

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Wollny, Clemens B. A.

#### **Working Paper**

The need to conserve farm animal genetic resources through community-based management in Africa: Should policy makers be concerned?

Nota di Lavoro, No. 105.2001

#### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Wollny, Clemens B. A. (2001): The need to conserve farm animal genetic resources through community-based management in Africa: Should policy makers be concerned?, Nota di Lavoro, No. 105.2001, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/155267

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





#### Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

# The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned?

Clemens B. A. Wollny\* NOTA DI LAVORO 105.2001

#### **DECEMBER 2001**

SUST - Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation

\*University of Goettingen Institute of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Goettingen, Germany

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/\_activ.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=XXXXXX

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano, tel. +39/02/52036934 – fax +39/02/52036946 E-mail: letter@feem.it C.F. 97080600154

#### **SUMMARY**

This paper outlines some key factors contributing to the erosion of animal genetic resources and discusses strategic options for livestock sector policy makers to counter such erosion in their respective countries.

The ratification of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in 1993 represents an international consensus to conserve biodiversity including that of farm animal and plant genetic resources, which are prerequisites for food security and the improvement of agricultural productivity. In Africa, conservation of agricultural biodiversity is inbuilt into the low input – low output production strategies of smallholder farming systems. These systems are often associated with poverty in rural areas and numerous development projects have thus sought to alleviate such poverty by promoting and subsidising crossbreeding or modern reproductive technologies. However, uncontrolled crossbreeding has been and remains a serious threat to the conservation of local farm animal populations.

The primary policy goal for conservation of biodiversity should focus on the diversity between and within indigenous populations of farm animals. This includes, for example, the close monitoring crossbreeding activities with exotic breeds. The genetic and phenotypic characterisation of local breeds is a prerequisite for this purpose. Economic valuation of biodiversity in general, of breeds within given production systems and an analysis of the social welfare implications of farm animal genetic resources (FAnGR) diversity conservation although relatively complex to carry out are also important for informed policy making. Despite the difficulties involved, objectives for the conservation of a local farm animal population and opportunities to utilise its diversity to meet present and future market demands, to serve as an insurance against environmental changes such as changes in production, socioeconomic, historic and cultural conditions can be identified for research and development. To improve food security through the conservation of animal genetic resources in Africa, utilisation of local farm animal genetic resources depends on the ability of communities to decide on and implement appropriate breeding strategies. This cannot be realised without enabling policies. It is for this reason policy makers need to be concerned and should take action now.

**Keywords**: Farm animal genetic resources, breed, community-based management, policy development, conservation, diversity

#### NON TECHNICAL SUMMARY

This paper outlines some key factors contributing to the erosion of animal genetic resources (AnGR) and discusses strategic options for livestock sector policy makers to counter such erosion. It is argued that to improve food security through the conservation of AnGR will depend on the ability of communities to decide on and implement appropriate breeding strategies. This cannot be realised without enabling policies. An action plan for conservation of AnGR is proposed for policy makers in Africa. This should include, *inter* alia, the removal of perverse incentives, controlled crossbreeding and the promotion of decentralised community-based management and full stakeholder participation.

# **CONTENTS**

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Definitions of community, breed, diversity	1
	and conservation	
3.	Key factors contributing to the erosion of	2
	animal genetic resources	
4.	The need for characterisation of FAnGR	4
5.	Community-based management of FAnGR	6
	5.1 Community and village breeding	6
	schemes	
	5.2 Production output versus adaptive	7
	fitness	
	5.3 Breeding schemes for indigenous farm	8
	animals	
6.	FAnGR related policies, objectives and	9
	instruments	
7.	Conclusion	11
8.	Recommendations	12
	References	13

# The need to conserve farm animal genetic resources through community-based management in Africa: Should policy makers be concerned?

Clemens B A Wollny, University of Goettingen, Institute of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Albrecht-Thaer Weg 3, 37075 Goettingen, Germany; E-mail: cwollny@gwdg.de

#### 1 Introduction

The ratification of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in 1993 represents an international consensus to conserve biological diversity, including that of farm animal and plant genetic resources, which are the prerequisites for food security and the improvement of agricultural productivity. The CBD is a legally binding treaty and includes agricultural areas. It is not a convention to protect the environment per se and to maintain the status quo but it recognizes the need for integrating conservation and the sustainable use of natural resources. The CBD provides, therefore, a framework for developing a specific sub-sector policy for farm animal genetic resources (Laing et al., 1998). The CBD implies that, while nations own their biological resources, they also have a duty to conserve them. Policy integration is acknowledged in the CBD and the governments need to integrate "the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity into relevant sectoral or cross-sectoral plans, programmes and policies" (Article 6b, CBD). The integration of such programmes into national policymaking, the creation of national or 'bioregional' ownership, which could even be translated and developed into rights, and the active participation of farming communities are all elements of key importance for the success of such programmes. Major factors contributing to the loss of diversity are market and intervention failures that affect farm animal genetic resources (FAnGR) in livelihood and market oriented agricultural systems. This calls for a new research programme looking into economic valuation of animal genetic resources (ILRI, 1999a). However, the collection of sufficient data and testing of various methodologies will require considerable time. Phenotypic and genetic characterisation data for most of the domesticated animal species are insufficient to make well-informed decisions on the allocation of limited funds for national conservation programmes targeting indigenous breeds across species. On the other hand, action needs to be taken as a matter of urgency due to the ongoing loss of FAnGR. Unavailability of appropriate data is no justification for taking a 'wait and see' approach. The aim of this paper is to outline key factors contributing to the erosion of FAnGR and to discuss strategic options for livestock policy makers to enable community based management (CBM). This paper focuses on sustainable use policies, strategies and actions through CBM, targeting farm animal populations in their natural habitat as the way forward under African conditions.

#### 2 Definitions of community, breed, diversity and conservation

In the context of conservation of FAnGR, 'community' can be defined as a group of people bound together by common interests, goals, problems or practices and, usually, farm animals. Geographically speaking a community can be of any size comprising a village area, an ethnic group or an eco-regional zone. There is no objective definition of the term 'breed', which is often used in the context of animal genetic resources.

The meaning becomes even less clear when the term 'indigenous' is used to qualify communities or knowledge, a practice, which is very popular in actual development programmes. 'Breeds' are subjective classifications according to common utilisation patterns, habitats, degrees of the openness of the gene pool or the assessment by their owners. Breed development has been closely linked to the formation of breeding societies in the early 19<sup>th</sup> century in western countries (Lloyd-Jones, 1915). FAnGR, again, is not a very precise term but probably it is the best to reflect the situation. Often, the approach to the conservation of biodiversity and conservation of a breed or FAnGR is not identical. Biodiversity as an entire system and breed as the assumed unit to preserve diversity are conceptually different from each other. The stratification of species into breeds is not well established for most species. While for Africa more than 140 cattle breeds are listed (Rege, 1999) the corresponding number for pigs or pigeons is probably much less. Does this mean there is less diversity in other species than in cattle? This is unlikely and we should be very careful about taking the number of breeds as the only measure of biodiversity within species. Further, we should differentiate between genetic diversity within species and diversity between species. In the common situation of developing countries, which is characterised by little stratification of species into well defined breeds, a considerably larger share of the within species diversity may be allocated within rather than between the existing breeds. What is not being questioned here is that communities use names and are able to differentiate between existing animal populations due to phenotype or geographical location. The need to conduct an objective inventory of various species and their stratification is obvious. The risk is, however, that policies may focus on few individual breeds but forget about the goal of conserving diversity and utilisation of FAnGR.

Ex-situ conservation, the dominant strategy in plant genetic resources (PGR), is of limited practical relevance for conservation of FAnGR in Africa. Lesser (1998) states. that the complex institutional mechanism for PGR set up by FAO, the inclusion of the 'Farmers' Rights' concept and the development of a Global Plan of Action made the system less transparent for potential users and countries, and prevents use of indigenous varieties. Comparing the situation in plant genetic resources, where over 1200 plant genetic resource collections worldwide contain an estimated 4.2 to 6.1 million accessions (Lesser, 1998), the ex-situ approach for FAnGR is obviously not a relevant strategic option for Africa. Governments hold 83 %, the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) system 11% and the private sector the rest (6%) of the plant genetic accessions according to Lesser (1998). The eleven gene banks of the CGIAR centres, however, keep the most unique collections with about 35% of unique samples, and hold in trust more than 600,000 accessions. In Africa, a pragmatic and innovative strategy for the conservation of wildlife, which has proven to be successful, is through its sustainable use (Krug 1997). The in-situ conservation utilisation of FAnGR through CBM is possibly the most cost-efficient method of choice in developing countries with a potential to contribute to food security and household income improvement of the livestock keeping community.

#### 3 Key factors contributing to the erosion of animal genetic resources

Historically, epidemics, inter- or crossbreeding, civil conflict and migration of people caused extinction of breeds or strains. Indiscriminate interbreeding or crossbreeding and civil conflicts are in fact the major causes of breeds or strains being classified as

at risk in Africa. Rege (1999), for cattle, and Epstein (1971), in earlier work for other domesticated species of Africa, provide numerous examples. Small effective population size is a result of genetic erosion. Small populations are at risk if no measures are taken. The estimation of the effective population size ( $N_e$ ) offers the possibility to use one objective indicator for monitoring and planning purposes.  $N_e$  is calculated using the number of females and males used for breeding in a population (Falconer and Mackay, 1996):

$$N_e = (4N_m N_f) / (N_m + N_f)$$

The rate of inbreeding increases as effective population size decreases. An effective population size of less than 50 for a given strain or breed, leads to high inbreeding coefficients (F>1%) per generation and results in decreasing reproductive and productive performance. Such populations are vulnerable to sudden or persisting environmental threats. Comprehensive breeding programmes or simple action plans for the genetic improvement of local populations avoiding genetic erosion are absent in most African countries (Wollny, 1995).

Crossbreeding programmes are intended to improve biological and economic efficiency. A structured and controlled crossbreeding programme requires considerable infrastructure and is a complex undertaking. There is some evidence of functioning small-scale enterprises utilising crossbred dairy cows in Kenya (ILRI 1999b). The net benefits of crossbreeding may have been overestimated in general (Ayalew et al., 2001; also see paper by Karugia et al. in this same issue). The impact on genetic diversity of cattle species, however, has not been analysed yet. No ex ante or ex post impact studies are currently available, which have analysed the distorting effects of subsidies on the competitive advantage of indigenous breeds in the market place. In general, crossbreeding was and still is perceived as "the way forward" to improve productivity of indigenous livestock under smallholder conditions and development policies have largely ignored adapted FAnGR (ILRI, 1999a). Often livestock policies encourage short-term solutions, e.g. promotion of 'exotic' breeds and their crosses through centralised provision of exotic x local F1 animals to farmers. This policy of 'improvement of local farm animal populations' is often simply a synonym for crossbreeding or substitution of indigenous animal genetic resources by 'exotic' animals. In many African countries bilateral or multilateral financed development projects were the driving forces to replace existing indigenous populations through 'improved' animals as discussed in Drucker et al. (2001). In most developing countries a project initiates a crossbreeding programme by providing free technical advice and some financial input. The exotic genotypes are not selected for the specific crossbreeding purpose in a different production environment. After the initially well-controlled project phase the schemes are often characterized by a more or less unplanned import or use of exotic genetic material, which is used to "upgrade" local breeds. In centralised programmes, the smallholder farmer depends on the supply of the crossbred animal, which is most often a F1 female animal. At farm level, planned mating schemes may not function as intended due to insufficient sire exchange, breakdown of the artificial insemination system, the poor extension service or high transaction costs. After the establishment of the F1 generation recombination losses occur in subsequent generations. The following generations express reduced productive advantage while the problem with insufficient adaptation remains. The offspring of the perceived 'improved' animals may not even survive in low-input and

high-stress environments. The implementation of crossbreeding schemes under smallholder farming conditions without threatening indigenous populations appears unrealistic, if no measures are taken. It is obvious that uncontrolled crossbreeding is a real threat to local FAnGR. Although donors or governments cover the initial costs of such programmes, the longer-term risks and consequences are borne by the farmers.

The lack of valuation of FAnGR could be considered as another significant factor contributing to genetic erosion. A national policy should promote and enable the valuation of the genetic resources for two main reasons: a defined value can be instrumental in providing an incentive for conservation and, secondly, supporting the identification of the optimum allocation of funds for promoting in-situ conservation. Providing incentives to intensify the use and development of local breeds could well be a sustainable strategy. Attaching values to unique traits of specific breeds, i.e. genes or gene combinations, however, is a very difficult task. In principle, a quantifiable value of a gene or a gene combination carried by indigenous populations is determined by how rare the gene is and its perceived future usefulness. Economic values are useful with regard to making decisions about the allocation of resources to promote and develop FAnGR. A high estimated value of an existing genetic resource for future use may draw the attention of national policy makers. In the absence of economic estimates for indigenous or local populations or unique traits, governments or international donors are much less likely to provide financial incentives to farmers to promote conservation. Actual programmes are based on economically, socially and politically biased arguments, which are detrimental to the conservation of FAnGR diversity. This is the situation we are facing in the conservation of FAnGR.

#### 4 The need for characterisation of FAnGR

Conservation measures for populations that have reached a critical size might even be necessary before a detailed genetic and phenotypic evaluation and economic analysis can take place. Ruane (1999a) determines the degree of endangerment as the most important criterion for breed prioritisation in a decision-making framework. It should be noted, however, that the 'cut-off' point for the critical effective population size is both species and population-specific. Factors such as the herd or flock sizes, mating structures, introduction of 'improvement programmes' through crossbreeding in an adjacent area, mortality rates, epidemic occurrence of diseases, market developments and price changes and other external factors may put a given farm animal population at risk of extinction. From the perspective of conserving inter- or intra-species diversity, interventions in favour of or against a single specific breed or strain can be misplaced or counterproductive. For example, allocation of funds to provide incentives to conserve a given population under threat of extinction may contribute much less to the conservation of diversity than incentives provided to a less endangered but more genetically distinct population (see Weitzman, 1993). At the next layer of diversity the variation between and within species is of importance. The fact that only a few breeds of some species are known compared to, for example cattle, cannot simply be interpreted as that the species consisting of less 'breeds' is less diverse. Decisions on the allocation of resources should not be based only on an assumed or estimated value of a certain breed within species only but should follow a systems approach.

Genetic and phenotypic characterisation of locally available farm animal populations provides essential information to make rational decisions for the improvement and the development of effective breeding programmes. In an attempt to classify cattle populations of sub-Saharan Africa by various criteria and incorporating indigenous knowledge, Rege (1999) and Rege and Tawah (1999) identified 47 breeds or strains out of 145 which are at risk of extinction. Recent microsatellite studies provide phylogenetic explanations to the extent that previous assumptions on centres of domestication of cattle need to be revised (Hanotte et al., 2000). Their study is based on molecular genetic methodology studying the frequency of a Y specific microsatellite locus. The authors concluded that human migration, phenotypic preferences by the pastoralists, and adaptation to specific habitats and to specific diseases are the main factors explaining the distribution of cattle breeds in sub-Saharan Africa. This information explains the existing diversity and provides justification to invest in the conservation of indigenous breeds from an international perspective.

Policy makers cannot wait until very specific and detailed breed or strain information is available. Phenotypic characterisation provides basic evidence for the variation between and within cattle strains, which could be utilised for selection purposes. Ruane (1999b) criticises the present over-emphasis on expensive genetic distance studies, the current difficulties in validating such results, its uncertain value in providing initial information for decision-making in conservation and the imbalance between molecular studies and cheap and rapid phenotypic characterisation. By contrast, locally conducted studies in Tanzania (Stephen et al., 2001) provided evidence of assumed genetic differences of local sheep strains, which provides justification for and probably motivates the communities and organisations to develop such adapted and distinguishable breeds.

Nevertheless in Africa, the collection of sufficient field data based on phenotypic monitoring of representative populations in the absence of a systematic recording scheme is probably more expensive than the collection of tissue samples followed by molecular analysis. The ideal situation is to have available phenotypic data, which includes biological, performance and economic data and molecular information. New data resulting from molecular genetic technology or GIS application may reveal important information about unique traits or population dynamics in future. In the short-term, and under the pressure of time to conserve and utilise the remaining indigenous breeds, rapid surveys and the estimation of population sizes by species and breed/strain, together with the identification of the distribution pattern within agroecological zones would provide sufficient initial information for policy makers to obtain an overview of the national livestock herd and to formulate an initial national plan to conserve the existing farm animal populations in their habitat. In the almost complete absence of validated breed definitions across species and insufficient application of standardized evaluation protocols for either genetic or phenotypic studies in Africa, the decision-making process on FAnGR is not practicable if it is uniquely related to individual breeds or species. Instead, the highest priority should be given to conserve the diversity across species and breeds or strains. A pragmatic way

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> However, it should be appreciated that such studies are normally carried out at international research centres. Often the results are likely to be overlooked by national planners.

forward could be the intensified use of local livestock populations targeting farmers in their communities as the key strategy for maintaining genetic diversity.

#### 5 Community-based management of FAnGR

Intra-species diversity of indigenous livestock animals is a function of natural selection and random or systematic human interventions. Traditional practices of livestock keeping communities probably involve multiple breeding goals including multipurpose use, aesthetic and behavioural aspects. One of the main attributes of indigenous breeds is their adaptation to the production environment. Village communities may have different needs, perceptions and preferences by which they make decisions for mating or sale of animals. The ability to survive natural calamities, the prestige value and capital asset function are important aspects, which need to be incorporated into a comprehensively defined breeding goal. Restrictions or taboos, mating practises, progeny testing or replacement of stock are often closely linked to the religion or culture of the people. There are no systematic or comprehensive observations on traditional animal breeding systems and practices that have been published, despite the fact that this is a researchable issue. A national policy should enable and promote such research involving the farmer in a participatory way. The integration of systematised indigenous knowledge into a scientifically based conservation strategy could improve acceptance livestock owners and local decision makers. This approach is also emphasized in the CBD in article 8 (j).

#### 5.1 Community and village breeding schemes

The literature on community-based or village breeding schemes is scanty. Soelkner et al. (1998) analysed determinants for success and failure of village breeding programmes citing various examples. A village breeding programme is characterised by smallholder farming communities, often at subsistence level, combined with a low probability of changes in the environment, i.e. major constraints of disease, feed and land shortage are prevailing. Systematic recording of performance or animal identity is usually not taking place. If any selection is taking place, than the selection is often not directional, its goals are not defined and probably differ within and between farming communities. The critical information required is with regard to the livestock owners' decisions affecting selection of animals (i.e. understanding how, why and when). The production system must be better understood in the context of other farming or off-farm activities before any successful community-based programme could be initiated. In fact, most farming environments in African developing countries continue to deteriorate due to pressure from human population growth and land degradation. Breeding programs will most often operate in an environment, which is not improvable in terms of production factors. For example, El Waakel and Astake (1996) and Mwendera et al. (1997) describe the stressful environment for humans and their livestock in the Ethiopian highlands. Under such conditions the adaptive value of indigenous breeds is most likely to be rated as very high. Conservation policies and programmes based on the assumed condition that positive environmental changes will be successfully implemented may not be realistic. A site-specific approach utilising the existing resources and taking into account the given constraints appears to be the only reasonable sustainable solution.

The importance of the level of human-livestock interaction is complex but often not considered in development policies and projects. Neidhardt et al. (1996) distinguish

between livestock users, who have a purely exploitative relationship with their animals, livestock keepers, who provide some input, and livestock breeders, who have a historically well-founded relationship and implement selection and controlled mating. There is evidence in numerous reports (for example Rischkowsky and Steinbach, 1997; Simpson, 1999; also see Soelkner et al. 1998 for various project mission reports) that 'unwillingness' to change from a livestock user to a livestock keeper or breeder is a major factor in intervention failure. This resistance to change is based on risk adverse behaviour, which is most likely the best strategy from a farmer's point of view in coping with erratic climatic conditions or insecure market conditions. In subsistence oriented agricultural systems, the transaction costs, which include the cost of the exchange of agricultural products, handling and marketing costs etc., are often too high to attract farmers to sustain specialised or intensified livestock systems (Delgado, 1997). Access to education, information, health and knowledge are further constraints preventing intensified use of existing resources. It appears that the sociological factor of human-livestock interaction is not fully considered in the planning and implementation of livestock improvement policies. Livelihood-oriented livestock farming systems are risk-averse and therefore the investment is spread through keeping smaller, but more numerous and diverse species. Farmers are risk-averse and plan for themselves rather than for the national market. This is a logical decision under the existing harsh conditions, although it may be at odds with the national goal of increasing production output and efficiency so as to ensure sufficient food supply at adequate prices for the population at large.

#### 5.2 Production output versus adaptive fitness

In an unchanged production environment the increased probability of losing an animal which is more productive, yet more susceptible to disease and environmental stress, is often not considered when planning conventional improvement programmes involving crossbreeding, as unrestricted feed supply and sufficient health care management are usually assumed. Risk aversion could be addressed through selection for adaptive fitness as an important aim in a breeding plan. Adaptive fitness is characterised by survival, health and reproductive related traits. The problem is that selection for low heritable and difficult to measure traits and the underlying antagonistic biological relationship between productive performance and fitness will result in low selection responses for fitness-related traits. The appropriate strategy for any breeding programme would therefore be to set suitable selection goals, which match the production system rather than ambitious performance objectives, which cannot be reached under the prevailing environment. If selection is based only on one output oriented trait, e.g. body weight gain, the assumed antagonistic relationship with other important traits such as health or reproduction related traits may negatively affect the overall biological and economic efficiency of any breeding programme.

The definition of a breeding goal based on a participatory process is an essential step for a village or community based conservation programme. The policy, therefore, should promote decentralised decision-making and ensure the participation of animal owners among other stakeholders in the process of formulating a breeding goal. The starting point should be the definition of a breeding goal (Wollny, 1995). Groen (2000) provides detailed guidelines on stepwise characterisation of the animal production system and how it relates to development of breeding programmes. The paper, however, does not refer to the overall goal of conservation of animal genetic

resources and assumes that choices could be made for breeds in a market-oriented production system.

#### 5.3 Breeding schemes for indigenous farm animals

Measurable genetic gains could possibly be achieved through formation of group breeding schemes, which require the full participation and the long-term commitment of the livestock owner. The basic steps would include the clear definition of terms of membership, an agreement on objectives of the breeding scheme, the selection criteria or traits and the formation of a breeding or foundation stock. Traditionally, mating decisions are based on ancestral performance rather than progeny testing. This would allow the use of biological indices as selection criteria representing a comprehensive breeding goal (Soelkner et al., 1998). The use of such an index – one which is as simple as possible – could be used for the screening process of the initial population in a breeding program. A nucleus-breeding scheme is characterised by keeping the screened animals on one location under identical environmental conditions. If after the foundation of the initial nucleus herd inflow of genetic material is permitted either through selected animals from other herds, or semen or embryos, then the nucleus is called open.

Open nucleus breeding schemes (ONS) could be an appropriate technological strategy for genetic improvement in developing countries. Bondoc and Smith (1993) proposed, for example, a scheme for dairy cattle in developing countries. The analysis of an open nucleus-breeding programme for sheep in Ivory Coast indicated that expected genetic progress could be realized, if management and selection pressure are maintained (Yapi-Gnaore et al., 1997). Iniguez (1998) analysed breeding programmes for small ruminants in the Andean region of South America and concluded ONS would fit community-breeding programmes well, if it were well integrated in the production process. The cause for the collapse of externally initiated and funded breeding programmes after subsidy provision ceases is most likely not the inadequate initial funding but the inadequate involvement of the community. Chagunda (2000) discusses a dispersed open nucleus scheme with animals not physically located in any one place and allowing the inflow of external germplasm into the system. Such a system would have a number of advantages:

- the dispersed open nucleus has no size limitations and the threat of high inbreeding levels is low;
- the nucleus is located within the production environment and potential genotype-environment interactions are therefore minimal or non-existent;
- direct farmer participation is possible and farmers manage and control their own animals;
- efficient utilisation of infrastructure is possible;
- the promotion of a dispersed open nucleus system would fit in well with the trend of decentralisation and privatisation of government farms in several countries:
- the controlled mating of crossbred animals could be improved through organizational development of farming communities;
- the breeding programme for farm animals could be combined with <u>in-situ</u> programmes for plant genetic resources (PGR).

In practice the establishment of such a programme would require the implementation of a monitoring and recording system, the processing, analysis and interpretation of data, and feedback to the farmer on a continuous basis. Further, the number of selected breeding animals in such a system must be relatively high to achieve a positive genetic gain through selection and to ensure flow of improved genetic material to all production herds or flocks. The major disadvantage or technical problem of a so called dispersed ONS is that breeding animals are most likely kept under slightly different conditions and exposed to different management levels resulting in uncontrolled variation, which cannot be adjusted for. This would significantly reduce the potential genetic gain.

In Malawi a community based project on improving and sustaining food self-sufficiency through promoting integration, multiplication and intensified utilisation of indigenous rural poultry was initiated (Gondwe et al., 2001). ONS breeding centres will be established in rural communities and managed by a committee of farmers. The farmers and other community-based stakeholders are fully participating in all aspects and the community committees make all decisions. Two breeding and multiplication centres were established, with an additional set-up at a college farm to conduct complementary trials. Breeders from within the community will multiply and distribute indigenous breed stock to other farmers. Different species and strains of locally available poultry (chickens, pigeons and ducks) will be raised and performance evaluated at the centres. Distribution of selected animals to production flocks will be through the traditional stock sharing system.

Sustainable conservation of FAnGR in their natural African habitat requires breed development, which can best be achieved through a participatory approach with the communities and stakeholders involved. It is obvious, that the views, goals and knowledge of the livestock owner should determine the level and intensity of a breeding scheme or programme. An enabling conservation policy, therefore, should place high priority on a community-based participatory approach.

#### 6 FAnGR related policies, objectives and instruments

In many cases publications on the management of animal genetic resources (FAO 1999 and 2000) focus on 'breed' and neglect cultural and other socio-economic factors, which form the production environment. Most likely the creation of strong identification of the farming community for their FAnGR conservation programme is a key strategy if it is ever to be successful in Africa. Development and implementation of national policies for the conservation of farm animal populations are competing with other pressing issues and it cannot be realistically assumed that livestock-oriented policies will rank very high on the priority list in future. A successful policy on conservation of FAnGR must therefore be embedded in an overall development strategy and integrated with the policies on PGR.

Typically, policy objectives and decisions relate to mechanisms for ensuring low priced food products to consumers and improved food security, while providing incentives for promoting exports and investment in the development of the local livestock population. An instrumental FAnGR policy will additionally need to promote the active participation of the rural population.

We can identify four areas of policy making related to conservation of FAnGR:

- 1. Regulation of new technologies, considering local capacity and promotion of appropriate technologies;
- 2. Monitor and control import and export of germplasm of FAnGR;
- 3. Economic incentives;
- 4. Intellectual property rights and their enforcement.
- 1. New technologies and capacity building: In industrialised countries the development of new technologies related to animal genetics is predominantly a private sector activity, whereas most of the conventional technologies for livestock improvement are available in the public domain. The major constraint for adoption is human capacity to assess and to implement appropriate technologies. Consequently, the technology transfer potential between developed and developing countries cannot be fully utilised by the national agricultural systems (NARS). The non-profit organisations of the CGIAR should be encouraged to have closer collaboration with development-oriented programmes in order to enable faster technology transfer in Africa. Emphasis should be placed on scientifically sound methodologies for evaluating indigenous knowledge in animal breeding and how this could be incorporated into innovative breeding programmes. It is obvious that government policy should enable and promote the capacity for training and research in the area of animal breeding and molecular genetics at higher and intermediate level. Also impact assessment requires an interdisciplinary approach and regional co-operation and networking are essential.
- 2. Migration of germplasm: Historical analysis and recent data provide sufficient evidence that indiscriminate use of exotic germplasm has dramatic effects on genetic erosion (Iniguez, 1998; Hanotte et al., 2000). In most developing countries of Africa the import of exotic germplasm and the conducting of crossbreeding programmes is poorly controlled and managed at the national level. Crossbreeding or development of synthetic breeds may have advantages in certain contexts such as in peri-urban dairy production. However, the impact on genetic diversity of FAnGR must be monitored and controlled. A clear policy on crossbreeding, which takes the need for conservation of FAnGR into account, is therefore required. It should be compatible with the goals of conservation of genetic resources and fair and liberalised market development, so as to remove any direct or indirect incentives for the introduction of exotic breeds.
- 3. Economic incentives: In accordance with the CBD it is recognised that users and beneficiaries should pay the full value of the resources they use. This would include at least some externalities. From a pragmatic point of view the provision of direct and indirect incentives to utilise indigenous animals could possibly lead to a self-sustaining conservation programme. The promotion of marketing facilities, establishment of revolving funds for group breeding schemes, open nucleus or adequate grading systems would all be considered components of an enabling policy. Providing access to pastures for pastoralists, where appropriate, promotion of livestock clubs and recording systems, the promotion of formulation of adequate breeding objectives, and the development and co-ordination of decentralised breeding programmes should form additional key elements of a national livestock policy plan. Support to develop local products, value-added products or specific labels could be a

further strategic option to convert a market failure into a market success for local breeds. The most effective option, however, is probably to remove restrictions affecting local breeds and their products and to remove incentives promoting use and import of exotic genotypes.

4. Property rights: The discussion on intellectual property rights (IPR) raises very controversial issues although it has thus far been largely focused only on plant genetic resources (see Lesser 1998; FAO, 2001; IFPRI, 2001). The failure of existing property rights systems in plant genetic resources to provide protection and benefits to a local community is one of the more contentious issues of the CBD. IPR and patenting will become an issue although patents are not granted for an entire plant or animal organism and the costs are prohibitive. IPR protection is applicable to animal genetic material with unique characteristics or for some characteristics, which can be induced through technological procedures, as specified by national and international patent regulations. A financial system would then be required to assist local communities, and procedures and regulations for the actual transfer of genetic material needs to be worked out. However, there is little hope of getting such a system functioning and for plant genetic material a sui-generis system is proposed (Lesser, 1998), which conceptually separates ownership of genetic material from ownership of knowledge. It can be argued, that a policy claiming general property rights for FAnGR material appears to be of little benefit at present. The bulk of the genetic material is of no known use or function and has no present market value. Restrictive national policies on international research of animal genetic resources and their exchange are short sighted. Recently, policy statements providing a framework for the use of genetic resources, intellectual property rights and biotechnology were issued by the CGIAR centres (CGIAR, 1999). The aim of such a statement is to ensure that important genes or gene combinations are maintained in the public domain. In contrast, claiming unspecific property rights for the protection of indigenous genetic resources, which cannot be enforced, would appear to be useless and counterproductive to development. The potential value of a gene is correlated to knowledge and not the gene per se. Material transfer agreements, as practised for plant genetic resources could be drafted, if there is any doubt by the provider on the future use of the sample taken. At present most African countries have little means and inadequate infrastructure to implement legislation on IPR for FAnGR. On the other hand policy makers must become sensitive to the option value of indigenous FAnGR. A precautionary measure, e.g. applying a safe minimum standard (Bishop, 1978), of maintaining the FAnGR as any other natural resource would enable policy makers to develop adequate access legislation and genetic material transfer agreement as a response to new discoveries in biotechnology in the future.

#### 7 Conclusion

At present the indigenous FAnGR of Africa are perceived as inferior and few economic values have been defined. This perception is in sharp contrast to reality. The significant dependence of the majority of the human population on indigenous livestock populations and the direct human-livestock interaction is a fact for Africa. Research methodologies on valuation of FAnGR, indigenous knowledge and how farmers and other stakeholders could participate in this process are in development.

Further advances of biotechnology will possibly result in commercialisation of genes or combinations of genes and a value could be acquired. The discussion on intellectual property rights will become more relevant as soon as biotechnology has derived identifiable products from indigenous farm animal genetic resources. Lessons learned from policies on plant genetic resources may help in the formulation of policies but need to be specified for animal genetic resources, which are more complex. At present, genes determining unique traits are non-tradeable and should remain in the public domain controlled by independent organisations. Any restrictions will negatively affect scientific progress. This development needs to be closely monitored by national policy makers in future.

The efficient utilisation of indigenous farm animals by maintaining genetic variation and minimizing counterproductive effects of livestock production on the natural environment appears to be the most pragmatic and sustainable strategy option. Removal of perverse incentives, combined with controlled crossbreeding is a strategic option of high priority. A policy promoting decentralised community-based management and full stakeholder participation is likely to support a sustainable conservation and development strategy of FAnGR in Africa with a high degree of identification with the programme.

Diversity of FAnGR is not static but dynamic. The challenge is to avoid further erosion of adapted or unique populations and the replacement by genotypes, which are not competitive under the given or future environmental conditions. The improvement of food production derived from animals is simply not feasible without conservation of FAnGR and their important characteristics and traits. This is why policy makers need to be concerned and need to formulate enabling policies.

#### 8 Recommendations

An action plan for conservation of FAnGR is proposed for policy makers in Africa:

- Formulate guidelines to ensure that the issue of maintaining FAnGR and their intensified utilisation are factored into investment appraisal and policy appraisal within and across the agricultural sector.
- As a first step remove direct, indirect or perverse incentives such as subsidising non-indigenous farm animals, and if deemed appropriate underpinned by a 'regulatory safety net'.
- Develop guidelines for livestock projects and programmes to avoid uncontrolled crossbreeding reducing genetic diversity.
- Identify priorities by ranking competing interventions on the basis of costeffectiveness.
- Identify and select instruments, which minimise costs for conservation but maximise benefits for the utilisation of FAnGR.
- Promote the undertaking of inventories of FAnGR by stakeholders.
- Empower communities through promotion of decentralised decision-making and formation of farmer organisations.
- Screen existing sector policies for compliance with the goal of maintaining and utilising FAnGR.
- Promote institutions of the national agricultural system to implement policies and provide data for rational decision-making.

 Provide a framework for the fair marketing of indigenous livestock and their products through labelling, certification, investment incentives or removal of inadequate grading systems for indigenous livestock products.

#### References

Ayalew, W., King, J. M., Bruns, E.W. and Rischkowsky, B., 2001. Crossbreeding does not lead to greater contribution of goats to household welfare, but improved management does. Conference on Community-based management of animal genetic resources: A tool for rural development and Food Security. 7-11 May, 2001, Mbabane, Swaziland

Bishop, R. C., 1978. Endangered species and uncertainty: The economics of a safe minimum standard. Am. J. Agr. Econ., 60: 10-18

Bondoc, O.L. and Smith, C., 1993. Deterministic genetic analysis of open nucleus schemes for dairy cattle in developing countries. J. Anim. Breed. Genet., 110: 194 – 208

Chagunda, M.G.G., 2000. Genetic evaluation of the performance of Holstein Friesian cattle on large-scale dairy farms in Malawi. University of Goettingen, Germany, Diss. (114 pp.)

CBD, 1992. Convention on Biological Diversity. United Nations Environment Programme

CGIAR (Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research), 1999: CGIAR Centre Statements on Genetic Resources, Intellectual Property Rights, and Biotechnology. Polycopy May 1999

Delgado, C.L., 1997. The role of smallholder income from agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa. In: Haddan, L. (Editor), Achieving food security in Southern Africa. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington D.C., USA, pp. 145 - 173

Drucker, A. G., Gomez, V. and Anderson, S., 2000. The economic valuation of farm animal genetic resources: A survey of available methods. Ecol. Econ., 36: 1-18

El Wakeel, A. and Astake, A., 1996. Intensification of agriculture on vertisols to minimize land degradation in parts of the Ethiopian highlands. Land degradation and Development, 7: 57-67

Epstein, H., 1971. The origin of the domestic animals of Africa. Volume II. New York, USA, Africana Publishing Corporation

Falconer, D.S. and Mackay, T.F.C., 1996. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. Harlow, England, Longman, 4<sup>th</sup> edition

- FAO, 1999. The global strategy for the management of farm animal genetic resources. FAO, Rome
- FAO, 2000. World watch list for domestic animal diversity. Edited by Scherf, B. FAO, Rome, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition
- FAO, 2001. Forum on Biotechnology in Food and Agriculture. Conference 6. The Impact of intellectual property rights (IPRs) on food and agriculture in developing countries. <a href="http://www.fao.org/biotech/forum.htm">http://www.fao.org/biotech/forum.htm</a> (accessed between March 1 and May 6, 2001)
- Gondwe, T.N.P., Wollny, C.B.A., Safalaoh, A.C.L., Chilera, F.C. and Chagunda, M.G.G., 2001. Community based promotion of rural poultry diversity, management, utilisation and research in Malawi. Conference on Community-based management of animal genetic resources: A tool for rural development and Food Security. 7-11 May, 2001, Mbabane, Swaziland
- Groen, A. F., 2000. Breeding goal definition. In: Galal S., Boyazoglu J. and Hammond, K. (Editors): Developing breeding strategies for lower input animal production environments. ICAR Technical Series, No 3, Rome, Italy, pp 25 104
- Hanotte, O., Tawah, C.L., Bradley, D.G., Okomo, M., Verjee, Y., Ochoieng, J. and Rege, J.E.O., 2000. Geographic distribution and frequency of a taurine *Bos taurus* and an indicine *Bos indicus* Y specific allele amongst sub-Saharan African cattle breeds. Molecular Ecology, 9: 387 396
- ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), 1999a. Economic valuation of animal genetic resources. Proceedings of an FAO/ILRI workshop held at FAO Headquarters, Rome, Italy. 15 –17 March 1999. ILRI, Nairobi, Kenya. 80 pp.
- ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), 1999b. Smallholder dairy technology in coastal Kenya. An adoption and impact study. ILRI impact assessment series 5. ILRI, Nairobi, Kenya. 59pp.
- IFPRI (International Food Policy Research Institute) 2001: Governing the genetically modified crop revolution: policy choices for developing countries. Entwicklung und Laendlicher Raum, (2) 2001: 31-32
- Iniguez, L., 1998. Community based programs for small ruminant in the Andean region. Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> World Congr. Genet. Appl. Livestock Prod., 25: 249 256
- Krug, W., 1997. Socio-economic strategies for the protection of biodiversity in Africa shown for the example of wildlife management. Animal Research and Development, 46: 95- 102
- Laing, R., Fall, A., Han, G.J. and Martynik, E., 1998. The convention on biological diversity and farm animal genetic resources: Implications, issues and opportunities. Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> World Congr. Genet. Appl. Livestock Prod., 28: 51 58

Lesser, W., 1998. Sustainable use of genetic resources under the Convention on Biological Diversity: Exploring access and benefit sharing issues. Wallingford, Oxon, UK and New York, USA, CAB International, 218 pp.

Llloyd-Jones O. (1915) What is a breed? J. Heredity, 6: 531

Mwendera, E.J., Mohamed Saleem, M.A. and Dibabe, A., 1997: The effect of livestock grazing on surface runoff and soil erosion from sloping pasture lands in the Ethiopian highlands. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 37: 421 - 430

Neidhardt, R., Grell, G., Schrecke, W. and Jakob, H., 1996: Sustainable livestock farming in eastern Africa. Animal Research and Development 43/44, 44 - 52

OECD, 1996: Saving biological diversity. Economic incentives. OECD Publications, Paris, 155 pp.

Rege, J.E.O., 1999. The state of African cattle resources I. Classification framework and identification of threatened and extinct breeds. Animal Genetic Resources Bulletin 25, 1-25

Rege, J.E.O. and Tawah, C.L., 1999. The state of African cattle resources II. Geographical distribution, characteristics and uses of present-day breeds and strains. Animal Genetic Resources Bulletin 26, 1-25

Rischkowsky, B. and Steinbach, J., 1997. Goat's milk for smallholders in Malawi – A way of improving the nutrition of the rural population? Animal Research and Development, 46: 55-62

Ruane, J., 1999a. Selecting breeds for conservation. In: Oldenbroek J.K. (Editor), Genebanks and the conservation of farm animal genetic resources. Lelystad, The Netherlands, DLO Institute for Animal Science and Health, pp. 59-74

Ruane, J., 1999b: A critical review of the value of genetic distance studies in conservation of animal genetic resources. J. Anim. Breed.Genet., 116:317-323

Simpson, J., 2000: Some socio-economic factors affecting the conservation and utilisation of farm animal genetic resources in Malawi. University of Malawi, Bunda College of Agriculture, Lilongwe, Malawi, 60 pp.

Stephen J., Wollny, C.B.A. and Gwakisa, P.S., 2001: Genetic diversity analysis of Tanzanian local sheep using microsatellite markers. 1<sup>st</sup> Symposium "Island Ecosystems – A Conservation and Molecular Approach", Madeira Island, Portugal, 5 – 9 March 2001, Centre of Biological and Geological Sciences, P 84 (abstract)

Soelkner, J., Nakimbigwe, H. and Valle-Zarate, A., 1998. Analysis of determinants for success and failure of village breeding programmes. Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> World Congr. Genet. Appl. Livestock Prod., 25: 273 - 280

Wollny, C.B.A., 1995. Breed improvement and future breeding strategies in livestock in Southern Africa. Proc. Internat. Livestock Improvement Symposium, 10-11 May 1995, University of Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe, pp. 61-70

Yapi-Gnaore, C. V., Rege, J.E.O., Oya, A. and Nega Alemayehu, 1997. Analysis of an open nucleus breeding programme for Djallonké sheep in the Ivory Coast.2. Response to selection on body weights. Animal Science, 64: 301-307

Weitzman, M., 1993. What to preserve? An application of diversity theory to crane conservation. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 108: 157-183

#### NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

#### Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Papers Series

### Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: Server WWW: WWW.FEEM.IT

## Anonymous FTP: FTP.FEEM.IT

To order any of these papers, please fill out the form at the end of the list.

CLIM	1.2000	Claudia KEMFERT: The Impacts of Emissions Trading on World Economies. Contemplation of baseline
		emissions paths and a ceiling on emissions trading
CLIM	2.2000	Pascal FAVARD: Does Productive Capital Affect the Order of Resource Exploitation?
CLIM	3.2000	Robert T. DEACON (xxxix): The Political Economy of Environment-Development Relationships: A
		Preliminary Framework
SUST	4.2000	Piet RIETVELD and Roberto ROSON: Joint Costs in Network Services: the Two-way Problem in the Case
		of Unbalanced Transport Markets
CLIM	5.2000	Robert S. PINDYCK (xxxix): <u>Irreversibilities and the Timing of Environmental Policy</u>
MGMT	6.2000	Domenico SINISCALCO, Stefania BORGHINI, Marcella FANTINI and Federica RANGHIERI (xl): The
OI IOTT	7.0000	Response of Companies to Information-Based Environmental Policies
SUST	7.2000	Guy D. GARROD, Riccardo SCARPA and Ken G. WILLIS: Estimating the Benefits of Traffic Calming on
CLIM	8.2000	Through Routes: A Choice Experiment Approach  Zhaya Yinga ZHANG: Estimating the Sing of the Potential Market for the Vivete Floribility Machanisms
VOL	9.2000	Zhong Xiang ZHANG: Estimating the Size of the Potential Market for the Kyoto Flexibility Mechanisms  Jean-Christophe PEREAU and Tarik TAZDAIT (xli): Partial and Global Cooperation with Unilateral
VOL	9.2000	Commitment in the Presence of Global Environmental Problems
KNOW	10.2000	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Giovanni IMMORDINO: Hormone Beefs, Chloridric Chicken and International
Idvov	10.2000	Trade: Can Scientific Uncertainty be an Informational Barrier to Trade?
CLIM	11.2000	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM (xxxvi): Imperfect Competition, Labour Market
		Distortions, and the Double Dividend Hypothesis
KNOW	12.2000	Patrizia BUSSOLI: An Empirical Analysis of Technological Convergence Process and RJVs in Europe at
		the Firm Level
KNOW	13.2000	Luigi BENFRATELLO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI: Research Joint Ventures and Firm Level
		<u>Performance</u>
KNOW	14.2000	Nicholas S. VONORTAS: <u>US Policy towards Research Joint Ventures</u>
ETA	15.2000	Y.H. FARZIN: The Effects of Emissions Standards on Industry in the Short Run and Long Run
ETA	16.2000	Francis BLOCH and Stéphane ROTTIER (xli): Agenda Control in Coalition Formation
CLIM	17.2000	Giovanni IMMORDINO: Looking for a Guide to Protect the Environment: the Development of the
CLIM	18.2000	Precautionary Principle Hans W. GOTTINGER: Negotiation and Optimality in an Economic Model of Global Climate Change
VOL	19.2000	Paola MILIZIA and Marialuisa TAMBORRA: Juridical Framework of Voluntary Agreements in Italy and
, CL	17.2000	Policy Relevance at the Local Level
CLIM	20.2000	Richard S.J. TOL, Wietze LISE and Bob van der ZWAAN (xli): Technology Diffusion and the Stability of
		<u>Climate Coalitions</u>
CLIM	21.2000	Pietro TEATINI and Giuseppe GAMBOLATI (xlii): The Impact of Climate Change, Sea-Storm Events and
		Land Subsidence in the Adriatic
CLIM	22.2000	Emiliano RAMIERI (xlii): An Overview of the Vulnerability of Venice to the Impacts of Climate Change
DDII.	•• •••	and Sea Level Rise
PRIV	23.2000	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Marcella FANTINI and Carlo SCARPA: Why do Governments Sell Privatised
ETA	24.2000	Companies Abroad?  Carlo CARRARO and Gilbert E. METCALF: Behavioral and Distributional Effects of Environmental
EIA	24.2000	Policy: Introduction
ETA	25.2000	Santiago J. RUBIO and Juana AZNAR: Sustainable Growth and Environmental Policies
KNOW	26.2000	Francesca RECANATINI and Randi RYTERMAN: Disorganisation or Self-Organisation?
KNOW	27.2000	Giorgio BARBA NAVARETTI and David TARR: International Knowledge Flows and Economic
		Performance. An Introductory Survey of the Evidence
SUST	28.2000	Francesca CODA CANATI: Secondary Raw Materials Market Creation: Waste Stock Exchange
KNOW	29.2000	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Simona COMI: Education and Earnings Growth. Evidence from 11 European
		Countries
CLIM	30.2000	Michael GRUBB: The Kyoto Protocol: an Economic Appraisal
CLIM	31.2000	Gérard MONDELLO and Mabel TIDBALL (xxxix): Environmental Liability and Technology Choice: A
		<u>Duopolistic Analysis</u>

KNOW	32.2000	Alberto PETRUCCI and Edmund PHELPS: Capital Subsidies Versus Labour Subsidies: A Trade-Off	
14.0	5 <b>2.2</b> 555	between Capital and Employment?	
VOL	33.2000	Petr ŠAUER, Antonín DVOŘÁK and Petr FIALA: Negotiation between Authority and Polluters - Model	
CLICT	24.2000	for Support of Decision Making in Environmental Policy: Principles and Experimental Case Test	
SUST	34.2000	0 Riccardo SCARPA, George W. HUTCHINSON and Sue M. CHILTON: Reliability of Benefit Value Transfers from Contingent Valuation Data with Forest-Specific Attributes	
CLIM	35.2000	Allen PERRY (xlii): Impact of Climate Change on Tourism in the Mediterranean: Adaptive Responses	
CLIM	36.2000	Laura MARSILIANI and T.I. RENGSTRÖM (xxxvi): <u>Inequality</u> , <u>Environmental Protection and Growth</u>	
CLIM	37.2000	Massimiliano MONTINI (xlii): Italian Policies and Measures to Respond to Climate Change	
CLIM	38.2000	Horst STERR, Richard KLEIN and Stefan REESE (xlii): Climate Change and Coastal Zones. An Overview	
CI D (	20.2000	of the State-of-the-Art on Regional and Local Vulnerability Assessment	
CLIM CLIM	39.2000 40.2000	Tullio SCOVAZZI (xlii): <u>Ideas Behind the New or Updated Mediterranean Legal Instruments</u> Dimitrios GEORGAS (xlii): <u>Assessment of Climatic Change Impacts on Coastal Zones in the</u>	
CLIM	40.2000	Mediterranean. UNEP's Vulnerability Assessments Methodology and Evidence from Case Studies	
SUST	41.2000	Herath M. GUNATILAKE and Ujjayant CHAKRAVORTY: Forest Resource Extraction by Local	
		Communities: A Comparative Dynamic Analysis	
PRIV	42.2000	Giancarlo SPAGNOLO: Optimal Leniency Programs	
CLIM	43.2000	Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO, Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Emission Trading	
CLIM	44.2000	Restrictions with Endogenous Technological Change	
CLIM	44.2000	Alan S. MANNE and Richard G. RICHELS: <u>A Multi-Gas Approach to Climate Policy – with and without</u> GWPs	
WAT	45.2000	Ujjayant CHAKRAVORTY and Chieko UMETSU: Basinwide Water Management: A Spatial Model	
CLIM	46.2000	Don FULLERTON, Inkee HONG and Gilbert E. METCALF (xl): A Tax on Output of the Polluting Industry	
		is not a Tax on Pollution: The Importance of Hitting the Target	
PRIV	47.2000	Axel GAUTIER and Dimitri PAOLINI: Delegation and Information Revelation	
ETA	48.2000	Andreas PAPANDREOU: Externality, Convexity and Institutions	
ETA	49.2000	Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: The Timing of Adoption of Cleaner Technologies: Private Costs and Public Incentives	
ETA	50.2000	Michele MORETTO and Roberto TAMBORINI: Liquidity: What can a "Hausbank" do that Other Lenders	
		Cannot Do?	
PRIV	51.2000	Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESI: Option to Revoke and Regulation of Local Utilities	
PRIV	52.2000	Giancarlo SPAGNOLO: Self-Defeating Antitrust Laws	
PRIV	53.2000	William L. MEGGINSON and Maria K. BOUTCHKOVA: The Impact of Privatisation on Capital Market	
KNOW	54.2000	<u>Development and Individual Share Ownership</u> <i>Giorgio BARBA NAVARETTI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Andrea MATTOZZI:</i> <u>Moving Skills from Hands to</u>	
		Heads: Import of Technology and Export Performance	
ETA			
CI D (	<b>E</b> ( <b>2</b> 000	Zero Bids in Contingent Valuation Surveys	
CLIM VOL	56.2000 57.2000	Paola CONCONI: Can Green Lobbies Replace a World Environmental Organisation?  Paola CONCONI and Carlo PERRONI (xli): Issue Linkage and Issue Tie-in in Multilateral Negotiations	
ETA	58.2000	Fernando JARAMILLO, Hubert KEMPF and Fabien MOIZEAU (xli): Conspicuous Consumption, Social	
LIII	00.2000	Status and Clubs	
SUST	59.2000	Gianni CICIA and Riccardo SCARPA: Willingness to Pay for Rural Landscape Preservation: A Case Study	
or n .	<b>60.000</b>	in Mediterranean Agriculture	
CLIM	60.2000	Josef JANSSEN: Will Joint Implementation Survive International Emissions Trading? Distinguishing the	
CLIM	61.2000	Kyoto Mechanisms Carlo CARRARO: Costs, Structure and Equity of International Regimes for Climate Change Mitigation	
KNOW	62.2000	Alberto BUCCI: On Scale Effects, Market Power and Growth when Human and Technological Capital are	
		Complements	
KNOW	63.2000	Alberto BUCCI and H.C. SAGLAM: Growth Maximising Patent Lifetime	
WAT	64.2000	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Value of Licences for Recreational Resources Use	
WAT KNOW	65.2000	Edi DEFRANCESCO and Paolo ROSATO: Recreation Management in Venice Lagoon  Carlo DELL'ARINGA and Claudio LUCIFORA: Inside the Black Box: Labour Market Institutions, Wage	
KNOW	66.2000	Formation and Unemployment in Italy	
CLIM	67.2000	Erkki KOSKELA, Markku OLLIKAINEN and Mikko PUHAKKA: Renewable Resources in an Overlapping	
		Generations Economy without Capital	
CLIM	68.2000	A. Lans BOVENBERG and Lawrence H. GOULDER (xl): Neutralising the Adverse Industry Impacts of	
10.10	(O. 2000	CO2 Abatement Policies: What Does it Cost?	
KNOW	69.2000	Ioanna KASTELLI: Science and Technology Policy in Greece. Policy Initiatives for R&D Cooperation	
CLIM VOL	70.2000 71.2000	Katrin MILLOCK: Contracts for Clean Development - The Role of Technology Transfers  Alberto CAVALIERE and Fabio FRONTOSO SILVESTRI (xliii): Voluntary Agreements as Information	
V OL	71.2000	Sharing Devices: Competition and Welfare Effects	

VOL	72.2000	Na Li DAWSON and Kathleen SEGERSON (xliii): Voluntary Agreements with Industries: Participation Incentives with Industry-wide Targets
VOL	73.2000	Patricia M. BAILEY (xliii): The Application of Competition Law and Policy to Environmental
VOL	74.2000	Agreements in an Oligopolistic Market  Joanna POYAGO-THEOTOKY (xliii): Voluntary Approaches and the Organisation of Environmental  R&D
VOL	75.2000	Scott C. MATULICH, Murat SEVER and Fred INABA (xliii): Cooperative Bargaining to Internalise Open Access Externalities: Implications of the American Fisheries Act
VOL	76.2000	Access Externatives: Implications of the American Fisheries Act  Allen BLACKMAN and James BOYD (xliii): Tailored Regulation: Will Voluntary Site-Specific  Environmental Performance Standards Improve Welfare?
VOL	77.2000	Vincenzo DENICOLO' (xliii): A Signaling Model of Environmental Overcompliance
VOL	78.2000	Markus A. LEHMANN (xliii): Voluntary Environmental Agreements and Competition Policy. The Case of Germany's Private System for Packaging Waste Recycling
VOL	79.2000	Hans H.B. VEDDER (xliii): Voluntary Agreements and Competition Law
VOL	80.2000	Thomas P. LYON and John W. MAXWELL (xliii): Self-Regulation, Taxation and Public Voluntary
VOL	81.2000	Environmental Agreements  Paola MANZINI and Marco MARIOTTI (xliii): A Bargaining Model of Voluntary Environmental
VOL	82.2000	Agreements  Alain NADAI and Benoit MOREL (xliii): Product Ecolabelling, Competition and the Environment
CLIM	83.2000	Simone BORGHESI: Income Inequality and the Environmental Kuznets Curve
KNOW	84.2000	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Massimo GIANNINI: Stratified or Comprehensive? The Economic Efficiency of
		School Design
KNOW	85.2000	Giorgio BRUNELLO, Simona COMI and Claudio LUCIFORA: The College Wage Gap in 10 European Countries: Evidence from Two Cohorts?
ETA	86.2000	Michael FINUS: Game Theory and International Environmental Co-operation: A Survey with an
		Application to the Kyoto-Protocol
CLIM	87.2000	Clare GOODESS, Jean PALUTIKOF and Maureen AGNEW (xlii): Climate Change Scenarios for the Mediterranean: A Basis for Regional Impact Assessment
CLIM	88.2000	<i>lan COXHEAD</i> : <u>Tax Reform and the Environment in Developing Economies: Is a Double Dividend</u> Possible?
SUST	89.2000	Peter BARTELMUS and André VESPER (xliv): Green Accounting and Material Flow Analysis.
SUST	90.2000	Alternatives or Complements?  Mark DE HAAN and Steven J. KEUNING (xliv): The NAMEA as Validation Instrument for
		Environmental Macroeconomics
SUST	91.2000	Jochen JESINGHAUS (xliv): On the Art of Aggregating Apples & Oranges
SUST	92.2000	Jan KOLAR (xliv): Land Cover Accounting in the Czech Republic
SUST	93.2000	Anil MARKANDYA, Alistair HUNT and Pamela MASON (xliv): Valuing Damages for Green Accounting Purposes: The GARP II Approach
SUST	94.2000	Anil MARKANDYA, Pamela MASON and Marialuisa TAMBORRA (xliv): Green National Accounting:
SUST	95.2000	Synthesising and Extending the Welfare Based and Sustainability-standard Based Approaches  Martin O'CONNOR (xliv): Towards a Typology of "Environmentally-Adjusted" National Sustainability
OI IOT	04.2000	Indicators: Key Concepts and Policy Application
SUST	96.2000	Anton STEURER (xliv): Towards an Environmental Accounting Framework for the EU
SUST	97.2000	Cesare COSTANTINO, Federico FALCITELLI and Angelica TUDINI (xliv): New Developments in Environmental Accounting at Istat
CLIM	98.2000	Stefan BAYER and Claudia KEMFERT: Reaching National Kyoto-Targets in Germany by Maintaining a Sustainable Development
CLIM	99.2000	Zhong Xiang ZHANG: An Assessment of the EU Proposal for Ceilings on the Use of Kyoto Flexibility
KNOW	100.2000	Mechanisms  Maria Rosa BATTAGGION and Patrizia BUSSOLI: Italian Policy towards Cooperation in R&D
KNOW	101.2000	Giorgio BARBA NAVARETTI, Patrizia BUSSOLI, Georg VON GRAEVENITZ and David ULPH:
Idvov	101.2000	Information Sharing, Research Coordination and Membership of Research Joint Ventures
WAT	102.2000	Cesare DOSI and William K. EASTER: Water Scarcity: Institutional Change, Water Markets and
WAT	103.2000	Privatisation Cesare DOSI and Naomi ZEITOUNI: Controlling Groundwater Pollution from Agricultural Nonpoint
I/NIOI11	104.2000	Sources: An Overview of Policy Instruments
KNOW	104.2000	Alberto PETRUCCI: On Debt Neutrality in the Savers-Spenders Theory of Fiscal Policy
SUST	105.2000	Roberto ROSON and Stefano SORIANI: Intermodality and the Changing Role of Nodes in Transport
CLIM	106.2000	Networks  Alain BOUSQUET and Pascal FAVARD: Does S. Kuznets' Belief Question the Environmental Kuznets
CLIM	107.2000	<u>Curves?</u> Ottavio JANNI: EU Biodiversity Conservation in Tropical Countries
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

VOI	100 2000	Vature MILLOCK and François SALANIE, Callactive Francourants! A programment An Applyois of the
VOL	108.2000	Katrin MILLOCK and François SALANIE: Collective Environmental Agreements: An Analysis of the Problems of Free-Riding and Collusion
VOL	109.2000	Katrin MILLOCK: The Combined Use of Taxation and Voluntary Agreements for Energy Policy
VOL	110.2000	Markus A. LEHMANN: The Impact of Voluntary Environmental Agreements on Firms' Incentives for
		Technology Adoption
OT TOTAL	4.0004	A MANUFACTOR OF THE LIBERT OF
SUST SUST	1.2001 2.2001	Inge MAYERES and Stef PROOST: Should Diesel Cars in Europe be Discouraged?  Proceeding DOPIA and Davids DETTENELIA: The Designar Making Process in Defining and Protecting
5051	2.2001	Paola DORIA and Davide PETTENELLA: The Decision Making Process in Defining and Protecting Critical Natural Capital
CLIM	3.2001	Alberto PENCH: Green Tax Reforms in a Computable General Equilibrium Model for Italy
CLIM	4.2001	Maurizio BUSSOLO and Dino PINELLI: Green Taxes: Environment, Employment and Growth
CLIM	5.2001	Marco STAMPINI: Tax Reforms and Environmental Policies for Italy
ETA	6.2001	Walid OUESLATI: Environmental Fiscal Policy in an Endogenous Growth Model with Human Capital
CLIM	7.2001	Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Commitment and Emission Trading:
MGMT	8.2001	<u>a European Union Perspective</u> Brian SLACK (xlv): Globalisation in Maritime Transportation: Competition, uncertainty and
WOWII	0.2001	implications for port development strategy
VOL	9.2001	Giulia PESARO: Environmental Voluntary Agreements: A New Model of Co-operation Between
		Public and Economic Actors
VOL	10.2001	Cathrine HAGEM: Climate Policy, Asymmetric Information and Firm Survival
ETA	11.2001	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: A Sequential Approach to the Characteristic Function and the
ETA	12.2001	Core in Games with Externalities  Gaetano BLOISE, Sergio CURRARINI and Nicholas KIKIDIS: Inflation and Welfare in an OLG Economy
	12.2001	with a Privately Provided Public Good
KNOW	13.2001	Paolo SURICO: Globalisation and Trade: A "New Economic Geography" Perspective
ETA	14.2001	Valentina BOSETTI and Vincenzina MESSINA: Quasi Option Value and Irreversible Choices
CLIM	15.2001	Guy ENGELEN (xlii): Desertification and Land Degradation in Mediterranean Areas: from Science to Integrated Policy Making
SUST	16.2001	Julie Catherine SORS: Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable Development in Venice: A
0001	10.2001	Comparative Assessment of Methods and Approaches
SUST	17.2001	Julie Catherine SORS: Public Participation in Local Agenda 21: A Review of Traditional and Innovative
		Tools
CLIM	18.2001	Johan ALBRECHT and Niko GOBBIN: Schumpeter and the Rise of Modern Environmentalism
VOL	19.2001	Rinaldo BRAU, Carlo CARRARO and Giulio GOLFETTO (xliii): Participation Incentives and the Design of Voluntary Agreements
ETA	20.2001	Paola ROTA: Dynamic Labour Demand with Lumpy and Kinked Adjustment Costs
ETA	21.2001	Paola ROTA: Empirical Representation of Firms' Employment Decisions by an (S,s) Rule
ETA	22.2001	Paola ROTA: What Do We Gain by Being Discrete? An Introduction to the Econometrics of Discrete
DD11.1		Decision Processes
PRIV	23.2001	Stefano BOSI, Guillaume GIRMANS and Michel GUILLARD: Optimal Privatisation Design and Financial Markets
KNOW	24.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO, Claudio LUPI, Patrizia ORDINE, and Maria Luisa PARISI: <u>Beyond National</u>
14.0		Institutions: Labour Taxes and Regional Unemployment in Italy
ETA	25.2001	Klaus CONRAD: Locational Competition under Environmental Regulation when Input Prices and
		Productivity Differ
PRIV	26.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Juliet D'SOUZA, Marcella FANTINI and William L. MEGGINSON: Sources of
		Performance Improvement in Privatised Firms: A Clinical Study of the Global Telecommunications Industry
CLIM	27.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER and Emiliano RAMIERI: Climate Change Impacts on the Mediterranean Coastal
		Zones
ETA	28.2001	Nunzio CAPPUCCIO and Michele MORETTO: Comments on the Investment-Uncertainty Relationship
KNIOM	20.2001	in a Real Option Model
KNOW CLIM	29.2001 30.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Absolute Risk Aversion and the Returns to Education Zhong Xiang ZHANG: Meeting the Kyoto Targets: The Importance of Developing Country Participation
ETA	31.2001	Jonathan D. KAPLAN, Richard E. HOWITT and Y. Hossein FARZIN: An Information-Theoretical
	- 1.2001	Analysis of Budget-Constrained Nonpoint Source Pollution Control
MGMT	32.2001	Roberta SALOMONE and Giulia GALLUCCIO: Environmental Issues and Financial Reporting Trends
Coalition		CLI TAMEDED III TAMECAMEMITE A . 1 . P. m. 1 m. v
Theory	33.2001	Shlomo WEBER and Hans WIESMETH: From Autarky to Free Trade: The Impact on Environment
Network ETA	34.2001	Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: Model Selection and Tests for Non Nested Contingent
·*		Valuation Models: An Assessment of Methods

NIDM	25 2001	Cal CHIPDONI TI CARRES (II A MAIA PAR DE TIME)
NRM	35.2001	Carlo GIUPPONI: The Substitution of Hazardous Molecules in Production Processes: The Atrazine Case Study in Italian Agriculture
KNOW	36.2001	Raffaele PACI and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>Technological Diffusion</u> , Spatial Spillovers and Regional Convergence in Europe
PRIV	37.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI: Privatisation, Large Shareholders, and Sequential Auctions of Shares
CLIM	38.2001	Barbara BUCHNER: What Really Happened in The Hague? Report on the COP6, Part I, 13-25 November 2000, The Hague, The Netherlands
PRIV	39.2001	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Carlo SCARPA: Regulation at Home, Competition Abroad: A Theoretical Framework
KNOW	40.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: On the Complementarity between Education and Training in Europe
Coalition	41.2001	Alain DESDOIGTS and Fabien MOIZEAU (xlvi): Multiple Politico-Economic Regimes, Inequality and
Theory		<u>Growth</u>
Network Coalition	42.2001	Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS (xlvi): Limits to Climate Change
Theory Network	12.2001	I military for the first of the
Coalition	43.2001	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN (xlvi): Endogenous Coalition Formation in Global Pollution
Theory		Control
Network Coalition	44 2001	IAK-st-s LICE Distance CL TOL and Date was down TIANA AND (Alexi). No activities Climate Character and Caricle
Theory	44.2001	Wietze LISE, Richard S.J. TOL and Bob van der ZWAAN (xlvi): Negotiating Climate Change as a Social Situation
Network		
NRM	45.2001	Mohamad R. KHAWLIE (xlvii): The Impacts of Climate Change on Water Resources of Lebanon-
NRM	46.2001	Eastern Mediterranean  Mutasem EL-FADEL and E. BOU-ZEID (xlvii): Climate Change and Water Resources in the Middle
141411	10.2001	East: Vulnerability, Socio-Economic Impacts and Adaptation
NRM	47.2001	Eva IGLESIAS, Alberto GARRIDO and Almudena GOMEZ (xlvii): An Economic Drought Management
CLIM	48.2001	Index to Evaluate Water Institutions' Performance Under Uncertainty and Climate Change Wietze LISE and Richard S.J. TOL (xlvii): Impact of Climate on Tourist Demand
CLIM	49.2001	Francesco BOSELLO, Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Davide RAGGI: Can Equity Enhance
		Efficiency? Lessons from the Kyoto Protocol
SUST SUST	50.2001 51.2001	Roberto ROSON (xlviii): <u>Carbon Leakage in a Small Open Economy with Capital Mobility</u> Edwin WOERDMAN (xlviii): <u>Developing a European Carbon Trading Market</u> : <u>Will Permit Allocation</u>
3031	31.2001	Distort Competition and Lead to State Aid?
SUST	52.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept
SUST	53.2001	Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u>
SUST	54.2001	Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the
		Environment
SUST	55.2001	M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment
SUST	56.2001	Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International
OL IOT		Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights
SUST	57.2001	Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries
SUST	58.2001	Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the
CL ICT	F0 2001	Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe
SUST	59.2001	Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): <u>Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU</u> for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland
ETA	60.2001	Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:
CI DA	(1.0001	Lessons from the Human Genome Project
CLIM	61.2001	Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto
PRIV	62.2001	Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling
CLIM	63.2001	Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects  Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets
CLIM	64.2001	<u>Curves with Panel Data</u> Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Endogenous Induced Technical Change</u>
CLDA	(F 2001	and the Costs of Kyoto
CLIM	65.2001	Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (I): <u>Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-</u> Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset
CLIM	66.2001	Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO (l): Investigating the Shape of the EKC: A Nonparametric
		<u>Approach</u>

CLIM	67.2001	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI (1): Desperately Seeking (Environmental)
CLIM	07.2001	Kuznets: A New Look at the Evidence
CLIM	68.2001	Alexey VIKHLYAEV (xlviii): The Use of Trade Measures for Environmental Purposes - Globally and in
NIDM	(0. <b>2</b> 001	the EU Context  Comp D. URECAR and Zonner V. HANGEN (E). LLG Lond Rolling Property Rights and the Doot Royal of
NRM	69.2001	Gary D. LIBECAP and Zeynep K. HANSEN (li): <u>U.S. Land Policy</u> , <u>Property Rights</u> , and the <u>Dust Bowl of</u> the 1930s
NRM	70.2001	Lee J. ALSTON, Gary D. LIBECAP and Bernardo MUELLER (li): Land Reform Policies, The Sources of
		Violent Conflict and Implications for Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon
CLIM	71.2001	Claudia KEMFERT: Economy-Energy-Climate Interaction - The Model WIAGEM -
SUST	72.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Yohanes E. RIYANTO: Policy Instruments for Creating Markets for
SUST	73.2001	Bodiversity: Certification and Ecolabeling  Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Erik SCHOKKAERT (lii): Warm Glow and Embedding in Contingent
5051	73.2001	Valuation
SUST	74.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Peter NIJKAMP (lii): Ecological-Economic
	== 0001	Analysis and Valuation of Biodiversity
VOL	75.2001	Johan EYCKMANS and Henry TULKENS (li): Simulating Coalitionally Stable Burden Sharing
PRIV	76.2001	Agreements for the Climate Change Problem  Axel GAUTIER and Florian HEIDER: What Do Internal Capital Markets Do? Redistribution vs.
1141	70.2001	Incentives
PRIV	77.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Marcella FANTINI and Domenico SINISCALCO: Privatisation around the
		World: New Evidence from Panel Data
ETA	78.2001	Toke S. AIDT and Jayasri DUTTA (li): <u>Transitional Politics</u> . <u>Emerging Incentive-based Instruments in</u>
ETA	79.2001	Environmental Regulation  Alberto PETRUCCI: Consumption Taxation and Endogenous Growth in a Model with New
EIA	79.2001	Generations Consumption Taxation and Endogenous Growth in a Model with New
ETA	80.2001	Pierre LASSERRE and Antoine SOUBEYRAN (li): A Ricardian Model of the Tragedy of the Commons
ETA	81.2001	Pierre COURTOIS, Jean Christophe PÉREAU and Tarik TAZDAÏT: An Evolutionary Approach to the
		Climate Change Negotiation Game
NRM	82.2001	Christophe BONTEMPS, Stéphane COUTURE and Pascal FAVARD: Is the Irrigation Water Demand
NRM	83.2001	Really Convex? Unai PASCUAL and Edward BARBIER: A Model of Optimal Labour and Soil Use with Shifting
INIXIVI	03.2001	Cultivation
CLIM	84.2001	Jesper JENSEN and Martin Hvidt THELLE: What are the Gains from a Multi-Gas Strategy?
CLIM	85.2001	Maurizio MICHELINI (liii): IPCC "Summary for Policymakers" in TAR. Do its results give a scientific
CI D (	0 < 0 0 0 0 1	support always adequate to the urgencies of Kyoto negotiations?
CLIM CLIM	86.2001 87.2001	Claudia KEMFERT (liii): Economic Impact Assessment of Alternative Climate Policy Strategies Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: Global Warming and Financial Umbrellas
ETA	88.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA:
2111	00.2001	Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms
ETA	89.2001	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the
		Preferences of the Fed
CLIM	90.2001	Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference?
CLIM	91.2001	Zhong Xiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: <u>Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO</u>
SUST	92.2001	Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SUST		Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada
0001	93.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with
		Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): <u>Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England</u>
CLIM	94.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): <u>Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector</u>
		Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and
CLIM	94.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information
CLIM CLIM	94.2001 95.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of
CLIM CLIM ETA SUST	94.2001 95.2001 96.2001 97.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites
CLIM CLIM ETA	94.2001 95.2001 96.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in
CLIM CLIM ETA SUST NRM	94.2001 95.2001 96.2001 97.2001 98.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area - Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant'Erasmo
CLIM CLIM ETA SUST	94.2001 95.2001 96.2001 97.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in
CLIM CLIM ETA SUST NRM	94.2001 95.2001 96.2001 97.2001 98.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant'Erasmo Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS: Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area – Potentials of the Integrated Participatory Management Approach Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area –
CLIM CLIM ETA SUST NRM NRM	94.2001 95.2001 96.2001 97.2001 98.2001 99.2001 100.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area - Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant'Erasmo Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS: Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area - Potentials of the Integrated Participatory Management Approach Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area - A Methodological Framework
CLIM CLIM ETA SUST NRM NRM NRM	94.2001 95.2001 96.2001 97.2001 98.2001 99.2001 100.2001 101.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant'Erasmo Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS: Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area – Potentials of the Integrated Participatory Management Approach Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – A Methodological Framework Enrico C. PEROTTI and Luc LAEVEN: Confidence Building in Emerging Stock Markets
CLIM CLIM ETA SUST NRM NRM	94.2001 95.2001 96.2001 97.2001 98.2001 99.2001 100.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area - Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant'Erasmo Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS: Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area - Potentials of the Integrated Participatory Management Approach Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area - A Methodological Framework

SUST	103.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Simon ANDERSON, Adam DRUCKER and Veronica GOMEZ: Valuing Genetic		
		Resources in Courtyard Economies: The Case of Creole Pig in Yucatan		
SUST	104.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, E. RUTO, P. KRISTJANSON and M. RADENY: Valuing Genetic Resources in African		
		Livestock: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates		
SUST	105.2001	Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-		
		Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned?		

- (xxxvi) This paper was presented at the Second EFIEA Policy Workshop on "Integrating Climate Policies in the European Environment. Costs and Opportunities", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei on behalf of the European Forum on Integrated Environmental Assessment, Milan, March 4-6, 1999
- (xxxvii) This paper was presented at the Fourth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, CORE of Louvain-la-Neuve and GREQAM of Marseille, Aix-en-Provence, January 8-9, 1999
- (xxxviii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Trade and Competition in the WTO and Beyond" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the Department of International Studies of the University of Padua, Venice, December 4-5, 1998
- (xxxix) This paper was presented at the 3<sup>rd</sup> Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE on "Environment, Energy Uses and Climate Change", Toulouse, June 14-16, 1999
- (xl) This paper was presented at the conference on "Distributional and Behavioral Effects of Environmental Policy" jointly organised by the National Bureau of Economic Research and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, June 11-12, 1999
- (xli) This paper was presented at the Fifth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CODE, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, Barcelona January 21-22, 2000
- (xlii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Climate Change and Mediterranean Coastal Systems: Regional Scenarios and Vulnerability Assessment" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in co-operation with the Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti, Venice, December 9-10, 1999.
- (xliii)This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Voluntary Approaches, Competition and Competitiveness" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the research activities of the CAVA Network, Milan, May 25-26,2000.
- (xliv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Green National Accounting in Europe: Comparison of Methods and Experiences" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the Concerted Action of Environmental Valuation in Europe (EVE), Milan, March 4-7, 2000
- (xlv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "New Ports and Urban and Regional Development. The Dynamics of Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, May 5-6, 2000.
- (xlvi) This paper was presented at the Sixth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, January 26-27, 2001
- (xlvii) This paper was presented at the RICAMARE Workshop "Socioeconomic Assessments of Climate Change in the Mediterranean: Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation Co-benefits", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, February 9-10, 2001 (xlviii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop "Trade and the Environment in the Perspective of the EU Enlargement", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, May 17-18, 2001
- (xlix) This paper was presented at the International Conference "Knowledge as an Economic Good", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and The Beijer International Institute of Environmental Economics, Palermo, April 20-21, 2001
- (l) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and + Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001
- (li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001
- (lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001
- (liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

#### **2000 SERIES**

**MGMT** Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

PRIV Privatisation, Antitrust, Regulation (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

KNOW Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)

**WAT** Water and Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

SUST Sustainability Indicators and Impact Assessment (Editor: Marialuisa Tamborra)

**VOL** Task Force on Voluntary Agreements (Editor: Rinaldo Brau)

**ETA** *Economic Theory and Applications* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

#### **2001 SERIES**

**MGMT** Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

**PRIV** Privatisation, Antitrust, Regulation (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

KNOW Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

**SUST** Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation

(Editor: Marialuisa Tamborra)

**VOL** *Voluntary and International Agreements* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

#### SUBSCRIPTION TO "NOTE DI LAVORO"

Starting from January 1998 Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei issues a Periodic E-mail "Note di Lavoro" Bulletin listing the titles and the abstracts of its most recent Working Papers.

All the "Note di Lavoro" listed in the Bulletin are available on the Internet and are downloadable from Feem's web site "www.feem.it".

If you wish to receive hard copies you may choose from the payment options listed in the following table (minimum order: 10 papers)\*.

\*Orders for individual papers should clearly indicate the "Nota di Lavoro" number and can therefore be issued for published papers only.

All orders must be sent by fax to:

"Publications Office" - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei: Fax +39+2+52036946

#### **PAYMENT OPTIONS**

How many papers?	What's the price?	How to pay?
10 or more*	US\$ 4.00 each ITL 7,000 each	By Credit card or Bank transfer
Annual subscription (approx. 100 papers/year)	US\$ 250.00 ITL 425,000	By Credit card or Bank transfer

\*

\*Please fill out the Working Paper Subscription Form indicating your preferences (Periodic E-mail "Note di Lavoro" Bulletin, Annual subscription, Order for individual papers - minimum 10\*)!

# 

Bank transfer in US\$ (or Italian Lire in Italy) to Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei - account no. 39341-56 - SWIFT ARTIITM2 - ABI 03512 - CAB 01614 - Credito Artigiano - Corso Magenta 59, 20123 Milano, Italy. Copy of the bank transfer should be faxed along with the order.

Please return this duly completed form to:

"Publications Office" - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei - Corso Magenta, 63 - 20123 Milano, Italy