         32.242         6           Paraguay         22.040         17.840         29.808         36.968         8	8.733		
Thailand 61.860 31.917 31.795 19.567 15.811 1  Brazil 222.393 266.652 155.038 42.691 57.310 5  Colombia 580.900 759.600 90.064 38.079 36.010 3  Mexico 868.200 1,687.450 1,229.440 26.959 32.242 6  Paraguay 22.040 17.840 29.808 36.968 8	1.655		
Brazil       222.393       266.652       155.038       42.691       57.310       5         Colombia       580.900       759.600       90.064       38.079       36.010       3         Mexico       868.200       1,687.450       1,229.440       26.959       32.242       6         Paraguay       22.040       17.840       29.808       36.968       8	4.895		
Colombia         580.900         759.600         90.064         38.079         36.010         3           Mexico         868.200         1,687.450         1,229.440         26.959         32.242         6           Paraguay         22.040         17.840         29.808         36.968         8	7.089		
Colombia         580.900         759.600         90.064         38.079         36.010         3           Mexico         868.200         1,687.450         1,229.440         26.959         32.242         6           Paraguay         22.040         17.840         29.808         36.968         8	9.289		
Mexico     868.200     1,687.450     1,229.440     26.959     32.242     6       Paraguay     22.040     17.840     29.808     36.968     8	6.585		
Paraguay - 22.040 17.840 29.808 36.968 8	5.736		
	5.032		
Suriname   252,360   183,440   210,100			
Austria 10.316 26.888 30.778 93.918 81.627 8	1.799		
	6.512		
	4.384		
	3.068		
	5.766		
	1.136		
Greece 111,265 76.423 66.317 28.898 24.005 2	4.021		
Hungary 39.668 42.737 39.088 42.992 46.033 4	6.048		
Ireland   251.140   185.040   125.250   58.422   53.410   14	9.413		
Italy   139.840   107.660   103.410   69.436   70.045   16	8.220		
Netherlands   58.280   119.660   105.370   81.378   97.255   16	1.115		
Norway 49.350 101.190 102.120 57.954 55.717 5	5.654		
Portugal   125.720   100.490   40.670   41.742   38.206   5	2.267		
Romania 21.690 11.500 9.480 27.237 25.800 2	5.797		
Spain   171.216   127.191   91.079   73.375   79.836   6	0.664		
Sweden 69.322 129.088 101.935 117.400 95.517 9	5.273		
Switzerland 24.709 63.924 37.921 119.390 149.889 14	9.816		
	6.423		
Yugoslavia 70.272 67.626 106.667 14.908 17.455 1	7.452		
Australia 205.715 174.596 137.595 93.920 83.577 11	8.269		
	1.398		
Papua New Guinea 245.420 184.350 334.130			
United States   85.520   103.556   107.439   142.784   140.091   12			

# Appendix Table 4

	Total Forestry	
Country	Publications 1971-1976	1977-82
•	_	٠. يا
Afghanistan	1 0	I I
<b>Al</b> geria	1 0	
Argentina	116 10	104
Australia	1377 182	1155
Austria	398 62	318
Bangladesh	503_	44,
Belgium	317 63	247
Brazil	642 198	428
Bulgaria	535 31	497
Burma	0 0	. 0
Canada	3042 440	2492
Chile	131 29	98
Colombia	76 16	· 60
Costa Rica	42 8	31
Cuba	27 7	20
Czechoslovakia	<b>477</b> 134	336
Dermark	138 21	115
Egypt	32 3	29
Fiji	21 0	21
Finland	. 779 82	675
France	1509 235	1241
Germany, Fed. Rep.	2227 292	1860
Germany, Dem. Rep.	634 122	502
Ghana	46 20	25
Greece	70 19	49
Hungary	137 72	65
Iceland	5 0	5
Indonesia	<b>150 15</b>	133
India	1781 320	1421
Ireland	22 5	16
Iran .	· 36 11	25
Iraq	24 6	18
Israel	120 17	101
Italy	<b>751</b> 162	578
Ivory Coast	13 2	11
Japan	1861 324	1487
Kenya	54 7	43
Korea, South	170 46	122
Mexico	293 69	218
Malawi	7 0	7
Malaysia	135 11	86
Nepal	13 3	7
Netherlands	843 202	618
New Zealand	930 126	784
Nigeria	153 32	118
Norway	271 43	223
Pakistan	161 35	126
Paraguay	0 0	Ō
Peru	35 22	13
Philippines	<b>36</b> 0 <b>10</b> 6	254

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Papua New Guinea Poland Portugal People's Republic Romania Senegal South Africa Spain Sri Lanka Sudan Sweden Switzerland Taiwan Thailand Tanzania Turkey Uruguay UK USSR USA Venezuela Yugoslavia Zimbabwe (Rhodesia)	58 550 39 200 38 14 519 160 41 8 1030 463 133 121 39 33 4 2722 3655 12476 79 158 45	23 128 13 15 7 1 50 31 17 2 121 96 67 66 0 12 1 410 500 1973 33 54 4	35 411 26 178 31 13 457 128 24 5 876 354 65 43 38 21 3 2178 3098 10048 36 103 37
	Regions		
Central America (inc. Costa Rica) .	87	11	<b>6</b> 8
Tropical South America (inc. Peru)	58	30	27
North Africa (inc. Egypt, Sudan)	74	21	52
West Africa (inc. Ghana, Ivory Coast)	134	36	94
East Africa (inc. Tanzania)	114	13	. , 98
South Africa (inc. Zimbabwe-Rhodesia)	51	5	42
Near East	32	3	28