

Brochier, Frédéric; Giupponi, Carlo

Working Paper

Integrated coastal zone management in the Venice area: A methodological framework

Nota di Lavoro, No. 100.2001

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Brochier, Frédéric; Giupponi, Carlo (2001) : Integrated coastal zone management in the Venice area: A methodological framework, Nota di Lavoro, No. 100.2001, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/155262>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

**Integrated Coastal Zone
Management in the Venice Area
A Methodological Framework**

Frédéric Brochier* and Carlo Giupponi**

NOTA DI LAVORO 100.2001

DECEMBER 2001

NRM – Natural Resources Management

*Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice

** University of Padova, Dipartimento
di Agronomia Ambientale e Produzioni Vegetali and Feem

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:

http://www.feem.it/web/attiv/_activ.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:

<http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=XXXXXX>

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei
Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano, tel. +39/02/52036934 – fax +39/02/52036946
E-mail: letter@feem.it
C.F. 97080600154

SUMMARY

Recent years have seen an increasing consensus on the Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM) principles and structure, and the widespread recognition of its effectiveness in dealing with multiples and interconnected coastal issues. A successful ICZM programme adjusted to a specific context will incorporate systems of governance, culture and tradition, needs and expectations and will be built as much as possible on existing organisation and arrangements. This paper aims at defining the methodological basis for an ICZM framework suitable for an operational application in the socio-economic and environmental context of the Venetian area. The first section of the paper defines the basic concepts involved in coastal planning. The second section reviews methodological requirements and constraints. In the third section the paper analyses the procedure, the stages and steps within those stages that are generally necessary to implement ICZM programmes. Finally, the conclusive remarks identify further research requirements that will contribute to a concrete proposal for the Venice Lagoon System.

Keywords: Coastal planning, sustainable development, policy, integrated management, methodology

JEL: O20, Q01, Q20

NON TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Integrated Coastal Zone Management is not a mere juxtaposition of marine issues and land-based issues but is rather a process that recognises the distinctive character of the coastal area and the mutual influence of land and sea. In recent years *Integrated Coastal Zone Management*, has emerged as the most appropriate process for dealing with current and long term coastal problems (e.g. IPCC, 1996, WCC'1993) allowing integration across geographic boundaries, sectors and disciplines, political and institutional boundaries.

Over the 30 years of history, the maturation of the ICZM concept through considerable international efforts to define ICZM features has led to a consensus about the general model and framework of ICZM. However, common difficulties in transforming the ICZM objectives into effective actions may result from the limits of understanding about coastal processes and the complex web of interrelations in coastal systems. In addition a large range of approaches are available and diverse institutional arrangements can be applied and tailored to specific decisional contexts and types of governance. There is a recognised need for defining methodological approaches developed and designed to respond to the complexity of coastal management issues, and to problems linked to the information flows.

This paper aims at defining the methodological base for a framework that can be used as a blue print to develop local initiatives in the Venetian territory. The first section of the paper defines the basic concepts involved in coastal planning. The second section reviews methodological requirements and constraints. In the third section the paper analyses the procedure and the iterative cycle of activities outputs, decisions, and feedback that are generally necessary to implement ICZM programmes. Starting with the analysis of the triggering factors and the prerequisites for a good start, the paper identifies the stages and steps common to the majority of integrated coastal management frameworks. The general ICZM structure includes five main stages: (1) Inception, (2) problem identification (3) planning, (4) implementation, and (5) evaluation. These stages are described along with guidelines and indicators for a successful programme orientation. Finally, the conclusive remarks identify research requirements that will further a concrete proposal for the Venice Lagoon System.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
2. Coastal areas and decision making: definitions and concepts	4
3. From concepts to practice	6
4. The ICZM procedure	8
5. Concluding remarks: ICZM potentials for the Venetian area	13
6. References	15

1. Introduction

The lack of effective integrated management in coastal areas and particularly the lack of national legislation has been recognised at the European level as one of the main factors responsible for the degradation of coastal and marine environments (EUCC, 1999; EC, 1999; EC, 1999b). Experience gained during the European Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM) Demonstration Programme (EC,1999b; EC,2000) underlines the fact that there is no single correct approach or framework for coastal management and the sustainable allocation of coastal resources. As a consequence there is a recognised need to define suitable methodological approaches to implement the general principles of ICZM to any specific location and context. Many recent studies have addressed these issues in different parts of the world (es. WCC, 1993; Sorensen, J. 1997; Cicin-Sain and Knecht, 1998).

In 1999 FEEM launched a new research project called “Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice area - A pilot study for the Sant’Erasmus Island”. The need for such a project rose from current debate related to the problem of high vulnerability of the Venice Lagoon ecosystem and to possible measures to cope with it: planning, resource management, projects, interventions, etc. Existing institutional and administrative structures are complex and fragmented with responsibilities distributed between several official bodies involved in the management of the Venice area. This organisational framework together with the lack of relevant quantitative data related to the coastal system, highlighted the benefits of considering the problem in the context of Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM).

The project encompasses two main research lines (figure 1):

- ❑ analysis of procedures and methods used in case studies and situations similar to the Venice lagoon (*external elements*);
- ❑ analysis of the local situation and efforts to tailor ICZM procedure to the Venetian context and in particular in the pilot study on the Sant’Erasmus Island (*local case*) .

The two research lines are designed to produce a general framework including the definition of criteria and indications for the implementation of the ICZM procedure in a specific context. An important aspect of the project is the identification of possible approaches for the application of ICZM principles (from a planning perspective) to specific projects aimed at preserving the Venetian coastal area. In particular the ongoing engineering schemes including public works to improve Venice’s defences against high water events, have a planning relevance in term of costs and expected results. In addition they reflect an engineering vision of Venice’s problems that will be compared to the ICZM model.

The capacity of ICZM to face the socio-economic challenges of coastal planning on a small scale will be tested, thus contributing to the identification of needs for future action on the larger scale of the whole Venetian Lagoon. To this end, the project is thus designed to:

- ❑ gain a better understanding of the legal, political and administrative context;
- ❑ identify potential conflicts of interest among coastal zone users;
- ❑ identify problems of co-ordination between stakeholders and decision-makers at various levels and appropriate techniques and tools for public participation and conflict resolution;
- ❑ define the needs and the opportunities for ICZM;
- ❑ identify information gaps and propose future research and methods to fill these gaps;
- ❑ contribute to the elaboration of action plans at the local level; and
- ❑ develop a local demonstrative experience as a guiding methodological ICZM model;

In recent years considerable international efforts aimed at defining the ICZM characteristics have lead to a consensus about the general structure of the ICZM model, and a large quantity of literature and practical experiences (Cicin-Sain and Knecht, 1998). The paper aims at defining the methodological basis for an ICZM framework suitable for an operational application in the socio-economic and environmental context of the Venetian area. A review of existing methodological proposals, together with a simplified ICZM procedure is presented in the paper by examining typical stages, decisions and expected outputs, and some guidelines for a successful implementation. The ICZM procedure is developed bearing in mind the specificity of the Venetian area with particular attention to existing on-going programme of public works for the safeguarding of the Lagoon.

2. Coastal Areas and Decision Making: definitions and concepts

Coasts are unique natural heritage with irreplaceable ecological, cultural and economic resources. These spaces function as protective corridors of land and water and concentrate population, economic activities, and resources in a complex environment to be described with a systemic approach. **Coastal spaces** can be seen as a dynamic, unpredictable and interdependent set of subsystems in which the land-sea interactions are at the origin of very specific environments such as wetlands, estuaries, and open seas areas.

The **coastal area** is commonly defined as a geographic space of transition between land and sea, which has not been defined as a zone (Barg, U.C 1995). Such areas generally include:

- ❑ *inland areas*, which affect the oceans mainly via rivers and non-point sources of pollution;
- ❑ *coastal lands*—wetlands;
- ❑ *coastal waters* (estuaries, lagoons, and shallow waters);
- ❑ *offshore waters*, mainly out to the edge of national jurisdiction (200 nautical-miles offshore);
- ❑ *high seas*, beyond the limit of national jurisdiction.

The **coastal zone** does not have a rigid definition and the delimitation of its boundaries in a given area (*zoning*) varies according to political and administrative considerations. The main components of coastal systems, natural processes and human activities, interact in these spaces in a complex manner, forming a so called *eco-socio-system* (Henocques *et al.*, 1997) (figure 1) in which the dynamic nature and the productivity are vital for economic well-being and development in many countries. In the last decades the combination of increasing human and natural pressures have resulted in jeopardised coastal areas in terms of their ecological integrity, and regions at risk in terms of socio-economic welfare.

Decision making for coastal area management implies dealing with uncertain conditions related to variations in socio-economic parameters (demand on coastal resources, demography, technological progress, etc.) and environmental factors (geomorphologic changes, ecological processes, climate change, etc.). Traditional methods of coastal planning have shown limitations in managing this uncertainty and the complexity of choices surrounding an appropriate and rational use of coastal resources. The intrinsic dynamic nature of coastal spaces has been largely ignored by traditional approaches, together with a narrowed vision of problems due to sectoral management practices. The result is decades of uncoordinated management and inappropriate decision making.

The term “**coastal management**” came into common use with the implementation of the United States Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972. The act recognised that a new coastal management approach was needed. Since then, it has been widely recognised that a simple juxtaposition of sectoral approaches to management and land use planning is not appropriate to guarantee the

sustainable use of natural resources. Coastal areas require specific management approaches involving a system of relationships among actors who operate directly or indirectly in the coastal zones:

- 1) people who live, use, or are concerned otherwise (in their beliefs or behaviours) with the coastal environment,
- 2) policy makers and managers whose decisions and actions affect the behaviour of coastal people, and members of the scientific community: (natural scientists and social scientists) (figure 2).

Integrated Coastal Zone Management¹ after 30-years of history, has emerged as the most appropriate process for dealing with current and long term coastal problems (e.g IPCC, 1996; WCC, 1993; EC, 1999). ICZM is a “*process of governance and consists of the legal and institutional framework necessary to ensure that development and management plans are integrated with environmental goals and are made with the participation of all affected*” (Post and Ludin, 1996). ICZM is based on the underlying concept of ***sustainable development***².

ICZM objectives include:

- ❑ to maximise economic and social benefits derived from the use of coastal zone resources;
- ❑ to minimise conflicts (provide conflict resolution mechanisms) and the harmful effects of activities on resources, on the environment and upon each other;
- ❑ to stimulate and guide the sustainable development of coastal regions;
- ❑ to facilitate integrated decision making through a continuous decision support process.




Figure 1: Components and interactions of the coastal *ecosocio*system (adapted from Sawart and Bakkes, 1995).

¹ Other terms can be found in the literature to refer to the sustainable use and allocation of coastal resources. That includes: Integrated Coastal Management (ICM), Integrated Coastal Area Management (ICAM), Integrated Coastal Area Planning (ICAP), Sustainable Coastal Resources Management, etc.

² In the ICZM context, the concept of sustainable development implies that the present use of the marine environment and its resources shall not compromise the use and the enjoyment of that environment and its resources by future generations (GESAMP, 1990).




Figure 2: Interrelations in the coastal management system (from Orbach, 1995).

ICZM is a concept that refers to a holistic view of human and natural interactions on the littoral approaching the management of component sectors as part of a functional whole (figure 3). Experiences show that overall benefits from addressing and managing sectoral issues simultaneously are greater than pursuing sector-driven development plans (Pernetta and Elder, 1993; Scialabba, 1998). ICZM is not designed to replace specialised sectoral management but rather to harmonise and complement it (Cicin-Sain and Knecht, 1998). Moreover, ICZM aims at strengthening institutional and legal frameworks and implementing issue-driven action plans through the co-ordinated application of a series of case-specific elements:

- ❑ set of principles;
- ❑ set of measures (structural, institutional, economical, legal, financial);
- ❑ set of mechanisms (for linking responsible agencies and organisations, for public involvement);
- ❑ set of technological tools and instruments (information systems, cost-benefit analyses, scientific models, surveys, environmental impact assessments, etc.).

3. From concepts to practice

The 1990s have seen an explosion of interest and experimentation in ICZM due to the decisive impulse of outcomes from the Rio conference and particularly the publication of Agenda 21 in 1992. Chapter 17 remains one of the most important reference texts for coastal environments. Since then a large number of strategies and plans have been prepared, reviewed and revised at various spatial scales, dealing with diverse management issues. Nevertheless the number of examples in which mechanisms of ICZM have been successfully established in institutional structures remains limited. Most initiatives focus on preparatory activities rather than on concrete implementation phases and monitoring.

There are generally difficulties in transforming the ICZM objectives into effective actions. These difficulties may result from a limited understanding about natural processes and interrelation webs in coastal systems. Methodologies have been developed and designed to respond to the complexity of coastal management issues, and to problems linked to the management and the exchange of information flows. Various documents such as guidelines, good practice reports and codes of

conduct have been elaborated and published as methodological tools by major international organisations (FAO, OCDE, UNEP, World Bank) in order to help in identifying the steps, and elaborating appropriate frameworks for coastal management. Two documents are of particular relevance for their methodological dimension:

- ❑ *The Methodological Guide to Integrated Management* carried out for the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (Henocque *et al*, 1997)
- ❑ *The Regional Seas report and Studies on Guidelines for Integrated Coastal and Marine Areas* carried out by the UNEP (1995).

The first document deals with the problems of gathering, managing and organising data and information and aims at helping the decision making process. The second is a comprehensive document for the elaboration and the implementation of ICZM with a particular reference to the regional seas.

Any methodological approach faces a series of general problems common to the management of coastal zones, which can be grouped into three categories (from Henocque *et al*, 1997):

1. Problems linked to the direct impact of human activities, in particular the quality of the environment (water, sediments, living organisms), the natural integrity of coastal ecosystems, hydrosystems and landscape, the stability of the coastline (erosion and accretion), and the viability of renewable or non renewable natural resources.
2. Problems linked to effects of natural phenomena on human settlements (flood, erosion, subsidence, cyclones, tidal waves).
3. Problems linked to the interaction between the multiple coastal activities (conflicting coastal occupations, contradictory uses, and discordant regulations) (figure 3).

In implementing an ICZM program in such a problematic context, a list of specific issues emerges from past experiences:

1. *Issues linked to information, in terms of collection, analysis, management, sharing and disclosure.* Information is one of the key elements on which an ICZM process is established. Its availability and reliability are fundamental throughout the ICZM process. It is essential for the initiation of an ICZM initiative, and, in particular, for those activities related to awareness development, public participation, understanding of the problem to solve, and for an analysis of the situation. Information can generally be derived from rough data using data management systems, like the geographical information systems (GIS) able to store, manipulate and represent data giving spatial description of the main biological, physical, socio-economical, legal and institutional features of the studied coastal area.
2. *Issues linked to the institutional context.* Laws provide the legal context within which coastal actors operate. Law can be a facilitating and incentive factor or even a limiting factor for the ICZM process development. Legislation is fundamental and has an impact on all the phases of the procedure (EUCC, 1999). ICZM requires a clear understanding of the legal arrangements governing coastal management procedures and an appropriate legal mechanism for its implementation (Scialabba, N. 1998).
3. *Issues linked to the socio-economic context.* Two aspects are particularly relevant: public participation and collaboration amongst stakeholders. Public involvement through participatory approaches is central to the success of the process. Participation is vital in order to gain an understanding of the public's priorities for the decision making process that governs coastal activities. On the other hand, the involvement and the collaboration of the

stakeholders and of all the coastal actors is essential in order to agree upon common objectives. A shared vision should be developed for different levels of government including local actors and in different sectoral branches of the administration (EC, 1999).

4. *Issues linked to the effectiveness of the process.* ICZM is typically a cyclical process, which requires periodical evaluation, and assessment of its effectiveness. Efforts to improve the process and to provide feedback are an integrative part of ICZM. According to the European Code of Conduct for Coastal Zones (EUCC, 1999), that includes the evaluation of the following factors:
- ❑ Level of coordination,
 - ❑ Public participation,
 - ❑ Existence of a coherent data collection,
 - ❑ Existence of supporting activities,
 - ❑ Availability of relevant information,
 - ❑ Efficiency of Decision-Making.




Figure 3: ICZM at the centre of natural and human interactions (adapted from Klomp. R, 1993)

4. The ICZM procedure

The setting up of ICZM plans is a complex task, which requires time and willingness. In addition some prerequisites for an effective ICZM are considered essential for a good start and the success of the implementation. These include the existence of:

1. political will and initial leadership to co-ordinate the launching of the process;
2. public awareness;
3. legal and administrative capacities to develop and carry out coastal plans;
4. technical capacities and human skills;
5. instruments for human resources management and information collection;
6. adequate financial resources.

Efforts should be made to fulfil as many of these conditions as possible; nevertheless experience has shown that the process can be initiated even with an incomplete set of conditions (UNEP,1995).

It emerged from the literature, that some stages and steps are common to the majority of integrated coastal management procedures. The general structure includes five main stages: (1) inception, (2) problem identification (3) planning, (4) implementation, and (5) evaluation. An iterative cycle of activities outputs, decisions, and feedback is formed (figure 4). The process is expected to be continuous, and there is no end point after which the process is considered complete. Links between component phases should allow retroaction mechanisms and the timely correction of activities (UNEP, 1995). A detailed description of these five stages follows below.




Figure 4: Main stages of the ICZM process

4.1. Inception

ICZM requires an institutional and political decision to start, which is triggered by one or the combination of several factors, including:

- past decisions which have caused degradation processes and conflicts;
- recent decisions and incentive measures (for example some international directives);
- specific issues rising from changing coastal processes (for example depletion of resources or an increase in pollution);
- local awareness of communities, authorities and groups with the willingness to act (WCC, 1993; UNEP, 1995);
- desire to increase the economic benefits obtained from the coastal zone (Cicin-Sain and Knecht 1998).

These factors can generally encourage or catalyse political will and a formal decision. Triggers generally vary from one case to another, and with relation to the economic and environmental context. According to Cicin-Sain and Knecht (1998), ICZM catalysts are closely linked to the level of economic development and the severity of environmental problems.

The inception phase provides all of the elements necessary for the decision initiating a process, to be taken. A formal document must be drawn up and elaborated in collaboration with all concerned

actors. The document should contain a feasibility study and a work-plan, including the identification and the analysis of:

1. the prerequisites and triggering factors;
2. the need to implement an ICZM process and the related objectives;
3. the temporary boundaries of the study area;
4. the stakeholders and actors from government and non-governmental institutions involved in the use of coastal resources (stakeholder analysis);
5. a time table;
6. the available financial resources.

In this stage, it is necessary to define individuals and entities responsible for the leadership. In most cases, the leadership is represented by the highest level of responsibility. In some cases the establishment of a steering committee with representatives of different interests is required to guide and co-ordinate the process. Cicin-Sain and Knecht (1998) note in their cross-national survey, that the majority of respondents (73 percent of all responses) indicated that the initiative was a "national-level government initiative" implying a top-down procedure. Nevertheless, bottom-up approaches have also produced beneficial results in regions (particularly in subsistence economies) where local groups are more willing to act (WCC,1993). It was recently argued that benefits produced by local level initiatives are even more likely to create long term support for ICZM (Power, *et al* 2000). In both approaches, the intrinsic nature of ICZM requires the active participation of local actors and communities and the co-operation of national and local levels of governance.

It is important that the leading body of the ICZM procedure carry out an accurate stakeholder analysis. This analysis is designed to identify all organisations and individuals who have management responsibilities in the coastal zone, or have the power to influence the decision making process, or could have a role in the implementation of decisions, or, finally, will be affected by the resulting management activities.

4.2. Identification of problems

This stage is designed to prepare for the planning process and for the elaboration of proposals and action plans in order to be submitted to decision-makers. It is often included in the planning phase as a preliminary stage (UNEP, 1995). It is considered separately here because it is a fundamental step on which the spatial context of the study, the choice of parameters to consider and the orientations of the decisions envisaged, depend (Henocque *et al*, 1997). The pattern of activities and process interactions are generally complex, and the issues to be addressed which require ICZM plans can be numerous. The task of identifying major sectoral issues and their implications generally requires an analysis of the available information, and can be tackled by carrying out an environmental audit or **Coastal Diagnosis** (CD). The coastal diagnosis refers to an analytical approach to the interactions between human and environmental systems which is generally described within a driving forces-pressures-states-impact-response framework (DPSIR) such as the DPISR approach of the European Environmental Agency (EEA, 1999)³ The CD contributes to the better understanding of the interactions and links between human activities and natural processes, which originate different types of resource utilisation. The diagnosis should compile the available data, analyse existing information and include a literature review, and, possibly, the elaboration of interviews and questionnaires to be filled in by local communities and experts. In addition the CD

³ This system of analysis expressed the complex human-environment relationship in a simple, causal way, providing an understandable structure within which available knowledge can best be organised and analysed and decision making supported (Swart and Bakkes, 1995).

must allow an evaluation of the magnitude of problems and their implications, and should facilitate the identification of the problems to be solved in priority.

The definition of priorities is an important step that refers to a process of negotiation that requires the involvement of concerned actors (public, administration) and the use of some techniques of analysis. Generally all issues cannot be addressed at the same time, the selection process will help to focus on the major issues.

The definition of priorities can be performed with an analysis based on some criteria of choice which vary from area to area according to needs, issues and governmental systems. The table 1 shows an example of criterion that can be used (Henocques *et al*, 1997).

Type of criterion	Criterion
Geographical dimension of the problem	Km of coastline affected
Social implications	Number of people affected
	Loss of income
Ecosystem implications	Destruction of habitat

Table 1: Example of criterion of choice

The coastal diagnosis should provide the required elements for the elaboration of a guiding programme: a problem oriented document which aims to precede and confirm the decision to continue the ICZM process. This programme must include:

1. the precise delimitation and definition of the concerned area (boundaries and zoning methodologies);
2. the identification of the main problems of the area and the problems to solve in order of priority;
3. the identification of information gaps;
4. a proposal for the preparation of an integrated coastal master plan;
5. an analysis of legal requirements posed by the proposal (need of new legislation, or need of modification);
6. an analysis of the financial requirements for the implementation.

4.3. Planning

The planning phase aims at elaborating a set of policies, programmes and a set of action plans. In particular three steps are necessary:

1. Surveys and scenario analysis;
2. Definition of objectives and strategies;
3. Elaboration of an integrated action plan.

Once the decision to initiate an ICZM process has been made, the first step of the planning phase requires new data that can be gathered by means of news surveys and inquiries. These **surveys** are oriented towards selected problems in order to generate new data and to fill as much as possible the information gaps. The objective is to with the aim of provide the analytical bases for the definition of precise objectives and the elaboration of strategies and action plans. In addition information is made available for the analysis of current trends and for elaborating hypotheses of future impacts of human and natural pressures on the environment. The hypotheses of future prospects are the bases for the development of future **scenarios**, which should take into account the diverse opportunities

and modes of development for the coastal zone, and the objectives of sustainability. The most appropriate scenarios can then be selected (e.g. business as usual, pessimistic, etc.).

Within the context of coastal management, problems are often poorly structured and objectives unclear or badly formulated. The clear definition of **objectives** is a fundamental task upon which the structure and the orientation of the process are dependent. In this phase, the involvement of the public is of great importance. The objectives can be sectoral and trans-sectoral and should be selected, classified and organised into a hierarchy in relation to the priorities. Objectives can be made quantifiable by formulating sub-objectives with accompanying criteria (indicators for reaching an objective).

The objectives must be converted into policies for integrated management. These policies can be elaborated through diverse alternative **strategies** including legal requirements, financial implications and institutional arrangements. The strategies involve a set of actions designed to achieve the pre-selected objectives. An analysis of the impact of the strategies should be carried out. Next, strategies should be compared and ranked. One strategy should be selected with the goal to be presented to decision-makers in a provisional document. This document can be a strategic plan and must contain information elaborated as criteria and indicators relevant for decision making and the adoption of the strategy.

In order to prepare for the application of the pre-selected management strategies, a comprehensive and detailed plan should be prepared to offer broad perspective and long-term solutions which include short term objectives and corresponding projects. The plan should support decision-making and define the procedures in detail (legal, institutional, and financial) and the operational actions to be implemented. The plan should be realistic and in accordance with the importance of problems, existing management capacities, and human and financial resources.

An **integrated action plan** for the management of a coastal area should be designed to:

- ☐ describe the institutional context in which the plan should be implemented;
- ☐ define the political aspects of the plan in relation to the legal context, and the legal status;
- ☐ identify the actors and entities which must have a role and which should be involved in the implementation process;
- ☐ clearly define the execution steps required;
- ☐ define the instruments involved in the implementation;
- ☐ define the approbation procedure for the plan and for periodical revision;
- ☐ identify the financial aspects (constraints and support);
- ☐ identify the time perspective of the plan.

4.4. Execution

The execution stage involves an operational decision making including:

1. the implementation of the integrated action plan, and
2. the monitoring and evaluation of the process.

The **implementation** will put the action plan into effect by adopting the elaborated proposals and integrating them into the existing institutional structure of the appropriate decision level. One of the crucial dimensions of the action plan is its legal status upon which the success of the implementation process depends. In fact, implementing ICZM plan may involve:

- ⇒ changing the way existing institutions operate (improving linkage between them);
- ⇒ creating new institutions;
- ⇒ changing the rights of users of coastal resources;
- ⇒ introducing new mechanisms to regulate human activities within, or that may affect, coastal areas (Scialabba, 1998).

Even if experience has shown that it is more effective to use existing institutions and arrangements when possible (Government of South Africa, 1998), the naming of an entity or an organism, in charge of co-ordinating the implementation of the plan is often necessary. This responsibility could be held by a steering committee, which could be established at the launch of the project, and which could be composed of people representative of all actors and interest groups. In fact, the set up of the plan can often create new conflicts between stakeholders.

Monitoring, in this case, can be defined as the periodic re-measurement of appropriate parameters to determine the effects of particular management strategies or policies, and the response of systems to changes in the wider environment (Bosch *et al.*, 1996). From the inception of the process, monitoring mechanisms should be set up providing process feedback and information flows that allow the possibility to modify and improve the process continuously.

The set of stages, steps and actions generally involved in the ICZM procedure, are shown in the figure reportend in the following page.

Evaluation procedures should be conducted at various stages to assess the progress of the process. Monitoring activities for ICZM are often complex and require the control of many factors and variables related to the following evaluation criteria:


- ☞ results and benefits obtained with respect to the objectives;
- ☞ performance of ICZM policies that have been implemented;
- ☞ efficiency of decisions;
- ☞ efficiency of financial investments;
- ☞ equity of the generated benefits;
- ☞ impacts of actions on the environment.

In practice, a monitoring and evaluation system should be established focusing on key indicators related to the above criteria and according to the DPSIR model. Such indicators can also be linked to a GIS in order to display the spatial change in the area in an attractive form.

5. Concluding remarks: ICZM potentials for the Venetian area

ICZM represents the opportunity of dealing with multiple and interconnected issues that reflect the coastal systems dynamic. As seen before, the general ICZM model is designed to bring all coastal sectors, levels of government and coastal users into a rational goal-setting and decision making framework. A successful ICZM programme adjusted to a specific context will incorporate the system of governance, culture and tradition, people needs and expectations into its structure, and will be built as much as possible on existing organisation and arrangements.

The examination of the ICZM theory and applications described in international literature confirms the potentials of such a methodological approach to support new planning and management strategies designed for the sustainable development of the so-called Venetian Lagoon System (VLS). The VLS is an extremely complex territorial context in which cultural, social and environmental features of international relevance coexist. The multiplicity of activities places different demands on coastal resources, resulting in intense competition and conflicts over appropriate patterns of use. Historical and recent examples of success and failure in dealing with these issues have characterised attempts from Venetian institutions to manage and plan multi-sectoral uses of local resources (i.e. touristic exploitation of historic settlements, fish farming, industrial development, etc.).



The recent “Torcello case” is emblematic of the conflicts which can occur in coastal zones, and of public reaction to administrative decisions and more widely to top-down planning decisions. In this case, the interventions designed for the morphological and environmental safeguarding of the Torcello island resulted in significant public opposition, which eventually caused the works to stop and be re-designed. This interruption caused significant negative effects in terms of delaying the solutions to problems of morphological and environmental safeguarding, and increasing the cost of the interventions. An analysis of these events illustrated that there is a substantial ***lack in co-ordination*** among the various administrations in charge of planning and management at various scales and for different sectors. In particular, state and municipal administrations, agencies in charge of local planning and those dealing with public works are concerned. Moreover, the original planning did not include a ***participatory process*** or an approach integrating an assessment of ***public opinion***. Finally, one of the criticisms of the project of public works for the Torcello island was that sectoral issues were analysed individually within a single engineering project, rather than following an ***holistic approach*** in which issues are assessed with a broader vision allowing the definition of alternatives.

In the Venetian context, the potentials of Integrated Coastal Zone Management can be easily seen. ICZM would offer positive contributions to:

- an integrated vision of both planning and management problems, and
- a cross-sectoral participatory approach for the resolution of local issues (at various scales) and day-to-day citizen problems in Venice’s coastal area.

The ICZM procedure described in the paper represents a methodological background upon which a concrete proposal for the Venetian area could be designed.

Further research efforts should be oriented towards:

- acquiring an in-depth understanding of the complex multi-level ***institutional and decisional context*** in order to be able to identify an effective strategy to launch the ICZM process;
- identifying the most suitable approaches to overcome problems in ***co-ordinating administrations and competencies***;
- acquiring an in-depth understanding of the ICZM potentials at the various levels of interventions and, in particular understanding the relationship between ***planning strategies***, on larger scales, and ***project design and realisation***, on smaller ones;
- identifying the best solution for implementing an effective ***participatory approach in decision making***;
- tailoring the methodological background to the specific requirements as identified with the above mentioned studies, to propose a ***specific and effective methodological proposal of the Venetian area***.

6. References

- Barg, U.C. 1992:** Guidelines for the promotion of environmental management of coastal aquaculture development - FAO Fisheries Technical paper N°328, Rome, 122p.
- Bosch, O.J.H., Allen, W.J. & Gibson 1996:** Monitoring as an integral part of management and policy making *in* proceedings of symposium "Resource Management: Issues, Visions, Practice" Lincoln University, New Zealand, 5-8 July, 1996, pp. 12-21. <http://www.landcare.cri.nz/sal/>
- Cicin-Sain and Knecht, 1998:** Integrated Coastal and Ocean Management - concepts and practices. Island press, Washington, 517 p.

- Clark, J.R 1991:** The status of Integrated Coastal Management: a global assessment. Miami. University of Miami, Coastal Area Management and Planning Network (CAMPNET), Rosenthal School of Marine and Atmospheric Science.
- Clark, J.R 1992:** Integrated Management of Coastal Zones. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper N° 327, Rome.
- EEA, 1999:** Environmental information needs and gaps, *In* environment in the European Union at the turn of the century, chap. 4.2 pp 427-445.
- European Commission EC, 1999:** EC Demonstration Programme on ICZM - Report of thematic study D, planning and management processes: sectoral and territorial cooperation, European Commission, University of Newcastle, 65p.
- EC, 1999b:** Towards a European Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM) strategy: general principles and policy options. A reflection paper. Directorates-General Environment, Nuclear Safety and Civil Protection Fisheries Regional Policies and Cohesion , 31p.
- EC, 2000:** An assessment of the socio-economic costs and benefits of Integrated Coastal Zone Management – Final report. Firth Crichton Roberts Ltd and the Graduate School of Environmental Studies at the University of Strathclyde, 61p.
- European Union for Coastal Conservation EUCC, 1999:** European code of conduct for coastal zones, CO-DBP(99)11, 3rd meeting, Geneva, 98p.
- GESAMP, 1990:** The state of the marine environment IMO/FAO/UNESCO-IOC/WMO/WHO/IAEA/UN/UNEP joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection (GESAMP), GESAMP Reports and Studies N 39, 111pp.
- Government of South Africa, 1998:** Coastal policy green paper: towards sustainable coastal development in South Africa. Department of environmental affairs and tourism - coastal management policy programme. <http://www.cmpp.co.za/greenpaper/index.html>
- Henocque, Y. J. Denis; B. Gerard; C. Grignon-Logerot; L. Brigand, M. Lointier; and P. Barusseau. 1997:** Methodological guide to Integrated Coastal Management. manuals and guides IOC, N. 36. Paris, France: UNESCO, 47 p.
- IPCC, 1996:** Climate change 1995, impacts, adaptations and mitigation of climate change: scientific-technical analysis [Watson, R.T., M.C. Zinyowera, and R.H. Moss (eds.)]. Contribution of Working Group II to the Second Assessment Report of IPCC, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 878p.
- IWICM (International Workshop on Integrated Coastal Management), 1996:** Enhancing the success of Integrated Coastal Management in tropical developing countries: good practices in the formulation, design, and implementation of integrated coastal management initiatives. MPP-EAS Technical Report N.2. GEF/UNDP/IMO Regional Programme for the Prevention and Management of Marine Pollution in the East Asian Seas and Coastal management Center. Quezon City, Philippines, 32p.
- Olsen 1995:** The skills, knowledge, and attitudes of an ideal coastal manager *In* Crawford B.R Stanley Cobb J. Chou L.M (eds) Educating Coastal Managers - Proceedings of the Rhode Island Workshop, Narragansett, Rhode Island, p3-7.
- Klomp, R. 1993:** Lessons learned from the experience with policy decisions and supporting tools in coastal water management. Paper presented at *MEDCOAST 93 workshop, the First International Conference on the Mediterranean Coastal Environment*, November 2-5, Ankara, Turkey.
- Orbach, M. 1995:** Social scientific contributions to coastal policy making. *In improving Interactions between Coastal Science Policy. Proceedings of the California Symposium* 49-59. Washington, D.C National Academy Press.
- Post, J.C. and C.G. Ludin (eds) 1996:** Guidelines for Integrated Coastal Zone Management. Environmentally Sustainable Development Studies and Monographs Series N.9, The World Bank, Washington, D.C. 16p.

- Power, J. McKenna J. MacLeod M.J. Cooper and Convie G. 2000:** Developing integrated participatory management strategies for Atlantic dune systems in county Donegal, Northwest Ireland. *Ambio Vol. 29 No.3* pp 143-149, Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences.
- Pernetta, J.C and D.L Elder. 1993:** Cross-sectoral, Integrated Coastal Area Planning (CICAP): guidelines and principles for coastal area development. A Marine Conservation and Development Report IUCN. Gland, Switzerland vii + 63pp.
- Scialabba, N. (ed.) 1998:** Integrated Coastal Area Management and agriculture, forestry and fisheries, FAO, Guidelines. Environment and Natural Resources Service, FAO Rome, 256p.
- Sorensen, J. 1997:** National and international efforts at Integrated Coastal Management: definitions, achievements and lessons, *Coastal Management*, **25**:3-41.
- Swart, R.J., Bakkes, J.A, Niessen, L.W, Rotmans J.de Vries, H:J.M and Weterings R. 1995 :** Scanning the Global Environment: a framework and methodology for integrated environmental reporting and assessment. UNEP/RIVM. UNEP/EATR. 95-01; RIVM/402001002. Environment Assessment Sub programme, UNEP, Nairobi *quoted in* Bakkes, J.A and van Woerden J.W (eds). The future of the global Environment: A model-based Analysis Supporting UNEP's First Global Environment Outlook. RIVM 402001007 and UNEP/DEIA/TR.97-1.
- UNEP, 1995:** Guidelines for Integrated Management of Coastal and Marine Areas with Special Reference to the Mediterranean Basin. UNEP Regional Seas Reports and Studies No. **161**. Nairobi, Kenya, 79p.
- World Coast Conference, WCC 1993:** Preparing to meet the coastal challenges of the 21st century. Conference report, World Coast Conference, November, 1-51993, Noordwijk, The Netherlands. The Hague: Ministry of Transport, Public Works, and Water Management. National Institute for Coastal and Marine Management, Coastal Zone Management Centre.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Papers Series

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

Server WWW: WWW.FEEM.IT

Anonymous FTP: FTP.FEEM.IT

To order any of these papers, please fill out the form at the end of the list.

CLIM	1.2000	<i>Claudia KEMFERT</i> : <u>The Impacts of Emissions Trading on World Economies. Contemplation of baseline emissions paths and a ceiling on emissions trading</u>
CLIM	2.2000	<i>Pascal FAVARD</i> : <u>Does Productive Capital Affect the Order of Resource Exploitation?</u>
CLIM	3.2000	<i>Robert T. DEACON</i> (xxxix): <u>The Political Economy of Environment-Development Relationships: A Preliminary Framework</u>
SUST	4.2000	<i>Piet RIETVELD and Roberto ROSON</i> : <u>Joint Costs in Network Services: the Two-way Problem in the Case of Unbalanced Transport Markets</u>
CLIM	5.2000	<i>Robert S. PINDYCK</i> (xxxix): <u>Irreversibilities and the Timing of Environmental Policy</u>
MGMT	6.2000	<i>Domenico SINISCALCO, Stefania BORGHINI, Marcella FANTINI and Federica RANGHIERI</i> (xl): <u>The Response of Companies to Information-Based Environmental Policies</u>
SUST	7.2000	<i>Guy D. GARROD, Riccardo SCARPA and Ken G. WILLIS</i> : <u>Estimating the Benefits of Traffic Calming on Through Routes: A Choice Experiment Approach</u>
CLIM	8.2000	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG</i> : <u>Estimating the Size of the Potential Market for the Kyoto Flexibility Mechanisms</u>
VOL	9.2000	<i>Jean-Christophe PEREAU and Tarik TAZDAIT</i> (xli): <u>Partial and Global Cooperation with Unilateral Commitment in the Presence of Global Environmental Problems</u>
KNOW	10.2000	<i>Giacomo CALZOLARI and Giovanni IMMORDINO</i> : <u>Hormone Beefs, Chloridric Chicken and International Trade: Can Scientific Uncertainty be an Informational Barrier to Trade?</u>
CLIM	11.2000	<i>Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM</i> (xxxvi): <u>Imperfect Competition, Labour Market Distortions, and the Double Dividend Hypothesis</u>
KNOW	12.2000	<i>Patrizia BUSSOLI</i> : <u>An Empirical Analysis of Technological Convergence Process and RIVs in Europe at the Firm Level</u>
KNOW	13.2000	<i>Luigi BENFRATELLO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI</i> : <u>Research Joint Ventures and Firm Level Performance</u>
KNOW	14.2000	<i>Nicholas S. VONORTAS</i> : <u>US Policy towards Research Joint Ventures</u>
ETA	15.2000	<i>Y.H. FARZIN</i> : <u>The Effects of Emissions Standards on Industry in the Short Run and Long Run</u>
ETA	16.2000	<i>Francis BLOCH and Stéphane ROTTIER</i> (xli): <u>Agenda Control in Coalition Formation</u>
CLIM	17.2000	<i>Giovanni IMMORDINO</i> : <u>Looking for a Guide to Protect the Environment: the Development of the Precautionary Principle</u>
CLIM	18.2000	<i>Hans W. GOTTINGER</i> : <u>Negotiation and Optimality in an Economic Model of Global Climate Change</u>
VOL	19.2000	<i>Paola MILIZIA and Marialuisa TAMBORRA</i> : <u>Juridical Framework of Voluntary Agreements in Italy and Policy Relevance at the Local Level</u>
CLIM	20.2000	<i>Richard S.J. TOL, Wietze LISE and Bob van der ZWAAN</i> (xli): <u>Technology Diffusion and the Stability of Climate Coalitions</u>
CLIM	21.2000	<i>Pietro TEATINI and Giuseppe GAMBOLATI</i> (xlii): <u>The Impact of Climate Change, Sea-Storm Events and Land Subsidence in the Adriatic</u>
CLIM	22.2000	<i>Emiliano RAMIERI</i> (xlii): <u>An Overview of the Vulnerability of Venice to the Impacts of Climate Change and Sea Level Rise</u>
PRIV	23.2000	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Marcella FANTINI and Carlo SCARPA</i> : <u>Why do Governments Sell Privatised Companies Abroad?</u>
ETA	24.2000	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Gilbert E. METCALF</i> : <u>Behavioral and Distributional Effects of Environmental Policy: Introduction</u>
ETA	25.2000	<i>Santiago J. RUBIO and Juana AZNAR</i> : <u>Sustainable Growth and Environmental Policies</u>
KNOW	26.2000	<i>Francesca RECANATINI and Randi RYTERMAN</i> : <u>Disorganisation or Self-Organisation?</u>
KNOW	27.2000	<i>Giorgio BARBA NAVARETTI and David TARR</i> : <u>International Knowledge Flows and Economic Performance. An Introductory Survey of the Evidence</u>
SUST	28.2000	<i>Francesca CODA CANATI</i> : <u>Secondary Raw Materials Market Creation: Waste Stock Exchange</u>
KNOW	29.2000	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO and Simona COMI</i> : <u>Education and Earnings Growth. Evidence from 11 European Countries</u>
CLIM	30.2000	<i>Michael GRUBB</i> : <u>The Kyoto Protocol: an Economic Appraisal</u>
CLIM	31.2000	<i>Gérard MONDELLO and Mabel TIDBALL</i> (xxxix): <u>Environmental Liability and Technology Choice: A Duopolistic Analysis</u>

KNOW	32.2000	Alberto PETRUCCI and Edmund PHELPS: <u>Capital Subsidies Versus Labour Subsidies: A Trade-Off between Capital and Employment?</u>
VOL	33.2000	Petr ŠAUER, Antonín DVORÁK and Petr FIALA: <u>Negotiation between Authority and Polluters – Model for Support of Decision Making in Environmental Policy: Principles and Experimental Case Test</u>
SUST	34.2000	Riccardo SCARPA, George W. HUTCHINSON and Sue M. CHILTON: <u>Reliability of Benefit Value Transfers from Contingent Valuation Data with Forest-Specific Attributes</u>
CLIM	35.2000	Allen PERRY (xlii): <u>Impact of Climate Change on Tourism in the Mediterranean: Adaptive Responses</u>
CLIM	36.2000	Laura MARSILIANI and T.I. RENGSTRÖM (xxxvi): <u>Inequality, Environmental Protection and Growth</u>
CLIM	37.2000	Massimiliano MONTINI (xlii): <u>Italian Policies and Measures to Respond to Climate Change</u>
CLIM	38.2000	Horst STERR, Richard KLEIN and Stefan REESE (xlii): <u>Climate Change and Coastal Zones. An Overview of the State-of-the-Art on Regional and Local Vulnerability Assessment</u>
CLIM	39.2000	Tullio SCOVAZZI (xlii): <u>Ideas Behind the New or Updated Mediterranean Legal Instruments</u>
CLIM	40.2000	Dimitrios GEORGAS (xlii): <u>Assessment of Climatic Change Impacts on Coastal Zones in the Mediterranean. UNEP's Vulnerability Assessments Methodology and Evidence from Case Studies</u>
SUST	41.2000	Herath M. GUNATILAKE and Ujjayant CHAKRAVORTY: <u>Forest Resource Extraction by Local Communities: A Comparative Dynamic Analysis</u>
PRIV	42.2000	Giancarlo SPAGNOLO: <u>Optimal Leniency Programs</u>
CLIM	43.2000	Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO, Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Emission Trading Restrictions with Endogenous Technological Change</u>
CLIM	44.2000	Alan S. MANNE and Richard G. RICHEL: <u>A Multi-Gas Approach to Climate Policy – with and without GWPs</u>
WAT	45.2000	Ujjayant CHAKRAVORTY and Chieko UMETSU: <u>Basinwide Water Management: A Spatial Model</u>
CLIM	46.2000	Don FULLERTON, Inkee HONG and Gilbert E. METCALF (xl): <u>A Tax on Output of the Polluting Industry is not a Tax on Pollution: The Importance of Hitting the Target</u>
PRIV	47.2000	Axel GAUTIER and Dimitri PAOLINI: <u>Delegation and Information Revelation</u>
ETA	48.2000	Andreas PAPANDREOU: <u>Externality, Convexity and Institutions</u>
ETA	49.2000	Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>The Timing of Adoption of Cleaner Technologies: Private Costs and Public Incentives</u>
ETA	50.2000	Michele MORETTO and Roberto TAMBORINI: <u>Liquidity: What can a “Hausbank” do that Other Lenders Cannot Do?</u>
PRIV	51.2000	Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESI: <u>Option to Revoke and Regulation of Local Utilities</u>
PRIV	52.2000	Giancarlo SPAGNOLO: <u>Self-Defeating Antitrust Laws</u>
PRIV	53.2000	William L. MEGGINSON and Maria K. BOUTCHKOVA: <u>The Impact of Privatisation on Capital Market Development and Individual Share Ownership</u>
KNOW	54.2000	Giorgio BARBA NAVARETTI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Andrea MATTOZZI: <u>Moving Skills from Hands to Heads: Import of Technology and Export Performance</u>
ETA	55.2000	Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Riccardo SCARPA, Pinuccia CALIA, Guy GARROD and Ken WILLIS: <u>Modelling Zero Bids in Contingent Valuation Surveys</u>
CLIM	56.2000	Paola CONCONI: <u>Can Green Lobbies Replace a World Environmental Organisation?</u>
VOL	57.2000	Paola CONCONI and Carlo PERRONI (xli) : <u>Issue Linkage and Issue Tie-in in Multilateral Negotiations</u>
ETA	58.2000	Fernando JARAMILLO, Hubert KEMPF and Fabien MOIZEAU (xli): <u>Conspicuous Consumption, Social Status and Clubs</u>
SUST	59.2000	Gianni CICIA and Riccardo SCARPA: <u>Willingness to Pay for Rural Landscape Preservation: A Case Study in Mediterranean Agriculture</u>
CLIM	60.2000	Josef JANSSEN: <u>Will Joint Implementation Survive International Emissions Trading? Distinguishing the Kyoto Mechanisms</u>
CLIM	61.2000	Carlo CARRARO: <u>Costs, Structure and Equity of International Regimes for Climate Change Mitigation</u>
KNOW	62.2000	Alberto BUCCI: <u>On Scale Effects, Market Power and Growth when Human and Technological Capital are Complements</u>
KNOW	63.2000	Alberto BUCCI and H.C. SAGLAM: <u>Growth Maximising Patent Lifetime</u>
WAT	64.2000	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: <u>The Value of Licences for Recreational Resources Use</u>
WAT	65.2000	Edi DEFRANCESCO and Paolo ROSATO: <u>Recreation Management in Venice Lagoon</u>
KNOW	66.2000	Carlo DELL'ARINGA and Claudio LUCIFORA: <u>Inside the Black Box: Labour Market Institutions, Wage Formation and Unemployment in Italy</u>
CLIM	67.2000	Erkki KOSKELA, Markku OLLIKAINEN and Mikko PUHAKKA: <u>Renewable Resources in an Overlapping Generations Economy without Capital</u>
CLIM	68.2000	A. Lans BOVENBERG and Lawrence H. GOULDER (xl): <u>Neutralising the Adverse Industry Impacts of CO2 Abatement Policies: What Does it Cost?</u>
KNOW	69.2000	Ioanna KASTELLI: <u>Science and Technology Policy in Greece. Policy Initiatives for R&D Cooperation</u>
CLIM	70.2000	Katrin MILLOCK: <u>Contracts for Clean Development – The Role of Technology Transfers</u>
VOL	71.2000	Alberto CAVALIERE and Fabio FRONTOSO SILVESTRI (xliii): <u>Voluntary Agreements as Information Sharing Devices: Competition and Welfare Effects</u>

VOL	72.2000	Na Li DAWSON and Kathleen SEGERSON (xliii): <u>Voluntary Agreements with Industries: Participation Incentives with Industry-wide Targets</u>
VOL	73.2000	Patricia M. BAILEY (xliii): <u>The Application of Competition Law and Policy to Environmental Agreements in an Oligopolistic Market</u>
VOL	74.2000	Joanna POYAGO-THEOTOKY (xliii): <u>Voluntary Approaches and the Organisation of Environmental R&D</u>
VOL	75.2000	Scott C. MATULICH, Murat SEVER and Fred INABA (xliii): <u>Cooperative Bargaining to Internalise Open Access Externalities: Implications of the American Fisheries Act</u>
VOL	76.2000	Allen BLACKMAN and James BOYD (xliii): <u>Tailored Regulation: Will Voluntary Site-Specific Environmental Performance Standards Improve Welfare?</u>
VOL	77.2000	Vincenzo DENICOLLO' (xliii): <u>A Signaling Model of Environmental Overcompliance</u>
VOL	78.2000	Markus A. LEHMANN (xliii): <u>Voluntary Environmental Agreements and Competition Policy. The Case of Germany's Private System for Packaging Waste Recycling</u>
VOL	79.2000	Hans H.B. VEDDER (xliii): <u>Voluntary Agreements and Competition Law</u>
VOL	80.2000	Thomas P. LYON and John W. MAXWELL (xliii): <u>Self-Regulation, Taxation and Public Voluntary Environmental Agreements</u>
VOL	81.2000	Paola MANZINI and Marco MARIOTTI (xliii): <u>A Bargaining Model of Voluntary Environmental Agreements</u>
VOL	82.2000	Alain NADAI and Benoit MOREL (xliii): <u>Product Ecolabelling, Competition and the Environment</u>
CLIM	83.2000	Simone BORGHESI: <u>Income Inequality and the Environmental Kuznets Curve</u>
KNOW	84.2000	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Massimo GIANNINI: <u>Stratified or Comprehensive? The Economic Efficiency of School Design</u>
KNOW	85.2000	Giorgio BRUNELLO, Simona COMI and Claudio LUCIFORA: <u>The College Wage Gap in 10 European Countries: Evidence from Two Cohorts?</u>
ETA	86.2000	Michael FINUS: <u>Game Theory and International Environmental Co-operation: A Survey with an Application to the Kyoto-Protocol</u>
CLIM	87.2000	Clare GOODESS, Jean PALUTIKOF and Maureen AGNEW (xlii): <u>Climate Change Scenarios for the Mediterranean: A Basis for Regional Impact Assessment</u>
CLIM	88.2000	Ian COXHEAD: <u>Tax Reform and the Environment in Developing Economies: Is a Double Dividend Possible?</u>
SUST	89.2000	Peter BARTELMUS and André VESPER (xliv): <u>Green Accounting and Material Flow Analysis. Alternatives or Complements?</u>
SUST	90.2000	Mark DE HAAN and Steven J. KEUNING (xliv): <u>The NAMEA as Validation Instrument for Environmental Macroeconomics</u>
SUST	91.2000	Jochen JESINGHAUS (xliv): <u>On the Art of Aggregating Apples & Oranges</u>
SUST	92.2000	Jan KOLAR (xliv): <u>Land Cover Accounting in the Czech Republic</u>
SUST	93.2000	Anil MARKANDYA, Alistair HUNT and Pamela MASON (xliv): <u>Valuing Damages for Green Accounting Purposes: The GARP II Approach</u>
SUST	94.2000	Anil MARKANDYA, Pamela MASON and Marialuisa TAMBORRA (xliv): <u>Green National Accounting: Synthesising and Extending the Welfare Based and Sustainability-standard Based Approaches</u>
SUST	95.2000	Martin O'CONNOR (xliv): <u>Towards a Typology of "Environmentally-Adjusted" National Sustainability Indicators: Key Concepts and Policy Application</u>
SUST	96.2000	Anton STEURER (xliv): <u>Towards an Environmental Accounting Framework for the EU</u>
SUST	97.2000	Cesare COSTANTINO, Federico FALCITELLI and Angelica TUDINI (xliv): <u>New Developments in Environmental Accounting at Istat</u>
CLIM	98.2000	Stefan BAYER and Claudia KEMFERT: <u>Reaching National Kyoto-Targets in Germany by Maintaining a Sustainable Development</u>
CLIM	99.2000	ZhongXiang ZHANG: <u>An Assessment of the EU Proposal for Ceilings on the Use of Kyoto Flexibility Mechanisms</u>
KNOW	100.2000	Maria Rosa BATTAGGION and Patrizia BUSSOLI: <u>Italian Policy towards Cooperation in R&D</u>
KNOW	101.2000	Giorgio BARBA NAVARETTI, Patrizia BUSSOLI, Georg VON GRAEVENITZ and David ULPH: <u>Information Sharing, Research Coordination and Membership of Research Joint Ventures</u>
WAT	102.2000	Cesare DOSI and William K. EASTER: <u>Water Scarcity: Institutional Change, Water Markets and Privatisation</u>
WAT	103.2000	Cesare DOSI and Naomi ZEITOUNI: <u>Controlling Groundwater Pollution from Agricultural Nonpoint Sources: An Overview of Policy Instruments</u>
KNOW	104.2000	Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>On Debt Neutrality in the Savers-Spenders Theory of Fiscal Policy</u>
SUST	105.2000	Roberto ROSON and Stefano SORIANI: <u>Intermodality and the Changing Role of Nodes in Transport Networks</u>
CLIM	106.2000	Alain BOUSQUET and Pascal FAVARD: <u>Does S. Kuznets' Belief Question the Environmental Kuznets Curves?</u>
CLIM	107.2000	Ottavio JANNI: <u>EU Biodiversity Conservation in Tropical Countries</u>

VOL	108.2000	<i>Katrin MILLOCK and François SALANIE: <u>Collective Environmental Agreements: An Analysis of the Problems of Free-Riding and Collusion</u></i>
VOL	109.2000	<i>Katrin MILLOCK: <u>The Combined Use of Taxation and Voluntary Agreements for Energy Policy</u></i>
VOL	110.2000	<i>Markus A. LEHMANN: <u>The Impact of Voluntary Environmental Agreements on Firms' Incentives for Technology Adoption</u></i>
SUST	1.2001	<i>Inge MAYERES and Stef PROOST: <u>Should Diesel Cars in Europe be Discouraged?</u></i>
SUST	2.2001	<i>Paola DORIA and Davide PETTENELLA: <u>The Decision Making Process in Defining and Protecting Critical Natural Capital</u></i>
CLIM	3.2001	<i>Alberto PENCH: <u>Green Tax Reforms in a Computable General Equilibrium Model for Italy</u></i>
CLIM	4.2001	<i>Maurizio BUSSOLO and Dino PINELLI: <u>Green Taxes: Environment, Employment and Growth</u></i>
CLIM	5.2001	<i>Marco STAMPINI: <u>Tax Reforms and Environmental Policies for Italy</u></i>
ETA	6.2001	<i>Walid OUESLATI: <u>Environmental Fiscal Policy in an Endogenous Growth Model with Human Capital</u></i>
CLIM	7.2001	<i>Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: <u>Kyoto Commitment and Emission Trading: a European Union Perspective</u></i>
MGMT	8.2001	<i>Brian SLACK (xlv): <u>Globalisation in Maritime Transportation: Competition, uncertainty and implications for port development strategy</u></i>
VOL	9.2001	<i>Giulia PESARO: <u>Environmental Voluntary Agreements: A New Model of Co-operation Between Public and Economic Actors</u></i>
VOL	10.2001	<i>Cathrine HAGEM: <u>Climate Policy, Asymmetric Information and Firm Survival</u></i>
ETA	11.2001	<i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: <u>A Sequential Approach to the Characteristic Function and the Core in Games with Externalities</u></i>
ETA	12.2001	<i>Gaetano BLOISE, Sergio CURRARINI and Nicholas KIKIDIS: <u>Inflation and Welfare in an OLG Economy with a Privately Provided Public Good</u></i>
KNOW	13.2001	<i>Paolo SURICO: <u>Globalisation and Trade: A "New Economic Geography" Perspective</u></i>
ETA	14.2001	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and Vincenzina MESSINA: <u>Quasi Option Value and Irreversible Choices</u></i>
CLIM	15.2001	<i>Guy ENGELN (xlii): <u>Desertification and Land Degradation in Mediterranean Areas: from Science to Integrated Policy Making</u></i>
SUST	16.2001	<i>Julie Catherine SORS: <u>Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable Development in Venice: A Comparative Assessment of Methods and Approaches</u></i>
SUST	17.2001	<i>Julie Catherine SORS: <u>Public Participation in Local Agenda 21: A Review of Traditional and Innovative Tools</u></i>
CLIM	18.2001	<i>Johan ALBRECHT and Niko GOBBIN: <u>Schumpeter and the Rise of Modern Environmentalism</u></i>
VOL	19.2001	<i>Rinaldo BRAU, Carlo CARRARO and Giulio GOLFETTO (xlili): <u>Participation Incentives and the Design of Voluntary Agreements</u></i>
ETA	20.2001	<i>Paola ROTA: <u>Dynamic Labour Demand with Lumpy and Kinked Adjustment Costs</u></i>
ETA	21.2001	<i>Paola ROTA: <u>Empirical Representation of Firms' Employment Decisions by an (S,s) Rule</u></i>
ETA	22.2001	<i>Paola ROTA: <u>What Do We Gain by Being Discrete? An Introduction to the Econometrics of Discrete Decision Processes</u></i>
PRIV	23.2001	<i>Stefano BOSI, Guillaume GIRMANS and Michel GUILLARD: <u>Optimal Privatisation Design and Financial Markets</u></i>
KNOW	24.2001	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO, Claudio LUPI, Patrizia ORDINE, and Maria Luisa PARISI: <u>Beyond National Institutions: Labour Taxes and Regional Unemployment in Italy</u></i>
ETA	25.2001	<i>Klaus CONRAD: <u>Locational Competition under Environmental Regulation when Input Prices and Productivity Differ</u></i>
PRIV	26.2001	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Juliet D'SOUZA, Marcella FANTINI and William L. MEGGINSON: <u>Sources of Performance Improvement in Privatised Firms: A Clinical Study of the Global Telecommunications Industry</u></i>
CLIM	27.2001	<i>Frédéric BROCHIER and Emiliano RAMIERI: <u>Climate Change Impacts on the Mediterranean Coastal Zones</u></i>
ETA	28.2001	<i>Nunzio CAPPUCCIO and Michele MORETTO: <u>Comments on the Investment-Uncertainty Relationship in a Real Option Model</u></i>
KNOW	29.2001	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO: <u>Absolute Risk Aversion and the Returns to Education</u></i>
CLIM	30.2001	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG: <u>Meeting the Kyoto Targets: The Importance of Developing Country Participation</u></i>
ETA	31.2001	<i>Jonathan D. KAPLAN, Richard E. HOWITT and Y. Hossein FARZIN: <u>An Information-Theoretical Analysis of Budget-Constrained Nonpoint Source Pollution Control</u></i>
MGMT Coalition	32.2001	<i>Roberta SALOMONE and Giulia GALLUCCIO: <u>Environmental Issues and Financial Reporting Trends</u></i>
Theory Network	33.2001	<i>Shlomo WEBER and Hans WIESMETH: <u>From Autarky to Free Trade: The Impact on Environment</u></i>
ETA	34.2001	<i>Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: <u>Model Selection and Tests for Non Nested Contingent Valuation Models: An Assessment of Methods</u></i>

NRM	35.2001	Carlo GIUPPONI: <u>The Substitution of Hazardous Molecules in Production Processes: The Atrazine Case Study in Italian Agriculture</u>
KNOW	36.2001	Raffaele PACI and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>Technological Diffusion, Spatial Spillovers and Regional Convergence in Europe</u>
PRIV	37.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI: <u>Privatisation, Large Shareholders, and Sequential Auctions of Shares</u>
CLIM	38.2001	Barbara BUCHNER: <u>What Really Happened in The Hague? Report on the COP6, Part I, 13-25 November 2000, The Hague, The Netherlands</u>
PRIV	39.2001	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Carlo SCARPA: <u>Regulation at Home, Competition Abroad: A Theoretical Framework</u>
KNOW	40.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: <u>On the Complementarity between Education and Training in Europe</u>
Coalition	41.2001	Alain DESDOIGTS and Fabien MOIZEAU (xlvi): <u>Multiple Politico-Economic Regimes, Inequality and Growth</u>
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	42.2001	Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS (xlvi): <u>Limits to Climate Change</u>
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	43.2001	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN (xlvi): <u>Endogenous Coalition Formation in Global Pollution Control</u>
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	44.2001	Wietze LISE, Richard S.J. TOL and Bob van der ZWAAN (xlvi): <u>Negotiating Climate Change as a Social Situation</u>
Theory		
Network		
NRM	45.2001	Mohamad R. KHAWLIE (xlvii): <u>The Impacts of Climate Change on Water Resources of Lebanon-Eastern Mediterranean</u>
NRM	46.2001	Mutasem EL-FADEL and E. BOU-ZEID (xlvii): <u>Climate Change and Water Resources in the Middle East: Vulnerability, Socio-Economic Impacts and Adaptation</u>
NRM	47.2001	Eva IGLESIAS, Alberto GARRIDO and Almudena GOMEZ (xlvii): <u>An Economic Drought Management Index to Evaluate Water Institutions' Performance Under Uncertainty and Climate Change</u>
CLIM	48.2001	Wietze LISE and Richard S.J. TOL (xlvii): <u>Impact of Climate on Tourist Demand</u>
CLIM	49.2001	Francesco BOSELLO, Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Davide RAGGI: <u>Can Equity Enhance Efficiency? Lessons from the Kyoto Protocol</u>
SUST	50.2001	Roberto ROSON (xlviii): <u>Carbon Leakage in a Small Open Economy with Capital Mobility</u>
SUST	51.2001	Edwin WOERDMAN (xlviii): <u>Developing a European Carbon Trading Market: Will Permit Allocation Distort Competition and Lead to State Aid?</u>
SUST	52.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u>
SUST	53.2001	Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u>
SUST	54.2001	Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u>
SUST	55.2001	M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): <u>Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment</u>
SUST	56.2001	Savas ALPAY (xlviii): <u>Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights</u>
SUST	57.2001	Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): <u>Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries</u>
SUST	58.2001	Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): <u>Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe</u>
SUST	59.2001	Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): <u>Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland</u>
ETA	60.2001	Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): <u>Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project</u>
CLIM	61.2001	Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: <u>Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto</u>
PRIV	62.2001	Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: <u>On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects</u>
CLIM	63.2001	Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data</u>
CLIM	64.2001	Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto</u>
CLIM	65.2001	Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (l): <u>Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset</u>
CLIM	66.2001	Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO (l): <u>Investigating the Shape of the EKC: A Nonparametric Approach</u>

CLIM	67.2001	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI (I): <u>Desperately Seeking (Environmental) Kuznets: A New Look at the Evidence</u>
CLIM	68.2001	Alexey VIKHLYAEV (xlviii): <u>The Use of Trade Measures for Environmental Purposes – Globally and in the EU Context</u>
NRM	69.2001	Gary D. LIBECAP and Zeynep K. HANSEN (li): <u>U.S. Land Policy, Property Rights, and the Dust Bowl of the 1930s</u>
NRM	70.2001	Lee J. ALSTON, Gary D. LIBECAP and Bernardo MUELLER (li): <u>Land Reform Policies, The Sources of Violent Conflict and Implications for Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon</u>
CLIM	71.2001	Claudia KEMFERT: <u>Economy-Energy-Climate Interaction – The Model WIAGEM -</u>
SUST	72.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Yohanes E. RIYANTO: <u>Policy Instruments for Creating Markets for Biodiversity: Certification and Ecolabeling</u>
SUST	73.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Erik SCHOKKAERT (lii): <u>Warm Glow and Embedding in Contingent Valuation</u>
SUST	74.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Peter NIJKAMP (lii): <u>Ecological-Economic Analysis and Valuation of Biodiversity</u>
VOL	75.2001	Johan EYCKMANS and Henry TULKENS (li): <u>Simulating Coalitionally Stable Burden Sharing Agreements for the Climate Change Problem</u>
PRIV	76.2001	Axel GAUTIER and Florian HEIDER: <u>What Do Internal Capital Markets Do? Redistribution vs. Incentives</u>
PRIV	77.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Marcella FANTINI and Domenico SINISCALCO: <u>Privatisation around the World: New Evidence from Panel Data</u>
ETA	78.2001	Toke S. AIDT and Jayasri DUTTA (li): <u>Transitional Politics. Emerging Incentive-based Instruments in Environmental Regulation</u>
ETA	79.2001	Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>Consumption Taxation and Endogenous Growth in a Model with New Generations</u>
ETA	80.2001	Pierre LASSEERE and Antoine SOUBEYRAN (li): <u>A Ricardian Model of the Tragedy of the Commons</u>
ETA	81.2001	Pierre COURTOIS, Jean Christophe PÉREAU and Tarik TAZDAÏT: <u>An Evolutionary Approach to the Climate Change Negotiation Game</u>
NRM	82.2001	Christophe BONTEMPS, Stéphane COUTURE and Pascal FAVARD: <u>Is the Irrigation Water Demand Really Convex?</u>
NRM	83.2001	Unai PASCUAL and Edward BARBIER: <u>A Model of Optimal Labour and Soil Use with Shifting Cultivation</u>
CLIM	84.2001	Jesper JENSEN and Martin Hvidt THELLE: <u>What are the Gains from a Multi-Gas Strategy?</u>
CLIM	85.2001	Maurizio MICHELINI (liii): IPCC “Summary for Policymakers” in TAR. <u>Do its results give a scientific support always adequate to the urgencies of Kyoto negotiations?</u>
CLIM	86.2001	Claudia KEMFERT (liii): <u>Economic Impact Assessment of Alternative Climate Policy Strategies</u>
CLIM	87.2001	Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Global Warming and Financial Umbrellas</u>
ETA	88.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: <u>Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms</u>
ETA	89.2001	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: <u>Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed</u>
CLIM	90.2001	Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: <u>Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference?</u>
CLIM	91.2001	ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: <u>Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO</u>
SUST	92.2001	Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): <u>The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada</u>
SUST	93.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): <u>Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England</u>
CLIM	94.2001	Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: <u>Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector</u>
CLIM	95.2001	Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: <u>Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information</u>
ETA	96.2001	Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: <u>On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options</u>
SUST	97.2001	Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: <u>The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites</u>
NRM	98.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: <u>Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant’Erasmus</u>
NRM	99.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS: <u>Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area – Potentials of the Integrated Participatory Management Approach</u>
NRM	100.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI: <u>Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – A Methodological Framework</u>

(xxxvi) This paper was presented at the Second EFIEA Policy Workshop on “Integrating Climate Policies in the European Environment. Costs and Opportunities”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei on behalf of the European Forum on Integrated Environmental Assessment, Milan, March 4-6, 1999

(xxxvii) This paper was presented at the Fourth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, CORE of Louvain-la-Neuve and GREQAM of Marseille, Aix-en-Provence, January 8-9, 1999

(xxxviii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on “Trade and Competition in the WTO and Beyond” organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the Department of International Studies of the University of Padua, Venice, December 4-5, 1998

(xxxix) This paper was presented at the 3rd Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE on “Environment, Energy Uses and Climate Change”, Toulouse, June 14-16, 1999

(xl) This paper was presented at the conference on “Distributional and Behavioral Effects of Environmental Policy” jointly organised by the National Bureau of Economic Research and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, June 11-12, 1999

(xli) This paper was presented at the Fifth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CODE, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona January 21-22, 2000

(xlii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on “Climate Change and Mediterranean Coastal Systems: Regional Scenarios and Vulnerability Assessment” organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in co-operation with the Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti, Venice, December 9-10, 1999.

(xliii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on “Voluntary Approaches, Competition and Competitiveness” organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the research activities of the CAVA Network, Milan, May 25-26, 2000.

(xliv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on “Green National Accounting in Europe: Comparison of Methods and Experiences” organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the Concerted Action of Environmental Valuation in Europe (EVE), Milan, March 4-7, 2000

(xlv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on “New Ports and Urban and Regional Development. The Dynamics of Sustainability” organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, May 5-6, 2000.

(xlvi) This paper was presented at the Sixth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, January 26-27, 2001

(xlvii) This paper was presented at the RICAMARE Workshop “Socioeconomic Assessments of Climate Change in the Mediterranean: Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation Co-benefits”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, February 9-10, 2001

(xlviii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop “Trade and the Environment in the Perspective of the EU Enlargement”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, May 17-18, 2001

(xlix) This paper was presented at the International Conference “Knowledge as an Economic Good”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and The Beijer International Institute of Environmental Economics, Palermo, April 20-21, 2001

(l) This paper was presented at the Workshop “Growth, Environmental Policies and + Sustainability” organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001

(li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on “Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001

(lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on “Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001

(liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on “Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?”, jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

2000 SERIES

MGMT	<i>Corporate Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
CLIM	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
PRIV	<i>Privatisation, Antitrust, Regulation</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
KNOW	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
WAT	<i>Water and Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
SUST	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Impact Assessment</i> (Editor: Marialuisa Tamborra)
VOL	<i>Task Force on Voluntary Agreements</i> (Editor: Rinaldo Brau)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

2001 SERIES

MGMT	<i>Corporate Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
CLIM	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
PRIV	<i>Privatisation, Antitrust, Regulation</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
KNOW	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
SUST	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation</i> (Editor: Marialuisa Tamborra)
VOL	<i>Voluntary and International Agreements</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SUBSCRIPTION TO "NOTE DI LAVORO"

Starting from January 1998 Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei issues a Periodic E-mail "Note di Lavoro" Bulletin listing the titles and the abstracts of its most recent Working Papers.

All the "Note di Lavoro" listed in the Bulletin are available on the Internet and are downloadable from Feem's web site "www.feem.it".

If you wish to receive hard copies you may choose from the payment options listed in the following table (minimum order: 10 papers)*.

*Orders for individual papers should clearly indicate the "Nota di Lavoro" number and can therefore be issued for published papers only.

All orders must be sent by fax to:

"Publications Office" - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei: Fax +39+2+52036946

PAYMENT OPTIONS

How many papers?	What's the price?	How to pay?
10 or more*	US\$ 4.00 each ITL 7,000 each	By Credit card or Bank transfer
Annual subscription (approx. 100 papers/year)	US\$ 250.00 ITL 425,000	By Credit card or Bank transfer

***Please fill out the Working Paper Subscription Form indicating your preferences (Periodic E-mail "Note di Lavoro" Bulletin, Annual subscription, Order for individual papers - minimum 10*)!**

✂.....

WORKING PAPER SUBSCRIPTION FORM

Name: _____

Affiliation(if applicable): _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____ Fax: _____ E-mail: _____

I wish to: _____ Amount due: _____

☐ receive the Periodic E-mail Working Papers Bulletin

☐ place a full annual subscription for 2001 (US\$ 250.00/ITL 425,000) _____

☐ order no.....individual papers (minimum 10 papers at US\$ 4.00/ITL 7,000 each)* _____

Total _____

I will pay by:

☐ VISA ☐ American Express Card No. _____ Expiration Date: _____

Signature: _____

Bank transfer in US\$ (or Italian Lire in Italy) to Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei - account no. 39341-56 -

SWIFT ARTIITM2 - ABI 03512 - CAB 01614 - Credito Artigiano - Corso Magenta 59, 20123 Milano, Italy.

Copy of the bank transfer should be faxed along with the order.

Please return this duly completed form to:

"Publications Office" - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei - Corso Magenta, 63 - 20123 Milano, Italy