

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Katusiimeh, Mesharch W.; Kangave, Jalia

Working Paper

Politics and organizational capacities of selected key fiscal and social institutions in Uganda

UNRISD Working Paper, No. 2015-12

Provided in Cooperation with:

United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD), Geneva

Suggested Citation: Katusiimeh, Mesharch W.; Kangave, Jalia (2015): Politics and organizational capacities of selected key fiscal and social institutions in Uganda, UNRISD Working Paper, No. 2015-12, United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD), Geneva

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/148741

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Working Paper 2015-12

Politics and Organizational Capacities of Selected Key Fiscal and Social Institutions in Uganda

Mesharch W. Katusiimeh and Jalia Kangave

prepared for the UNRISD project on Politics of Domestic Resource Mobilization

August 2015



The United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD) is an autonomous research institute within the UN system that undertakes multidisciplinary research and policy analysis on the social dimensions of contemporary development issues. Through our work we aim to ensure that social equity, inclusion and justice are central to development thinking, policy and practice.

UNRISD, Palais des Nations 1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland

Tel: +41 (0)22 9173020 Fax: +41 (0)22 9170650 info@unrisd.org www.unrisd.org

Copyright © United Nations Research Institute for Social Development

This is not a formal UNRISD publication. The responsibility for opinions expressed in signed studies rests solely with their author(s), and availability on the UNRISD Web site (www.unrisd.org) does not constitute an endorsement by UNRISD of the opinions expressed in them. No publication or distribution of these papers is permitted without the prior authorization of the author(s), except for personal use.

Introduction to Working Papers on The Politics of Domestic Resource Mobilization for Social Development

This paper is part of a series of outputs from the research project on The Politics of Domestic Resource Mobilization for Social Development.

The project seeks to contribute to global debates on the political and institutional contexts that enable poor countries to mobilize domestic resources for social development. It examines the processes and mechanisms that connect the politics of resource mobilization and demands for social provision; changes in state-citizen and donor-recipient relations associated with resource mobilization and allocation; and governance reforms that can lead to improved and sustainable revenue yields and services. For further information on the project visit www.unrisd.org/pdrm.

This project is funded by SIDA and UNRISD core funds.

Series Editors: Katja Hujo and Harald Braumann

Working Papers on The Politics of Domestic Resource Mobilization for Social Development

Politics and Organizational Capacities of Selected Key Fiscal and Social Institutions in Uganda
Mesharch W. Katusiimeh and Jalia Kangave, August 2015

Political Economy of Citizenship Regimes: Tax in India and Brazil Aaron Schneider, July 2015

Mining and Resource Mobilization for Social Development: The Case of Nicaragua Hilda María Gutiérrez Elizondo, April 2015

Examining the Catalytic Effect of Aid on Domestic Resource Mobilization for Social Transfers in Low-Income Countries
Cécile Cherrier, February 2015

Tax Bargains: Understanding the Role Played by Public and Private Actors in Influencing Tax Policy Reform in Uganda
Jalia Kangave and Mesharch W. Katusiimeh, February 2015

State-Business Relations and the Financing of the Welfare State in Argentina and Chile: Challenges and Prospects

Jamee K. Moudud, Esteban Perez Caldentey and Enrique Delamonica, December 2014

From Consensus to Contention: Changing Revenue and Policy Dynamics in Uganda Anne Mette Kjær and Marianne S. Ulriksen, December 2014

Fiscal Capacity and Aid Allocation: Domestic Resource Mobilization and Foreign Aid in Developing Countries

Aniket Bhushan and Yiagadeesen Samy, May 2014

The History of Resource Mobilization and Social Spending in Uganda Marianne S. Ulriksen and Mesharch W. Katusiimeh, March 2014

Extractive Industries, Revenue Allocation and Local Politics Javier Arellano and Andrés Mejía Acosta, March 2014

Obstacles to Increasing Tax Revenues in Low-Income Countries
Mick Moore. UNRISD-ICTD Working Paper No. 15, UNRISD, International Centre for
Tax and Development, November 2013

Contents

Acronyms	ii
Summary	iii
Introduction	1
Research questions and analytical framework	1
Uganda Revenue Authority	3
Institutional reforms in URA	3
Impact of renewed political commitment on revenue mobilization	4
Kampala Capital City Authority	7
Institutional reforms in KCCA	7
Impact of the reforms on the ability of KCCA to promote social development	9
Ministry of Health	10
Institutional reforms in the Ministry of Health	
Implications of low prioritization on the ministry's ability to promote social	
development	12
Conclusion	
References	18
List of Interviews	22
Annexes	23
Annex 1: KCCA Achievements in the Education Sector, Financial	
Year 2013/2014	23
Annex 2: KCCA Achievements in the Health Sector, Financial Year 2013/2014	24
List of Tables	
Table 1: Maternal mortality ratio figures	15
Table 2: Combating HIV figures	

Acronyms

AIDS Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome

ART Anti-retroviral treatment

BOU Bank of Uganda

DISH Delivery of Improved Services for Health Project

EU European Union **FHD** Family Health Day

FINMAP Financial Accountability and Management Programme

FY Financial year

GAVI Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization

GDP Gross domestic product

HIV Human immunodeficiency virus
HSSP Health Sector Strategic Plan

ICT Information, Communication and Technology
IHCC International Hutcheon Clinic for Children

KCCA Kampala Capital City Authority
KDLB Kampala District Land Board

Local Government Management and Service Delivery

MDG Millennium Development Goal

MoH Ministry of Health

MoES Ministry of Education and Sports

MOFPED Ministry of Finance Planning and Economic Development

NMCP National Malaria Control Programs

NDP National Development Plan
NRM National Resistance Movements

NTR Non-tax revenue

NWSC National Water and Sewerage Corporation

OPD Out Patient Delivery

PEAP Poverty Eradication Action Plan

PEFA Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability

PCV10 Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine
PLE Primary Leaving Examinations

RADDEx Revenue Authorities Digital Data Exchange

ROU Republic of Uganda
SFG Service for Generations
SWAP Sector-wide approach
UGX Uganda Shillings

UNHRO Uganda National Health Research Organization

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund

UNMHCP Uganda National Minimum Health Care Package

UPE Universal Primary Education
URA Uganda Revenue Authority

URA Net Unified Communication Infrastructure

US United States

USAID United States Agency for International Development

USD United States dollar

USE Universal Secondary Education

WAN Wide Area Network

Summary

This paper examines the linkages between resource mobilization and social outcomes by looking at institutions that play a key role with respect to resource mobilization and social spending in Uganda. It looks at the following institutions: the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA), Kampala Capital City Authority (KCCA) and the Ministry of Health (MoH). The three institutions were selected because they are key organizations in either revenue collection or social service delivery or both, and all three were targets of reforms—with varying degrees of success.

The paper analyses how these institutions compare with respect to political prioritization, and in particular, to what extent they benefit from key institutional reforms and organizational capacity. The analysis reveals how varying political interests in, and priorities of, public institutions serve to explain differences in the delivery of public services and their organizational capacity. It illustrates the bigger picture that only politically important organizations—those perceived to be key for the political survival of the ruling elite—are well equipped with resources. The findings also stress the point that organizations that tend to perform better do so because they are politically prioritized and offered political protection.

Mesharch W. Katusiimeh is a Senior Lecturer in the Department of Leadership and Governance at the Makerere University Business School. Jalia Kangave is a Project Director of the East African School of Taxation in Uganda.

Introduction

Since the National Resistance Movement (NRM) came to power in 1986, Uganda has undertaken an ambitious set of economic and political reforms, which have resulted into the country being hailed as a public sector reform leader in Africa (Andrews and Bategeka 2013). Many of these reforms were enshrined in the constitution of the Republic of Uganda that was promulgated in 1995.

Political commitment to reform and implementation of reform initiatives were evident in the early years of NRM administration (Robinson 2006). The Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development (MOFPED) with strong presidential backing and high levels of capacity was instrumental in the successful design and implementation of reforms (Robinson 1997; Hickey 2013), as have been donors who had long concentrated their efforts on building up levels of capacity and commitment within Uganda's MOFPED and a cohort of key bureaucrats (Harrison 2001; Hickey 2013).

One of MOFPED's main areas of work was public expenditure management, in which a series of institutional arrangements were put in place to facilitate the budget process and monitor public spending commitments. These initiatives centered on the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework, designed to maintain public expenditure within manageable and predictable targets, and the government's Poverty Eradication Action Programme (PEAP), which set out the government's expenditure priorities for poverty reduction and public services (Robinson 2007). However, while the MOFPED benefited from a strengthening of its capacity to manage the macro-economy, the extent to which these reforms have trickled down to public administration vary from one institution to another. This was especially so following the return to a multiparty political system in 2000, which in many ways resulted in a reversal of the institutional gains achieved in the first decade of the NRM rule (Ulriksen and Katusiimeh 2014). Specifically, we argue that particularly after the year 2000, some agencies were strengthened and their ability to provide services or implement sector reform increased, while others saw negligible reform. Invariably, this has a bearing on the ability to institutions to deliver social development outcomes.

Research questions and analytical framework

This paper examines the linkages between institutional capacity, resource mobilization and social outcomes looking at institutions that play a key role with respect to resource mobilization and social spending in Uganda. In doing so, it draws on literature on institutional development, which seeks to understand why state authorities choose certain reforms over others, including why some reforms are more difficult to implement (Bangura 2000). We recognize that scholars working on governance reforms have tended to ignore organizational capacity in the analysis (Robinson 2007; Therkildsen 2004). This paper seeks to contribute to the discourse on institutional reform by integrating organizational capacity in the analysis of the fiscal and social institutions involved in resource mobilization and social spending in Uganda. By the concept of "organizational capacity", we mean a group of elements which characterize an organization's ability to develop and deliver results. These elements are defined to include human resource capacity, financial capacity and technical capacity.

In this paper we hypothesize that varying political interests in and priorities of public institutions serve to explain differences in their organizational capacity. To approach this question, we use the framework advanced by Whitfield and Therkildsen (2011) that explains the strategies that ruling elites pursue for political survival and the implications

for institutional development. These authors argue that ruling elites face a conflict between their own need for political survival and longer term interests in organizational capacity. Political leaders may genuinely support some shared set of national goals but still find that the exigencies of political survival cause them to behave in ways that undermine these goals.

Ruling elites work mainly with state bureaucrats to implement policy decisions. Key to effective implementation is the existence of efficient bureaucratic organizations, particularly the ability of an organization to translate a stated goal into action. Therefore, the bureaucrats must have technical capacity and be trusted by the ruling elite. The recruitment of top-level bureaucrats must therefore be based on both merit and loyalty, and their effectiveness depends on the ruling elites remaining in power (Whitfield and Therkildsen 2011). The effectiveness of top-level bureaucrats also depends on the national budget. In developing countries, it is often impossible to build capacity across the board due to constraints in funding.

We seek to explore these variables in our understanding of institutional development in Uganda. Specifically, we seek to understand whether the differences in the organizational capacity of public institutions can be explained to an extent by the recruitment of loyal and competent bureaucrats. Second, we investigate whether the differences in the organizational capacity of public institutions can be explained to an extent by politicians trying to balance political survival and institutional development.

We examine three institutions: the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA), the Kampala Capital City Authority (KCCA) and the Ministry of Health (MOH). URA and KCCA are semi-autonomous bodies while MOH belongs to the category of traditional state ministries. Our aim is not to compare the functioning of semi-autonomous bodies to traditional state ministries. We aim at examining how political interests in and priorities of public institutions serve to explain differences in their organizational capacity, which is determined by the competence of employees, loyalty to ruling elites, prioritization of resource allocation and political protection. The three institutions are selected for two reasons: first, they are key organizations in either revenue collection or social service delivery or both. Second, all three were targets of reforms but their level of success in terms of revenue mobilization and/or social development varies. The cases suggest that only politically important organizations tend to be well equipped with resources. Specifically, we argue that organizations that tend to perform better do so because they are protected from political and other societal interests. They are politically prioritized, which comes with benefits such as institutional reforms and strengthening organizational capacity. 1

Data for this paper was derived especially through review of key documents and published literature on the three selected institutions. This data is complemented by semi-structured interviews with officials from URA, Ministry of Health and Kampala Capital City Authority. We also conducted a review of the major Ugandan newspapers, specifically the *New Vision*, the *Daily Monitor*, *The Observer* and the *Independent Magazine* and the Ugandan parliamentary *Hansard* for the period between 1996 and 2014.

By human resource capacity, we mean ensuring that an organization has enough people with the necessary skills to achieve its objectives. While human resource capacity development reforms are usually not sufficient to enhance the performance of institutions, it needs to be noted that they are always targeted in many public sector reforms (Therkildsen and Tidemand 2007).

Uganda Revenue Authority

The Uganda Revenue Authority was established in 1991 as a semi-autonomous agency charged with responsibilities of tax administration including the assessment, collection and accounting for various forms of tax revenue. The URA case offers insights to the effect political prioritization and political protection offered to key bureaucrats in the management of key institutions of government. Civil society engagement in URA reforms is limited. In Uganda, the engagement of civil society with tax issues in general and URA in particular is very recent (see Kangave and Katusiimeh 2015). However, there is visible presence of donors in URA reforms.

Institutional reforms in URA

In establishing the URA, the NRM political leadership collaborated closely with, and took advice from, the Bretton Woods institutions and the British government, which provided substantial initial technical support (ADBG 2010). The URA took over responsibility for tax collection from the Ministry of Finance, which had previously hosted various revenue departments.

NRM took over power at a time when there were extremely low tax revenues, estimated at around 2 percent of GDP (Therkildsen 2004; Robinson 2007). The civil war and the resulting economic crisis, low levels of private investment, underperforming civil services and high rates of corruption were some of the factors identified as responsible for the extremely low tax revenues. Establishing a semi-independent revenue authority was aimed at improving incentives to employees, so that corruption in tax administration would decline.

The URA was granted operational autonomy for day-to-day affairs in return for agreed revenue targets set by the ministry, underpinned by an expectation that increased autonomy would reduce the scope for political interference. Its semi-autonomous status meant that it was exempted from civil service rules concerning recruitment, retention, pay, and other working conditions, allowing it to recruit internationally at competitive market rates (Robinson 2007; Kangave 2005). On funding, the URA receives a budget appropriation like any department of government but the minister of finance may authorize the retention of a percentage of the revenue collected to enable the authority meet its expenditures without interruption (URA Act 1991). The URA also has autonomy in setting all financial policies, with the exception of procurement.

The initial impact of the creation of the URA was impressive with tax revenues constituting more than 12.3 per cent of GDP in 1996³ (Governor, Bank of Uganda 2001). However, these dramatic gains suddenly ceased after 1996 with widespread accusations of proliferated corruption that resulted in a restructuring of the URA in 2004 (Kagina 2012).⁴ To begin with, the number of departments in the URA was reduced from 10 to 6 in a bid to reduce administrative costs and to improve the quality of services and increase efficiency (Kangave 2005). Later, in 2006, the URA developed a Modernization Plan (2006–2010) aimed at improving its revenue collections to enable the government of Uganda to achieve and sustain the targets set out in its PEAP. This Modernization Plan was aimed at adopting modern, efficient and effective processes

See Ulriksen and Katusiimeh 2014 for details of the GDP figures over time.

² Uganda Revenue Authority Act, 1991.

Corruption surged because despite a dramatic increase in pay rates compared with normal rates in the public sector, it was not enough to compensate for the potential gains from corruption. The situation worsened even more by the erosion of the initial pay rates by inflation. Between 1991 and 1996 nominal wages remained unchanged (Fjeldstad 2006).

and systems to collect tax and customs revenues and achieve a high level of voluntary compliance from taxpayers (Kagina 2012). Subsequently, other reforms were made to URA's operations, including introducing a Unified Communication Infrastructure (URA Net), putting in place an electronic tax administration platform for domestic taxes and establishing the Revenue Authorities Digital Data Exchange (RADDEX).

These interventions, coupled with a relatively stable macroeconomic environment, resulted in growth in revenue with URA collections growing at a five year average of 17per cent compared to 15 per cent in the period prior to the reforms. Conversely, tax revenue for government expenditure has been steadily increasing from 52.06 per cent at the time of the most recent reform (save for the financial years 2008/09 and 2010/10 due to the effects of the global financial crisis) to 67.7 per cent in 2010/11. The tax to GDP ratio that averaged at 12.2 per cent in 2007/08 and 2009/10 increased to 13.2 per cent in 2010/11and then back to around 12 per cent, which is low compared to neighboring countries like Tanzania and Kenya (Kagina 2012).

Impact of renewed political commitment on revenue mobilization

On the whole, the reforms made within the URA have produced mixed results on the organization's capacity to mobilize revenues. As already stated, the period between 1991 and 1996 resulted in an increase in tax to GDP ratio, which then stagnated until 2004 when the URA underwent a restructuring exercise. And while there was some moderate increase in tax to GDP ratio after 2004, the figures have lingered between 12 per cent and 13 per cent and remain quite low compared to neighboring countries.

The ability of the URA to improve resource mobilization is attributable to both an (indirect) interference of ruling elites on the one hand and an apparent autonomy from political influence on the other hand. Each of these factors has implications for loyalty and competence.

To begin with, both loyalty to the ruling elites and competence at work were secured by ensuring that, at the time of establishing the URA, salaries for workers were radically increased to provide an incentives regime that would be conducive to enhancing productivity and curbing corruption (Therkildsen 2004; Robinson 2007). In 1993, URA staff salaries were higher than for other civil servants with scope for payment of a performance-related bonus, even though employees were no longer eligible for the state pension scheme and lost other civil service benefits. Essentially, the URA pay was completely delinked from the civil service pay. These salary increases arguably had at least some bearing on revenue performance. Conversely, when, by 2000, the salary scales of URA employees were almost equal to those of other civil service employees due to a failure to increase URA salaries over time, specialized skills of personnel and institutional knowledge were lost as staff left the URA.⁵ In fact, by the year 2011, graduate entry-level salaries were comparable with other semi-autonomous authorities, such as the National Water and Sewerage Corporation (NWSC).⁶ The failure to increase

New Vision from 6 August 2013 reported that Commissioner General of URA Allen Kagina told MPs on the committee of finance planning and economic development that URA loses over 100 staff to highly paying private companies. In addition New Vision reported that most staffers quit URA after having attained professional training in auditing, accounting, tax and revenue management, financial accounting and business management (Kiwuwa 2013).

⁶ Interview with URA official, February 2014.

salaries for URA staff arguably contributed to slow revenue growth rates in subsequent years.⁷

Similarly, competence was buttressed by drawing from a pool of technical expatriates. For example, since its establishment, two out of five of URA's Commissioner Generals (the highest ranking position in the organization) have been expatriates. Additionally, at the time of establishing the URA, there was a heavy presence of expatriates in senior positions. In fact, in 1992, six out of eight high-level positions were occupied by expatriates. The recruitment of foreign experts was based on the assumption that they would not be part of the patronage system that was so prevalent at the time and would thus not succumb to political pressure (Robinson 2006).

Yet the URA example also demonstrates that competence on its own is insufficient unless it is balanced with bureaucratic loyalty to those in power. This balance is important for the purpose of receiving political protection and support that facilitate reforms. Consequently, while most employees at the establishment of the URA came from the Ministry of Finance, there is also a general perception that some other senior employees were hand-picked because they either originated from the same geographical region as the President⁸ or were "born again" Christians. In a 1999 parliamentary debate, for example, one member of parliament—Honorable Mayanja Mohammed – is noted to have said: ¹⁰

Mr. Speaker. Equity and access to services and employment opportunities are crucial factors in the modern management of society and without deliberate policies towards equity,... some parts of the country are likely to be doomed to continued backwardness. I would therefore like to call upon our Government to correct these disparities where they exist. Now my question to the hon. Minister is, following the contribution by hon. Obedmoth to His Excellency's communication, hon. Obedmoth alluded to the possibility that the new criteria in the recruitment to the Uganda Revenue Authority these days is that one must be a 'born-again' Christian, and it caused a lot of worries to the Moslem community where we do not have born-again Christians. Does it mean that Moslems are therefore doomed to not joining URA? (Parliament of the Republic of Uganda 1999).

Similarly, while the recruitment of foreigners was hailed as being essential to stemming corruption and patronage within the URA, it was generally not in favour of big political players. The appointment of someone who had been groomed by the system would work in the interests of the appointing authority and the key political elites in exchange for sufficient political backing. Such a strategy would likely strike a balance between what the institution needed on the one hand and the desires of the appointing authority on the other. In other words, both loyalty and merit would be secured. Specifically, "rigid but competent" expatriates were not favoured. It is believed that that is why Annebrit Aslund, the third Commissioner General of the URA, only served for three years and did not have her contract renewed. As one former URA employee explained,

4

New Vision of 6 August 2013 reveals that the URA Commissioner General Allen Kagina provided a list of staff who left URA in the financial year 2010/2011 to the committee while presenting URA's budget framework and policy statements for the financial year 2013/2014. The list showed 101 revenue officers, 12 assistant revenue officers, 12 revenue supervisors, two commissioners and two support staff. She reported that in financial year 2011-2012, 107 officers, 36 supervisors and six support staff left the revenue body (Kiwuwa 2013).

Interview with a former employee of URA, January 2014.

To be born again is a Christian practice. It is to undergo a "spiritual rebirth" (regeneration) of the human soul or spirit from the Holy Spirit, contrasted with the physical birth everyone experiences.

See Parliamentary Hansard, 29 July 1999, http://www.parliament.go.ug/hansard/hans_view_date.jsp?dateYYYY=1999&dateMM=07&dateDD=29. Accessed 15 August 2015.

Interview with a former employee of URA 23 January 2014.

"her contract could not be renewed because she wanted to stick to the standards and not listen to the big tax payers who didn't want to pay tax but instead reported her to President Museveni for killing their businesses". Invariably, security of tenure creates the momentum necessary to support organizational capacity through strengthening human resource capacity. Since its establishment, the URA has had five commissioner generals: Edward Larbi Siaw (1991–1996); Elly Rwakakooko (1997–2000); Annebrit Aslund (2001–2004); Allen Kagina (2004–2014) and Doris Akol (2014 to present). Siaw and Kagina, who served for longer terms (10 years in the case of the latter) were able to achieve some degree of success in terms of increases in resource mobilization. In Kagina's case, the success to organizational capacity was further buttressed by the fact that she possessed political capital as a result of her closeness and loyalty to the President of Uganda. The president was, for example, cited as sometimes referring to her as a cadre of the ruling NRM government (Muhumuza 2006). This secured her political protection that was useful in enhancing human resource capacity. For example, in an interview with one URA top official, the official reported:

When URA trusted officers started leaving work and joining more lucrative jobs in the private sector and KCCA, Allen Kagina moved to negotiate with the Ministry of Finance to enhance her budget for purposes of salary increments which the Ministry of Finance rejected! Using her connections with the President, the Commissioner General was able to negotiate for an extra 95 billion UGX that helped in an increase of URA staff by over 50 per cent (Interview with URA official, February 2014).

In the end, the case of the URA demonstrates that political backing is critical to building organizational capacity. The recruitment of expatriates as Commissioner Generals on the basis that they would not be part of the patronage system and therefore lead to a professional workforce was successful only to the extent that these expatriates had the political backing to implement changes to the manner of doing business. Consequently, the first commissioner general achieved better results than the third commissioner general. This could be explained by the enthusiasm at the beginning of the "URA" project" which allowed for more autonomy in the manner in which the experts operated. The subsequent expatriate may have had the intention and capacity to reform URA, but arguably lacked the political protection necessary to carry out reforms. As a consequence, corruption and infightings continued until the appointment of Kagina who was trusted and politically supported by the ruling elite, especially at high levels. However, this political interference had implications for independence, thereby undermining the URA's ability to grow revenues beyond a certain level. For example, as we have noted elsewhere, various taxpayers, whether on an individual or sector basis, have been known to engage in tax bargains (Kangave and Katusiimeh 2015) that invariably impact on the URA's ability to tax. Similarly, while tax exemptions were abolished with the enactment of the Income Tax Act in 1997, in practice, politically connected individuals have been able to negotiate for exemptions on an ad hoc basis. In the year 2009/10, alone tax exemptions resulted into a direct loss of 3.99 percentage points for tax to GDP ratio. Without the exemptions, the tax to GDP ratio would have reached a level of 16.15 per cent (Kagina 2012; Seatini 2012).

In sum, URA is an important institution to mobilize revenue for financing social development. The political survival of Museveni's government depends to a big extent

_

Interview with a former employee of Uganda Revenue Authority, 23 January 2014.

In observing this fact, we are, however, cautious of that negative returns would most ultimately result from prolonged terms of office.

on building the organizational capacity of URA to ensure legitimacy through provisioning of social services. In addition, the URA case demonstrates that competence on its own is insufficient in explaining organizational capacity. To build organizational capacity, competence has to be balanced with bureaucratic loyalty to those in power in order to receive the political protection and support that facilitates reforms.

Kampala Capital City Authority

The KCCA is an important institution to study because Kampala has an impact on a large number of citizens, ¹⁴ and local revenues collected in Kampala also affect the availability of social services in the city. Therefore, the actual development and capacity of Kampala impact on both domestic revenue mobilization and social development in a broader sense. Additionally, the politics surrounding Kampala are important because these struggles demonstrate how the governing party's desire to maximize political capital (through promoting social development) in the city after so many years of losing to the opposition appealed more to the political elite but potentially may hurt institutional development. Civil society and external donors were not significant players in the reforms of KCCA.

Institutional reforms in KCCA

After years of neglect of Kampala, the central government has significantly reformed the administrative and political structure of the city administration and governance to enhance service delivery (Gore and Muwanga 2012).

During the NRM regime, a formal process of reform in Kampala began with the first urban project in 1991 that promoted institutional reform in the city, along with attempted improvements in service delivery and planning. This was followed by the 1997 Strategic Framework for Reforms which, among other things, piloted alternative forms of service delivery through the private sector (Katusiimeh 2012; Gore and Muwanga 2012).

These and other reforms introduced did little to improve service delivery, arguably due to lack of support from the central government (Goodfellow 2010). It is against this background that the rationale for a stronger central government intervention in the management of Kampala was mooted. In 2005, an amendment to the constitution was approved that established the legal authority of the central government to provide for the administration and development of Kampala as the capital city for Uganda. The subsequent enactment of the KCCA Act in 2010 provided that Kampala would cease to be part of the local government and that its administration would be transferred to the central government (The Kampala Capital City Act 2010).

The main justification for the central government's move to administer the capital city was to increase the financial resources in the city, improve the capacity in the form of technical staff and to make sure that Kampala was in the long run aligned with the national development plans (Gore and Muwanga 2012). Politically, this move was intended to give the NRM a stronghold on the city, especially given the fact that it had for so many years lost to the political opposition in local and national elections. To fortify the government's position, the KCCA Act provided for the position of an

_

The capital, Kampala, is the only city in Uganda. It has approximately a night population of between 1.2 million to 1.5 million people. The day population is estimated at close to 3 million people (Katusiimeh 2012). The United Nations Habitat lists Kampala as one of the fastest growing cities in the world, with an annual average growth rate of between 1991 and 2002 was 3.7 per cent (UBOS 2006).

executive director. Previously, Kampala was headed by a mayor. And while the mayoral position was retained in the new structure, the mayor's duties are largely ceremonial with the executive director having most of the decision making powers. ¹⁵ Perhaps more importantly, the executive director is appointed by the president.

The mayor and locally elected councillors are now members of KCCA, rather than members of the previous governing city council. The resolutions and decisions of the elected officials must be approved by the executive director who has financial authority. The executive director can approve financial resources for the execution of policy goals or ordinances or services in the city. This means that despite the central government's consistent arguments that its decentralization system is founded on a commitment to "local democracy" and participatory democratic processes, the model of reform imposed on the capital seemingly pays little heed to this historically communicated position. The central government's appointment of an executive director and her deputy, directors and division town clerks to oversee and approve service delivery expenditures suggests "decentralization is dead" (Gore and Muwanga 2012:1).

The central government's engagement in Kampala's affairs has resulted in clashes, particularly between the lord mayor from the opposition Democratic Party and the executive director, a presidential appointee who is perceived to be a cadre of the ruling NRM government. In 2011, for example, the lord mayor filed an application in the High Court in which he sought various orders, including the prohibition of the executive director from passing off as the head of Kampala; quashing a decision of the executive director to convene a meeting in which the issue of trade in Kampala would be discussed; and prohibiting the executive director from frustrating the mayor's efforts to develop strategies and programmes for the development of Kampala (Lukwago Erias v Jennifer Musisi 2011). The court dismissed the application on grounds that these questions should have been determined by the KCCA using its legislative powers before the matter was brought to court. Similarly, in 2013, a majority of KCCA councillors voted to remove the lord mayor from office on grounds of abuse of office, incompetence, misconduct and misbehaviour as a result of which he was impeached (Waiswa and Masaba 2013). Following this, the lord mayor petitioned the High Court to stop a by-election of the Kampala mayor. The High Court temporarily stopped the petition but this decision was overturned by the Court of Appeal (Bwambale and Okanya2014). Further, attempts to mobilize the public to "invade" KCCA supposedly to reinstall the lord mayor after high court nullified his impeachment was met with heavy deployment of police on KCCA headquarters. It ought to be pointed out here that the wrangles surrounding KCCA are not simply power struggles between the lord mayor and the executive director. In many ways they are contestations between the NRM and opposition parties.

Among the reasons cited by the opposition was that the takeover of Kampala would deprive residents of their right to vote for a mayor thus leading into a dictatorship by future governments and would be a set back to the decentralization policy. According to the former mayor of Kampala Hajji Nasser Sebaggala "the executive director appointed by the president would be untouchable" (Maseruka 2010). Despite the contestation and protests by the opposition, the bill was passed.

11

For example, the mayor is the political head of Kampala and is responsible for presiding over meetings, performing ceremonial functions, hosting foreign dignitaries, developing programmes and strategies, monitoring the city's administration and providing guidance to the division administrations. The executive director, on the other hand is, among other things, the head of administration, is the city's accounting officer who is responsible for management of

all the public funds, oversees service delivery and is the custodian of all assets and records of the authority.

Lukwago Erias, Lord Mayor KCCA v. Jennifer Musisi, Misc Cause No. 116 of 2011.

Impact of the reforms on the ability of KCCA to promote social development

Like the URA, an important component of the restructuring of KCCA was a steep increase in workers' salaries. The high pay for KCCA staff was justified by the need to discourage corruption, which largely characterized the old Kampala City Council. Many well trained and skilled personnel from other organizations, such as URA, left their jobs for KCCA. The executive director of KCCA, Jenifer Musisi, was herself formerly a director of legal services in URA and was handpicked by the president. Before this assignment she had worked at URA for 13 years and risen to the level of Commissioner, Legal Services and Board Affairs where she was involved in policy formulation and implementation both at the board and management levels. She was one of the managers behind major reforms at URA that led to the establishment and effectiveness of the inhouse legal function at the URA. It is therefore possible that Jenifer Musisi was picked to head KCCA because of her own merits around URA reform.

Present workers of KCCA earn more than probably any other public service worker in Uganda. Currently, the executive director of KCCA earns UGX 432 million (\$178,509.08) annually as a salary excluding other financial privileges. Yet, the permanent secretary for all ministries—the top most civil servant—earns approximately USD 12,756 annually excluding other privileges.

While high salaries if translating into higher administrative performance invariably have a bearing on domestic resource mobilization and service delivery, the institutional capacity of the KCCA is further buttressed by the political support that its top management enjoys. To begin with, as already stated, the KCCA Act provides that the executive director should be appointed by the president. The current executive director, unlike her predecessors, enjoys the support of the central government and particularly President Museveni. In various press statements and occasions President Museveni has praised Jennifer Musisi as a "Godly lady" who has integrity and is not corrupt. According to President Museveni"[i]f Uganda had 10 Musisis, corruption would become history in Uganda" (Businge 2012).

According to the leader of opposition in Parliament, Kampala City Council Authority is being run like someone's private quarters, in total disregard of the laws. ¹⁸ According to the Democratic Party President, Nobert Mao, the interest of the president is not genuine development of Kampala city but "to effectively control Kampala, grab resources and use them to meet his political ambitions" (Giles 2013). The point about political ambitions was lent more credence when President Museveni lost most elections since 1996 to the opposition in Kampala, and Museveni's latest revelations that he "poured water into the office of the Lord Mayor". ¹⁹

In sum, human resource capacity has been enhanced with the recruitment of highly skilled personnel in KCCA.

In his statement, Mr. Museveni named a few appointees he has made upon the Godly conviction that they are not thieves and would serve to his expectations. He mentioned Health Minister Christine Ondoa, URA Commissioner General Allen Kagina, Ms. Musisi and former Electoral Commission boss Stephen Akabway, whom he said was a good tax collector. "The problem we have in Uganda is integrity. The Godliness in people is lacking," Mr. Museveni said. "In fact the Judiciary also needs some Christianity." See Naturinda 2011.
 Address by the Leader of Opposition in Parliament, July 2013.

By saying he poured water, Museveni meant that he put an end to the struggles of the lord mayor to regain his office (Lubwama 2014).

There are clear benefits that have accrued from the political backing and protection that the president has showered on KCCA. One councillor of KCCA who also worked with KCC had this to say:

Ever since KCCA started operating, things have been better. Everything moves unlike in the past when the central government could take months and months. Now they are giving us priority and people are appreciating. It is very clear that our political powers have been eroded but generally people are satisfied with the services (Interview with KCCA councillor, January 2014).

In other words, despite the challenges especially between the political wing and the executive wing, the political support and protection KCCA enjoys at the moment has helped it mobilize more central and local revenues than before. KCCA has grown its local revenue by 86 per cent in the past two years (KCCA 2014). It collected UGX 55.7billion²⁰ in the financial year 2011/2012, up from UGX 30 billion that the former KCC collected in financial year 2010/2011. In 2011/2012, the central government allocated UGX 101.3 billion to KCCA to finance the start and key public services delivery costs. In the financial year 2013/2014, the total Government of Ugandareleased funds as at June 2014 was UGX 129.18 billion against a budget of UGX 130.85 billion, which is 99 per cent of the budgeted funds released. Non Tax Revenue (NTR) collected as at 31 May 2014 was UGX 64.080 billion against an annual target of UGX 68.1 billion.

Given the available resource envelope, KCCA has been able to rebuild the corporate image and engage in social development. For example, in 2013/14, KCCA allocated UGX 27.99 billion (UGX 26.60 billion from GOU and UGX 1.40 billion from NTR) to the Education and Social Services sector. For the period July 2013-May 2014, total expenditure was UGX 25.22, and major expenditure areas included teachers' salaries, sports activities, inspection of public and private schools, Universal Primary Education (UPE) and Universal Secondary Education (USE) capitation grants, teachers training and health training institutions transfers. Some of the achievements in education include the construction of new class room blocks and laboratories; construction of toilets, and acquisition of text books and internet connections in some schools. In the heath sector, more health centers were constructed and others improved on. The result is over 1.2 million out-patient delivery (OPD) attendances at KCCA health centers, 124,120antenatal care visits (see detailed achievements of the health and education sector in Annex1).

In sum, political support for KCCA has so far had a positive impact on organizational capacity. For example, human resource capacity has been enhanced with the recruitment of highly skilled and loyal personnel in KCCA, and this has influenced positively social development outcomes. In other words, there are clear benefits that have accrued from the political backing and protection that the president has showered on KCCA. However, it remains to be seen whether strategies for political survival through promoting social development will continue to result in sustained positive outcomes given seemingly the lack of institutionalization and disregard of laws.

Ministry of Health

The mandate of Uganda's Ministry of Health includes policy formulation; policy dialogue with health development partners; resource mobilization and budgeting;

_

²⁰ Exchange rates have averaged 1 USD at 2500 UGX.

strategic planning; regulation; advising other ministries on health matters; setting standards and quality assurance; capacity development and technical support; and provision of nationally coordinated services such as epidemic control, coordination of health research and monitoring and evaluation of the overall sector performance.²¹

Some functions have been delegated to national autonomous institutions, including some specialized clinical support functions (Uganda Blood Transfusion Service and the National Medical Stores and National Public Health Laboratories), regulatory functions (handled by professional councils, National Drug Authority and other regulatory bodies) and research activities conducted by several research institutions and coordinated by the autonomous Uganda National Health Research Organization (UNHRO).

The Ministry of Health is an important institution to study because it shows how political interference and a lower level of political support can have negative effects on organizational capacity and the ability to deliver social development outcomes. It is also clear, according to the National Development Plan, that the Ministry of Health is not a priority for the current government, at least compared to other sectors like production and employment (Hickey 2013). Just like in KCCA and URA, there is no civil society engagement in demanding for better health services.

Institutional reforms in the Ministry of Health

As has already been highlighted, when Ugandan President Yoweri Museveni came to power, he began an institutional reform process that made Uganda one of the higher capacity states in the region (Croke 2012). In the health sector, Uganda was internationally hailed as a pioneer in successful HIV/AIDS policies (Youde 2006). High-level political leadership enabled an innovative HIV prevention campaign that helped Uganda cut its prevalence rate from an estimated 18 per cent at the height of the epidemic to the most recent estimate of 7 per cent. ²²

Generally, the mid-to-late 1990s were a period of institutional reform in the health sector. The government initiated the process of preparing a National Health Policy and a Health Sector Strategic Plan (Tashobya et al. 2006). The Sector-Wide Approach (SWAp) was officially launched in August 2000. The blueprint for the SWAp has been the Health Sector Strategic Plan (HSSP) of 2000/01 to 2004/05. This document contains a clear statement of the health sector's mission, which is to reduce morbidity and mortality from major causes of ill health in Uganda and the disparities therein, as a contribution to poverty eradication and economic and social development of the people (MoH n.d. (b)). Other changes and reforms included: public-private partnership, abolition of user fees, introduction of the Uganda National Minimum Health Care Package (UNMHCP), autonomy for the National Medical Stores and decentralization of the responsibility of delivering health services to local authorities (Nazerali et al. 2006). Some experiments with prepayment and community-based schemes too occurred during this period.

One of the major reforms was the transfer of responsibility for delivering health services to the districts. In Uganda, health sector leaders began to implement decentralization in the late 1990s (Croke 2012). Before decentralization, the Ministry of Health was responsible for health services administration. With decentralization, the responsibilities

However, according to the 2011 National HIV Indicator Survey, the prevalence rates among Ugandans between the ages of 15 to 19 are going up. It now stands at 7.3 per cent and even higher in women at 8.3 per cent up from 6.4 per cent in the 2004-2005 survey.

Ministry of Health, Republic of Uganda, http://health.go.ug/mohweb/node/17. Accessed on 23 August 2015.

were devolved to the district and sub-county governments. However, it appears that decentralization did not help to improve the health sector in general and human resource capacity in the health sector in particular. For example, decentralization has been highlighted as a big demotivator for most health staff as there are few chances of promotion (Jeppson 2004; Gladwin et al. 2003). For a health worker to be promoted under the decentralized setting it means he/she has to resign his or her post to join another local authority. Decentralization also promoted fragmentation. This is especially so in regard to the fact that health workers needed to reinforce their relationships with leaders who interpreted decentralized policies as preferences for locally born staff (Chandler et al. 2013; Ssengooba 2005), a form of nepotism. Since technical health personnel are scarce generally in the whole country, the districts that had few of their own in the medical field struggle to recruit staff. The situation has been exacerbated by rampant district creation (Kjaer and Katusiimeh 2012; Green 2010) "with new districts competing with the old districts for few medical personnel available". 23 In fact, the Health Sector Strategic and Investment Plan (2005) confirms that the Human Resources for Health remain in short supply, both in numbers and in skills, to effectively respond to the health needs of Uganda, especially at lower levels of government. Uganda President Yoweri Museveni's sudden change of heart²⁴ on the creation of new districts—often seen as a way of buying loyalty²⁵—is a telling statement of the hard times the country's Treasury has fallen on, following the withholding of aid. ²⁶ This has forced the president to halt the planned creation of 25 new districts that would have brought the number to 136 in 2014. It is therefore not far-fetched to say, as some scholars like Croke (2012) argue, that decentralization was part of Museveni's patronage strategy for regime consolidation and therefore health sector decentralization did not have a chance to succeed.

Implications of low prioritization on the ministry's ability to promote social development

While the 1990s were a period of institutional reform in the health sector, the Ugandan leadership did not build on this to push for an improvement in the broader health system and in human resource capacity in particular. Instead, political interference has led to institutional decay which has had deep consequences for human resource capacity especially after 2001. We detail cases of political interference in the Ministry of Health and later its impact on social outcomes.

First are the political dynamics in the Ministry of Health governance. Between 2001 and 2005, when President Museveni appointed Jim Muhwezi, Mike Mukula and Alex Kamugisha²⁷ as ministers of health, there was a decline in organizational capacity and autonomy in the ministry due to political interference. This was a time when the three ministers were implicated in the scandals surrounding missing money from funding provided by the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, and the Global

²³ Interview with the Principal Personnel Officer Mukono District Local Government, November 2013.

The Daily Monitor from Thursday, 16 May 2013, reports that Museveni lashed at agitators of the creation of districts as selfish individuals (Otage 2013).

The creation of a new district brings with it more jobs including presidential appointees like Resident District Commissioners. In turn they become loyal to the appointing authority.

More than any other government in the country's history, the regime of Museveni has been heavily reliant on donor support, which bolstered the legitimacy of the NRM government because of the improvement in social service delivery (Tumushabe 2006). However, with dwindling donor support in relative terms, the regime has turned to the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) for increased revenue collection as it awaits the expected increase in oil revenues that are expected to start flowing in 2017 (Ulriksen and Katusiimeh 2014).

The Global Fund mobilizes and invests nearly US\$4 billion a year to support programs run by local experts in more than 140 countries.GAVI is an international organization that was created in 2000 to improve access to new and underused vaccines for children living in the world's poorest countries.

Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (GAVI). These scandals resulted in critical funding cuts in the Ministry of Health. In addition, human resource capacity in the ministry was undermined because highly qualified, skilled professionals did not want to work in a ministry led by corrupt leaders and left for other lucrative jobs (Croke 2012). President Museveni preferred appointments of key ministers based on patronage and closer family and party networks rather than those based on experience, training and orientation (Omaswa and Boufford 2010). In the hearings associated with the Global Fund scandal, Justice Ogoola repeatedly uncovered expenditures that he identified were related to political mobilization, including towards winning a referendum in 2005 and Museveni's campaign to eliminate presidential term limits (see Odongtho 2006). This may explain the placing of key regime leaders in donor-funded ministries where significant resources could be diverted even though this had dire consequences for organizational capacity.

While comparing Uganda and Tanzania, Croke (2012) provides further evidence of how the Ugandan government neglected to prioritize the Ministry of Health in the case of malaria control strategies. While both Tanzania and Uganda had very similar malaria control strategies, Tanzania achieved better outcomes than Uganda. Croke attributes the success of the Tanzanian case to high-level political attention given to malaria, which gave technocrats the freedom to do their work without interference. In concrete terms, high-level political support for malaria control resulted in meritocratic appointments, technical autonomy and key National Malaria Control Program (NMCP) leaders being able to remain in office for the long term. In Uganda's case, as mentioned above, political interference led to appointments based on patronage, and top technocrats left to take up other jobs.

Dr. Asuman Lukwago, who is believed to be close to the State House, was recently appointed as a full Permanent Secretary at the Ministry of Health. However, at the time of his appointment, Asuman Lukwago had been charged by the Director of Public Prosecutions with a number of criminal offences. The Public Service Standing Orders 2010 provide for the interdiction of a public officer if criminal proceedings are being instituted against him or her but Lukwago is still at large. Likewise, some employees allegedly close to the State House are engaged in acts of blatant corruption but have been left untouched for long periods. ²⁹ All these actions have a direct bearing on organizational capacity and consequently social outcomes.

Political interference can also be seen in the government decision to send 300 Uganda doctors to Trinidad and Tobago (Musisi 2014). According to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Ugandan government decided to send the doctors to strengthen relations between the two countries. A statement released by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs on 3 March 2015 stated that it has the "mandate to source for employment for Ugandans abroad, to allow for employment, the transfer of skills, technology and foreign exchange earnings". This comes at a time when the report of the committee on health on the ministerial policy statement and the budget estimates for the health sector for the

For example, the parliamentary report on the ministerial statement of the Ministry of Health reports that Kantarama Alison, Assistant Commissioner Support Services at Mulago Hospital, was misusing her office by renting institutional houses meant for health workers to private persons for personal gain. She also used the hospital laundry for private ends, and had turned a day care center built with public funds, a loan from African Development Bank, into a private asset.

The substantive Minister of Health Jim Muhwezi was not a medical doctor but a former army officer, former intelligence chief and very influential politician believed to be close to President Museveni. Deputy Minister of Health Mike Mukula was a very influential NRM politician in Eastern Uganda and one of the Vice Chairmen of the ruling party for Eastern region. Dr Alex Kamugisha was also an NRM political figure from the same district in western Uganda as Muhwezi and many other party leaders.

financial year 2013/2014 stated that there seems to be no human resource master plan to guide on training, deployment, motivation, retirement and replacement (Parliament of the Republic of Uganda n.d.). As a result, in the health sector, there is no coordination in the training and recruitment of health workers. For a country where the health worker to population ratio is 1:1298 compared to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines of 1:439. 30 This further indicates a low prioritization of the health sector. The Institute of Public Policy Research (IPPR) is challenging the decision of government in court. The Belgium government has announced suspension of aid (Aine 2015) and the EU and the US ambassadors have condemned the move by the Uganda government to send out doctors (Musisi 2014). There are indications that Trinidad and Tobago may drop the scheme because of pressure mounting from civil society and the donors.

Whether the scheme is dropped or not, what is clear from this and the other cases above is the lack of political support that has undermined the organizational capacity of the Ministry of Health. It remains to be seen how the weak political support has impacted on the ability of the Ministry of Health to deliver social outcomes.

First, for the under-5 mortality, the statistics from Uganda and Tanzania reveal that those in Uganda have stagnated while those in Tanzania continue to improve (Croke 2012). Tanzania and Uganda started from a similar place: in 1995, under-5 mortality was 137 per 1,000 in Tanzania and 147 per 1,000 in Uganda but a decade later, it had barely declined in Uganda to 137 per 1,000, while it had declined 35 per cent in Tanzania to 91 per 1,000. The trends appear to have continued past 2007. Under-5 mortality has continued to fall in Tanzania to 81 per 1,000 in 2010, while for Uganda, morbidity data suggests continued stagnation in child health: under-5 fever prevalence in 2009 was 45 per cent, suggesting no reduction in morbidity levels over the past 15 years (Croke 2012). Uganda has achieved malaria control coverage levels approximately half as high as Tanzania, with 41 per cent of under-5s not sleeping under any net and just 34 per cent sleeping under an insecticide-treated net.

Recent data also shows that the prevalence of malaria, diarrhoea diseases, and upper respiratory tract infections is high. Similarly, the prevalence HIV rate is on the increase, and there is re-emergence of epidemics of viral hemorrhagic fevers and cholera (Mbonye et al. 2013). The tables below give more indicators to illustrate this.

Budget Monitoring and Accountability Unit, Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development, Republic of Uganda BMAU Briefing Paper 2013.

Table 1: Maternal mortality ratio figures

	1995	2001/02	2006	2011
Proportion of births attended by skilled	37.8%	39.0%	42.1%	58%
health personnel				
Maternal mortality ratio (per 100,000 live	506	524	418	438
births)				
Contraceptive prevalence rate	14.5%	22.8%	23.7%	30.0%
Adolescent birth rate	204.0	178.0	152.0	135.0
Antenatal care coverage at least 1 visit	91.3%	92.4%	93.5%	94.9%
by skilled provider				
Antenatal care coverage at least 4 visits	47.2%	41.9%	47.2%	47.6%
by any provider				
Unmet need for family planning	21.9%	24.4%	40.6%	34.5%

Source: MDGs Report for Uganda 2013.

Table 2: Combating HIV figures

	2001/02	2004/05	2006	2011
HIV prevalence among population aged	NA	2.9%	NA	3.7%
15-24 years				
Condom use at last high-risk sex, 15-24	53.1%	54.0%	46.5%	56.1%
year olds				
Proportion of population aged 15-24	34.5%	32.4%	35.1%	38.8%
years with comprehensive correct				
knowledge of HIV/AIDS				
Ration of school attendance of orphans	NA	NA	96.0%	87.0%
to school attendance of non-orphans				
aged 10-14 years				

Source: MDGs Report for Uganda 2013.

According to the tables 1 and 2 above, the health indicators have stagnated and in some instances declined, which can be linked to lack of capacity as explained in earlier sections. Past gains in the fight against HIV/AIDS have not been sustained, with a disturbing recent increase in new infections. The percentage of people with a comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS transmission has increased but remains low, especially among the 15–24 age group. Despite significant improvements in skilled assistance at delivery, maternal mortality remains a big challenge with many deaths occurring more than a day after the birth.

In sum, it appears that the Ministry of Health's organizational capacity and ability to deliver social development outcomes has been hampered by short-run political gains in pleasing a section of the ruling elite using patronage networks. It is also clear that the Ministry of Health has not been prioritized and given the financial resources and the political protection by the ruling elite, as in the case of URA and KCCA. In fact, in the new conceptualization of how development should unfold in Uganda in the National Development Plan, ³¹ the social sectors, including health, are downgraded to the third

The National Development Plan is replacing the PEAP.

layer in favour of sectors associated with production and employment (Hickey 2013). This is clearly evidenced in the low funding for the health sector where growth in the health sector budget is not commensurate with population growth. On average, the health sector budget (in nominal terms) has increased by only 5 per cent (UGX 660 billion in 2009/10, UGX 799 billion in 2010/11 and UGX 846 billion in 2012/13). This means the current per capita allocation is about UGX 24,800 (USD 10) per annum.

Conclusion

This study set out to examine the linkages between resource mobilization and social outcomes looking at institutions that play a key role with respect to resource mobilization and social spending in Uganda. In this paper, we examined how varying political interests in and priorities of public institutions serve to explain differences in their organizational capacity. This was assessed in terms of key reforms implemented and human resource capacity in the three cases studied in this paper—URA, KCCA and Ministry of Health. The results indicate that political considerations were key in explaining organizational capacity in URA, KCCA and Ministry of Health. URA initial success is explained by strong support by the ruling political elite of the bureaucratic elite, coupled with external donor backing. In the case of Kampala Capital City Authority, the political protection given to the bureaucratic elite in Kampala has enabled KCCA to deliver to the people of Kampala. However, the reforms in Kampala amount to deliberate attempts by the NRM ruling elite to water down the power of elected officials in the city of Kampala—a move that may have serious long-term consequences for democracy in Uganda in general and institutional development in particular. In the health sector, political interference in the way the Ministry of Health has been run has had disastrous effects on its organizational capacity and its ability to deliver social development outcomes. In all three cases, there is little participation by civil society to influence reform initiatives. In sum, the case of the URA and KCCA demonstrates that in Uganda political support is key to strengthening organizational capacity. As shown in this paper, in the case of KCCA, the political support from top government levels has indeed strengthened organizational capacity, especially human resource capacity. In the Ministry of Health, lower political prioritization has had negative effects on institutional development and consequently its capacity to deliver social outcomes.

The lessons that we can draw from the experience of these three cases are as following. First, institutional and policy reform may not be driven by incentives to improving organizational capacity per se. Other considerations related to galvanizing political support through patronage and clientelistic practices for the purpose of regime maintenance or "political survival" are key to understanding the drivers to improving organizational capacity.

Second, the findings of the study generally depart from the conventional wisdom that political interference is always and necessarily detrimental. There may be improved organizational and resource mobilization capacity that can be gained from political interference as the case of URA and KCCA shows. However, political interference, especially from the ruling elite, tends to weaken civil society participation, consequently weakening democratic accountability, a factor likely to lead to lack of sustainability of the reform efforts. In such circumstances, where people's choices are not heard by policy makers, the promise of building strong institutions, such as organizations, that constrain opportunistic behaviour by political leaders may not occur.

The lessons arising from the Uganda case may well have broader implications for poor countries that have weak fiscal capacities and struggle to mobilize domestic resources for social development. High-level political commitment may be essential, as there may be organizational and resource mobilization capacities that can be gained in the initiation and especially in the early stages of reforms. But this may not be sustainable in the long run. Civil society engagement is critical and a more active citizenry involvement in the way organizations are run would help to foster greater accountability of the political and bureaucratic elite. This may generate pressures for upgrading of institutions entrusted to deliver services.

References

- ADBG (African Development Bank Group). 2010. Domestic Resource Mobilization for Poverty Reduction in East Africa: Uganda Case Study. Regional Department East A (OREA), African Development Bank Group.
- Aine. Kim. 2015. "Belgium Suspends Shs 34 bn Aid to Uganda", *Chimpreports*, 14 March. http://chimpreports.com/belgium-suspends-shs34bn-to-uganda. Accessed 18 May 2015.
- Andrews, Matt and Lawrence Bategeka. 2013. *Overcoming Limits to Institutional Reform*. CID Working Paper No. 269. Cambridge, MA: Center for International Development, Harvard University.
- Bangura, Yusuf. 2000. Public Sector Restructuring: The Institutional and Social Effects of Fiscal, Managerial and Capacity-Building Reforms. Geneva: United Nations Research Institute for Social Development.
- Businge, Julius. 2012. "Musisi announces KCCA plan." *The Independent*, 16 February. http://www.independent.co.ug/ugandatalks/2012/02/musisi-announces-kcca-plan/. Accessed 12 February 2014.
- Bwambale, Taddeo and Okanya Andante. 2014. "Lukwago Kicked Out of Office Again, Petitions Court", *New Vision*, 31 May .http://www.newvision.co.ug/news/654071-lukwago-kicked-out-of-office-again-petitions-court.html. Accessed 14 March 2015.
- Chandler Clare, James Kizito, Lilian Taaka, Christine Nabirye, Mariam Kayendeke, Deborah Di Liberto and Sarah G. Staedke. 2013. "Aspirations for Quality Healthcare in Uganda: How Do We Get There?" *Human Resources for Health*, 11: 3.
- Croke, Kevin. 2012. The Political Economy of Child Mortality Decline in Tanzania and Uganda,1995–2007. *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 47:441-463.
- Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge. 2006. "Corruption in Tax Administration: Lessons from Institutional Reforms in Uganda." In *International Handbook on the Economics of Corruption*, edited by Susan Rose-Ackerman, 484—511. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Giles. 2013. "Museveni wants to Snatch Kampala resources." *ChimpReports*, 13 December. http://chimpreports.com/14676-dp-museveni-wants-to-snatch-kampala-wealth. Accessed 16 August 2015.
- Gladwin J., R. A. Dixon and T. D. Wilson. 2003. "Implementing a new health management information system in Uganda." *Health Policy and Planning* 18(2):214–224.
- Goodfellow, Tom. 2010. The Bastard Child of Nobody? Anti-Planning and the Institutional Crisis in Contemporary Kampala. Crisis States Research Centre Working Papers Series 2, Working Paper No. 67, London: London School of Economics and Political Science.
- Gore, Christopher, D. and Nansozi K. Muwanga.2012. "Decentralization is Dead, Long Live Decentralization! Capital City Reform and Political Rights in Kampala,

- Uganda." *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 38(6):2201–2216.
- Governor, Bank of Uganda. 2001. Remarks by the Governor Bank of Uganda at the Uganda Revenue Authority Reception. 16 February. https://www.bou.or.ug/bou/bou-downloads/speeches/GovernorsSpeeches/2001/All/URA160201.pdf. Accessed 15 August 2015.
- Green, Elliott. 2010. "Patronage, District Creation, and Reform in Uganda." *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 45(1):83–103.
- Harrison, Graham. 2001. "Post Conditionality Politics and Administrative Reform: Reflections on the Cases of Uganda and Tanzania." *Development and Change*, 32:657–679.
- Hickey, Samuel. 2013. "Beyond the Poverty Agenda? Insights from the new politics of Development in Uganda." *World Development*. 43:194–206.
- Jeppson, Anders. 2004. *Decentralization and National Health Policy Implementation in Uganda—A Problematic Process*. http://www.lub.lu.se/luft/diss/med_963 /med 963 kappa.pdf. Accessed 2 December 2013.
- Kagina, Allen. 2012. Aid as a Catalyst to Increase Domestic Revenue Mobilization in sub Saharan Africa. Background Study for the 2012 DCF-Aid as a Catalyst. Development Cooperation Policy, United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC). http://www.un.org/en/ecosoc/newfunct/pdf/aid as a catalyst for drm(july3).pdf. Accessed 15 August 2015.
- Kangave, Jalia. 2005. Improving Tax Administration: A case study of the Uganda Revenue Authority. *Journal of African Law* 49 (2):145–176.
- Kangave, Jalia and Mesharch Katusiimeh. 2015. *Tax Bargains: Understanding the Role Played by Public and Private Actors in Influencing Tax Policy Reform in Uganda*. UNRISD Working Paper 2015–2. Geneva: UNRISD.
- Katusiimeh, Mesharch W. 2012. Public and Private Service Provision of Solid Waste Management in Kampala, Uganda. PhD Dissertation. Wageningen: Wageningen University.
- KCCA (Kampala Capital City Authority). 2014. *Ministerial Policy Statement Financial Year 2014/2015 Vote 122*. Presented to the Parliament of Uganda by Minister for Presidency and KCCA. Kampala: Kampala Capital City Authority.
- Kiwuwa, Paul. 2013. "URA loses over 100 workers annually—Kagina." *New Vision*, 6 August. http://www.newvision.co.ug/news/645777-ura-loses-over-100-workers-annually-kagina.html. Accessed 15 August 2015.
- Kjaer, Anne-Mette and Mesharch W. Katusiimeh. 2012. "Sustaining Fiscal Decentralization in a Neo-Patrimonial and Aid-Dependent State: The Case of Uganda." In *Public Administration in Uganda: Theory and Practice*, edited by Benon C. Bashek, Gerald K. Karyeija and Rose B. Namara. Saarbrücken: Lap Lambert Academic Publishing.

- Lubwama, Siraje. 2014. "Amama Mbabazi link in Kayihura-Kidandala tape." *Observer*, 20 April. http://www.observer.ug/news-headlines/31359--amama-mbabazi-link-in-kayihura-kidandala-tapes. Accessed on 23 August 2015.
- Maseruka, Josephine. 2010. "Uganda: Kampala Mayor Opposes City Take-Over." *New Vision*, 10 February. http://allafrica.com/stories/201002110091.html. Accessed 16 August 2015.
- Mbonye Anthony and Magnussen Pascal. 2013. Translating Health Research Evidence into Policy and Practice in Uganda, *Malaria Journal* 12: 274
- MOH (Ministry of Health). no date (a). *The Republic of Uganda: Health Sector Strategic Plan 11 2005/06—2009/2010*. Kampala: Ministry of Health.
- ——. no date (b). *The Republic of Uganda: Health Sector Strategic Plan 2000/01 2005/06*. Kampala: Ministry of Health. http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTPRS1/Resources/383606-1201883571938/Uganda HSSP.pdf. Accessed on 23 August 2015
- Muhumuza, Rodney. 2006. "NRM Cadres Hunt for State Jobs". New Vision, 5 July.
- Musisi, Frederic. 2014. "US criticizes government plan to export medical doctors", *Daily Monitor*, 29 December. http://www.monitor.co.ug/News/National/US-criticises-govt-s-plan-to-export-medical-doctors/-/688334/2571282/-/111bvax/-/index.html. Accessed 18 May 2015.
- Naturinda, Sheila. 2011. "Museveni praises City Boss Musisi." *Daily Monitor*, 10 October. http://www.monitor.co.ug/News/National/-/688334/1252916/-/bi36eiz/-/index.html. Accessed 16 August 2015.
- Nazerali, H, M. Oteba, J. Mwogaand and S. Zaramba. 2006. "Medicines—Driving Demand for Health Services in Uganda?" In *Health Systems Reform in Uganda: Processes and Outputs*, edited by C. Tashobya, F. Ssengooba, and V. Oliveira-Cruz. London: London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.
- Odongtho, Charles. 2006. "Government Used Global Fund Money to Facilitate Referendum." *Uganda Radio Network*, 20 March. http://ugandaradionetwork.com/a/story.php?s=4219. Accessed 10 August 2015.
- Omaswa, Francis and Jo Ivey Boufford. 2010. Strong Ministries for Strong Health Systems. An Overview of the Study Report: Supporting Ministerial Health Leadership: A Strategy for Health Systems Strengthening. Kampala and New York: The African Center for Global Health and Social Transformation (ACHEST) and The New York Academy of Medicine.
- Otage, Stephen. 2013. "Museveni criticizes agitators of districts." *Daily Monitor*, 16 August, http://www.monitor.co.ug/News/National/Museveni-criticises--agitators-of-districts/-/688334/1854044/-/u6ws7xz/-/index.html. Accessed 16 August 2015.
- Parliament of the Republic of Uganda.no date. Report of the Committee on Health on the Ministerial Policy Statement and the Budget Estimates for the Health Sector for the FY 2013/2014. Kampala: Parliament of the Republic of Uganda.

- Parliament of the Republic of Uganda. 1999. Parliamentary Debates: Hansard 29 July, Kampala.
- Republic of Uganda. 2013. *Millennium Development Report for Uganda: Drivers of MDG Progress in Uganda and Implications for the Post-2015 Development Agenda*. http://www.ug.undp.org/content/dam/uganda/docs/UNDPUg-2013MDG Progress%20Report-Oct%202013.pdf. Accessed 11 August 2015.
- Robinson, Mark. 2007. "The Political Economy of Governance Reforms in Uganda." *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*, 45(4):452–474.
- ——. 2006. *The Political Economy of Governance Reforms in Uganda*. Discussion Paper 386. Brighton: Institute of Development Studies.
- SEATINI UGANDA. 2012. Tax Exemptions: Implications on Socio-Economic Development. Kampala: Southern and East African Trade Information and Negotiations Institute.
- Ssengooba, Freddie. 2005. "Human Resources for Health in Decentralized Uganda: Developments and Implications for Health Systems Research." Presentation given at Forum 9, Mumbai, 12–16 September 2005.
- Tashobya, C., F. Ssengooba, and V. Oliveira-Cruz (eds.). 2006. *Health Systems Reforms in Uganda: Processes and Outputs*. London: Health Systems Development Programme.
- Therkildsen, Ole. 2004. "Autonomous Tax Administration in Sub Saharan Africa: The Case of the Uganda Revenue Authority." *Forum for Development Studies*, 31(1): 59–88.
- Therkildsen, Ole and Per Tidemand. 2007. *Staff Management and Organizational Performance in Tanzania and Uganda: Public Servant Perspectives*. Copenhagen: Danish Institute for International Studies. http://www.dege.biz/Staff-Management-Final.pdf. Accessed 11 August 2015.
- Tumushabe Joseph. 2006. *The Politics of HIV/AIDS in Uganda*. Social Policy and Development Programme, Paper No. 28. Geneva: United Nations Research Institute for Social Development.
- UBOS (Uganda Bureau of Statistics). 2006. *Uganda Demographic and Health Survey*, 2006, Kampala. http://www.ubos.org/onlinefiles/uploads/ubos/pdf%20documents/Uganda%20DHS%202006%20Final%20%20Report.pdf. Accessed on 23 August 2015.
- Ulriksen, Marianne and Mesharch W. Katusiimeh. 2014. *The History of Resource Mobilization and Social Spending in Uganda*. UNRISD Working Paper 2014-6. Geneva: United Nations Research Institute for Social Development.
- Waiswa, Juliet and Simon Masaba. 2013. "Lukwago Kicked Out", *New Vision*, 25 November. http://www.newvision.co.ug/news/649800-lukwago-kicked-out.html. Accessed 14 March 2015.
- Whitfield, Lindsay and Ole Therkildsen. 2011. What Drives States to Support the Development of Productive Sectors? Strategies Ruling Elites Pursue for Political

Survival and their Policy Implications. DIIS Working Paper 2011: 15. Copenhagen: Danish Institute for International Studies

Youde, Jeremy. 2006. *Ideology's Role in AIDS Policies in Uganda and South Africa*. Paper prepared for the 2006 International Studies Association Conference, San Diego, 22-26 March 2006. http://blogs.law.harvard.edu/politicshiv/files/2007/01/youde2006.pdf. Accessed 15 August 2015.

List of Interviews

Research Officer Uganda Revenue Office, 9 February 2014.

Former Principal Revenue Officer, 23 January 2014.

Principal Personnel Officer Mukono District Local Government, 23 November 2013.

KCCA Councillor, 15 January 2014.

KCCA Councillor, 15 September 2014.

Annexes

Annex 1: KCCA Achievements in the Education Sector, Financial Year 2013/2014

Disbursed UGX 18.71 billion as payment for teachers' salaries for primary, secondary and tertiary institutions

Disbursed UGX 5.47 billion as transfers to UPE, USE, Tertiary and other autonomous institutions including teacher and health teaching institutions

Disbursed a total of UGX 2.30 billion as allocated from the Local Government Management and Service Delivery (LGMSD) and Service for Generations (SFG) for development of school infrastructure

UGX 180 million has been allocated for school inspection, printing and management of primary school examinations

Construction of staff houses at St. Lawrence Kigoowa Primary School and Kawempe Church of Uganda Primary School

Completed renovation of teachers' houses at East Kololo Primary School

Installed lightening conductors at East Kololo, Old Kampala, Mpererwe, Church of Uganda Primary School, St. Martin Mulago Primary School, Kasubi, Church of Uganda Primary School, Uganda Martyr's Primary School, St. Joseph Nsambya Girls Primary School, Military Police Primary School, Ntinda School for the Deaf and Bukoto Muslim Primary School

Construction of toilet facilities at Katwe Primary School and Ggaba Demonstration primary schools was completed

Renovation of Kisugu Primary School classroom block completed

Renovation of Naggulu Katale staff quarters are at roofing level and expected to be completed in the first quarter of FY 2014/15

680 desks were provided to Mpererwe Church of Uganda Primary School, Kisaasi Primary School, Mackay Memorial Nattete, Kitebi Primary, St. James Biina Primary School, Murchison Bay, Kibuye and St. Peter Nsambya primary schools

Construction of 10-stance water-borne toilet at Summit View Kololo Primary School was completed 35% of pupils presented for Primary Leaving Examinations (PLE) in 2012 passed in Grade 1

A total of 1,400 schools were inspected

Carried out capacity-building activities for 95 teachers' training in ICT and provided 320 scouting guide books to a number of city schools

A 22-classroom block is under construction at Kampala High School with support from World Bank and MoES, and works are at first floor slab level

With support from AMREF, 10 city schools were provided with VIP toilets and 2 schools with water-borne toilets

With support from Africa Development Bank a toilet facility was provided at St. Denis Ssebugwawo Primary School

28 schools have been provided with furniture

With support from USAID and MoES 17 schools were provided with computers

With support from Living Earth Organization, energy-saving stoves were provided to various schools

Ten KCCA school playgrounds have been earmarked, site analysis done and architectural work underway for development

During the FY 2013/14, KCCA allocated a total of UGX 80.7 million for library services in the city and here below are the achievements:

During the year KCCA reopened the library services located at City Hall and the library has been designated as the Institutional Repository for all KCCA publications. By the end of May 2014, KCCA Library Services recorded a total of 5,492 adult library users and 714 children users who came to the library; the Lubaga Division Urban Council Library was established and restocking is in progress

Source: Ministerial Statement 2014.

Annex 2: KCCA Achievements in the Health Sector, Financial Year 2013/2014

KCCA in partnership with the Sustainable Renal Foundation launched the first peritoneal dialysis unit at Kisenyi Health Centre. This unit is currently the best in the country and will help treat children and maternal acute renal failure cases.

By close of May 2014, KCCA had recorded a total 295,632 in the OPD, 13,247 deliveries and a total attendance of 29,733 antenatal care in these health centres.

UGX 516 million had been received and spent on primary health care programmes under KCCA health centre; UGX 804 million was disbursed to NGO hospitals in the city.

KCCA in partnership with International Hutcheon Clinic for Children (IHCC) started the ear clinic at Kisugu Health Centre III in January 2014. The clinic is currently offering ear services to children aged 5 years and below and health education to mothers/guardians on how to care for ears. By the end of May 2014, over 170 children with ear problems had been treated. This service is to be rolled out to other KCCA-managed health centres.

KCCA with support from UNICEF and other partners implemented Family Health Days (FHDs) with the goal of increasing access to the minimum health care package and promoting the accelerated reduction of child and maternal mortality in Kampala.

The maternity ward in Kisenyi was renovated and equipped and is now fully functional. By close of May 2014, the unit was handling on average total of 5 deliveries per day.

Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine (PCV10) was introduced into routine immunization by the Ministry of Health, Uganda in the FY 2013/14.

29,520 long-lasting insect mosquito nets were distributed to pregnant women and children under the age of one year, completing DPT3 vaccine in fight against malaria.

A total of 29,289 patients were active on anti-retroviral treatment (ART) in the reporting period. 5,940 were newly enrolled on ART as of May 2014, and 48,744 clients received HIV counselling and testing over the financial year.

Work on Kitebi Health Centre is ongoing, with plinth and super structure walling done.

The works on renovating City Mortuary is still ongoing and 75 percent completed; remodelling of Kawaala Health Centre is still at design stage, while maintenance work is being carried out at the City Hall, Kawaala, Kisugu, Kiswa and Komamboga health centres.

Source: Ministerial Statement 2014.