

A Service of

ZBШ

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Bartzsch, Nikolaus; Seitz, Franz

Working Paper Transaction balances: From accounting and economics to biology

ROME Discussion Paper Series, No. 16-01

Provided in Cooperation with: Research Network "Research on Money in the Economy" (ROME)

Suggested Citation: Bartzsch, Nikolaus; Seitz, Franz (2016) : Transaction balances: From accounting and economics to biology, ROME Discussion Paper Series, No. 16-01, Research On Money in the Economy (ROME), s.l.

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/156211

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

ROME Research On Money in the Economy

No. 16-01 – January 2016

Transaction Balances: From accounting and economics to biology

Nikolaus Bartzsch and Franz Seitz

ROME Discussion Paper Series

"Research on Money in the Economy" (ROME) is a private non-profit-oriented research network of and for economists, who generally are interested in monetary economics and especially are interested in the interdependences between the financial sector and the real economy. Further information is available on www.rome-net.org.

ISSN 1865-7052

Research On Money in the Economy

Discussion Paper Series ISSN 1865-7052

No 2016-01, January 2016

Transaction Balances: From accounting and economics to biology

Nikolaus Bartzsch and Franz Seitz

Dipl.-Vw. Nikolaus Bartzsch Deutsche Bundesbank Cash Department Wilhelm-Epstein-Straße 14 D-60431 Frankfurt/M. e-mail: <u>nikolaus.bartzsch@bundesbank.de</u> Prof. Dr. Franz Seitz Weiden Technical University of Applied Sciences Hetzenrichter Weg 15 D-92637 Weiden e-mail: <u>f.seitz@oth-aw.de</u>

The discussion paper represent the authors' personal opinions and do not necessarily reflect the views of Deutsche Bundesbank.

NOTE: Working papers in the "Research On Money in the Economy" Discussion Paper Series are preliminary materials circulated to stimulate discussion and critical comment. The analysis and conclusions set forth are those of the author(s) and do not indicate concurrence by other members of the research network ROME. Any reproduction, publication and reprint in the form of a different publication, whether printed or produced electronically, in whole or in part, is permitted only with the explicit written authorisation of the author(s). References in publications to ROME Discussion Papers (other than an acknowledgment that the writer has had access to unpublished material) should be cleared with the author(s) to protect the tentative character of these papers. As a general rule, ROME Discussion Papers are not translated and are usually only available in the original language used by the contributor(s).

ROME Discussion Papers are published in PDF format at <u>www.rome-net.org/publications/</u>.

Please direct any enquiries to the current ROME coordinators
Prof. Dr. Albrecht F. Michler / Markus Penatzer, M.Sc.
Heinrich-Heine-University of Duesseldorf, Department of Economics, Universitaetsstr. 1,
Build. 24.31.01.01 (Oeconomicum), D-40225 Duesseldorf, Germany
Tel.: ++49(0)-211-81-15372
Fax: ++49(0)-211-81-15261
E-mail: helpdesk@rome-net.org
albrecht.michler@hhu.de or markus.penatzer@hhu.de

Non-technical summary

The total cash issuance of a central bank consists of domestic transaction and hoarding balances as well as foreign demand. The different shares are not known exactly. Within the euro area, the environment is still more complicated as euro cash issued by one country may migrate to other euro area countries in which it is a perfect substitute. Transaction balances usually are made up of small denominations. The introduction of the new Europa series of banknotes since 2013 offers the opportunity to calculate these transaction balances. We apply the methods to the already circulating $\in 10$ and $\in 5$ banknote.

At the end of 2014, the Bundesbank had issued a total net amount of just over 24 billion in $\oiint{0}$ banknotes. In statistical terms, each resident living in Germany was therefore endowed with around 29 banknotes of this denomination. Owing to the introduction of the new ES 2 series on 23 September 2014, it was possible for the first time to estimate the volume of $\oiint{0}$ banknotes that are held for transaction purposes both in Germany and outside the euro area.

The estimation of the volume of $\textcircledlower lower l$

The volume of "German" 10 banknotes – officially stemming from banknote shipments by the Bundesbank – held for transaction purposes outside the euro area was estimated at just over 540 million at the end of May 2015 using the biometric method. It is derived from cumulated shipments of ES2 10 banknotes up to the end of May 2015 and the value of the ES1 and ES2 10 notes deposited in May 2015 at the shipment branches. In terms of the Bundesbank's cumulated net issues of 10 banknotes in the amount of around 24 billion at the end of 2014, the estimated cash balance held for transaction purposes outside the euro area accounts for just 2%.

Additionally we have estimated the volume of transaction balances of "German" $\in 5$ notes in Germany and in non-euro-area countries in the same way by making use of the introduction of the new ES2 \in banknotes on 2 May 2013. As in the case of $\in 10$ notes, the share of domestic transaction balances in the total volume of circulation of \in notes is about one-fifth. However, the share of cumulated net shipments in total circulation is much higher for the $\in 10$ notes and mainly consists of hoardings while the shares of hoardings and transaction balances in the cumulated net shipments of \in notes are almost equal. These differences show that in contrast to the $\in 10$ note the \in note is not a typical "foreign demand banknote".

JEL-Classification: C49, E41, E58

Keywords: euro banknotes, biometric method, foreign demand, transaction balances

1 Introduction

The total cash issuance by a central bank consists of domestic transaction and hoarding balances as well as amounts hoarded and used for transactions abroad. The different shares are not known exactly. Within the euro area, the environment is still more complicated as issues of one country may migrate to other euro area countries in which they are perfect substitutes. Transaction balances usually are made up of small denominations. The introduction of the new Europa series of banknotes (ES 2) since 2013 offers the opportunity to calculate these transaction balances. We apply the methods to the already circulating €10 and €5 banknote.

At the end of 2014, the Bundesbank had issued a total net amount of ≤ 24 billion ≤ 10 banknotes ("German" ≤ 10 notes). In statistical terms, each resident living in Germany was therefore endowed with 29 banknotes of this denomination. Even though cash is still the most commonly used means of payment at the point of sale in Germany, the total number of issued banknotes still seems very high. Presumably, only part of this amount is actually used for payment purposes in Germany (known as the "domestic transaction balance"). The rest may either have been lost, hoarded in Germany or may be in use abroad for transaction and hoarding purposes.

The fact that it is not possible to equate the cumulated net issues of a euro-area country with the national volume of cash in circulation in that country also becomes evident when making international comparisons (Figure 1). The Bundesbank's cumulated net issues of ≤ 10 notes have been steadily increasing since 2002. The Bundesbank therefore issues more ≤ 10 banknotes than it receives through inpayments. Conversely, the cumulated net issues of the (entity of) other Eurosystem member countries are steadily declining; in these countries, more banknotes are paid in than are paid out. A significant share of "German" banknotes therefore flows out of Germany. In the past few years, these cross-border flows were in fact so substantial that the cumulated net issues of the other Eurosystem countries have been negative since the beginning of 2013. The total volume of banknotes issued by the Bundesbank since 2002 would, in fact, be more than sufficient to fully cover the *entire* demand for ≤ 10 banknotes (both in Germany and abroad). The Bundesbank is therefore making a sizeable contribution to the supply of cash in the Eurosystem and also to the seigniorage revenues of the other central banks.

This raises the question as to how high the volume of ≤ 10 banknotes that are in active circulation in Germany actually is. According to our estimates, the value of the total domestic transaction balance has remained fairly constant since 2008 at around ≤ 35 billion.¹ This equates to just under 10% of the Bundesbank's cumulated net issues at the end of 2014. Calculations for individual denominations are much more difficult as, depending on the face value, banknotes are not all equally suitable for transaction purposes or as a store of value and are also subject to varying degrees of demand from other countries.

In the following, the transaction balances of ≤ 10 banknotes are examined in greater detail both in Germany (section 2) as well as outside the euro area (section 3) with the help of data obtained during the introduction of the new ES2 series.² The results are summarised in section 4 together with those for the transaction balances of the ≤ 5 banknotes.



Figure 1: Cumulated net issuance of €10 banknotes (€ billion)

Source: Currency Information System (CIS) II.

¹ This is an update of the estimate in section 3.3 of Bartzsch, Rösl & Seitz (2011a).

² The new Europa series of banknotes is the second euro banknote series. To date the ES2 series has been introduced for the following denominations: €5 banknote (on 2 May 2013), € 10 banknote (on 23 September 2014) and € 20 banknote (on 25 November 2015). The core design of the banknotes has not changed. However, the new series has been evolved to include additional security features to further improve counterfeit protection.

2 The volume of €10 banknotes held for transaction purposes in Germany

2.1 Replacement of the old ES1 banknotes

The Bundesbank has been putting the new ES2 €10 banknotes into circulation in Germany since 23 September 2014. To begin with, figure 2 shows the share of the new ES2 banknotes in relation to the Bundesbank's gross monthly outpayments. Since April 2015, this share has stood at roughly 99%. Accordingly, ES1 €10 banknotes have accounted for a share of around 1% of gross outpayments since then. Only remaining stocks of ES1 €10 banknotes are paid out again. ES1 €10 banknotes that flow back to the Bundesbank are filtered out during processing and destroyed.





Source: CIS II.

Figure 2 also shows the share that is accounted for by the new ES2 notes in relation to the Bundesbank's gross monthly inpayments. Inpayments made at the Bundesbank can be assumed to be a representative sample of the banknotes in active circulation in Germany (domestic transaction balances). These inpayment data therefore provide information about the extent to which the ES1 €10 banknotes have already been replaced with ES2 banknotes. Since May 2015, the new series has

accounted for a share of around 95% of the gross inpayments at the Bundesbank. This means that the share of ES2 banknotes held for domestic transaction purposes was roughly the same as the share of these banknotes that was paid out since then (99%). During the period following the launch of the ES2 banknotes at the end of September 2014 up until May 2015, the volume of €10 banknotes held for transaction purposes in Germany therefore appears to have been almost completely replaced.

In order to determine how many €10 banknotes are in active circulation in Germany, we calculate how many banknotes flowed back to the Bundesbank between the end of August 2014 and May 2015. Given that Germany is a net exporter of €10 banknotes (see Figure 1), only a small amount of foreign banknotes end up in Germany. The return flows to the Bundesbank are therefore primarily banknotes from the domestic cash cycle. By adding up these return flows over time, these data can be used to estimate the domestic transaction balance. The sum of the return flows can be determined using the Bundesbank's cumulated net issues. Figure 3 shows the development of the Bundesbank's cumulated net issues, with separate curves for the old and the new series of €10 banknotes. Between the end of August 2014 and May 2015, the cumulated net issues of ES1 banknotes declined from €23.4 billion to €19.6 billion. During this period, the Bundesbank therefore withdrew a net amount of around €3.8 billion old ES1 banknotes from circulation and replaced them with ES2 notes. If it is also taken into consideration that, owing to the parallel issuance of ES1 and ES2 banknotes, (according to the inpayments with the Bundesbank) around 5% of domestic circulation is still accounted for by ES1 notes that have not yet been replaced by ES2 notes, the calculated €3.8 billion makes up around 95% of the domestic transaction balance. Based on this calculation, the domestic transaction balance contains around \notin 4.0 billion (= \notin 3.8/0.95) worth of \notin 10 banknotes.



Figure 3: Cumulated net issuance of €10 banknotes by the Deutsche Bundesbank (€ billion)

Source: CIS II.

2.2 Implications

The cash balance of ≤ 10 banknotes held for domestic transaction purposes of around ≤ 4.0 billion at the end of August 2014 equals 17% of the total amount of ≤ 10 banknotes issued by the Bundesbank. This corresponds to the active circulation of ≤ 10 banknotes in Germany. Each resident living in Germany accounted for approximately five such ≤ 10 banknotes, and not 29 banknotes as estimated on the basis of the net issues.³

These calculations are largely in line with our estimates on the share of the total domestic transaction balance accounted for by banknotes in relation to the Bundesbank's cumulated net issues, which stands at just under 10%. By comparison,

³ It should be noted that the five "German" (issued by the Bundesbank) €10 notes in the transaction balance accounted for by each resident living in Germany on average also include the cash holdings of credit institutions and the volume of change held by retailers. According to an update of the estimate in Deutsche Bundesbank (2009, page 49), the domestic *cash* transaction balance had a value of €34 billion in 2014. €13 billion of this amount was accounted for by households, just over €19 billion by the cash holdings of credit institutions and only just under €2 billion by the volume of change held by retailers; the latter can therefore be disregarded. The cash holdings of credit institutions, on the other hand, arise almost exclusively from domestic transactions. They can therefore be interpreted as an external component of the transaction balance of domestic *cash* transaction balance, *coin holdings* can be disregarded as they only account for an estimated value of around €2 billion. See Altmann & Bartzsch (2014).

the estimated share of the total amount of cash held for transaction purposes that is accounted for by the €10 banknote (approximately 17%) seems quite plausible given that the €10 note is a denomination typically used for payments.

Furthermore, the analysis clearly shows that it is not possible to equate the Bundesbank's cumulated net issues with the volume of cash in active circulation in Germany, ie the volume of cash held for domestic transaction purposes. This should be borne in mind, especially when assessing the level of market penetration of the new banknote series. The cumulated net issues could, for instance, lead one to assume that just over €19 billion in ES1 banknotes are still in active circulation in Germany, whereas in actual fact, these banknotes were taken out of the German cash cycle quite some time ago. A large share of these notes has migrated abroad and has been paid in at other central banks or will at some stage be paid in at these central banks (see Figure 1). A further share may have been permanently hoarded or lost. It is therefore better to use the inpayment flows at the Bundesbank when assessing the level of market penetration of the new series, with the new series accounting for a share of 96% in autumn 2015. Whether the approach outlined in this paper could also be used to calculate the volume of cash held for domestic transaction purposes in other euro-area countries would have to be examined on a case-by-case basis. The calculations are based on the assumption that the domestic demand for euro banknotes is fully met by the Bundesbank's cumulated net issues. This approach is therefore only suitable for countries that are net exporters of the specific denomination under consideration. This assumption is plausible in the case of Germany and the €10 banknote as Germany has, over time, already issued more than 100% of the current aggregate demand for €10 banknotes (see Figure 1). This assumption is not true of other countries, however.

3 Volume of "German" €10 banknotes held for transaction purposes outside the euro area

In section 2, the domestic transaction balance of "German" $\in 10$ notes was derived from the decline in the number of ES1 banknotes after the introduction of the ES2 series. The so-called biometric method is another way to make use of the introduction of the ES2 series. This method allows to estimate the transaction balances of "German" $\in 10$ euro notes outside the euro area with the help of official shipments of banknotes.

3.1 The biometric method

The early 1990s saw the launch of a new series of US dollar banknotes featuring a security thread. Back then, Richard Porter and Ruth Judson, two economists at the Federal Reserve Board, took advantage of this opportunity to estimate the volume of \$50 and \$100 notes in circulation abroad using different methods.⁴ The introduction of the ES2 €10 notes can similarly be used to gauge the volume of "German" €10 banknotes outside the euro area. To this end, use was made of a "biometric" method, originally devised by the Danish biologist Carl Petersen that has also already been applied to the dollar market.⁵ Biologists are often confronted with the problem of not knowing the size of the total population N (eg the number of fish in a lake). By marking newly added fish of population size M and taking a random sample from the lake some time later it is, however, possible to produce a ratio estimator. This allows a conclusion to be drawn concerning the size of the population N. Measuring the volume of cash in circulation presents a similar problem inasmuch as the volumes circulating in Germany and abroad are not known.⁶

The biometric method can be used to estimate the volume of "German" $\in 10$ banknotes held for transaction purposes outside the euro area, the intrinsic assumption being that these notes are in free and random circulation.⁷ Therefore, we can only capture the volume in circulation of "German" $\in 10$ notes held for transaction purposes - these are actually in circulation in non-euro-area countries - and not those being hoarded in these locations. The term "hoarded banknotes" refers to notes that return to central bank branches at a much slower pace than notes in circulation in the narrower sense. This definition encompasses not just notes used as a long-term or temporary store of value but also notes that have gone astray or been destroyed.⁸ If, as presumed, the notes are circulating in an unhindered and random

⁴ See Porter & Judson (1995), page 893; Porter & Judson (1996), section 3.2; U.S. Treasury Department (2006), section 4.2.2; Judson (2012), section IV.B.

⁵ See, e.g., Porter & Judson (1996).

⁶ However, it has been shown that Germany is a net exporter of euro banknotes both to other euroarea countries and to non-euro-area countries (see Bartzsch, Rösl & Seitz (2011a;b).

⁷ Since Germany is a member state of the European monetary union, the term "abroad" refers to two categories of country: other euro-area countries and non-euro-area countries. In this context, the biometric method therefore takes a different approach to that deployed by Porter and Judson for the United States.

⁸ Banknotes paid in to the Bundesbank via shipments stem either from the volume of cash held for transaction purposes or from temporary hoarding. The problem is that it is not possible to visibly discern whether a given note belongs to the former or latter category.

fashion, the share of marked banknotes in the random sample matches the share of marked banknotes among the population as a whole.

$$\frac{M}{N} = \frac{m}{n} \qquad (1).$$

M denotes the collective value of all marked notes (ES2 \leq 10 banknotes). *n* represents the value of all \leq 10 notes belonging to the random sample while *m* denotes the value of all marked \leq 10 notes included in that sample. Solving (1) for *N*, the value of all "German" \leq 10 notes held in non-euro-area countries for transaction purposes, we get

$$N = \frac{n}{m}M \qquad (2).$$

Variables n, m and M on the right-hand side of (2) are derived from official "shipments". This term refers to all €10 banknotes paid in to branches of the Bundesbank in the context of official deliveries of banknotes to countries outside the euro area (shipments).⁹ In other words, the random samples *n* and *m* are only taken from branches handling shipments (branch category 1). The implicit assumption we make is that banknotes in these random samples (forming part of shipments) were brought into circulation by the Bundesbank and not by other Eurosystem central banks. This assumption can be justified by the fact that the vast majority of shipments occurring within the Eurosystem are handled by the Bundesbank (see figure 4). Moreover, since the beginning of 2013, more than 100% of all €10 notes issued by the Eurosystem have emanated from the Bundesbank. Branch category 1 thus comprises branches that strive to satisfy not just the demand coming from within Germany itself but also from other euro-area countries and non-euro-area countries alike. In order of importance, these are first and foremost the Bundesbank's branches in Frankfurt and Mainz, followed by its branches in Freiburg and Villingen-Schwenningen (which "replaced" the Lörrach office), with Berlin and Munich in third place.10

⁹ The shipments are processed by wholesale banks active in the international wholesale banknote market. The inpayments stemming from these shipments correspond to the random sample of fish from a lake mentioned above.

¹⁰ The Lörrach office, which occupied an important position in the international wholesale banknote market in terms of the Swiss banking system's logistical links with the euro cash cycle, was closed on 30 September 2012.



Figure 4: Cumulated net shipments of euro banknotes from Germany and the euro area (€ billion)

Variable *n* denotes the value of all €10 notes (ES1 and ES2) paid in to the above branches in May 2015 *from countries outside the euro area.* Variable *m* stands for the value of all ES2 €10 notes included in this volume. Drawing of the random sample was held off until May 2015 in order to give the €10 notes from the old and new series enough time to achieve a good mix. Inpayment flows at the Bundesbank have been used to assess the level of market penetration of the new series, with the new series accounting for a share of around 95% since May 2015. Apart from via shipments, "German" euro notes are mainly transported abroad to non-euro-area countries either as a result of travel or as remittances.¹¹ These channels are captured only partly by the biometric method.¹² In any case, *N* from equation (2)

Sources: Deutsche Bundesbank and ECB.

¹¹ At the end of 2014, "German" euro notes worth an estimated €261 billion were to be found in circulation outside the euro area. Of this amount, €156 billion stemmed from shipments, with €105 billion arising from foreign travel. These figures represent an extrapolation of the estimate made by Bartzsch, Rösl & Seitz (2011a, section 3.1). No data is available on the denominational break down regarding "German" euro notes exported through travel.

¹² The inpayments at the Bundesbank arising from shipments also include notes which were originally exported from Germany to non-euro-area countries through travel or remittances.

relates only to that share of the volume of "German" €10 notes held for transaction purposes in non-euro-area countries that is attributable to shipments.

Value *M* denoting the volume of ES2 €10 notes brought into circulation by category 1 branches between 23 September 2014 and 30 May 2015 and destined for non-euroarea countries comes to \in 363 million.¹³ The sum total *n* comprising all \in 10 notes (ES1 and ES2) paid in to category 1 branches in May 2015 as shipments from noneuro-area countries amounts to around $\in 29$ million. Value *m* denoting the volume of ES2 \in 10 notes included in *n* totals just over \in 19 million.¹⁴ Therefore, *N*, which constitutes the volume of "German" €10 notes held for transaction purposes outside the euro area, has an estimated value of just over €540 million at the end of May 2015. This estimate represents a lower limit for the volumes of euro cash held for transaction purposes in these countries as no consideration is given to banknotes leaving the country on account of travel abroad or remittances (cash taken or sent by migrants back to their home countries). Viewed in relation to the total volume of "German" €10 banknotes in circulation, which amounted to €24.5 billion at the end of 2014, the estimated cash balance held for transaction purposes outside the euro area accounts for no more than just over 2% of the whole. At first glance this would appear to be a very low figure. But this result was to be expected for a number of reasons. First, a large share of "German" €10 banknotes is to be found in other euroarea countries (see Figure 1). Second, euro banknotes kept outside the euro area are primarily being hoarded.¹⁵ The cumulative value of net shipments of "German" €10 banknotes (in countries outside the euro area) as at the end of 2014 was estimated to have reached a level of €6.2 billion, equivalent to one-quarter of the volume of this denomination in circulation. An estimated share of around 9% of these cumulated net shipments (worth €540 million) relate to transactions. The remaining and bigger share, worth €5.7 billion, is hoarded. At the end of 2014, the total cash

¹³ This represents the cumulative value of net outward payments from Germany to countries outside the euro area (ie the sum total of all outward payments less all inpayments) in the context of the official shipments described above.

¹⁴ According to the ECB (2011) national central banks are obliged to supply monthly data on the importing and exporting of euro notes to and from non-euro-area countries. These figures are meant to be broken down according to denomination using the most accurate estimates available. To this end, the Bundesbank makes use of its cash management system, which records shipment banknotes according to denomination, also differentiating between types ES1 and ES2. Inpayments (imports) data broken down by denomination have only been available since January 2013. For the period between 2002 and 2012 it was, however, possible to derive estimates of cumulative net shipments according to denomination using inpayment shares (in value terms) from the year 2013.

¹⁵ See section 3.4 in Bartzsch, Rösl & Seitz (2011a).

balance of "German" \in 10 banknotes held outside the euro area came to an estimated amount of about \in 8.5 billion.¹⁶ This means that the difference (8.5 bn. – 6.2 bn.) is brought abroad via other channels than official shipments.

How plausible are these estimates? In order to investigate on this question, we use a number of tests to quality-check the results.

<u>Hypothesis 1:</u> "*N* should not be larger than the total volume of shipments of \in 10 notes effected by the Bundesbank since the introduction of euro cash."

N is part of the total volume of shipments. Therefore, it may not exceed the latter. With respect to shipments, only data on the cumulative outpayments are broken down by denomination. Inpayments data have only been broken down by denomination since January 2013. Assuming that the proportion of \in 10 notes among inpayments arising from shipments in 2013 can also be applied to the period between 2002 and 2012, the estimated (net) cumulative value of shipments of \in 10 notes effected by the Bundesbank is found to stand at around \in 6.2 billion at the end of 2014. This figure lies well above the estimated value of *N* of \in 540 million.

<u>Hypothesis 2:</u> "*N* should be lower than transaction balances of \in 10 notes held in Germany, which is replenished by domestic branches engaged in typical domestic activities."

This hypothesis rests on the notion that ≤ 10 notes held in non-euro-area countries are seldom put to use, unlike holdings of such notes in Germany. To put this hypothesis to test, we analyse the following large branches engaged in typical domestic transactions and defined here as branch category 2: Hamburg, Stuttgart, Leipzig and Cologne. Choosing large branches for branch category 2 reduces the risk of distortion by individual customers. M_2 , the value of ES2 ≤ 10 notes brought into circulation by category 2 branches between 23 September 2014 and 30 May 2015 amounts to around ≤ 478 million. Variable n_2 representing the value of all ES1 and ES2 ≤ 10 notes paid in to category 2 branches in May 2015 amounts to around ≤ 564 million. Meanwhile, variable m_2 denoting the volume of ES2 ≤ 10 notes included in n_2 totals around ≤ 38 million.

¹⁶ According to an estimate based on the seasonal method, the volume of "German" €10 notes held outside the euro area rose linearly from just under €2 billion in 2002 to €6 billion in 2009, see section 2.2.2a) in Bartzsch, Rösl & Seitz (2011b). Extrapolating this figure to the end of 2014 yields €8.5 billion.

$$N_2 = \frac{n_2}{m_2} M_2 \qquad (2').$$

In (2'), N_2 , which constitutes the volume of ≤ 10 notes held for transaction purposes in Germany and originally issued by category 2 branches, has an estimated value of about ≤ 500 million. This figure lies below the estimated value for *N* of ≤ 540 million, though only marginally. The latter is therefore compatible with hypothesis 2.

Hypothesis 3: "N should be low"

This hypothesis also works on the assumption that $\in 10$ notes held in non-euro-area countries are seldom used for transaction purposes. Presumably, most euro notes in these countries are being hoarded.¹⁷ At the end of 2014, $N \in 540$ million) made up just 2.2% of the total volume of "German" $\in 10$ notes in circulation ($\in 24.5$ billion).¹⁸ Similarly, when compared with the (estimated) volume of $\in 10$ notes held *in Germany* for transaction purposes amounting to $\in 4.0$ billion (see section 2), N accounts for a modest share (around 14%) of the total figure. The estimated value of N is thus also compatible with hypothesis 3.

4 Summary and conclusions

Table 1 provides an overview of the key estimates and in addition the corresponding results for the transaction balances of the €5 banknotes. The latter have been derived in the same way by making use of the introduction of the new ES2 €5 banknotes on 2 May 2013.

The volume of "German" \in 10 notes arising from shipments and held outside the euro area for transaction purposes accounts for an estimated value of around \in 0.5 billion (as at the end of May 2015). Therefore, of the cumulated net shipments (with an estimated value of about \in 6.2 billion as at the end of 2014) and hence of the total volume of "German" \in 10 notes being held outside the euro area (estimated total of around \in 8.5 billion at the end of 2014) the vast majority of notes are being hoarded.

The (total) volume of "German" \in 10 notes in circulation amounting to \in 24.5 billion, combined with the estimated value of \in 10 notes held for transaction purposes in Germany amounting to \in 4.0 billion and the estimated value of the total volume of

¹⁷ See section 3.4 of Bartzsch, Rösl & Seitz (2011a).

 ¹⁸ At the end of 2014, (cumulative net) shipments of "German" €10 notes (with an estimated value of €6.2 billion) accounted for one quarter of the total volume of "German" €10 notes in circulation.

"German" €10 notes held outside the euro area amounting to €8.5 billion leave a residual value of €12.0 billion. This figure represents half of the cumulated net issues of "German" €10 notes. It comprises all notes hoarded in Germany as well as stocks of notes (hoarded or held for transaction purposes) in other euro area countries. The lion's share of this is presumably to be found in other euro-area countries where the demand for €10 notes is completely provided by the Bundesbank.

Table '	1: (Components	of the	volume	of	"German"	€10	and	€5	notes	in	circulation	(€
billion)		-											-

	€10 note ¹⁾	€5 note ²⁾
Total volume in circulation (cumulated net issues)	24.5	8.5
Banknotes held for transaction purposes in Germany	4.0	1.8
		-
Total volume of banknotes kept outside the euro area	8.5	
of which (cumulated net) shipments	6.2	0.56
of which notes held for transaction purposes	0.5	0.24
of which hoarded	5.7	0.32
Remaining volume ³⁾	12.0	6.1

Sources: Deutsche Bundesbank and authors' own estimates.

Notes: 1) Volume of banknotes held in Germany for transaction purposes as at the end of August 2014; banknotes held for such purpose outside the euro area arising from shipments as at the end of May 2015. All other specified data relate to the end of 2014. 2) Volume of banknotes held in Germany for transaction purposes as at 1 May 2013; banknotes held for such purpose outside the euro area arising from shipments as at the end of January 2014. All other specified data relate to the end of 2013. The total volume of banknote balances outside the euro area could not be estimated with the seasonal method, see Bartzsch, Rösl & Seitz (2011b), section 2.2.2.a) 3) Hoarded in Germany or hoarded/held for transaction purposes in other euro-area countries or – only for €5 note – hoardings/ transaction balances outside the euro area which are not related to shipments.

As in the case of ≤ 10 notes, the share of domestic transaction balances in the total volume of circulation (cumulated net issues) of ≤ 5 notes is about one-fifth. However, the share of cumulated net shipments in total circulation is much higher for the ≤ 10 notes and mainly consists of hoardings while the shares of hoardings and transaction balances in the cumulated net shipments of ≤ 5 notes are almost equal. These differences show that in contrast to the ≤ 10 note the ≤ 5 note is not a typical "foreign demand banknote".

After the remaining ES2 denominations of €20 and above have been brought into circulation and have stirred with the ES1 series, the volume of "German" banknotes held for transaction purposes in Germany and in non-euro-area countries can also be calculated for these denominations using the method presented in this paper.

References

- Altmann, M & N Bartzsch (2014), The volume of euro coins held for transaction purposes in Germany, ROME Discussion Paper, 14-06e.
- Bartzsch, N, G Rösl & F Seitz (2011a), Foreign demand for euro banknotes issued in Germany: estimation using direct approaches, Deutsche Bundesbank Discussion Paper, Series 1, 20/2011.
- Bartzsch, N, G Rösl & F Seitz (2011b), Foreign demand for euro banknotes issued in Germany: estimation using indirect approaches, Deutsche Bundesbank Discussion Paper, Series 1, 21/2011.
- Deutsche Bundesbank (2009), The development and determinants of euro currency in circulation in Germany, Monthly Report, June, pp 45-58.
- ECB (2011), Guideline of the European Central Bank of 9 December 2011 on the statistical reporting requirements of the European Central Bank in the field of external statistics (ECB/2011/23).
- Judson, R (2012), Crisis and calm: Demand for U.S. currency at home and abroad from the fall of the Berlin Wall to 2011, Deutsche Bundesbank (ed.) (2012), The usage, costs and benefits of cash: Theory and evidence from macro and micro data, Frankfurt.
- Porter, R D & R Judson (1995), The location of U.S. currency: How much is abroad?, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System Discussion Paper.
- Porter, R D & R Judson (1996), The location of U.S. currency: How much is abroad?, Federal Reserve Bulletin, 82 (10), pp 889-903.
- U.S. Treasury Department (2006), The use and counterfeiting of United States currency abroad, part 3, September.

The following ROME Discussion Papers have been published since 2007:

1	2007	Quo vadis, Geldmenge? Zur Rolle der Geldmenge für eine moderne Geldpolitik	Egon Görgens Karlheinz Ruckriegel Franz Seitz
2	2007	Money and Inflation. Lessons from the US for ECB Monetary Policy	Ansgar Belke Thorsten Polleit
3	2007	Two-Pillar Monetary Policy and Bootstrap Expectations	Peter Spahn
4	2007	Money and Housing – Evidence for the Euro Area and the US	Claus Greiber Ralph Setzer
5	2007	Interest on Reserves and the Flexibility of Monetary Policy in the Euro Area	Ulrike Neyer
1	2008	Money: A Market Microstructure Approach	Malte Krueger
2	2008	Global Liquidity and House Prices: A VAR Analysis for OECD Countries	Ansgar Belke Walter Orth Ralph Setzer
3	2008	Measuring the Quality of Eligible Collateral	Philipp Lehmbecker Martin Missong
4	2008	The Quality of Eligible Collateral and Monetary Stability: An Empirical Analysis	Philipp Lehmbecker
5	2008	Interest Rate Pass-Through in Germany and the Euro Area	Julia von Borstel
1	2009	Interest Rate Rules and Monetary Targeting: What are the Links?	Christina Gerberding Franz Seitz Andreas Worms
2	2009	Current Account Imbalances and Structural Adjustment in the Euro Area: How to Rebalance Competitiveness	Ansgar Belke Gunther Schnabl Holger Zemanek
3	2009	A Simple Model of an Oil Based Global Savings Glut – The "China Factor" and the OPEC Cartel	Ansgar Belke Daniel Gros
4	2009	Die Auswirkungen der Geldmenge und des Kreditvolumens auf die Immobilienpreise – Ein ARDL-Ansatz für Deutschland	Ansgar Belke

5	2009	Does the ECB rely on a Taylor Rule? Comparing Ex-Post with Real Time Data	Ansgar Belke Jens Klose
6	2009	How Stable Are Monetary Models of the Dollar- Euro Exchange Rate? A Time-varying Coefficient Approach	Joscha Beckmann Ansgar Belke Michael Kühl
7	2009	The Importance of Global Shocks for National Policymakers – Rising Challenges for Central Banks	Ansgar Belke Andreas Rees
8	2009	Pricing of Payments	Malte Krüger
1	2010	(How) Do the ECB and the Fed React to Financial Market Uncertainty? The Taylor Rule in Times of Crisis	Ansgar Belke Jens Klose
2	2010	Monetary Policy, Global Liquidity and Commodity Price Dynamics	Ansgar Belke Ingo G. Bordon Torben W. Hendricks
3	2010	Is Euro Area Money Demand (Still) Stable? Cointegrated VAR versus Single Equation Techniques	Ansgar Belke Robert Czudaj
4	2010	European Monetary Policy and the ECB Rotation Model Voting Power of the Core versus the Periphery	Ansgar Belke Barbara von Schnurbein
5	2010	Short-term Oil Models before and during the Financial Market Crisis	Jörg Clostermann Nikolaus Keis Franz Seitz
6	2010	Financial Crisis, Global Liquidity and Monetary Exit Strategies	Ansgar Belke
7	2010	How much Fiscal Backing must the ECB have? The Euro Area is not the Philippines	Ansgar Belke
8	2010	Staatliche Schuldenkrisen – Das Beispiel Griechenland	Heinz-Dieter Smeets
9	2010	Heterogeneity in Money Holdings across Euro Area Countries: The Role of Housing	Ralph Setzer Paul van den Noord Guntram B. Wolff
10	2010	Driven by the Markets? ECB Sovereign Bond Purchases and the Securities Markets Programme	Ansgar Belke

11	2010	Asset Prices, Inflation and Monetary Control – Re-inventing Money as a Policy Tool	Peter Spahn
12	2010	The Euro Area Crisis Management Framework: Consequences and Institutional Follow-ups	Ansgar Belke
13	2010	Liquiditätspräferenz, endogenes Geld und Finanzmärkte	Peter Spahn
14	2010	Reinforcing EU Governance in Times of Crisis: The Commission Proposals and beyond	Ansgar Belke
01	2011	Current Account Imbalances in the Euro Area: Catching up or Competitiveness?	Ansgar Belke Christian Dreger
02	2011	Volatility Patterns of CDS, Bond and Stock Markets before and during the Financial Crisis: Evidence from Major Financial Institutions	Ansgar Belke Christian Gokus
03	2011	Cross-section Dependence and the Monetary Exchange Rate Model – A Panel Analysis	Joscha Beckmann Ansgar Belke Frauke Dobnik
04	2011	Ramifications of Debt Restructuring on the Euro Area – The Example of Large European Econo- mies' Exposure to Greece	Ansgar Belke Christian Dreger
05	2011	Currency Movements Within and Outside a Currency Union: The Case of Germany and the Euro Area	Nikolaus Bartzsch Gerhard Rösl Franz Seitz
01	2012	Effects of Global Liquidity on Commodity and Food Prices	Ansgar Belke Ingo Bordon Ulrich Volz
02	2012	Exchange Rate Bands of Inaction and Play- Hysteresis in German Exports – Sectoral Evidence for Some OECD Destinations	Ansgar Belke Matthias Göcke Martin Günther
03	2012	Do Wealthier Households Save More? The Impact of the Demographic Factor	Ansgar Belke Christian Dreger Richard Ochmann
04	2012	Modifying Taylor Reaction Functions in Presence of the Zero-Lower-Bound – Evidence for the ECB and the Fed	Ansgar Belke Jens Klose

05	2012	Interest Rate Pass-Through in the EMU – New Evidence from Nonlinear Cointegration Techniques for Fully Harmonized Data	Joscha Beckmann Ansgar Belke Florian Verheyen
06	2012	Monetary Commitment and Structural Reforms: A Dynamic Panel Analysis for Transition Economies	Ansgar Belke Lukas Vogel
07	2012	The Credibility of Monetary Policy Announce- ments: Empirical Evidence for OECD Countries since the 1960s	Ansgar Belke Andreas Freytag Jonas Keil Friedrich Schneider
01	2013	The Role of Money in Modern Macro Models	Franz Seitz Markus A. Schmidt
02	2013	Sezession: Ein gefährliches Spiel	Malte Krüger
03	2013	A More Effective Euro Area Monetary Policy than OMTs – Gold Back Sovereign Debt	Ansgar Belke
04	2013	Towards a Genuine Economic and Monetary Union – Comments on a Roadmap	Ansgar Belke
05	2013	Impact of a Low Interest Rate Environment – Global Liquidity Spillovers and the Search-for- yield	Ansgar Belke
06	2013	Exchange Rate Pass-Through into German Import Prices – A Disaggregated Perspective	Joscha Beckmann Ansgar Belke Florian Verheyen
07	2013	Foreign Exchange Market Interventions and the \$- ¥ Exchange Rate in the Long Run	Joscha Beckmann Ansgar Belke Michael Kühl
08	2013	Money, Stock Prices and Central Banks – Cross- Country Comparisons of Cointegrated VAR Models	Ansgar Belke Marcel Wiedmann
09	2013	3-Year LTROs – A First Assessment of a Non- Standard Policy Measure	Ansgar Belke
10	2013	Finance Access of SMEs: What Role for the ECB?	Ansgar Belke
11	2013	Doomsday for the Euro Area – Causes, Variants and Consequences of Breakup	Ansgar Belke Florian Verheyen
12	2013	Portfolio Choice of Financial Investors and Euro- pean Business Cycle Convergence – A Panel Analysis for EU Countries	Ansgar Belke Jennifer Schneider

13	2013	Exports and Capacity Constraints – A Smooth Transition Regression Model for Six Euro Area Countries	Ansgar Belke Anne Oeking Ralph Setzer
14	2013	The Transmission of Oil and Food Prices to Consumer Prices – Evidence for the MENA countries	Ansgar Belke Christian Dreger
15	2013	Target-balances: The Greek Example	Malte Krüger
16	2013	Competitiveness, Adjustment and Macroeconomic Risk Management in the Eurozone	Peter Spahn
17	2013	Contextualizing Systemic Risk	Lukas Scheffknecht
01	2014	Exit Strategies and Their Impact on the Euro Area – A Model Based View	Ansgar Belke
02	2014	Monetary Dialogue 2009-2014: Looking backward, looking forward	Ansgar Belke
03	2014	Non-Standard Monetary Policy Measures – Magic Wand or Tiger by the Tail?	Ansgar Belke
04	2014	Böhm-Bawerk und die Anfänge der monetären Zinstheorie	Peter Spahn
05	2014	State-of-play in Implementing Macroeconomic Adjustment Programmes in the Euro Area	Daniel Gros Cinzia Alcidi Ansgar Belke Leonor Coutinho Alessandro Giovannini
06	2014	Der Transaktionskassenbestand von Euro-Münzen in Deutschland	Markus Altmann Nikolaus Bartzsch
06e	2014	The Volume of Euro Coins held for Transaction Purposes in Germany	Markus Altmann Nikolaus Bartzsch
07	2014	Labor Market Reforms and Current Account Imbalances – Beggar-thy-neighbor Policies in a Currency Union?	Timo Baas Ansgar Belke
08	2014	Do the poor pay for card rewards of the rich?	Malte Krüger
09	2014	The Bank Lending Channel in a Simple Macro Model – How to Extend the Taylor Rule?	Peter Spahn
10	2014	The Relevance of International Spillovers and Asymmetric Effects in the Taylor Rule	Joscha Beckmann Ansgar Belke Christian Dreger

11	2014	Sacrifice Ratios for Euro Area Countries: New Evidence on the Costs of Price Stability	Ansgar Belke Tobias Böing
01	2015	Zins- und Wohlfahrtseffekte extremer Niedrigzins- politik für die Sparer in Deutschland	Gerhard Rösl Karl-Heinz Tödter
02	2015	Banking Union as a Shock Absorber	Ansgar Belke Daniel Gros
03	2015	The Demand for Euro Banknotes Issued in Germany: Structural Modelling and Forecasting	Nikolaus Bartzsch Franz Seitz Ralph Setzer
04	2015	Planned Fiscal Consolidations and Growth Forecast Errors – New Panel Evidence on Fiscal Multipliers	Ansgar Belke Dominik Kronen Thomas Osowski
05	2015	Exchange Rate Bands of Inaction and Play- Hysteresis in Greek Exports to the Euro Area, the US and Turkey – Sectoral Evidence	Ansgar Belke Dominik Kronen
06	2015	Beyond Balassa and Samuelson: Real Convergence, Capital Flows, and Competitiveness in Greece	Ansgar Belke Ulrich Haskamp Gunther Schnabl Holger Zemanek
07	2015	Regional Bank Efficiency and its Effect on Regional Growth in "Normal" and "Bad" Times	Ansgar Belke Ulrich Haskamp Ralph Setzer
08	2015	Brexit: Chance oder Untergang für Großbritannien	Markus Penatzer
09	2015	Did Quantitative Easing affect interest rates outside the US? New evidence based on interest rate differentials	Ansgar Belke Daniel Gros Thomas Osowski
01	2016	Transaction Balances: From accounting and economics to biology	Nikolaus Bartzsch Franz Seitz