

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Bottasso, Anna; Sembenelli, Alessandro

Working Paper Does ownership affect firms' efficiency? Panel data evidence in Italy

Nota di Lavoro, No. 104.2002

Provided in Cooperation with: Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Bottasso, Anna; Sembenelli, Alessandro (2002) : Does ownership affect firms' efficiency? Panel data evidence in Italy, Nota di Lavoro, No. 104.2002, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/119712

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data Evidence on Italy Anna Bottasso and Alessandro Sembenelli

NOTA DI LAVORO 104.2002

NOVEMBER 2002

ETA – Economic Theory and Applications

Anna Bottasso, Università di Genova Alessandro Sembenelli, Università di Torino and Ceris-Cnr

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_wp.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data Evidence on Italy

Summary

This paper provides empirical evidence on the relation between the identity of ultimate owners and technical (in)efficiency by estimating stochastic production frontiers on Italian firm level panel data for twelve manufacturing industries over the 1978-93 period. Privately-owned independent firms are used as reference group and their efficiency is assessed against three alternative forms of ownership: subsidiaries of (privately owned) national business groups, subsidiaries of foreign multinationals, and state owned firms. Even if cross-industry differences obviously exist a common pattern can however be identified. Overall, subsidiaries of foreign multinationals (state owned firms) are found to be more (less) efficient than the reference group. On the contrary, no systematic difference is found between independent firms and subsidiaries of national business groups.

Keywords: Efficiency, type of ownership, panel data

JEL: C33, D23, D24

We wish to thank Luigi Benfratello, Almas Heshmati and the participants at the EARIE conference in Lausanne for helpful comments on a previous draft.

Address for correspondence:

Anna Bottasso Università di Genova Dipartimento di Economia e Metodi Quantitativi Via Vivaldi, 2 16126 Genova Italia E-mail: bottasso@economia.unige.it

1 Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to provide empirical evidence on the relation between corporate ownership and firms' productive efficiency. The key question in this area of research has traditionally been whether firms with concentrated ownership are more productive than firms with dispersed ownership. On the one hand, concentrated shareholdings can mitigate free rider problems of corporate control (see Shleifer and Vishny, 1986). On the other hand, concentrated ownership might also lead to the extraction of inefficient private benefits by controlling shareholders at the expense of minority shareholders (see Shleifer and Vishny, 1997).¹ A related and less investigated issue is whether the identity of block-holders matters in explaining firms' performance in a corporate governance system characterized by a highly concentrated ownership structure. As documented among others by Shleifer and Vishny (1997), this feature is common in most developed as well as developing countries outside the Anglo-Saxon influence.

In this perspective, Italy is a very interesting case study. In fact, not only concentration of direct ownership is high but a substantial degree of variability in the identity of block-holders is observed. In fact, independent companies directly owned and managed by families coexist with firms belonging to large organizations - usually structured as pyramidal business groups - and therefore directly owned by other companies (Bianco and Casavola, 1999). In turn, these large organizations are led at the top by families (or coalitions of families), or multinational corporations or the State². Contrary to independent companies where top managerial

¹In his survey of the literature, Gugler (2001) points out that empirical results are mixed even if the majority of existing studies suggests a profitability-enhancing role of owner control. On a more theoretical basis, it has been also argued that there should be no systematic relation between ownership structure and firm performance to the extent that the market for corporate control responds to forces which create suitable ownership structures for firms (see the discussion in Demsetz and Villalonga, 2001).

 $^{^{2}}$ A related question is whether the ownership structure of multinational corporations affects the performance of their foreign subsidiaries. Unfortunately, due to data limitations we are not able to provide empirical evidence on this additional issue.

positions are usually held by family members, these large organizations are characterized - to different degrees - by the presence of externally appointed professional top managers. In these large organizations, the standard agency problem between block-holders and senior management is therefore expected to emerge and the incentive to monitor the management effectively may in turn depend on the identity of the blockholder. Given these institutional features two relevant issues have to be addressed: i) whether independent firms are more or less efficient than firms which are members of larger organizations; ii) whether in large organizations the identity of ultimate ownership affects firms' performance.

To investigate these issues we apply stochastic production frontier techniques to a large panel of Italian manufacturing firms for which qualitative information on firms' form of ownership is available. Using the approach developed by Battese and Coelli (1995), we allow the inefficiency component of the production function to depend on observables including size and a set of dummy variables proxying for the identity of ultimate ownership. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 motivates the paper by relating some institutional characteristics of Italian corporate governance with the received theoretical literature. Section 3 describes the data set - additional information is provided in the data appendix and summarizes the relevant descriptive statistics. In section 4 the empirical model is discussed whereas section 5 comments upon the main empirical results. Section 6 concludes.

2 Institutional Framework and Relevant Issues

As noted by Nickell (1997), most of the recent empirical literature which analyses the impact of external factors on firms' efficiency is grounded on the idea that efficiency is basically driven by managerial effort. Indeed, the main task of corporate governance is to align managers' objectives to shareholders' goals. In order to achieve this result, the main mechanisms are perceived to be internal control and management compensation. In turn, the incentive to incur the cost of setting effective governance mechanisms is likely to depend on factors like the degree of ownership concentration and firm's capital structure. For this reason, variables proxying for the degree of shareholder control are usually included in regressions aimed at explaining productivity differentials together with measures of financial pressure. In addition to this, the stance of product market competition may also act as a complementary disciplinary device.³

As already mentioned in the introduction, Italian companies are characterized by a high level of ownership concentration. According to Bianchi et al (1997), in 1992 the largest shareholder of manufacturing companies owned on average approximately 66% of a company and the three largest shareholders owned more than 90%. Another relevant feature of Italian corporate governance is that neither financial institutions nor the stock market play a major role in monitoring firms' behavior. In fact, contrary to other bank-based systems, the role of banks and other financial institutions in equity financing is very limited and it is unusual for bankers to sit on the boards of directors of manufacturing firms. Furthermore, the market for corporate control does not play an important role and the number of firms which could be a target for hostile takeovers is fairly small. This is partly a consequence of the limited number of companies listed on the Milan stock exchange. In addition, it depends on the high degree of ownership concentration also among listed companies as well as on the diffusion of cross-ownership and board interlocks.⁴ Taken all these

³Note however that the results of the theoretical literature are mixed in the sense that the relation between market competition and the amount of managerial slack is found not to be robust to different assumptions on owners' and managers' preference structures. See, among others, Hart (1983), Nalebuff and Stiglitz (1983), Willig (1987), Scharfstein (1988) and Vickers (1995).

⁴Furthermore, the Italian legal framework is widely perceived to guarantee a limited degree of protection to minority shareholders. In turn, this allows majority block-holders to expropriate rents at the expenses of minority shareholders and other stakeholders (See Zingales, 1994 and Nicodano and Sembenelli, 2000).

facts together, majority block-holders seem to be the natural candidates to behave as main active monitors in companies.

However, whether concentrated ownership leads to effective cost reducing monitoring practices is an unsolved issue both theoretically and empirically. In fact, when ownership is concentrated what becomes crucial is the objective function of the majority blockholder which might deviate from the standard shareholders' value maximization assumption. For instance, managers in state owned firms may have incentives not always consistent with cost minimization practices (e.g. employment expansion). Analogously, in privately held companies managers may act in the private interest of majority blockholders and therefore be associated in inefficient rent extraction (e.g. hiring a lazy relative or purchasing a loss-maker soccer club).

Given this institutional framework two relevant questions have to be answered. Firstly, evidence has to be provided on whether externally appointed professional managers (in large organizations) are more likely to deviate from cost minimization rules than owners-managers (in independent companies). To isolate this effect, the most promising empirical strategy is to compare independent firms only with those firms belonging to business groups whose ultimate owner is a family (or a coalition of families). Secondly, a related but separate issue is whether in large organizations (national privately owned business groups, state owned business groups, multinational corporations) the identity of ultimate ownership matters in explaining efficiency differentials. This in turn has two competing explanations: on the one hand efficiency differentials might depend on the fact that different types of owners may be characterized by different objective functions. On the other hand, even if the pursuit of efficiency is a common objective for all types of owners, they might differ in the ability to provide effective monitoring and incentive schemes to the top management.⁵

⁵Another candidate explanation is grounded on the idea that it is performance that affects

3 Data and Descriptive Statistics

In this section we provide both a brief description of the unbalanced panel of Italian firms used in this study and some summary statistics on the variables which enter our econometric model. Our panel is extracted from a wider data-set constructed by Ceris-Cnr by merging balance sheet data collected by Mediobanca, a large investment bank, with industry level data provided by ISTAT, the Italian Central Statistical Office.⁶ For our analysis we have extracted observations relative to manufacturing firms with no less than 4 consecutive observations over the 1978-93 period, thus obtaining an initial sample of 9816 firm-year observations relative to 1306 companies. Each company has been allocated to its primary industry following an adjusted two-digit NACE-CLIO classification. Since our empirical model is estimated at the industry level, industries with a small number of firms have been discarded, thus leaving us with a final sample of 1272 firms corresponding to 9549 firm-year observations.⁷

In each year (and therefore allowing for transition) firms are allocated to one out of four categories: members of large private national business groups (labelled as Group Firms in all tables), members of state owned national business groups (State Owned Firms), foreign subsidiaries (Multinationals), or other national firms (Independent Firms). Firms are classified as affiliates to large private national business groups if they are members of one of the following eighteen business groups: Agnelli-Fiat, De Benedetti-Cir, Ferruzzi-Montedison, Fininvest-Mondadori, Pesenti-Immobiliare, Pirelli, Barilla, Benetton, Cartiere Burgo, Falck, Ferrero, Gft,

⁽changes in) ownership structure trough the functioning of a competitive process which selects the most appropriate type of ultimate owner for each firm. However, this "reverse causality" explanation seems unconvincing in the Italian context given the ineffectiveness of external market mechanisms, including the market for corporate control, driving the selection process.

 $^{^{6}}$ More detailed information on the data-set can be found in the appendix or, for those familiar with the Italian language, in Margon et al. (1995).

⁷A threshold of 15 firms has been used. Eliminated industries are Artificial Fibres (Nace 26), Wooden Products (Nace 46) and Miscellaneous industries (Nace 49).

Lucchini, Marzotto, Merloni, Miroglio, Parmalat and Smi.⁸ In these groups control is exercised by families, or at least by coalitions of shareholders where families play a major role, often through complex organizational pyramidal structures. However, given the size and the complexity of these organizations, externally appointed professional managers often held senior positions in operational companies. As already mentioned in the previous section, this feature makes it possible to test whether concentrated ownership is an efficient disciplinary mechanism by comparing the economic performance of these firms with the economic performance of independent firms where most if not all senior positions are usually filled by family members and therefore where the agency problem between shareholders and managers is not expected to emerge. Firms are classified as affiliates of state owned national business groups if they are controlled, directly or indirectly, by one of the following three state controlled financial holdings: Iri, Eni and Efim. Firms are classified as foreign subsidiaries if the parent company is foreign and as other national firms when they do not satisfy the requirements to be included in the first three categories. This category includes mainly independent companies, but firms affiliated to smaller and younger private national business groups can be also found. We have grouped these two types of firms together since the smaller groups are more similar to the independent firms in our sample than to the large business groups in terms of size and diversification and, as a consequence, in the likely role played by externally appointed senior managers.

Table 1 reports, separately for each industry, the sample mean and the standard deviation of the variables which enter the empirical model presented in section 4. Output is measured by sales deflated with the appropriate three-digit production price index. Materials are computed as the deflated difference between sales and

⁸These groups represented the core of the private national industrial sector in the eighties and most of them have been ranked in the top positions in terms of consolidated sales since the first incomplete list of groups was published by Mediobanca in 1983. In addition, these are the only private groups with a consolidated turnover larger than 1000 billion Lira in 1990.

value added and the capital stock is constructed by applying the standard perpetual inventory technique to available accounting data. All these variables are expressed in 1980 billion lira. Finally, employment is defined as the number of employees at the end of fiscal year. Table 2 reports the descriptive statistics (sample mean and standard deviation) on employment disaggregated not only by industry but also by type of ownership. Two comments are worth making at this stage. Firstly, the average size (measured as number of employees) of independent firms is much lower than the average size of affiliated firms. This can be easily seen by comparing the first column (Independent) with each of the next three columns (State Owned Firms, Group Firms, Multinationals). Furthermore, this difference cannot be exclusively attributed to a composition effect since it holds across most industries. Secondly, even if there is no systematic ranking among our three types of affiliated firms, on average subsidiaries of foreign multinationals tend to be smaller than affiliates both to privately and to state owned national groups. This descriptive evidence suggests that the type of ownership is not independently distributed from firm size. This will be accounted for in the specification of the inefficiency part of the model where size variables are included alongside ownership dummies in order to avoid potential biases due to the omission of relevant variables.

4 Methodological Issues

To test whether a statistical relation exists between firms' technical inefficiency and the identity of ultimate ownership we apply stochastic production frontier techniques to our sample of firms.⁹ This approach, originally proposed by Aigner, Lovell and Smith (1977) and Meeusen and van den Broeck (1977), is motivated by the idea that deviations from the production frontier defined by the "best practice"

 $^{^9\,{\}rm For}$ an introduction to efficiency and productivity analysis see, among others, Coelli, Rao and Battese (1998).

technology might not be entirely under the control of the firm being studied and might be due to measurement errors and other noise upon the frontier. The original specification and early empirical applications were usually based on cross-sectional data. The collection of longitudinal data on firms or plants has encouraged the development and use of stochastic frontier models suitable for panel data. In fact panel data techniques allow both to avoid many of the difficulties arising in a crosssectional setting (Schmidt and Sickles, 1984) and to estimate the rate and direction of technical change. Greene (1997) and Kumbhakar and Lovell (2000) discuss and review both the theoretical and the empirical literature on stochastic production frontiers.

During the eighties research efforts shifted towards the analysis of the determinants of efficiency differentials. Initially, this task was tackled with the adoption of a two stage approach: after estimating inefficiency with a frontier technique, inefficiency scores were regressed on various explanatory variables using OLS.¹⁰ The drawback of this procedure is that it contradicts the identical distribution assumption of the first stage. Recently, several authors have proposed different models for inefficiency effects in stochastic frontier production functions.¹¹ In this study we adopt the approach suggested by Battese and Coelli (1995) who developed a stochastic production frontier approach suitable for panel data where inefficiency is modeled as an explicit function of a vector of firm-specific variables and a random error.

We assume that for each industry technology is represented by the following flexible translogarithmic production function:

$$y_{it} = \beta_0 + \sum_j \beta_j x_{jit} + \sum_j \sum_k \beta_{jk} x_{jit} x_{kit} + (v_{it} - u_{it})$$
(1)

¹⁰See, among others, Pitt and Lee (1982), and Kalirajan and Shand (1986).

 $^{^{11}}$ See Kumbhakar, Ghosh and McGuckin (1991), Reifschneider and Stevenson (1991), and Huang and Liu (1994).

where y_{it} denotes (the logarithm of) production for firm *i* at time *t*, j = k = M, L, K, T is a vector including (the logarithms of) the material (M), labor (L) and capital (K) inputs together with a linear time trend (T). v_{it} are random variables which are assumed to be $IIN \sim (0, \sigma_v^2)$ and independent of the u_{it} which are non-negative random variables assumed to be independently distributed as truncations at zero of the $N \sim (m_{it}, \sigma^2)$ distribution. The v_{it} component of the error term captures measurement errors and production function misspecification effects, while the u_{it} is related to technical inefficiency.

For our purposes we have parameterized m_{it} as a linear function of size and ownership variables - including their interactions - which in our framework are expected to affect firms' efficiency:

$$m_{it} = \delta_0 + \delta_S S_{it} + \delta_G G_{it} + \delta_M M_{it} + \delta_T T + \delta_L x_{Lit}$$
(2)
$$\delta_{SL} S_{it} x_{Lit} + \delta_{GL} G_{it} x_{Lit} + \delta_{ML} M_{it} x_{Lit}$$

where x_{Lit} is our size measure for firm *i* at time *t*, M_{it} , G_{it} , and S_{it} are three dummy variables which are respectively equal to 1 (and 0 otherwise) if at time *t* firm *i* is a subsidiary of a foreign Multinational, a member of a domestic private business Group or a State-owned firm. *T* is a linear time trend which accounts for time varying efficiency effects.

Given the specification of the inefficiency model (2), independent firms act as reference group and coefficients related to ownership dummies, together with their interactions with the size variable, show efficiency differentials with respect to independent firms. The inefficiency model (2) allows us to test whether the identity of ultimate owners matters in explaining efficiency differentials. The size variables has been included in order to account for apparent size differentials observed in the sample and discussed in Section 3. Size is measured as the log number of employees. When, as in our specification, inputs are also involved as explanatory variables for the inefficiency effects, the stochastic frontier model is called a non-neutral model, as proposed by Huang and Liu (1994) and further considered by Battese and Broca (1997). This model has important bearing upon the estimation of the elasticity of mean output with respect to the input variable included as explanatory variable in the inefficiency model.¹²

In the stochastic model defined by (1) and (2) technical efficiency for firm i at time t is defined as:

$$TE_{it} = \exp(-u_{it}) \tag{3}$$

which takes a value lower than one unless a firm is fully efficient. Technical efficiencies are predicted using the conditional expectations of $\exp(-u_{it})$ given the composed error term of the stochastic frontier (Battese and Coelli, 1988).

Maximum likelihood method allows to simultaneously estimate the coefficients of the stochastic frontier production function (1) as well as of the inefficiency model (2). In addition, variances parameters can be recovered on the basis of the following parameterization suggested by Battese and Coelli (1992):

$$\gamma = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_v^2} \text{ and } \sigma_s^2 = \sigma + \sigma_v^2$$
(4)

Finally, restrictions on parameters of the stochastic frontier function and of the inefficiency model can be tested using the following generalized likelihood ratio test statistic which has approximately a chi-squared distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the number of parameters involved in the restriction :

¹² In their 1997 paper, Battese and Broca derive the expression for the mean output elasticity with respect to input variable k for firm i at time t as: $\frac{\partial \ln[E(Y_{it})]}{\partial x_k} = (\beta_k + 2\beta_{kk}x_{kit} + \sum_{j \neq k}^4 \beta_{kj}x_{jit}) - C_{it}(\frac{\partial m_{it}}{\partial x_k})$ where $C_{it} = 1 - \frac{1}{\sigma} [\frac{\phi(\frac{m_{it}}{\sigma} - \sigma)}{\Phi(\frac{m_{it}}{\sigma} - \sigma)} - \frac{\phi(\frac{m_{it}}{\sigma})}{\Phi(\frac{m_{it}}{\sigma})}, \phi$ and Φ are the density and the cumulative density functions of the standard normal variable. The first part of the above expression is referred as elasticity of frontier output and the second part as elasticity of technical efficiency.

$$\lambda = -2[l(H_0) - l(H_1)] \tag{5}$$

where $l(H_0)$ is the log-likelihood value of the restricted frontier model. Estimates are performed using the FRONTIER 4.1 software developed by Coelli (1996).

5 Empirical results

In this section separate maximum likelihood estimates of the model defined in equations (1) and (2) are presented for twelve two-digit manufacturing industries. Providing a comprehensive analysis at the industry level is indeed one of the novelties of this paper. Among other things, it allows us to assess whether a common pattern in the ownership-efficiency relation exists across a broad spectrum of industries which differ with respect to several other characteristics including the speed of technological innovation and the stance of competition in the product market.

Simultaneous econometric estimates for the parameters of the frontier function and of the inefficiency model are reported in Table 3. As it is well known, the parameters in the translog production function have no immediate economic interpretation. For this reason output elasticities with respect to materials, capital, labor and time have been computed at mean values of each variable and reported in Table 4 together with estimated standard errors. Rather comfortingly, most of estimated elasticities look economically sensible. In particular, material elasticity ranges from 0.659 ("Office Machinery") to 0.842 ("Food and Drink"). Capital (0.028-0.119) and labor (0.106-0.512) elasticities differ considerably across industries, this in turn reflecting substantial technological idiosyncracies. Among other things, this result casts more than a passing doubt on the methodological soundness of the common practice of estimating production functions on panels of firms operating in different industries. "Office Machinery" and "Textile and Clothing" exhibit substantial increasing returns to scale, whereas returns to scale turn out to be decreasing in "Rubber and Plastics". In all remaining industries returns to scale are close to unity. Finally, estimated elasticities of mean output with respect to time show the presence of moderate technical progress (0.001-0.013) in all industries but "Mechanical Engineering" where it is negative even if not significantly different from zero at conventional statistical levels.

As it can be seen in the upper part of Table 5, the translog functional form with non-neutral technical progress seems an adequate representation of the technology. In fact, reported generalized likelihood ratio tests strongly reject the restrictions imposed by the Cobb Douglas specification ($\beta_{jk} = 0$ for j, k = M, K, L, T and $j \neq k$) for all industries, thus confirming that a flexible functional form, which let input and substitution elasticities depend on the data, provides a more appropriate description of the production process. Furthermore, the null hypotheses of no technical change ($\beta_T = \beta_{jT} = 0$ for j = M, K, L, T) as well as of neutral technical change ($\beta_{MT} =$ $\beta_{KT} = \beta_{LT} = 0$) are both rejected in all industries. Therefore, not only the estimated frontier functions shift over time but also the marginal rates of technical substitution are found to depend on time.

In the lower part of Table 5 are reported generalized likelihood ratio tests concerning restrictions imposed on the inefficiency model defined in equation (2). The null hypothesis that each firm is operating on the technical efficiency frontier (no inefficiency effects) is strongly rejected in all industries. Therefore the traditional average response function is not an adequate representation of the data (Battese and Coelli (1995)). Moreover, estimates of the variance parameter γ reported at the bottom of Table 3 range between 0.41 to 0.94 and are all statistically different from zero. Hence the random component of the inefficiency effects is significant. In turn this implies that deviations from the best practice frontier are not entirely due to noise and that stochastic inefficiency is present.

We now turn to the issue of whether observed inefficiency is a linear function of the explanatory variables included in the inefficiency model of equation (2). As already mentioned, independent firms are used as benchmark group. As a consequence, estimated coefficients on dummy variables - and their interactions with size - have to be interpreted as efficiency differentials with respect to independent firms. The joint test of no ownership effects, which involves restrictions on all ownership dummies and their interactions with size, is strongly rejected in all industries. This implies that, even after controlling for a common size effect, membership to larger organizations has a widespread effect on firms' efficiency. To provide additional evidence on this issue, the same test is also applied separately to each sub-sample. The null hypothesis of no State owned differential ($\delta_S = \delta_{SL} = 0$) is always rejected at the 5% significance level except for "Office Machinery". Analogously, affiliation to a national privately owned business group ($\delta_G = \delta_{GL} = 0$) significantly affects efficiency differentials with respect to independent firms in all industries but "Metals", "Office Machinery" and "Transport Equipment". Finally, the null hypothesis of no foreign subsidiaries differentials ($\delta_M = \delta_{ML} = 0$) is also rejected in most industries. Exceptions are "Metals", "Transport Equipment" and "Textiles and Clothing".¹³

While informative, all tests presented so far suffer from a major shortcoming since they do not allow us to identify the direction of efficiency differentials. Additional evidence can therefore be provided by directly computing the efficiency differentials from the benchmark of independent firms. As robustness check, differentials have been evaluated both at the mean and at the median firm size in each industry. Estimates and related standard errors are reported in Table 6. Overall results can be summarized as follow. Firstly, if one focuses on punctual estimates state owned firms turn out to be less efficient than their independent counterparts

¹³For "Mineral Products", "Metal Products" and "Food and Drink" the null hypothesis $\delta_M = \delta_{ML} = 0$ is rejected only at the 10% significance level.

in all industries but "Rubber and Plastics". Furthermore, this exception is unlikely to be very reliable because of the small number of observations on state owned firms in this industry (see the data appendix). Secondly, there is evidence that subsidiaries of foreign firms tend to be more efficient than independent firms. In fact, not only punctual estimates are negative - and therefore suggesting a positive differential - in 7 out of 12 industries ("Mineral Products", "Chemicals", "Metal Products", "Mechanical Engineering", "Office Machinery", "Food and Drink", Paper and Printing") but these negative estimates are all significant at the 10% level independently on whether size is evaluated at the mean or at the median. On the contrary, when punctual estimates are positive, they are statistically significant only for "Electrical Engineering". Thirdly, there is no systematic evidence for firms affiliated to national business groups. In fact, when size is evaluated at median values, punctual estimates point out that affiliated firms are more efficient than independent firms exactly in 6 out of 12 industries. In addition, if one focuses only on industries where differentials are significantly different from zero, estimates are positive in "Metal products", "Mechanical Engineering" and "Rubber and Plastics" and negative in "Food and Drink", "Textiles and Clothing" and "Paper and Printing", thus confirming the overall balance.

To provide a quantitative assessment of the differentials analyzed so far, mean technical efficiency scores are reported in Tables 7 and 8. In particular Table 7 summarizes the results by type of ownership and industry, whereas in Table 8 scores are cross-tabulated by type of ownership and size. Aggregate results confirm that subsidiaries of foreign multinationals (mean efficiency across industries 0.959) are more efficient than national firms even if the differential is not very large. Among national firms, independent firms (0.924) and affiliates to private business groups (0.920) show very similar results whereas scores for state owned firms are lower on the aggregate (0.894) and in most industries. Finally, results in Table 8 allow to enrich the overall picture. In fact, subsidiaries of multinational firms are found to be the most efficient group of firms in all size classes. Furthermore, even if it is true that efficiency is a negative function of size in all groups, this function turns out to be flatter for the sub-sample of foreign subsidiaries. The bottom line is that differentials between foreign subsidiaries and national firms are more pronounced when the analysis is restricted to medium-large firms.

6 Conclusions

In the introduction we posed ourselves two questions to be addressed empirically. Firstly, whether externally appointed managers are more likely to deviate from cost minimization rules than owners-managers in a country where direct ownership is concentrated. Secondly, whether the identity of ultimate owner matters in large organizations. To isolate the first issue, we proposed to compare independent firms with firms affiliated to private national business groups, that is to organizations where the ultimate owner is still a family or a coalition where families play a relevant role. Our answer to the first question is that there is no systematic evidence supporting the existence of additional agency problems due to the presence of externally appointed top managers, when firms directly managed by owners are used as benchmark.

As to the second issue, there is very strong evidence that in large organizations the identity of the ultimate owner matters. In fact, subsidiaries of multinational firms are found to be the most efficient group in most industries whereas state owned firms show systematic lower efficiency levels. It has to be pointed out that these results hold across a broad range of industries which differ in the speed of technological innovation as well as in the likely stance of product competition. This is an important result which makes the standard managerial effort explanation for efficiency differentials much more convincing.

Which lessons can be drawn from these results? It would be tempting to conclude that privatizations are likely to bring efficiency gains. However, two caveats have to be borne in mind. Firstly, the methodology used in this paper assumes that the type of ownership is exogenous. This identification assumption might be too restrictive and therefore one must be cautios in giving our estimates a causal (or structural) interepretation. Secondly, the size of these gains should not be over-emphasized. On average our estimates suggest that in the sample period under study differentials amount at -3.04% and -6.78% respectively, depending on whether national private firms or subsidiaries of foreign multinationals are used as comparison.

Another important finding of this paper is that private national firms (both independent and affiliates to business groups) seem less successful than their foreign counterparts in designing appropriate incentive schemes and in implementing adequate monitoring devices. Also, this problem turns out to be more severe in large firms. In turn, even if additional work in this area is obviously needed, these findings seem to suggest that a gap in managerial culture still exists in Italy and that multinational corporations are a potential vehicle for the diffusion of "best practices".

References

- Aigner, D.J., C.A.K. Lovell and P. Schmidt (1977), Formulation and Estimation of Stochastic Frontier Production Function Models, Journal of Econometrics, 6, 21-37.
- [2] Battese, G.E. and S.S. Broca (1997), Functional Forms of Stochastic Frontier Production Functions and Models for Technical Inefficiency Effects: A Comparative Study for Wheat Farmers in Pakistan, Journal of Productivity Analysis, 8, 395-414.
- [3] Battese, G.E. and T.J Coelli (1988), Prediction of Firm-Level Technical Efficiencies with a Generalized Frontier Production Function and Panel Data, Journal of Econometrics, 38, 387-399.
- [4] Battese, G.E and T.J Coelli (1995), A Model for Technical Inefficiency Effects in a Stochastic Frontier Production Function for Panel data, Empirical Economics, 20, 325-332.
- [5] Bianchi, M. Bianco and L. Enriquez(1997), Ownership, Pyramidal Groups and Separation Between Ownership and Control in Italy, European Corporate Governance Network, Mimeo.
- [6] Bianco M. and P. Casavola (1999), Italian Corporate Governance: Effects on Financial Structure and Performance, European Economic Review, 43, 1057-1069.
- [7] Coelli, T. (1996), A Guide to FRONTIER Version 4.1: A Computer Program for Stochastic Frontier Production and Cost Function Estimation, Working Paper Series, CEPA, University of New England, Armidale.
- [8] Demsetz H. and B. Villalonga (2001), Ownership Structure and Corporate Performance, UCLA, mimeo.
- [9] Coelli, T., D. S. Prasada Rao and G. Battese (1998), "An Introduction to Efficiency and Productivity Analysis", Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [10] Greene W. H. (1997), "Frontier Production Functions" in M.H.Pesaran and P.Schmidt, eds., Handbook of Applied Econometrics, Vol. II: Microeconomics. Oxford: Blackwell Publisher, Ltd.
- [11] Gugler, K. (2001), Direct Monitoring and Profitability: Are Large Shareholders Beneficial, in Corporate Governance and Economic Performance, ed. K. Gugler, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- [12] Hart, O. (1983), The Market Mechanism as an Incentive Device, Bell Journal of Economics, 14, 366-382.
- [13] Huang, C.J. and J.T. Liu (1994), Estimation of a Non-neutral Stochastic Frontier Production Function, Journal of Productivity Analysis, 5, 171-180.
- [14] Kalirajan, K. and R. T. Shand (1986), "Estimating Location-specific and Firmspecific Technical Efficiency: An Analysis of Malaysian Agriculture", Journal of Economic Development 11, 147-160.
- [15] Kodde, D.A. and F.C. Palm (1986), Wald Criteria for Jointly Testing Equality and Inequality Restrictions, Econometrica, 54, 1243-1248.

- [16] Kumbhakar, S.C., S. Ghosh and J.T. McGuckin (1991), A Generalized Production Frontier Approach for Estimating Determinants of Inefficiency in U.S. Diary Farms, Journal of Business and Economics Statistics, 9, 287-296.
- [17] Kumbhakar, S. and C. A. K. Lovell (2000), "Stochastic Frontier Analysis", Cambridge University Press
- [18] Margon, D. A. Sembenelli and D. Vannoni (1995), Panel Ceris su Dati d'Impresa: Aspetti metodologici e Istruzioni per l'Uso, Working Paper Series, 7, Ceris-Cnr, Turin.
- [19] Meusen, W. and J. van den Broeck (1977), Efficiency Estimation from Cobb-Douglas Production Functions with Composed Error, International Economic Review, 18, 435-444.
- [20] Nalebuff, B. and J. Stiglitz (1983), Prizes and Incentives towards a General Theory of Compensation and Competition, Bell Journal of Economics, 14, 21-43.
- [21] Nickell, S. (1996), Competition and Corporate Performance, Journal of Political Economy, 104, 724-745.
- [22] Nicodano, G. and A. Sembenelli (2000), Private Benefits, Block Transaction Premia and Ownership Structure, Discussion Paper Series, 4, University of Haifa, Haifa.
- [23] Pitt, M.M. and M.F Lee (1981), The Measurement and Sources of Technical Inefficiency in the Indonesian Weaving Industry, Journal of Development Economics, 9, 43-64.
- [24] Reifschneider, D. and R. Stevenson (1991), Systematic Departures from the Frontier: A Framework for the Analysis of Firm Inefficiency, International Economic Review, 4, 289-328.
- [25] Scharfstein, D. (1988), "Product Market Competition and Managerial Slack", Rand Journal of Economics 19, 147-155.
- [26] Schmidt, P. and R.C. Sickles (1984), Production Frontiers and Panel Data, Journal of Business and Economic Statistics, 2, 367-374.
- [27] Shleifer, A. and R. Vishny (1986), "Large Shareholders and Corporate Control", Journal of Political Economy 94, 461-488.
- [28] Shleifer, A. and R. Vishny (1997), "A Survey of Corporate Governance", Journal of Finance 52, 737-783.
- [29] Vickers, J.S (1995), Concepts of Competition, Oxford Economic Papers, 47, 1-23.
- [30] Willig, R. (1987), Corporate Governance and Market Structure, in Economics Policy in Theory and Practice, A. Razin and E. Sadka (eds.), Macmillan, London.
- [31] Zingales, L. (1994), The Value of the Voting Right: A Study of the Milan Stock Exchange Experience, The Review of Financial Studies, 5, 125-148.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics on Output and Input Factors							
	Output	Materials	Capital	Labou			
Metals	1428.397	1059.019	995.779	1396.303			
	3329.054	2355.291	3289.679	4765.18			
Mineral Products	557.377	328.093	507.727	678.890			
	474.214	313.108	515.628	629.73			
Chemicals	878.538	626.596	334.187	644.983			
	820.353	626.869	371.413	665.49			
Metal Products	487.901	342.064	218.746	520.520			
	725.594	503.854	397.590	797.26			
Mechanical Engineering	861.807	586.799	350.935	970.35			
	1255.659	917.181	778.375	1278.10			
Office Machinery	3295.316	1786.479	1247.636	2294.58			
•	9924.241	5769.080	3541.036	4414.52			
Electrical Engineering	972.456	638.932	327.067	1154.892			
gg	1105.681	779.676	484.777	1601.57			
Transport Equipment	2157.151	1446.841	1083.467	2875.392			
	9058.815	6321.078	4610.521	10631.18			
Food and Drink	936.888	727.492	299.872	563.089			
	1291.413	955.577	488.522	1025.56			
Textiles and Clothing	442.543	312.023	196.222	566.39			
g	459.516	357.652	202.050	654.33			
Paper and Printing.	664.886	452.355	347.135	631.782			
F	856.076	602.900	597.990	755.78			
Rubber and Plastics	881.131	550.723	508.955	1235.82			
	1643.761	942.085	1095.146	2738.79			
Total	952.802	651.440	433.338	959.25			
	3044.447	2041.796	1607.315	3224.56			

Table 2: Descrip	Table 2: Descriptive Statistics on Employment by Type of Ownership							
	Independent	State Owned Firms	Group Firms	Multinationals	Total			
Metals	339.484	3810.433	1372.075	535.754	1396.303			
	200.488	9015.125	2476.540	326.035	4765.181			
Mineral Products	600.118	1368.945	571.245	691.015	678.896			
	535.097	1025.683	344.998	653.670	629.731			
Chemicals	527.268	702.537	1311.205	617.842	644.983			
	459.483	416.723	1683.406	512.201	665.490			
Metal Products	427.931	546.626	1340.373	284.514	520.520			
	341.947	319.148	2278.680	166.799	797.267			
Mechanical Engineering	551.974	2063.342	1609.684	822.452	970.357			
8 8	554.937	2035.052	1509.876	1065.940	1278.100			
Office Machinery	529.400	971.632	4353.727	2199.076	2294.580			
·	355.767	327.445	7204.697	3714.529	4414.521			
Electrical Engineering	654.396	1591.453	1293.063	1387.817	1154.892			
0 0	1303.002	1759.103	1873.443	1562.417	1601.574			
Transport Equipment	841.320	2683.016	8295.320	516.451	2875.392			
1 11	654.358	4376.816	22056.032	256.513	10631.186			
Food and Drink	338.296	1260.282	1178.536	874.181	563.089			
	452.037	1399.412	1731.825	1536.080	1025.566			
Textiles andClothing	461.670	1447.455	1001.933	784.153	566.398			
8	320.052	1108.756	1497.910	749.687	654.339			
Paper and Printing.	447.309	879.943	921.704	546.103	631.782			
1 8	336.130	569.252	1219.932	291.106	755.788			
Rubber and Plastics	343.863	857.556	1633.319	2181.883	1235.828			
	240.092	292.860	2708.607	4011.041	2738.796			
Total	482.600	1772.695	1973.601	936.546	959.251			
	566.791	3881.951	7420.566	1590.952	3224.567			

Note to tables: a) All data are expressed in 1980 billion Lira with the exception of employment which refers to the number of employees at the end of fiscal year; b) Standard deviations in small characters.

					the Paramete ng Inefficienc		
	· ·	Metals	Min.Prod.	Chemicals	Met. Prod.	Mec. Eng.	Off.Mac.
β_0	Constant	0.696	0.153	0.593	0.849	1.346	-1.523
0		0.235	0.372	0.134	0.290	0.215	0.986
β _M	Materials	0.640	0.875	0.840	0.710	0.776	0.961
		0.070	0.094	0.038	0.081	0.040	0.183
β_{K}	Capital	0.213	0.303	0.103	0.162	0.165	-0.911
		0.078	0.065	0.027	0.077	0.049	0.277
$\beta_{\rm L}$	Labour	0.028	-0.071	0.002	-0.049	-0.167	0.975
		0.074	0.113	0.048	0.103	0.079	0.461
βτ	Year	-0.001	0.020	-0.015	0.013	-0.022	0.147
	2	0.011	0.012	0.005	0.014	0.010	0.042
β_{MM}	(Materials) ²	0.088	0.051	0.045	0.073	0.081	0.056
	2	0.006	0.014	0.003	0.007	0.005	0.009
β_{KK}	(Capital) ²	0.009	0.019	0.000	0.006	0.015	-0.036
	2	0.005	0.007	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.016
β_{LL}	(Labour) ²	0.030	0.069	0.062	0.065	0.087	-0.067
	2	0.010	0.012	0.005	0.010	0.008	0.061
β_{TT}	(Year) ²	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.002
		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
β_{MK}	(Materials)(Capital)	-0.077	-0.033	-0.009	-0.034	-0.030	-0.079
_		0.012	0.017	0.004	0.013	0.008	0.027
β_{ML}	(Materials)(Labour)	-0.075	-0.076	-0.093	-0.098	-0.129	-0.089
_		0.013	0.019	0.005	0.011	0.013	0.044
β_{MT}	(Materials)(Year)	-0.008	-0.009	-0.002	-0.006	-0.001	0.008
_		0.002	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.004
β_{KL}	(Capital)(Labour)	0.034	-0.041	-0.003	0.001	-0.010	0.239
_		0.014	0.012	0.004	0.006	0.007	0.065
β_{KT}	(Capital)(Year)	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.000	-0.004	0.020
~		0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.006
β_{LT}	(Labour)(Year)	0.007	0.005	0.004	0.006	0.006	-0.037
~	0	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.011
δ_0	Constant	-3.464	-1.382	-0.754	-0.593	0.900	-0.187
\$	Dummer State	0.535	0.743	0.141	0.338	0.160	0.424
$\delta_{\rm S}$	Dummy State	2.337	4.150	0.212	-1.831	0.073 0.143	0.199
2	Dummer Crown	0.358	1.176 2.802	0.306	0.666	0.143	0.659 -1.097
δ_{G}	Dummy Group	1.337 0.220	1.254	-0.323 0.222	-0.620 0.298	0.580	-1.097 0.543
2	Dummy Multinat.	0.220	1.564	0.032	-2.798	-0.187	-1.053
δ_{M}	Dunning Multinat.	0.041	1.077	0.032	-2.798	-0.187	-1.033 0.647
2	Year	0.025	0.000	0.000	-0.012	-0.026	-0.015
δ_{T}	i cai	0.025	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.020	0.006
2	Size	0.444	0.042	0.084	-0.005	-0.123	0.072
δ_{L}	5120	0.444	0.042	0.034	0.046	0.026	0.065
8	(Size)(D.State)	-0.318	-0.532	-0.001	0.362	0.002	-0.022
δ_{SL}	(SIZE)(D.State)	-0.318	-0.332	0.001	0.302	0.002	-0.022 0.097
8	(Size)(D.Group)	-0.213	-0.428	0.041	0.131	-0.046	0.167
δ_{GL}	(Size)(D.Group)	-0.213	-0.428	0.041	0.131	0.040	0.107
δ_{ML}	(Size)(D.Multinat)	0.004	-0.376	-0.085	0.455	-0.040	0.112
ML	(Size)(D.mutiliat)	0.001	-0.370	-0.085	0.433	-0.040	0.112
	σ_{S}^{2}	0.003	0.200	0.020	0.187	0.033	0.093
	0 8	0.010	0.077	0.0013	0.025	0.021	0.002
	γ	0.929	0.929	0.531	0.945	0.671	0.411
	I	0.025	0.023	0.014	0.043	0.043	0.199
	Log-likelihood	692.501	531.772	1353.510	579.404	996.173	232.309

	the Stochas	Elec.Eng.	Transp.Eq.	Food Dr.	Text.Cloth.	Paper Pr.	Rubb.Pl.
β ₀	Constant	0.200	0.196	0.672	1.145	0.563	2.328
F 0		0.199	0.290	0.083	0.360	0.170	0.355
β _M	Materials	0.935	0.887	0.798	0.739	0.935	0.714
- 191		0.047	0.068	0.032	0.055	0.061	0.075
β_{K}	Capital	0.036	0.302	0.176	0.063	0.073	0.162
×κ	1	0.045	0.091	0.030	0.054	0.055	0.085
β_L	Labour	0.042	-0.123	-0.041	-0.315	-0.034	-0.380
2		0.074	0.120	0.031	0.106	0.063	0.112
β_{T}	Year	0.017	0.019	-0.024	0.019	-0.020	-0.013
		0.009	0.017	0.005	0.013	0.009	0.012
β _{мм}	(Materials) ²	0.067	0.078	0.031	0.091	0.079	0.031
		0.006	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.012
β_{KK}	(Capital) ²	0.018	0.052	0.008	0.036	0.021	0.027
		0.005	0.010	0.003	0.006	0.006	0.010
β_{LL}	(Labour) ²	0.082	0.131	0.024	0.092	0.104	0.096
		0.010	0.015	0.004	0.009	0.008	0.018
β_{TT}	$(Year)^2$	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
		0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
β_{MK}	(Materials)(Capital)	-0.015	-0.018	-0.036	-0.075	-0.029	0.025
		0.009	0.017	0.006	0.009	0.013	0.016
β_{ML}	(Materials)(Labour)	-0.138	-0.152	-0.033	-0.095	-0.163	-0.072
		0.013	0.019	0.006	0.009	0.011	0.017
β_{MT}	(Materials)(Year)	-0.005	0.000	0.004	-0.003	0.003	0.000
		0.002	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002
β_{KL}	(Capital)(Labour)	-0.013	-0.096	0.009	0.017	-0.014	-0.075
		0.012	0.021	0.006	0.008	0.012	0.030
β_{KT}	(Capital)(Year)	-0.002	-0.008	-0.003	-0.002	0.001	-0.009
		0.002	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003
β_{LT}	(Labour)(Year)	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.004	0.000	0.009
		0.003	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.004
δ_0	Constant	-2.286	-1.633	-0.003	-1.781	-0.626	1.014
		0.425	0.600	0.092	0.188	0.249	0.172
δs	Dummy State	-0.065	1.349	0.153	0.212	-0.839	-2.092
		0.546	0.515	0.098	0.177	0.275	0.662
δ_{G}	Dummy Group	0.994	2.650	-1.014	-0.634	1.437	-0.203
~		0.554	0.999	0.121	0.175	0.373	0.119
δ _M	Dummy Multinat.	1.494	-2.696	-1.006	0.062	2.418	-0.267
_		0.340	0.434	0.125	0.132	0.429	0.112
δ_{T}	Year	-0.004	0.026	0.012	0.009	0.035	0.011
~	~ .	0.008	0.006	0.002	0.004	0.008	0.006
δ_{L}	Size	0.275	0.116	-0.088	0.312	0.004	-0.173
~		0.044	0.059	0.20	0.031	0.030	0.024
δ_{SL}	(Size)(D.State)	0.030	-0.140	0.076	-0.015	0.155	0.320
~		0.071	0.066	0.20	0.026	0.044	0.100
δ_{GL}	(Size)(D.Group)	-0.130	-0.396	0.151	0.097	-0.261	0.048
		0.072	0.148	0.021	0.027	0.068	0.021
δ_{ML}	(Size)(D.Multinat)	-0.203	0.395	0.172	-0.006	-0.442	0.050
	σ_{s}^{2}	0.051 0.031	0.075 0.074	0.022 0.014	0.023 0.010	0.080 0.031	0.020 0.005
	U _S	0.031	0.074	0.014	0.010	0.031	0.003
	γ	0.683	0.915	0.528	0.718	0.892	0.585
		0.077	0.025	0.056	0.050	0.025	0.108
	Log-likelihood	930.388	463.750	1354.086	1084.410	769.623	471.880

(M, K, L) and Technical Progress						
	Materials	Capital	Labour	Technical Progress		
Metals	0.799	0.049	0.169	0.009		
	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.001		
Mineral Products	0.691	0.119	0.171	0.006		
	0.011	0.008	0.010	0.002		
Chemicals	0.762	0.028	0.214	0.008		
	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.001		
Metal Products	0.707	0.054	0.239	0.008		
	0.007	0.009	0.011	0.001		
Mechanical Engineering	0.725	0.049	0.200	-0.002		
	0.005	0.007	0.009	0.001		
Office Machinery	0.659	0.027	0.512	0.006		
	0.014	0.021	0.033	0.003		
Electrical Engineering	0.709	0.030	0.274	0.013		
	0.005	0.007	0.008	0.001		
Transport Equipment	0.663	0.061	0.288	0.010		
	0.007	0.013	0.016	0.002		
Food and Drink	0.842	0.060	0.106	0.001		
	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.001		
Textiles andClothing	0.758	0.088	0.400	0.010		
	0.005	0.005	0.024	0.003		
Paper and Printing.	0.718	0.060	0.224	0.007		
	0.007	0.006	0.008	0.001		
Rubber and Plastics	0.765	0.045	0.086	0.011		
	0.009	0.010	0.019	0.004		

 Table 4: Mean Estimates of Frontier Input Elasticities

 (M, K, L) and Technical Progress

Table 5: Generalised Likelih Stochas		o tests of l ier Produ				meters o	t the
Null Hypothesis	Metals	Min.Prod (Chemical	Met. Prod.	Mec. Eng.	Off.Mac.	Crit. val. (5%)
Frontier Function							
$\beta_{jk}=0$ j,k=M,K,L,T	299.04	85.44	657.17	409.71	330.60	124.43	18.30
(Cobb Douglas)							
$\beta_{MT} = \beta_{KT} = \beta_{LT} = 0$	51.25	11.98	55.54	20.74	45.78	67.92	7.81
(Neutral technical change)							
$\beta_T = \beta_{iT} = 0$ j=M,K,L,T	79.76	38.74	280.24	40.73	85.78	94.94	11.07
(No technical change)							
Inefficiency Model							
$\gamma = \delta_0 = \delta_T = \delta_I = \delta_S = \delta_G = \delta_M = \delta_{SI} = \delta_{GI} = \delta_{MI} = 0$	162.53	101.64	286.06	132.24	140.09	110.81	17.67
(No inefficiency effects)							
$\delta_{S} = \delta_{G} = \delta_{M} = \delta_{SL} = \delta_{GL} = \delta_{ML} = 0$	40.11	50.50	259.61	30.09	100.85	76.75	14.44
(No ownership effects)							
$\delta_{s} = \delta_{s_{I}} = 0$	36.29	41.79	92.14	16.52	28.73	2.50	5.99
(No State owned differential)							
$\delta_{G} = \delta_{GL} = 0$	4.34	8.02	104.68	8.91	25.51	4.13	5.99
(No affiliated differential)							
$\delta_{M} = \delta_{ML} = 0$	0.41	5.39	80.66	5.70	48.49	14.07	5.99
(No for. Subs. differential)							
		Transp.		Text.			
Null Hypothesis	Elec.Eng.	Eq.	Food Dr.	Cloth.	Paper Pr.	Rubb.Pl.	
Frontier Function							
$\beta_{jk}=0$ j,k=M,K,L,T	335.55	262.55	233.81	542.22	476.45	96.14	18.30
(Cobb Douglas)							
$\beta_{\rm MT} = \beta_{\rm KT} = \beta_{\rm LT} = 0$	8.40	8.55	32.24	12.69	29.41	6.70	7.81
(Neutral technical change)							
$\beta_T = \beta_{iK} = 0$ $i = M, K, L, T$	60.77	50.07	37.46	48.58	82.36	19.67	11.07
(No technical change)							
Inefficiency Model							
$\gamma = \delta_T = \delta_S = \delta_S = \delta_G = \delta_M = \delta_{SL} = \delta_{GL} = \delta_{ML} = 0$	87.61	117.90	148.87	115.15	158.09	55.14	17.67
(No inefficiency effects)							
$\delta_{S} = \delta_{G} = \delta_{M} = \delta_{SL} = \delta_{GL} = \delta_{ML} = 0$	33.17	37.11	130.74	42.16	38.80	43.15	14.44
(No ownership effects)							
0 - 2 - 2	11.06	10.40	120.00	26.22	7 40	15 64	5.00

11.96

10.40

19.57

19.49

2.28

3.23

128.08

6.58

5.68

7.42

7.95

20.37

26.33

17.02

3.18

15.64

31.54

18.35

5.99

5.99

5.99

 $\delta_{s} = \delta_{sL} = 0$ (No State owned differential)

(No affiliated differential) (No affiliated differential)

 $\delta_{M} = \delta_{ML} = 0$ (No for. subs. differential)

24

with respect to Independent Firms							
	State (Fir		Group	Firms	Multinationals		
	Mean	Med.	Mean	Med.	Mean	Med.	
Metals	0.388	0.425	0.028	0.053	0.046	0.046	
	0.106	0.110	0.048	0.049	0.051	0.052	
Mineral Products	0.870	0.904	0.161	0.188	-0.755	-0.731	
	0.203	0.208	0.119	0.121	0.243	0.235	
Chemicals	0.203	0.203	-0.072	-0.071	-0.490	-0.492	
	0.046	0.046	0.044	0.044	0.024	0.025	
Metal Products	0.291	0.284	0.151	0.149	-0.125	-0.133	
	0.119	0.117	0.078	0.078	0.070	0.073	
Mechanical Engineering	0.085	0.084	0.089	0.093	-0.445	-0.442	
0 0	0.023	0.024	0.023	0.024	0.078	0.076	
Office Machinery	0.049	0.058	0.031	-0.035	-0.296	-0.340	
-	0.049	0.060	0.057	-0.048	0.063	0.079	
Electrical Engineering	0.130	0.126	0.149	0.167	0.175	0.204	
0 0	0.113	0.121	0.115	0.122	0.058	0.059	
Transport Equipment	0.386	0.408	-0.067	-0.004	0.018	-0.045	
	0.115	0.120	0.065	0.062	0.107	0.098	
Food and Drink	0.579	0.574	-0.162	-0.172	-0.038	-0.050	
	0.063	0.062	0.023	0.023	0.019	0.019	
Textiles andClothing	0.124	0.124	-0.049	-0.050	0.029	0.029	
	0.030	0.030	0.021	0.021	0.017	0.017	
Paper and Printing.	0.103	0.087	-0.149	-0.123	-0.266	-0.222	
- 0	0.042	0.042	0.052	0.046	0.076	0.070	
Rubber and Plastics	-0.122	-0.384	0.091	0.052	0.037	-0.003	
	0.062	0.133	0.017	0.014	0.018	0.014	

Table 6: Technical Efficiency Differentials with respect to Independent Firms

	Independent Firms	State Owned Firms	Group Firms	Multinationals	Total
Metals	0.963	0.884	0.942	0.940	0.938
Mineral Products	0.932	0.881	0.923	0.956	0.931
Chemicals	0.974	0.937	0.970	0.990	0.980
Metal Products	0.914	0.922	0.920	0.919	0.918
Mechanical Engineering	0.927	0.924	0.924	0.978	0.942
Office Machinery	0.918	0.795	0.747	0.933	0.883
Electrical Engineering	0.969	0.926	0.953	0.953	0.955
Transport Equipment	0.921	0.877	0.932	0.938	0.913
Food and Drink	0.981	0.876	0.987	0.983	0.976
Textiles and Clothing	0.827	0.583	0.762	0.748	0.808
Paper and Printing.	0.929	0.900	0.948	0.953	0.936
Rubber and Plastics	0.845	0.937	0.873	0.902	0.872
Total	0.924	0.894	0.920	0.959	0.930

Table 7: Mean Technical Efficiencies by Industry and Form of Ownership

Table 8: Mean Technical Efficiencies by Size and Form of Ownership						
	Independent Firms	State Owned Firms	Group Firms	Multinationals	Total	
Small	0.947	0.911	0.932	0.960	0.946	
Medium	0.913	0.899	0.928	0.966	0.930	
Large	0.895	0.888	0.909	0.951	0.913	
Total	0.924	0.894	0.920	0.959	0.930	

7 Data Appendix

The panel of firms used in this paper was constructed by using "Le Principali Società Italiane" directory, published yearly by Mediobanca Investment Bank. Each release of this directory includes balance sheet data for two consecutive years for a variable number of medium-large sized companies. Over the 1977-93 period the total number of firm-year observations amounts at 23761. Time series were obtained by merging data coming from several releases. The outcome of this operation is an unbalanced panel of 3982 firms with a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 17 observations.

From Mediobanca directories, information is available on the occurrence of extraordinary operations including major mergers, acquisitions or divestments. Since in these cases balance sheet data are unlikely to be comparable with data from either the previous or the following year, observations in years where an extraordinary operation occurred are excluded from the sample. For the purpose of the present paper firms outside manufacturing as well as manufacturing firms with less than 4 observations have also been excluded. At the end of this cleaning process we are left with an unbalanced panel of 1306 firms and 9816 firm-year observations. Table A.1 reports the number of firms and firm-year observations by industry and type of ownership.

Table A.1: Panel data	Chara	cteristics				
Industry	Firms	Observations (Total)	Independent Firms	State Owned Firms	Group Firms	Multinationals
Metals	78	555	254	134	106	61
Mineral Products	89	654	363	55	102	134
Chemicals	171	1327	377	108	88	754
Artificial Fibres	8	65	16	16	33	0
Metal Products	81	638	303	171	59	105
Mechanical Engineering	140	1082	465	158	114	345
Office Machinery	26	224	30	19	44	131
Electrical Engineering	158	1144	371	117	192	464
Transport Equipment	76	612	197	188	125	102
Food and Drink	176	1288	859	78	97	254
Textiles andClothing	156	1074	864	33	105	72
Wooden Products	14	109	99	0	10	0
Paper and Printing.	90	706	350	53	206	97
Rubber and Plastics	43	338	146	9	72	111
Miscellaneous Industries	12	93	29	6	6	52
Total	1306	9816	4694	1139	1353	2630

Table A.1:	Panel data	Characteristics
1 and 1 1.1.1.	I anti uata	Unar actor istics

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Papers Series Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: Server WWW: WWW.FEEM.IT

Anonymous FTP: FTP.FEEM.IT

http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

SUST	1.2001	Inge MAYERES and Stef PROOST: Should Diesel Cars in Europe be Discouraged?
SUST	2.2001	Paola DORIA and Davide PETTENELLA: The Decision Making Process in Defining and Protecting Critical
		Natural Capital
CLIM	3.2001	Alberto PENCH: Green Tax Reforms in a Computable General Equilibrium Model for Italy
CLIM	4.2001	Maurizio BUSSOLO and Dino PINELLI: Green Taxes: Environment, Employment and Growth
CLIM	5.2001	Marco STAMPINI: Tax Reforms and Environmental Policies for Italy
ETA	6.2001	Walid OUESLATI: Environmental Fiscal Policy in an Endogenous Growth Model with Human Capital
CLIM	7.2001	Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Commitment and Emission Trading: a
		European Union Perspective
MGMT	8.2001	Brian SLACK (xlv): Globalisation in Maritime Transportation: Competition, uncertainty and implications for
		port development strategy
VOL	9.2001	Giulia PESARO: Environmental Voluntary Agreements: A New Model of Co-operation Between Public and
		Economic Actors
VOL	10.2001	Cathrine HAGEM: Climate Policy, Asymmetric Information and Firm Survival
ETA	11.2001	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: A Sequential Approach to the Characteristic Function and the Core in
		Games with Externalities
ETA	12.2001	Gaetano BLOISE, Sergio CURRARINI and Nicholas KIKIDIS: Inflation and Welfare in an OLG Economy with
		<u>a Privately Provided Public Good</u>
KNOW	13.2001	Paolo SURICO: Globalisation and Trade: A "New Economic Geography" Perspective
ETA	14.2001	Valentina BOSETTI and Vincenzina MESSINA: Quasi Option Value and Irreversible Choices
CLIM	15.2001	Guy ENGELEN (xlii): Desertification and Land Degradation in Mediterranean Areas: from Science to Integrated
QUOT	16 0001	Policy Making
SUST	16.2001	Julie Catherine SORS: Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable Development in Venice: A Comparative
QUOT	17 2001	Assessment of Methods and Approaches
SUST	17.2001	Julie Catherine SORS: Public Participation in Local Agenda 21: A Review of Traditional and Innovative Tools
CLIM	18.2001	Johan ALBRECHT and Niko GOBBIN: Schumpeter and the Rise of Modern Environmentalism
VOL	19.2001	Rinaldo BRAU, Carlo CARRARO and Giulio GOLFETTO (xliii): Participation Incentives and the Design of
ET A	20 2001	Voluntary Agreements
ETA ETA	20.2001 21.2001	Paola ROTA: Dynamic Labour Demand with Lumpy and Kinked Adjustment Costs Paola ROTA: Empirical Representation of Firms' Employment Decisions by an (S,s) Rule
ETA	22.2001	Paola ROTA: What Do We Gain by Being Discrete? An Introduction to the Econometrics of Discrete Decision
EIA	22.2001	Processes
PRIV	23.2001	Stefano BOSI, Guillaume GIRMANS and Michel GUILLARD: Optimal Privatisation Design and Financial
1 KI V	25.2001	Markets
KNOW	24.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO, Claudio LUPI, Patrizia ORDINE, and Maria Luisa PARISI: Beyond National Institutions:
RIVOW	24.2001	Labour Taxes and Regional Unemployment in Italy
ETA	25.2001	Klaus CONRAD: Locational Competition under Environmental Regulation when Input Prices and Productivity
LIA	25.2001	Differ
PRIV	26.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Juliet D'SOUZA, Marcella FANTINI and William L. MEGGINSON: Sources of
i idi v	20.2001	Performance Improvement in Privatised Firms: A Clinical Study of the Global Telecommunications Industry
CLIM	27.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER and Emiliano RAMIERI: Climate Change Impacts on the Mediterranean Coastal Zones
ETA	28.2001	Nunzio CAPPUCCIO and Michele MORETTO: Comments on the Investment-Uncertainty Relationship in a Real
LIII	20.2001	Option Model
KNOW	29.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Absolute Risk Aversion and the Returns to Education
CLIM	30.2001	Zhong Xiang ZHANG: Meeting the Kyoto Targets: The Importance of Developing Country Participation
ETA	31.2001	Jonathan D. KAPLAN, Richard E. HOWITT and Y. Hossein FARZIN: An Information-Theoretical Analysis of
		Budget-Constrained Nonpoint Source Pollution Control
MGMT	32.2001	Roberta SALOMONE and Giulia GALLUCCIO: Environmental Issues and Financial Reporting Trends
Coalition		
Theory	33.2001	Shlomo WEBER and Hans WIESMETH: From Autarky to Free Trade: The Impact on Environment
Network		
ETA	34.2001	Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: Model Selection and Tests for Non Nested Contingent
		Valuation Models: An Assessment of Methods

NRM	35.2001	Carlo GIUPPONI: The Substitution of Hazardous Molecules in Production Processes: The Atrazine Case Study
KNOW	36.2001	in Italian Agriculture Raffaele PACI and Francesco PIGLIARU: Technological Diffusion, Spatial Spillovers and Regional
DD 117	27.2001	Convergence in Europe
PRIV	37.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI: Privatisation, Large Shareholders, and Sequential Auctions of Shares
CLIM	38.2001	Barbara BUCHNER: What Really Happened in The Hague? Report on the COP6, Part I, 13-25 November 2000,
	20.2001	The Hague, The Netherlands
PRIV	39.2001	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Carlo SCARPA: Regulation at Home, Competition Abroad: A Theoretical
		Framework
KNOW	40.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: On the Complementarity between Education and Training in Europe
Coalition	41.2001	Alain DESDOIGTS and Fabien MOIZEAU (xlvi): Multiple Politico-Economic Regimes, Inequality and Growth
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	42.2001	Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS (xlvi): Limits to Climate Change
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	43.2001	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN (xlvi): Endogenous Coalition Formation in Global Pollution
Theory		Control
Network		
Coalition	44.2001	Wietze LISE, Richard S.J. TOL and Bob van der ZWAAN (xlvi): Negotiating Climate Change as a Social
Theory	11.2001	Situation
Network		
NRM	45.2001	Mohamad R. KHAWLIE (xlvii): The Impacts of Climate Change on Water Resources of Lebanon- Eastern
	45.2001	Mohamaa K. KHAWEIE (XIVII). The Impacts of Chinate Change on water Resources of Lebanon- Eastern Mediterranean
NDM	46 2001	
NRM	46.2001	Mutasem EL-FADEL and E. BOU-ZEID (xlvii): Climate Change and Water Resources in the Middle East:
		Vulnerability, Socio-Economic Impacts and Adaptation
NRM	47.2001	Eva IGLESIAS, Alberto GARRIDO and Almudena GOMEZ (xlvii): An Economic Drought Management Index to
		Evaluate Water Institutions' Performance Under Uncertainty and Climate Change
CLIM	48.2001	Wietze LISE and Richard S.J. TOL (xlvii): Impact of Climate on Tourist Demand
CLIM	49.2001	Francesco BOSELLO, Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Davide RAGGI: Can Equity Enhance
		Efficiency? Lessons from the Kyoto Protocol
SUST	50.2001	Roberto ROSON (xlviii): Carbon Leakage in a Small Open Economy with Capital Mobility
SUST	51.2001	Edwin WOERDMAN (xlviii): Developing a European Carbon Trading Market: Will Permit Allocation Distort
		Competition and Lead to State Aid?
SUST	52.2001	Competition and Lead to State Aid? Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept
SUST SUST		Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept
SUST	53.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe
SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u> Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u>
SUST	53.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u> Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u> M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): <u>Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign</u>
SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment
SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International
SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights
SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:
SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries
SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe
SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome Project
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome ProjectEfrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed ConceptKari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in EuropeXueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the EnvironmentM. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of ForeignDirect InvestmentSavas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher InternationalCompetitiveness? Some New Theoretical InsightsRoldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised CountriesMatthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in theEnvironmental Restoration of Central and Eastern EuropeOnno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for theEnvironmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for PolandCarlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:Lessons from the Human Genome ProjectEfrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty andEndogenous Technical Change: Implications for KyotoGian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria inAscending Auctions for Multiple ObjectsElbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Effrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Effrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Orgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research; Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Orgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Leesons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in <
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Orgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Dimensions of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in <
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001	 Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u> Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u> <i>M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI</i> (xlviii): <u>Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign</u> <u>Direct Investment</u> <i>Savas ALPAY</i> (xlviii): <u>Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights</u> <i>Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER</i> (xlviii): <u>Embodied Pollution in Trade:</u> <u>Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries</u> <i>Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY</i> (xlviii): <u>Foreign Aid and Direct Investment; Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe</u> <i>Onn J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS</i> (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland <i>Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO</i> (xlix): <u>Science vs. Profit in Research</u>: Lessons from the Human Genome Project <i>Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI:</i> <u>Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto</u> <i>Gian Luigi ALBANO, Gabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO:</i> <u>On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects</u> <i>Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH:</i> <u>A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves</u> <u>with Panel Data</u> <i>Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI:</i> <u>Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the</u> <u>Costs of Kyoto</u> <i>Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU</i> (1): <u>Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness</u>
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 55.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 65.2001 67.2001	 Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u> Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u> M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): <u>Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign</u> Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): <u>Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International</u> <u>Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights</u> Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): <u>Embodied Pollution in Trade:</u> Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Eoreign Aid and Direct Investment; Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): <u>Science vs. Profit in Research</u>; Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: <u>Global Warming, Uncertainty and</u> Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: <u>On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in</u> Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>A Note on Testing for Environmental Change and the</u> Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): <u>Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth</u> Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001	 Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endoganous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guiudo CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 55.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 63.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 65.2001 67.2001	 Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u> Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u> M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): <u>Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign</u> Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): <u>Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International</u> <u>Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights</u> Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): <u>Embodied Pollution in Trade:</u> Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Eoreign Aid and Direct Investment; Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): <u>Science vs. Profit in Research</u>; Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efferm CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: <u>Global Warming, Uncertainty and</u> Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: <u>On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in</u> Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>A Note on Testing for Environmental Change and the</u> Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): <u>Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth</u> Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental

NRM	70.2001	Lee J. ALSTON, Gary D. LIBECAP and Bernardo MUELLER (li): Land Reform Policies, The Sources of
		Violent Conflict and Implications for Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon
CLIM	71.2001	Claudia KEMFERT: Economy-Energy-Climate Interaction - The Model WIAGEM -
SUST	72.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Yohanes E. RIYANTO: Policy Instruments for Creating Markets for Bodiversity:
		Certification and Ecolabeling
SUST	73.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Erik SCHOKKAERT (lii): Warm Glow and Embedding in Contingent Valuation
SUST	74.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Peter NIJKAMP (lii): Ecological-Economic Analysis
1101	75 0001	and Valuation of Biodiversity
VOL	75.2001	Johan EYCKMANS and Henry TULKENS (li): Simulating Coalitionally Stable Burden Sharing Agreements for
PRIV	76.2001	the Climate Change Problem And CAUTIER and Elonian UEIDER: What Do Internal Capital Markata Do? Redictribution via Incentives
PRIV	77.2001	Axel GAUTIER and Florian HEIDER: What Do Internal Capital Markets Do? Redistribution vs. Incentives Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Marcella FANTINI and Domenico SINISCALCO: Privatisation around the World:
FKIV	//.2001	New Evidence from Panel Data
ETA	78.2001	Toke S. AIDT and Jayasri DUTTA (li): Transitional Politics. Emerging Incentive-based Instruments in
	70.2001	Environmental Regulation
ETA	79.2001	Alberto PETRUCCI: Consumption Taxation and Endogenous Growth in a Model with New Generations
ETA	80.2001	Pierre LASSERRE and Antoine SOUBEYRAN (li): A Ricardian Model of the Tragedy of the Commons
ETA	81.2001	Pierre COURTOIS, Jean Christophe PÉREAU and Tarik TAZDAÏT: An Evolutionary Approach to the Climate
		Change Negotiation Game
NRM	82.2001	Christophe BONTEMPS, Stéphane COUTURE and Pascal FAVARD: Is the Irrigation Water Demand Really
		<u>Convex?</u>
NRM	83.2001	Unai PASCUAL and Edward BARBIER: A Model of Optimal Labour and Soil Use with Shifting Cultivation
CLIM	84.2001	Jesper JENSEN and Martin Hvidt THELLE: What are the Gains from a Multi-Gas Strategy?
CLIM	85.2001	Maurizio MICHELINI (liii): IPCC "Summary for Policymakers" in TAR. Do its results give a scientific support
CL D (06 0001	always adequate to the urgencies of Kyoto negotiations?
CLIM	86.2001	Claudia KEMFERT (liii): Economic Impact Assessment of Alternative Climate Policy Strategies
CLIM	87.2001	Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: Global Warming and Financial Umbrellas
ETA	88.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA:
ETA	89.2001	Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms <i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO</i> : Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences
LIA	69.2001	of the Fed
CLIM	90.2001	Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the
CLIM	2001	US Make a Difference?
CLIM	91.2001	ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO
SUST	92.2001	Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The
		Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada
SUST	93.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced
		Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England
CLIM	94.2001	Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector
CLIM	95.2001	Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and
	06 0001	Asymmetric Information
ETA	96.2001	Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options
SUST	97.2001	Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of
NRM	98.2001	Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the
INKIVI	98.2001	Venice Area – Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant'Erasmo
NRM	99.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS: Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area –
	<i>)).</i> 2001	Potentials of the Integrated Participatory Management Approach
NRM	100.2001	<i>Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI</i> : Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – A
		Methodological Framework
PRIV	101.2001	Enrico C. PEROTTI and Luc LAEVEN: Confidence Building in Emerging Stock Markets
CLIM	102.2001	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Igor CERSOSIMO: On the Consequences of the U.S. Withdrawal
CLIM	102.2001	from the Kyoto/Bonn Protocol
SUST	103.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ,
		Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant
		Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan
SUST	104.2001	R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing
		Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value
		Estimates
SUST	105.2001	Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based
~~~		Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned?
SUST	106.2001	J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic
		Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case
SUCT	107 2001	Study W AVALEW IM KING E PRINS and P PISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistance
SUST	107.2001	<i>W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY</i> : <u>Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence</u> Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program
		Errosioek Froudellon. Ecosono nom an Eunopian Odal Development i fogram

SUST	108.2001	<i>Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO</i> : <u>Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by</u> <u>Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model</u> : The Case of the Pentro Horse
SUST SUST	109.2001 110.2001	Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West
SUST	1.2002	<u>Africa</u> K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
ETA	2.2002	Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
WAT	3.2002	Preferences? Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
CLIM	4.2002	Black Sea Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Stable Coalitions</u>
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: <u>Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International</u> Comparison on European Gasoline Markets
ETA	7.2002	<i>Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Effichios S. SARTZETAKIS</i> : <u>Stable International Environmental Agreements: An</u> Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO</i> : <u>Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11</u> <u>European Countries</u>
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary Policy
KNOW	12.2002	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES:</i> <u>Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable</u> Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes: Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy
CLIM	16.2002	Francesco RICCI (I): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance Economy
Coalition Theory	18.2002	László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities
THEOLY		
•		
Network	19.2002	
•	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Network Coalition Theory Network	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected Coalitions
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition	19.2002 20.2002	Coalitions
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory		
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network	20.2002	Coalitions Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory		Coalitions <i>Guillaume HAERINGER</i> (liv): <u>On the Stability of Cooperation Structures</u> <i>Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO:</i> <u>Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach</u>
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network	20.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM	20.2002 21.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002 23.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÔSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> <li>28.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÔSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST KNOW	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> <li>28.2002</li> <li>29.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST KNOW ETA NRM	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002 23.2002 24.2002 25.2002 26.2002 27.2002 28.2002 29.2002 30.2002 31.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST KNOW ETA NRM	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> <li>28.2002</li> <li>29.2002</li> <li>30.2002</li> <li>31.2002</li> <li>32.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment         Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST KNOW ETA NRM	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002 23.2002 24.2002 25.2002 26.2002 27.2002 28.2002 29.2002 30.2002 31.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST KNOW ETA NRM	<ul> <li>20.2002</li> <li>21.2002</li> <li>22.2002</li> <li>23.2002</li> <li>24.2002</li> <li>25.2002</li> <li>26.2002</li> <li>27.2002</li> <li>28.2002</li> <li>29.2002</li> <li>30.2002</li> <li>31.2002</li> <li>32.2002</li> </ul>	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years         A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good Industries?         G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage<
Network Coalition Theory Network Coalition Theory Network NRM CLIM CLIM CLIM ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST KNOW ETA NRM NRM KNOW	20.2002 21.2002 22.2002 23.2002 24.2002 25.2002 26.2002 27.2002 28.2002 29.2002 30.2002 31.2002 32.2002 33.2002	Coalitions         Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures         Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems         Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation         Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech         Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany         Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological Change         Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans         The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale; An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good Industries?

CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (IV): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (lv): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (lv): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
		the Framework of Agenda 2000
NRM	40.2002	S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
1.1111	.0.2002	under Increasing-Block Prices
	41 2002	
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
		Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42.2002	C. OHL (lvi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43.2002	J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (Ivi): Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
CLIM	15.2002	Analysis with MacGEM
~ ~ ~ ~		
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (Ivi): Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary
		Model
ETA	45.2002	P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (Ivi): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
		Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46 2002	
	46.2002	Z. YU (lvi): <u>A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect</u>
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SERVEO (IV). Karking committees, words of Multisets
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
Theory		
Network		
	52 2002	D L ( M STAUDIC F and an All M L ( Dear 1 D L). In the most
ETA	52.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
		R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
CLIM	54.2002	Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty
ETA	55.2002	Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-
LIA	55.2002	
		Based Policies
SUST	56.2002	Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
SUST	57.2002	Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
		Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
SUST	58.2002	Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy
SUST	59.2002	Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
		Trading or Joint Implementation?
VOL	60.2002	Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
		or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
		<u> </u>
ETA	61 2002	
EIA	61.2002	Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
		Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
PRIV	62.2002	Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
PRIV	63.2002	Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
		Tobin's Q
PRIV	64.2002	Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent
F KI V	04.2002	
		Productivity
SUST	65.2002	Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a
		Statistical Life
ETA	66.2002	Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences
PRIV	67.2002	Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare
FKIV	07.2002	
		Changes in the U.K.
CLIM	68.2002	Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations
CLIM	69.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?
SUST	70.2002	Anna ALBERINI, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Services of
5051	70.2002	Urban Sites? Evidence from a Survey of Belfast Residents
OLIOT	71 2002	
SUST	71.2002	Marco PERCOCO: Discounting Environmental Effects in Project Appraisal
NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
		Irrigation
PRIV	73.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical
1 1 1 1	, 5.2002	Evidence from Egypt
	74 2002	
PRIV	74.2002	Mike BURKART, Fausto PANUNZI and Andrei SHLEIFER: Family Firms
PRIV	75.2002	Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD: Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
		Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
	, 0.2002	Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
		I Invalzation in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Onion

PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
PRIV	70 2002	Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: <u>How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?</u> Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PRIV	80.2002	Alberto R. PETRUCCI: Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and Wealth Displacement in a Small Open
F KI V	80.2002	Economy
CLIM	81.2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
-		of the Montreal Protocol
PRIV	82.2002	George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization, Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
		Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
		to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: <u>An</u>
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (lv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
a. n. /		<u>Misconceptions</u>
CLIM	86.2002	Pierre COURTOIS: Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
ETA	87.2002	Vito FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (lviii): Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance
ETA	88.2002	Laurent FRANCKX (lviii): Environmental Enforcement with Endogenous Ambient Monitoring
ETA	89.2002	Timo GOESCHL and Timothy M. SWANSON (Iviii): Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an
	00 2002	evolutionary biological system.
ETA	90.2002	Hans KEIDING (lviii): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	91.2002	Wietze LISE (lviii): <u>A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India</u>
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: <u>Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production</u> <u>Units</u>
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
CLIM	95.2002	Agreements Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
		the Netherlands: Perceptions and Reality
CLIM	96.2002	Matthieu GLACHANT: The Political Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Policy
KNOW	97.2002	Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
		Thailand
ETA	98.2002	Gianfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
		GARCH Models
ETA	99.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul
		EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Biodiversity and Globalization
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements - If per capita emission levels matter
ETA	103.2002	Pierre-André JOUVET and Walid OUESLATI: Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous
		Growth Model with Environmental Externality
ETA	104.2002	Anna BOTTASSO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI: Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data
		Evidence on Italy

(xlii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Climate Change and Mediterranean Coastal Systems: Regional Scenarios and Vulnerability Assessment" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in co-operation with the Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti, Venice, December 9-10, 1999.

(xliii)This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Voluntary Approaches, Competition and Competitiveness" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the research activities of the CAVA Network, Milan, May 25-26,2000.

(xliv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Green National Accounting in Europe: Comparison of Methods and Experiences" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the Concerted Action of Environmental Valuation in Europe (EVE), Milan, March 4-7, 2000

(xlv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "New Ports and Urban and Regional Development. The Dynamics of Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, May 5-6, 2000.

(xlvi) This paper was presented at the Sixth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, January 26-27, 2001

(xlvii) This paper was presented at the RICAMARE Workshop "Socioeconomic Assessments of Climate Change in the Mediterranean: Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation Co-benefits", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, February 9-10, 2001

(xlviii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop "Trade and the Environment in the Perspective of the EU Enlargement", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, May 17-18, 2001

(xlix) This paper was presented at the International Conference "Knowledge as an Economic Good", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and The Beijer International Institute of Environmental Economics, Palermo, April 20-21, 2001

(1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and

Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001

(li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001

(lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001

(liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

(liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002

(lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001

(lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE – Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum fur Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001

(lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002

#### 2002 SERIES

- CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
- **VOL** *Voluntary and International Agreements* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
- **SUST** Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
- **NRM** *Natural Resources Management* (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
- KNOW Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
- **MGMT** *Corporate Sustainable Management* (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
- **PRIV** *Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust* (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
- **ETA** *Economic Theory and Applications* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)