

Vasilev, Aleksandar

Research Report

Solving Hayashi and Prescott (2007) "The 1990s: Japan's Lost Decade"(extended with an exogenous population growth and labour-augmenting technical progress) using a Linear-Quadratic Approximation as in Ljungqvist and Sargent (2004)

Suggested Citation: Vasilev, Aleksandar (2009) : Solving Hayashi and Prescott (2007) "The 1990s: Japan's Lost Decade"(extended with an exogenous population growth and labour-augmenting technical progress) using a Linear-Quadratic Approximation as in Ljungqvist and Sargent (2004), ZBW - Deutsche Zentralbibliothek für Wirtschaftswissenschaften, Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft, Kiel und Hamburg

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/126142>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Solving Hayashi and Prescott (2007) "The 1990s:
Japan's Lost Decade" (extended with an
exogenous population growth and
labour-augmenting technical progress) using a
Linear-Quadratic Approximation as in Ljungqvist
and Sargent (2004)

Aleksandar Vasilev

January 25, 2016

1 Linear Quadratic Approximation as in Ljungqvist and Sargent (2004), pp. 129-31

This note describes an important use of the optimal linear regulator: to approximate the solution of more complicated dynamic programs. Optimal linear regulator problems are often used to approximate problems of the following form: maximize over $\{u_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t r(z_t) \\ x_{t+1} = Ax_t + Bu_t + Cw_{t+1} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\{u_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is a vector i.i.d. random disturbances with mean zero and finite variance, and $r(z_t)$ is a concave and twice continuously differentiable function of $z_t = \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ u_t \end{pmatrix}$. All non-linearities in the original problem are absorbed into the composite function $r(z_t)$.

2 Hayashi-Prescott's Model

The problem is to choose $\{c_t, h_t, e_t, k_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ to maximize

$$\begin{aligned}
E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \{ \ln(c_t) - g(h_t)e_t \} \\
c_t + i_t &= (1 - \theta\tau_k) A_t k_t^\theta (h_t e_t)^{1-\theta} \\
\gamma\eta k_{t+1} &= i_t + (1 - \delta)k_t \\
\ln A_{t+1} &= \rho \ln A_t + w_{t+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\{w_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is an i.i.d. stochastic process with mean zero and finite variance, A_t is a technology shock, and $\tilde{A}_t \equiv \ln A_t$. To get this problem into the form above,

$$\text{take } x_t = \begin{pmatrix} k_t \\ \tilde{A}_t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$u_t = \begin{pmatrix} i_t \\ h_t \\ e_t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$r(z_t) = \ln((1 - \theta\tau_k) \exp(\tilde{A}_t) k_t^\theta (h_t e_t)^{1-\theta} - i_t) - g(h_t)e_t$$

and we write the laws of motion as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ k_{t+1} \\ \tilde{A}_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \delta)/\eta\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ k_t \\ \tilde{A}_t \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/\gamma\eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i_t \\ h_t \\ e_t \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} w_{t+1}$$

where it is convenient to add the constant 1 as the first component of the state vector.

Therefore the matrices we need as input in **olrp.m** are

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \delta)/\eta\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/\gamma\eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

3 Kydland and Prescott's method

We want to replace $r(z_t)$ by a quadratic $z_t' M z_t$.

In our case

$$r(z_t) = \ln((1 - \theta\tau_k) \exp(\tilde{A}_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t - \gamma\eta k_{t+1}) - g(h_t)e_t \quad (3)$$

We choose a point $\bar{z}$ and approximate with the first two terms of a Taylor series:

$$\hat{r}(z) = r(\bar{z}) + (z - \bar{z})' \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2} (z - \bar{z})' \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial z \partial z'} (z - \bar{z}) \quad (4)$$

If the state x_t is $n \times 1$ and the control u_t is $k \times 1$, then the vector z_t is $(n + k) \times 1$. Let e be the $(n + k) \times 1$ vector with 0's everywhere except for a 1 in the row corresponding to the location of the constant utility in the state vector, so that $1 \equiv e' z_t \forall t$.

Repeatedly using $z'e = e'z = 1$, we can express the equation above as

$$\hat{r}(z) = z' M z \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M = & e[r(\bar{z}) - (\frac{\partial r}{\partial z})' \bar{z} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{z}' \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial z \partial z'} \bar{z}] e' \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\partial r}{\partial z} e' - e \bar{z}' \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial z \partial z'} - \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial z \partial z'} \bar{z} e' + e \frac{\partial r'}{\partial z}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial z \partial z'}) \end{aligned}$$

where the partial derivatives are evaluated at $\bar{z}$.

In Hayashi-Prescott model,

$$\bar{r} = r(\bar{z}) = \ln((1 - \theta\tau_k) \exp(\tilde{A}) \bar{k}^\theta (\bar{h}\bar{e})^{1-\theta} - (\gamma\eta - 1 + \delta)\bar{k}) - g(\bar{h})\bar{e}$$

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial z} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{r}_A \\ \bar{r}_k \\ \bar{r}_i \\ \bar{r}_h \\ \bar{r}_e \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial z \partial z'} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{r}_{AA} & \bar{r}_{Ak} & \bar{r}_{Ai} & \bar{r}_{Ah} & \bar{r}_{Ae} \\ \bar{r}_{kA} & \bar{r}_{kk} & \bar{r}_{ki} & \bar{r}_{kh} & \bar{r}_{ke} \\ \bar{r}_{iA} & \bar{r}_{ik} & \bar{r}_{ii} & \bar{r}_{ih} & \bar{r}_{ie} \\ \bar{r}_{hA} & \bar{r}_{hk} & \bar{r}_{hi} & \bar{r}_{hh} & \bar{r}_{he} \\ \bar{r}_{eA} & \bar{r}_{ek} & \bar{r}_{ei} & \bar{r}_{eh} & \bar{r}_{ee} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Both objects are to be evaluated at $z = \bar{z}$.

4 Filling the elements

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}_A &= ((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y})/((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i}) \\ \bar{r}_k &= ((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\alpha\bar{y}/\bar{k})/((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i}) \\ \bar{r}_i &= (-1)/((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i}) \\ \bar{r}_h &= (((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y}/\bar{h})/((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i}) - \alpha\bar{e} \\ \bar{r}_e &= (((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y}/\bar{e})/((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i}) - \alpha\bar{h} \\ \bar{r}_{AA} &= -(((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y})/(((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i}))^2 \\ \bar{r}_{kk} &= -(((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\theta\bar{y}/\bar{k})/(((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i}))^2 + ((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\alpha(\alpha - 1)(\bar{y}/\bar{k}^2))/((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i}) \\ \bar{r}_{ii} &= (-1)/(((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i})^2) \\ \bar{r}_{ee} &= -(1/(\bar{e}^2))((1 - \theta\tau_k)^2)((1 - \theta)^2)((\bar{y}/\bar{e})^2) + (1/\bar{e}) * (1 - (\theta\tau_k))(1 - \theta)(-\theta)(\bar{y}/\bar{e}^2) \\ \bar{r}_{hh} &= -(1/\bar{e})((1 - (\theta\tau_k))(1 - \theta)(\bar{y}/\bar{h})^2) + (1/\bar{e})(1 - (\theta\tau_k))(1 - \theta)(\bar{y}/\bar{h}) \\ \bar{r}_{he} &= -(1/\bar{e})((1 - (\theta\tau_k))(1 - \theta)(\bar{y}/\bar{h}\bar{e})^2) + (1/\bar{e})(1 - (\theta\tau_k))((1 - \theta)^2)(\bar{y}/\bar{h}\bar{e}) \\ \bar{r}_{Ak} &= ((\theta(\bar{y}/\bar{k})\bar{e}) - (\bar{y}\theta(\bar{y}/\bar{k}))/(\bar{e})^2) \\ \bar{r}_{Ai} &= ((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y})/(((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i})^2) \\ \bar{r}_{Ah} &= (1 - (\tau_k\theta))(\bar{y}/(\bar{e}\bar{h})) - (1/(\bar{e}^2))((1 - (\theta\tau_k))(1 - \theta)(\bar{y}^2)/\bar{h}) \\ \bar{r}_{Ae} &= (1 - (\tau_k\theta))(\bar{y}/(\bar{e}\bar{e})) - (1/(\bar{e}^2))((1 - (\theta\tau_k))(1 - \theta)(\bar{y}^2)/\bar{e}) \\ \bar{r}_{ki} &= ((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\theta\bar{y}/\bar{k})/(((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i})^2) \\ \bar{r}_{kh} &= -(1/(\bar{e}^2))((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\theta\bar{y}/\bar{k})(1 - (\theta\tau_k))(\theta - 1)(\bar{y}/\bar{h}) + (1/\bar{e})((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\theta(\theta - 1)(\bar{y}/(\bar{k}\bar{h}))) \\ \bar{r}_{ke} &= -(1/(\bar{e}^2))((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\theta\bar{y}/\bar{k})(1 - (\theta\tau_k))(\theta - 1)(\bar{y}/\bar{e}) + (1/\bar{e})((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\theta(\theta - 1)(\bar{y}/(\bar{k}\bar{e}))) \\ \bar{r}_{ih} &= (((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y}/\bar{h})/(((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i})^2) \\ \bar{r}_{ie} &= (((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y}/\bar{e})/(((1 - (\theta\tau_k))\bar{y} - \bar{i})^2) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

5 Partitioning M matrix

Partition M , so that

$$z'Mz = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ u \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ u \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} R & W \\ W' & Q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ u \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

We need the partitioned matrices R , W , Q as an input in the **olrp.m**, and we are done.

6 Determination of $\bar{z}$

Usually, the point $\bar{z}$ is chosen as the (optimal) stationary state of the *non-stochastic* version of the original nonlinear model:

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t r(z_t) \\ x_{t+1} = Ax_t + Bu_t \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The stationary point is obtained in these steps:

1. Find the Euler equations.
2. Substitute

$$z_{t+1} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{t+1} \\ u_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} = z_t = \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} \equiv \bar{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

into the Euler equations and transition laws, and solve the resulting system of non-linear equations for $\bar{z}$. This purpose can be accomplished, by using the non-linear equation solver **fsolve.m** in MATLAB. We can also solve for the deterministic steady-state analytically.

6.1 Algorithm: How to solve for the deterministic steady-state ($A = 1$)

We use the steady-state condition that equates after-tax gross real return on capital and gross discount rate $\frac{1}{\beta} = 1 - \delta + (1 - \tau_k)\theta A(\frac{k}{he})^{\theta-1}$, which gives us $\frac{k}{he}$. Next, impose $he = 1/3$ as done in the literature. This gives us k . Now we have enough information to calculate steady-state consumption $c = y - \delta k$. Next, $i = (\eta - 1 + \delta)k$, thus we know i . Finally, from marginal rate of substitution between consumption and leisure in the steady-state we obtain α : $\alpha(1 + 40(h - 40))c = w = \theta \frac{y}{e}$.