

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Maani, Sholeh A.; Wang, Xingang; Rogers, Alan

Working Paper

Network Effects, Ethnic Capital and Immigrants' Earnings Assimilation: Evidence from a Spatial, Hausman-Taylor Estimation

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 9308

Provided in Cooperation with:

IZA - Institute of Labor Economics

Suggested Citation: Maani, Sholeh A.; Wang, Xingang; Rogers, Alan (2015): Network Effects, Ethnic Capital and Immigrants' Earnings Assimilation: Evidence from a Spatial, Hausman-Taylor Estimation, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 9308, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/120950

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





IZA DP No. 9308

Network Effects, Ethnic Capital and Immigrants' Earnings Assimilation: Evidence from a Spatial, Hausman-Taylor Estimation

Sholeh A. Maani Xingang Wang Alan Rogers

August 2015

Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit Institute for the Study of Labor

Network Effects, Ethnic Capital and Immigrants' Earnings Assimilation: Evidence from a Spatial, Hausman-Taylor Estimation

Sholeh A. Maani

University of Auckland and IZA

Xingang Wang

University of Auckland

Alan Rogers

University of Auckland

Discussion Paper No. 9308 August 2015

IZA

P.O. Box 7240 53072 Bonn Germany

Phone: +49-228-3894-0 Fax: +49-228-3894-180 E-mail: iza@iza.org

Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of IZA. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions. The IZA research network is committed to the IZA Guiding Principles of Research Integrity.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent nonprofit organization supported by Deutsche Post Foundation. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its international network, workshops and conferences, data service, project support, research visits and doctoral program. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

ABSTRACT

Network Effects, Ethnic Capital and Immigrants' Earnings Assimilation: Evidence from a Spatial, Hausman-Taylor Estimation

Do ethnic enclaves assist or hinder immigrants in their economic integration? In this paper we examine the effect of 'ethnic capital' (e.g. ethnic network and ethnic concentration) on immigrants' earnings assimilation. We adopt a "spatial autoregressive network approach" to construct a dynamic network variable from micropanel- data to capture the effects of spatial-ethnic-specific resource networks for immigrants. The spatial lag structure is combined with a Hausman-Taylor (1981) panel data model. The HT estimator adopts the features of both a fixed-effects and randomeffects model that utilizes the added information in the panel setting, as well as instrumental variables (IV) estimation, controlling for endogeneity of the spatial lag variable and other endogenous explanatory variables (Baltagi, 2013). We also show that the spatial structure is identified in this Hausman-Taylor setting. We examine the effects of ethnic capital and human capital using an eight-year Australian panel data set (HILDA). Results show that immigrants' labor market integration is significantly affected by the local concentration and resources of their ethnic group.

JEL Classification: J30, J31, Z13, Z18

Keywords: assimilation, ethnic capital, ethnic network, ethnic concentration,

spatial autoregressive lag model, panel data

Corresponding author:

Sholeh A. Maani Graduate School of Management The University of Auckland 12 Grafton Road Auckland, 1010 New Zealand

E-mail: s.maani@auckland.ac.nz

Network Effects, Ethnic Capital and Immigrants' Earnings Assimilation: Evidence from a Spatial, Hausman-Taylor Estimation

1. Introduction

In this paper we examine the impact of immigrant ethnic group concentration and resources on immigrants' earnings. We extend the conventional earnings assimilation model by incorporating a spatial indicator of the correlation of immigrants' group resources and earnings. Among the features of the approach (Goetzke, 2008; LeSage and Pace, 2009) is that it allows the relaxation of certain independence assumptions concerning the economic performance of immigrant groups. We employ a panel data approach employing a rich Australian data set (Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA)), and use the Hausman-Taylor method to address potential endogeneity of the ethnic network effect and other related variables. We show that the Hausman-Taylor model augmented by the spatial ethnic network effect is identified (Baltagi, 2013; Baltagi, et al 2013; and Appendix B), and our results show that it performs better than does the conventional model alone.

Economic assimilation is an important indicator that refers to the processes by which an immigrant's earnings converge to the level of earnings of a comparably skilled and experienced native-born, after the immigrant has resided in the host country for a certain period of time. As LaLonde and Topel (1991) pointed out, if new immigrants are not successfully assimilated, "increased immigrant flows may place additional burdens on public welfare systems, while exacerbating other social problems associated with persistent poverty" (p. 297). Therefore, the economic performance of immigrants is of special analytical and policy interest.

It is well recognized that in contrast with natives, immigrants are potentially at a disadvantage in the host country's labor market, as they may lack language skills, social networks, knowledge of customs, information about job opportunities, and firm-specific training (e.g. Borjas, 1985, 1995; Chiswick, 1978). Because of these disadvantages new immigrants (especially those whose first language is not English)

may face barriers in finding a job. In addition, it might take a long time for their income to converge to the income level of the native-born in the host country.

A number of international studies have shown evidence of the assimilation process of immigrants around the world (e.g. Chiswick, 1978, 1980; Chiswick et al., 2005; Constant and Massey, 2003; Fertig and Schurer, 2007). However, at the same time, other researchers could not confirm the assimilation process was significant and occurred in all immigrant groups. For example, by testing synthetic cross-sectional data, Borjas (1985, 1995) found the assimilation effect was much weaker than had been reported in previous cross-sectional studies in the United States (US). By examining the 1980, 1990 and 2000 US census data, Chiswick and Miller (2008) observed a strong "negative" assimilation effect on foreign-born men in the US. However, economists hypothesize that immigrants may be assimilated eventually since they continue to learn about the host country. Subsequent studies also observed other factors that had significant influences on the assimilation process for immigrants: the quality of immigrant cohorts (Borjas, 1985), country of origin (e.g. Beenstock et al., 2010; Borjas, 1987, 1992; Chiswick and Miller, 2008), ethnic concentration (e.g. Edin et al., 2003; Lazear, 1999) and personal English skill (e.g. Chiswick and Miller, 1995, 1996; Dustmann and Fabbri, 2003; McManus et al., 1983).

An increasing number of studies have paid attention to the differences in assimilation effects across ethnic groups. It is also recognized that the assimilation processes of different ethnic groups have diverse patterns and time ranges. Borjas (1982), in particular, observed divergent assimilation processes for immigrants to the US from Cuba and Mexico. McDonald and Worswick (1999) have documented the persistence of income disparities between immigrants (from a non-English speaking background) and the Australian-born. Beenstock et al. (2010) have found immigrants to Israel from Asia and Africa faced much greater earnings disadvantages than those who migrated from the USSR; at the same time, in Israel European immigrants had a higher income on average than did the native-born.

These findings give rise to questions as to why there are differences in economic performances across ethnic groups and how ethnicity influences immigrants' labor market performance. Furthermore, previous studies have imposed rather strong independence assumptions on individuals' labor market performance. However, one

may consider whether individuals within ethnic groups influence each other, and if therefore their labor market performance is correlated to some extent. An enhanced approach, for example, would incorporate the hypothesis that an ethnic group that can access ethnic/social markets and networks with higher earnings, could in turn perform better in terms of earnings. Previous economic studies have provided little empirical evidence as to how ethnic factors influence immigrants' assimilation process and labor market performance. However, recent studies have increasingly noted that immigrants are expected to be connected with their own ethnic group and their locality (e.g. Battu et al., 2011). As such, whether the co-dependence of earnings outcomes of immigrants affects their earnings is a less-studied question that we incorporate in our analysis.

This paper uses "ethnic capital" as a key concept. In addition, we examine the effect of introducing a dynamic spatial lag matrix based on country of origin and geographic location. Notably, this modeling approach enables us to allow for some conditional dependence in labor market outcomes within groups. This approach has received recent attention in transportation economics, but its application to labor market outcomes, as we explore here, provides an additional research avenue particularly relevant for immigrant assimilation (see for example, Adjemian et al., 2010; Goetzke, 2008; Goetzke and Weinberger, 2012). We also address potential endogeneity issues by combining the spatial weight structure with a Hausman-Taylor (HT) panel data model specification (Baltagi, 2013; Baltagi, and Liu, 2011). The HT estimator adopts instrumental variables (IV) estimation, and the features of both a fixed-effects and random-effects model, as well as controlling for endogeneity of the spatial lag variable and other endogenous explanatory variables in the panel setting.

The contributions of this paper to the literature are as follows: The 'dynamic spatial lag matrix of ethnic networks' adopted controls for the potential co-dependence of immigrant labor market outcomes, and it weakens some assumptions typically made as to the structure of immigrant group earnings; the paper further contributes to the literature by accounting for endogeneity of the network effects and other relevant variables in a panel setting, and by providing new evidence on the effect of ethnic network outcomes by country of origin language group.

The paper is arranged as follows: Section Two provides a brief description of "ethnic capital" and of certain hypotheses based on that concept. In Section Three, and

Appendix B, we discuss the "spatial model" and the Hausman-Taylor estimation approach adopted in this study. We also show that the spatial model is identified in the Hausman-Taylor setting under some reasonable conditions. These conditions relate to the model's number of exogenous time-varying variables relative to the endogenous time-invariant variables; and the requirement of zero elements in the diagonal of the spatial weight matrix, and varying sizes of the spatial immigrant ethnic groups (Lee, 2007). Section Four provides information on the data. We test our model in a panel data setting, observing individuals across ethnic groups, locations of ethnic concentration and group resources, and time. We use an eight-year panel data set, the Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) data, and the published 2001 and 2006 Australian census data. HILDA is a major Australian longitudinal data set administered by the University of Melbourne, and it is comparable to its U.S. and European counterparts. Empirical results and analyses are discussed in Section Five. Section Six concludes this paper.

2. Immigrant Assimilation and "Ethnic Capital"

2.1 Ethnic capital

Borjas (1987) rooted the reasons for different assimilation profiles across ethnic groups in the effect of country of origin. He noted that four factors influence immigrants' labor market performance in the host country: the age composition of immigrants, native language, political system and economic development of the source country.

The concept of "ethnic capital" was first put forward by Borjas (1992). He hypothesized that ethnicity plays a key role in the human capital accumulation process; and he studied the effect of ethnic capital on skills in the immigrants' succeeding generation. The empirical evidence suggested that the skills of the immigrants' next generation significantly depended on both parental inputs and the quality of the ethnic environment (which Borjas calls "ethnic capital").

Borjas' theory incorporates the factors that stem from the country of origin. These factors are a type of "innate" capital (and resources) of immigrants, originating from their source country. This kind of capital cannot be altered easily by individual

immigrants, since it is dependent on the overall macro-environment and the culture of the country of origin. Importantly, it belongs only to members of a given ethnic group and it cannot be utilized by others.

For immigrants, ethnic capital is a resource and also capital that can be accessed by subsequent immigrants from the same ethnic group. Ethnic enclaves, for example, provide an opportunity for immigrants to access such capital in the host country, as earlier immigrants have already built up an ethnic environment consisting of social, economic and commercial networks. Such resources generated from the ethnic environment in the destination country are considered to have a more profound effect on immigrants' assimilation than the resources from their source country, because they are created by previous cohorts of immigrants in the host country and are influenced by local socio-economic factors. In addition, these resources come from immigrants themselves, so they can be adjusted and affected by immigrants. This also implies that the ethnic capital in the host country may vary over time, which is different from the nature of the "innate" capital from the country of origin.

Therefore, we extend the definition of "ethnic capital" in this paper by considering it as an immigrant network that includes markets, resources, and information shared by the group, based on the country of origin, average skill level, group language proficiency, social network, geographical concentration, shared beliefs and other resources for a typical ethnic group. In other words, ethnic capital is the inherent trust and advantages that stem from, and belong to, a certain ethnic group. This is a new arena for immigration studies, particularly in the context of Australia (a major immigrant-receiving country).

2.2 Immigrant network effects

To capture network effects, most previous international economic studies have adopted ethnic concentration/enclave as the proxy for networks of immigrants in the host country (e.g. Aguilera, 2009; Damm, 2009; Edin et al., 2003; Toussaint-Comeau, 2008). Other studies have used language group or language proficiency (Bertrand et al., 2000; Chiswick and Miller, 2002). In this study, in addition to the conventional variables, we construct a spatial network variable, "ethnic spatial lag", to represent the

individual's network of economic resources in addition to ethnic concentration¹. By doing so, we are able to separate the spatial network specific effect of the more general ethnic concentration/enclave.

We hypothesize that both ethnic networks and ethnic concentration influence immigrants' economic performance. In our analysis we examine and control for potential endogeneity of these and other relevant variables.

2.2.1 Ethnic network effect

Individuals are inherently linked through the groups they belong to. These groups include friendships, kinship, ethnicity and other relationships. Life in a common environment produces shared experiences, knowledge, information and other products mediated by these kinds of networks. Recent studies show that social networks can exert a significant influence on people's labor market performance (e.g. Frijters et al., 2005). Battu et al. (2011) also indicate that "ethnicity raises the probability of using networks" in the UK. For example, individuals might benefit from their friendships; their friends might introduce job opportunities to them, or provide them with assistance. Social networks are argued to be "the most profitable avenue of job search" for immigrants (Frijters et al., 2005). For these reasons, individuals' labor market performance may exhibit important dependencies, especially for immigrants; thus, the labor market performance of an individual is correlated with that of other individuals to some extent. For these reasons, social networks might act positively on the process of immigrants' assimilation.

2.2.2 Ethnic concentration

Recent international studies have generally indicated a negative effect of ethnic concentration on immigrants' earnings. For example, Chiswick and Miller (2002), and Bertrand et al. (2000) showed that linguistic concentration negatively influenced immigrants' labor market performance in the US. Warman (2007) also observed negative effects of ethnic concentration on earnings. In contrast, Edin et al. (2003) find that by correcting for the endogeneity of ethnic concentration, immigrants'

_

¹ Details are discussed in Section Three: Model Specifications.

earnings in Sweden were positively correlated with the size of ethnic concentration in some cases.

Conceptually, immigrants' networks can affect immigrants' earnings through different channels. Immigrants might find greater opportunities for employment through geographic concentration. First, an ethnic enclave creates job opportunities for immigrants by lowering the requirements for employment (such as being skilled in the local language, or having a recognized qualification). In addition, immigrant-owned businesses can provide the main source of employment opportunities for immigrants who come from the same ethnic group as the owner. It was observed that even after being located in the US for six years, approximately 40% of Cuban immigrants worked for businesses owned by Cubans (Portes, 1987). Secondly, the immigrant market is potentially important for local mainstream companies. Because native-born employees might know little about immigrants' culture and language, mainstream companies might prefer to hire immigrants to serve the target immigrant market.

Moreover, as discussed above, an ethnic enclave might increase the employment possibilities for immigrants in and out of that ethnic enclave. Therefore, on the one hand, immigrants might benefit from ethnic concentration, as more jobs could be generated by ethnic and geographic concentration. On the other hand, by lowering barriers to employment for immigrants, an ethnic enclave reduces the bargaining power of low-skilled immigrants, since it makes employment within the ethnic enclave very attractive (e.g. working in an ethnic enclave can reduce the cost associated with learning English).

As a result, the effect of ethnic concentration on immigrants' assimilation is *a priori* unknown, and it might vary by ethnic group or locality depending on the strength of market forces. For example, in the protected ethnic enclave market, immigrants might accept a lower salary than they would prefer in order to secure the employment opportunity. However, with an increase in the proportion of immigrants in a specific region a higher demand for immigrant labor would be generated, leading to more job opportunities and a higher salary for immigrants.

3. Model Specifications

We incorporate a spatial component, and adjust for potential endogeneity in the panel setting through the Hausman-Taylor (H-T) (1981) estimation method. We will outline the essential features of this model and then discuss some issues concerning its components. Some further discussion of the model and identification is provided in Appendix B.

Our objective is to explain individual earnings over time, which depend on time-varying and time-invariant characteristics, as well as ethnic capital effects of the sort outlined above. Our econometric model is inspired by Goetzke (2008), LeSage and Pace (2009), and by Baltagi (2013), Baltagi and Liu (2011) and Lee (2007). As noted earlier, the HT estimator adopts instrumental variables (IV) estimation, and the features of both a fixed-effects and random-effects model in the panel setting, as well as controlling for endogeneity of the spatial lag variable and other endogenous explanatory variables.

The model takes the linear form:

$$y_{it} = \rho \sum_{j \neq i} w_{ijt} y_{jt} + \sum_{h=1}^{k} x_{ith} \beta_h + \sum_{m=1}^{g} z_{im} \gamma_m + \varepsilon_{it}$$
 (1)

where y_{it} is (the logarithm of) earnings of individual i in period t, w_{ijt} is a data dependent weight which reflects, in period t, the difference in ethnicity and geographic location between individuals i and j. The effects of the three sets of variables are given by the coefficients β_h , γ_m and ρ , with the last of these reflecting the direction and overall strength of the ethnic capital effects. The structure of the model, especially the nature of the ethnic spatial autocorrelation feature, is somewhat easier to understand once the model is expressed in terms of matrices and vectors, so we write it as

$$y_t = \rho W_t y_t + X_t \beta + Z \gamma + \varepsilon_t, \quad t = 1, ..., T$$
 (1')

where y_t is a $N \times 1$ vector of observations on earnings on each of N individuals in period t. The matrix W_t is a matrix of spatial weights for period t, and its presence means the model has a "spatial lag" structure. The t subscript allows, as above, for the possibility of temporal variation in the weight matrix. W_t is an $n \times n$ ethnic spatial weight matrix that determines the first-order ethnic and geographical (ethnic-spatial) relationship among individuals; its primary feature is that its diagonal elements are

equal to zero. Lee (2007) shows that in a setting where there are interactions among groups, the exclusion of the individual in the group mean such as in this case, and variation in group sizes (also easily met in this setting) can yield identification.

 W_ty_t reflects labor market performances of an individual's ethnic spatial network members ('ethnic neighbors' in a broader sense). Under the ethnic capital hypothesis, individuals' incomes depend on ethnic capital and other socio-economic variables. The coefficient ρ measures the correlation of earnings among "ethnic spatial network members" and also the size of the effect of the network in a specific locality. The spatial model expands the empirical framework to investigate the effect of ethnic capital that is relevant to an immigrant group. An advantage of the augmented model is that it enables one to directly estimate the ethnic spatial network effect.

The other components on the right-hand side of (1) and (1') have essentially a Hausman-Taylor (1981) panel data structure: in (1') the components of Z are observed and time invariant, while those of X_t are observed and time varying, and ε_t also consists of an unobserved time-invariant component, a, and a conventional disturbance component, η_t , i.e., $\varepsilon_t = \alpha + \eta_t$; As in Hausman and Taylor (1981), dependence between some columns of X_t and α is allowed as is dependence between some columns of Zand α . This allows for a certain amount of endogeneity. X_t includes a variable for ethnic concentration as conventionally measured, and socio-economic, and personal characteristics of individuals (e.g. education level, personal English proficiency level, years since migration, and immigrant identity). The unknown coefficients are the scalar ρ and the vectors β and γ . The incorporation of the spatial component adds an additional variable (W_ty_t), along with an additional unknown coefficient, to the Hausman-Taylor set-up, and is intended to capture the immigrant network and ethnicity capital effects discussed in the previous section. The Moran I's test confirm spatial auto-correlation in our case. We treat Wtyt and Skill Level, English Proficiency and Marital Status as endogenous.

A fuller discussion of the exact specification, identification and estimation of the model is contained in Appendix B. We also show that the spatial model is identified in the Hausman-Taylor setting under some reasonable assumptions. These assumptions are that: The number of exogenous time varying variables in the H-T model (k₁) is

greater than (or equal to) the number of the endogenous time-invariant variables (g₂) plus one (i.e., $k_1 \ge g_2 + 1$). In addition, regarding the spatial lag variable, the diagonal elements of the matrix must be zeros, indicating that the individual is excluded from the group means. Finally, as Lee (2007) shows, variation in group sizes in addition to the assumptions above can yield identification for the spatial lag variable. These assumptions are met in our analysis.²

3.1 Ethnic spatial weight matrix

survey, and location.

One can define individuals who are from the same ethnic group and location as first-order ethnic neighbors. Thus, "ethnic-spatial dependence" represents the case that an individual's labor market performance is influenced by their ethnic spatial network members' labor market performances and other ethnic capital factors in that location.

Before the ethnic-spatial relationship matrix W is discussed, the first-order ethnic-spatial network matrix E will be introduced. Suppose P1, P2, P4 and P6 are all persons from Asia; P1 and P4 are both located in location A, while P2 and P6 are persons located in location B. P3, P5 and P7 are from the UK; they are all located in location B. 3 Thus, the 7×7 first-order ethnic-spatial network matrix E is, in this case:

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} P1P2P3P4P5P6P7 \\ P1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ P2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ P3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ P4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ P5 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ P7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

10

² Baltagi, et al. (2013) apply the spatial Hausman-Taylor model in a study of the spill-over effects in the chemical industry in China. In their model, the spatial lag is positioned as a component of the error structure. In our model, we incorporate the spatial lag component on the left side of the model (as in (1') above) as in Goetzke (2008), LeSage and Pace (2009), and Baltagi and Liu (2011). Our modelling approach is motivated by the main objective of our paper that lies in estimating the impact of spatial

immigrant ethnic outcomes on the earnings of individual immigrants.

³ As discussed in the Introduction, the matrix E in this case is constructed by: country of origin, year of

When the elements of matrix E are zeroes, individuals are not deemed to be first-order ethnic-spatial neighbors. In addition, the diagonal elements of the above matrix are zeroes, which means that individuals are not considered as neighbors to themselves.

Since the number of an individual's first-order ethnic-spatial neighbors would vary over time, the mean (rather than the cumulative) value of the variable over the neighboring observations is the appropriate measure for analysis. As a result, in order to define an "ethnic spatial lag", matrix *E* should be normalized by rescaling each row so its elements sum to one. This yields the ethnic spatial weight matrix *W*. For example, the E in (3) becomes:

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} P1P2 & P3 & P4 & P5 & P6 & P7 \\ P1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ P2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ P3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ P4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ P5 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ P6 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ P7 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

3. 2 Ethnic spatial autoregressive process

Here we outline the structure of the spatial lag model (1) for two individuals (i and j with j = i + 1) with non-zero ij^{th} and ji^{th} elements of W (denoted by w_{ij} and w_{ji} respectively, but with all other elements of the i^{th} and j^{th} rows of W equal to zero (see also LeSage and Pace (2009)). In this case we have simply

$$y_{it} = \rho \ w_{ijt} y_{jt} + \sum_h x_{ith} \beta_h + \ \sum_m z_{im} \gamma_m + \epsilon_{it}$$

$$y_{jt} = \rho \ w_{jit} y_{it} + \sum_h x_{jth} \beta_h + \ \sum_m z_{jm} \gamma_m + \epsilon_{jt}$$

where x_{jt} is the i^{th} row of X_t , and z_i is the i^{th} row of Z, for i=1,...,n; and this is clearly a "simultaneous data generating process" in which y_i depends on y_j and *vice versa*. More generally, in our setting, the "ethnic-spatial auto regressive process" feature of the model implies that

$$y_{it} = \rho \sum_{j} w_{ijt} y_{jt} + \sum_{h} x_{ith} \beta_h + \sum_{m} z_{im} \gamma_m + \epsilon_{it}$$

as in in (1).

Since "ethnic spatial network" members are defined as individuals who are from the same ethnic group and settled in the same location, $\sum_{jt} w_{ijt}y_{jt}$ is the "ethnic-spatial lag" in this case, and represents the linear combination of individual i's ethnic spatial network members' labor market performances.

3. 3 Network effect

Now, we can work out the model to investigate the effect of the network based on equation (1'). Rearranging (1') in an obvious way (but with Z and the t subscript omitted for simplicity) yields

$$(I - \rho W)y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

and whenever the matrix $I - \rho W$ is non-singular,

$$y = (I - \rho W)^{-1} X \beta + (I - \rho W)^{-1} \varepsilon$$
(4)

which is a reduced form expression for y.

In many economic models, immigrants' earnings estimation is based on a simple specification such as

$$y = \alpha \iota + \beta x + \varepsilon$$

where ι is a $n \times 1$ vector of ones, x is for simplicity a vector of observations on a single human capital variable. Incorporating a network effect into this simple model gives the effect of human ethnic capital on individual i's earning as $(I-\rho W)^{ii}\beta$, instead of β , where $(I-\rho W)^{ii}$ is the ith diagonal element of $(I-\rho W)^{-1}$. So, without considering one of the effects of ethnic capital (the network effect), we may either underestimate or overestimate the effects of immigrants' personal characteristics and other socioeconomic factors.

Note also the expansion

$$(I - \rho W)^{-1} = (I + \rho W + \rho^2 W^2 + \dots)$$

which can evidently be used in (4) to obtain

$$y = (I + \rho W + \rho^2 W^2 \dots) X\beta + (I + \rho W + \rho^2 W^2 \dots) \epsilon$$

As discussed before, W denotes the first-order ethnic-spatial relationship among individuals, and ρ shows the correlation with that individual's first-order ethnic-spatial network members. W² can be thought of as representing the second-order ethnic-spatial relationship; and ρ^2 is the influence from that individual's second-order ethnic-spatial neighbors (that is neighbors' neighbors), and so on. Following the same logic, $(I - \rho W)^{-1}$ constitutes a full social network for that individual and captures all the information from a network (e.g. Bonacich, 1972; Katz, 1953). The model we will work with, though, is based on that given by (1'), or equivalently (1).

3. 4 Effect of ethnic concentration

Immigrants' labor market performances are influenced by many ethnic-capital factors, such as ethnic markets, average language proficiency level, and ethnic concentration. In addition, the effects of the ethnic capital factors mentioned above differ across different localities under the hypotheses of ethnic capital. Thus, another ethnic spatial variable may be required when modeling the effects of other ethnic-capital effects. In our model, one variable appearing in X in (1), and (1'), is the variable $EthC_{elt}$, which represent ethnic concentration, where 'e' denotes ethnic group, and 'l' represents a specific geographic area, and 't' denotes time:

$$EthC_{elt} = \frac{Population_{elt}}{Population_{lt}}$$

The two ethnic capital variables W_ty_t and $EthC_{elt}$ measure different aspects of the spatial ethnic network effect, and we test including both.

generation and group interaction of outcomes.

⁴ In a separate literature on the impact of social interactions on peer effects, the issue of separating the impact of the network per se (correlated and peer endogenous effects) from exogenous (or contextual) effects (e.g. Manski, 1993). However, a number of spatial analyses in other contexts are interested in the correlation of outcomes, and they incorporate the simultaneous generation of outcomes, and are less concerned with separating these components (Goetzke, 2008; LeSage and Pace, 2009; Baltagi, 2013). Our analysis in this paper has features of the second group of studies to incorporate simultaneous data

3.5 Hausman-Taylor (HT) estimation (1981)

As noted above, Hausman and Taylor (1981) developed an econometric model for panel data that combines an allowance for some endogeneity in both time varying and time invariant covariates with an error component formulation for the disturbances. Their basic model is

$$y_t = X_t \beta + Z \gamma + \varepsilon_t,$$
 $t = 1,...,T$

where y_t is a $n \times 1$ vector of observations on n individuals for period t. (The model is a panel data one in the sense that the same individuals are observed over the T periods.) X_t is a $n \times k$ matrix of observations on time-varying covariates for period t, and Z is a matrix of observations on time-invariant characteristics. The (unobserved) $n \times 1$ disturbance vectors ε_t also consist of time-varying and time-invariant components:

$$\epsilon_t = \alpha + \eta_t$$

Here α is a vector, replicated for each time period, of time-invariant unobserved individual characteristics, which are independently and identically distributed and also independent of η_t for all t; the components of η_t are independently and identically distributed across individuals and over time. The covariates are further partitioned as $X_t = [X_{1t} : X_{2t}], \ Z = [Z_1 : Z_2]$ where X_{2t} , and Z_2 are correlated with α (but not with η_t) while X_{1t} , and Z_1 are not; the number of variables in X_{1t} needs to be at least as large as the number in Z_2 if the coefficient vectors β and γ are to be estimable. To this model we simply add a spatial lag component, so that the model takes the form (1'), with the addition, for each t, of the time invariant covariates and the error components structure, and thence (1'). Further detail is provided in Appendix B.

4. Data

4.1 The Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) Survey

The Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) Survey is a household-based panel study that began in 2001. The wave 1 panel consisted of 7,682 households and 19,914 individuals. HILDA contains dynamic information about

surveyed Australian natives' and immigrants' income, education, ethnicity, residence location, occupation and family. In addition, HILDA divides Australia into 13 major statistical regions. HILDA also provides fully detailed information about where immigrants come from. Among the positive features of the data set are the panel setting over an extended period; the large number of observations and immigrant source countries; and information on a wide range of explanatory variables.

A merged longitudinal data set is created based on data from the first eight waves of HILDA (from 2001 to 2008) and adopted in this study. In order to examine immigrants' labor market performance in Australia, only observations of full-time employed male immigrants and natives, aged between 25⁵ and 55 years, have been employed. We use a balanced panel data set. Since some respondents refused to answer some questions, resulting in missing data, those individuals and the corresponding observations have been dropped from the data set. Because there are new, added and dropped respondents in each wave of the survey, longitudinal weights are applied in all regressions. As a result, the merged longitudinal data set contains 12,782 observations and 2,357 individuals; among whom there are 517 immigrants, who contributed 2,662 observations.6

We augment our data set by incorporating ethnic concentration. Since HILDA collects information about the country of origin of individuals, it is possible to classify ethnic groups by parents' country of origin. However, the published 2001 and 2006 Australian census data reports only information about individuals' country of birth. 7 Therefore, in order to incorporate the Australian census data with HILDA, the ethnicity of an individual will be classified by that individual's country of birth.

Immigrants from different ethnic backgrounds and countries of origin may have different assimilation processes. In our sample, and matching our data with the census, immigrants came from 52 countries (each country contributed about 37

time of the Census.

⁵Selection of the age group older than 22 is useful in considering the group beyond university studies. ⁶ The majority of American studies (e.g. Yuengert, 1995) have examined immigrants' geographical decisions in light of Metropolitan Statistical Areas. We would argue that the Australian statistical units (states), which are generally organized around a major city, provide the appropriate unit for our study. Immigrants can often more easily obtain information about employment opportunities and wages through the spatial ethnic network (Battu, et. al., 2011). Taking into account this information, and adopting our ethnic spatial weighted matrix, we aim to capture the impact of an entire network in a particular location. ⁷ The Australian Census of Population generally covers the entire population residing in Australia at the

observations on average). The weight matrix W_t incorporates this feature of the data on the wide range of birth places. In addition, in order to examine the effect of ethnic capital for major immigrant groups, we report results based on dividing our data into two major sub-samples, based on considerations of geography and language: from Main English Speaking Countries (ESC)⁸, and Non-English Speaking Countries (NESC). Then according to geography, language and sample size, we further divide NESC into two categories: Asian immigrants and the Rest of NESC. We divide ESC into three categories: immigrants from the United Kingdom (UK) and Ireland, and immigrants from New Zealand (these categories are the two major components of the group), and the Rest of ESC⁹.

An individual is categorized as being high-skilled if that person has obtained at least an advanced diploma or a bachelor degree (e.g. Maani, 2004). Since HILDA reports the age at which an individual has completed studies, potential labor market experience is calculated by current age minus age at completion of studies, as in other research (Gladden and Taber, 2002; Schultz, 1997). The language proficiency variable is based on the time period just previous to first survey year. Wage has generally been considered as a major indicator of an individual's labor market performance by previous studies (e.g. Borjas, 1985); thus, in this paper real hourly wage is the dependent variable of interest. Real hourly wage is derived from HILDA by dividing weekly salary from an individual's main job by hours of work in that job. Furthermore, hourly wage has been adjusted by the Australian CPI¹⁰.

4.2 2001 and 2006 Australian census

To incorporate ethnic concentration information across the host country, we use data from the Australian Census. We derived one of our two ethnic capital variables (ethnic concentration) from the published 2001 and 2006 Australian Census tables (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2006, 2007). This ethnic capital variable is measured

⁸ According to the definition adopted by HILDA survey, "main English Speaking Countries" are: United Kingdom, New Zealand, Canada, USA, Ireland and South Africa.

⁹ The sample size of Rest of ESC is relatively small; therefore, we have not included specific regression analysis for this category.

¹⁰Base year is 1990.

at the Australian state (major statistical region (MSR)) level¹¹. We merge this data with HILDA data to produce additional ethnic concentration variables.

As in Section 3.2, ethnic concentration in relation to our data is defined as $EthC_{elt} = \frac{Population_{elt}}{Population_{lt}}$, where "e" denotes ethnic group (classified by country of origin), and "l" represents a specific location (at MSR level, totaling 13) in Australia. 12 There are 52 countries of origin reported in the Census years 2001 and 2006.

We note that the Ethnic Concentration variable measures the effect of the size of the ethnic spatial network, while the Ethnic Network (the autoregressive spatial network variable) controls for the quality of resources and strength of the network. Including both these measures allows us to have a more comprehensive set of controls for ethnic network effects.

4.3 Demographic characteristics

Due to adjustments to Australian immigration policy during the past three decades many aspects of the structure of the immigrant population in Australia have profoundly changed, such as country of origin, language skill, and education level. Therefore, in our analyses recent immigrants are also considered as a separate group in order to show better the characteristics of recent and earlier cohorts of immigrants. Recent immigrants are defined as immigrants who arrived in Australia after 1991.

Table 1 represents the socio-economic characteristics of full-time employed native-born males and immigrant males, aged between 25 and 55. The definition of all variables is available in Table A1 in Appendix A. It is noteworthy that half of the full-time employed recent male immigrants are high-skilled; this figure (53.61%) is higher than the corresponding figure for both natives (32.64%) and earlier immigrants (39.18%). However, earlier immigrants are more likely to be married; about 84.76% of them are married.

¹¹This measure based on the census is consistent with the location information reported by HILDA.

¹² Each of the 13 statistical level states in HILDA is centered on one or two major cities where immigrants are most likely to reside. Examples are Melbourne in Victoria, Sydney in New South Wales, Canberra in ACT and Adelaide in South Australia.

Table 1Descriptive Statistics

	Australia- Born	Recent Immigrants	Earlier Immigrants
Age	39.3	37.8	43.3
High Skilled (%)	32.6	53.6	39.2
Married (%)	80.1	80.4	84.8
Age at First Arrival (mean)	-	29.1	16.4
Years Since Migration (mean)	-	8.6	26.9
Experience (potential) (mean)	22.8	20.6	26.4
Log of Real Hourly Wage in Main Job for High-Skilled*	2.9	2.8	2.9
Log of Real Hourly Wage in Main Job for Low-Skilled*	2.6	2.5	2.6
Born in Main English Speaking Countries (%)	-	41.3	57.7
(Major country groups)			
Born in UK & Ireland (%)		9.2	90.8
Born in New Zealand (%)		26.9	73.1
Born in Non-English Speaking Countries (%)	-	35.0	64.2
(Major country groups) Born in Asia (%)	-	34.0	20.1
Arrived between 2001 and 2008 (%)	-	9.7	-
Arrived between 1991 and 2000 (%)	-	90.3	-
Arrived between 1981 and 1990 (%)	-	-	44.6
Arrived between 1971 and 1980 (%)	-	-	24.0
Arrived before 1971 (%)	-		31.4
Number of Observations	10120	739	1923

Notes: Based on HILDA Panel Data (2001-2008). Full-time employed males, ages 25-55.

The average age of recent immigrants is lower than the average age of nativeborn males, while the average age of earlier immigrants is likely to be greater than that of both native-born and recent male immigrants. But compared to earlier immigrants, recent immigrants arrived in Australia on average at an older age (29) than the earlier cohorts (16). On average, recent immigrants earned a lower hourly wage than Australian native-born workers. Most of the immigrants are from the main Englishspeaking countries, followed by Asian countries.

^{*} All wages are adjusted by Australian CPI. High-Skilled refers to a Bachelor's or a higher degree, and Less-Skilled refers to below that level of education.

5. Empirical Evidence

Recall that the main equation estimated in this paper examines the effects of ethnic capital by incorporating ethnic concentration and a spatial weighted matrix effect of group characteristics as in equation (1).

Based on potential measurement error, selection bias, and other biases caused by un-observability (e.g. ability), personal human capital variables (skill level, English proficiency, and marital status) are treated as endogenous in our earnings models, as they have been in previous economic analyses (e.g. Card, 1999, 2000; Chiswick and Miller, 1995, 1999; García et al., 2008; Ruiz et al., 2010). Moreover, due to potential location effects and selection bias, our two variables of interest, the variable of ethnic concentration and the variable of ethnic network, are also identified as endogenous (see Clark and Drinkwater, 2000; Edin et al., 2003).

Results based on Hausman and Taylor estimations are provided in Table 2. The estimations suggest a positive and significant network effect on immigrants' earnings. This finding confirms the hypotheses about the effect of a network on immigrants' economic integration process: that is, their labor market performance is not independent; and their wages are correlated with each other. The results are further consistent with the hypothesis that social networks act positively on immigrants' assimilation.

Overall, immigrants benefit from spatial concentration and such concentration is likely to result in more resources they can access once the ethnic population in a specific locality is sufficiently large. When we take account of the overall ethnic capital effects, ethnic capital acts positively on immigrants' hourly wage and confirms the hypotheses of ethnic capital. We discuss the results below.

5.1 Spatial versus conventional results

5.1.1 Hausman-Taylor Estimation

The Hausman and Taylor (HT) estimation results of the spatial and conventional models are provided in Table 2. Table 2 shows the ethnic capital network effects on immigrants' earnings assimilation are significant, and their hourly earnings have a spatial correlation of approximately 0.008. Immigrants in Australia benefit from being spatially concentrated; that is, the coefficient of ethnic concentration is about 0.027 and it is statistically significant.

When immigrants are pooled with natives, potential labor market experience increases wages for both natives and immigrants at a rate of 3.3% per year and this rate is also decreasing, by 0.1% annually. However, when we study this effect on immigrants only, the results indicate a larger effect of potential experience on immigrants' earnings. When immigrants are pooled with Australian natives, the coefficient of year since migration (YSM) suggests that the hourly wage of immigrants is growing at a faster rate than that of natives by about 3.2%.

Generally, married immigrants and natives tend to have a higher hourly wage than do unmarried individuals, but the effect is significantly more pronounced for immigrants. Personal English language skill and education level help both male natives and male immigrants to receive a higher hourly wage.

The HT estimator applied in this paper adopts the features of both a fixed-effects and random-effects model, and it provides the measurements of time-invariant variables as well as controlling for endogeneity. Therefore, we recommend that the augmented HT estimation provides a better understanding of the effects of assimilation and ethnic capital on panel data.

While our interest lies in the Hausman and Taylor results which address endogeneity in Table 2, we also provide results for comparison, based on simple panel data analysis, in Table C1 in Appendix C. The results in Appendix C also provided auxiliary tests for goodness of fit and endogeneity.

Table 2Panel Data Estimates of Log Hourly Wage (With control for endogeneity)
Full-time Employed Male Australian-born and Immigrants (Hausman-Taylor Estimation)

	Full Immigrant-Only				
	Sample	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Ethnic Capital					
Network Effect (Weighted log					
Hourly Wage of spatial	/	/	0.008***	/	0.008***
ethnic network (<i>Wy</i>))					
	/	/	(0.0001)	/	(0.0001)
Ethnic Concentration	/	/	/	0.034***	0.027***
	/	/	/	(0.0006)	(0.0006)
Human Capital					
Experience	0.033***	0.043***	0.042***	0.043***	0.042***
	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)
Experience-squared	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	0.001***	0.001***
	(1.53e-06)	(3.65e-06)	(3.64e-06)	(3.65e-06)	(3.64e-06)
Proficiency in English	0.008***	0.014***	0.017***	0.014***	0.017***
	(0.0015)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)
High Skilled	0.0850***	0.062***	0.063***	0.062***	0.063***
_	(0.0008)	(0.0020)	(0.0020)	(0.0020)	(0.0020)
Personal Characteristics					
Years Since Migration	0.022***	0.054***	0.052***	0.052***	0.052***
(YSM)	0.032***	0.054***	0.053***	0.053***	0.053***
	(0.0001)	(0.0003)	(0.0002)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)
YSM-squared	0.0004***	-0.0005***	-0.0005***	0.0004***	0.0004***
	(2.08e-06)	(2.45e-06)	(2.45e-06)	(2.46e-06)	(2.46e-06)
Married	0.0531***	0.174***	0.173***	0.174***	0.174***
	(0.0003)	(0.0007)	(0.0007)	(0.0007)	(0.0007)
Immigrant	-0.549***	/	/	/	/
S	(0.0026)	/	/	/	/
Arrived 2001-2008	0.648***	1.466***	1.456***	1.456***	1.449***
	(0.0042)	(0.0089)	(0.0089)	(0.0089)	(0.0089)
Arrived 1991-2000	0.326***	1.030***	1.010***	1.046***	1.023***
	(0.0025)	(0.0069)	(0.0069)	(0.0070)	(0.0069)
Arrived 1981-1990	0.123***	0.618***	0.605***	0.633***	0.617***
	(0.0022)	(0.0051)	(0.0050)	(0.0051)	(0.0050)
Arrived 1971-1980	0.140***	0.422***	0.416***	0.433***	0.425***
7411VCd 1971 1900	(0.0021)	(0.0033)	(0.0033)	(0.0033)	(0.0033)
Arrival Before 1971	(0.0021)	. ,	erence Group	(0.0055)	(0.0033)
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations Observations	12782	2662	2662	2662	2662
	0.565	0.675	0.670	0.675	0.670
sigma_u					
sigma_e	0.258	0.288	0.287	0.287	0.287
rho	0.828	0.846	0.845	0.846	0.845
Wald Chi-square	1770000	707319	727982	710674	729786.31

Notes: (1) HILDA DATA (2001-2008); (2) Standard errors in parentheses; (3) * p<0.10 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01.

5.2 Results by country of origin group

For a closer examination of network effects by country of origin, we estimated the model for the sample groups of the English Speaking countries (ESC) and Non-English-Speaking Countries (NESC) immigrants, and for major sub-groups of each. Table 3 summarizes the specific effects by these country groups (Main English Speaking Countries (ESC), Non-English Speaking Countries (NESC). Asia, Rest of NESC, UK & Ireland, and New Zealand, as major groups, are considered individually.

Since all immigrant respondents from ESC in our sample indicated they speak only English at home, we treat them as proficient in English and therefore have dropped the dummy variable of proficiency in English for them.

First we note that the correlations of immigrants' earnings are significantly positive in all cases. Therefore, immigrants in Australia enjoy a positive network effect. In addition, the correlations of earnings for NESC immigrants appear to be stronger than those for ESC immigrants. Furthermore, the results indicate that Asian immigrants share the strongest network effect among all categories. We verified the statistical significance of these differences in results by ethnic group through auxiliary Wald tests across the groups and verified them (at p values=0.003 or better). The results confirm a stronger network effect among NESC immigrants than among ESC immigrants. In addition, Asian immigrants are found to have the strongest correlation of earnings in Australia among all immigrant categories.

A second noteworthy result is that the effect of ethnic concentration on NESC immigrants is positive and significant, while for ESC immigrants it is negative. For example, the coefficient of ethnic concentration for immigrants from NESC is 0.028; for Asia, 0.042; for major English-speaking countries (ESC), -0.04; for the UK & Ireland, -0.07. This result provides additional evidence as to why the international literature on the effects of ethnic concentration may appear divided across studies.

Battu et al. (2011) analyzed immigrants' assimilation and the effect of networks in the UK. They observed that immigrants are more likely to utilize their networks and the effect is stronger for immigrants who do not consider themselves to be British. Our results, using a different method and different country data, are consistent with the hypothesis that for immigrants with greater language and cultural

Table 3Ethnic Capital and Immigrants' Log Hourly Wage by Country of Origin Group:
Full-time Employed Male Immigrants in Australia (Hausman-Taylor Panel Estimation)

	Non-English Speaking Countries (NESC)			Major English Speaking Countries (ESC)^		
	General	Asia	Rest of NESC	General	UK & Ireland	New Zealand
Ethnic Capital						
Network Effect (Weighted log Hourly Wage spatial ethnic network(<i>Wy</i>))	0.009***	0.014***	0.005***	0.007***	0.005***	0.009***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0004)	(0.0002)
Ethnic Concentration	0.028***	0.042***	0.267***	-0.040***	-0.070***	-0.352***
	(0.0007)	(0.0008)	(0.0012)	(0.0015)	(0.0004)	(0.0031)
Human Capital	, , , , , ,	, ,	, , ,		, , ,	
Experience	0.035***	0.039***	0.014***	0.042***	0.027***	0.055***
-	(0.0003)	(0.0004)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0004)	(0.0006)
Experience -squared	-0.001***	-0.001***	0.0002***	-0.001***	-0.0003***	-0.001***
•	(5.27e-06)	(8.10e-06)	(6.77e-06)	(5.06e-06)	(6.33e-06)	(0.00001)
Proficiency in English	0.019***	0.019***	0.039***	/	/	/
-	(0.0018)	(0.0021)	(0.0037)	/	/	/
High Skilled	0.327***	0.334***	0.353***	0.022***	0.013***	0.095***
	(0.0038)	(0.0050)	(0.0049)	(0.0021)	(0.0022)	(0.0049)
Personal Characteristics						
Years Since Migration (YSM)	0.039***	0.021***	0.036***	0.067***	0.063***	0.053***
	(0.0004)	(0.0006)	(0.0005)	(0.0003)	(0.0004)	(0.0009)
YSM-squared	-0.0002***	-0.0002***	-0.0002***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
-	(3.79e-06)	(6.29e-06)	(4.59e-06)	(3.23e06)	(4.11e-06)	(8.10e-06)
Married	0.322***	0.465***	0.080***	0.022***	0.005***	0.074***
	(0.0010)	(0.0015)	(0.0013)	(0.0009)	(0.0012)	(0.0014)
Cohort Effects (5 categories)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	1247	638	609	1415	864	381

sigma_u	0.662	0.739	0.585	0.623	0.752	0.728
sigma_e	0.307	0.337	0.253	0.258	0.243	0.28
rho	0.823	0.828	0.843	0.853	0.905	0.871
Wald Chi-square	1470000	343208	169854	347379	165897	136578

Notes: (1) Standard errors in parentheses; (2) * p<0.10 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01; (3) ESC^ stands for Major English Speaking Countries: United Kingdom, New Zealand, Canada, USA, Ireland and South Africa.

distance from the host country the effect of ethnic concentration is positive as it can provide greater opportunities that may overcome competition effects.

In summary, we find the following noteworthy set of results for the effects of ethnic capital on immigrants' hourly earnings: (1) The effect of ethnic capital is positive and strong for both ESC and NESC immigrants, but it does vary across immigrant groups; (2) The network effect is stronger for immigrants from NESC, especially from Asia; (3) The ethnic concentration effects are also positive and strong for Asian immigrants and immigrants from NESC; (4) Once we control for network effects, the effects of ethnic concentration on immigrants from ESC – a group of countries that have similar language and cultural backgrounds to Australia – are negative and highly significant.

In this paper, we find that while immigrant concentration may lower earnings due to increased competition, as shown in the case of ESC immigrants, when immigrants have greater cultural and language distance from the host country, they might "generate" demand for immigrant labor within the cultural/ethnic network and off-set the initial disadvantages in the host country labor market to some extent.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we have augmented the conventional model of immigrant earnings, and examined the effect of ethnic capital, particularly spatial ethnic networks of economic resources on immigrants' earnings in a panel data setting. We show that the Hausman and Taylor (1981) model lends itself to addressing endogeneity of the spatial ethnic network variable, and other related covariates in the augmented immigrants' earnings model.

We find that the network variable plays a positive and significant effect on wage growth in all cases. A stronger social network and linkage helps immigrants to achieve better economic performance and more successful assimilation. In addition, wages of immigrants from different cultural and language backgrounds to that of Australia (e.g. Asia) are more strongly correlated compared to those of other immigrants.

Since the results further confirm that the initial earnings for NESC immigrants, and for Asian immigrants in particular, are lower than for ESC immigrants, the results indicate a potentially important role of the ethnic/social network on the economic integration processes of immigrants from NESC, especially from Asia.

We find that immigrants with a different cultural and language background to Australia benefit from concentration and networking in a specific region in Australia. In addition, our study shows that when we control for ethnic network effects and ethnic concentration, both factors have significant effects for all immigrant groups.

Finally, the results of this study strongly suggest that greater attention to the role of ethnic capital and immigrant networks on the assimilation process of immigrants is to be recommended.

Appendix A

Table A1: Variable List and Definitions

Human Capital	
Potential Experience	Age minus the age of graduation. (X ₁)
Proficiency in English	Binary variable, equal to one if proficient in English, based on proficiency
	prior to the first year of data. (Z ₂)
High Skilled	Binary variable, equal to one if that individual obtained at least a Bachelor
Personal Characteristics	degree or Advanced Certificate. (X2)
Years Since Migration (YSM)	This variable represents the duration of immigration. (X_1)
Married	Binary variable, equal to one if that individual is married. (X2)
Arrived 2001-2008	Binary variable, equal to one if that immigrant arrived between 2001 and 2008. (Z_1)
Arrived 1991-2000	Binary variable, equal to one if that immigrant arrived between 1991 and 2000. (Z_1)
Arrived 1981-1990	Binary variable, equal to one if that immigrant arrived between 1981 and 1990. (Z_1)
Arrived 1971-1980	Binary variable, equal to one if that immigrant arrived between 1971 and 1980. (Z_1)
Arrived Before 1971	This is a dummy variable, equal to one if that immigrant arrived before 1971. (Z_1)
Ethnic Capital	
Network Effect (Wy)	The weighted (average) logarithm of hourly wage of an individual's ethnic spatial network. (X_2)
Ethnic Concentration	The natural logarithm of the proportion of the population of a specific ethnic group to the total population size in a specific region (lagged measures from the previous five-year census). (X ₁)

Notes:

(1) The classification of each variable into one of time varying or invariant, and endogenous or not is indicated in parentheses after the descriptions above. (For example, (X_1) indicates that the variable is time varying and exogenous; (X_2) indicates that the variable is time varying and endogenous; and (Z_1) indicates that the variable is time invariant and exogenous; See also Appendix B.). Tests of endogeneity are provided in Appendix C; (2) Variables treated as endogenous are: Network Effect (Wy), High Skilled, Proficiency in English, and Married.

Appendix B

Specification and identification of the Hausman-Taylor model with a spatial lag component

Consider first a model which is essentially the same as that of Hausman and Taylor (1981):

$$y_{it} = x_{it}'\beta + z_i'\gamma + \epsilon_{it}$$

where i=1,...,N ("individuals") and t=1,...,T ("time periods"), and x_{it} and z_i are $1\times k$ and $1\times g$ vectors of observations respectively on two sets of regressors, the first of which are time varying and the second are not, as indicated by the presence/absence of t subscripts; β and γ are the corresponding coefficient vectors. The disturbances ϵ_{it} , likewise consist of time varying and time invariant components:

$$\varepsilon_{it} = \alpha_i + \eta_{it}$$

where η_{it} are independent and identically distributed with $E[\eta_{it}] = 0$, $var[\eta_{it}] = \sigma_{\eta}^2$ and are jointly independent of all x_{js} , z_{j} and α_{s} for at all i, j, s, t. The time-invariant components α_{i} are, as in Hausman and Taylor (1981), independently distributed across individuals, with variance σ_{α}^2 . This last assumption is important for the extension we consider below.

The regressors are partitioned as $x_{it}' = [x_{1it}': x_{2it}']$, where the two sub-vectors of x_{it}' here are $k_1 \times 1$, $k_2 \times 1$, and $z_{i}' = [z_{1i}': z_{2i}']$, with sub-vectors of order $g_1 \times 1$, $g_2 \times 1$ respectively. (β and γ are partitioned conformably as $\beta' = [\beta_1 : \beta_2']$, $\gamma' = [\gamma_1' : \gamma_2']$. The point of this partitioning is that x_{1jt} , and z_{1j} are assumed to be jointly independent of α_i , and so, in particular

$$E[\varepsilon_{it} \mid x_{1it}, z_{1i}] = E[\alpha_i \mid x_{it}, z_i] = 0,$$

which is important for the potential estimability of the entire coefficient vector ($\beta': \gamma'$); but this conditional expectation property does not hold for x_{2it} and z_{2i} , and

$$E[\varepsilon_{it} \mid x_{2it}, z_{2i}] = E[\alpha_i \mid x_{2it}, z_{2i}] \neq 0.$$

It is convenient in the present case to stack the model by collecting observations on individuals for each time period (rather than over time by individuals as Hausman and Taylor do) and write

$$y_t = X_t \beta + Z \gamma + \epsilon_t$$

where y_t , ϵ_t are $N \times 1$ vectors, and X_t , and Z are $N \times k$ and $N \times g$ respectively,

$$\varepsilon_t = \alpha + \eta_t \ (N \times 1 \text{ vectors});$$

here α is the N × 1 vector of time-invariant disturbances (unobserved individual specific effects) and $X_t = [X_{1t}: X_{2t}]$, $Z = [Z_1: Z_2]$, with β and γ partitioned as above. Note for each t, the elements of ϵ are mutually uncorrelated and have the same variance, since the elements of both α and η_t have this structure; although α is replicated over time periods.

In the standard H-T set up the time-invariant property of α provides instruments which are sufficient for estimation of β , but the time invariant property of Z means that γ is not estimable on the basis of these alone. If other instruments are available – in the form of X_{1t} – these, combined with the time invariance of α , can be sufficient for IV estimation of β and γ .

To see this stacked again across time periods to get

$$y = X\beta + (\iota_N \otimes Z)\gamma + \epsilon$$

Here, $\varepsilon = (\iota_N \otimes \alpha) + \eta$ and \otimes denotes Kronecker product. So $\iota_N \otimes \alpha$ is N replicates of α , one on top of another and η is the $NT \times 1$ vector consisting of the T $N \times 1$ vectors η_t one on top of another. Similarly, X is the X_t 's stacked one on top of another: $X' = [X_1' \dots X_T']$ and $X = [X_1 : X_2]$, while $\iota \otimes Z$ is N replicates of Z stacked one on top of another, and $\iota_N \otimes Z = \iota_N \otimes [Z_1 : Z_2]$.

Next, let Q be the NT × NT matrix defined by

$$Q = I_{NT} - \iota_N \iota_N' \otimes I_T/N$$

so that for the stacked model Q annihilates Z in the sense that QZ = 0 and also annihilates the time invariant component, $\iota \otimes \alpha$ of ϵ , i.e, $Q(\iota_N \otimes \alpha) = 0$.

The matrix of observations on the set of potential instrumental variables is [Q: X_1 : Z_1], and the necessary order condition obtained by Hausman and Taylor (1981, Proposition 3.2, p. 1385) for the identification of both β and γ is

$$k_1 \geq g_2$$
.

Now consider an extension of this model to accommodate "spatial lags" in the dependent variable (but without spatial autocorrelation in the disturbances as considered in, for example, Baltagi (2013, p. 325) and Baltagi and Liu (2011).

The model for each t is now

$$y_t = \rho W_t y_t + X_t \beta + Z \gamma + \epsilon_t, \quad t = 1,...,T$$

where X_t , Z, and $\varepsilon_t = \alpha + \eta_t$ as before, and where W_t are T known $N \times N$ matrices of weights (each with zeros on the main diagonal); these may or may not be the same for all t; ρ is an unknown coefficient, to be estimated alongside β and γ .

Next, note that on the right-hand side of the model

$$W_t y_t = \rho W_t [X_t \beta + Z \gamma] + W_t \varepsilon_t$$

and observe that any given element of $W_t \varepsilon_t$ is independent of the corresponding element of ε_t , because of the zero diagonal elements of W_t and the mutual independence, for each t, of the N elements of the vector ε_t .

It remains to deal with potential correlation between corresponding elements of W_tX_t and ε_t and also between corresponding elements of W_tZ and ε_t . Because each diagonal element of W_t is zero, such correlations would have to take the form of dependencies across individuals, and assuming this away may be reasonable; and if so, then W_ty_t can be absorbed into X_{1t} (or conceivably into Z_1 under sufficient time invariance); and if not, then into X_{2t} (or conceivably into Z_2).

The implication of this is that the Hausman-Taylor order condition for the identification/estimability of ρ , β , γ in the most pessimistic case is strengthened to

$$k_1 \ge g_2 + 1$$

(where k_1 is the number of time varying exogenous variables, and g_2 is the number of the time-invariant endogenous variables) since the presence of W_ty_t effectively increases g_2 by one, and for the most optimistic case the condition is weakened to

$$k_1 + 1 \ge g_2$$

since the presence of W_ty_t effectively increases k_1 by one. Conceivably the condition undergoes no change: this is so when it has the effect of increasing k_2 , arguably the most likely scenario, or g_1 .

It is possible therefore to proceed simply by incorporating Wy_t into X_{1t} , X_{2t} or conceivably Z_1 , Z_2 . Note that time invariance of W_t is not crucial, because W_ty_t will almost certainly be time varying, and so is likely to be allocated to either X_{1t} or into X_{2t} , rather than to Z_1 or Z_2 . Once this decision has been made, estimation of ρ , β , γ can proceed exactly as in Hausman-Taylor (1981). For the model we estimate (see Appendix A for details), we have k = 6, $k_1 = 3$, g = 6, $g_2 = 1$, so the condition is satisfied, even in the most pessimistic case. The classification of each of the variables we use appears in parentheses in Appendix A after the variable descriptions.

The stacked form of the model takes the form $y = \rho \operatorname{diag}[W_t]y + X\beta + (\iota_N \otimes Z)\gamma + \epsilon$

where, $diag[W_t]$ is a $NT \times NT$ block diagonal matrix with T diagonal blocks, the t^{th} being W_t ; the other terms are as before. Note that the reduced form of the full model is

 $y = [I - \rho \ diag[W_t]]^{-1} X \beta + [I - \rho \ diag[W_t]]^{-1} (\iota_N \otimes Z) \gamma + [I - \rho \ diag[W_t]]^{-1} \epsilon$ assuming that $[I - \rho \ diag[W_t]]$ is invertible. The question of the identification of ρ , β , γ within this reduced form can then be approached along the lines of Bramouille et al. (2009). Identification fails if $(\rho^o, \beta^o, \gamma^o)$ and $(\rho^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*)$ are observationally equivalent, and this is easily seen to happen if and only if

 $[I-\rho^o \ diag[W_t]](X\beta^*+(\iota_N\otimes Z)\gamma^*)=[I-\rho^*diag[W_t]]](X\beta^o+(\iota_N\otimes Z)\gamma^o)$ which implies that the columns of $[I-\lambda \ diag[W_t]](X:(\iota_N\otimes Z))$ and $diag[W_t](X:(\iota_N\otimes Z)) \ are \ linearly \ dependent, where \ \lambda \ is a scalar (which here is equal to \ \rho^*-\rho^o).$ Given that $(X:(\iota_N\otimes Z))$ has full column rank – a minimal identifiability requirement even in the absence of the spatial autocorrelation feature – this implies (but is not implied by) singularity of $[I-(\lambda+1)diag[W_t]]$, which is evidently problematical given the assumed invertibility of $[I-\rho \ diag[W_t]]$. Therefore, lack of identification in this setting is not a cause for concern.

APPENDIX C

Auxiliary Estimations

As noted earlier, while our interest lies in our results which address endogeneity in Table 2 in the paper, below we provide results based on simple panel data analysis in Table C1 in this Appendix, purely for comparison purposes. The results below, nevertheless, also provided auxiliary tests for goodness of fit and endogeneity.

The spatial models (models (2) and (4) in Table C1 also show a better data fit¹³ than do the traditional models (models (1) and (3)). In addition to the higher adjusted R-square for spatial models (2) and (4) compared to the traditional models (1) and (3), we have also compared Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) results¹⁴ to investigate whether or not this gain is sufficient to overcome the penalty of the loss of the degree of freedom as reported in Table C1. In these analyses, spatial models in every case generate a lower AIC, which indicates the spatial models provide a better data fit and the spatial model is the preferred method to model immigrants' earnings.

In addition, a comparison of the results in Table 2 based on the Hausman-Taylor (HT) estimator with the simple panel estimations in Table C1 indicates that some effects of endogenous variables (language proficiency and skills) are potentially overestimated; and some effects of exogenous variables are underestimated in the results from pooled OLS estimations. Furthermore, the pooled OLS model suggests a much stronger initial earnings disadvantage for immigrants (coefficient of -0.549 in H-T compared to -0.199 in OLS). However, as noted in the paper, the H-T results confirm a significant and strong effect of YSM across the four specifications, with the coefficients of YSM around 0.05. It is noteworthy that this result is consistent with Beenstock et al.'s (2010)

¹³ In a recent study we have examined immigrants' self-employment decision by a spatial approach. We find that consistent with our results in this paper the spatial model consistently provides a better data fit in the case of analyzing the binary self-employment outcome for immigrants (Wang and Maani, 2014).

¹⁴ The AIC, or Akaike Information Criterion, provides a way of measuring a statistical model, in terms of its relative quality, for a specific collection of data. Consequently it enables the selection of models. It does not allow for the testing of a model, in terms of investigating a hypothesis. However, it is appropriate when the elements of usefulness/appropriateness versus complexity are taken into consideration. The $AIC = \frac{-2ln\hat{L}(M_k) + 2P}{N}$, where $\hat{L}(M_k)$ is the likelihood of the model k, and P is the number of parameters in the model. Adjemian et al. (2010) adopt this approach to select the best model for an individual's choice of automobile, when considering conventional and spatial models.

panel analysis, providing further evidence that panel models reveal a much stronger effect of assimilation than do OLS models. Among the explanations of the YSM effect is that the length of time immigrants have been in the host country is likely to affect their search for sufficient information about the local labor market and their development of social networks.

The HT estimations suggest a stronger correlation in immigrants' hourly wage (coefficient of 0.008) than the panel OLS does (0.007). Compared to a weak significant positive effect of ethnic concentration on immigrants' hourly earnings under panel OLS estimations, under the HT estimations this effect becomes highly significant and larger (0.027).

In addition, in estimating cohort effects all HT models returned results confirming a significant improvement in the quality of immigrants than was suggested from the Panel OLS results.

Moreover, following the method of Ruiz et al. (2010), the Breusch-Pagan test (Breusch and Pagan, 1980) was applied on the OLS residuals. The results show that the variance of individual effect α is not zero. In addition, from the HT estimations of ρ we can see that the unobservable individual error term is around 80% of the total error variance, supporting our concern that the OLS estimator is not efficient.

Table C1: Panel Data Estimates of Log Hourly Wage (No control for endogeneity) *Full-time Employed Male Australian-born and Immigrants*

	Full Sample	Immigrant-Only			
	1 an Sample	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Ethnic Capital					
Network Effect (Weighted					
log Hourly Wage of spatial	/	/	0.009***	/	0.007**
ethnic network (Wy))			(0.0024)		(0.0026
Ethnia Consentration	/	/	(0.0024)	0.023***	(0.0026) 0.015*
Ethnic Concentration	/	/	/		
Human Capital				(0.0070)	(0.0077
Experience	0.021***	0.010*	0.011*	0.011*	0.011*
Experience	(0.0025)	(0.010°)	(0.0057)	(0.0057)	(0.0057
Evnerience aguered	-0.0004***	-0.0001	-0.0001	0.9999	0.9999
Experience -squared					
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001
Proficiency in English	0.333***	0.352***	0.342***	0.366***	0.353**
	(0.0503)	(0.0558)	(0.0558)	(0.0559)	(0.0561
High Skilled	0.301***	0.290***	0.292***	0.299***	0.297**
ingii okiiida	(0.0085)	(0.0187)	(0.0187)	(0.0189)	(0.0189
	(0.0002)	(0.0107)	(0.0107)	(0.010)	(0.010)
Personal Characteristics					
Years Since Migration	0.009*	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002
(YSM)					
	(0.0045)	(0.0054)	(0.0054)	(0.0054)	(0.0055)
YSM-squared	-0.0001	-0.0001	-0.0001	-0.00004	-0.0000
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.00009)	(0.00009)	(0.0001)
Married	0.135***	0.097***	0.091***	0.098***	0.094**
	(0.0095)	(0.0223)	(0.0223)	(0.0223)	(0.0223)
Immigrant	-0.199**	/	/	/	/
	(0.0930)				
Arrived 2001-2008	0.248***	0.141	0.151	0.120	0.134
	(0.0957)	(0.1158)	(0.1155)	(0.1157)	(0.1158
Arrived 1991-2000	0.095	0.003	0.003	0.006	0.005
	(0.0699)	(0.0860)	(0.0858)	(0.0858)	(0.086)
Arrived 1981-1990	0.060	-0.003	0.003	0.005	0.007
	(0.0532)	(0.0627)	(0.0627)	(0.0627)	(0.0627
Arrived 1971-1980	0.144***	0.121***	0.130***	0.130***	0.134**
	(0.0394)	(0.0438)	(0.0438)	(0.0438)	(0.0438
Arrival Before 1971	,	` ,	erence Group		
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	12782	2662	2662	2662	2662
Adjusted R-square	0.1303	0.1357	0.1398	0.1389	0.1407
AIC	1.269	1.311	1.308	1.309	1.307
Breusch-Pagan Test (Chi-	16221				
square)	16331	3036	3018	352	355

Notes: (1) HILDA (2001-2008); (2) Standard errors in parentheses; (3) * p<0.10 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank George Borjas, Daniel Hamermesh, Jacques Poot, Deborah Cobb-Clark, and Jeff Borland, and the participants at the Fourth SOLE|EALE World Meetings (2015) for helpful information or comments. This paper uses unit-record panel data from the Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) Survey. The HILDA Project was initiated and is funded by the Australian Government Department of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs (FaHCSIA) and is managed by the Melbourne Institute of Applied Economic and Social Research (MIAESR). The findings and views reported in this paper, however, are those of the authors and should not be attributed to any of the persons or organizations acknowledged above.

References

- Adjemian, M.K., Lin, C.-Y. C, Williams, J., 2010. Estimating spatial interdependence in automobile type choice with survey data. Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice 44, 661-675.
- Aguilera, M.B., 2009. Ethnic enclaves and the earnings of self-employed Latinos. Small Business Economics 33, 413-425.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2006. 2001 Census tables.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2007. 2006 Census tables.
- Baltagi, B.H., 2013. Econometric analysis of panel data. Wiley, Chichester, U.K.
- Baltagi, B.H., Egger, P.H., Kesina, M. 2013. Firm-level Productivity Spillovers in China's Chemical Industry: A Spatial Hausman-Taylor Approach. *Center for Policy Research, Syracuse University, Syracuse*.
- Baltagi, B.H., Liu, L., 2011. Instrumental variable estimation of a spatial autoregressive panel data model with random effects, Economics Letters 111, 135-137.
- Battu, H., Seaman, P., Zenou, Y., 2011. Job contact networks and the ethnic minorities. Labour Economics, 18, 48-56.
- Beenstock, M., Chiswick, B.R., Paltiel, A., 2010. Testing the immigrant assimilation hypothesis with longitudinal data. Review of Economics of the Household. 8, 7-27.
- Bertrand, M., Luttmer, E.F.P., Mullainathan, S., 2000. Network effects and welfare cultures. The Quarterly Journal of Economics 115, 1019-1055.
- Bonacich, P., 1972. Factoring and weighting approaches to status scores and clique identification. Journal of Mathematical Sociology 2, 113-120.

- Borjas, G.J., 1982. The earnings of male Hispanic immigrants. Industrial and Labor Relations Review 37, 222-239.
- Borjas, G.J., 1985. Assimilation, changes in cohort quality, and the earnings of immigrants. Journal of Labor Economics 3, 463-489.
- Borjas, G.J., 1987. Self-selection and the earnings of immigrants. The American Economic Review 77,531-553.
- Borjas, G.J., 1992. Ethnic capital and intergenerational mobility. The Quarterly Journal of Economics 107, 123-150.
- Borjas, G.J., 1995. Assimilation and changes in cohort quality revisited: What happened to immigrant earnings in the 1980s? Journal of Labor Economics 13, 201-245.
- Bramouille., Y., Djebbari, H., Fortin, B., 2009. Identification of peer effects through social networks. Journal of Econometrics 150, 41-55.
- Breusch, T., Pagan, A., 1980. The Lagrange multiplier test and its applications to model specification in econometrics. Review of Economic Studies 47, 239-253.
- Card, D., 1999. The causal effect of education on earnings. In: Ashenfelter, O., Card, D. (Ed.), Handbook of labor economics. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Card, D., 2000. Estimating the returns to schooling: Progress on some persistent econometric problems. NBER Working Paper 7769. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Chiswick, B.R., 1978. The effect of Americanization on the earnings of foreign-born men. The Journal of Political Economy 86, 897-921.
- Chiswick, B.R., 1980. An analysis of the economic progress and impact of immigrants. The Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.
- Chiswick, B.R., Lee, Y.L., Miller, P.W., 2005. Immigrant earnings: A longitudinal analysis. Review of Income and Wealth 51, 485-503.
- Chiswick, B.R., Miller, P.W., 1995. The endogeneity between language and earnings: International analyses. Journal of Labor Economics 13, 246-288.
- Chiswick, B.R., Miller, P.W., 1996. Ethnic networks and language proficiency among immigrants. Journal of Population Economics 9, 19-35.
- Chiswick, B.R., Miller, P.W., 1999. Language skill and earnings among legalized aliens. Journal of Population Economics 12, 63-89.
- Chiswick, B.R., Miller, P.W., 2002. Immigrant earnings: Language skills, linguistic concentrations and the business cycle. Journal of Population Economics 15, 31-57.

- Chiswick, B.R., Miller, P.W., 2008. The "Negative" assimilation of immigrants: A special case. IZA Discussion Papers No. 3563. Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA).
- Clark, K., Drinkwater, S., 2000. Enclaves, neighbourhood effects and employment outcomes: Ethnic minorities in England and Wales. Journal of Population Economics 15,5-29.
- Constant, A., Massey, D.S., 2003. Self-selection, earnings, and out-migration: A longitudinal study of immigrants to Germany. Journal of Population Economics 16, 631-653.
- Damm, A., 2009. Determinants of recent immigrants' location choices: Quasi-experimental evidence. Journal of Population Economics 22, 145-174.
- Dustmann, C., Fabbri, F., 2003. Language proficiency and labour market performance of immigrants in the UK. The Economic Journal 113, 695-717.
- Edin, P.A., Fredriksson, P., Aslund, O., 2003. Ethnic enclaves and the economic success of immigrants Evidence from a natural experiment. Quarterly Journal of Economics 118, 329-357.
- Fertig, M., Schurer, S., 2007. Earnings assimilation of immigrants in Germany: The importance of heterogeneity and attrition bias. The German Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP) Paper No. 30. German Institute for Economic Research.
- Frijters, P., Shields, M.A., Price, S.W., 2005. Job search methods and their success: A comparison of immigrants and natives in the UK. The Economic Journal 115, 359-376.
- García, I., Molina, J.A., Navarro, M., 2008. The effects of education on spouses' satisfaction in Europe. Applied Economics 42, 3607-3618.
- Gladden, T., Taber, C., 2002. Wage progression among less skilled workers. In: Card, D.E., Blank, R.M. (Ed.), Finding jobs: Work and welfare reform. Russell Sage, New York, 166.
- Goetzke, F., 2008. Network effects in public transport use: Evidence from a specially autoregressive mode choice model for New York. Urban Studies 45(2), 407-417.
- Goetzke, F., Weinberger, R. 2012. Separating contextual from endogenous effects in automobile ownership models. Environment and Planning A 44, 1032-1046.
- Hausman, J.A., Taylor, W.E., 1981. Panel data and unobservable individual effects. Econometrica 49, 1377–1398.
- Katz, L., 1953. A new status index derived from sociometric analysis. Psychometrika 18, 39-43.

- LaLonde, R., Topel, R., 1991. Immigrants in the American labor market: Quality, assimilation, and distribution effects. American Economic Review 81, 297-302.
- Lazear, E.P., 1999. Culture and language. Journal of Political Economics 107, 95-126.
- Lee, L.F. 2007. Identification and estimation of econometric models with group interactions, contextual factors and fixed-effects. Journal of Econometrics 140 (2), 333-374
- LeSage, J., Pace, R.K. 2009. Introduction to spatial econometrics (Statistics: A Series of Textbooks and Monographs) Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Maani, S.A., 2004. Why have Maori relative income levels deteriorated over time? Economic Record 80, 100-123.
- Manski, C. 1993. Identification of endogenous social effects: The reflection problem. Review of Economic Studies 60(3), 531-542.
- McDonald, J.T., Worswick, C., 1999. The earnings of immigrant men in Australia: Assimilation, cohort effects, and macroeconomic conditions. Economic Record 75, 49-62.
- McManus, W., Gould, W., Welch, F., 1983. Earnings of Hispanic men: The role of English language proficiency. Journal of Labor Economics 1, 101-103.
- Portes, A., 1987. The social origins of the Cuban enclave economy of Miami. Sociological Perspectives 30, 340-372.
- Ruiz, A.C., Gomez, L.N., Narvaez, M.R., 2010. Endogenous wage determinants and returns to education in Spain. International Journal of Manpower 31, 410-425.
- Schultz, T.P., 1997. Demand for children in low income countries. In: Rosenzweig, M.R., Stark, O. (Ed.), Handbook of population and family economics, 1 ed. Elsevier B.V., Amsterdam, 367.
- Toussaint-Comeau, M., 2008. Do ethnic enclaves and networks promote immigrant self-employment? Economic Perspectives 32, 30-50.
- Wang, X., Maani, S.A, 2014. Ethnic capital and self-employment: A spatially autoregressive network approach, IZA Journal of Migration 3:18, 1-24.
- Warman, C., 2007. Ethnic enclaves and immigrant earnings growth (Enclaves ethniques et croissance des gains des immigrants). The Canadian Journal of Economics / Revue canadienne d'Economique 40, 401-422.
- Yuengert, A.M. (1995) Testing hypotheses of immigrant self-employment. Journal of Human Resources 30(1): 194-204.