

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Nardotto, Mattia; Wittenberg, Erich

Article

"All consumers can benefit from an open access policy in the broadband market": Five questions to Mattia Nardotto

DIW Economic Bulletin

Provided in Cooperation with:

German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin)

Suggested Citation: Nardotto, Mattia; Wittenberg, Erich (2016): "All consumers can benefit from an open access policy in the broadband market": Five questions to Mattia Nardotto, DIW Economic Bulletin, ISSN 2192-7219, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (DIW), Berlin, Vol. 6, Iss. 28, pp. 318-

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/144190

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Mattia Nardotto, Ph. D., Research Associate, Department Firms and Markets at the DIW Berlin

FIVE OUESTIONS TO MATTIA NARDOTTO

»All consumers can benefit from an open access policy in the broadband market«

- 1. Mr. Nardotto, you investigated the market for broadband communication networks using data from UK broadband. The incumbent broadband providers have a strong market position because they own the network. What options do new entries have to compete? Historically, the EU implemented regulation because the authorities were afraid that the incumbents could transfer their monopolistic power into the new rising broadband market. That's why an open access policy was strongly advocated in the '90s when the broadband market was starting to develop in Europe. The two main options under Europe's open access policy were bitstream and local loop unbundling (LLU). With bitstream, the incumbent continues to manage the connection and provide the service, and the investment from the entrant is minimal. It is similar to reselling. With LLU, you have a more facility-based competition and real access to the last mile. Access to broadband via LLU is a larger investment, because the entrant has to install machinery, run maintenance, and manage the flow of data in the local loop.
- 2. What are the effects of the open access policy in the UK? The studies show that there's been a lot of entry into the broadband market. Many companies decided to invest in LLU. We've found that there is no large effect on internet penetration when you compare LLU with bitstream or with competition from another technology. The reason is that new entrants can manage the connection: they can invest in their own technology more than they can with bitstream and provide higher quality than the incumbent. But because they enter the market from the top-quality level, we don't observe a large market expansion. What we see is a major improvement in quality. These entrants are very efficient. They know how to provide good quality in fact, the best of them can match the speed of a cable operator, which is a very good service.
- 3. Which consumers benefit the most from the open access policy? In my opinion, all consumers benefit from open access policy, because these new operators provide different combinations of speed and prices in the broad-

- band market. Previously, we had a very basic service provided by the incumbent and a good quality provided by the cable, but there was nothing in-between. Due to the increased competition provided by the new entries, neither cable nor the incumbent can exploit their market power, and all consumers who would like to have a kind of intermediate speed at a good price are able to find the best combination.
- 4. Is LLU the best trade-off? In general, I wouldn't say so. Clearly, the speed that can be achieved with fiber to the home (FTTH) is way better than any copper technology. If the goal was to have competition in the short and medium terms and a lot of people connected at a very good speed, LLU was a good solution. The companies that previously used bitstream were able to improve their internet speeds with LLU. But there are different opinions on that, because it is also true that these companies didn't invest in FTTH because they made a decision for LLU. But in the medium run, it paid off.
- 5. What are the differences between the broadband markets in the UK and in Germany? During the same time period as the one examined in this study, the incumbent in Germany was way stronger than was the one in the UK. Deutsche Telekom had more or less 50 percent of the market share—even more in 2005—while British Telecommunications (BT) only held 30 percent in market share in the UK. Deutsche Telekom is thus a very strong competitor in the German market. In the German market, you also have less cable coverage and less cable penetration. With this in mind, the open access policy was even more critical in Germany than it was in the UK, because Deutsche Telekom was very dominant in 2005. This is why German regulation was ahead of British regulation. In Germany, LLU started very early-at the end of the '90s-while it did not start in the UK until five years later.

Interview by Erich Wittenberg



DIW Berlin – Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung e.V. Mohrenstraße 58, 10117 Berlin T +49 30 897 89 -0

+49 30 897 89 -200

Publishers

Prof. Dr. Pio Baake

Prof. Dr. Tomaso Duso

Dr. Ferdinand Fichtner

Prof. Marcel Fratzscher, Ph.D.

Prof. Dr. Peter Haan

Prof. Dr. Claudia Kemfert

Dr. Kati Krähnert

Prof. Dr. Lukas Menkhoff

Prof. Karsten Neuhoff, Ph.D.

Prof. Dr. Jürgen Schupp

Prof. Dr. C. Katharina Spieß

Prof. Dr. Gert G. Wagner

Reviewer

Prof. Dr. Pio Baake

Editors in chief

Sabine Fiedler

Dr. Gritje Hartmann

Dr. Wolf-Peter Schill

Editorial staff

Renate Bogdanovic

Dr. Franziska Bremus Sebastian Kollmann

Dr. Peter Krause

Marie Kristin Marten

Ilka Müller

Miranda Siegel

Layout and Composition

eScriptum GmbH & Co KG, Berlin

Sale and distribution

DIW Berlin

ISSN 2192-7219

Reprint and further distribution – including extracts – with complete reference and consignment of a specimen copy to DIW Berlin's Communication Department (kundenservice@diw.berlin) only.

Printed on 100 % recycled paper.