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thus be two-edged: a push from within, a pull from without. Brazil would not be the last to profit from this.

Lack of fantasy—even in export promotion—is not a typically Brazilian characteristic; however, it is not sufficient just to have plenty of ideas—past events have proved this. Brazil cannot be taken as an example, in every respect, for other developing countries. On the other hand, it is the overall concept which will be decisive for whether Brazil's export drive will be successful; also the intention to stop curing symptoms and get to the root of the matter: i.e. to eliminate most of the real obstacles by decisive and logical action, to create reasonable conditions and thus promote exports effectively—at least more effectively than is done by so many artificial incentives. Brazil's most recent efforts—in spite of the many problems which remain unsolved—do seem to be setting an example.

NATIONALIST EMANCIPATION

The “Great Transformation” in the Argentine

By Federico Korell, Buenos Aires

On 28th June, 1966, a new revolution in the Argentine did away with President Illia's Government and replaced him by a new President of the Republic, Lieutenant-General Juan Carlos Ongania. This was not one of the traditional South American military coups, nor was it an ideologically-based upheaval. Its general background and the consensus of the Argentine population were determined by the widely accepted knowledge that it had become impossible to deal with a multitude of weaknesses of the previous regime, especially in the field of economics, through using the traditional instruments of political party rule. However, the country had to wait for about six months before the new Government took the first steps to fulfil its promises given in its revolutionary proclamations, to “modernise” the national system through using basic measures.

Among the foremost aims of the new Argentinian Government are the fight against inflation and the introduction of indispensable changes in the economic structure. It also intends to adopt an income policy of social justice, tending towards flattening out the enormous differences between individual incomes.

In addition, the Government wants to promote Argentinian exports by a suitable foreign exchange policy, meaning to abandon, at any rate, the previous habit of overvaluing the Peso. The authorities intend to avoid inflated short-term foreign indebtedness, and by guaranteeing to foreign investors equal rights with local capitalists, the Government hopes to set up efficient incentives for increased capital imports.

Taxation and Foreign Trade Policies

In January, 1967, the new Minister of Economic Affairs had taken the first practical step on behalf of his government department, by completing the draft budget for the current year, which had been drawn up, in outline form, by his predecessor. It contained estimates on expenditure of Pesos 559.5 billion, and income of P. 429.9 billion, leaving a deficit of P. 129.6 billion. Already during its first months in office, the Ongania Government had started a budget reforming drive, by abolishing so-called “political pricing” for public utility services. For example, electricity prices were raised on August 1, 1966, by between 31 and 32 %, and a second increase by between 8.6 and 8.8 % took place early in 1967. The railways marked up their main-line fares on December 24, 1966, and local passenger tickets on January 1, 1967. Freight charges followed suit on January 15. Postal charges for inland mail have been doubled on 13th March, 1967, whilst mailing fees to foreign countries still expect their upward revision.

The new Taxation Law for 1967 was promulgated on March 6, 1967. It increased the basic tax-free allowance on earned income, because price inflation had pushed up many manual workers and employees into higher taxation grades, and had burdened them with socially unjust tax payments. On the other hand, existing taxation rates were increased, and new types of taxes have been introduced. Stamp duty will be doubled, excise duties on consumption and the turnover tax are to be increased as well, and even electric household appliances, including vacuum cleaners, washing machines, etc., are to be treated as luxuries which carry a tax burden of 15 %, instead of 10 %, the previous rate.

It is a principle established by the new Government to tax those who have been able to hedge—at least partially—against the inflationary inroads on property and savings, which have been caused by the general economic decay: a non-recurring 1 % emergency capital levy has been imposed upon the taxable value of all real estate, and 2 % will be levied on credit balances created by advances and loans granted by banks and other institutional lenders.

One day after the new taxation law coming into force, the international currency exchange was closed “until further notice”, and only on Monday, March 13,
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Argentina's foreign trade, so that the country will be able to draw level with economic progress as achieved by the leading industrialised countries.

The Political Problem

Though there is hardly any justification for a massive rise of local prices, in view of the new export duty and the reduction of import duties, everybody in the Argentine seems to expect a new inflationary wave, for price increases are judged to be inevitable. The Government hopes to keep them within reasonable bounds, so that the wages freeze can be held. If the Government be forced to grant new wage increases, this would endanger the entire economic programme that has just got under way.

The "Great Transformation" of the Argentine, which is the aim of the Government's reforming zeal, has as its background the fundamental political problem of the country, with its three roots:

☐ the traditional middle-class parties of old-style liberalism have become ossified in their structure, their political principles, and their methods; they have outlived their usefulness;

☐ the broad lower stratum of Society, which gravitated from the northern rural areas to the cities, being attracted by industrialisation, has been emancipated and its political weight has grown;

☐ the "old" economic system of the Argentine's historical tradition, which was based on a completely free economy, open to all the influences of the wide world, when farming and cattle raising dominated the local scene, exporting unlimited quantities of farm produce and importing an equally unlimited volume of industrial products, all of them being handled, as were even public utilities, by big foreign concerns, now appears to be dead and gone.

The economic and political future of the Argentine, in line with continued nationalist emancipation efforts, will very probably exclude a revival of a completely free economy without planned direction, it will see a severely limited influence of foreign enterprise, and private enterprise will not be permitted to be the dominant factor, because all these factors would appear to the Argentine citizen as symbolic of foreign rule.

However, the Ongania Government does not proclaim an ideological struggle against "international monopolies", but the change in the local economy which this Government has initiated affords progressive Argentinian entrepreneurs and the skilled and docile masses of the Argentinian working classes a big chance to assist at a well-balanced reorganisation of the country's economy and at a gradual, but determined, political consolidation.

**MARITIME PROBLEMS**

Shipping Policies of Young Nations

By Dr Bruno Arnold, Bonn

Raw materials are distributed in different density from one country to another, and similar differences exist in the spread of other production resources. It is a truism that the climate is different in different longitudes and latitudes, and that men differ in their inborn gifts and faculties. All these differences are basic requirements for the development of international trade. However, the worldwide exchange of goods and services can take place only with the aid of an immense and highly elaborate ocean shipping network.

About seven tenths of the world's surface are covered by the sea. This huge share of the oceans and the wide spreading of the islands and the continents make abundantly clear the decisive importance of seaborne traffic.

Of all the goods and commodities moved by world trade, 70% travel by sea. Without ocean shipping, an expansion and intensification of world trade would simply not be possible; lacking the merchant fleets of the world's shipowners, mankind could not trade worldwide. Viewing our problem from this side, we might be surprised that the problems of international shipping, compared with the monetary side of world trade, the problems of balance of payments equilbrium, or those of tariff burdens hampering worldwide trade relations (GATT, and the Kennedy Round), have rarely figured on the agenda of international negotiations and conferences. This might lead us to the conclusion that the shipping system has been operating smoothly, and without a hitch. However, bitter complaints of newcomers to the maritime scene and of young nations against the prevailing system tell a different tale.

Differing Interests

What has happened in world shipping during the last twenty years or so has been determined by four sets of complex problems, listed as:

☐ Flag discriminations,
☐ the Shipping Conferences,
☐ State-owned shipping in authoritarian states and
☐ the World Trade Conferences.
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