

Huber, Peter

Working Paper

Do Commuters Suffer from Job-education Mismatch?

WIFO Working Papers, No. 391

Provided in Cooperation with:

Austrian Institute of Economic Research (WIFO), Vienna

Suggested Citation: Huber, Peter (2011) : Do Commuters Suffer from Job-education Mismatch?, WIFO Working Papers, No. 391, Austrian Institute of Economic Research (WIFO), Vienna

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/128930>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Do Commuters Suffer from Job-education Mismatch?

Peter Huber

Do Commuters Suffer from Job-education Mismatch?

Peter Huber

WIFO Working Papers, No. 391
March 2011

E-mail address: Peter.Huber@wifo.ac.at
2011/060/W/0

© 2011 Österreichisches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung
Medieninhaber (Verleger), Hersteller: Österreichisches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung •
1030 Wien, Arsenal, Objekt 20 • Tel. (43 1) 798 26 01-0 • Fax (43 1) 798 93 86 •
<http://www.wifo.ac.at/> • Verlags- und Herstellungsort: Wien
Die Working Papers geben nicht notwendigerweise die Meinung des WIFO wieder
Kostenloser Download: <http://www.wifo.ac.at/wwa/pubid/41443>

Do commuters suffer from job-education mismatch?

P. Huber^{a, b}

Cross-border commuters from EU15 countries have lower over- but higher under-education rates than non-commuters, for cross-border commuters from the NMS12 the opposite applies. Within-country commuters have lower over- but higher under-education rates than non-commuters in both regions.

Key Words: Job-education mismatch, Commuting

JEL Codes: J61, I21, R23

^a *Austrian Institute for Economic Research (WIFO), Arsenal, Objekt 20, 1030 Wien, e-mail: huber@wifo.ac.at*

^b I thank Janine Leschke, Klaus Nowotny and participants of the ETUI Workshop on Intra-EU migration trends for comments and the Austrian National Bank (Jubiläumfondsprojekt 13804) for financial support. The usual disclaimer applies.

I. Introduction

The migration literature (e.g. Chiswick and Miller, 2007, OECD, 2007) shows that cross-border skill transfer is associated with increased job-education mismatch. The probability of over-educated employment is lower among natives than foreign born, indicating problems in transferring formal education across borders, and the probability of under-educated employment is higher, which also suggests difficulties in transferring informally obtained skills (Sanroma *et. al*, 2009). At the same time the over-education literature (e.g. Büchel and Batu, 2003) argues that commuting may be a means to improve job-education matches. Commuters within a country should therefore experience lower over-education and higher under-education than non-commuters. This may, however, not apply to cross-border commuters. They could have higher job-education mismatch than non-commuters if problems associated with cross-border skill transfer dominate any job-education mismatch reducing effects of commuting. This contribution, to the best of my knowledge, is the first to directly examine whether the problems of job-education mismatch often found among migrants also apply to commuters and to compare cross-border commuters to within-country and non-commuters in this respect.

II. Data

My data are taken from the European Labour Force Survey (ELFS). They contain information on the NUTS2 region of work and residence as

well as demographic and workplace characteristics of persons in paid employment in 15 EU countries¹ (Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Netherlands, Poland, Slovakia, Sweden, Spain, Romania) for the year 2006. Cross-border commuters are persons who work in another country than they live in, and within-country commuters work in a different NUTS 2-region than they live in, but in the same country. They are compared to persons living and working in the same NUTS 2-region (i.e. non-commuters).

Job-education mismatch is measured by the method proposed by OECD (2007). This defines required education levels (according to the international standard classification of education - ISCED) for each occupation of the international standard classification of occupations (ISCO) at the 1 digit level (table 1). A person is considered over-educated if educational attainment is higher and under-educated if educational attainment is lower than required for the occupation. Highly educated workers therefore cannot be under-educated (as no occupation requires educational attainment higher than tertiary education) and less educated workers cannot be over-educated (since no occupation requires education lower than low education).

Table 2 reports the share of under- and over-educated cross-border, internal and non-commuters stratified by some of the major correlates of the probability of over- and under-educated employment found in the literature

(see: McGuinness, 2006 for an overview). These results are highly consistent with previous research: Irrespective of commuting status over-education is higher among females than males and decreases with age, but increases with education, while under-education is lower for females than males, increases with age and reduces with education.

Also internal commuters have job-education mismatch rates comparable to those of non-commuters. 30.9% of the internal and 31.2% of the non-commuters in the EU-countries sampled are under-educated. 10.3% of the non-commuters and 9.0% of the internal commuters are over-educated. Cross-border commuters, by contrast, almost always have higher over- and lower under-education rates than internal and non-commuters. In aggregate 13.5% of them are over-educated and 22.3% are under-educated.

III. Results

These results, however, may be due to composition effects and could also differ among groups of commuters. I therefore conduct multinomial logit analyses in which the dependent variable takes on a value of zero if a person is appropriately educated for their job, 1 if a person is over- and -1 if a person is under-educated - for all countries and separately for the NMS12 and the EU15 countries in my sample. The specification includes dummies for each (NUTS2) region of residence as well as controls for sector of employment (agriculture and mining - as base category, - manufacturing and construction as well as market and non-market services), dummy variables

for the age of respondents (aged 25-44, 45-59, 60 and more years, with 15-24 year olds as base category) and a dummy for males. Furthermore, because the ease of cross-border skill transfer also depends on language knowledge, dummies for cross-border commuting between countries that share a common language (France-Belgium and Austria-Germany) and for commuting between Slovakia and the Czech Republic are included. Since less educated workers cannot be over- and highly educated workers cannot be under-educated regressions are run separately for each education group.

The marginal effects of the estimates (table 3) confirm descriptive results: males have lower over- but higher under-education risks than females; over-education declines, while under-education increases with age (although there is some variation across skill groups), and there are more varied patterns of over- and under-education by employment sector. This may reflect different sectoral employment strategies with respect to education.

In addition in the regressions for all countries the under-education risk for low educated cross-border commuters is 3.1 percentage points higher than for non-commuters. For medium educated cross-border commuters this is 3.7 percentage points lower. Medium educated cross-border commuters also have a 5.8 percentage point higher over-education risk than non-commuters, while for highly educated cross-border commuters it is 1.6 percentage points higher.

There are, however, large differences between cross-border commuters from the EU15 and the NMS12 countries sampled: Cross-border commuters from the EU15 have lower over- and higher under-education risks than non-commuters for all education groups. For cross-border commuters from the NMS12, however, the opposite applies. They face (between 11.4 for medium to 12.8 percentage points for highly educated) higher over-education risks and (between 1.5 percentage points for less and 8.6 percentage points for medium educated) lower under-education risks than non-commuters.

Internal commuters, by contrast, have higher under- and lower over-education risks than non-commuters in both regions. The under-education risk of internal commuters from the EU15 countries sampled is between 3.8 (less educated) and 8.0 (medium educated) percentage points higher and the over-education risk is 2.6 (medium educated) to 6.7 (high educated) percentage points lower than among non-commuters. In the NMS12 countries sampled these differences amount to a between 6.0 (less educated) to 3.1 (medium educated) percentage points higher under-education risk and a 0.2 (medium educated) to 3.4 (highly educated) lower over-education risk.

IV. Conclusions

Internal commuters therefore have lower job-education mismatch than non-commuters. This is consistent with the hypothesis that spatial mobility improves job-education matches often voiced in the over-education

literature. For cross-border commuters, however, results vary across regions. Cross-border commuters from EU15 countries have lower over- but higher under-education rates than non-commuters. This suggests low problems of cross-border skill transfer. Cross-border commuters from the NMS12 have higher over- but lower under-education rates than non-commuters and internal commuters. This implies higher problems of cross-border skill transfer.

Literature

- Büchel, F. and Battu H. (2003) The Theory of Differential Overqualification: Does it Work?, *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, **50**, 1-16.
- Chiswick, B. R. and Miller, P. W. (2007) The International Transferability of Human Capital Skills, IZA Discussion Paper 2670, IZA, Bonn.
- McGuinness, S. (2006) Overeducation in the Labour Market, *Journal of Economic Surveys*, **20**, 387-418
- OECD (2007) *SOPEMI Report – International Migration Outlook 2007*, OECD, Paris
- Sanromá, E., Ramos, R. and Simón, H. (2009) Immigrant Wages in the Spanish Labour Market: Does the Origin of Human Capital Matter?, IZA Discussion Papers 4157, IZA, Bonn

¹ Although these data include all 27 EU countries, I exclude Cyprus, Denmark, Luxemburg, Malta, Denmark and the Baltic countries as they have only one NUTS2 region in the ELFS, so there are no internal commuters. For Greece, Portugal and Ireland data on either cross-border or internal commuting is missing due to differences in the questionnaires. For Slovenia and Italy high shares of non-respondents (exceeding 5%) and inconsistencies to other data sources suggest low data quality. Thus I also exclude them from the analysis.

Table 1: Required education levels (ISCED-97) for major occupation groups (ISCO-88)

ISCO-88 Major groups	Required education level
1: Legislators, senior officials and managers	ISCED 5,6
2: Professionals	ISCED 5,6
3: Technicians and associate professionals	ISCED 5,6
4: Clerks	ISCED 3,4
5: Service workers and shop and market sales workers	ISCED 3,4
6: Skilled agricultural and fishery workers	ISCED 3,4
7: Craft and related trades workers	ISCED 3,4
8: Plant and machine operators and assemblers	ISCED 3,4
9: Elementary occupations	ISCED 0,1,2
(0: Armed forces)	Not assigned

Source: OECD (2007)

Table 2: Over- and under-education rates by types of commuting and demographic characteristics (all countries, 2006, in %)

	Under-education			Over-education		
	Non-	Internal	Cross-	Non-	Internal	Cross-
	Commuters			Commuters		
Total	31.2	30.9	22.3	10.3	9.0	13.5
	Gender					
Female	30.2	30.2	25.5	11.0	10.0	18.3
Male	32.0	31.4	21.1	9.7	8.5	11.5
	Age					
15-24	35.3	34.1	17.9	11.0	11.1	16.0
24-45	26.7	27.7	19.8	11.4	9.6	15.9
45-60	34.9	34.6	29.0	8.6	7.4	7.7
60 or more	43.1	40.0	36.6	8.8	7.6	2.0
	Education					
ISCED 2 or less	77.3	82.6	83.0			
ISCED 3 or 4	27.3	37.3	18.1	8.8	6.3	12.4
ISCED 4 or more				21.8	16.5	23.8

Source: ELFS, own calculations.

Table 3: Regression results for probability of over-and under-educated employment (marginal effects)

	Low Educated		Medium Educated				High Educated	
	P(Under-Educated)		P(Under-Educated)		P(Over-Educated)		P(Over-Educated)	
	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE
Sending Region: All								
Internal Commuter ³⁾	0.039***	0.0003	0.075***	0.0002	-0.023***	0.0001	-0.062***	0.0002
Cross-border Commuter ³⁾	0.031***	0.0016	-0.037***	0.0009	0.058***	0.0007	0.016***	0.0012
Common Language	0.126***	0.0027	0.052***	0.0026	-0.051***	0.0007	-0.113***	0.0017
Slovak-Czech	0.031***	0.0062	-0.133***	0.0018	0.009***	0.0012	0.132***	0.0076
Male	0.060***	0.0002	0.018***	0.0001	-0.004***	0.0001	-0.052***	0.0001
Age 25-44 ²⁾	-0.021***	0.0002	0.120***	0.0002	-0.019***	0.0001	-0.160***	0.0003
Age 45-59 ²⁾	-0.006***	0.0002	0.171***	0.0002	-0.013***	0.0001	-0.162***	0.0002
Age 60 or more ²⁾	0.013***	0.0003	0.208***	0.0004	0.010***	0.0002	-0.129***	0.0002
Manufacturing/Construction ¹⁾	-0.020***	0.0003	0.131***	0.0003	-0.026***	0.0001	-0.112***	0.0002
Market Services ¹⁾	-0.074***	0.0003	0.252***	0.0003	-0.014***	0.0001	-0.149***	0.0002
Non-Market Services ¹⁾	-0.200***	0.0004	0.352***	0.0003	0.002***	0.0001	-0.302***	0.0003
Sending Region: EU15								
Internal Commuter ³⁾	0.038***	0.0003	0.080***	0.0002	-0.026***	0.0001	-0.067***	0.0002
Cross-border Commuter ³⁾	0.057***	0.0018	0.053***	0.0015	-0.017***	0.0008	-0.008***	0.0013
Common Language	0.112***	0.0032	-0.037***	0.0024	-0.012***	0.0016	-0.113***	0.0021
Male	0.072***	0.0002	0.051***	0.0001	0.004***	0.0001	-0.060***	0.0002
Age 25-44 ²⁾	-0.021***	0.0002	0.136***	0.0002	-0.021***	0.0001	-0.165***	0.0003
Age 45-59 ²⁾	-0.006***	0.0002	0.187***	0.0003	-0.017***	0.0001	-0.169***	0.0002
Age 60 or more ²⁾	-0.018***	0.0004	0.212***	0.0004	0.007***	0.0002	-0.139***	0.0002
Manufacturing/Construction ¹⁾	0.024***	0.0003	0.107***	0.0004	-0.033***	0.0001	-0.123***	0.0002
Market Services ¹⁾	-0.027***	0.0003	0.249***	0.0003	-0.014***	0.0001	-0.172***	0.0003
Non-Market Services ¹⁾	-0.129***	0.0003	0.310***	0.0004	-0.008***	0.0002	-0.326***	0.0004
Sending Region: NMS12								
Internal Commuter ³⁾	0.060***	0.0012	0.031***	0.0006	-0.002***	0.0004	-0.034***	0.0005
Cross-border Commuter ³⁾	-0.015***	0.0035	-0.086***	0.0008	0.114***	0.0012	0.128***	0.0032
Slovak-Czech	0.071***	0.0051	-0.032***	0.0023	-0.021***	0.0008	-0.016***	0.0029
Male	0.009***	0.0004	-0.062***	0.0002	-0.016***	0.0001	-0.012***	0.0002
Age 25-44 ²⁾	-0.019***	0.0007	0.072***	0.0003	-0.014***	0.0002	-0.131***	0.0006
Age 45-59 ²⁾	-0.005***	0.0006	0.112***	0.0004	-0.074***	0.0002	-0.123***	0.0003
Age 60 or more ²⁾	0.103***	0.0006	0.194***	0.0009	0.017***	0.0004	-0.077***	0.0002
Manufacturing/Construction ¹⁾	-0.099***	0.0007	0.139***	0.0004	-0.011***	0.0002	-0.070***	0.0002
Market Services ¹⁾	-0.156***	0.0008	0.200***	0.0003	-0.023***	0.0001	-0.054***	0.0003
Non-Market Services ¹⁾	-0.467***	0.0009	0.448***	0.0004	0.031***	0.0002	-0.193***	0.0004

Source: ELFS

Notes: Table reports marginal effects of multinomial logit regressions on the probability of over- and under-educated employment. Results for base category (appropriate employment) and for sending (NUTS2) region fixed effects are not reported.

1) base category=Agriculture and mining.

2) base category=aged 15-24,

3) base category=non-commuters.

*** significant at the 1% level.

SE=Standard Error.

Appendix A:

Table A1: Regression results for probability of over-and under-educated employment (coefficients)

	Low Educated			Medium Educated				High Educated				
	P(Under-Educated)			P(Under-Educated)		P(Over-Educated)		P(Over-Educated)				
	Coefficient	SE		Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE			
	Sending Region: All											
Internal Commuter ³⁾	0.253	***	0.002	0.338	***	0.001	-0.225	***	0.002	-0.467	***	0.001
Cross-border Commuter ³⁾	0.201	***	0.011	-0.118	***	0.005	0.559	***	0.006	0.106	***	0.008
Common Language	1.069	***	0.035	0.183	***	0.012	-0.918	***	0.021	-1.092	***	0.026
Slovak-Czech	0.197	***	0.042	-0.885	***	0.016	-0.067	***	0.014	0.719	***	0.035
Male	0.358	***	0.001	0.090	***	0.001	-0.027	***	0.001	-0.345	***	0.001
Age 25-44 ²⁾	-0.128	***	0.001	0.619	***	0.001	-0.074	***	0.001	-1.003	***	0.002
Age 45-59 ²⁾	-0.037	***	0.001	0.844	***	0.001	0.086	***	0.001	-1.255	***	0.002
Age 60 or more ²⁾	0.080	***	0.002	0.999	***	0.002	0.517	***	0.002	-1.250	***	0.002
Manufacturing/Construction ¹⁾	-0.119	***	0.002	0.616	***	0.001	-0.172	***	0.002	-0.909	***	0.002
Market Services ¹⁾	-0.432	***	0.002	1.268	***	0.001	0.216	***	0.001	-1.109	***	0.002
Non-Market Services ¹⁾	-1.042	***	0.002	1.709	***	0.001	0.682	***	0.001	-2.077	***	0.002
Share correctly classified	0.780			0.647				0.794				
Log-Likelihood	-18461155			-69527091				-21408693				
Nobs	36591196			86194303				45985034				
	Sending Region: EU15											
Internal Commuter ³⁾	0.245	***	0.002	0.332	***	0.001	-0.266	***	0.002	-0.464	***	0.001
Cross-border Commuter ³⁾	0.384	***	0.014	0.226	***	0.007	-0.159	***	0.012	-0.048	***	0.008
Common Language	0.897	***	0.036	-0.212	***	0.013	-0.228	***	0.024	-0.944	***	0.026
Male	0.426	***	0.001	0.256	***	0.001	0.083	***	0.001	-0.371	***	0.001
Age 25-44 ²⁾	-0.128	***	0.001	0.649	***	0.001	-0.059	***	0.001	-0.968	***	0.002
Age 45-59 ²⁾	-0.033	***	0.001	0.867	***	0.001	0.086	***	0.001	-1.198	***	0.002
Age 60 or more ²⁾	-0.105	***	0.002	0.982	***	0.002	0.512	***	0.002	-1.217	***	0.003
Manufacturing/Construction ¹⁾	0.145	***	0.002	0.453	***	0.002	-0.312	***	0.002	-0.916	***	0.002
Market Services ¹⁾	-0.162	***	0.002	1.194	***	0.002	0.235	***	0.002	-1.185	***	0.002
Non-Market Services ¹⁾	-0.704	***	0.002	1.445	***	0.002	0.475	***	0.002	-2.101	***	0.002
Share correctly classified	0.768			0.611				0.794				
Log-Likelihood	-15959980			-51203852				-18976795				
Nobs	31497241			60727781				38832295				
	Sending Region: NMS12											
Internal Commuter ³⁾	0.427	***	0.010	0.203	***	0.004	-0.074	***	0.006	-0.472	***	0.009
Cross-border Commuter ³⁾	-0.089	***	0.020	-0.599	***	0.009	0.948	***	0.008	1.004	***	0.018
Slovak-Czech	0.521	***	0.045	-0.260	***	0.018	-0.386	***	0.015	-0.204	***	0.040
Male	0.056	***	0.002	-0.444	***	0.001	-0.313	***	0.002	-0.136	***	0.003
Age 25-44 ²⁾	-0.115	***	0.004	0.484	***	0.002	-0.103	***	0.003	-1.304	***	0.005
Age 45-59 ²⁾	-0.029	***	0.004	0.708	***	0.002	0.083	***	0.003	-1.754	***	0.005
Age 60 or more ²⁾	0.749	***	0.005	1.072	***	0.004	0.536	***	0.005	-1.495	***	0.007
Manufacturing/Construction ¹⁾	-0.558	***	0.004	0.844	***	0.002	0.027	***	0.002	-1.069	***	0.005
Market Services ¹⁾	-0.829	***	0.004	1.188	***	0.002	-0.055	***	0.002	-0.687	***	0.004
Non-Market Services ¹⁾	-2.154	***	0.004	2.398	***	0.002	1.280	***	0.002	-2.138	***	0.004
Share correctly classified	0.782			0.743				0.875				
Log-Likelihood	-2397166			-17815005				-2393532.8				
Nobs	5093955			25466522				7152739				

Source: EU-LFS

Notes: Table reports coefficients of multinomial logit regressions on the probability of over- and under-educated employment. Results for base category (appropriate employment) and for sending (NUTS2) region fixed effects are not reported.

1) base category=Agriculture and mining.

2) base category=aged 15-24.

3) base category=non-commuters.

*** significant at the 1% level.

SE=Standard Error,

Nobs=Number of Observations.