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Technology Strategies of the Main Actors and the Strategies towards Standards; the Case of the Diffusion of Smart Houses in Japan
Abstract

Various definitions of smart houses may exist but they have a common characteristic; through linking various modules components, electric appliances, technologies and sensors, smart houses aim to offer an innovative integrated solution to provide a level of smartness that has not existed in traditional houses. In smart houses, since various components, technologies and products have to be linked, standards become a critically important issue. At present, in Japan, Europe and the USA, 3 de jure standards related to smart houses exist, ECHONET-Lite, KNX and SEP.

In this paper, an analysis is made of the technology and standardization strategies regarding ECHONET in Japan and a comparison is made with KNX. Eleven interviews with the main actors related to the ECHONET-Lite consortium and KNX were conducted. Three research questions were set; What are the technology strategy related concerns of the main actors related to ECHONET? What are the issues related to the diffusion of smart houses? What are the underlying strengths, weaknesses of ECHONET compared with KNX? The analyses showed that the strategies of the various actors towards the diffusion of smart houses were different, based on their different perspectives, visions and competences. A comparison between Japan with the European case highlighted the different standardization strategies and areas of focus. The main bottlenecks towards the diffusion of smart houses were identified. One of the major challenges to be overcome for the diffusion of smart houses is that a clear demand for smart houses has not been identified.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, for greater energy efficiency, more convenience and more safety, interest in smart houses has been growing in many parts of the world. The efficient use of energy is becoming quite important. Such efficient consumption can be managed by intelligent control and monitoring of all related products and appliances such as air conditioners, windows, blinds, shutters, lightings. Smart houses usually refer to houses with features of HEMS (Home Energy Management Systems) or HA (home automation) or both. Various definitions of smart houses may exist but they have a common characteristic; through linking various modules components, electric
appliances, technologies and sensors, smart houses aim to offer an innovative integrated solution to provide a level of smartness that has not existed in traditional houses. HEMS include energy saving, energy generation as well as energy storage systems while HA includes technologies and services which lead to better convenience and safety through automation. As an example of HA, Apple’s HomeKit in the US, allows iPhone or iPad to be used as controller to control home electric appliances. Other type of application services that may be provided by a smart house include, energy services, home amenity, healthcare services, security services, remote appliance maintenance services or mobile services. However, the diffusion of smart houses has been quite slow, as smart houses account for less than 0.2% of the total in Japan. According to METI, the market for smart houses is expected to reach 3.5 trillion yen in Japan and 12 trillion yen worldwide by 2020.

In smart houses, the modules, appliances and devices must be connected to function as a whole integrated system, so that standards become a critically important issue. At present, in Europe, USA and Japan, de jure standards related to Smart houses exist. In Japan, ECHONET-Lite is the standard proposed by the ECHONET Consortium. In Europe, KNX Association based in Belgium is the standards body which has been promoting KNX and in the US, Zigbee Alliance has been promoting Smart Energy Profile 2.0 (SEP 2.0). In a smart house, various modules, devices and technologies have to be integrated, so there are many actors involved, such as consumer electronics makers, telecommunications operator, utility companies, house makers etc. These companies have been part of the standard setting process.

In this paper, an analysis made of the technology strategies of the main actors related to ECHONET. Three research questions addressed in this paper are

1) What are the technology strategy related concerns of the main actors related to ECHONET?
2) What are the issues related to the diffusion of smart houses?
3) What are the underlying strengths, weaknesses of ECHONET compared with KNX?

---

2. Theoretical background

According to Porter and Heppelmann (2014) [8], smart connected products offer exponentially expanding opportunities for new functionality, for greater reliability, much higher product utilization and capabilities that cut across and transcend traditional product boundaries. These new types of products alter the industry structure and the nature of competition, exposing companies to new competitive opportunities and threats. They point out that what makes smart, connected products fundamentally different is not the internet, but the changing nature of the ‘things’. They propose that smart, connected products offer new functionality and capabilities that may be grouped into 4 categories: a. monitoring, b. control, c. optimization and d. autonomy. For example, smart products are able to learn about their environment, diagnose their needs and adapt to users preferences. They can facilitate remote monitoring, operation in remote locations.

While a smart house may consist of an integration of various modules, elements such as sensors, smart meter and IT, a smart house can be considered as an element of a complex system, which might consist of a smart community or a smart city. Complexity may be defined as the combination of complexity of elements (the number of elements and their relationships), and the characteristics of actors which are related to their values, interests, motives, capabilities etc. Complexity is seen as arising from the difference of perspectives of organizations [6]. In the initial phase of the diffusion of smart house, we have to consider not only technological innovations but also, social change, as well as the diverse actors’ perspectives and their strategies. The actors may include government, local government, house makers, consumer electronics makers, HEMS (Home Energy Management Systems) makers, solar panel makers and standard organizations.

The diffusion rate of smart houses is still very low, indicating that they are in the very initial phase of diffusion. Diffusion studies have been carried out in various fields such as anthropology or social science. In the field of innovation management, Rogers proposed the theory of the diffusion of innovation. According to Rogers [9], five factors were identified to affect the diffusion process. These were 1) relative advantage 2) compatibility (integration into existing systems) 3) complexity 4) trialability (the extent to which a technology can be tried out) and 5) observability (extent to which potential adopters can see it in action). Rogers defined technology as a means of uncertainty reduction, the software aspect is recognized as important as well
as the hardware aspect.

SSI (Sectoral System of Innovation) which was put forward by Malerba [4], is defined as an innovation system as a set of agents such as firms and non-firm organizations, carrying out market and non-market interactions for the creation, production and sale of products in a sector. The knowledge base, source of technological opportunities and the degree of cumulativeness and learning mechanisms differ across sectors. In addition, institutions, such as regulations and standards, labour market have a profound effect on SSI.

Besen (1995) [2] analyzed the processes by which compatibility standards are established in the ICT industries and he pointed out several situations. Firstly, all sponsors may have common interests in the standard that is selected, so that they all prefer a mechanism that quickly and efficiently discovers which standard best serves those interests, which might be thought of as the ‘technocratic’ view. Secondly, the sponsors’ interests may be directly opposed, in that each prefers that others should adopt its standard, but each would prefer no standard as an alternative. This outcome is likely, when the benefits to the industry as a whole from having a standard are small relative to the differential gain obtained by the winner of a standards battle. Thirdly, each sponsor may prefer its own standard, but each would prefer another standard to none at all. This case is most likely, when the gains from having a standard are very important – perhaps because consumers will delay their purchases if they are unsure about which standard will ultimately emerge.

Miyazaki and Oshima (2010) [7] carried out an empirical analysis of the technology strategies related to the standardization process in the mobile wireless field, focusing on the factors affecting the companies’ choice of technologies to support and how the strategies vary over the 3 phases of a. before the standardization process starts, b. during the standardization process and c. after the standardization process ends.

Von Hippel (1986) [10] proposed the importance of lead users in driving new product development. Lead users face needs that will be general in the marketplace, but face them months or years before the bulk of that marketplace encounters them. Lead users are positioned to benefit significantly by obtaining a solution to those needs.

The paper is structured as follows. The next section outlines the methodology used in the paper. This is followed by a discussion of ECHONET-Lite and KNX. In the main part of the paper, the strategies and the perspectives towards ECHONET of the main actors, Toshiba, NEC, METI and Yokohama City are discussed. Finally,
conclusions are drawn from the paper and some suggestions to speed up the diffusion of smart houses are made.

3. Methodology

In order to prepare for this research, as a preliminary step, the authors collected information on ECHONET, KNX and SEP. Our laboratory became a member of the ECHONET Consortium as an academic member and the authors visited various events such as Smart Grid EXPO 2014, HEMS Certification Centre, Smart Community Summit 2014 and the ECHONET Forum. Interviews were carried out with key personnel in industry, government, local government and other institutions related to ECHONET. Each of the 11 interviews lasted more than 2 hours and were recorded, with permission. We sent the questions in advance to the interviewees and were able to ask additional questions during the interviews.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTOR</th>
<th>INTERVIEWEE(S)</th>
<th>MAIN AGENDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SONY</td>
<td>Sony CSL (Computer Science Lab) Associate Researcher</td>
<td>The Development of OPENECHO and Kadecot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KANAGAWA UNIV. PROF.</td>
<td>The Chair of METI’s HEMS Taskforce</td>
<td>The strategy of ECHONET Consortium. METI’s policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOSHIBA</td>
<td>ECHONET Consortium Director</td>
<td>Toshiba’s Home appliances for Smart house. Strengths of ECHONET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEC</td>
<td>Smart Energy Manager</td>
<td>NEC’s strategy towards the diffusion of Smart House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Telecom Carrier Planning Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 2 Carrier Service Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HITACHI</td>
<td>Smart City Project Manager</td>
<td>Strategies towards a global standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEC</td>
<td>Telecom Carrier Business Manager</td>
<td>HEMS as a cloud service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOKOHAMA CITY</td>
<td>Yokohama city Global warming Unit Manager</td>
<td>HEMS demonstration project in Yokohama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METI</td>
<td>Information Economy Division, Commerce and Information Policy Bureau 情報経済課</td>
<td>METI’s policies towards smart grid and diffusion of smart houses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order to make a comparison, we also interviewed some people related to KNX in Germany and Japan. One of the authors spent 3 months at a leading University in Germany in order to conduct studies as well as do the field study. The first two interviews shown in Table xx were carried out by SKYPE and telephone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTOR</th>
<th>INTERVIEWEE(S)</th>
<th>MAIN AGENDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROCKETHOME</td>
<td>Rockethome, CEO</td>
<td>Strategies towards Smart meters and home automation in Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIEMENS</td>
<td>KNX Chairman</td>
<td>Strategies of KNX, Siemens business strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNX JAPAN, FUJITSU GENERAL</td>
<td>KNX Japan</td>
<td>KNX Japan’s strategies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. ECHONET and KNX

4.1 Background

ECHONET was established in 1997 as a voluntary standards body to set the standards for home networks. As of February 2015, 252 companies are members of the ECHONET consortium. Eight companies are members of the decision making body, which include Sharp, Tokyo Electric Power, Softbank Telecom, Toshiba, NTT, Hitachi, Panasonic and Mitsubishi Electric. ECHONET Lite has been approved as a de jure standard by METI in Feb. 2012\(^4\). Some part of ECHONET Lite has been certified as a standard by IEC, and the remaining part is expected to be certified by ISO/IEC JTC1 as a standard.

ECHONET Lite is the standard for appliances, which can be grouped into 8 types. In Table 3, the 8 categories of the appliances are shown. For example, in Group 1, sensors would include a sensor to detect the level of water in a bath tub and even a snow

---

KNX was established as a merger of 3 organizations EIB, EHSA and BCI in 1999. The official name of KNX is ‘Worldwide Standard or Home and Building control’. 364 companies produce KNX certified products. KNX is a profit making organization due to certification business with an annual turnover of 500 million yen. KNX has 43 branches worldwide, having just set up one in Japan in February, 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 2: Ventilation</td>
<td>Air conditioner, Humidifier, Electric carpet, Ventilator, Fan heater, Unit cooler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 3: Convenience</td>
<td>Blind, Shutter, Curtain, Garage, Home sauna, Home elevator, Boiler, Solar panel, Battery, Fuel cell, Smart meter, Smart gas meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for the home</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4: Cooking</td>
<td>Cooking heater, Coffee maker, Washing machine, Dish Washer, Refrigerator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and other tasks in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5: Healthcare</td>
<td>Blood pressure meter, Thermometer, Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6: Controller</td>
<td>Switch, Mobile terminal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 7: Audio Visual</td>
<td>TV, Display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 8: Electric</td>
<td>E V, PHV (To be considered)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to KNX’s ranking of applications we obtained from our interviews, 1. Lighting, 2. Blinds, 3. Monitoring, 4. HVAC, 5. Remote control, 6. Security systems, 7. Energy management, 8. Metering, 9. Audio video controls, 11. White goods, the low ranking of white goods indicates that KNX is putting more emphasis on infrastructure and large scale solutions such as smart community management, or building management. Compared to ECHONET which is focusing on smart houses, KNX is putting emphasis on City solutions such as Smart building which is part of Smart City.

4.2 A comparison of the strengths, weaknesses of ECHONET-Lite and KNX

Through the interviews, it was found out that there are several advantages of ECHONET Lite. First, the range and depth of the standard were pointed out by several interviewees. For example, ECHONET would include functions such as
control of temperature as well as blinds. Also, another advantage of ECHONET is its openness, since the standard and the tools can be downloaded free of charge. Such openness reveals the strategy of the ECHONET top management to put priority on the diffusion of smart houses. In the case of KNX, the specifications, the handbook, and the tools are sold for about 1000 Euros. The standards setting procedure of ECHONET is based on a technocratic approach, as pointed out by Besen. The 8 leading companies have the voting rights, while all members of the consortium have the right to make a request towards the standard setting. However, only the managers of the 8 companies can take part in the Board of Directors meeting which makes the important decisions. A feature of ECHONET is the comprehensive, detailed standard.

On the other hand, there are some issues of concern for ECHONET. Unlike KNX, in the case of ECHONET, since the specifications for the standard are distributed free of charge, the profit motives ECHONET is rather low. The operations of the certification support centre are funded by government’s subsidies so that obtaining funds for operation in the future might become a problem. Up until now, ECHONET has not been playing a leading role in the diffusion of smart houses, leaving such activities to the companies in the consortium.

ECHONET has been developing and providing software tools for the development of ECHONET Lite compatible products. An interview with the person from Sony CSL (Computer Science Lab) who developed OpenECHO pointed out that although he developed the API for smart houses in an open source environment, it has been difficult to find users who can do the debugging. For smart houses, various appliances and consumer electronic products made by different vendors have to be connected and such combinations are countless. A single company cannot do the development on its own, one would expect the users to do the debugging in an open source environment. The lack of an active user community for ECHONET might become a problem, making it difficult for them to gain dominance as a de facto standard. ECHONET has recently set up a Certification support centre in Malaysia, since next to Japan, ECHONET has been aiming at gaining presence in East Asia. According to the interviews, the preference of Asians is similar to the Japanese. Asians prefer to be able to monitor and control consumer electronic devices at a detailed level. In order to control devices at a such level, multi functional consumer products are necessary.

The strengths of KNX are related to KNX’s strategy to focus on infrastructures, as put forward by its concept of the KXN City. A smart building contains KNX
applications and KNX devices which are the building blocks of a Smart City. KNX considers that each company belonging to the KNX association has its own strategy, some are in B2B business while some others are in the B2C business. In contrast to METI which has a list of 8 priority products that it is trying to promote, KNX lets the companies decide which products to promote. According to the KNX chairman, who pointed out in the interview, ‘The companies can position the product in the market in the best way they would like to do’.

Compared with ECHONET, KNX’s standard setting procedure is more rigorous and highly structured. According to the Chairman of KNX, “Standards setting is done in the working group, under the umbrella of KNX association. Technical matters are discussed in the Technical Board and then they will be agreed upon for finalizing it. Then the Marketing Board will fix it up to tool area. Yes, it has to be fixed up, what has to be done in the tool. The tool cannot stay as it is, there has to be some adoptions to new functionality and new alignment on IT structure”. KNX has put emphasis on interoperability. According to the interviews, “KNX standard has a specific structure behind it. Its mechanism is event driven, not polling. Specific transition/transmission protocol is defined. Things are fixed at the end of the day, because we have to guarantee all our members and all our customers that we are download compatible. So we have to maintain some basics.” So in the case of KNX, download compatibility and interoperability are guaranteed at the system level. However, the interviews have confirmed that KNX’s focus on B2B and building management also indicates their weaknesses, related to smart houses. The lack of presence of competitive white goods consumer electronic makers is another problem. Unlike ECHONET which has a detailed comprehensive specification for consumer electronic products, KNX’s standards are relatively weak.

5. The strategies of the main actors

5.1 Toshiba

Toshiba has played a pioneering role in the development of home consumer appliances connected to Internet. In 2002 the company started its FEMINITY line of products, which include home appliances such as washing machines, refrigerators, microwave ovens which could be controlled by IP. Since ECHONET already existed, Toshiba decided to use the ECHONET standard. Internet had not diffused by then, so Toshiba was an early starter. At that time FEMINITY line of refrigerator was priced at 300,000 yen, an electric oven 200,000 yen, air conditioner 300,000 yen. It was a
radical innovation, since Toshiba was able to achieve the world’s first in providing home appliances connected to Internet that could be controlled. However, the products were too expensive for the ordinary customers, so that their FEMINITY line of businesses did not grow. According to Toshiba, they were 10 years too early to launch such products. However, unlike Toshiba’s competitors which had entered the business, Panasonic in 1999 and Hitachi in 2004 but later exited, Toshiba was able to continue their FEMINITY line of business as the company changed its strategy by collaborating with large scale construction companies. For example at a building complex called Kawasaki Lazona, the condominiums have been pre-installed with Toshiba’s FEMINITY line of security system and other appliances when they were put on the market. Toshiba has a long history of making ECHONET ready products, in other words, products which can be made ECHONET compatible, by connecting adapters and this is where there has been a need to establish standards. Standards have to be set in order to connect the adapters to the various products. Since 2004, if one purchased a Toshiba made air conditioner it was possible to control it through ECHONET by connecting it to an adapter.

In the case of Panasonic and Hitachi, the products offered by both companies were based on their own interfaces, not standards. (Further research has to be carried out to analyze why these two companies exited) The strategy of Toshiba has led them to pursue an open strategy. The FEMINITY line of HEMS is based on a cloud type HEMS. Unlike NEC or Panasonic which had a centralized control unit in their main modules of HEMS, Toshiba has chosen a different strategy based on their preference to adopt an open approach, since they believe that no single company will be able to be a dominant player in the smart house field. Their early decision to use ECHONET back in 2002 when they entered this business also reveals their strategy.

5.2 NEC

NEC has been known for its Computers and Communications (C&C) business for many decades. The company’s mission has been to provide Society’s Solution business in the areas of the public domain, enterprises, telecom carrier and smart energy. The company aims to be globally active in social infrastructure innovations through ICT, based on its long term market and technological competences. NEC has competences in Next Generation Networks, high quality, high reliability IT and communications technologies, devices, sensors and human interface technologies. The company has been allocating resources in key sectors such as transportation, space, satellite
communications, undersea communications, digital medical record, e-government and smart energy. NEC’s cloud service business has been growing rapidly. HEMS is one of the services which can be provided over their cloud service. Such services require capabilities in big data, device control, device access, security, maintenance, scale up and multi-tenant technologies. NEC has developed a cloud service platform called CONNEXIVE, which has been used by their Smart Energy business to provide Cloud type Energy Management Service. NEC is one of the members of the HEMS Alliance which also include Toshiba, Hitachi, Mitsubishi Electric, Panasonic, Tokyo Electric Power, and KDDI, which are working on the joint development of an infrastructure to develop applications for HEMS. HEMS consist of many different components, modules. NEC has been competitive in batteries, so this has been the company’s key component in HEMS. The battery storage system is equipped with a centralized control unit, unlike Toshiba’s HEMS products which are based on an open strategy. NEC’s HEMS products are high value added products, suitable to function as a Home Energy Manager. For example, by extracting and analyzing the data of the customer’s behaviour such as electricity usage in real time, NEC’s HEMS can provide their customers with valuable energy saving recommendations. This is quite different from the low end HEMS which only shows in real time the energy consumption in a home.

NEC has also been involved in Smart Meters. In Japan, the meters used in homes are expected to be replaced by smart meters within the next 10 years. The market for smart meters is closed, with strict regulations, making it difficult for new entrants to enter the market mainly because of the need to take tight security measures. A smart meter consists of two parts, the measuring device and the communications part. NEC has been actively involved in the smart meter business for the latter part, which is based on their core competences in communications. As the network topology changes every year, it is expected that NEC will be able to capitalize on their network design capability to expand their business in smart meters.

The analysis has revealed that NEC has been taking action according to its vision; ‘Connected House/Home through IoT/M2M’. The company has been working together with other companies such as Orix in their battery rental business, and Sekisui Heim (a house company) for promoting their HEMS business. In the former case, Orix has been providing NEC with financing. In the latter case, they are popular among the customers since they can recuperate the investments within 7 years, using subsidies.
The case of NEC’s strategies illustrates that the company is trying to strengthen its presence in smart houses by building on its accumulated core competences in communications, cloud services, by focusing on key parts of the smart house, such as smart meters, batteries and high-end HEMS. The company has been eager to form joint ventures to accomplish their strategies.

5.3 METI’s strategy

The main concerns of METI are 1) to nurture the industries and support the economic development and 2) to obtain sufficient energy for Japan. Since 3.11 (the major earthquake in the North Eastern area), there has been a change in requirement for energy saving in Japan, from the emphasis on energy saving through hardware based solutions by diffusion of energy saving equipment, to energy saving based on efficient and ‘smart’ solutions, in other words, ‘software’ based solutions.

A variety of types of equipment and appliances exist in a house, all made by different makers, which have to be connected to one another in a smart house hence, the role of standards becomes valuable. In 2011, November⁵, a decision was made in the Energy and Environment conference to standardize the interfaces between the smart meter and HEMS in order to promote the diffusion of HEMS. It was decided to use ECHONET-Lite for the standard between HEMS and the smart meter.

By setting such standards, METI expects three positive outcomes

1) The use of smart meters and visualization of energy consumption will lead to energy saving

2) Through interoperability, enabling different products/equipments made by different makers to be linked, a variety of new energy saving services will be made possible

3) In addition to the large companies which are the main players of smart houses, small and medium sized companies and venture company

The HEMS taskforce within the Smart house/building WG has been working on setting the standards for the API between the service providers. The Smart meter task force within the WG has been concerned with setting standards between the smart meter and the HEMS appliances.

METI has announced a set of 8 high priority product/appliances which include; Smart meters, Solar power panel, Battery, Fuel cell, EV/PHV, Air conditioner, Lighting and Boiler.

Figure 1 shows how the various components are connected in their vision to promote smart house/buildings. METI expects that new services will emerge based on the HEMS platform.

![Figure 1: METI's view of HEMS](image)

(Adapted from the presentation given by T. Tateishi, METI on 11/18/2014)

At METI, the Information Economy division in the Commerce and Information Policy Bureau has been the main unit in charge of promoting smart houses/smart buildings. Another unit, Electricity Market division, in the Agency for Natural Resources and Energy has been playing a supportive role. The Smart house/building WG has been concerned with six issues;

1. Setting the lower parts of the high priority areas
2. Preparation of the operating manual
3. Testing the connectivity with other appliances and certifying the product
4. Strategies for international standards
5. Analysis of DR (demand response) technologies and standards
6. Promotion of new businesses by using HEMS data

METI points out that for effective energy management, aggregators which aggregate the various demand made by the houses/homes, condominiums, buildings etc. through IT and cloud will play an important role. In the case of MEMS (Mansion (condominium) Energy Management System), new players such as equipment makers, telecom operators, CATV operators have entered the market as MEMS aggregators last year, when METI chose 24 companies from the applicants which applied for funding. For those companies, METI provided subsidies of 1/3 of the cost. From the perspective of METI, the main purpose of promoting HEMS is new business creation, so that new service providers that use the data collected and managed by the aggregators may emerge. METI has allocated 4 billion yen for the large scale HEMS project.

However, such new service creation may lead to social problems such as privacy. As electricity usage data will be shared by different service providers, how to deal with privacy protection has become a new concern for METI.

5.4 Yokohama City’s case

At present, Yokohama has been doing a demonstration project of Smart city, which has been funded by METI. Yokohama City has been one of the 4 cities where the trial demonstrations have been taking place. Toshiba and Tokyo Electric Power have been playing a key role in this trial. Yokohama City has been in charge of the whole project, while Toshiba has been the leader of the CEMS and HEMS working group, Tokyo Electric power has been in charge of the power grid network and the modules and system back up. 4000 homes and other buildings such as a local hospital have taken part in the demonstration project. A HEMS unit made by either Toshiba or Panasonic, which normally costs 100,000 yen have been distributed to the 4000 houses by using a subsidy so that the home owner only had to pay 10,000 yen. The electricity which has been generated by co-generation at a hospital has been supplied to other buildings in the community. Yokohama has been known in general for the citizens’ enthusiasm towards energy saving. In the past, Yokohama has been able to achieve a 40% reduction in waste disposal, due to the citizens’ efforts.

In order to attract the home owners to join the experiment, Yokohama city adopted 3 approaches, one which was based on normal information provision, the second, which was based on providing more detailed information, and the third which was based on providing detailed information as well as special incentives, such as coupons. It was
observed that as they changed the pattern towards the third type, the percentage of people who decided to join the experiment, as well as the energy savings in the homes increased.

Yokohama City used to consider that installing HEMS in homes and allowing the family members to check and see the energy usage in real time mode was the purpose of HEMS. However, through the experiment, Yokohama City’s managers of this project learned that it was important to stress the importance of HEMS from the point of view of being able to provide a new value to the home owner, in terms of controlling the electric appliances or managing the energy usage. Hence, HEMS is a product which requires intensive technology marketing, interacting closely with the customers to explain about the new value added. If one can persuade the customers who are concerned about the price of HEMS about the benefits and the value added, then HEMS diffusion should pick up momentum. Currently the diffusion of HEMS has reached 100,000 houses in Japan. If it reaches 1000,000, then the market might grow without subsidies. In the early days, the marketing of HEMS tried to emphasize the merits of 10% energy savings, but it could not be confirmed in the trial. The energy savings have been driven mainly by homeowners purchasing new consumer electrical appliances which are more energy saving, having been able to visualize the electricity usage in real time mode. Thus the energy saving merit seems to be more limited than expectations.

6. A Summary of the comparison of ECHONET and KNX

KNX is a standard with well structured clear logic, suitable for designing the internal system of large scale infrastructures. KNX’s strategy can be summarized by its vision of a Smart city, to control a smart city or a conglomerate of smart buildings by targeting perfection of interoperability and focusing on B2B. KNX’s competitive advantage lies in the provision of smart city solutions, as KNX has been aiming to maximize its strength in a standard which is suitable for building infrastructures. The weaknesses of KNX lies in B2C, particularly the lack of white goods industry.

ECHONET is a standard which includes almost every function in Japanese home appliances which is the strength of ECHONET. All members of ECHONET Consortium possess the right to propose a new function to the standards document. Also, ECHONET has been distributed free of charge. In the case of ECHONET, diffusion has been set as the first priority, making it less concerned about profit making. ECHONET
aims at global expansion into Asia, based on their comprehensive standard as well as the support of the Japanese consumer electronics makers and HEMS makers.

Compared to KNX, in the case of ECHONET, one of the weaknesses may be marketing. It has not succeeded in showing value for customers, nor benefits for the stakeholders.

7. Discussion and implications

In this paper, three main research questions were addressed to explore the technology and standardization strategies of the main actors related to smart houses in Japan and a comparison with the European case was made. 11 interviews were carried out in Japan and Germany. The main findings are as follows; the differences in strengths, weaknesses between the standards in Japan and Europe were identified. KNX’s strategy has been to focus on infrastructures and City solutions, while ECHONET has been putting focus on smart houses. The strategies of the main actors, such as the ECHONET-Lite consortium, Toshiba, NEC, METI, the government, and Yokohama City, the local government, towards smart houses have been diverse, based on the actors objectives, missions and their accumulated core competences. Toshiba has a long history of making ECHONET ready products since 2002. NEC has been focusing on Cloud based Energy Management system as well as smart meters based on their core competences in communications and cloud services. As a smart house consists of many modules and components, technologies which have to be integrated, companies have been focusing on one part of the system.

In the case of METI, they are interested in promoting HEMS (Home Energy Management System) from the point of view of new business creation. The trial demonstrations which have been taking place in Yokohama city revealed that HEMS is a product which requires intensive technology marketing, interacting closely with the customers to explain about the new value added. The energy saving merit of HEMS used in marketing HEMS seems to have been over-valued. The lack of understanding of the user’s need, or the potential need seems to be a problem which must be overcome to speed up the diffusion of smart houses. A comparison of ECHONET and KNX highlighted that KNX was ahead of ECHONET in terms of globalization as well as marketing. Although ECHONET is planning to set up a certification centre in Malaysia, it would be a challenge to match KNX’s presence in European countries as well as other parts of the world such as China.
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