

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Ceapraz, Ion Lucian

Conference Paper Social capital as a measure of innovation for rural clusters: the case of France and US

52nd Congress of the European Regional Science Association: "Regions in Motion - Breaking the Path", 21-25 August 2012, Bratislava, Slovakia

Provided in Cooperation with:

European Regional Science Association (ERSA)

Suggested Citation: Ceapraz, Ion Lucian (2012) : Social capital as a measure of innovation for rural clusters: the case of France and US, 52nd Congress of the European Regional Science Association: "Regions in Motion - Breaking the Path", 21-25 August 2012, Bratislava, Slovakia, European Regional Science Association (ERSA), Louvain-la-Neuve

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/120756

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Social capital as a measure of innovation for rural clusters: the case of France and US

Draft version: please do not quote without permission from the author

Ion Lucian CEAPRAZ¹

Abstract

The paper emphasizes the role of social capital in some particular rural clusters like the French "Poles d'Excellence Rurale" (PER) and the U.S. Rural Knowledge Clusters (RKC). The recent revival of the literature on social capital considers this resource as highly valuable when considering the development of rural clusters.

The purpose of our paper is to investigate the various mechanisms of social capital that can release the economic development of these areas. Through bilateral comparisons we are interested in how differences and similarities in social structures between the PER and the RKC may affect rural growth and competitiveness.

There is a growing literature on the correlation between social capital variables and important economic outcomes (Glaeser et al., 2002). We do not intend to investigate how is created the social capital in the rural areas but to underline the ongoing effects of social capital that made these PER and RKC such a successful story. In our opinion, their performance and outcomes are highly dependent on the type of social capital which is expected to contribute to the cooperation and innovation (Staber, 2007) of these rural clusters. According to Staber (2007) some recent OECD papers outline that "there is no one model of social capital and no one type of impact on cluster performance" (OECD, 2002).

An increased interest from political institutions in developing specific tools in starting and maintaining the role of social capital as an important resource is unveiled in recent years in these rural areas. The development of this resource at the individual and community levels is the ultimate goal of sustainable rural development (Dwyer, Findeis, 2008). The tools employed by public and private institutions in Europe and US differ substantially regarding the role of institutions but are commonly similar to the output of rural growth. One important aspect affecting the type of social capital in these rural areas concerns the increasing importance of urbanization and the proximity of rural and urban areas. In this aspect as our paper reveals the French rural areas seem quite different concerning their "rurality" compared with the US counterparts.

JEL-Classification: R10, R11, R12

Key words: "Poles d'Excellence Rurale", U.S. Rural Kowledge Clusters, Social Capital, Innovation.

1. Overview of the social capital

¹ Assistant professor of economics, Polytechnic Institute LaSalle Beauvais, France, Research unit PICAR-T (Processus d'Innovation, Compétitivité dans l'Agriculture et la Ruralité & Territoires), 19, rue Pierre Waguet - BP 30313 - 60026 BEAUVAIS Cedex –FRANCE

According to Portes (1998), "during recent years, the concept of social capital has become one of the most popular exports from sociological theory into everyday language".

There is neither a precise definition for the concept of social capital nor an epistemological consensus for a single or general measure of social capital. Everything depends on the context and the way it is applied.

Several pioneer works of Bourdieu (1986), Coleman (1988) and Putnam (1993, 1995) emphasize the concept of social capital as the work of social networks, people, groups or organizations.

The social capital defined by Putnam as "those features of social organization, such as trust, norms and networks that can improve the efficiency of society by facilitating coordinated actions" (Putnam, 1993) or as "features of social life—networks, norms and trust—that enable participants to act together more effectively to pursue shared objectives" (Putnam, 1995) is crucial in understanding how these PER are developing by putting innovation pressure on their territories through various networks.

According to Grootaert and van Bastelaer (2002) the concept of social capital can be also defined as "institutions, relationships, attitudes, and values that govern interactions among people and contribute to economic and social development". These authors distinguished between the forms and scope of social capital. We are interested particularly in the forms or mechanisms of social capital which enable these rural areas to develop.

Thus we prefer to choose a particular territorial level of analysis in order to have a precise image of social capital, its components and effects in this area.

According to Beekman et al. (2009) "the geographic nature of rural communities has consequences for the type of social capital in rural areas".

For example there is a definition which came from the economic sociology describing very well the social capital in relation with the territorial context: "a set of social relations of which a single subject (...) or a collective subject (...) can make use at any given moment.

Through the availability of this capital of relations, cognitive resources, such as information, or normative resources, such as trust, allow actors to realize objectives which would not otherwise be realized or which could be obtained at a much higher cost. Moving from the individual to the aggregate level, it may also be said, that a particular territorial context is more or less rich with social capital depending on the extent to which the individual or collective subjects of the same area are involved in more or less widespread networks of relations" (Trigilia, 2001).

According to Woolcock et al. (2000) there are at least seven fields which had employed the term of social capital. Among them we could select the ones related to democracy and governance, collective action and economic development, which seem more appropriate for our research.

2. What is a PER (Pole d' Excellence Rurale) and a RKC (Rural Knowledge Cluster)?

The concept of "Pole d'Excellence Rurale" (PER) is a French policy initiative targeting the devitalized rural zones of more than 30 000 inhabitants without any urban area in their proximity. This unique initiative is supported by the local authorities and is based on the government call for proposals launched in France in 2005. Promoting sustainable development through the creation of these PER is a government policy engagement to revive economically the rural areas for the most effective and appropriate way of economic development.

The PER engage the rural areas to be considered as "growth and excellence reserves at national level" and their policy is based on the assumption that even "the less competitive territories dispose of resources which could be valued economically" (DIACT, 2007).

The policy of creating the PER was conducted following the same steps as in the case of the competitive clusters, that is promoting a rural and local competitiveness related to the rural assets and creation and integration of activities into the local tissue².

Compared to the French Pole d'Excellence Rurale" PER, the U.S. Rural Knowledge Clusters (RKC) are made of "innovative, interrelated groups of firms located outside metropolitan areas, deriving competitive advantages through accumulated, embedded, and imported knowledge among local actors and institutions" (Munnich et al., 2002). These Rural Knowledge Clusters are historically developed clusters in rural areas with specializations in particular industries or technologies and with several types of interrelationships: local companies with similar and/or competing products or technologies, complementary relationships (buyer-supplier), or analogous technologies (Munnich et al., 2002).

3. Social capital within the "Poles d'Excellence Rurale"

Rosenfeld et al. (2000) outline the fact that there is some research coming from Europe which outlines the importance of policies encouraging economies of remote places.

Depending on these policy interventions there are several models of and taxonomies clusters which can be defined. One policy of particular importance is that of considering the social capital (Putnam, 1993) as a resource where clusters account for "innovation and learning focusing on a range of social interactions, organization memberships, and institutional relationships" (Rosenfeld et al., 2000)

According to Staber (2007), "theoretical interest in the role of social capital in clusters is matched by the growing enthusiasm in public policy circles for those social features of clusters that are believed to make them a viable response to the pressures of globalization".

Thus government policies concerning rural development and innovation are more and more turned to investments in social capital seen as a key factor in promoting rural competitiveness. According to Calois and Schmitt (2008), Rizzi et al. (2010), social interactions and their byproducts (trust relations, reciprocity and exchanges) (Beekman et al., 2009) start at individual level and diffuse at a superior level through relationships and cooperation. Thus a fundamental dimension for social capital immerges from the territory as every territory disposes of its own characteristics (Rizzi et al., 2010). For example, in rural areas this approach of social capital is of a particular importance since development is based on local networks functioning on 'bottom–up' policies (Calois and Schmitt, 2008). According to

Beekman et al. (2009) the rural areas are "traditionally known for tight social ties and strong community sense" while "the urban areas, social networks are sometimes thought to be of less relevance".

The concept of social capital can be used both as a civic social capital and governmental capital (institutional social capital). Both sides have rich roots within the French PER as we look to the different typologies of rural territories and activities that characterize these areas. Moreover these PER are French government initiatives which favor the infusion of institutional social capital through some particular processes as the rural excellency, territorial engineering and private-public partnerships (see Table 1).

These functional characteristics refer to interlocking networks of relationships between individuals, groups and institutions which can form the social capital and can behave in three

² Opposed in this aspect to the competitive cluster, the PER prioritizes a "project management developed by several actors" called a "private-public partnership" where different territorial entities are considered as the principal target for the project.

directions: the first and the second one act like a diffusing network of economic and social advantages throughout the rural territory and the third one, which implies a network of private and public partnerships in order to achieve a bottom-up policy on local involvement communities and actors.

In the first case, the "rural excellency" characterizes the functional core of a PER and is related to the "diffusing effect" of specific comparative advantages of economic and social nature. We mention here the governance as one type of "rural excellency" which allows governments to "outsource" some of its welfare functions to local rural communities (Bifarello, 2002). In the second case this process is supposed to initiate a competition between different rural territories followed by a selection among the "best territorial engineered territories" within the process of "territorial engineering".

In the third case, the "private-public partnership" of a PER implies a bottom-up policy involving local communities and actors with a certain democratic legitimacy and thus supporting partnerships between local actors somehow in opposition with government "topdown" policies.

The main objective of the first call for proposals for the PER was launched in December 2005 in order to support innovative projects, creating direct and indirect jobs in rural areas.

Concerning the rural excellency, is "the relevance of a label attached to a project (when the sign of quality rather distinguished a product or service). In question is also the nature of the relationship and consistency between the label and the reference to a rural excellency, because if one can judge legitimate to assign a label to a project it may be only since it performs and produces the conditions for excellence. This excellence should therefore involve primarily the quality of the process who chaired the assembly of the project. This quality is at the same time on the approach adopted, the nature and relevance of the criteria that define it (interest goals and program content, continuity of territorial governance, public-private partnerships, consistency and clarity of financing plans)" (Bouvier et al., 2008).

The call for proposals in the case of the PER is made on projected economic perspectives, innovation and sustainable development. It concerns different evolutions related to natural endowments and urban proximity (access to markets). According to Lardon and Pin (2007), the concept of "territorial engineering" represents the foundation of the PER and involves a competition and a selection among the "best territorial engineered territories" Thus the selection for the PER is made among the "best territorial engineered territories".

That is, this process is called territorial engineering and engaged these rural areas in a sort of competition for their proper selection.

Thus one type of rural excellency of major importance is the territorial governance running through the PER. The PER must satisfy certain criteria in order to be implemented. The policy for the PER on a specific territory is a-priori based on "expected rural spillovers" on the rest of the rural territory and on "leverage effects" on other territories.

According to Basile et al., (2009) it concerns the identification of the subjects of the governance of rural development processes. We can identify several dimensions like "the planning procedure, the management and the monitoring practice and, finally, the control and the accountability of actors" (Basile et al., 2009). As the rural development is considered most of the time as an endogenous process we can question on the role of external institutions on governing such processes. The call for proposals is decisively directed by the government and a private-public partnership is implemented in order to proceed to the creation of this PER. Thus this partnership which involves the state and the civil rural communities in constructing common projects of development is commonly referred as private-public partnership.

As Basile et al. (2009) mention, we can observe a "formal institution that governs the process by means of formal rules and decides the resource use", the type of resources and use for the traditional activities in these rural areas. According to Beugelsdijk and Smulders (2009) the concept of social capital was developed in order to study the link between socializing and economic performance. For Grootaert and van Bastelaer (2002) the social capital is defined as "the institutions, relationships, attitudes, and values that govern interactions among people and contribute to economic and social development".

Rural Knowledge Cluster (RKC) Pole d'Excellence Rurale (PER) definition and goals "A Rural Knowledge Clusters are innovative, A "Pole d'Excellence Rurale" is an initiative sustained interrelated groups of firms located outside by public, private and associative partnership which metropolitan areas, deriving competitive advantages try to highlight a territory in one of these four through accumulated, embedded, and imported comparative advantages (see below) knowledge among local actors and institutions" The goal of a PER is employment creation by encouraging research, professional training and use of new technologies competitive advantages "Current factors related to supply or demand Rural excellency: spatial diffusion conditions, related industries, or local rivalry that give One industry(ies) or technology which is source of local firms a market advantage" competitive advantage "An historical base of knowledge about an industry or Access to natural resources technology that has given rise to current sources of Low costs competitive advantage". Different competitive advantages related to different typologies of rural territories and activities agglomeration effects "Rural knowledge clusters compensate for A variable degree of socio-economic activities disadvantages of scale by developing specializations Different degrees of rural localization A project management developed by several actors » in called a « private-public partnership » particular industries and technologies" "Firms within rural knowledge clusters are bound A high degree of factors related to physical space together in important ways that make their proximity Traditional activities and social forms of organization beneficial. These interrelationships may take a variety Local visibility of forms - local companies may be making similar and/or competing products or technologies, they may be complementary relationships (buyer-supplier), or they may use analogous technologies to make products for different market segments" spillovers "Within rural knowledge clusters, local institutions Expected rural spillovers based on competition play an important role as catalysts in promoting and between different territories (territorial competition)/ nurturing competitive advantages. They help to selection among the "best territorial engineered create, diffuse, and import knowledge that drives territories": innovation, and also mediate relationships and foster - for the employment: economic benefits cooperation among highly competitive firms. These expected in the territory, evaluation of direct institutions are often formally organized, such as and indirect jobs, project's contribution to the educational, civic, and governmental institutions". creation and the resumption of activities and businesses - for the sustainable spatial development: impact on resources, water, biodiversity, landscapes,

Table 1: Comparison between a Rural Knowledge Cluster and a Pole d'Excellence Rurale

	impact areas (land use), social
	and territorial cohesion
	- For anchoring in a rural area, including areas
	of rural revitalization
	Horizontal links between firms
innovation	
"Formal and informal institutions that develop around	Economic innovation but also social and
clusters to support the creation, diffusion, and import	organizational
of knowledge"	innovation:
"High degree of entrepreneurial activity, spin-offs,	Rural Excellency
and	Territorial Engineering
continuous product innovation and differentiation"	Private-public partnership
"Rural knowledge clusters exhibit a substantial,	
localized base of knowledge that is embedded within	
people and institutions in the community".	
call for proposals/selection	
"Historically grounded: history and context are	Different evolutions related to natural endowments
important in the development of rural knowledge	and urban proximity (access to markets)
clusters"	Selection among the "best territorial engineered
No request for proposals and no government	territories"
intervention	The request for proposals was made on projected
	economic perspectives, innovation and sustainable
	development
comparative advantages	
"Firms within rural knowledge clusters are bound	Promoting natural, cultural and tourism resources
together in important ways that make their proximity	To bring out the bio-resources in a food-chain
beneficial. These interrelationships may take a variety	Supply of local services and residential economy
of forms – local companies may be making similar	Development of industrial and hand-made
and/or competing products or technologies, they may	manufacturing
be complementary relationships (buyer-supplier), or	-
they may use analogous technologies to make	
products for different market segments"	
geographical scale	
A variable local geographic area	A variable local geographic area
local, regional, national and international promotion	
Local, national and international promotion	Local promotion

Source: Munnich et al. (2002) and author

4. Social capital within the Rural Knowledge Clusters (RKC)

The rural clusters have different social structures and they can be differentiated in four categories depending on their influence on local economy and the type of intervention: clusters of distinction, clusters of competence, clusters of opportunity and more recently clusters in balance (Ford Foundation, 2009). According to Munnich et al. (2002) "local institutions play an important role as catalysts in promoting and nurturing competitive advantages" and also "help to create, diffuse, and import knowledge that drives innovation". Moreover the institutions which intervene for maintenance are also "informal in nature, for example, a culture that fosters trust and cooperation, or risk-taking and entrepreneurship" and can "pre-date the development of activity around a rural knowledge cluster, while others form

can "pre-date the development of activity around a rural knowledge cluster, while others form specifically around existing clusters to facilitate their growth (i.e. trade associations, applied research centers)" (Munnich et al., 2002).

Knowledge is crucial when considering the social capital as an asset for the development of RKC. As Munnich et al. (2002) stated, the local institutions can play a very important role in developing the competitive advantages and creating endogenously and exogenously knowledge through innovation, relationships and cooperation between firms (see Table 1). The exogenous knowledge developed by governmental, civic and educational institutions is completed by "a substantial, localized base of knowledge that is embedded within people and institutions in the community" (Munnich et al., 2002).

Conclusion

Our paper outlines differences and similarities of the concept of social capital in two different types of rural cluster, the French "Poles d'Excellence Rurale" (PER) and the U.S.

Rural Knowledge Clusters (RKC) by making a synthesis of social resources and variables considered as vital for both types of clusters (see Table 1). While in the French PER government intervention and local assets seems to achieve fruitful results through specific social mechanism like the rural excellency, territorial engineering and private-public partnerships, in the U.S. counterparts the development of these clusters is historically embedded on local communities on what we call local knowledge made of "high degree of entrepreneurial activity, spin-offs, and continuous product innovation and differentiation" (Munnich et al., 2002).

Further research should emphasize these bilateral comparisons of social capital resources on concrete examples of successful rural clusters and try to measure this type of capital with specific indicators.

Bibliography

Basile, E., Cecchi, C., (2009), "Building Social Capital in Rural Areas: Does Public Action Help?", working paper Faculty of Economics, University of Rome 'La Sapienza', Rome, Italy.

Beekman, G., van der Heide, M., Heijman, W. J. M., Schouten, M. A. H., (2009), "Social capital and resilience in rural areas: responses to change", Mansholt Graduate School of Social Sciences, working paper n° 48.

Beugelsdijk, S., Smulders, S., (2009), "Bonding and Bridging Social Capital and Economic Growth", Discussion Paper n°27, Tilburg University.

Bifarello, M., (2002), "From Delegating to Participation : Third sector and the State in Associative Networks," prepared for Presentation at the fifth Conference of the International Society for Third- Sector Research, July 7-11, 2002, Cape Town, South Africa.

Bouvier, C., Zeller, A., Boutet, P., (2008), « Bilan de l'appel à projets relatif aux Poles d'Excellence Rurale », Conseil General de l'Agriculture, de l'Alimentation et des Espaces Ruraux .

Bourdieu, P. (1986), "The forms of capital", in J.G. Richardson (ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education*, Greenwood Press, New York.

Callois, J-M., Schmitt, B., (2009), "The role of social capital components on local economic growth: Local cohesion and openness in French rural areas", 3èmes journées de recherché en sciences sociales INRA SFER CIRAD, 09, 10 & 11 décembre 2009 – Montpellier, France.

Coleman, J. S., (1988), "Social Capital in the Creation of Human-Capital", *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, pp. S95-S120.

Dwyer, J., Findeis, J., 2008, Human and Social Capital in Rural Development – EU and US Perspectives, EuroChoices, Volume 7, Issue 1, pages 38-45.

Evans, P., (1996), "State-Society Synergy: Government and Social Capital in Development", *World Development*, vol. 24, n°6.

Ford Foundation, 2009, "Generating Local Wealth, Opportunity, and Sustainability through Rural Clusters", Regional Technologies Strategies.

Glaeser, E. L., Laibson, D., Sacerdote, B., (2002), "An economic approach of social capital", *The Economic Journal*, n°112, November.

Grootaert C., van Bastelaer, T., (2002), "Social Capital: From Definition to Measurement, in Understanding and Measuring Social Capital, A Multidisciplinary Tool for Practitioners", eds Grootaert C., van Bastelaer, T., The World Bank, Washington, DC.

DIACT, (2007), "Programme de recherche évaluative sur les Pôles d'Excellence Rurale (PER)", appel à projets, janvier.

Lardon, S., Pin, J.F., (2007), "Réflexions autour du viaduc du Millau", in Lardon S., Moquay P., Poss Y., (ed.), *Développement territorial et diagnostic prospectif*, Editions de l'Aube, p.11.

Munnich, L., Schrock, G., Cook, K., Bonelli, A.H., Lehnoff, J., (2002), "Rural Knowledge Clusters: Innovation and Vitality in America's Rural Communities", U.S. Department of Agriculture, Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES) Fund for Rural America.

OECD, (2006), Territorial Review in France.

Portes, A., (1998), "Social Capital: it is Origins and Applications in Modern Sociology", *Annual Review of Sociology*, vol. 24.

Putnam, R., (1993), *Making democracy work: Civic traditions in modern Italy*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Putnam, R., (1995), "Tuning in, tuning out: The strange disappearance of social capital in America", The 1995 Ithiel de Sola Pool Lecture, *Political Science and Politics*, pp. 664-683.

Rizzi, P., Pianta, R., (2010), "Social capital and regional development in Europe", Facoltà di Economia Università Cattolica di Piacenza, working paper.

Rosenfeld, S., Liston C.D., Kingslow, M., (2000), "Clusters in Rural Areas: Auto Supply Chains in Tennessee and Houseboat Manufacturers in Kentucky", working paper n°11, *TVA Rural Studies*.

Staber, U., (2007), "Contextualizing research on social capital in regional clusters", *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, n° 31/3.

Trigilia C., (2001), "Social capital and local development", *European Journal of Social Theory*, 4, 427-442.

Woolcock, M., Narayan, D., (2000), "Social Capital: Implications for Development Theory, Research, and Policy", *World Bank Research Observer*, vol. 15 (2).