

Gaudin, Germain

Working Paper

Pass-through, vertical contracts, and bargains

DICE Discussion Paper, No. 202

Provided in Cooperation with:

Düsseldorf Institute for Competition Economics (DICE), Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf

Suggested Citation: Gaudin, Germain (2015) : Pass-through, vertical contracts, and bargains, DICE Discussion Paper, No. 202, ISBN 978-3-86304-201-1, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf Institute for Competition Economics (DICE), Düsseldorf

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/122185>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

DISCUSSION PAPER

No 202

Pass-Through, Vertical Contracts, and Bargains

Germain Gaudin

November 2015

IMPRINT

DICE DISCUSSION PAPER

Published by

düsseldorf university press (dup) on behalf of
Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Faculty of Economics,
Düsseldorf Institute for Competition Economics (DICE), Universitätsstraße 1,
40225 Düsseldorf, Germany
www.dice.hhu.de

Editor:

Prof. Dr. Hans-Theo Normann
Düsseldorf Institute for Competition Economics (DICE)
Phone: +49(0) 211-81-15125, e-mail: normann@dice.hhu.de

DICE DISCUSSION PAPER

All rights reserved. Düsseldorf, Germany, 2015

ISSN 2190-9938 (online) – ISBN 978-3-86304-201-1

The working papers published in the Series constitute work in progress circulated to stimulate discussion and critical comments. Views expressed represent exclusively the authors' own opinions and do not necessarily reflect those of the editor.

Pass-Through, Vertical Contracts, and Bargains

Germain Gaudin*

November 2015

Abstract

This paper analyzes the determinants of pass-through that are specific to vertical relationships between wholesalers and retailers. Fluctuations in input costs (due to, e.g., exchange rate shocks) are transmitted first to the wholesale price, and then to the retail price. The type of vertical agreement firms contract upon as well as their relative bargaining power are identified as major determinants of pass-through rates. The relationship between pass-through rates at the wholesale and retail levels is also investigated. Finally, the result of Bresnahan and Reiss (1985) on markup ratio is extended to the case where firms bargain over the wholesale price.

Keywords: Pass-through; Exchange Rate; Vertical contracting; Bargaining.

JEL Codes: F31; L11; L81.

*Düsseldorf Institute for Competition Economics, Heinrich Heine University, Universitätsstr. 1, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany. Email: germain.gaudin@dice.hhu.de.

1 Introduction

A major issue in international economics is to understand the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on prices of traded goods (Engel (2002)). Exchange rate shocks are typically transmitted to prices on less than proportional increases (“incomplete pass-through”), and with a time delay.¹

When tackling this issue in the standard model where wholesalers import goods and then contract with retailers in their local market, it is important to understand the impact of firms’ vertical relations on pass-through and to identify at which level (wholesale, retail, or both) incomplete pass-through takes place. Although recent empirical findings on the relationship between pass-through at the wholesale and retail levels have been put forward by Nakamura and Zerom (2010) and Goldberg and Hellerstein (2013), for instance, there is a lack of theory work addressing this question.

In this paper, we aim at filling this gap by investigating the determinants of pass-through that are specific to vertical relationships. We highlight the relation between the type of agreement firms contract upon, their relative bargaining power, and pass-through rates. In addition, we explore the link between pass-through rates at various stages of the supply chain and the role of the slope of demand curvature. We also extend a classical result from Bresnahan and Reiss (1985) on the relationship between retail and wholesale markups to the case where firms bargain over the wholesale price.

In the literature, particular attention has been given to the role of *horizontal* market structures and functional forms of demand and supply in affecting the pass-through rate of costs to prices. This line of work was pioneered by Bulow and Pfleiderer (1983) in the case of a monopolist facing linear costs, and recently generalized by Weyl and Fabinger (2013) to various market structures and demand and cost forms. These papers have emphasized, in particular, that pass-through depends on demand curvature.

Theory work on the role of *vertical* determinants of pass-through is scarce. Bresnahan and Reiss (1985) show that when a manufacturer sets linear prices the ratio of the retailer’s markup to that of the manufacturer is equal to the retail pass-through rate, that is, the rate at which wholesale prices affect retail prices. Weyl

¹See the recent empirical evidence from, e.g., Gopinath et al. (2011).

and Fabinger (2013) extend this result to a chain of imperfectly competitive markets as an application of their main findings to vertically-related markets. Adachi and Ebina (2014a) show that the total chain pass-through rate is greater than the wholesale one if and only if demand is log-concave.² In these analyses, however, the authors do not investigate the impact of contract type or bargaining power on pass-through or how the retail pass-through rate compares to the wholesale one.

Theory seems thus lagging as the empirical literature used the relation between cost shocks and prices to infer vertical structure and contractual agreements (Villas-Boas (2007)), firms' relative bargaining power (Draganska, Klapper and Villas-Boas (2010)) or the use of non-linear pricing contracts and vertical restraints (Bonnet et al. (2013)).

The remainder of the paper is as follows. The basic model with linear pricing and bargaining is presented in Section 2 and solved in Section 3, provided with an extensive analysis of the results. Different contractual agreements are investigated in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 concludes.

2 Model and pass-through rates

2.1 The model

A manufacturer (or wholesaler), M , produces an input at a constant marginal cost, c , and sells it to a retailer, R , at a linear wholesale price, w . The retailer then sells at a linear price p to consumers.

Firms bargain over the linear wholesale price. We follow the classic setting of Horn and Wolinsky (1988) by considering the Nash-bargaining solution of this problem. The manufacturer has an exogenous bargaining power $\theta \in [0, 1]$, and the retailer has the remaining bargaining power $1 - \theta$. Firms can only bargain over the input price, and retail pricing is not contractible at this stage of the game.³ The canonical Stackelberg-manufacturer setting proposed by Spengler (1950) thus corresponds to the case where $\theta = 1$. Finally, firms face no outside option to sell or

²In follow-up work, Adachi and Ebina (2014b) derive related results in the case of two-tier Cournot oligopoly markets.

³This implies (some) double marginalization under linear input pricing. However, the other contracts considered in Section 4 allow for supply chain coordination and industry-profit maximization.

buy the input, therefore both have a disagreement payoff of zero.⁴

Retail demand at price p is given by $q(p)$. We assume that demand is well defined at any price, is three times differentiable and decreasing in price everywhere over the relevant range where it is positive, i.e., $q'(p) < 0$.

Below, we will refer to the curvature of demand: $E(p) \equiv q(p)q''(p) / [q'(p)]^2$. Formally, the demand curvature is the elasticity of the slope of inverse demand. It takes well-identified values for common demand forms. For instance, $E = 0$ when demand is linear, $E = 1$ when it is of the negative exponential form, and $E = 1 + 1/\varepsilon$ when it displays a constant elasticity ε . In addition, a negative curvature is equivalent to the demand form being concave, and a curvature lower than unity to the demand being log-concave.

2.2 Pass-through rates

Our focus is on the determinants of three pass-through rates. The first one is the retail pass-through rate, dp/dw , which corresponds to the variation in retail price following a change in the wholesale price. The two other rates are the wholesale pass-through rate, dw/dc , and the total pass-through rate, dp/dc , which represent the impacts of a cost shock for the manufacturer on the wholesale and retail prices, respectively. In order to understand whether incomplete pass-through occurs at the wholesale or at the retail level, it is important to be able to compare the retail pass-through rate to the wholesale one.

3 Analysis

The game is solved by backward induction.

3.1 Retail pricing

In the last stage of the game, the retailer takes the wholesale price, w , as given, and sets the retail price, p . Its profit is thus given by $\pi_R = (p - w)q(p)$. The retailer's profit maximization problem gives the following first-order condition (omitting

⁴Because our aim is to demonstrate that pass-through may depend on firms' relative bargaining power, it is out of the scope of this paper to consider nonzero disagreement payoffs.

arguments):

$$q + (p^* - w)q' = 0 . \quad (1)$$

The corresponding second-order condition is equivalent to:

$$2 - E > 0 , \quad (2)$$

and is assumed to be satisfied everywhere over the relevant interval. Solving for the equilibrium price leads to:

$$p^* = w - \frac{q}{q'} . \quad (3)$$

3.2 Wholesale pricing

When firms engage in Nash bargaining, the first-stage equilibrium is determined by solving the following maximization problem:

$$\operatorname{argmax}_w \left\{ \pi_M^\theta * \pi_R^{1-\theta} \right\} , \quad (4)$$

where $\pi_M = (w - c)q$ is the manufacturer's profit and $\pi_R = -q^2/q'$ from equation (3). The first-order condition is equivalent to:

$$\theta \left(-\frac{q^2}{q'} \right) \left[q + (w^* - c)q' \frac{dp^*}{dw} \right] + (1 - \theta) [(w^* - c)q] \frac{dp^*}{dw} (-q) (2 - E) = 0 , \quad (5)$$

with the retail pass-through rate $dp^*/dw = 1/(2 - E)$ obtained from equation (3).

The second-order condition of the wholesale maximization problem is equivalent to:

$$(2 - E)^2 [1 + (1 - E)(1 - \theta)] - \theta^2 \frac{q}{q'} E' > 0 , \quad (6)$$

with $E' \equiv \partial E / \partial p$, and is assumed to be satisfied everywhere over the relevant interval. Solving equation (5) for w gives the equilibrium wholesale price:

$$w^* = c - \frac{q}{q'} \frac{\theta(2 - E)}{[1 + (1 - E)(1 - \theta)]} . \quad (7)$$

3.3 Pass-through

Implicitly differentiating these equilibrium results, we obtain the retail and wholesale pass-through rates.

Lemma 1. *Retail and wholesale pass-through rates are given by*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dp}{dw} = \frac{1}{2-E} \quad , \\ \frac{dw}{dc} = \frac{(2-E)[1+(1-E)(1-\theta)]^2}{(2-E)^2[1+(1-E)(1-\theta)] - \theta^2 \frac{q}{q'} E'} \quad . \end{array} \right.$$

Finally, the equilibrium retail price can be expressed as a function of c by combining equations (3) and (7) and the total pass-through rate can be obtained through the chain rule: $dp/dc = dw/dc * dp/dw$.

The comparison between both pass-through rates thus depends on three factors: demand curvature, its derivative, and firms' bargaining power. In the canonical case where $\theta = 1$, however, only the sign of the derivative of demand curvature matters:

Corollary 1. *When $\theta = 1$, the wholesale pass-through rate is strictly larger (respectively, smaller) than the retail one if and only if demand curvature locally decreases (resp., increases) in price at the equilibrium. In addition, both pass-through rates are equal when demand curvature is constant.*

This result shows that models with Stackelberg-manufacturers and constant demand curvature imply $dp/dw = dw/dc = \sqrt{dp/dc}$. Besides, the empirical literature typically observed that the problem of incomplete pass-through is more severe at the wholesale level than at the retail one (see, e.g., Goldberg and Hellerstein (2013)). This is the case, for instance, when a log-concave demand (i.e., $E < 1$) is drawn from a normal distribution (for which $E' > 0$, as shown by Fabinger and Weyl (2015a,b)) as this implies that $1 > dp/dw > dw/dc$ from Lemma 1 and Corollary 1.

In the general case, the bargaining power impacts the wholesale pass-through rate directly, and both wholesale and retail pass-through rates indirectly, through the equilibrium price and $E(p)$. When demand curvature is constant, however, this indirect channel is shut down and the direct effect at the wholesale level is given

by:

$$\frac{\partial (dw/dc)}{\partial \theta} = - \left(\frac{1-E}{2-E} \right). \quad (8)$$

In this case, the impact of bargaining power on pass-through can be summarized as follows:

Proposition 1. *When demand curvature is constant, an increase in the manufacturer's bargaining power (i) has no impact on the retail pass-through rate, and (ii) decreases (respectively, increases) the wholesale pass-through rate when demand is log-concave (resp., log-convex).*

3.4 Markup Comparison

Comparing the markups at the retail and wholesale levels from equations (3) and (7), respectively, shows the crucial role played by the retail pass-through.

Proposition 2. *Demand curvature and bargaining power determine the ratio of retail to wholesale markups $\forall \theta > 0$:*

$$\frac{p-w}{w-c} = [1 + (1-E)(1-\theta)] \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{dp}{dw}.$$

This shows that the ratio of both markups only depends on demand curvature and the relative bargaining power between firms. When $\theta = 1$, this ratio equals the retail pass-through rate, as demonstrated by Bresnahan and Reiss (1985). Proposition 2 shows that measures of wholesale and retail markups together with estimates of pass-through are sufficient to infer firms' bargaining power. Finally, we can replace dp/dw by the ratio $(dp/dc) / (dw/dc)$ in Proposition 2, following the chain rule, as shown by Adachi and Ebina (2014a) when $\theta = 1$.

4 Other contractual agreements

We now allow firms to contract according to agreements other than linear pricing. Of particular interest are agreements which coordinate the supply chain, i.e., which allow firms to maximize industry profits and avoid distortions due to double-marginalization. The relative bargaining power between firms then determines how they split joint profits.

More specifically, we focus on two types of agreements: two-part tariffs and revenue-sharing agreements. When these are used to maximize industry profits, the equilibrium retail price corresponds to the market monopoly price. Therefore, the total pass-through rate, dp/dc , always equals $1/(2 - E)$ in equilibrium. This corresponds to the total pass-through rate of an integrated monopolist. Nevertheless, as demonstrated below, wholesale and retail pass-through rates vary according to different contracts.

4.1 Two-part tariffs

When firms use two-part tariffs, the manufacturer first sets a wholesale price, w , which the retailer has to incur for every unit bought. In addition, firms agree on a fixed-fee the retailer transfers to the manufacturer, F .

It is well-known that this contractual agreement, which is widely used in manufacturer-retailer relationships (see, e.g., Villas-Boas (2007)), coordinates the supply-chain when the variable wholesale price equals the wholesale marginal cost. Firms share the (maximized) industry profits through the fixed fee according to their relative bargaining power, denoted $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ for the manufacturer.

In the joint-profit maximizing equilibrium, the input is sold at marginal cost and the retail price equals the monopoly price: $p = w - q/q' = c - q/q'$. Pass-through rates are therefore as follows.

Lemma 2. *Under two-part tariffs, retail and wholesale pass-through rates are given by*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dp}{dw} = \frac{1}{2 - E} , \\ \frac{dw}{dc} = 1 . \end{cases}$$

The relative bargaining power of firms plays no role in how changes in upstream costs or input prices are transmitted to final consumers, as expressed below.

Proposition 3. *Under two-part tariffs, the retail and wholesale pass-through rates are independent of the bargaining power.*

Finally, this system of rates equals the one under linear wholesale pricing when the retailer has all bargaining power, i.e., $\theta = 0$. Therefore, as emphasized by Villas-

Boas (2007), one cannot distinguish between both types of contract by estimating pass-through rates when the bargaining power could entirely lie with the retailer.

4.2 Revenue-sharing agreements

When firms use revenue-sharing agreements, the retailer buys input at a linear price, w , and transfers a share $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ of its total revenue to the manufacturer. This contract also helps firms to coordinate on maximizing industry profits by setting $w = (1 - \alpha)c$ (see Cachon and Larivière (2005)). Firms can then share these profits through the revenue-share α which corresponds to the manufacturer's bargaining power. This type of contract is for instance widely used in the video-rental industry.⁵

In the joint-profit maximizing equilibrium, the wholesale price is thus $w = (1 - \alpha)c$, while the retailer sets $p = w / (1 - \alpha) - q/q' = c - q/q'$ in the second stage. Wholesale and retail pass-through rates are thus as follows:

Lemma 3. *Under revenue-sharing, retail and wholesale pass-through rates are given by*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dp}{dw} = \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha)(2 - E)} \quad , \\ \frac{dw}{dc} = 1 - \alpha \quad . \end{array} \right.$$

Under revenue-sharing agreements, firms' bargaining power has a direct impact on both the retail and wholesale pass-through rates. This implies that the retail pass-through rate is modified when a revenue-sharing agreement is used *in lieu* of another contract, even when demand curvature is constant or when the equilibrium quantity remains stable. These relationships can be expressed as follows:

Proposition 4. *Under revenue-sharing agreements, the retail (respectively, wholesale) pass-through rate increases (resp., decreases) in the manufacturer's bargaining power.*

⁵See Mortimer (2008) for a welfare analysis of the 1998 wide adoption of such contracts in the video-rental industry.

5 Conclusion

We have investigated the determinants of pass-through at both the retail and wholesale levels of a vertically-related industry. An input cost shock is transmitted first to the manufacturer's wholesale price according to the wholesale pass-through rate. The retailer then perceives this wholesale price as its own marginal cost and passes the cost shock to consumers according to the retail pass-through rate.

The distribution of bargaining power between the manufacturer and its retailer as well as the type of agreement they contract upon are important determinants of the pass-through rates at both upstream and downstream levels. Moreover, the sign of the slope of demand curvature impacts whether the wholesale pass-through rate is smaller than the retail one.

References

- Adachi, Takanori, and Takeshi Ebina.** 2014a. "Double marginalization and cost pass-through: Weyl-Fabinger and Cowan meet Spengler and Bresnahan-Reiss." *Economics Letters*, 122(2): 170–175.
- Adachi, Takanori, and Takeshi Ebina.** 2014b. "Cost pass-through and inverse demand curvature in vertical relationships with upstream and downstream competition." *Economics Letters*, 124(3): 465–468.
- Bonnet, Céline, Pierre Dubois, Sofia B. Villas Boas, and Daniel Klapper.** 2013. "Empirical Evidence on the Role of Nonlinear Wholesale Pricing and Vertical Restraints on Cost Pass-Through." *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 95(2): 500–515.
- Bresnahan, Timothy F., and Peter C. Reiss.** 1985. "Dealer and Manufacturer Margins." *RAND Journal of Economics*, 16(2): 253–268.
- Bulow, Jeremy I., and Paul Pfleiderer.** 1983. "A Note on the Effect of Cost Changes on Prices." *Journal of Political Economy*, 91(1): 182–185.
- Cachon, Gérard P., and Martin A. Larivière.** 2005. "Supply Chain Coordination with Revenue-Sharing Contracts: Strengths and Limitations." *Management Science*, 51(1): 30–44.
- Draganska, Michaela, Daniel Klapper, and Sofia B. Villas-Boas.** 2010. "A Larger Slice or a Larger Pie? An Empirical Investigation of Bargaining Power in the Distribution Channel." *Marketing Science*, 29(1): 57–74.

- Engel, Charles.** 2002. "Expenditure Switching and Exchange-Rate Policy." *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 17: 231–272.
- Fabinger, Michal, and E. Glen Weyl.** 2015a. "A Tractable Approach to Pass-Through Patterns." Mimeo. Available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2194855>.
- Fabinger, Michal, and E. Glen Weyl.** 2015b. "An Online Appendix to: 'A Tractable Approach to Pass-Through Patterns'." Mimeo. Available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2575471>.
- Goldberg, Pinelopi K., and Rebecca Hellerstein.** 2013. "A Structural Approach to Identifying the Sources of Local Currency Price Stability." *Review of Economic Studies*, 80(1): 175–210.
- Gopinath, Gita, Pierre-Olivier Gourinchas, Chang-Tai Hsieh, and Nicholas Li.** 2011. "International Prices, Costs, and Markup Differences." *American Economic Review*, 101(6): 2450–2486.
- Horn, Henrick, and Asher Wolinsky.** 1988. "Bilateral Monopolies and Incentives for Merger." *RAND Journal of Economics*, 19(3): 408–419.
- Mortimer, Julie H.** 2008. "Vertical Contracts in the Video Rental Industry." *Review of Economic Studies*, 75(1): 165–199.
- Nakamura, Emi, and Dawit Zerom.** 2010. "Accounting for Incomplete Pass-Through." *Review of Economic Studies*, 77(3): 1192–1230.
- Spengler, Joseph J.** 1950. "Vertical Integration and Antitrust Policy." *Journal of Political Economy*, 58(4): 347–352.
- Villas-Boas, Sofia Berto.** 2007. "Vertical Relationships between Manufacturers and Retailers: Inference with Limited Data." *Review of Economic Studies*, 74(2): 625–652.
- Weyl, E. Glen, and Michal Fabinger.** 2013. "Pass-Through as an Economic Tool: Principles of Incidence under Imperfect Competition." *Journal of Political Economy*, 121(3): 528–583.

PREVIOUS DISCUSSION PAPERS

- 202 Gaudin, Germain, Pass-Through, Vertical Contracts, and Bargains, November 2015.
Forthcoming in: Economics Letters.
- 201 Demeulemeester, Sarah and Hottenrott, Hanna, R&D Subsidies and Firms' Cost of Debt, November 2015.
- 200 Kreickemeier, Udo and Wrona, Jens, Two-Way Migration Between Similar Countries, October 2015.
Forthcoming in: World Economy.
- 199 Haucap, Justus and Stühmeier, Torben, Competition and Antitrust in Internet Markets, October 2015.
Forthcoming in: Bauer, J. and M. Latzer (Eds.), Handbook on the Economics of the Internet, Edward Elgar: Cheltenham 2016.
- 198 Alipranti, Maria, Milliou, Chrysovalantou and Petrakis, Emmanuel, On Vertical Relations and the Timing of Technology, October 2015.
- 197 Kellner, Christian, Reinstein, David and Riener, Gerhard, Stochastic Income and Conditional Generosity, October 2015.
- 196 Chlaß, Nadine and Riener, Gerhard, Lying, Spying, Sabotaging: Procedures and Consequences, September 2015.
- 195 Gaudin, Germain, Vertical Bargaining and Retail Competition: What Drives Countervailing Power?, September 2015.
- 194 Baumann, Florian and Friehe, Tim, Learning-by-Doing in Torts: Liability and Information About Accident Technology, September 2015.
- 193 Defever, Fabrice, Fischer, Christian and Suedekum, Jens, Relational Contracts and Supplier Turnover in the Global Economy, August 2015.
- 192 Gu, Yiquan and Wenzel, Tobias, Putting on a Tight Leash and Levelling Playing Field: An Experiment in Strategic Obfuscation and Consumer Protection, July 2015.
Published in: International Journal of Industrial Organization, 42 (2015), pp. 120-128.
- 191 Ciani, Andrea and Bartoli, Francesca, Export Quality Upgrading under Credit Constraints, July 2015.
- 190 Hasnas, Irina and Wey, Christian, Full Versus Partial Collusion among Brands and Private Label Producers, July 2015.
- 189 Dertwinkel-Kalt, Markus and Köster, Mats, Violations of First-Order Stochastic Dominance as Salience Effects, June 2015.
Forthcoming in: Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics.
- 188 Kholodilin, Konstantin, Kolmer, Christian, Thomas, Tobias and Ulbricht, Dirk, Asymmetric Perceptions of the Economy: Media, Firms, Consumers, and Experts, June 2015.
- 187 Dertwinkel-Kalt, Markus and Wey, Christian, Merger Remedies in Oligopoly under a Consumer Welfare Standard, June 2015
Forthcoming in: Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization.

- 186 Dertwinkel-Kalt, Markus, Salience and Health Campaigns, May 2015
Forthcoming in: Forum for Health Economics & Policy
- 185 Wrona, Jens, Border Effects without Borders: What Divides Japan's Internal Trade?, May 2015.
- 184 Amess, Kevin, Stiebale, Joel and Wright, Mike, The Impact of Private Equity on Firms' Innovation Activity, April 2015.
Forthcoming in: European Economic Review.
- 183 Ibañez, Marcela, Rai, Ashok and Riener, Gerhard, Sorting Through Affirmative Action: Three Field Experiments in Colombia, April 2015.
- 182 Baumann, Florian, Friehe, Tim and Rasch, Alexander, The Influence of Product Liability on Vertical Product Differentiation, April 2015.
- 181 Baumann, Florian and Friehe, Tim, Proof beyond a Reasonable Doubt: Laboratory Evidence, March 2015.
- 180 Rasch, Alexander and Waibel, Christian, What Drives Fraud in a Credence Goods Market? – Evidence from a Field Study, March 2015.
- 179 Jeitschko, Thomas D., Incongruities of Real and Intellectual Property: Economic Concerns in Patent Policy and Practice, February 2015.
Forthcoming in: Michigan State Law Review.
- 178 Buchwald, Achim and Hottenrott, Hanna, Women on the Board and Executive Duration – Evidence for European Listed Firms, February 2015.
- 177 Heblich, Stephan, Lameli, Alfred and Riener, Gerhard, Regional Accents on Individual Economic Behavior: A Lab Experiment on Linguistic Performance, Cognitive Ratings and Economic Decisions, February 2015
Published in: PLoS ONE, 10 (2015), e0113475.
- 176 Herr, Annika, Nguyen, Thu-Van and Schmitz, Hendrik, Does Quality Disclosure Improve Quality? Responses to the Introduction of Nursing Home Report Cards in Germany, February 2015.
- 175 Herr, Annika and Normann, Hans-Theo, Organ Donation in the Lab: Preferences and Votes on the Priority Rule, February 2015.
Forthcoming in: Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization.
- 174 Buchwald, Achim, Competition, Outside Directors and Executive Turnover: Implications for Corporate Governance in the EU, February 2015.
- 173 Buchwald, Achim and Thorwarth, Susanne, Outside Directors on the Board, Competition and Innovation, February 2015.
- 172 Dewenter, Ralf and Giessing, Leonie, The Effects of Elite Sports Participation on Later Job Success, February 2015.
- 171 Haucap, Justus, Heimeshoff, Ulrich and Siekmann, Manuel, Price Dispersion and Station Heterogeneity on German Retail Gasoline Markets, January 2015.
- 170 Schweinberger, Albert G. and Suedekum, Jens, De-Industrialisation and Entrepreneurship under Monopolistic Competition, January 2015
Published in: Oxford Economic Papers, 67 (2015), pp. 1174-1185.
- 169 Nowak, Verena, Organizational Decisions in Multistage Production Processes, December 2014.

- 168 Benndorf, Volker, Kübler, Dorothea and Normann, Hans-Theo, Privacy Concerns, Voluntary Disclosure of Information, and Unraveling: An Experiment, November 2014. Published in: European Economic Review, 75 (2015), pp. 43-59.
- 167 Rasch, Alexander and Wenzel, Tobias, The Impact of Piracy on Prominent and Non-prominent Software Developers, November 2014. Published in: Telecommunications Policy, 39 (2015), pp. 735-744.
- 166 Jeitschko, Thomas D. and Tremblay, Mark J., Homogeneous Platform Competition with Endogenous Homing, November 2014.
- 165 Gu, Yiquan, Rasch, Alexander and Wenzel, Tobias, Price-sensitive Demand and Market Entry, November 2014. Forthcoming in: Papers in Regional Science.
- 164 Caprice, Stéphane, von Schlippenbach, Vanessa and Wey, Christian, Supplier Fixed Costs and Retail Market Monopolization, October 2014.
- 163 Klein, Gordon J. and Wendel, Julia, The Impact of Local Loop and Retail Unbundling Revisited, October 2014.
- 162 Dertwinkel-Kalt, Markus, Haucap, Justus and Wey, Christian, Raising Rivals' Costs through Buyer Power, October 2014. Published in: Economics Letters, 126 (2015), pp.181-184.
- 161 Dertwinkel-Kalt, Markus and Köhler, Katrin, Exchange Asymmetries for Bads? Experimental Evidence, October 2014.
- 160 Behrens, Kristian, Mion, Giordano, Murata, Yasusada and Suedekum, Jens, Spatial Frictions, September 2014.
- 159 Fonseca, Miguel A. and Normann, Hans-Theo, Endogenous Cartel Formation: Experimental Evidence, August 2014. Published in: Economics Letters, 125 (2014), pp. 223-225.
- 158 Stiebale, Joel, Cross-Border M&As and Innovative Activity of Acquiring and Target Firms, August 2014.
- 157 Haucap, Justus and Heimeshoff, Ulrich, The Happiness of Economists: Estimating the Causal Effect of Studying Economics on Subjective Well-Being, August 2014. Published in: International Review of Economics Education, 17 (2014), pp. 85-97.
- 156 Haucap, Justus, Heimeshoff, Ulrich and Lange, Mirjam R. J., The Impact of Tariff Diversity on Broadband Diffusion – An Empirical Analysis, August 2014. Forthcoming in: Telecommunications Policy.
- 155 Baumann, Florian and Friehe, Tim, On Discovery, Restricting Lawyers, and the Settlement Rate, August 2014.
- 154 Hottenrott, Hanna and Lopes-Bento, Cindy, R&D Partnerships and Innovation Performance: Can There be too Much of a Good Thing?, July 2014.
- 153 Hottenrott, Hanna and Lawson, Cornelia, Flying the Nest: How the Home Department Shapes Researchers' Career Paths, July 2015 (First Version July 2014). Forthcoming in: Studies in Higher Education.
- 152 Hottenrott, Hanna, Lopes-Bento, Cindy and Veugelers, Reinhilde, Direct and Cross-Scheme Effects in a Research and Development Subsidy Program, July 2014.

- 151 Dewenter, Ralf and Heimeshoff, Ulrich, Do Expert Reviews Really Drive Demand? Evidence from a German Car Magazine, July 2014.
Published in: Applied Economics Letters, 22 (2015), pp. 1150-1153.
- 150 Bataille, Marc, Steinmetz, Alexander and Thorwarth, Susanne, Screening Instruments for Monitoring Market Power in Wholesale Electricity Markets – Lessons from Applications in Germany, July 2014.
- 149 Kholodilin, Konstantin A., Thomas, Tobias and Ulbricht, Dirk, Do Media Data Help to Predict German Industrial Production?, July 2014.
- 148 Hogrefe, Jan and Wrona, Jens, Trade, Tasks, and Trading: The Effect of Offshoring on Individual Skill Upgrading, June 2014.
Forthcoming in: Canadian Journal of Economics.
- 147 Gaudin, Germain and White, Alexander, On the Antitrust Economics of the Electronic Books Industry, September 2014 (Previous Version May 2014).
- 146 Alipranti, Maria, Milliou, Chrysovalantou and Petrakis, Emmanuel, Price vs. Quantity Competition in a Vertically Related Market, May 2014.
Published in: Economics Letters, 124 (2014), pp. 122-126.
- 145 Blanco, Mariana, Engelmann, Dirk, Koch, Alexander K. and Normann, Hans-Theo, Preferences and Beliefs in a Sequential Social Dilemma: A Within-Subjects Analysis, May 2014.
Published in: Games and Economic Behavior, 87 (2014), pp. 122-135.
- 144 Jeitschko, Thomas D., Jung, Yeonjei and Kim, Jaesoo, Bundling and Joint Marketing by Rival Firms, May 2014.
- 143 Benndorf, Volker and Normann, Hans-Theo, The Willingness to Sell Personal Data, April 2014.
- 142 Dauth, Wolfgang and Suedekum, Jens, Globalization and Local Profiles of Economic Growth and Industrial Change, April 2014.
- 141 Nowak, Verena, Schwarz, Christian and Suedekum, Jens, Asymmetric Spiders: Supplier Heterogeneity and the Organization of Firms, April 2014.
- 140 Hasnas, Irina, A Note on Consumer Flexibility, Data Quality and Collusion, April 2014.
- 139 Baye, Irina and Hasnas, Irina, Consumer Flexibility, Data Quality and Location Choice, April 2014.
- 138 Aghadadashli, Hamid and Wey, Christian, Multi-Union Bargaining: Tariff Plurality and Tariff Competition, April 2014.
Published in: Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics (JITE), 171 (2015), pp. 666-695.
- 137 Duso, Tomaso, Herr, Annika and Suppliet, Moritz, The Welfare Impact of Parallel Imports: A Structural Approach Applied to the German Market for Oral Anti-diabetics, April 2014.
Published in: Health Economics, 23 (2014), pp. 1036-1057.
- 136 Haucap, Justus and Müller, Andrea, Why are Economists so Different? Nature, Nurture and Gender Effects in a Simple Trust Game, March 2014.
- 135 Normann, Hans-Theo and Rau, Holger A., Simultaneous and Sequential Contributions to Step-Level Public Goods: One vs. Two Provision Levels, March 2014.
Published in: Journal of Conflict Resolution, 59 (2015), pp.1273-1300.

- 134 Bucher, Monika, Hauck, Achim and Neyer, Ulrike, Frictions in the Interbank Market and Uncertain Liquidity Needs: Implications for Monetary Policy Implementation, July 2014 (First Version March 2014).
- 133 Czarnitzki, Dirk, Hall, Bronwyn, H. and Hottenrott, Hanna, Patents as Quality Signals? The Implications for Financing Constraints on R&D?, February 2014.
- 132 Dewenter, Ralf and Heimeshoff, Ulrich, Media Bias and Advertising: Evidence from a German Car Magazine, February 2014.
Published in: Review of Economics, 65 (2014), pp. 77-94.
- 131 Baye, Irina and Sapi, Geza, Targeted Pricing, Consumer Myopia and Investment in Customer-Tracking Technology, February 2014.
- 130 Clemens, Georg and Rau, Holger A., Do Leniency Policies Facilitate Collusion? Experimental Evidence, January 2014.
- 129 Hottenrott, Hanna and Lawson, Cornelia, Fishing for Complementarities: Competitive Research Funding and Research Productivity, December 2013.
- 128 Hottenrott, Hanna and Rexhäuser, Sascha, Policy-Induced Environmental Technology and Inventive Efforts: Is There a Crowding Out?, December 2013.
Published in: Industry and Innovation, 22 (2015), pp.375-401.
- 127 Dauth, Wolfgang, Findeisen, Sebastian and Suedekum, Jens, The Rise of the East and the Far East: German Labor Markets and Trade Integration, December 2013.
Published in: Journal of the European Economic Association, 12 (2014), pp. 1643-1675.
- 126 Wenzel, Tobias, Consumer Myopia, Competition and the Incentives to Unshroud Add-on Information, December 2013.
Published in: Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 98 (2014), pp. 89-96.
- 125 Schwarz, Christian and Suedekum, Jens, Global Sourcing of Complex Production Processes, December 2013.
Published in: Journal of International Economics, 93 (2014), pp. 123-139.
- 124 Defever, Fabrice and Suedekum, Jens, Financial Liberalization and the Relationship-Specificity of Exports, December 2013.
Published in: Economics Letters, 122 (2014), pp. 375-379.
- 123 Bauernschuster, Stefan, Falck, Oliver, Heblich, Stephan and Suedekum, Jens, Why are Educated and Risk-Loving Persons More Mobile Across Regions?, December 2013.
Published in: Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 98 (2014), pp. 56-69.
- 122 Hottenrott, Hanna and Lopes-Bento, Cindy, Quantity or Quality? Knowledge Alliances and their Effects on Patenting, December 2013.
Published in: Industrial and Corporate Change, 24 (2015), pp. 981-1011.
- 121 Hottenrott, Hanna and Lopes-Bento, Cindy, (International) R&D Collaboration and SMEs: The Effectiveness of Targeted Public R&D Support Schemes, December 2013.
Published in: Research Policy, 43 (2014), pp.1055-1066.
- 120 Giesen, Kristian and Suedekum, Jens, City Age and City Size, November 2013.
Published in: European Economic Review, 71 (2014), pp. 193-208.
- 119 Trax, Michaela, Brunow, Stephan and Suedekum, Jens, Cultural Diversity and Plant-Level Productivity, November 2013.

- 118 Manasakis, Constantine and Vlassis, Minas, Downstream Mode of Competition with Upstream Market Power, November 2013.
Published in: Research in Economics, 68 (2014), pp. 84-93.
- 117 Sapi, Geza and Suleymanova, Irina, Consumer Flexibility, Data Quality and Targeted Pricing, November 2013.
- 116 Hinloopen, Jeroen, Müller, Wieland and Normann, Hans-Theo, Output Commitment through Product Bundling: Experimental Evidence, November 2013.
Published in: European Economic Review, 65 (2014), pp. 164-180.
- 115 Baumann, Florian, Denter, Philipp and Friehe Tim, Hide or Show? Endogenous Observability of Private Precautions against Crime When Property Value is Private Information, November 2013.
- 114 Fan, Ying, Kühn, Kai-Uwe and Lafontaine, Francine, Financial Constraints and Moral Hazard: The Case of Franchising, November 2013.
- 113 Aguzzoni, Luca, Argentesi, Elena, Buccirossi, Paolo, Ciari, Lorenzo, Duso, Tomaso, Tognoni, Massimo and Vitale, Cristiana, They Played the Merger Game: A Retrospective Analysis in the UK Videogames Market, October 2013.
Published under the title: "A Retrospective Merger Analysis in the UK Videogame Market" in: Journal of Competition Law and Economics, 10 (2014), pp. 933-958.
- 112 Myrseth, Kristian Ove R., Riener, Gerhard and Wollbrant, Conny, Tangible Temptation in the Social Dilemma: Cash, Cooperation, and Self-Control, October 2013.
- 111 Hasnas, Irina, Lambertini, Luca and Palestini, Arsen, Open Innovation in a Dynamic Cournot Duopoly, October 2013.
Published in: Economic Modelling, 36 (2014), pp. 79-87.
- 110 Baumann, Florian and Friehe, Tim, Competitive Pressure and Corporate Crime, September 2013.
- 109 Böckers, Veit, Haucap, Justus and Heimeshoff, Ulrich, Benefits of an Integrated European Electricity Market, September 2013.
- 108 Normann, Hans-Theo and Tan, Elaine S., Effects of Different Cartel Policies: Evidence from the German Power-Cable Industry, September 2013.
Published in: Industrial and Corporate Change, 23 (2014), pp. 1037-1057.
- 107 Haucap, Justus, Heimeshoff, Ulrich, Klein, Gordon J., Rickert, Dennis and Wey, Christian, Bargaining Power in Manufacturer-Retailer Relationships, September 2013.
- 106 Baumann, Florian and Friehe, Tim, Design Standards and Technology Adoption: Welfare Effects of Increasing Environmental Fines when the Number of Firms is Endogenous, September 2013.
- 105 Jeitschko, Thomas D., NYSE Changing Hands: Antitrust and Attempted Acquisitions of an Erstwhile Monopoly, August 2013.
Published in: Journal of Stock and Forex Trading, 2 (2) (2013), pp. 1-6.
- 104 Böckers, Veit, Giessing, Leonie and Rösch, Jürgen, The Green Game Changer: An Empirical Assessment of the Effects of Wind and Solar Power on the Merit Order, August 2013.
- 103 Haucap, Justus and Muck, Johannes, What Drives the Relevance and Reputation of Economics Journals? An Update from a Survey among Economists, August 2013.
Published in: Scientometrics, 103 (2015), pp. 849-877.

- 102 Jovanovic, Dragan and Wey, Christian, Passive Partial Ownership, Sneaky Takeovers, and Merger Control, August 2013.
Published in: *Economics Letters*, 125 (2014), pp. 32-35.
- 101 Haucap, Justus, Heimeshoff, Ulrich, Klein, Gordon J., Rickert, Dennis and Wey, Christian, Inter-Format Competition among Retailers – The Role of Private Label Products in Market Delineation, August 2013.
- 100 Normann, Hans-Theo, Requate, Till and Waichman, Israel, Do Short-Term Laboratory Experiments Provide Valid Descriptions of Long-Term Economic Interactions? A Study of Cournot Markets, July 2013.
Published in: *Experimental Economics*, 17 (2014), pp. 371-390.
- 99 Dertwinkel-Kalt, Markus, Haucap, Justus and Wey, Christian, Input Price Discrimination (Bans), Entry and Welfare, June 2013.
Forthcoming under the title "Procompetitive Dual Pricing" in: *European Journal of Law and Economics*.
- 98 Aguzzoni, Luca, Argentesi, Elena, Ciari, Lorenzo, Duso, Tomaso and Tognoni, Massimo, Ex-post Merger Evaluation in the UK Retail Market for Books, June 2013.
Forthcoming in: *Journal of Industrial Economics*.
- 97 Caprice, Stéphane and von Schlippenbach, Vanessa, One-Stop Shopping as a Cause of Slotting Fees: A Rent-Shifting Mechanism, May 2012.
Published in: *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, 22 (2013), pp. 468-487.
- 96 Wenzel, Tobias, Independent Service Operators in ATM Markets, June 2013.
Published in: *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 61 (2014), pp. 26-47.
- 95 Coublucq, Daniel, Econometric Analysis of Productivity with Measurement Error: Empirical Application to the US Railroad Industry, June 2013.
- 94 Coublucq, Daniel, Demand Estimation with Selection Bias: A Dynamic Game Approach with an Application to the US Railroad Industry, June 2013.
- 93 Baumann, Florian and Friehe, Tim, Status Concerns as a Motive for Crime?, April 2013.
Published in: *International Review of Law and Economics*, 43 (2015), pp. 46-55.
- 92 Jeitschko, Thomas D. and Zhang, Nanyun, Adverse Effects of Patent Pooling on Product Development and Commercialization, April 2013.
Published in: *The B. E. Journal of Theoretical Economics*, 14 (1) (2014), Art. No. 2013-0038.
- 91 Baumann, Florian and Friehe, Tim, Private Protection Against Crime when Property Value is Private Information, April 2013.
Published in: *International Review of Law and Economics*, 35 (2013), pp. 73-79.
- 90 Baumann, Florian and Friehe, Tim, Cheap Talk About the Detection Probability, April 2013.
Published in: *International Game Theory Review*, 15 (2013), Art. No. 1350003.
- 89 Pagel, Beatrice and Wey, Christian, How to Counter Union Power? Equilibrium Mergers in International Oligopoly, April 2013.
- 88 Jovanovic, Dragan, Mergers, Managerial Incentives, and Efficiencies, April 2014 (First Version April 2013).
- 87 Heimeshoff, Ulrich and Klein, Gordon J., Bargaining Power and Local Heroes, March 2013.

- 86 Bertschek, Irene, Cerquera, Daniel and Klein, Gordon J., More Bits – More Bucks? Measuring the Impact of Broadband Internet on Firm Performance, February 2013. Published in: Information Economics and Policy, 25 (2013), pp. 190-203.
- 85 Rasch, Alexander and Wenzel, Tobias, Piracy in a Two-Sided Software Market, February 2013. Published in: Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 88 (2013), pp. 78-89.
- 84 Bataille, Marc and Steinmetz, Alexander, Intermodal Competition on Some Routes in Transportation Networks: The Case of Inter Urban Buses and Railways, January 2013.
- 83 Haucap, Justus and Heimeshoff, Ulrich, Google, Facebook, Amazon, eBay: Is the Internet Driving Competition or Market Monopolization?, January 2013. Published in: International Economics and Economic Policy, 11 (2014), pp. 49-61.

Older discussion papers can be found online at:
<http://ideas.repec.org/s/zbw/dicedp.html>

Heinrich-Heine-University of Düsseldorf

**Düsseldorf Institute for
Competition Economics (DICE)**

Universitätsstraße 1 _ 40225 Düsseldorf
www.dice.hhu.de