

DIW Berlin / SOEP (Ed.)

**Research Report**

## SOEP-Core v32 - Documentation of person-related status and generated variables in \$PGEN

SOEP Survey Papers, No. 411

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin)

*Suggested Citation:* DIW Berlin / SOEP (Ed.) (2017) : SOEP-Core v32 - Documentation of person-related status and generated variables in \$PGEN, SOEP Survey Papers, No. 411, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (DIW), Berlin

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/155344>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

## SOEP Survey Papers

Series D – Variable Descriptions and Coding

# SOEP-Core v32 – Documentation of Person-related Status and Generated Variables in \$PGEN

Running since 1984, the German Socio-Economic Panel study (SOEP) is a wide-ranging representative longitudinal study of private households, located at the German Institute for Economic Research, DIW Berlin.

The aim of the SOEP Survey Papers Series is to thoroughly document the survey's data collection and data processing. The SOEP Survey Papers is comprised of the following series:

Series A – Survey Instruments (Erhebungsinstrumente)

Series B – Survey Reports (Methodenberichte)

Series C – Data Documentation (Datendokumentationen)

Series D – Variable Descriptions and Coding

Series E – SOEPmonitors

Series F – SOEP Newsletters

Series G – General Issues and Teaching Materials

The SOEP Survey Papers are available at <http://www.diw.de/soepsurveyspapers>

Editors:

Dr. Jan Goebel, DIW Berlin

Prof. Dr. Martin Kroh, DIW Berlin and Humboldt Universität Berlin

Prof. Dr. Carsten Schröder, DIW Berlin and Freie Universität Berlin

Prof. Dr. Jürgen Schupp, DIW Berlin and Freie Universität Berlin

Please cite this paper as follows:

SOEP Group, 2017. SOEP-Core v32 – Documentation of Person-related Status and Generated Variables in \$PGEN. SOEP Survey Papers 411: Series D – Variable Descriptions and Coding. Berlin: DIW Berlin/SOEP



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

© 2017 by SOEP

ISSN: 2193-5580 (online)

DIW Berlin

German Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP)

Mohrenstr. 58

10117 Berlin

Germany

[soepapers@diw.de](mailto:soepapers@diw.de)

# SOEP-Core v32 – Documentation of Person-related Status and Generated Variables in \$PGEN

SOEP Group

2017

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>General Information</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Identifiers</b>	<b>4</b>
	persnr – Never Changing Person Id . . . . .	4
	hhnr – Original Household Number . . . . .	4
	hhnrakt – hhnrakt Current Wave HH Number (=hhnr) [generic] . . . . .	4
	\$hhnr – Current Wave Number (=HHNRAKT) [generic] . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Own Nationality</b>	<b>4</b>
	nation\$\$ – Nationality [generic] . . . . .	4
<b>4</b>	<b>Family Status and Partnership</b>	<b>5</b>
	partz\$\$ – Partner Indicator [generic] . . . . .	5
	partnr\$\$ – Partner Person Number [generic] . . . . .	6
	\$famstd – Marital Status In Survey Year [generic] . . . . .	6
<b>5</b>	<b>Wages and Salary</b>	<b>7</b>
	labgro\$\$ – Current Gross Labor Income in Euro [generic] . . . . .	7
	impgro\$\$ – Imputation flag for Gross Income [generic] . . . . .	8
	labnet\$\$ – Current Net Labor Income in Euro [generic] . . . . .	8
	impnet\$\$ – Imputation flag for Net Income [generic] . . . . .	8
	sndjob\$\$ – Current Gross Secondary Income In Euro [generic] . . . . .	9
	impsnd\$\$ – Imputation Flag For SNDJOBxx [generic] . . . . .	9
<b>6</b>	<b>Current Employment Status</b>	<b>9</b>
	stib\$\$ – Occupational Position [generic] . . . . .	9
	emplst\$\$ – Employment Status [generic] . . . . .	11
	lfs\$\$ – Labor Force Status [generic] . . . . .	11
	jobch\$\$ – Occupational Change [generic] . . . . .	12
	autono\$\$ – Autonomy In Occupational Actions [generic] . . . . .	14
<b>7</b>	<b>Current Occupation</b>	<b>15</b>
	isco88_\$\$ – Current Occupational Classification (ISCO-88 Com) [generic] . . . . .	15
	isco08_\$\$ – Current Occupational Classification (ISCO-08) [generic] . . . . .	16
	isei88_\$\$ – Last Reached Isei Value (International Socio-Economic Index) [generic] . . . . .	17
	kldb92_\$\$ – Current Occupational Classification (KldB92) [generic] . . . . .	18
	kldb2010_\$\$ – Current Occupational Classification (KldB2010) [generic] . . . . .	19
	mps92_\$\$ – Last Reached Mps Value (Magnitude-Prestige-Skale, Wegener) [generic] . . . . .	19
	siops88_\$\$ – Last Reached Siops Value (Std. Internat. Occupational Prestige Scale) [generic] . . . . .	20
	egp88_\$\$ – Last Reached Egp Value (Erikson, Goldthorpe, Portocarero) [generic] . . . . .	21
	erljob\$\$ – Working In Occupation Trained For [generic] . . . . .	22
	ausb\$\$ – Required Training For Job [generic] . . . . .	23
	\$erwzeit – Length Of Time With Firm [generic] . . . . .	23
	\$tatzeit – Actual Work Time Per Week [generic] . . . . .	24
	\$vebzeit – Agreed Upon Work Time Per Week [generic] . . . . .	24
	\$uebstd – Overtime Per Week [generic] . . . . .	25
	oeffd\$\$ – Civil Service [generic] . . . . .	25
	nace\$\$ – 2 Digit NACE Industry, Sector [generic] . . . . .	26
	betr\$\$ – Size of the Company [generic] . . . . .	27
	allbet\$\$ – Core Category Size Of The Company [generic] . . . . .	28

<b>8</b>	<b>Last Occupation</b>	<b>28</b>
	jobend\$\$ – Reasons for occupational change [generic] . . . . .	28
<b>9</b>	<b>Employment History</b>	<b>30</b>
	expft\$\$ – Working Experience Full-Time Employment [generic] . . . . .	30
	exppt\$\$ – Working Experience Part-Time Employment [generic] . . . . .	30
	expue\$\$ – Unemployment Experience [generic] . . . . .	31
<b>10</b>	<b>School, Higher and Vocational Education</b>	<b>32</b>
	isc97_\$\$ – ISCED-1997-Classification [generic] . . . . .	32
	isc11_\$\$ – ISCED-2011-Classification [generic] . . . . .	33
	casmin\$\$ – CASMIN Classification [generic] . . . . .	34
	\$bilzeit – Amount Of Education Or Training In Years [generic] . . . . .	34
	\$psbil – School-Leaving Degree [generic] . . . . .	35
	\$pbbil01 – Vocational Degree Received [generic] . . . . .	35
	\$pbbil02 – College Degree [generic] . . . . .	36
	\$pbbil03 – No Vocational Degree [generic] . . . . .	36
	\$psbilo – School-Leaving Degree East Germany [generic] . . . . .	37
	\$pbbilo – Vocational Degree Received East Germany [generic] . . . . .	37
	\$psbila – School-Leaving Degree Outside Germany [generic] . . . . .	38
	\$pbbila – Vocational Degree Outside Germany [generic] . . . . .	38
	field\$\$ – Field of tertiary education [generic] . . . . .	39
	degree\$\$ – Type of tertiary degree [generic] . . . . .	40
	traina\$\$ – Apprenticeship - two-digit occupation KldB92 [generic] . . . . .	42
	trainb\$\$ – Vocational school - two-digit occupation KldB92 [generic] . . . . .	44
	trainc\$\$ – Higher vocational school - two-digit occupation KldB92 [generic] . . . . .	45
	traind\$\$ – Civil servant training - two-digit occupation KldB92 [generic] . . . . .	46
	fdt_f\$\$ – Data source FIELD, DEGREE, TRAIN [generic] . . . . .	47
	bilztch\$\$ – Change in Education since last survey / last year [generic] . . . . .	47
	bilztev\$\$ – Change in Education, total observed period [generic] . . . . .	48
<b>11</b>	<b>Information on the Interview</b>	<b>48</b>
	month\$\$ – Month Of Interview [generic] . . . . .	48
	mode\$\$ – Interview Method [generic] . . . . .	49

## 1 General Information

The \$PGEN-files contain user friendly data on the individual level which are consolidated from different sources. The plausibility is in many respects longitudinally validated, therefore the data here are in most situations superior compared to the data in \$P.

The file contains one row for each person (persnr is unique) with a completed personal or youth questionnaire. These are the persons where in PPFAD \$netto has the values 10–17 or 19 which is equivalent for values 1 and 5 in \$netold.

While frequencies are calculated from the most recent \$PGEN the here presented information is basically valid for all \$PGEN files. Therefore many variable names depicted here have the generic form \$name or name\$\$ and are flagged with [generic].

From version v32 on ERWTYP is not a part of data delivery. The information related to ERWTYP categories can be found in the variable(s) JOBCH\$\$, EMPLST\$\$, LFS\$\$.

## 2 Identifiers

### **persnr** – Never Changing Person Id

---

The central individual identifier across time is PERSNR, which is fixed over time (and of course datasets).

### **hhnr** – Original Household Number

---

The identifier of the household, when it is sampled and selected for interviewing for the first time. The hhnr is attached to all persons living in this household and all new persons inherit this identifier, when they are born or move in a SOEP household. It is fixed no matter how often a person changes the household in the course of time.

### **hhnrakt** – hhnrakt Current Wave HH Number (=hhnr) [generic]

---

This identifier groups all persons into households at the time of the most recent wave. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

### **\$hhnr** – Current Wave Number (=HHNRAKT) [generic]

---

This identifier groups all persons into households at the time of the most recent wave. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

## 3 Own Nationality

### **nation\$\$** – Nationality [generic]

---

1	[1] Germany	23659
2	[2] Turkey	473
3	[3] Ex-Yugoslavia	6
4	[4] Greece	230
5	[5] Italy	332
6	[6] Spain	119
7	[7] Ex-GDR (Country Of Origin Only)	0
10	[10] Austria	71
11	[11] France	45

12	[12] Benelux	0
13	[13] Denmark	11
14	[14] Great Britain	38
15	[15] Sweden	9
16	[16] Norway	5
17	[17] Finland	9
...	(157 rows omitted)	2734
175	[175] Grenada	0
176	[176] Lesotho	0
177	[177] Bhutan	0
178	[178] Rwanda	0
179	[179] Malawi	0
180	[180] Bessarabia	0
183	[183] Niger	2
222	[222] Eastern Europe	0
333	[333] Other Unspecified Foreign Country	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

This variable is designed to integrate the information on respondent's nationality for all subsamples. Since some members of Sample B (persons with Turkish, Italian, Spanish, Greek, and Yugoslavian citizenship) received the question items in their own language up to 1995, to carry out an integrated analysis with Sample B, the user must obtain this information from the corresponding \$PAUSL files and add it to the individual data. The variable NATION\$\$ thus offers a variable on nationality for all subsamples. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

## 4 Family Status and Partnership

**partz\$\$** – Partner Indicator [generic]

0	[0] No partner	8862
1	[1] Spouse, registered partner	15962
2	[2] Partner	2666
3	[3] Probably spouse, registered partner	137
4	[4] Probably partner	111
-1	[-1] No Answer	5
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all



The variable PARTZ\$\$ generated in the context of the partner identifier (PARTNR\$\$) to describe whether a person in a SOEP household has a partner in that household, and if so, the type of relationship existing between the partners. Relationships with persons outside the SOEP household are not covered by this variable.

To explain the codes:

Code 0 is assigned to all single persons living in households and those with partners outside the household. Codes 1 to 4 describe relationships. To assign Codes 1 and 2, the partnership has to be definable from the perspective of both partners unanimously. If conflicting information exists between partners, the codes 3 or 4 are assigned. If it is unclear whether an individual has no partner or whether she forms a couple with one other household member, we assign the code -1. Registered partnerships (civil unions) for same-sex couples were introduced in Germany in 2001. Though, registered partnerships are legally not equal to marriage, they are listed in the same category. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Martin Kroh (Tel. +49-30-89789-678)

### partnr\$\$ – Partner Person Number [generic]

---

Waves: all

Partner indicators have the purpose of defining couples in SOEP households and thus to make possible analyses on the dyadic level. Persons without spouse and (cohabitating) partner receive a missing code “-2” (=does not apply). Also, the variable PARTZ\$\$ is coded -1, 0, 3, 4 in these cases. In couples, PARTNR\$\$ is the value of the unchanging person ID number (=PERSNR) of the partner. The assignment of the partner ID within households is based on four sources of information: A question in the person-file, that asks (unmarried) respondents to identify their partner in the household (bfp15002 in 2015), the household matrix reported by the head of household at the beginning of the interview (bfstell in 2015), the partnership biography in the lifehistory calendar reported by new respondents (see also, biomars), and self-reports on marital status and life events, such as marriage, move in with partner, separation, etc. In unclear cases, due to temporal non-response for instance, we also consider longitudinal information from previous and prospective waves. Moreover, PARTNR\$\$ is self-consistent between two individuals. For analyses of partner relationships, this information can be used to link all persons with their respective partners, and all information on both partners can also be stored in a common dataset. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Martin Kroh (Tel. +49-30-89789-678)

### \$famstd – Marital Status In Survey Year [generic]

---

1	[1] Married	15844
2	[2] Married, But Separated	269
3	[3] Single	7285
4	[4] Divorced	2341
5	[5] Widowed	1390
6	[6] husband/wife abroad	0
7	[7] Registered Same-Sex Partnership, Living Together	52
8	[8] Registered Same-Sex Partnership, Living Apart	8
-1	[-1] No Answer	27
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	526

-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	1
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* 1984-2012

Marital status is describing the institutional status of marriage at the time of the person interview. Marital status is based on information given by the respective person on his or her current relationship as well as on retrospective information about previous relationships asked in the biography questionnaire. Information on marital status when a child was born (provided in the biography information) is not used here, so contradicting information to BIOBIRTH might still be possible. For those whose partner was identified within the household, marital status is counter-checked with the information given by the partner. Where contradictions can be found, indication of the person information is compiled if reasonable. If no information is available, the indication by position related to head of household is deferred. Remaining contradictions are solved using information on marriage status when a child was born as well as future reports on a given relationship. Marital status is only available for people, who were interviewed. Data on marital status provided here is generated from and hence consistent with the corresponding BIOMARSY data, although value labels differ between \$FAMSTD and SPELLTYP. Note that marital status in a specific wave can be different between data distributions due to consistency checks using up-to-date information from following waves. Because of the newly introduced construction process of BIOMARSY, changes might be more than usual. Above, the partner indicator PARTZ\$\$ supplied in the \$PGEN data files as well might not match the information provided in \$FAMSTD in its entirety. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.] *For more information, contact:* Paul Schmelzer (Tel. +49-30-89789-526, pschmelzer@diw.de)

## 5 Wages and Salary

### labgro\$\$ – Current Gross Labor Income in Euro [generic]

*Waves:* all

The variable LABGRO\$\$ represents the imputed current gross labor income generated for all SOEP respondents who are employed in a main job in each respective wave. Income details are consistently provided in euros for all waves. Item nonresponse is imputed in a two-stage procedure: first, with the “Row-and-Column” method of Little und Su (1989) using individual longitudinal data as well as cross-sectional trend data (cf. Joachim R. Frick and Markus M. Grabka (2005): Item-Non-Response on Income Questions in Panel surveys: Incidence, Imputation and the Impact on the Income Distribution. Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv (ASTA) 89, 49-61). Alternatively, if no individual longitudinal information is available, we base the imputation on a regression using different Mincer covariates, also taking into account current net labor income. If both types of income information are lacking, first we impute current net labor income and then current gross labor income. Imputed values are flagged (IMPGRO\$\$).

The original variables coming from the \$P-files and are: ap3301, bp4301, cp5201, dp4401, ep4401, fp4501, gp4301, hp5401, ip5401, jp5401, kp6401, lp5301, mp4701, np5401, op4501, pp6001, qp5601, rp5701, sp5801, tp7601, up5901, vp7101, wp5901, xp7301, yp6801, zp7201, bap6101, bbp7301, bcp5901, bdp7701, bep5701. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Markus Grabka (Tel. +49-30-89789-339 / mgrabka@diw.de)

**impgro\$\$** – Imputation flag for Gross Income [generic]

0	[0] Not imputed	15270
1	[1] Imputed	1488
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	10985
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

The variable IMPGRO\$\$ designates imputations of item non-response in the variable LABGRO\$\$ (current gross labor income). IMPGRO\$\$ can take the value 0 = “no imputation”, 1 = “imputed income statement” and - 2 = “does not apply, not working”. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Markus Grabka (Tel. +49-30-89789-339 / mgrabka@diw.de)

**labnet\$\$** – Current Net Labor Income in Euro [generic]

Waves: all

The variable LABNET\$\$ represents the generated and imputed current net labor income in a main job of all persons in SOEP working in the respective wave. Income details are consistently provided in euros for all waves. The imputation of item nonresponse takes place in a two-stage procedure: first, with the “Row-and- Column” method of Little und Su (1989) using individual longitudinal data as well as cross-sectional trend data (cf. Joachim R. Frick and Markus M. Grabka (2005): Item-Non-Response on Income Questions in Panel surveys: Incidence, Imputation and the Impact on the Income Distribution. Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv (ASTA) 89, 49-61). Alternatively, if no individual longitudinal information is available, we base the imputation on a regression using different Mincer covariates, also taking into account current gross labor income. If both types of income information are lacking, first we impute current gross labor income and then current net labor income.

Imputed values are flagged (IMPNET\$\$).

The original variables coming from the \$P-files and are: ap3302, bp4302, cp5202, dp4402, ep4402, fp4502, gp4302, hp5402, ip5402, jp5402, kp6402, lp5302, mp4702, np5402, op4502, pp6002, qp5602, rp5702, sp5802, tp7602, up5902, vp7102, wp5902, xp7302, yp6802, zp7202, bap6102, bbp7302, bcp5902, bdp7702, bep5702. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Markus Grabka (Tel. +49-30-89789-339 / mgrabka@diw.de)

**impnet\$\$** – Imputation flag for Net Income [generic]

0	[0] Not imputed	15598
1	[1] Imputed	1160
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	10985
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

The variable IMPNET\$\$ designates imputations of item non-response in the variable LABNET\$\$ (current net labor income). IMPNET\$\$ can take the value 0 = “no imputation”, 1 = “imputed income statement” and - 2 = “does not apply, not working”. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Markus Grabka (Tel. +49-30-89789-339 / mgrabka@diw.de)

### sndjob\$\$ – Current Gross Secondary Income In Euro [generic]

---

Waves: all

The variable SNDJOB\$\$ represents the imputed current gross labor income from second job generated for all SOEP respondents in each respective wave. Income details are consistently provided in euros for all waves. Item nonresponse is imputed in a two-stage procedure: first, with the “Row-and-Column” method of Little und Su (1989) using individual longitudinal data as well as cross-sectional trend data (cf. Joachim R. Frick and Markus M. Grabka (2005): Item-Non-Response on Income Questions in Panel surveys: Incidence, Imputation and the Impact on the Income Distribution. Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv (ASTA) 89, 49–61). Alternatively, if no individual longitudinal information is available, we base the imputation on a regression using a subset of different Mincer covariates. Information about gross income from second job is firstly asked in wave 1995 (L). In 2013 information about income from second jobs was not collected for sub-sample M. We use information from the the subsequent survey year (2013) about income from second job in the previous year (variable BEP2C03). If persons from the sub-sample M stated that he/she had income in the previous year from second jobs, then IMPSND13 was set to -1 and SNDJOB\$\$ had been imputed. Imputed values are flagged (IMPSND\$\$).

The original variables coming from the \$P-files and are: lp7702, mp5802, np5802, op4902, pp6602, qp6302, rp6602, sp6602, tp8402, up67, vp79, wp70, xp81, yp76, zp78, bap69, bbp79, bcp67, bdp85, bep64. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Markus Grabka (Tel. +49-30-89789-339 / mgrabka@diw.de)

### impsnd\$\$ – Imputation Flag For SNDJOBxx [generic]

---

0	1515
1	165
-2	26063

Waves: all

The variable IMPSND\$\$ designates imputations of itemnonresponse in the variable SNDJOB\$\$ (current gross labor income from second job). IMPSND\$\$ can take the value 0 = “no imputation”, 1 = “imputed income statement” and - 2 = “does not apply, not working”. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Markus Grabka (Tel. +49-30-89789-339 / mgrabka@diw.de)

## 6 Current Employment Status

### stib\$\$ – Occupational Position [generic]

---

0	[0] Do Not Know	0
---	-----------------	---

10	[10] Not Employed	1959
11	[11] In Education	1131
12	[12] Unemployed, Not Employer	1740
13	[13] Pensioner	5539
15	[15] Military, Community Service	54
110	[110] Apprentice	0
120	[120] Apprentice,Trainee Industry Technology	471
130	[130] Apprentice, Trainee Trade And Commerce	237
140	[140] Trainee, Intern	94
150	[150] Aspirant	0
210	[210] Untrained Worker	856
220	[220] Semi-Trained Worker	1406
230	[230] Trained Worker	1231
240	[240] Foreman, Team Leader	174
...	(21 rows omitted)	3031
522	[522] Trained Employee With Simple Tasks	1443
530	[530] Qualified Professional	4107
540	[540] H. Qualified Professional	2372
550	[550] Managerial	244
610	[610] Low-Level Civil Service	31
620	[620] Middle-Level Civil Service	201
630	[630] High-Level Civil Service	466
640	[640] Executive Civil Service	291
999	[999] Employed Without StiB Info	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	312
-2	[-2] Does not apply	353
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

The variable represents a compilation of all relevant information on current occupational position. It is generated by combining information on “occupational group”, “unemployed (yes/no)”, “military/community service”, “in education (yes/no)”, and “pensioner”. A hierarchical scheme is used to determine which data is given precedence when a variety of divergent information exists (increasing dominance):

value	label
10	not employed
13	pensioner
11	currently in education
15	military / community service
12	registered unemployed
110-150	apprentice
410-440	self-employed
210-250	manual laborer
510-550	employee
610-640	civil service

The categories (150) and (310) to (340) were only assigned to respondents in East Germany in 1990. In STIB\$\$, non-working persons are only assigned to the category (13) “pensioner” if they are recipients of retirement pension or if they are recipients of widow’s pension AND are older than 60 years. Moreover, if there is missing information on pension receipt, additional information from ARTKALEN (retrospective information from the activity calendar for the previous year) is used in the generation process to determine if a person was in retirement or early retirement (Vorruhestand) at the time of the interview.

The code (-2) is assigned to first time respondents aged 16 or 17 who answer the youth questionnaire (since wave W (2006)). [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

### emplst\$\$ – Employment Status [generic]

---

1	[1] Full-Time Employment	10212
2	[2] Regular Part-Time Employment	3993
3	[3] Vocational Training	800
4	[4] Marginal, Irregular Part-Time Employment	1866
5	[5] Not Employed	10837
6	[6] Sheltered workshop	35
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

This variable is generated from the annual question on current employment status, which has a central filter function in the questionnaire to separate employed people from non-employed people for further questions. It is designed to provide consistent longitudinal data on employment status across all waves.

Since the beginning of the SOEP in the year 1984, a consistent status variable has been used to differentiate among different types of employment status. The category “not employed” comprises non-working individuals, those in military/community service, those on maternity leave, and employed persons in a phased retirement scheme (Altersteilzeit) whose current actual working hours are zero. From 1998 on, the additional category “sheltered workshop” is included for disabled persons in sheltered employment.

EMPLST\$\$ supplements the variable LFS\$\$, which differentiates among persons who are not employed. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

### lfs\$\$ – Labor Force Status [generic]

---

1	[1] Non-Working	2088
2	[2] NW-Age 65 And Older	4577
3	[3] NW-In Education-Training	1193
4	[4] NW-Maternity Leave	590

5	[5] NW-Military-Community Service	13
6	[6] NW-Unemployed	1551
8	[8] NW-But Sometimes Sec. Job	280
9	[9] NW-but work past 7 days	215
10	[10] NW-But Reg. Sec. Job	246
11	[11] Working	16660
12	[12] Working But NW Past 7 Days	330
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

This variable is based on the annual question on current employment status, combined with additional information on activities of non-working individuals. The number of values assigned has been based, since the beginning of the SOEP in the year 1984, on a large number of highly differentiated answer categories. It is designed to provide consistent longitudinal data on labor force participation across all waves.

LFS\$\$ provides a differentiation between “working” (Code 11–12) and “non-working” (Code 1–10), categories which are constant over all waves. Non-employment is subdivided further in order to make it possible to efficiently apply different labor market concepts in studying the data. To calculate this variable, the variables on employment status, age, maternity leave, second jobs, registration at the employment office, participation in paid work during the past 7 days and training status are used. Code (12) was added in 2000.

For respondents who have multiple status codes and different values for this variable, the following hierarchy was used to determine which of the values would play the determining role (increasing dominance):

value	label
11	working
1	non-working without further information
2	non-working, and older than 65
3	non-working, and currently in a training program
6	non-working, and registered unemployed
4	non-working, on maternity leave
5	non-working, in military/community service
9	non-working, but working past 7 days
10	non-working, but regular second job
8	non-working, but occasional second job
12	working, but non-working past 7 days

LFS\$\$ supplements the variable EMPLST\$\$, which differentiates among persons who are employed. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]  
*For more information, contact:* Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

### **jobch\$\$** – Occupational Change [generic]

1	[1] Not Employed	10748
---	------------------	-------

2	[2] Employed No Change	12666
3	[3] Employed No Info If Change	1431
4	[4] Employed With Change	2616
5	[5] First Time Employed	277
-1	[-1] No Answer	5
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

This variable indicates a change of job since the previous interview for respondents with a follow-up interview, whereas for first-time respondents, the information refers to a change of job since the beginning of the previous year.

JOCHCH\$\$ is generated based on the central filter variable, which indicates whether a respondent has changed jobs since the beginning of the previous year. A job change can be within one firm as well as a change to another firm. Information on the date of job change is then combined with interview month of the previous year's interview to identify whether a new job change has taken place since the previous interview.

Hence, JOBCH\$\$ indicates whether a respondent has changed jobs since the beginning of the previous year. The variable is calculated for all waves, and the codes are assigned independently of the respondent being a first-time or follow-up respondent.

The variable is also designed to identify respondents who have entered employment for the first time. Up to 1993, first-time respondents did not answer the question about job change. Therefore, for first-time respondents up to 1993, the variable was generated by using the information on the start date with the current employer and the respondent's age at entrance into his/her first job.

The variable is also designed to provide consistent longitudinal information on job changes. The JOBCH\$\$ variable is generated by correcting the original job change information in various ways:

1. We check whether the job changes stated by a respondent in two consecutive interviews refer to one and the same job change. The date of the job change and the interview month are used to correct double entries.
2. If the respondent indicates a job change with a date before the previous interview but did not state a job change in the previous interview, this is coded as a job change in the current interview.
3. If a respondent indicates no job change and was not employed at the time of the previous interview, this is coded as "no job change" despite the seeming implausibility, since there are possible explanations how this information could be plausible, e.g. if there were short-term employment spells between two interview dates.
4. Respondents can be "first-time employed" only once. If a respondent states being "first-time employed" for a second time, this is coded as "employed, with change".

In 2013 the respondents of the newly introduced migration sample were not asked whether they have changed jobs since the beginning of the previous year, therefore the generation of JOBCH\$\$ for the migration sample was modified in 2013:

1. Respondents who are not employed were coded (1).
2. Respondents who are still in the same occupation and position and are working for the same employer as they had worked in their first job in Germany were coded (2).



3. Respondents who have entered the firm they are currently working after the 31th of December 2011 were coded (4).
4. If a respondent is in her first vocational training this was coded as (5).
5. Respondents who are employed but for whom no further information could be used were coded (3). In 2014 there was again a uniform questionnaire for all respondents.

In 2015 the respondents of the newly introduced migration sample (M2) were not asked whether they have changed jobs since the beginning of the previous year. Furthermore respondent within migration sample M1 are decomposed in first-time respondents with and follow-up respondents without question about job change in the previous year embodied by the questionnaire. such that generation of JOBCH\$\$ for the migration sample in 2015 was following:

1. For follow-up respondents generation as in case of a uniform questionnaire for all respondents to be pursued.
2. In the case of first-time respondents in M1 sample and for all respondents from M2 sample the rule of thumb is as in 2013. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

#### autono\$\$ - Autonomy In Occupational Actions [generic]

---

0	[0] Apprentice	860
1	[1] Low Autonomy	2253
2		4089
3		5370
4		3431
5	[5] High Autonomy	631
-1	[-1] No Answer	356
-2	[-2] Does not apply	10753
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

This variable gives the occupational autonomy for all employed persons. It offers an alternative to the ISCO-based scales on occupational status (ISEI\$\$), class (EGP\$\$), or prestige (SIOP\$\$). AUTONO\$\$ is the simplest variable based on the scales of “occupational position” in terms of its construction, and strongly correlated with the Treiman Prestige Scale (SIOP\$\$).

The basis for the “autonomy in occupational activity” scale is the classification of occupational position. Self-employed persons are categorized according to the size of the company (with the exception of farmers, who are all classified within the same category of autonomy, independent of farm size in hectares). Civil servants are differentiated according to the civil service laws defining each kind of activity and the amount of autonomy connected to it. Workers are differentiated according to their vocational training, and thus categorized hierarchically according to the different tasks they can be expected to carry out and the different amounts of responsibility associated with each task. Similarly, salaried employees are classi-

fied according to how differentiated their tasks are and how much responsibility is associated with each.

The value “1” is assigned mainly to manual workers with a low level of status and a low level of autonomy. Group 2 encompasses work in production, services demanding a minimal level of specialization, and farm work. Activities that require completion of the middle track of secondary education and entail a limited amount of responsibility are classified in Group 3. Group 4 includes activities carried out either with or without supervision that require a degree from a college of applied sciences or university, but are not very high in prestige. Managers and freelance academics are both placed in Group 5 (highest autonomy). Depending on the number of employees, self-employed are categorized in Group 3, Group 4, or Group 5. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*Detailed description: Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, Jürgen H.P., and Alfons J. Geis (2003) Berufsklassifikation und Messung des beruflichen Status/ Prestige. In: ZUMA-Nachrichten 52, Jg. 27, Mai 2003. pp. 125-138.*

*For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)*

## 7 Current Occupation

Not all employed persons are asked the question about occupation on an annual basis. In years with a partial survey – 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988, 1990 (West), 1992 (West), 1994, 1996, 1999, 2001, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, and 2014 – only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents are asked to provide up-to-date information. Therefore, in years with a partial survey or in case of non-response the variables in this section usually contain available information from the previous year (persons with JOBCH\$\$-category (2) “employed, no change”). For some persons without a job change who updated the information on their current occupation without being asked, up-to-date information is used. The scores which are derived from the occupational scores contain information on the last attained value.

The missing values in variables of codes for economic activities or occupations and derived scores (NACE, ISCO, KldB, ISEI, SIOPS, EGP, MPG) should be interpreted as follows. -1: there was a response, but no code could be assigned or no score could be derived, -2: there was no response which could have been coded and -8 means this type of code or score is not available for this year.

The information on the current occupation is not necessarily consistent to the current employment status, because they are based on different sources of information

### isco88\_\$\$ – Current Occupational Classification (ISCO-88 Com) [generic]

0	[0] Soldiers	0
100	[100] Soldiers	43
1000	[1000] Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers	0
1100	[1100] Legislators and Senior Government Officials	0
1110	[1110] Legislators and Senior Government Officials	5
1140	[1140] Senior Officials of Special-Interest Organisations	1
1141	[1141] Senior Officials of Political Party Organisations	0
1142	[1142] Senior Officials of Employers', Workers' and Other Economic-Interest Organisations	12
1143	[1143] Senior Officials of Humanitarian and Other Special-Interest Organisations	0
1200	[1200] Corporate Managers	42
1210	[1210] Directors and Chief Executives	109

1220	[1220] Production and Operations Managers	0
1221	[1221] Production and Operations Managers in Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry and Fishing	0
1222	[1222] Production and Operations Managers in Manufacturing	81
1223	[1223] Production and Operations Managers in Construction	0
...	(468 rows omitted)	15800
9212	[9212] Forestry Labourers	0
9213	[9213] Fishery, Hunting and Trapping Labourers	0
9300	[9300] Labourers in Mining, Construction, Manufacturing and Transport	0
9310	[9310] Mining and Construction Labourers	0
9311	[9311] Mining and Quarrying Labourers	0
9312	[9312] Construction and Maintenance Labourers: Roads, Dams and Similar Constructions	3
9313	[9313] Building Construction Laborer	48
9320	[9320] Manufacturing Laborer	260
9330	[9330] Transport Lab., Freight Handler	169
-1	[-1] No Answer	70
-2	[-2] Does not apply	11100
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Hartmann and Schütz (2002) provide detailed information on the conducted occupational coding. This result has been slightly modified to fit to the ISCO-88 version for European Union purposes (ISCO-88(COM)). [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*Hartmann/Schütz (2002): Die Klassifikation der Berufe und der Wirtschaftszweige im Sozio-oekonomischen Panel. Neuvercodung der Daten 1984–2001. Infratest Sozialforschung, München.*  
[https://www.diw.de/documents/dokumentenarchiv/17/diw\\_01.c.40132.de/vercodung.pdf](https://www.diw.de/documents/dokumentenarchiv/17/diw_01.c.40132.de/vercodung.pdf)  
 For more information, contact: Knut Wenzig (Tel. +49 30 89789 341, kwenzig@diw.de)

### isco08\_\$\$ – Current Occupational Classification (ISCO-08) [generic]

110	[110] Commissioned Armed Forces Officers	8
210	[210] Non-Commissioned Armed Forces Officers	3
310	[310] Armed Forces Occupations, Other Ranks	31
1111	[1111] Legislators	4
1112	[1112] Senior Government Official	22
1113	[1113] Traditional Chiefs and Heads of Village	0
1114	[1114] Senior Officials of Special-Interest Organisations	11
1120	[1120] Managing Directors and Chief Executives	86
1211	[1211] Finance Managers	23
1212	[1212] Human Resource Managers	38
1213	[1213] Policy and Planning Managers	14
1219	[1219] Business Services and Administration Managers Not Elsewhere Classified	20
1221	[1221] Sales and Marketing Managers	77
1222	[1222] Advertising and Public Relations Managers	13
1223	[1223] Research and Development Managers	12
...	(412 rows omitted)	16021
9520	[9520] Street Vendors (excluding Food)	0
9611	[9611] Garbage and Recycling Collectors	20

9612	[9612] Refuse Sorters	9
9613	[9613] Sweeper, Related Laborer	3
9621	[9621] Messengers, Package Deliverers and Luggage Porters	71
9622	[9622] Odd Job Persons	0
9623	[9623] Meter Readers and Vending-Machine Collectors	0
9624	[9624] Water and Firewood Collectors	0
9629	[9629] Elementary Workers Not Elsewhere Classified	15
-1	[-1] No Answer	118
-2	[-2] Does not apply	11124
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

[This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Knut Wenzig (Tel. +49 30 89789 341, kwenzig@diw.de)

### isei88\_\$\$ – Last Reached Isei Value (International Socio-Economic Index) [generic]

16	933
19	119
20	359
21	82
22	20
23	533
24	52
25	874
26	200
27	105
28	84
29	831
30	1254
31	101
32	245
... (33 rows omitted)	12412
69	1589
70	138
71	394
74	83
77	206
78	28
79	27
82	48
83	16
85	121
87	36
88	188
90	13
-1	41
-2	6611

Waves: all

This variable reflects the Standard International Socio-Economic Index of Occupational Status for all employed persons. The ISEI Index was developed in 1992 by Ganzeboom, De Graaf, Treiman, and De Leew based on information about income, education, and occupation. Technically, ISEI was created by scaling the ISCO88 classification. The values for the variable range between 16 and 90. In contrast to the prestige scores of Ganzeboom and Treiman (1996) and Wegener (1988), ISEI is a measure of socio-economic status.

It is derived from the ISCO88 code of the current occupation using the Stata ado iskoisei by John Hendrickx which itself is based on Harry Ganzeboom's SPSS algorithms.

Also available: occupational prestige scores (SIOPS, MPS) and occupational class (EGP). [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Knut Wenzig (Tel. +49 30 89789 341, kwenzig@diw.de)

### kldb92\_\$\$ - Current Occupational Classification (KldB92) [generic]

110	[110] Landwirt(e/innen), allgemein	53
111	[111] Obst- und Gemuesebauern/-baeuerinnen (nicht Gaertner/innen)	1
112	[112] Ackerbauern/-baeuerinnen fuer Spezial-, Dauerkulturen	0
113	[113] Viehhalter/innen und Gruenlandwirt(e/innen)	0
114	[114] Saat, Pflanzenzuechter/innen, Vermehrer/innen (nicht Gaertner/innen)	1
115	[115] Pflanzenschuetzer/innen	1
116	[116] Landwirt(e/innen) und Gastwirt(e/innen)/Kaufleute	0
118	[118] Landwirt(e/innen) und Winzer/innen	0
120	[120] Winzer/innen, allgemein	3
121	[121] Rebenveredler/innen	0
129	[129] andere Winzer/innen	0
130	[130] Landarbeitskraefte, allgemein	2
131	[131] Landarbeitsaufseher/innen	0
132	[132] Landmaschinenfuehrer/innen	1
133	[133] Weinbergsarbeiter/innen	1
...	(2261 rows omitted)	16482
9831	[9831] Schulentlassene (arbeitsuchend) mit (noch) nicht bestimmtem Beruf	0
9832	[9832] Sonstige Arbeitskraefte (arbeitsuchend) mit (noch) nicht bestimmtem Be	1
9911	[9911] Facharbeiter/innen o.n.T.	3
9921	[9921] Heimarbeiter/innen o.n.T.	2
9931	[9931] Vorarbeiter/innen, Gruppenleiter/innen o.n.T.	1
9941	[9941] Zivildienstleistende o.n.T.	0
9951	[9951] Selbstaendige o.n.T.	11
9961	[9961] Beratungs-, Planungsfachleute o.n.T.	6
9971	[9971] Sonstige Arbeitskraefte o.n.T.	57
-1	[-1] No Answer	17
-2	[-2] Does not apply	11100
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Current occupation coded as KldB92. Hartmann and Schütz (2002) provide detailed information on occupational coding. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

Hartmann/Schütz (2002): *Die Klassifikation der Berufe und der Wirtschaftszweige im Sozio-  
oekonomischen Panel. Neuvercodung der Daten 1984–2001. Infratest Sozialforschung, München.*  
[https://www.diw.de/documents/dokumentenarchiv/17/diw\\_01.c.40132.de/vercodung.pdf](https://www.diw.de/documents/dokumentenarchiv/17/diw_01.c.40132.de/vercodung.pdf)  
For more information, contact: Knut Wenzig (Tel. +49 30 89789 341, [kwenzig@diw.de](mailto:kwenzig@diw.de))

### kldb2010\_\$\$ – Current Occupational Classification (KldB2010) [generic]

1104	[1104] Officer	7
1203	[1203] Senior Non-Commissioned Officers and Higher	4
1302	[1302] Junior Non-Commissioned Officers	0
1402	[1402] Armed Forces Personnel in Other Ranks	31
11101	[11101] Occupations in Farming (without Specialisation)-Unskilled/Semiskilled Tasks	15
11102	[11102] Occupations in Farming (without Specialisation)-Skilled Tasks	46
11103	[11103] Occupations in Farming (without Specialisation)-Complex Tasks	3
11104	[11104] Occupations in Farming (without Specialisation)-Highly Complex Tasks	13
11113	[11113] Technical Occup. in Farming-Complex Tasks	1
11114	[11114] Technical Occup. in Farming-Highly Complex Tasks	0
11123	[11123] Agricultural Experts-Complex Tasks	0
11124	[11124] Agricultural Experts-High Complex Tasks	1
11132	[11132] Technical Laboratory Occup. in Agriculture-Skilled Tasks	0
11133	[11133] Technical Laboratory Occup. in Agriculture-Complex Tasks	0
11182	[11182] Occupations in Farming (with Specialisation, Not Elsewhere Classified)-Skilled Tasks	2
...	(1262 rows omitted)	16338
94622	[94622] Prop Designers-Skilled Tasks	1
94623	[94623] Prop Designers-Complex Tasks	0
94693	[94693] Supervisors in Stage, Costume and Prop Design	0
94704	[94704] Occupations in Museums (without Specialisation)-Highly Complex Tasks	6
94712	[94712] Technical Occup. in Museums and Exhibitions-Skilled Tasks	1
94713	[94713] Technical Occup. in Museums and Exhibitions-Complex Tasks	0
94714	[94714] Technical Occup. in Museums and Exhibitions-Highly Complex Tasks	0
94724	[94724] Art Experts-Highly Complex Tasks	0
94794	[94794] Managers in Museum	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	150
-2	[-2] Does not apply	11124
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

[This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]  
For more information, contact: Knut Wenzig (Tel. +49 30 89789 341, [kwenzig@diw.de](mailto:kwenzig@diw.de))

### mps92\_\$\$ – Last Reached Mps Value (Magnitude-Prestige-Skale, Wegener) [generic]

30	2
30.1000003814697	36
30.2000007629395	76
30.2999992370605	8
31	167

31.1000003814697	54
31.2000007629395	16
31.5	312
31.7000007629395	147
31.7999992370605	20
31.8999996185303	2
32	35
32.0999984741211	220
32.2000007629395	12
32.2999992370605	757
... (155 rows omitted)	18262
123.900001525879	62
125.199996948242	16
132.100006103516	167
135.699996948242	99
138.199996948242	17
138.899993896484	16
139.800003051758	26
145.699996948242	115
152.5	171
153.5	6
191.300003051758	188
207.199996948242	43
216	37
-1	41
-2	6613

*Waves:* all

This variable gives the occupational prestige score developed by Wegener (1988) for all employed persons. Like the SIOPS prestige score, Wegener's prestige scale measures a person's occupational prestige and was developed especially for use in the Federal Republic of Germany. MPS is assigned based on the German Federal Statistical Office's occupational classification of 1992 (KLDB92\$\$). The procedure has been documented in Frietsch and Wirth (2001).

Also available: occupational prestige scores (SIOPS, ISEI) and occupational class (EGP). [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Knut Wenzig (Tel. +49 30 89789 341, kwenzig@diw.de)

**siops88\_\$\$** – Last Reached Siops Value (Std. Internat. Occupational Prestige Scale)  
[generic]

---

13	19
15	82
17	13
18	1
19	396
20	371
21	968
22	247
23	284

24	62
25	295
26	43
27	19
28	174
29	167
... (33 rows omitted)	16519
64	51
65	129
66	221
67	83
68	3
69	41
70	301
71	48
72	77
73	84
75	12
76	13
78	368
-1	41
-2	6611

Waves: all

This variable gives the occupational prestige score index for all employed persons. SIOPS\$\$ is based on ISCO-88 and was developed by Donald Treiman et al. The scale ranges from 6 to 78. The algorithm is based on Fritsche and Wirth (2001).

Please also see occupational prestige scores (MPS\$\$), occupational status (ISEI\$\$), and occupational class (EGP\$\$). [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*Fritsch, Rainer/Wirth, Heike (2001): Die Uebertragung der Magnitude-Prestigeskala von Wegener auf die Klassifikation der Berufe. In: ZUMA Nachrichten 48 (Jg.25): 139–165*

For more information, contact: Knut Wenzig (Tel. +49 30 89789 341, kwenzig@diw.de)

### egp88\_\$\$ - Last Reached Egp Value (Erikson, Goldthorpe, Portocarero) [generic]

1	[1] [I] Higher Managerial and Professional Workers	2850
2	[2] [II] Lower Managerial and Professional Workers	5174
3	[3] [IIIa] Routine Clerical Work	2743
4	[4] [IIIb] Routine Service and Sales Work	2927
5	[5] [IVa] Small Self-Employed With Employees	340
6	[6] [IVb] Small Self-Employed Without Employees	493
7	[7] [V] Manual Supervisors	0
8	[8] [VI] Skilled Manual Workers	2852
9	[9] [VIIa] Semi- and Unskilled Manual Workers	3353
10	[10] [VIIb] Agricultural Labour	286
11	[11] [IVc] Self-Employed Farmers	73
-1	[-1] No Answer	41
-2	[-2] Does not apply	6611
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0



-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

This variable gives the occupational class for all employed persons. EGP\$\$ is derived from the Standard International Socio-Economic Index of Occupational Status (ISEI). Technically, the variable was created by scaling the ISCO-88 classification. In addition, it is based on information about income, education and occupation. The EGP Index was documented by Ganzeboom/Treiman in 1996 and revised in 2003.

Former versions and waves contained additional categories for unemployed persons and pensioners. From wave be (2014) on the egp-variable has a more standard shape. Information on unemployment and retirement can be found in stib\$\$ (occupational position) and lfs\$\$ (labor force status).

As information about supervisory status is only available from wave X (2007) on, it is not used to generate the corresponding EGP\$\$ category. Hence, the potential category (7) "Manual workers with supervisory status" is not assigned.

Annual information on the occupational position is used to generate the EGP-categories for the self-employed. In case no information on the number of employees is available, the EGP\$\$-categories (5) and (6) contain information on the firm size for self-employed persons. Based on the new classification developed by Ganzeboom/Treiman (2003), several ISCO values were recoded in EGP\$\$ as follows:

- ISCO 2470 becomes EGP=1.
- ISCO 2500 becomes EGP=2.
- ISCO 4300, 4400, 4500 become EGP=4.
- ISCO 7900 becomes EGP=7.
- ISCO 9910-9990 become EGP=9.

Please also see occupational status (ISEI\$\$) and occupational prestige scores (SIOP\$\$, MPSP\$). [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]  
For more information, contact: Knut Wenzig (Tel. +49 30 89789 341, kwenzig@diw.de)

### **erljob\$\$** - Working In Occupation Trained For [generic]

---

1	[1] Yes	9024
2	[2] No	5860
3	[3] In Training	992
4	[4] Has No Job Training	903
-1	[-1] No Answer	211
-2	[-2] Does not apply	10753
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

This variable is designed to offer annual data on all employed persons, indicating whether they are working in the occupation they were trained for. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

**ausb\$\$** – Required Training For Job [generic]

1	[1] No Training	2510
2	[2] Intro. To Job	1272
3	[3] On-The-Job Training	414
4	[4] Courses	247
5	[5] Vocational Training	8031
6	[6] Technical School, Engineering (East) 90-96	0
7	[7] Technical College, University until 1998	0
8	[8] Technical College since 1999	1532
9	[9] University since 1999	2478
-1	[-1] No Answer	506
-2	[-2] Does not apply	10753
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

This variable is designed to provide annual data on required job training for all employed persons. The variable is generated using questions on required formal education and required on-the-job-training which are categorized into seven independent variables with 0/1 coding. Out of these, the highest available level of required training is used for the generation of the status variable.

The answer option “completed technical school” was only used from 1990 to 1993 in the East German version of the questionnaire. Since not all employed people are asked the question about required training every year, the value (6) of the variable AUSB\$\$ is valid up to 1996. The code (-2) is assigned to all non-employed persons and also includes persons in occupational training, in occupational retraining programs, and those doing an internship at the time of the survey. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

**\$erwzeit** – Length Of Time With Firm [generic]

*Waves:* all

The variable \$ERWZEIT is designed to offer data on the length of time with the firm at the point in time of the interview for all employed persons. This variable is generated from the respondent’s start date with the current employer. In the case of a job change within the firm, the full length of time with the firm is calculated. Hence, the variable describes the length of time with the same firm and not the length of time in the same position.

The variable provides consistent longitudinal information on the length of time with the same employer. Data that show longitudinal inconsistencies are corrected.

1. In case of no job change, the information on the start date with the current employer given in the earliest interview available is treated as dominant and carried forward to the subsequent years.
2. In case of a job change between firms, the information on the start of the current position is used and carried forward to the subsequent years.

3. Up to wave Z (2009), a respondent who starts working again after a period of non-employment is assumed to have returned to the former employer if the indicated start date with the current employer was before the previous interview date. In this case, the start date with the current employer given in the previous interview is treated as dominant. Otherwise, the present information on the start date with the current employer is used and carried forward to the subsequent years. For respondents who are assumed to have returned to their former employer, the full length of time with the firm is calculated. There is no deduction for the time during which the respondent was not employed.
4. Since wave BA (2010), there is a modified answer category in the questionnaire which indicates that a respondent returns to his/her former employer after a period of non-employment. If a respondent indicates to have started working again at a former employer, the present information on the start date with the current employer is used and carried forward to the subsequent years. Unlike before wave BA (2010), the present information is treated as dominant even if the indicated start date with the current employer was before the previous interview date. Hence, the full length of time with the firm is calculated, and there is no deduction for the time during which the respondent was not employed or employed in another firm.
5. The length of time with the firm is also provided for the East German sample since its start in 1990. Due to the massive restructuring of the economy that took place in East Germany after reunification, this variable should be dealt with cautiously in the first transition years.

Both monthly and annual information is used in the variables and rounded off as length of time in years (with months in decimal form). [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)*

### **\$tatzeit** – Actual Work Time Per Week [generic]

---

*Waves:* all

This variable is designed to offer annual data on actual weekly working hours (including overtime) for all persons employed at the time of the survey (including the self-employed). The data are obtained by asking respondents how many hours they work on average per week. Actual weekly working hours were asked up to 1989 only in full hours, and from 1990 on as a three-digit number (counting the first digit after the decimal point).

For implausible answers (actual weekly working hours of more than 80 per week), we assign the value (-3). The variable is rounded off and gives the number of working hours as a decimal number.

Please also see \$VEBZEIT and \$UEBSTD. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)*

### **\$vebzeit** – Agreed Upon Work Time Per Week [generic]

---

*Waves:* all

This variable is designed to offer annual data on agreed weekly working hours. The variable takes into account only those persons who were in dependent employment (not self-employed) at the time of the survey. Agreed weekly working hours were asked up to 1989

only in full hours, and from 1990 on in three-digit form (counting the first digit after the decimal point).

The value (-2) is assigned to non-employed people, employees without set hours and to self-employed people, including self-employed farmers, freelancers, and other self-employed persons. If persons helping out in family businesses report agreed weekly working hours, we assign a non-missing value.

For implausible answers (agreed weekly working time of more than 80 hours per week) we assign the value (-3). The variable is rounded off and gives the number of working hours as a decimal number.

Please also see \$STATZEIT and \$UEBSTD. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

### **\$uebstd** – Overtime Per Week [generic]

---

*Waves:* all

This variable is designed to offer annual data on overtime per week for all persons in dependent employment at the time of the survey. The data is obtained by asking respondents how many overtime hours they worked in the month before the survey. The number of monthly overtime hours is then converted into weekly overtime by dividing the number given by 4.3. Since \$UEBSTD refers to weekly overtime during the last month, the number may deviate from the difference between average actual weekly working hours and the agreed weekly working hours.

In the years 1984, 1985 and 1987, respondents were not asked about number of hours of overtime per week. The variables for 1984 and 1985 were therefore generated using the difference between average actual weekly working hours and agreed weekly working hours. It is not possible to create this kind of variable for the year 1987, since all values here were “missings”. Respondents were asked for the number of overtime hours up to 1989 only in full hours, and from 1990 on, as a three-digit number (counting the first digit after the decimal point).

The value (-2) is assigned to non-employed people, employees without set hours and to self-employed people, including self-employed farmers, freelancers, and other self-employed persons. If persons helping out in family businesses report overtime hours, we assign a non-missing value.

For implausible answers (agreed-upon weekly working time or actual weekly working time of more than 80 hours per week AND weekly overtime of more than 10 hours we assign the value (-3).

The variable is rounded off and gives the number of overtime hours as a decimal number.

Please also see \$VEBZEIT and \$STATZEIT. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

### **oeffd\$\$** – Civil Service [generic]

---

1	[1] Yes	3691
2	[2] No	11741
-1	[-1] No Answer	1558
-2	[-2] Does not apply	10753
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0

-6 [-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering 0

Waves: all

Status variable: This variable is designed to provide annual data on employment in the civil service for all employed persons. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

### nace\$\$ - 2 Digit NACE Industry, Sector [generic]

---

1	[1] Agriculture, Hunting, Related Service Activities	252
2	[2] Forestry, Logging, Related Service activities	22
5	[5] Fishing, Operation Of Fish Hatcheries And Fish Farms	3
10	[10] Mining Of Coal And Lignite; Extraction Of Peat	10
11	[11] Extraction Of Crude Petroleum And Natural Gas	8
12	[12] Mining Of Uranium And Thorium Ores	0
13	[13] Mining Of Metal Ores	0
14	[14] Other Mining And Quarrying	1
15	[15] Manuf Food Products And Beverages	385
16	[16] Manuf Tobacco Products	2
17	[17] Manuf Textiles	52
18	[18] Manuf Wearing Apparel; Dressing And Dyeing Of Fur	26
19	[19] Tanning,Dressing Of Leather; Manuf luggage, Footwear	8
20	[20] Manuf Wood Products, Except Furniture	66
21	[21] Manuf Pulp, Paper And Paper Products	53
...	(40 rows omitted)	14648
91	[91] Activities Of Membership Organizations NEC.	185
92	[92] Recreational, Cultural And Sporting Activities	357
93	[93] Other Service Activities	164
95	[95] Private Households With Employed Persons	91
96	[96] Industry - NEC	133
97	[97] Handcraft, Trade - NEC	53
98	[98] Services - NEC	149
99	[99] Extra-territorial Organizations And Bodies	13
100	[100] Manufacturing - NEC	48
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	11014
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

This variable is designed to provide annual data on the industry of economic activity for all employed persons according to the Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the European Community (Nomenclature des statistiques des activités économiques de la Communauté européenne - NACE). Respondents answer the question in their own words regarding the industry in which they are currently working, and this response is entered into a blank in the questionnaire. In order to facilitate international comparability, the European industry standard classification system is used by Infratest Sozialforschung to recode this

information. This recoding has been documented in Hartmann/Schütz 2002.

The codes in NACE Rev.1 also correspond to ISIC Rev.3 (International Standard Classification of All Economic Activities). With the 2001 data distribution, the sector codes formerly used in the SOEP were completely recoded to the NACE classification. Please note that special codes 96–98 as well as 100 were assigned by Infratest Sozialforschung whenever respondents did not provide a more detailed answer. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*Detailed description: Hartmann/Schütz (2002): Die Klassifikation der Berufe und der Wirtschaftszweige im Sozio-oekonomischen Panel. Neuvercodung der Daten 1984–2001. Infratest Sozialforschung, München. [https://www.diw.de/documents/dokumentenarchiv/17/diw\\_01.c.40132.de/vercodung.pdf](https://www.diw.de/documents/dokumentenarchiv/17/diw_01.c.40132.de/vercodung.pdf)*  
For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

### betr\$\$ – Size of the Company [generic]

1	[1] Lt 5	1463
2	[2] Ge 5 Lt 10	1524
3	[3] Ge 11 Lt 20	1361
4	[4] Until 90: Lt 20	0
5	[5] 91-04: Ge 5 Lt 20	0
6	[6] Ge 20 Lt 100	2824
7	[7] Ge 100 Lt 200	1388
8	[8] Until 98: Ge 20 Lt 200	0
9	[9] Ge 200 Lt 2000	3093
10	[10] Ge 2000	4092
11	[11] Self-Employed Without Coworkers	810
-1	[-1] No Answer	435
-2	[-2] Does not apply	10753
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

This variable is designed to offer annual data on company size for all employed persons. Please pay attention to special codes 4, 5, and 8! These codes were necessary due to the differentiation of items for small and medium-sized companies over the years. In the years 1991, 1999, and 2005, respondents were asked about company size in a more detailed form, so when the data were recalculated for the years 1984–90, the original codes (1)–(5) were changed to (4)(8)(9)(10) and (11). For the years 1999 to 2004, Code (8) was differentiated into (6) and (7). From 2005 on, the prior category “5 to 20 employees” (5) has been split into the two categories “5 to 10 employees” (2) and “11 to 20 employees” (3).

In 2012, the questionnaire provides a one-time-only information on the size of the local establishment in addition to the size of the entire company (BETR\$\$). The enriched questionnaire revealed that in previous interviews, persons have mistakenly provided information on the local establishment size instead of the entire company size, especially if their entire company had 2000 and more employees. Due to the importance of longitudinal consistency, these persons were identified, and their 2012 original value of the entire company size was replaced by their value of the local establishment size. Furthermore, data of persons without a job change were modified if:

- the entire company size varies from 2011 to 2012,

- the local establishment size in 2012 matches the entire company size in 2011.

Those person's values of the entire company in 2012 were replaced by their values of the local establishment size in 2012.

Please also see ALLBET\$\$ for a broader categorization of the firm size, which is appropriate for analyses that include all sample years. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

### allbet\$\$ – Core Category Size Of The Company [generic]

---

1	[1] LT 20	4348
2	[2] GE 20 LT 200	4212
3	[3] GE 200 LT 2000	3093
4	[4] GE 2000	4092
5	[5] Self-Employed Without Coworkers	810
-1	[-1] No Answer	435
-2	[-2] Does not apply	10753
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

This variable is designed to provide annual data on the core size category of the company for all employed persons. Since respondents were asked about company size in more detailed form in the years 1991, 1999, and 2005 (see also BETR\$\$), the variable ALLBET\$\$ contains the lowest common denominator of the variable BETR\$\$, i.e., the firm size categories available across all SOEP waves. This broader categorization corresponds to the values of variables BETR84 to BETR90 and offers a variable that is consistent across all waves.

In order to maintain longitudinal consistency, there were modifications after a one-time change in the questionnaire in 2012. Please also see BETR\$\$ for more information. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

## 8 Last Occupation

### jobend\$\$ – Reasons for occupational change [generic]

---

1	[1] Terminated by employer	346
2	[2] Temporary contract expired	0
3	[3] Education, training completed	0
4	[4] Own resignation	624
5	[5] Mutual termination	160
6	[6] Employee requested transfer	0
7	[7] Company transferred employee	0
8	[8] Ended self-employment	67
9	[9] Temporary contract expired or education/training completed	309
10	[10] Took early retirement	0

11	[11] Company closed down	92
12	[12] Old-age pension	168
13	[13] Leave of absence/sabbatical (1999-2010)	0
14	[14] Leave, maternity leave and parental leave (1991-1998), since 2011	230
15	[15] Other incl. early retirement, company closed, old-age pension, leave of absence/sabbatical (1985-1986)	0
16	[16] Other incl. company closed, old-age pension, leave of absence/sabbatical (1987-1990)	0
17	[17] Other incl. mutual termination (1991-1998)	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	56
-2	[-2] Does not apply	25131
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	560
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

#### Waves: -1985

This variable is designed to offer annual data on reasons for an occupational change for all formerly employed persons, persons with a job change or persons on leave. For years 1985–1991 also persons who changed positions in the same company are considered. Only persons with valid dates for an occupational or positional change are included. Likewise to the questionnaire the variable offers data from interview date to interview date not from one year to the following. Respondents are asked about their annual and possibly same occupational change in two consecutive interviews, duplicate answers are therefore considered only once and the older statement is dominant. If a respondent stated a job termination in the current interview which was before the interview date in the previous year but didn't reported this in the previous interview this termination has been counted for the current interview. For years 1985 up to 1998 every given reason was coded as separate variable with variable values "Yes" (1) and "Does not apply" (-2), which resulted in up to 13 different variables. Since 1999 all given reasons have been collected in one single variable with diverse values.

Within period 1985-1991 the year 1990 is specific due to introduction of sample for East Germany. Since the questionnaire did not contain the information on reasons for end of the job all the observations in Sample C in year 1990 obtained value (-5).

Please pay attention to special codes (15), (16), and (17)! These codes were necessary due to the variety of the given values over the years. In any years respondents were asked about reasons for change with more or less given answers and from years 1985–1998 also the answer "Other" was possible. While all explicit reasons have been recoded to uniform values, the answer "Other" then in some years includes reasons for which in other years was separately asked for: "Other" was coded (15) for years 1985 and 1986, (16) for years 1987–1990 and (17) for years 1991–1998.

For years 1991–1998 and 2011–2012 there is a variable value "Leave, maternity leave and parental leave" whereas for 1999–2010 the given reason covered only "Leave of absence/sabbatical". Note that codes (2) and (3) for years 1985–1998 have been merged to code (9) since 1999.

In 2013 the respondents of the newly introduced migration sample were not asked about an occupational change, but in 2014 they were. So information on jobend for the migration sample in 2013 were taken from the questionnaire in 2014.

Since 2006 youth questionnaires have been embodied in survey tools. Nevertheless, the questionnaires do not contain the information on jobend. Therefore, in order to account for the persons represented by the youth questionnaires the negative value (-5) has been introduced starting from year 2006. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]



For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

## 9 Employment History

### expft\$\$ – Working Experience Full-Time Employment [generic]

Waves: all

This variable reflects the total length of full-time employment in the respondent's career up to the point of the interview in a given year. The variable is created by combining monthly information on employment status from the calendar dataset ARTKALEN (which provides monthly information on activity status since an individual entered the SOEP) and annual information from the biographical dataset PBIOSPE (which provides information on activity status over the individual's life course). EXPFT\$\$ gives the length of time in years with months in decimal form.

If there is no monthly calendar data available in a given year of a respondent's career, the annual data from PBIOSPE is used for that year. In the most current wave the variable only uses up-to-date information from the newly answered Biography Questionnaires. If the year in which a spell started and ended is the same, and if there is no monthly data, a spell of 0.5 years is assumed. Persons without annual data (not contained in PBIOSPE) are only assigned a non-missing value for this variable if they joined SOEP by the age of 18 and if there is calendar data on them in ARTKALEN.

Persons whose life course has been observed completely but with no spell of full-time employment are assigned the code (0). The code (-1) is assigned to all persons whose life course has not been observed completely. Persons with inconsistent information receive a (-3).

Please also see EXPPT\$\$ and EXPUE\$\$ . [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

### exppt\$\$ – Working Experience Part-Time Employment [generic]

0	13055
0.100000001490116	144
0.200000002980232	153
0.300000011920929	307
0.400000005960464	145
0.5	614
0.600000023841858	169
0.699999988079071	132
0.800000011920929	224
0.899999976158142	114
1	956
1.10000002384186	109
1.20000004768372	122
1.29999995231628	202
1.39999997615814	120
... (353 rows omitted)	10372
43	3
43.7999992370605	1
44.4000015258789	1
44.5	1

44.7000007629395	1
44.9000015258789	1
45.2000007629395	1
45.5	1
45.7999992370605	1
46	1
47	2
47.0999984741211	2
48.7000007629395	1
49	1
-1	787

*Waves:* all

This variable reflects the total length of part-time employment in the respondent's career up to the point of the interview in a given year. The variable is created by combining monthly information on employment status from the calendar dataset ARTKALEN (which provides monthly information on activity status since an individual entered the SOEP) and annual information from the biographical dataset PBIOSPE (which provides information on activity status over the life course of an individual). EXPPT\$\$ gives the length of time in years with months in decimal form.

If there is no monthly calendar data available in a given year of a respondent's career, the annual data from PBIOSPE is used for that year. In the most current wave the variable only uses up-to-date information from the newly answered Biography Questionnaires. If the year in which a spell started and ended is the same, and if there is no monthly data, a spell of 0.5 years is assumed. Persons without annual data (not contained in PBIOSPE) are only assigned a non-missing value for this variable if they joined SOEP by the age of 18 and if there is calendar data on them in ARTKALEN.

Persons whose life course has been observed completely but with no spell of full-time employment are assigned the code (0). The code (-1) is assigned to all persons whose life course has not been observed completely. Persons with inconsistent information receive a (-3).

Please also see EXPFT\$\$ and EXPUE\$\$ . [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

### expue\$\$ - Unemployment Experience [generic]

0	17340
0.10000001490116	356
0.200000002980232	311
0.300000011920929	412
0.400000005960464	190
0.5	1291
0.600000023841858	206
0.699999988079071	170
0.800000011920929	266
0.899999976158142	135
1	884
1.10000002384186	158
1.20000004768372	132
1.29999995231628	172

1.39999997615814	107
... (205 rows omitted)	4802
23.7000007629395	1
24	7
24.2999992370605	1
24.8999996185303	1
25	3
25.2999992370605	1
25.8999996185303	1
26.1000003814697	1
27	1
27.2000007629395	1
28	3
29.2999992370605	1
33	1
38	1
-1	787

*Waves:* all

This variable reflects the total length of unemployment in the respondent's career up to the point of the interview in a given year. The variable is created by combining monthly information on employment status from the calendar dataset ARTKALEN (which provides monthly information on activity status since an individual entered the SOEP) and annual information from the biographical dataset PBIOSPE (which provides information on activity status over the life course of an individual). EXPUE\$\$ gives the length of time in years with months in decimal form.

If there is no monthly calendar data available on a given year in a respondent's career, the annual data from PBIOSPE is used for that year. In the most current wave the variable only uses up-to-date information from the newly answered Biography Questionnaires. If the year in which a spell started and ended is the same, and if there is no monthly data, a spell of 0.5 years is assumed. Persons without annual data (not contained in PBIOSPE) are only assigned a non-missing value for this variable if they joined SOEP by the age of 18 and if there is calendar data on them in ARTKALEN.

Persons whose life course has been observed completely but with no spell of full-time employment are assigned the code (0). The code (-1) is assigned to all persons whose life course has not been observed completely. Persons with inconsistent information receive a (-3).

Please also see EXPFT\$\$ and EXPPT\$\$ . [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Alexandra Fedorets (Tel. +49-30-89789-321)

## 10 School, Higher and Vocational Education

**isced97\_\$\$** - ISCED-1997-Classification [generic]

0	[0] in school	775
1	[1] inadequately	634
2	[2] general elementary	3371
3	[3] middle vocational	12228
4	[4] vocational + Abi	2090
5	[5] higher vocational	1523

6	[6] higher education	6365
-1	[-1] No Answer	757
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

The educational variable (\$ISCED97) classifies all correspondents' educational degrees according to the "International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED)" of 1997 in order to make degrees internationally comparable. The variable is generated retrospectively from 1984 onwards taking into account degrees and diplomas attained in both general schooling and in vocational/university education and indicates the highest degree obtained. E.g., persons who did not indicate secondary school degrees/diplomas but a university degree are placed in the highest ISCED category. Please note that, due to a lack of more detailed information on tertiary degrees in earlier waves – in particular on PhD – we include all tertiary degrees in ISCED category 6. Thus, the ISCED variable provided here is not comparable one-to-one with the ISCED levels as defined by the OECD, since we have included the original ISCED level 5A in our ISCED category 6. OECD (1999): *Classifying Educational Programmes: Manual for ISCED-97 Implementation in OECD Countries*, Paris. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)

#### isced11\_\$\$ – ISCED-2011-Classification [generic]

---

0	[0] in school	775
1	[1] Primary education	634
2	[2] Lower secondary education	3366
3	[3] Upper secondary education	12274
4	[4] Post-secondary non-tertiary education	2220
5	[5] Short-cycle tertiary education	471
6	[6] Bachelors or equivalent level	5094
7	[7] Masters or equivalent level	1893
8	[8] Doctoral or equivalent level	260
-1	[-1] No Answer	756
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* 2010–

The educational variable (\$ISCED11) classifies all correspondents' educational degrees according to the "International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED)" of 2011 in order to make degrees internationally comparable. The variable is generated retrospectively From 2010 onwards taking into account degrees and diplomas attained in both general schooling and in vocational/university education and indicates the highest degree obtained.

[This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)

**casmin\$\$** – CASMIN Classification [generic]

0	[0] (0) In School	779
1	[1] (1a) Inadequately Completed	634
2	[2] (1b) General Elementary School	2448
3	[3] (1c) Basic Vocational Qualification	5551
4	[4] (2b) Intermediate General Qualification	1603
5	[5] (2a) Intermediate Vocational	6416
6	[6] (2c_gen) General Maturity Certificate	1078
7	[7] (2c_voc) Vocational Maturity Certificate	1881
8	[8] (3a) Lower Tertiary Education	1708
9	[9] (3b) Higher Tertiary Education	4657
-1	[-1] No Answer	988
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

Another internationally comparable educational variable is \$CASMIN where educational degrees/diplomas are classified according to the scheme “Comparative Analysis of Social Mobility in Industrial Nations (CASMIN)”. As for \$ISCED, the variable is generated for all respondents retroactively from 1984 onwards and indicates the highest degree obtained by the respondent. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)

**\$bilzeit** – Amount Of Education Or Training In Years [generic]

7	546
8.5	68
9	2503
10	1640
10.5	3887
11	1429
11.5	4187
12	2661
13	1398
13.5	405
14	489
14.5	667
15	2147
16	836
17	98
18	2904
-1	1099
-2	779

Waves: all

The following statements describe the standard computation for schooling (including years of secondary vocational education). As can be seen, the code is not very differentiated. For example, special schools for health care professions and other kinds of specialized schools are all included in the “technical school” label. However, in Germany, this code is the most commonly used one when earnings functions based on human capital theory are estimated. \$BILZEIT is now computed for all samples. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

### \$psbil – School-Leaving Degree [generic]

---

1	[1] Secondary School Degree	6411
2	[2] Intermediate School Degree	7293
3	[3] Technical School Degree	1515
4	[4] Upper Secondary Degree	5729
5	[5] Other Degree	4244
6	[6] Dropout, No School Degree	673
7	[7] Currently In School	779
-1	[-1] No Answer	1099
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

All respondents in all SOEP subsamples are asked about diplomas/degrees attained for completion of secondary/tertiary education (1984–1993 blue questionnaire; since 1994 biographical questionnaire) the first time they participate in SOEP. First: to generate this variable, the different diploma/degree categories provided for Subsamples B and D (see \$PSBILA) as well as C (see \$PSBILO) are integrated into the West German diploma/degree categories (Subsample A) and continued on in this form. Second: this data is regularly updated to take into account any changes in highest diploma/degree attained. With the survey of 2000, all educational information was collected again and is reflected in the variables. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

### \$pbil01 – Vocational Degree Received [generic]

---

1	[1] Apprenticeship	9939
2	[2] Vocational School	2256
3	[3] Health Care School	194
4	[4] Technical School	1501
5	[5] Civil Service Training	526
6	[6] Other Training	1442
7	[7] Completed Vocational Training/Education in Germany	256
-1	[-1] No Answer	350
-2	[-2] Does not apply	11279
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0

-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

All respondents in all subsamples are asked about vocational degrees attained the first time they participate in SOEP (1984–1993 blue questionnaire; since 1994 biographical questionnaire). To generate the variable, the different vocational degrees for Subsamples B and D (cf. \$PBBILA) as well as C (cf. \$PBBILO) are integrated into the West German vocational degree categories (Subsample A). The categories that originally each constituted individual variables are combined to make them compatible with the annual question about changes in vocational degrees attained, and this data is updated annually. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

### **\$pbbil02** – College Degree [generic]

---

1	[1] Technical College	1745
2	[2] University, Technical College	3016
3	[3] College Not In Germany	1001
4	[4] Engineering, Technical School (East)	218
5	[5] University (East)	179
6	[6] graduation, state doctorate	204
7	[7] graduation, state doctorate (foreign country, east)	56
8	[8] institution of higher education (youth)	0
9	[9] Dual Studies, University of Cooperative Education	24
10	[10] Other Colleges (since 2014)	12
-1	[-1] No Answer	350
-2	[-2] Does not apply	20938
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

All respondents in all subsamples are asked about completed college education the first time they participate in SOEP (1984–1993 blue questionnaire; since 1994 biographical questionnaire). To generate the variable, the different degrees/diplomas for all subsamples are integrated. Category (3) “college abroad” is only defined for persons who completed a foreign-language version of the questionnaire (mainly persons from Samples B and D). Generation of the variable entails combining the categories to make them compatible with the annual question about changes in vocational degrees/diplomas attained. Since 2002, there have been two separate codes (4 and 5) for degrees/diplomas attained in the former GDR. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

### **\$pbbil03** – No Vocational Degree [generic]

---

1	[1] No Vocation Degree	5514
2	[2] Apprenticeship	938

3	[3] University	960
-1	[-1] No Answer	350
-2	[-2] Does not apply	19981
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

In connection with the question about vocational degrees (\$PBBIL01 and \$PBBIL02), all first-time respondents to all subsamples are explicitly asked whether they (still) do not possess a vocational degree. In the subsequent years, this data is carried forward or updated. The variable has the Missing Value Code -2 (does not apply) if one of the other two variables on vocational degree has a positive value. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

### **\$psbilo** – School-Leaving Degree East Germany [generic]

---

1	[1] 8th Grade Completed	987
2	[2] 10th Grade Completed	2135
3	[3] College Entrance Exam	777
4	[4] Other Degree	35
5	[5] Dropout, No School Degree	23
6	[6] Currently In School	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	23786
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* all

As a supplement to the variable \$PSBIL the highest secondary school degree/diploma in East Germany is provided as a separate variable and updated if necessary for 1991. Since 1992, secondary degrees/diplomas are asked only in the West German version. New SOEP respondents are also asked about secondary degrees/diplomas obtained in the former GDR; and for old respondents, the same codes are carried forward. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

### **\$pbbilo** – Vocational Degree Received East Germany [generic]

---

1	[1] Vocational Training	1608
2	[2] Master Craftsman	171
3	[3] Engineering, Technical Degree	358
4	[4] Other Training	26
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	25580
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0



-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

To supplement the variable \$PBBIL01 the highest secondary school degree/diploma in East Germany is provided as a separate variable and updated if necessary for 1991. Since 1992 only the West German version has been used for new vocational degrees. For new SOEP respondents, vocational degrees attained in the former GDR are asked as well; for old respondents, the same codes are carried forward. From 2002 on, the questionnaire was expanded and revised, but this led to an operationalization involving more assumptions on the vocational degrees attained in the GDR; (from 2002 on, Code 3 is also listed as the additional category Code 4 in the integrated variables \$PBBIL03 if this degree has not been replaced by a more recently attained, higher-level university or college degree). [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

### **\$psbila** – School-Leaving Degree Outside Germany [generic]

---

1	[1] School, No Degree	374
2	[2] School, With Degree	1672
3	[3] Vocational Extension School	2431
4	[4] School Leaving Degree[Sbil] Acquired Abroad	1
-1	[-1] No Answer	5
-2	[-2] Does not apply	23260
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

As a supplement to the \$PSBIL, this variable provides annually updated data on the highest secondary school degree/diploma attained abroad. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

### **\$pbbila** – Vocational Degree Outside Germany [generic]

---

1	[1] On-The-Job Training	98
2	[2] Vocational Training	390
3	[3] Vocational School	494
4	[4] College	917
5	[5] Other	72
6	[6] Vocational Degree[Bbil01] Acquired Abroad	7
7	[7] College Education[Bbil02] Acquired Abroad	13
8	[8] Completed Vocational Training/Education Other Country	994
9	[9] graduation, state doctorate (foreign country)	38
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	24720
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0

-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

As a supplement to the variable \$PBBIL01, this variable gives (and updates) the highest-level vocational degree attained abroad. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

### field\$\$ - Field of tertiary education [generic]

---

1	[1] Applied Linguistics and Cultural Studies	32
2	[2] Protestant Theology	50
3	[3] Catholic Theology	1
4	[4] Philosophy	15
5	[5] History	29
6	[6] Library Science, Archival Studies, Journalism	13
7	[7] Literary Studies, Linguistics	46
8	[8] Classical Philology, Modern Greek	4
9	[9] German Philology	99
10	[10] English Studies	36
11	[11] Romance Philology	21
12	[12] Slavonic Studies	8
13	[13] Non-European Languages and Cultural Studies	8
14	[14] Cultural Studies	8
15	[15] Psychology	56
...	(36 rows omitted)	3018
68	[68] Civil Engineering	117
69	[69] Surveying and Mapping	11
74	[74] Art, Aesthetics	24
75	[75] Fine Arts	7
76	[76] Design	40
77	[77] Performance, Film and Television, Theater	12
78	[78] Music, Musicology	48
83	[83] Outside the structure of the university system	15
98	[98] Not categorizable	106
-1	[-1] No Answer	329
-2	[-2] Does not apply	23590
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: -1985

The variable is designed to provide information on the field of education of tertiary degrees which adds details to the information recorded in the variable \$PBBIL02. While the latter variable records if a person holds a degree FIELD\$\$ contains more detailed information on the type of the degree. The data of the generated variable FIELD\$\$ stem from two sources:

1. Person questionnaire: Each year since 1985 respondents are asked if they have left

education since the beginning of the year prior to the survey and which degrees they have obtained. This part of the questionnaire contains an open question on the type and the field of newly obtained tertiary degrees. This information is coded and used for the generation of the variables FIELD\$\$.

2. Biography questionnaire: Since 2001 similar information is collected from respondents who fill in the biography questionnaire (usually during the first two years of participation in the panel). In contrast to the information from the person questionnaire the questions do not refer to currently obtained degrees but to degrees obtained during the time before being part of the SOEP sample.

In the variable FIELD\$\$ we combine these two types of information. However, since the retrospective information was not collected before 2001 the variable covers until 2000 only persons for whom we have prospectively observed the end of study. This explains why the number of valid observations is rather small in these years. Information on the data source is stored in the variable FDT\_F\$\$.

Each year the variable contains the most recently collected information. Take for instance a person for whom we have observed a first degree in sociology in 1987 and a second degree in economics in 1991. For this person the variables FIELD\$\$ would be filled as follows:

year	value
1984-1986	-2 does not apply
1987-1990	26 political/social science
1991-today	30 economics

If you want to take into account that a person holds two degrees you have to combine the information from all available years. However, only a minority of the population holds more than one tertiary degree. In very few cases we encounter the problem that a respondent provides information on two different degrees in one survey year. This only happens in years when respondents fill in the person as well as the biography questionnaire. In these cases we prioritize the information from the person questionnaire as it refers to the current situation while the biography questionnaire contains retrospective information. Furthermore, there are cases who report an applied university degree and a university degree in the biography questionnaire. In these cases, the variable contains information on the university degree only. The variable is coded according to the classification on fields of education („Fächergruppen“) provided by the Statistisches Bundesamt (2009). Until 2009 data from the person questionnaire were coded using an earlier version of this classification (1982). In the variable FIELD\$\$ we recoded the original values. As the newer version is more precise this could be done with hardly any loss of information. Some categories are collapsed. Category 3 is coded as 2 (no distinction between catholic and protestant theology), 14 as 13, 17 as 16, 24 as 23, 25 as 26 and 48 as 49. The original values of the data collected from the person questionnaire up to 2009 are stored in the respective variables in the dataset \$P.

Please note that for respondents from the newly introduced migration sample a valid value to FIELD\$\$ was only assigned if the tertiary degree was attained in Germany. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*Statistisches Bundesamt (2009): Bildung und Kultur. Studierende an Hochschulen, Fachserie 11, Reihe 4.1, Wiesbaden: 446ff, Übersicht 1: „Fächergruppen, Studienbereiche und Studienfächer“.*

*For more information, contact: Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)*

**degree\$\$** - Type of tertiary degree [generic]

11	[11] Magister	133
12	[12] Diplom (University)	964
13	[13] Bachelor	104
14	[14] Master	85
15	[15] 1st State Examination	116
16	[16] Other state examination	138
21	[21] Diplom (at technical college, technical college for administration)	668
22	[22] Bachelor (at technical college, technical college for administration)	79
23	[23] Master (at technical college, technical college for administration)	19
31	[31] Teacher training,BA,MA at elementary, lower secondary schools/primary level	117
32	[32] Teacher training,BA,MA at 2ndary level 1/elementary schools/primary level	6
33	[33] Teacher training,BA,MA at intermediate scndry schools/scndry level I	52
34	[34] Teacher training,BA,MA at secondary level II and I	6
35	[35] Teacher training,BA,MA at academic 2ndry schools,2ndry levl 2,genrl school	65
36	[36] Teacher training,BA,MA at special needs schools	31
37	[37] Teacher training,BA,MA at vocational schools	11
38	[38] Teacher training, other	328
41	[41] Academic degree in the arts	17
42	[42] Doctorate	58
43	[43] Post-doctoral dissertation (Habilitation)	3
44	[44] Other Training	139
98	[98] Not categorizable	97
-1	[-1] No Answer	806
-2	[-2] Does not apply	23701
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves: -1985*

The variable is designed to provide information on the type of tertiary degree (e.g., Diploma, Bachelor, Master) which adds details to the information recorded in the variable \$PBBIL02. While the latter variable records if a persons holds a degree, DEGREE\$\$ contains more detailed information on the type of the degree. The data of the generated variable DEGREE\$\$ stem from two sources:

1. Person questionnaire: Each year since 1985 respondents are asked if they have left education since the beginning of the year prior to the survey and which degrees they have obtained. This part of the questionnaire contains an open question on the type and the field of newly obtained tertiary degrees. This information is coded and used for the generation of the variables DEGREE\$\$.
2. Biography questionnaire: Since 2001 similar information is collected from respondents who fill in the biography questionnaire (usually during the first two years of participation in the panel). In contrast to the information from the person questionnaire the questions do not refer to currently obtained degrees but to degrees obtained during the time before being part of the SOEP sample.

In the variable DEGREE\$\$ we combine these two types of information. However, since the retrospective information was not collected before 2001 the variable covers until 2000 only persons for whom we have prospectively observed the end of study. This explains why the

number of valid observations is rather small in these years. Information on the data source is stored in the variable FDT\_F\$\$.

Each year the variable contains the most recently collected information. Take for instance a person for whom we have observed first an applied university diploma in 1987 and a university diploma in 1991. For this person the variables DEGREE\$\$ would be filled as follows:

year	value
1984-1986	-2 does not apply
1987-1990	21 diploma (applied university)
1991-today	12 diploma (university)

If you want to take into account that a person holds two degrees you have to combine the information from all available years. However, only a minority of the population holds more than one tertiary degree. In very few cases we encounter the problem that a respondent provides information on two different degrees in one survey year. This only happens in years when respondents fill in the person as well as the biography questionnaire. In these cases we prioritize the information from the person questionnaire as it refers to the current situation while the biography questionnaire contains retrospective information. Furthermore, there are cases who report an applied university degree and a university degree in the biography questionnaire. In these cases, the variables contain information on the university degree only.

The variable is coded according to a slightly collapsed version of the classification on types of tertiary degrees (“Prüfungsgruppen und Abschlussprüfungen”) provided by the Statistisches Bundesamt (2009). Until 2009, data from the person questionnaire were coded using an earlier version of this classification (1982) which was slightly revised in 2009 (inclusion of Bachelor and Master degrees). Since 2010 the data were coded according to the classification presented here. In the variable DEGREE\$\$ we recoded the original values from years 2009 and earlier. As the newer version is more precise this could be done with hardly any loss of information. Some categories are collapsed. Category 16 was mostly likely coded as 15 in earlier years, 34 as 35 and 43 as 44. The original values of the data collected from the person questionnaire up to 2009 are stored in the respective variables in the dataset \$P.

Please note that for respondents from the newly introduced migration sample a valid value to DEGREE\$\$ was only assigned if the tertiary degree was attained in Germany. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*Statistisches Bundesamt (2009): Bildung und Kultur. Studierende an Hochschulen, Fachserie 11, Reihe 4.1, Wiesbaden: 449ff, Übersicht 2: „Prüfungsgruppen und Abschlussprüfungen“.*

*For more information, contact: Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)*

### traina\$\$ - Apprenticeship - two-digit occupation KldB92 [generic]

1	[1] Agricultural Occupations (Crops)	115
2	[2] Agricultural Occupations (Livestock)	41
3	[3] Administrative/Advisory/Technical Specialist In Agriculture	1
5	[5] Horticultural Occupations	149
6	[6] Forestry and Hunting Occupations	17
7	[7] Mineworkers	19
8	[8] Mineral Exploitation and Processing	2
10	[10] Stonemasons	12
11	[11] Manufacturers of Construction Materials	4

12	[12] Ceramicists	10
13	[13] Glass Manufacturing Occupations	20
14	[14] Chemical Industry Occupations	35
15	[15] Plastics Manufacturing Occupations	19
16	[16] Paper Manufacturing and Processing	10
17	[17] Printing Occupations	87
...	(65 rows omitted)	8130
89	[89] Pastoral Occupations	1
90	[90] Personal Care Occupations	223
91	[91] Occupations in Hotels and Hospitality	161
92	[92] Occupations in Domestic and Nutritional Science	118
93	[93] Cleaning and Waste Management Occupations	29
96	[96] Others	24
97	[97] Family members providing assistance,not in agriculture,not otherw. mntnd	0
98	[98] Workers, (still) without specific occupation	0
99	[99] Workers, responsibilities not specified	7
-1	[-1] No Answer	286
-2	[-2] Does not apply	18223
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

#### Waves: -1985

The variable is designed to provide information on the occupation of vocational training which adds details to the information recorded in the variable \$PBBIL01. In addition to the variable TRAINA\$\$, we provide the variables TRAINB\$\$, TRAINC\$\$ and TRAIND\$\$\$. All these variables record the occupation of vocational training. The difference is that TRAINA\$\$ contains information on vocational training within the German dual system which combines firm-based and school-based training (apprenticeship). TRAINB\$\$ is designed to provide information on the occupation of full-time school based vocational training. TRAINC\$\$ contains information on level vocational training (e.g., Meister, Techniker). TRAIND\$\$ is designed to provide information on the occupation of civil servant training (“Beamtenausbildung”). We describe in brief detail the construction of the variable TRAINA\$\$\$. TRAINB\$\$, TRAINC\$\$ and TRAIND\$\$ are constructed in an analogous manner.

The data of the generated variable TRAINA\$\$ stem from two sources:

1. Person questionnaire: Each year since 1985 respondents are asked if they have left education since the beginning of the year prior to the survey and which degrees they have obtained. This part of the questionnaire contains an open question on the type and the field of newly obtained tertiary degrees. This information is coded and used for the generation of the variables TRAINA\$\$.
2. Biography questionnaire: Since 2001 similar information is collected from respondents who fill in the biography questionnaire (usually during the first two years of participation in the panel). In contrast to the information from the person questionnaire the questions do not refer to currently obtained vocational qualifications but to qualifications obtained during the time before being part of the SOEP sample.

In the variable TRAINA\$\$ we combine these two types of information. However, since the retrospective information was not collected before 2001 the variable covers until 2000 only persons for whom we have prospectively observed the end of study. This explains why the

number of valid observations is rather small in these years. Information on the data source is stored in the variable FDT\_F\$\$.

Each year the variable contains the most recently collected information. Take for instance a person for whom we have observed a first vocational qualification as an electrician in 1987 and a second qualification as a car mechanic in 1991. For this person the variables TRAINA\$\$ would be filled as follows:

year	value
1984-1986	-2 does not apply
1987-1990	31 electrical occupation
1991-today	28 automotive/flight industry occupation

If you want to take into account that a person holds two vocational qualifications you have to combine the information from all available years. In few cases we encounter the problem that a respondent provides information on two different apprenticeships in one survey year. This only happens once, namely in years when respondents fill in the person as well as the biography questionnaire. In these cases we prioritize the information from the person questionnaire as it refers to the current situation while the biography questionnaire contains retrospective information.

The variable is coded according to the classification of occupations at two-digit level („Berufsgruppen“) provided by the Statistisches Bundesamt (1992). Other SOEP occupation variables are coded at four-digit level. The reason why the variable TRAINA\$\$ is provided at two-digit level only is that until 2009 the data from the two different sources were coded according two different classifications which could be combined at a higher level of aggregation only. The person questionnaire data were coded according to the classification of occupations provided by the Bundesanstalt für Arbeit (1988, four-digit level) while the biography data use the classification provided by the Statistisches Bundesamt (1992, four-digit level). Since 2010 both types of data are coded according to the latter classification. The four-digit version of the biography data can be provided upon request. The original values of the data collected from the person questionnaire up to 2009 are stored in the respective variables in the dataset \$P. Please note that for respondents from the newly introduced migration sample a valid value to TRAINA\$\$ was only assigned if the vocational training was completed in Germany. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*Hartmann/Schütz (2002): Die Klassifikation der Berufe und der Wirtschaftszweige im Sozio-oekonomischen Panel. Neuvercodung der Daten 1984–2001. Infratest Sozialforschung, München. For more information, contact: Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)*

### **trainb\$\$** – Vocational school - two-digit occupation KldB92 [generic]

1	[1] Agricultural Occupations (Crops)	2
2	[2] Agricultural Occupations (Livestock)	3
3	[3] Administrative/Advisory/Technical Specialist In Agriculture	0
5	[5] Horticultural Occupations	2
6	[6] Forestry and Hunting Occupations	0
7	[7] Mineworkers	0
8	[8] Mineral Exploitation and Processing	0
10	[10] Stonemasons	0
11	[11] Manufacturers of Construction Materials	0
12	[12] Ceramicists	0

13	[13] Glass Manufacturing Occupations	1
14	[14] Chemical Industry Occupations	0
15	[15] Plastics Manufacturing Occupations	0
16	[16] Paper Manufacturing and Processing	2
17	[17] Printing Occupations	10
...	(65 rows omitted)	1488
89	[89] Pastoral Occupations	3
90	[90] Personal Care Occupations	22
91	[91] Occupations in Hotels and Hospitality	17
92	[92] Occupations in Domestic and Nutritional Science	68
93	[93] Cleaning and Waste Management Occupations	2
96	[96] Others	47
97	[97] Family members providing assistance,not in agriculture,not otherw. mntnd	0
98	[98] Workers, (still) without specific occupation	0
99	[99] Workers, responsibilities not specified	2
-1	[-1] No Answer	39
-2	[-2] Does not apply	26035
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: -1985

The variable is designed to provide information on the occupation of full-time school based vocational training (e.g., Berufsfachschule, Schule des Gesundheitswesens, Handelsschule). See the description of variable TRAINA\$\$ for more details on the construction and the values of the variable. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)

### **trainc\$\$** – Higher vocational school - two-digit occupation KldB92 [generic]

---

1	[1] Agricultural Occupations (Crops)	26
2	[2] Agricultural Occupations (Livestock)	5
3	[3] Administrative/Advisory/Technical Specialist In Agriculture	11
5	[5] Horticultural Occupations	11
6	[6] Forestry and Hunting Occupations	3
7	[7] Mineworkers	0
8	[8] Mineral Exploitation and Processing	0
10	[10] Stonemasons	4
11	[11] Manufacturers of Construction Materials	0
12	[12] Ceramicists	2
13	[13] Glass Manufacturing Occupations	0
14	[14] Chemical Industry Occupations	3
15	[15] Plastics Manufacturing Occupations	0
16	[16] Paper Manufacturing and Processing	0
17	[17] Printing Occupations	4
...	(65 rows omitted)	894
89	[89] Pastoral Occupations	3
90	[90] Personal Care Occupations	18



91	[91] Occupations in Hotels and Hospitality	7
92	[92] Occupations in Domestic and Nutritional Science	19
93	[93] Cleaning and Waste Management Occupations	5
96	[96] Others	42
97	[97] Family members providing assistance,not in agriculture,not otherw. mntnd	0
98	[98] Workers, (still) without specific occupation	0
99	[99] Workers, responsibilities not specified	1
-1	[-1] No Answer	21
-2	[-2] Does not apply	26664
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: -1985

The variable is designed to provide information on the occupation of higher level vocational training (e.g., Meister, Techniker). See the description of variable TRAINA\$\$ for more details on the construction and the values of the variable. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)

#### traind\$\$ - Civil servant training - two-digit occupation KldB92 [generic]

---

1	[1] Agricultural Occupations (Crops)	0
2	[2] Agricultural Occupations (Livestock)	0
3	[3] Administrative/Advisory/Technical Specialist In Agriculture	0
5	[5] Horticultural Occupations	0
6	[6] Forestry and Hunting Occupations	2
7	[7] Mineworkers	0
8	[8] Mineral Exploitation and Processing	0
10	[10] Stonemasons	0
11	[11] Manufacturers of Construction Materials	0
12	[12] Ceramicists	0
13	[13] Glass Manufacturing Occupations	0
14	[14] Chemical Industry Occupations	0
15	[15] Plastics Manufacturing Occupations	0
16	[16] Paper Manufacturing and Processing	0
17	[17] Printing Occupations	0
...	(65 rows omitted)	331
89	[89] Pastoral Occupations	0
90	[90] Personal Care Occupations	0
91	[91] Occupations in Hotels and Hospitality	0
92	[92] Occupations in Domestic and Nutritional Science	0
93	[93] Cleaning and Waste Management Occupations	0
96	[96] Others	20
97	[97] Family members providing assistance,not in agriculture,not otherw. mntnd	0
98	[98] Workers, (still) without specific occupation	0
99	[99] Workers, responsibilities not specified	1
-1	[-1] No Answer	9
-2	[-2] Does not apply	27380

-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* -1985

The variable is designed to provide information on the occupation of civil servant training (“Beamtenausbildung”). See the description of variable TRAINA\$\$ for more details on the construction and the values of the variable. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)

### fdt\_f\$\$ – Data source FIELD, DEGREE, TRAIN [generic]

---

1	[1] Individual Questionnaire	2751
2	[2] Gap Questionnaire (temporary drop-outs)	11
3	[3] Biographical Questionnaire	12289
4	[4] Various Sources	41
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	12651
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* -1985

This is a flag variable which provides information on the data sources used for the construction of the variables FIELD\$\$, DEGREE\$\$, TRAINA\$\$, TRAINB\$\$, TRAINC\$\$ and TRAIND\$\$ (see the description of the respective variables for details). [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)

### bilztch\$\$ – Change in Education since last survey / last year [generic]

---

0	[0] Consistent educational information since last survey	22570
1	[1] Inconsistent educational information since last survey	11
2	[2] Inconsistent educational information since last year	2
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	5160
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* -1985

This is a flag variable which identifies observations with inconsistent changes in the information on highest educational qualification compared to the previous observation or year. Questions on highest educational attainment have been asked in the first survey and were only updated in subsequent years if the respondent reported a change. In the year 2000, every single SOEP participant was asked his highest level of educational attainment which

produced a number of inconsistencies between the most recent information from 2000 and the generated information from previous years. These inconsistencies include both higher and lower educational attainment and are not just due to repeating the question about educational attainment in 2000. They also occur more generally, although to a lower degree, in the second survey wave of new samples when respondents to individual and life history questionnaires are asked to state their educational attainment. In both situations, respondents are not only asked annual questions about any changes in educational attainment since the previous year, but are also asked to state their highest level of educational attainment.

In our view there is no means of unequivocally correcting for these inconsistencies. The flag variable helps researchers to identify observations with inconsistent answers to educational questions in the cross-sectional perspective. Researchers need to decide how to deal with these on a case-by-case basis depending on the research question at hand.

So far, we have not found evidence that respondents with a change in the year 2000 differed systematically from other respondents. One possible approach would be to exclude these individuals from the analysis when sample size allows. Alternatively, one could apply the information collected in 2000 to the prior years in which no changes were recorded between two years and test whether the results differ from those obtained when these individuals are left out. Since 2011, a Beta version of BIOEDU has also been made available, containing new data on consistent longitudinally tested educational transitions. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)

### **bilztev\$\$** – Change in Education, total observed period [generic]

---

0	[0] Consistent educational information	20686
1	[1] Inconsistent educational decline	883
2	[2] Inconsistent educational increase	1860
3	[3] Inconsistent educational decline and increase	136
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	4178
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

*Waves:* -1985

This flag variable identifies observations with at least one inconsistent change in the information given on individual highest educational qualification over the whole observation period. See the description of variable BILZTCH\$\$ for more details on the sources of these inconsistencies. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

*For more information, contact:* Charlotte Bartels (Tel. +49-30-89789-346)

## **11 Information on the Interview**

### **month\$\$** – Month Of Interview [generic]

---

1	[1] January	146
2	[2] February	5236

3	[3] March	6384
4	[4] April	3250
5	[5] May	2190
6	[6] June	3000
7	[7] July	2853
8	[8] August	1812
9	[9] September	1564
10	[10] October	1026
11	[11] November	279
12	[12] December	3
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

Month of interview is generated using the answers to the individual questionnaire. Missing answers are filled in using data from the \$hbrutto files. Interviews that took place in December and before the 20th of that month were recoded -3. [This information can be related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]

For more information, contact: Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)

### mode\$\$ - Interview Method [generic]

---

100	[100] With Interviewer Assistance	0
110	[110] Oral Interview	1508
120	[120] Written Ques. Interviewer	3291
130	[130] Mixed Type	0
131	[131] Written Ques. No Interviewer	253
132	[132] Oral And Written	351
133	[133] Proxy	0
134	[134] Third Person Present	0
135	[135] No Third Person Present	0
140	[140] CAPI - Since 1998 (O)	17851
150	[150] Cawi Since 2014 (BE)	1950
200	[200] Telephone Assistance	0
210	[210] Written, By Mail	2538
220	[220] Telephone Interview	1
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does not apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible multiple response	0
-5	[-5] Not included in this version of the questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Version of questionnaire with modified filtering	0

Waves: all

The interview method is generated via the answers to the questions in the individual questionnaire. Missing answers are filled in from the \$pbrutto files. [This information can be

related to a specific variable and is not necessary generic.]  
For more information, contact: Peter Krause (Tel. +49-30-89789-690)