

Li, Minqiang

**Article**

## Aumann and Serrano's economic index of risk for sums of gambles

Cogent Economics & Finance

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Taylor & Francis Group

*Suggested Citation:* Li, Minqiang (2014) : Aumann and Serrano's economic index of risk for sums of gambles, Cogent Economics & Finance, ISSN 2332-2039, Taylor & Francis, Abingdon, Vol. 2, Iss. 1, pp. 1-5,  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2014.921574>

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10419/147710>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>



Received: 19 November 2013  
Accepted: 21 April 2014  
Published: 05 June 2014

\*Corresponding author: Minqiang Li,  
Derivatives Research, Bloomberg LP, 731  
Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10022,  
USA  
E-mail: [minqiang.li@gmail.com](mailto:minqiang.li@gmail.com)

Reviewing Editor:  
Steve Cook, Swansea University

Further author and article information is  
available at the end of the article

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Aumann and Serrano's economic index of risk for sums of gambles

Minqiang Li<sup>1\*</sup>

**Abstract:** We study Aumann and Serrano's (2008) risk index for sums of gambles that are not dependent. If the dependent parts are similarly ordered, then the risk index of the sum is always larger than the minimum of the risk indices of the two gambles. For negative dependence, the risk index of the sum is always smaller than the maximum. The above results agree with our intuitions of risk diversification well. These result points out another attractive property of Aumann and Serrano's risk index. These properties are potentially useful for risk assessment purposes of financial securities.

**Keywords:** risk index, additive gambles, subadditivity, positive quadrant dependence

**JEL classifications:** A10, C00, D81

## 1. Introduction

Aumann and Serrano (2008) proposed an index of riskiness that assigns to each gamble a single fixed number. We study sums of gambles that are not necessarily independent. We show that if the dependent parts of two gambles are similarly ordered, or more generally positively quadrant dependent, then the risk index of the sum of two gambles is always larger than the minimum of the risk indices of the two gambles. For negative dependence, the risk index of the sum is always smaller than the maximum of the two risk indices. The above results agree with our intuitions well. For example, the result for negative dependence agrees with our intuition of risk diversification. Thus, this result can be considered another attractive property of Aumann and Serrano's risk index.

## AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY

Minqiang Li is a quant researcher at Bloomberg LP. Before moving to Bloomberg in 2010, he was a finance professor at Georgia Institute of Technology. He has published approximately 20 research articles in several publications including the *Journal of Financial Economics*, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, *Economic Theory*, and *Risk Magazine*. He received his PhD in finance from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and BS in physics from University of Science and Technology of China.

## PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT

A general problem in economics and finance is how people behave when faced with uncertainty. Traditionally, this is analyzed using tools such as expected utility. Recently, Aumann and Serrano's (2008) propose a different angle of looking at the risks by proposing a risk index. This paper analyzes this risk index in more detail and shows many of its attractive properties. These new results deep our understanding of risk diversification and have implications on real-life issues such as derivatives hedging, insurance contract design, etc.

## 2. Sums of Additive gambles

A gamble  $g$  is a random variable defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  such that  $\mathbb{E}g$  is finite and positive and  $\mathbb{P}(g < 0) > 0$ . Unlike Aumann and Serrano (2008), we do not assume that  $g$  takes only finitely many values. Furthermore, we do not assume that  $g$  is bounded or has a continuous density function. See Li (2014) for more discussions. The risk index  $R(g)$  is the unique positive solution (if exists) of

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-g/R(g)} = 1 \tag{1}$$

Considering sums of gambles are useful in practice. For example, an investor's portfolio might consist of different positions, each considered a different gamble. It might be useful to be able to get some quick idea of the riskiness of the whole portfolio given the riskiness of the components and their dependence structure. From a financial engineering point of view, many new financial products can be thought of as the result of adding gambles (such as sector index funds) or splitting a gamble into many others (such as collateralized mortgage obligations).

In the following, we will always assume that  $g+h$  is a well-defined gamble for two gambles  $g$  and  $h$ . Aumann and Serrano (2008) show that the riskiness (and thus the attractiveness) of  $g+h$  always lies between those of  $g$  and  $h$ . We examine sums of additive gambles more closely. We will generalize (5.8.1) of Aumann and Serrano to situations where we do not necessarily have independence. It turns out that in line with our intuition, if two gambles  $g$  and  $h$  are positively dependent (in senses presented rigorously in Propositions 2 and 3), then the risk index of the gamble  $g+h$  cannot be smaller than the minimum of the risk indices of  $g$  and  $h$ . On the other hand, the risk index of the gamble  $g+h$  cannot be larger than the maximum of the risk indices of  $g$  and  $h$  if we have negative dependence.

The following proposition is a straightforward generalization of the results in Aumann and Serrano (2008) to arbitrary number of additive gambles.

**PROPOSITION 1** Let  $g_i$  where  $i = 1, \dots, N$  be  $N$  additive gambles.

(1) (Subadditivity) Let  $\lambda_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , then

$$R\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i g_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i R(g_i) \tag{2}$$

(2) If all gambles are independent, the riskiness of  $\sum_{i=1}^N g_i$  lies between the minimum riskiness and the maximum riskiness. That is,

$$\min_i R(g_i) \leq R\left(\sum_{i=1}^N g_i\right) \leq \max_i R(g_i) \tag{3}$$

*Proof* Statement 1 in the special two gambles case has been proven using the convexity of the exponential function in Aumann and Serrano (2008) by Sergiu Hart. The general statement follows from induction and the homogeneity of the risk index. Below we give another proof based on generalized Hölder's inequality (see, e.g. Finner, 1992, or Kuptsov, 2001). For any  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , let  $p_k = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i R(g_i) / (\lambda_k R(g_k)) > 1$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^N 1/p_k = 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k g_k}{\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i R(g_i)}\right) &= \mathbb{E} \prod_{k=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_k g_k}{\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i R(g_i)}\right) = \left\| \prod_{k=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_k g_k}{\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i R(g_i)}\right) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \prod_{k=1}^N \left\| \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_k g_k}{\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i R(g_i)}\right) \right\|_{p_k} = \prod_{k=1}^N (\mathbb{E} e^{-g_k/R(g_k)})^{1/p_k} = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

This proves the subadditivity. The equality obtains if and only if all the  $g_i$ 's are multiples of each other. Statement 2 follows from (5.8.1) in Aumann and Serrano (2008) and induction.  $\square$

The independence assumption in the second statement is quite strong for actual applications. For example, the profit/loss of a call option (viewed as a gamble) is positively correlated with that of a digital call option, and negatively correlated with that of a put option. The following proposition gives some partial results when we do not have independence.

**PROPOSITION 2** We have the following statements for sums of additive gambles:

- (1) Suppose there exists a random variable  $Z$  such that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are both nonincreasing functions (or both nondecreasing) in  $Z$ , then  $R(g_1 + g_2) \geq \min(R(g_1), R(g_2))$ . More generally, suppose there exist  $N + 1$  independent random variables  $\tilde{g}_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) and  $Z$ , such that  $g_i - \tilde{g}_i$  are all nonincreasing functions (or all nondecreasing) in  $Z$ , then  $R\left(\sum_{i=1}^N g_i\right) \geq \min_i R(g_i)$ .
- (2) Suppose there exists a random variable  $Z$  such that  $g_1$  is nonincreasing in  $Z$  and  $g_2$  is nondecreasing in  $Z$  (or vice versa), then  $R(g_1 + g_2) \leq \max(R(g_1), R(g_2))$ . More generally, suppose there exists three independent random variables  $\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2$  and  $Z$ , such that  $g_1 - \tilde{g}_1$  is a nonincreasing function in  $Z$  while  $g_2 - \tilde{g}_2$  is nondecreasing in  $Z$  (or vice versa), then  $R(g_1 + g_2) \leq \max(R(g_1), R(g_2))$ .

*Proof* The main ingredient for the proof is Čebyšev's algebraic inequality (see Mitrović, Pečarić, & Fink, 1992, or Theorem 236 in Hardy, Littlewood, & Pólya, 1934), which was used by Merton in his development of portfolio selection theory (Merton, 1990, p. 25). It states that if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are two random variables both nonincreasing (or nondecreasing) functions in  $Z$ , then  $\text{cov}(f_1, f_2) \geq 0$ , and  $\text{cov}(f_1, f_2) \leq 0$  if one is nonincreasing and the other nondecreasing.

For statement 1, we prove the more general conclusion. Let  $\beta > 0$ , then by independence,

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^N g_i} = \mathbb{E} \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\beta \tilde{g}_i} e^{-\beta(g_i - \tilde{g}_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}e^{-\beta \tilde{g}_i} \cdot \mathbb{E} \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\beta(g_k - \tilde{g}_k)}. \tag{5}$$

The product of two positive nonincreasing functions is still nonincreasing. The same is true for nondecreasing functions. Thus, by repeated use of Čebyšev's algebraic inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\beta(g_k - \tilde{g}_k)} \geq \mathbb{E}e^{-\beta(g_N - \tilde{g}_N)} \cdot \mathbb{E} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} e^{-\beta(g_k - \tilde{g}_k)} \geq \dots \geq \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{E}e^{-\beta(g_k - \tilde{g}_k)} \tag{6}$$

Putting the above two equations together, we have by independence again

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^N g_i} \geq \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}e^{-\beta \tilde{g}_i} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{E}e^{-\beta(g_k - \tilde{g}_k)} = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}e^{-\beta g_i} \tag{7}$$

Now let  $\beta = \max_i \alpha(g_i)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^N g_i} \geq 1$  since  $\mathbb{E}e^{-\beta g_i} \geq 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Thus,

$$\beta \geq \alpha\left(\sum_{i=1}^N g_i\right) \tag{8}$$

or equivalently,  $R\left(\sum_{i=1}^N g_i\right) \geq \min_i R(g_i)$ .

The proof for statement 2 is similar and thus omitted.  $\square$

An interesting application of the above proposition is the following. Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  be multivariate normally distributed gambles with positive means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , variances  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  and correlation coefficient  $\rho$ . We already know that when  $\rho = 0$ ,  $\min(R(g_1), R(g_2)) \leq R(g_1 + g_2) \leq \max(R(g_1), R(g_2))$  by Proposition 1. Proposition 2 above allows us to draw conclusions when  $\rho \neq 0$ . Through a Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization, we see that when  $\rho < 0$ , we have  $\min(R(g_1), R(g_2)) \leq R(g_1 + g_2) \leq R(g_1) + R(g_2)$ . When  $\rho < 0$ , we have  $R(g_1 + g_2) \leq \max(R(g_1), R(g_2))$ . With some elementary but interesting algebra, these statements can be verified explicitly since we have  $R(g_i) = \sigma_i^2 / \mu_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and

$$R(g_1 + g_2) = \frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \tag{9}$$

When dealing with the sum of two gambles, Proposition 2 can be further generalized. Two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be *positively quadrant dependent* (Lehmann, 1966) if for any  $x$  and  $y$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq x, Y \leq y) \geq \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) \mathbb{P}(Y \leq y) \tag{10}$$

We say  $X$  and  $Y$  are *negatively quadrant dependent* if the above equation reverses sign. Intuitively,  $X$  and  $Y$  are *positively quadrant dependent* if the probability that they are simultaneously small (or simultaneously large) is at least as great as it would be if they were independent. In Proposition 2, the dependent parts of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ , namely  $g_1 - \tilde{g}_1$  and  $g_2 - \tilde{g}_2$ , are assumed to be concordant (or in another terminology, similarly ordered). The positive quadrant dependence is a weaker notion than concordance. Concordance implies positive quadrant dependence but the reverse is not true. The proposition below shows that we can replace the concordance with positive quadrant dependence.

**PROPOSITION 3** We have the following statements for sums of additive gambles:

- (1) Suppose  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are *positively quadrant dependent*, then  $R(g_1 + g_2) \geq \min(R(g_1), R(g_2))$ . More generally, suppose there exist independent random variables  $\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2$  such that  $\tilde{g}_1$  and  $\tilde{g}_2$  are both independent with  $g_1 - \tilde{g}_1 + g_2 - \tilde{g}_2$ . If  $g_1 - \tilde{g}_1$  and  $g_2 - \tilde{g}_2$  are *positively quadrant dependent*, then  $R(g_1 + g_2) \geq \min(R(g_1), R(g_2))$ .
- (2) Suppose  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are *negatively quadrant dependent*, then  $R(g_1 + g_2) \leq \max(R(g_1), R(g_2))$ . More generally, suppose there exist independent random variables  $\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2$  such that  $\tilde{g}_1$  and  $\tilde{g}_2$  are both independent with  $g_1 - \tilde{g}_1 + g_2 - \tilde{g}_2$ . If  $g_1 - \tilde{g}_1$  and  $g_2 - \tilde{g}_2$  are *negatively quadrant dependent*, then  $R(g_1 + g_2) \leq \max(R(g_1), R(g_2))$ .

*Proof* A very useful characterization states that two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  are *positively quadrant dependent* if and only if  $\text{cov}(s(X), t(Y)) \geq 0$  for all nondecreasing functions of  $s$  and  $t$  such that the integrals in the covariance are well-defined. Notice that  $g_1 - \tilde{g}_1$  and  $g_2 - \tilde{g}_2$  are *positively quadrant dependent*, then so are  $e^{-\beta(g_1 - \tilde{g}_1)}$  and  $e^{-\beta(g_2 - \tilde{g}_2)}$ . The proof is almost exactly the same as that of Proposition 2 for  $N = 2$ . Instead of relying on Čebyšev's algebraic inequality, we use the characterization result for positive quadrant dependence.  $\square$

As one example of applying the above proposition, let  $S_1, S_2$  and  $S_3$  be three independent random variables standing for three future financial quantities. Let  $g_1 \equiv \max(S_1 + S_2 - K_1, 0) - p_1$  be the profit or loss of a spread option with strike price  $K_1$  and price  $p_1$ . Similarly for  $g_2 \equiv \max(S_1 + S_3 - K_2, 0) - p_2$ . Assume that the strike prices and option prices are such that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are gambles. Then by Example 1. (iv) in Lehmann (1966),  $g$  and  $h$  are *positively quadrant dependent*. Proposition 3 then tells us that  $R(g_1 + g_2) \geq \min(R(g_1), R(g_2))$ .

### 3. Conclusion

We study in more detail sums of gambles that are not necessarily independent. In particular, we show that if the dependent parts of two gambles are positively quadrant dependent, then the risk index of the sum of two gambles is always larger than the minimum of the risk indices of the two gambles. For negative dependence, the risk index of the sum is always smaller than the maximum of the two risk indices.

#### Author details

Minqiang Li<sup>1</sup>  
E-mail: [minqiang.li@gmail.com](mailto:minqiang.li@gmail.com)  
<sup>1</sup> Derivatives Research, Bloomberg LP, 731 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10022, USA.

#### Article Information

Cite this article as: Aumann and Serrano's economic index of risk for sums of gambles, M. Li, *Cogent Economics & Finance* (2014), 2: 921574.

#### References

- Aumann, R. J., & Serrano, R. (2008). An economic index of riskiness. *Journal of Political Economy*, 116, 810–836. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/591918>
- Finner, H. (1992). A generalization of Hölder's inequality and some probability inequalities. *The Annals of Probability*, 20, 1893–1901. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aop/1176989534>
- Hardy, G. H., Littlewood, J. E., & Pólya, G. (1934). *Inequalities*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kuptsov, L. P. (2001). Hölder inequality. In M. Hazewinkel (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of mathematics*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- Lehmann, E. L. (1966). Some concepts of dependence. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 37, 1137–1153. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177699260>
- Li, M. (2014). On Aumann and Serrano's economic index of risk. *Economic Theory*, 55, 414–437.
- Merton, R. C. (1990). *Continuous-time finance*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Mitrinović, D. S., Pečarić, J., & Fink, A. M. (1992). *Classical and new inequalities in analysis (mathematics and its applications)*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.



© 2014 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 3.0 license.

You are free to:  
Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format  
Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.  
The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following terms:

Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.  
You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.  
No additional restrictions

You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.



**Cogent Economics & Finance (ISSN: 2332-2039) is published by Cogent OA, part of Taylor & Francis Group.**

**Publishing with Cogent OA ensures:**

- Immediate, universal access to your article on publication
- High visibility and discoverability via the Cogent OA website as well as Taylor & Francis Online
- Download and citation statistics for your article
- Rapid online publication
- Input from, and dialog with, expert editors and editorial boards
- Retention of full copyright of your article
- Guaranteed legacy preservation of your article
- Discounts and waivers for authors in developing regions

**Submit your manuscript to a Cogent OA journal at [www.CogentOA.com](http://www.CogentOA.com)**

