ECONSTOR

Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Diamond, Peter A.; Şahin, Ayşegül

Working Paper Shifts in the beveridge curve

Staff Report, No. 687

Provided in Cooperation with: Federal Reserve Bank of New York

Suggested Citation: Diamond, Peter A.; Şahin, Ayşegül (2014) : Shifts in the beveridge curve, Staff Report, No. 687, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/120783

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Reports

Shifts in the Beveridge Curve

Peter A. Diamond Ayşegül Şahin

Staff Report No. 687 August 2014



This paper presents preliminary findings and is being distributed to economists and other interested readers solely to stimulate discussion and elicit comments. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York or the Federal Reserve System. Any errors or omissions are the responsibility of the authors.

Shifts in the Beveridge Curve

Peter A. Diamond and Ayşegül Şahin Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Reports, no. 687 August 2014 JEL classification: E24, J60

Abstract

This note puts the current shift in the Beveridge curve into context by examining the behavior of the curve since 1950. Outward shifts in the Beveridge curve have been common occurrences during U.S. recoveries. By itself, the presence of a shift has not been a good predictor of whether the unemployment rate at the end of the expansion following a shift was higher or lower than that in the preceding expansion.

Key words: Beveridge curve, unemployment, vacancies

Diamond: Massachusetts Institute of Technology (e-mail: pdiamond@mit.edu). Sahin: Federal Reserve Bank of New York (e-mail: aysegul.sahin@ny.frb.org). The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York or the Federal Reserve System.

1. Historical Beveridge curve

The negative relationship between the unemployment rate and the vacancy rate over the course of a business cycle is one of the most established stylized facts of macroeconomics (Beveridge, 1944). As Figure 1 of the U.S. Beveridge curve shows, this relationship was remarkably stable from the start of JOLTS data in December 2000 through at least the NBER identified trough in June 2009 or possibly through the point of the highest unemployment rate in October, 2009.¹ However, after October 2009, there was a notable shift in the Beveridge curve, first shifting up (higher vacancy rate) and then paralleling the previous curve, which can be viewed as higher and/or to the right. Relative to vacancies, the unemployment rate was higher than one would project from the pre-recession relationship between the unemployment rate and the vacancy rate. This shift has received a great deal of attention and started a debate regarding the nature of unemployment in the U.S., much as in the past when unemployment has stayed high (Solow, 1964, Woirol, 1996).² A shift in the Beveridge curve, with a higher level of unemployment than before at the same level of the vacancy rate, suggests a deterioration in the matching/hiring process in the economy.³ It is tempting to interpret this decline as a *structural* change in the way that the labor market works and thus to assume that it is orthogonal to changes in aggregate demand. Indeed, that approach to interpreting a shift in the Beveridge curve has been standard in the academic literature, going back to Dow and Dicks-Mireaux (1958). If valid, this interpretation would support an obvious policy implication: however useful aggregate stabilization policies while unemployment is very high, they are likely to fail in lowering the unemployment rate all the way to the levels that prevailed before the recession, since the labor market is presumed to be *structurally* less *efficient* than before in creating successful matches.

¹ The appearance of stability depends on how one projects the earlier curve beyond the range of unemployment rates previously observed. For one projection showing stability, see Barlevy, 2011.

² See, for example: "The red dots in Figure 8 depict the Beveridge curve since the U.S. recession was formally declared ended in June 2009. One would normally expect the unemployment rate to decline as economic growth resumes. But here, we see evidence of increased recruiting activity on the part of the business sector together with no apparent decline in the unemployment rate. One interpretation of this recent pattern is that matching jobs with workers has become more difficult in the wake of an exceptionally severe recession. If this is the case, then it is not immediately clear how monetary or fiscal policies might alleviate the problem" (Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, 2010).

 $^{^{3}}$ See, for example: "Evidence that factors other than weakness in overall demand for goods and services are boosting the unemployment rate comes in part from a shift in what is known as the Beveridge curve" (Congressional Budget Office, 2014, page 8.

One problem with an interpretation based on Figure 1 is its short sample period, since the source of the vacancy data used in figure 1 is the JOLTS (Job Openings and Labor Turnover Survey) which only started in December 2000. Thus, the Beveridge curve shown there spans only 14 years, during which the U.S. economy experienced a mild recession, an expansion, and a deep recession followed by a slow recovery. Extending the sample period reveals that outward shifts in the Beveridge curve after the trough are common in U.S. historical data, and shows diverse experiences during the following expansions. To extend the vacancy series, we use the Composite Help-Wanted Index constructed in Barnichon (2010) for the 1951-2000 period, which is available on a monthly basis.⁴ Using a scaling factor to ensure the level of vacancies computed using the composite index matches the level observed in December 2000 in the JOLTS, we impute the level of vacancies relative to the Index going back to 1951 and divide the number of vacancies by the sum of vacancies and total payroll employment to obtain the vacancy rate. Using this historical vacancy rate series and the unemployment data from the BLS, we plot the Beveridge curve for the U.S. economy for the 1951-2014 period.⁵

To focus on the behavior of the unemployment rate, rather than using NBER dates, we define *a business cycle* as the time period between the end of one expansion (defined as the quarter in which minimum unemployment rate was reached) and the end of the next one (again the quarter in which the minimum unemployment rate was reached). ⁶ To better show the cyclical behavior of vacancies and unemployment, we plot different business cycles in different colors in Figure 2. ⁷ The color-coded dates on the plot show the quarters corresponding to the maximum unemployment rates for each of the business cycles. Looking at 60 years of data, instead of just the last 14 years, reveals that outward shifts in the Beveridge curve after the point of maximum

⁴ The Composite Help-Wanted Index constructed by Barnichon (2010) makes use of the historical Help-Wanted Index, which was derived from help wanted advertisements in 51 major newspapers, and the online Help Wanted index. Barnichon uses the HWI for the 1951-1995 period assuming no online advertising and then he estimates the share of print advertising starting from 1995 and uses that for the 1995-2005 period. Both the historical and online Help Wanted Indices are published by the Conference Board. We follow Daly, Hobijn, Şahin and Valletta (2013) and append Barnichon's series with the JOLTS starting from December 2000.

⁵ Both the unemployment and vacancy series are available at a monthly frequency. We use the quarterly averages of both to plot the Beveridge Curve to smooth out very short-term fluctuations. Monthly versions of Figures 2 and 3 are plotted in the appendix, Figures A1 and A2.

⁶ Table 1 shows the dates of the business cycles we plot in the Beveridge curve and reports the levels of the unemployment and vacancy rates at these critical business cycle dates.

⁷ This plot is very similar to the historical Beveridge Curve plot in Daly, Hobijn, Şahin, and Valletta (2013), who plot different decades in different colors. Instead of decades, we focus on the distinct business cycles. Our message is very similar to theirs.

unemployment rate are common occurrences in the U.S. labor market.⁸ Figure 3 plots separately each business cycle trough plus and minus four quarters to better visualize the outward shifts. Interestingly, the only business cycle during which the unemployment-vacancy pairs did not shift, but stayed on the same downward sloping Beveridge curve, is the 2000-2006 cycle. In all the others there is a notable outward shift in the curve after the maximum unemployment rate is reached.

To consider whether the outward shift is an indication of a sustained rise in structural unemployment, we consider the previous and following minimal unemployment rates. If, by itself, the outward shift were a good predictor of a sustained rise in structural unemployment, it should be the case that, after a shift in the curve, the unemployment rate does not reach its pre-recession minimum during the recovery period. Figure 4 plots each business cycle turning point going from the previous minimum unemployment rate (in red) to the following minimum rate (in green). As Table 2 summarizes, the Beveridge curve shifted outward in seven of the eight completed business cycles in our data. In three of these cycles, the unemployment rate went down below its pre-recession level in the next expansion, while in four it did not. For the 2001 cycle---the only cycle without an outward shift---the unemployment rate did not reach its pre-recession trough. These observations suggest that, by itself, an outward shift in the Beveridge curve does not predict how low unemployment rate has been strongly related to the duration of the expansion. As Table 2 shows, longer expansions are the ones where the unemployment rate went below its pre-recession trough.

Our main takeaway from examining the historical data is that while outward shifts in the Beveridge curve were very common in the U.S. economy, they were not predictors of the unemployment rate levels that the economy attained at the end of the following expansions.⁹ To

⁸ Adjusting for the age distribution of the population following Shimer (1998) does not alter the basic premise of frequent shifts in the curve. Figures A3-5 in the Appendix plot the historical Beveridge curve using age-adjusted unemployment rates.

⁹ We are not the first to note the presence of previous shifts. See, for example, Daly, Hobijn, and Valletta (2011) for an earlier analysis. Also Bernanke (2012): "We can see some outward shift in the relationship between job vacancies and unemployment, consistent with some increase in structural unemployment since the onset of the recession. However, a more in-depth analysis of the evidence suggests that the apparent shift in the relationship between vacancies and unemployment is neither unusual for a recession nor likely to be persistent. Research has found that during and immediately after the serious recessions of 1973 to 1975 and 1981 to 1982, the Beveridge curve also shifted outward, but in both cases it shifted back inward during the recovery."

consider this issue further, we are examining hiring around the time of the maximal unemployment rate.

References

Barlevy, Gadi, (2011). "Evaluating the Role of Labor Market Mismatch in Rising Unemployment," *Economic Perspectives*, 3Q/2011: 82-96.

Barnichon, Regis (2010). "Building a composite Help-Wanted Index," *Economics Letters*, 109(3), 175-178.Bernanke, Ben (March 2012). "Recent Developments in the Labor Market," (http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/bernanke20120326a.htm)

Beveridge, William H. (1944). *Full Employment in a Free Society*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Congressional Budget Office (2014). The Slow Recovery of the Labor Market.

Daly, Mary C., Bart Hobijn, and Robert G. Valletta (2011). "The Recent Evolution of the Natural Rate of Unemployment," Working Paper Series 2011-05, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.

Daly, Mary C., Bart Hobijn, Ayşegül Şahin, and Robert G. Valletta (2012). "A Search and Matching Approach to Labor Markets: Did the Natural Rate of Unemployment Rise?" *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 26, Summer 2012, 3-26.

Dow, J. Christopher R. and Louis A. Dicks-Mireaux (1958). "The Excess Demand for Labour: A Study of Conditions in Great Britain, 1946–56," Oxford Economic Papers 10(1): 1–33.

Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (2010). Annual Report.

Shimer, Robert (1998). "Why Is the U.S. Unemployment Rate so Much Lower?" *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 13, 11-16.

Solow, Robert M. 1964. *The Nature and Sources of Unemployment in the United States*. Wicksell Lectures 1964) Stockholm: Almqvist and Wicksell.

Woirol, Gregory R. 1996. *The Technological Unemployment and Structural Unemployment Debates*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. The U.S. Beveridge curve: Dec 2000 – Jun 2014.



The Beveridge Curve (job openings vs. unemployment rate)

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Current Population Survey and Job Openings and Labor Turnover Survey, August 12, 2014.

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Current Population Survey and Job Openings and Labor Turnover Survey, August 12, 2014.

Figure 2. The U.S. Beveridge Curve: 1951Q1 – 2014Q2.



Historical Beveridge Curve: Max u point \pm quarters to min u point

Note: The data on this page were constructed as part of the paper "Building a composite Help-Wanted Index" (Barnichon, 2010)



Figure 3. The U.S. Beveridge curve: 1951Q1 – 2014Q2.

Note: The data on this page were constructed as part of the paper "Building a composite Help-Wanted Index" (Barnichon, 2010)



Figure 4. The U.S. Beveridge curve: 1951Q1 – 2014Q2.

Note: The data on this page were constructed as part of the paper "Building a composite Help-Wanted Index" (Barnichon, 2010)

Table 1. Unemployment and vacancy rates at different business cycles

	Min-U	Max-U
	Q2-1953	Q3-1954
U	2.61	5.98
V	4.35	2.53
	Q1-1957	Q2-1958
U	3.96	7.37
V	3.84	2.28
	Q2-1959	Q2-1961
U	5.12	6.99
V	3.41	2.76
	Q1-1969	Q3-1971
U	3.39	5.99
V	5.27	3.58
	Q4-1973	Q2-1975
U	4.79	8.85
V	4.83	3.09
	Q2-1979	Q4-1982
U	5.71	10.67
V	5.29	2.67
	Q1-1989	Q3-1992
U	5.21	7.63
V	4.43	2.70
	Q4-2000	Q2-2003
U	3.92	6.15
V	3.52	2.43
	Q4-2006	Q4-2009
U	4.45	9.93
V	3.21	1.84

Unemployment and Vacancy Critical Points

Max U	Experiences Shift	Min U(Recovery) <min U(Previous Expansion)</min 	Length of Expansion
Q3-1954	YES	NO	11
Q2-1958	YES	NO	5
Q2-1961	YES	YES	32
Q3-1971	YES	NO	10
Q2-1975	YES	NO	17
Q4-1982	YES	YES	26
Q3-1992	YES	YES	34
Q2-2003	NO	NO	15
Q4-2009	YES	#N/A	#N/A

Table 2. Beveridge Curve shifts, minimum unemployment rate, and length of expansions for different business cycles

Appendix

Figure A1. The U.S. Beveridge Curve: 01/1951 – 06/2014



Historical Monthly Beveridge Curve: Max u point \pm months to min u point Vacancy rate

Note: The data on this page were constructed as part of the paper "Building a composite Help-Wanted Index" (Barnichon, 2010)



Note: The data on this page were constructed as part of the paper "Building a composite Help-Wanted Index" (Barnichon, 2010)

Figure A3. Age-adjusted U.S. Beveridge curve using the 1950 age composition: 1951Q1 -2014Q2.



Age-Adjusted Beveridge Curve: 1950

Figure A4. Age-adjusted U.S. Beveridge curve using the 1979 age composition: 1951Q1 – 2014Q2.



Age-Adjusted Beveridge Curve: 1979

Figure A5. Age-adjusted U.S. Beveridge curve using the 2014 age composition: 1951Q1 – 2014Q2.



Unemployment rate Source: BLS, Conference Board, Barnichon (2010), Daly, Hobijn, Sahin, and Valletta (2012), and authors' calculations