

Heal, Geoffrey

Working Paper

Bundling biodiversity

Nota di Lavoro, No. 99.2002

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Heal, Geoffrey (2002) : Bundling biodiversity, Nota di Lavoro, No. 99.2002, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/119699>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Bundling Biodiversity

Geoffrey Heal

NOTA DI LAVORO 99.2002

NOVEMBER 2002

ETA – Economic Theory and Applications
--

Geoffrey Heal, *Columbia Business School, New York, USA*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:

http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_wp.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:

http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Bundling Biodiversity

Summary

Biodiversity provides essential services to human societies. Many of these services are provided as public goods, so that they will typically be underprovided both by market mechanisms (because of the impossibility of excluding non-payers from using the services) and by government-run systems (because of the free rider problem). I suggest here that in some cases the public goods provided by biodiversity conservation can be bundled with private goods and their value to consumers captured in the price realized by the private goods. This may lead to an efficient level of provision.

Keywords: Local public goods, bundling, price discrimination, monopoly, environment, urban development

JEL: H41, Q2, R41

The author is grateful to Karl-Goran Maler, Antonio Rangel and Marty Weitzman for valuable conversations on this topic. Participants in the environmental economics seminar at Stanford and the NBER Environmental Summer Workshop also contributed greatly to refining the author's ideas. Author's URL www.gsb.columbia.edu/faculty/gheal/. This is based on a longer paper, "Bundling Public and Private Goods", which is available on the author's web site.

Address for correspondence:

Geoffrey Heal
Garrett Professor of Public Policy & Corporate Responsibility
Graduate School of Business
Columbia University
616 Uris Hall
3022 Broadway
New York NY 10027-6902
USA
Phone: +212+854+6459
Fax: +212+316+9219
E-mail: gmh1@columbia.edu

Bundling Biodiversity *

Geoffrey Heal

Columbia Business School, New York NY 10027, USA

1 Introduction

Biodiversity provides many services to human societies. It raises the productivity and resilience of ecosystems, both natural and human-managed, and enhances their capacity to provide services to us. It provides us with insurance against pathogen attacks on the crops that provide essential foodstuffs. And it provides us with knowledge of novel and potentially valuable molecular and genetic structures. The importance of these services is such that biologists doubt that humans could survive the loss of a really major fraction of the world's biodiversity. Myers [9], Ehrlich and Naylor [10] and Heal et al [8] provide information about the role of biodiversity in preventing pathogen attacks on agriculture. Heal [6] provides an overview of these issues from an

*I am grateful to Karl-Goran Maler, Antonio Rangel and Marty Weitzman for valuable conversations on this topic. Participants in the environmental economics seminar at Stanford and the NBER Environmental Summer Workshop also contributed greatly to refining my ideas. Author's e-mail address gmh1@columbia.edu and URL www.gsb.columbia.edu/faculty/gheal/. This is based on a longer paper, "Bundling Public and Private Goods", which is available on my web site.

economic perspective, and the volume edited by Daily [5] gives a good impression of humanity's dependence on a multitude of species. The distinguished biologist E.O. Wilson summarized this by his memorable statement about small invertebrates - bugs in common parlance - "We need them and they don't need us".

From an economic perspective conserving biodiversity provides one very sharp challenge: many of the services provided by biodiversity are public goods. Knowledge, one of the most important goods that come from biodiversity, is the quintessential public good. By raising the productivity and resilience of ecosystems biodiversity provides a form of infrastructure, a metaphor that is pursued further in Heal [6]. Infrastructure services are usually public goods. As we are well aware, market economies do not normally work well for public goods. The inability of producers to exclude those who do not pay implies that the return to providing public goods is small, and the free rider problem also suggests that even in a non-market context governments will have difficulty in providing public goods at an efficient level. So the importance of biodiversity provides a challenge to economists: we need to invent mechanisms for conserving this at an economically efficient level in spite of the fact that it is providing a number of services that are public goods.

In fact the market has already done some of this job for us. There are a number of cases of entrepreneurs bundling private goods with public goods and in the process earning a reward to the provision of the public good, the presence of which increases the willingness of their clients to pay for the private goods that they sell. Ecotourism is an example that is relevant to biodiversity conservation. As I note in more detail below, in southern Africa it has often proven most profitable for ranchers to stop cattle ranching and restore their land to its natural state, with native vegetation and animals, so as to charge tourists for viewing the animals. In restoring the native flora and fauna they are providing a public good, biodiversity conservation, and this good is enhancing the willingness of tourists to pay to visit their land. So they capture some at least of the value of the public good in enhanced willingness to pay for the private good. In general there appear to be many aspects of tourism that are based on the use of public goods to enhance willingness to pay for private goods - beautiful scenery, cultural heritages, unique biodiversity and other generators of tourism all are public goods whose existence generates demand for private goods such as transportation, accommodation and food. In Heal [7] I cite some striking examples of housing development that also appear to be relying on the provision of public goods associated with the housing to enhance its value and the willingness of buyers to pay for it. Indeed the whole phenomenon of smart growth can be viewed in this light. All of these are examples of the bundling of public and private goods, and ecotourism is the one that corresponds most closely to the bundling of biodiversity with private goods.

In the balance of this paper I summarize a formal model of this phenomenon. A profit-maximizing firm provides a private good and also a public good that affects people's willingness to pay for the private good, as in the case of ecotourism cited

above. If this firm can price discriminate perfectly, and in some cases even if it cannot, then it will provide the public and private goods at levels that are Pareto efficient. So we identify a case in which the market can solve the problem of allocating public goods efficiently. The next section presents a simple version of the model, and subsequent sections develop this further. The final section considers briefly applications in the area of biodiversity conservation.

2 Monopoly, Price Discrimination & Bundling Public & Private Goods.

Consider a firm that produces a private good for sale to a group of buyers $i \in N$ and also produces a public good that affects the welfare levels of these buyers. h_i is the amount of the private good sold to the i -th buyer and e is the provision of the public good, the same for all buyers. Buyer i has an income level of y_i and a utility function $u_i(y_i, h_i, e)$. The utility function u_i is assumed to be differentiable and strictly concave. Prior to any production occurring, buyers have initial endowments of the various arguments of their utility functions given by (y_{i0}, h_{i0}, e_0) .

Suppose that as a result of production the amounts of the private and public goods consumed by i change to $(h_{i0} + \Delta h_i, e_0 + \Delta e)$. Then we define agent i 's *willingness to pay* for this change as the value w_i that solves the equation

$$u_i(y_{i0}, h_{i0}, e_0) = u_i(y_{i0} - w_i, h_{i0} + \Delta h_i, e_0 + \Delta e) \quad (1)$$

It is the reduction in income that leaves the agent just as well off after the change as before, a standard definition (Varian [11]).

The producer faces a cost function $c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, \Delta e)$ which is denominated in units of income y . The function c is differentiable and strictly convex, showing increasing marginal costs of providing both goods. I assume that the producer is profit-maximizing and is able to price discriminate fully, extracting from each buyer his or her willingness to pay for any combination of public and private goods. The problem facing the developer is therefore to choose Δh_i and Δe so as to maximize profits, given by

$$\max_i \sum w_i - c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, \Delta e)$$

subject the constraints given by the definition of willingness to pay in (1). Profits here are the difference between total willingness to pay and total costs. If we simplify and assume that $\partial c / \partial h_i = \partial c / \partial h \forall i$, so that the cost of the private good does not depend on the identity of the buyer, then the first order conditions are

$$\frac{\partial c / \partial e}{\partial c / \partial h} = \sum_i \frac{\partial u_i / \partial e}{\partial u_i / \partial h_i} \quad (2)$$

which are identical to the familiar characterization of the efficient provision of a public good, namely that the marginal rate of transformation should equal the sum of the marginal rates of substitution (for more details see Heal [7]). We thus have the following proposition:

Proposition 1 *If utility functions are strictly concave and the cost function strictly convex, then a profit-maximizing producer who provides a private and a public good and can practice first order price discrimination will provide an economically efficient combination of the public and private goods.*

Even though there is no market for the public good, the fact that its extent affects the willingness to pay for private goods will ensure that it is provided efficiently, as long as this willingness to pay can be captured by those selling the private goods. In a competitive market for the private good this willingness to pay could not be captured by sellers, but would be dissipated by competition and would accrue to the buyers as consumer surplus. Some buyers might therefore be better off under competition but the allocation of resources to the public good would no longer be efficient. And of course a separate market for the public good would not reach an efficient level of provision because of the classical free rider problem.

2.1 A Generalization

The explanation above makes it clear that the phenomenon formalized in proposition 1 is in fact more general than the context in which it is presented. It depends only on the fact that there is a good for which there is no market. In the absence of this market the producer has the power to improve the consumer's welfare via adjustments to the output mix as well as via the total amount of the private good placed on the market, and can charge for this. Similar results will therefore hold whenever there are unmarketed goods provided by a supplier of private goods who can price discriminate. We can formulate a more general result as follows.

Let the utility function be $u_i(y_i, g_i^m, x, x_i)$ where as before y_i is a measure of income or wealth, g_i^m is a vector of goods provided by a discriminating monopolist, x is a vector of public goods for which there are no markets and x_i is a vector of private goods consumed by agent i for which there are also no markets. As an illustration, components of x_i might be person-specific externalities or endowments of goods for which there is no market. As before we define an initial position $u_i(y_{i0}, g_{i0}^c, g_{i0}^m, x_0, x_{i0})$ and a change from this

$$u_i(y_{i0} - w_i, g_{i0}^m + \Delta g_i^m, x_0 + \Delta x, x_{i0} + \Delta x_i)$$

and define willingness to pay as the value of w_i that satisfies

$$u_i(y_{i0}, g_{i0}^c, g_{i0}^m, x_0, x_{i0}) = u_i(y_{i0} - w_i, g_{i0}^m + \Delta g_i^m, x_0 + \Delta x, x_{i0} + \Delta x_i)$$

Here we are allowing possible changes in all arguments of the utility function: the change in income is of course a proxy for changes in the consumption of other goods. A monopolistic supplier of the goods g_i , x and x_i chooses the variations in these from the initial endowments so as to maximize his profits subject to his revenues being the total of willingness to pay and costs being given by a cost function:

$$\max \quad w_i - c(\Delta x, \Delta x_1 \dots \Delta x_N, \Delta g_1^m, \dots, \Delta g_N^m)$$

subject to

$$u_i(y_{i0}, g_{i0}^c, g_{i0}^m, x_0, x_{i0}) = u_i(y_{i0} - w_i, g_{i0}^m + \Delta g_i^m, x_0 + \Delta x, x_{i0} + \Delta x_i)$$

Here we can prove the following:

Proposition 2 *Assume that utility functions are strictly concave and the cost function strictly convex. A profit-maximizing firm has a monopoly over the supply of a set of private goods and also determines the supplies of public goods and non-traded private goods, all of which enter agents' utility functions. If this firm price discriminates, then the resulting allocation of resources will be Pareto efficient.*

3 Discrete Choices

So far we have assumed the scale of the scale of the public good to be a continuous variable. In many cases, including environmental cases, this is not so: a site is either preserved or developed, a species preserved or not, etc. Both conservation and development may have to occur at a minimum viable scale if they are to occur at all, forcing a choice of one or the other. In an example to be discussed below, farmers in southern Africa can either use their land for cattle ranching or for game ranching (populating it with native flora and fauna) but cannot do both. The cattle eat the local vegetation and the local carnivores eat the cattle, so farmers have to choose one or the other. In this discrete choice case all of the above results carry over, and there is an interesting extension to second best cases in which not all willingness to pay can be extracted by the seller.

Assume now that the public good is either provided, P , or not, N , and that there are no other options. Everything else is as in the model of section 2. If the developer chooses P and private goods Δh_i then agent i 's willingness to pay is given by

$$u_i(y_{i0}, h_{i0}, e_0) = u_i(y_{i0} - w_i, h_{i0} + \Delta h_i, P)$$

which we denote $w_i(h_i, \Delta h_i, P)$. Otherwise it is $w_i(h_i, \Delta h_i, N)$. The developer maximizes profits by finding the most profitable strategy conditional on choosing P , then doing the same conditional on choosing N , and then choosing the overall maximum.

Formally the first stage of the problem is

$$\max_{h_i} \times_i w_i(h_i, \Delta h_i, P) - c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, P) \quad (3)$$

where $c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, P)$ is the cost of the vector $\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N$ given the decision to provide the public good. Let $\Pi(P)$ be the profit that results from solving this problem and $\Delta h^*(P)$ be a vector giving the maximizing choice of production of the private good. Using the obvious extension of this notation, finding the best strategy conditional on choosing N involves solving

$$\max_{h_i} \times_i w_i(h_i, \Delta h_i, N) - c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, N) \quad (4)$$

and we denote the resulting profits and strategies by $\Pi(N)$ and $\Delta h^*(N)$. Clearly the producer will choose P rather than N if and only if $\Pi(P) \geq \Pi(N)$ and in this case will implement $\Delta h^*(P)$.

We can readily show once again that the socially optimal choice satisfies the same inequalities as the most profitable choice, so that we have an extension of the earlier results: the profit-maximizing private producer who price discriminates fully will choose a level of public good provision that is socially optimal. The discreteness of the choice makes no difference.

4 Global Public Goods

In fact in some ways having discrete choices strengthens the results. We can use the results on provision of public goods involving discrete choices to extend the analysis of earlier sections to a more general class of situations, situations in which the provider of the public good cannot hope to capture all of the population's willingness to pay for this. This could happen for several reasons, the most obvious of which is that the good is valued by people who are not in a position to buy the private good. These are the classic cases of existence value, value attributed to a good by those who will never use it. Biodiversity conservation, to be discussed more below, provides a good illustration: landowners may gain from conserving rare species and charging eco-tourists for viewing them, but there will generally be many who value the existence of these species yet are not in a position to view them. Landowners will be unable to tap their willingness to pay. Another possibility is that it is the producer's inability to price discriminate fully that prevents him from appropriating all of the value created by providing the public good. He may have no mechanism for obtaining information on willingness to pay.

Suppose that for either of these reasons, or for others, the producer can appropriate only a fraction $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ of the population's willingness to pay for the bundle of public and private goods. Then using the model and notation above the

profits from provision and non-provision, given previously by (3) and (4), now become respectively $\max_i \alpha w_i(h_i, P) - c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, P)$ and $\max_i \alpha w_i(h_i, N) - c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, N)$. So the decision to provide turns on the inequality

$$\max_i \alpha w_i(h_i, P) - c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, P) \leq \max_i \alpha w_i(h_i, N) - c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, N)$$

Clearly if

$$\max_i w_i(h_i, P) - \max_i w_i(h_i, N) > c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, P) - c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, N)$$

so that provision is most profitable when all willingness to pay is captured, and so is socially optimal, then for some $1 > \alpha^*$ it is still true that for $\alpha > \alpha^*$

$$\alpha \max_i w_i(h_i, P) - \max_i w_i(h_i, N) > c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, P) - c(\Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_N, N)$$

So in spite of the inability to appropriate the full willingness to pay, the developer's optimal strategy still coincides with the socially optimal choice, provided that a sufficiently large fraction of willingness to pay is captured.

5 Illustrations

Eco-tourism provides an important environmental context for this phenomenon. In southern Africa it has often proven most profitable for farmers to stop farming and restore their land to its natural state, with native biodiversity, so as to charge tour managers for bringing tourists to view the animals. The extent to which this has happened has taken many conservationists by surprise, to the extent that about 18% of the land area of the southern third of Africa (South Africa, Namibia, Botswana, Zimbabwe, Tanzania, Mozambique and Angola) is now given over to “game ranching”, as this is called. In Zimbabwe the land devoted to conservation has increased nine fold in the last quarter of a century. (Heal [6], Bond [1], Cumming [2], [3], Cumming and Bond [4]) The impact of this profit-oriented development on conservation has been far-reaching and positive, with the populations of many species rebounding from threatened levels. The game ranchers are providing public goods, the conservation of species, and are “bundling” these with private goods, accommodation and tour guiding. In many cases they are local monopolists and can probably price discriminate. These cases may be an important illustration of the last version of our results, in that they involve discrete choices between conservation and development and are clearly situations where the continuation of species via habitat conservation is of value to many people who will never visit the sites as paying tourists. Only a fraction of the world's willingness to pay can be appropriated, but this appears to be

sufficient to ensure conservation.

References

- [1] Ian Bond. *The Economics of Wildlife and Land Use in Zimbabwe: An Examination of the Current Knowledge and Issues*, 1993. (WWF Program Office, Harare, P.O. Box CY, 1409 Causeway, Zimbabwe.)
- [2] David Cumming. *Are Multispecies systems a Viable Land Use Option for Southern African Savannas?* 1990. (WWF Program Office, Harare, P.O. Box CY, 1409 Causeway, Zimbabwe.)
- [3] David Cumming, *Wildlife Products and the Marketplace: A View from Southern Africa*, 1990. (WWF Program Office, Harare, P.O. Box CY, 1409 Causeway, Zimbabwe.)
- [4] David Cumming and Ian Bond, *Animal Production in Southern Africa: Present Practices and Opportunities for Peasant Farmers in Arid Lands*. 1991. (WWF Program Office, Harare, P.O. Box CY, 1409 Causeway, Zimbabwe.)
- [5] Gretchen Daily. *Nature's Services: Societal Dependence on Natural Ecosystems*. Island Press, Washington D.C. 1997.
- [6] Geoffrey Heal. *Nature and the Marketplace*. Island Press, Washington DC, 2000.
- [7] Geoffrey Heal. Bundling Public and Private Goods. Available at <http://www.gsb.columbia.edu/faculty/gheal/EnvironmentalEconomicsPapers/pubgoodspropvalues.pdf>
- [8] Geoffrey Heal, Brian Walker, Simon Levin, Kenneth Arrow, Partha Dasgupta, Paul Ehrlich et al. "Genetic Diversity in Agriculture", forthcoming in *Resource and Energy Economics*.
- [9] Norman Myers. "Biodiversity's Genetic Library" in Gretchen Daily. *Nature's Services: Societal Dependence on Natural Ecosystems*. Island Press, Washington D.C. 1997.
- [10] Rosamund Naylor and Paul Ehrlich. "Natural Pest Control Services in Agriculture" in Gretchen Daily. *Nature's Services: Societal Dependence on Natural Ecosystems*. Island Press, Washington D.C. 1997.
- [11] Hal Varian. "Price Discrimination." Chapter 10 of *Handbook of Industrial Organization Volume 1* pages 597-654. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Papers Series

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

Server WWW: WWW.FEEM.IT

Anonymous FTP: FTP.FEEM.IT

http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

SUST	1.2001	<i>Inge MAYERES and Stef PROOST:</i> <u>Should Diesel Cars in Europe be Discouraged?</u>
SUST	2.2001	<i>Paola DORIA and Davide PETTENELLA:</i> <u>The Decision Making Process in Defining and Protecting Critical Natural Capital</u>
CLIM	3.2001	<i>Alberto PENCH:</i> <u>Green Tax Reforms in a Computable General Equilibrium Model for Italy</u>
CLIM	4.2001	<i>Maurizio BUSSOLO and Dino PINELLI:</i> <u>Green Taxes: Environment, Employment and Growth</u>
CLIM	5.2001	<i>Marco STAMPINI:</i> <u>Tax Reforms and Environmental Policies for Italy</u>
ETA	6.2001	<i>Walid OUESLATI:</i> <u>Environmental Fiscal Policy in an Endogenous Growth Model with Human Capital</u>
CLIM	7.2001	<i>Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI:</i> <u>Kyoto Commitment and Emission Trading: a European Union Perspective</u>
MGMT	8.2001	<i>Brian SLACK (xlv):</i> <u>Globalisation in Maritime Transportation: Competition, uncertainty and implications for port development strategy</u>
VOL	9.2001	<i>Giulia PESARO:</i> <u>Environmental Voluntary Agreements: A New Model of Co-operation Between Public and Economic Actors</u>
VOL	10.2001	<i>Cathrine HAGEM:</i> <u>Climate Policy, Asymmetric Information and Firm Survival</u>
ETA	11.2001	<i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI:</i> <u>A Sequential Approach to the Characteristic Function and the Core in Games with Externalities</u>
ETA	12.2001	<i>Gaetano BLOISE, Sergio CURRARINI and Nicholas KIKIDIS:</i> <u>Inflation and Welfare in an OLG Economy with a Privately Provided Public Good</u>
KNOW	13.2001	<i>Paolo SURICO:</i> <u>Globalisation and Trade: A "New Economic Geography" Perspective</u>
ETA	14.2001	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and Vincenzina MESSINA:</i> <u>Quasi Option Value and Irreversible Choices</u>
CLIM	15.2001	<i>Guy ENGELN (xlii):</i> <u>Desertification and Land Degradation in Mediterranean Areas: from Science to Integrated Policy Making</u>
SUST	16.2001	<i>Julie Catherine SORS:</i> <u>Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable Development in Venice: A Comparative Assessment of Methods and Approaches</u>
SUST	17.2001	<i>Julie Catherine SORS:</i> <u>Public Participation in Local Agenda 21: A Review of Traditional and Innovative Tools</u>
CLIM	18.2001	<i>Johan ALBRECHT and Niko GOBBIN:</i> <u>Schumpeter and the Rise of Modern Environmentalism</u>
VOL	19.2001	<i>Rinaldo BRAU, Carlo CARRARO and Giulio GOLFETTO (xliii):</i> <u>Participation Incentives and the Design of Voluntary Agreements</u>
ETA	20.2001	<i>Paola ROTA:</i> <u>Dynamic Labour Demand with Lumpy and Kinked Adjustment Costs</u>
ETA	21.2001	<i>Paola ROTA:</i> <u>Empirical Representation of Firms' Employment Decisions by an (S,s) Rule</u>
ETA	22.2001	<i>Paola ROTA:</i> <u>What Do We Gain by Being Discrete? An Introduction to the Econometrics of Discrete Decision Processes</u>
PRIV	23.2001	<i>Stefano BOSI, Guillaume GIRMANS and Michel GUILLARD:</i> <u>Optimal Privatisation Design and Financial Markets</u>
KNOW	24.2001	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO, Claudio LUPI, Patrizia ORDINE, and Maria Luisa PARISI:</i> <u>Beyond National Institutions: Labour Taxes and Regional Unemployment in Italy</u>
ETA	25.2001	<i>Klaus CONRAD:</i> <u>Locational Competition under Environmental Regulation when Input Prices and Productivity Differ</u>
PRIV	26.2001	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Juliet D'SOUZA, Marcella FANTINI and William L. MEGGINSON:</i> <u>Sources of Performance Improvement in Privatised Firms: A Clinical Study of the Global Telecommunications Industry</u>
CLIM	27.2001	<i>Frédéric BROCHIER and Emiliano RAMIERI:</i> <u>Climate Change Impacts on the Mediterranean Coastal Zones</u>
ETA	28.2001	<i>Nunzio CAPPUCCIO and Michele MORETTO:</i> <u>Comments on the Investment-Uncertainty Relationship in a Real Option Model</u>
KNOW	29.2001	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO:</i> <u>Absolute Risk Aversion and the Returns to Education</u>
CLIM	30.2001	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG:</i> <u>Meeting the Kyoto Targets: The Importance of Developing Country Participation</u>
ETA	31.2001	<i>Jonathan D. KAPLAN, Richard E. HOWITT and Y. Hossein FARZIN:</i> <u>An Information-Theoretical Analysis of Budget-Constrained Nonpoint Source Pollution Control</u>
MGMT Coalition Theory Network	32.2001	<i>Roberta SALOMONE and Giulia GALLUCCIO:</i> <u>Environmental Issues and Financial Reporting Trends</u>
ETA	33.2001	<i>Shlomo WEBER and Hans WIESMETH:</i> <u>From Autarky to Free Trade: The Impact on Environment</u>
ETA	34.2001	<i>Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA:</i> <u>Model Selection and Tests for Non Nested Contingent Valuation Models: An Assessment of Methods</u>

NRM	35.2001	<i>Carlo GIUPPONI</i> : <u>The Substitution of Hazardous Molecules in Production Processes: The Atrazine Case Study in Italian Agriculture</u>
KNOW	36.2001	<i>Raffaele PACI and Francesco PIGLIARU</i> : <u>Technological Diffusion, Spatial Spillovers and Regional Convergence in Europe</u>
PRIV	37.2001	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI</i> : <u>Privatisation, Large Shareholders, and Sequential Auctions of Shares</u>
CLIM	38.2001	<i>Barbara BUCHNER</i> : <u>What Really Happened in The Hague? Report on the COP6, Part I, 13-25 November 2000, The Hague, The Netherlands</u>
PRIV	39.2001	<i>Giacomo CALZOLARI and Carlo SCARPA</i> : <u>Regulation at Home, Competition Abroad: A Theoretical Framework</u>
KNOW	40.2001	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO</i> : <u>On the Complementarity between Education and Training in Europe</u>
Coalition	41.2001	<i>Alain DESDOIGTS and Fabien MOIZEAU</i> (xlv): <u>Multiple Politico-Economic Regimes, Inequality and Growth</u>
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	42.2001	<i>Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS</i> (xlv): <u>Limits to Climate Change</u>
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	43.2001	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> (xlv): <u>Endogenous Coalition Formation in Global Pollution Control</u>
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	44.2001	<i>Wietze LISE, Richard S.J. TOL and Bob van der ZWAAN</i> (xlv): <u>Negotiating Climate Change as a Social Situation</u>
Theory		
Network		
NRM	45.2001	<i>Mohamad R. KHAWLIE</i> (xlvii): <u>The Impacts of Climate Change on Water Resources of Lebanon- Eastern Mediterranean</u>
NRM	46.2001	<i>Mutasem EL-FADEL and E. BOU-ZEID</i> (xlvii): <u>Climate Change and Water Resources in the Middle East: Vulnerability, Socio-Economic Impacts and Adaptation</u>
NRM	47.2001	<i>Eva IGLESIAS, Alberto GARRIDO and Almudena GOMEZ</i> (xlvii): <u>An Economic Drought Management Index to Evaluate Water Institutions' Performance Under Uncertainty and Climate Change</u>
CLIM	48.2001	<i>Wietze LISE and Richard S.J. TOL</i> (xlvii): <u>Impact of Climate on Tourist Demand</u>
CLIM	49.2001	<i>Francesco BOSELLO, Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Davide RAGGI</i> : <u>Can Equity Enhance Efficiency? Lessons from the Kyoto Protocol</u>
SUST	50.2001	<i>Roberto ROSON</i> (xlviii): <u>Carbon Leakage in a Small Open Economy with Capital Mobility</u>
SUST	51.2001	<i>Edwin WOERDMAN</i> (xlviii): <u>Developing a European Carbon Trading Market: Will Permit Allocation Distort Competition and Lead to State Aid?</u>
SUST	52.2001	<i>Richard N. COOPER</i> (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u>
SUST	53.2001	<i>Kari KANGAS</i> (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe</u>
SUST	54.2001	<i>Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND</i> (xlviii): <u>Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment</u>
SUST	55.2001	<i>M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI</i> (xlviii): <u>Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment</u>
SUST	56.2001	<i>Savas ALPAY</i> (xlviii): <u>Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights</u>
SUST	57.2001	<i>Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER</i> (xlviii): <u>Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries</u>
SUST	58.2001	<i>Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY</i> (xlviii): <u>Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe</u>
SUST	59.2001	<i>Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS</i> (xlviii): <u>Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland</u>
ETA	60.2001	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO</i> (xlix): <u>Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project</u>
CLIM	61.2001	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI</i> : <u>Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto</u>
PRIV	62.2001	<i>Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO</i> : <u>On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects</u>
CLIM	63.2001	<i>Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH</i> : <u>A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data</u>
CLIM	64.2001	<i>Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI</i> : <u>Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto</u>
CLIM	65.2001	<i>Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU</i> (l): <u>Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset</u>
CLIM	66.2001	<i>Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO</i> (l): <u>Investigating the Shape of the EKC: A Nonparametric Approach</u>
CLIM	67.2001	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI</i> (l): <u>Desperately Seeking (Environmental) Kuznets: A New Look at the Evidence</u>
CLIM	68.2001	<i>Alexey VIKHLYAEV</i> (xlviii): <u>The Use of Trade Measures for Environmental Purposes – Globally and in the EU Context</u>
NRM	69.2001	<i>Gary D. LIBECAP and Zeynep K. HANSEN</i> (li): <u>U.S. Land Policy, Property Rights, and the Dust Bowl of the 1930s</u>

NRM	70.2001	<i>Lee J. ALSTON, Gary D. LIBECAP and Bernardo MUELLER</i> (li): <u>Land Reform Policies. The Sources of Violent Conflict and Implications for Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon</u>
CLIM	71.2001	<i>Claudia KEMFERT</i> : <u>Economy-Energy-Climate Interaction – The Model WIAGEM -</u>
SUST	72.2001	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Yohanes E. RIYANTO</i> : <u>Policy Instruments for Creating Markets for Biodiversity: Certification and Ecolabeling</u>
SUST	73.2001	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Erik SCHOKKAERT</i> (lii): <u>Warm Glow and Embedding in Contingent Valuation</u>
SUST	74.2001	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Peter NIJKAMP</i> (lii): <u>Ecological-Economic Analysis and Valuation of Biodiversity</u>
VOL	75.2001	<i>Johan EYCKMANS and Henry TULKENS</i> (li): <u>Simulating Coalitionally Stable Burden Sharing Agreements for the Climate Change Problem</u>
PRIV	76.2001	<i>Axel GAUTIER and Florian HEIDER</i> : <u>What Do Internal Capital Markets Do? Redistribution vs. Incentives</u>
PRIV	77.2001	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Marcella FANTINI and Domenico SINISCALCO</i> : <u>Privatisation around the World: New Evidence from Panel Data</u>
ETA	78.2001	<i>Toke S. AIDT and Jayasri DUTTA</i> (li): <u>Transitional Politics. Emerging Incentive-based Instruments in Environmental Regulation</u>
ETA	79.2001	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI</i> : <u>Consumption Taxation and Endogenous Growth in a Model with New Generations</u>
ETA	80.2001	<i>Pierre LASSERRE and Antoine SOUBEYRAN</i> (li): <u>A Ricardian Model of the Tragedy of the Commons</u>
ETA	81.2001	<i>Pierre COURTOIS, Jean Christophe PÉREAU and Tarik TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>An Evolutionary Approach to the Climate Change Negotiation Game</u>
NRM	82.2001	<i>Christophe BONTEMPS, Stéphane COUTURE and Pascal FAVARD</i> : <u>Is the Irrigation Water Demand Really Convex?</u>
NRM	83.2001	<i>Unai PASCUAL and Edward BARBIER</i> : <u>A Model of Optimal Labour and Soil Use with Shifting Cultivation</u>
CLIM	84.2001	<i>Jesper JENSEN and Martin Hvidt THELLE</i> : <u>What are the Gains from a Multi-Gas Strategy?</u>
CLIM	85.2001	<i>Maurizio MICHELINI</i> (liii): IPCC “Summary for Policymakers” in TAR. <u>Do its results give a scientific support always adequate to the urgencies of Kyoto negotiations?</u>
CLIM	86.2001	<i>Claudia KEMFERT</i> (liii): <u>Economic Impact Assessment of Alternative Climate Policy Strategies</u>
CLIM	87.2001	<i>Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Global Warming and Financial Umbrellas</u>
ETA	88.2001	<i>Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA</i> : <u>Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms</u>
ETA	89.2001	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO</i> : <u>Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed</u>
CLIM	90.2001	<i>Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI</i> : <u>Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference?</u>
CLIM	91.2001	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO</i> : <u>Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO</u>
SUST	92.2001	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK</i> (lii): <u>The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada</u>
SUST	93.2001	<i>Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS</i> (lii): <u>Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England</u>
CLIM	94.2001	<i>Ming CHEN and Larry KARP</i> : <u>Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector</u>
CLIM	95.2001	<i>Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG</i> : <u>Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information</u>
ETA	96.2001	<i>Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI</i> : <u>On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options</u>
SUST	97.2001	<i>Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON</i> : <u>The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites</u>
NRM	98.2001	<i>Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO</i> : <u>Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant’Erasmus</u>
NRM	99.2001	<i>Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS</i> : <u>Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area – Potentials of the Integrated Participatory Management Approach</u>
NRM	100.2001	<i>Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI</i> : <u>Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – A Methodological Framework</u>
PRIV	101.2001	<i>Enrico C. PEROTTI and Luc LAEVEN</i> : <u>Confidence Building in Emerging Stock Markets</u>
CLIM	102.2001	<i>Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Igor CERSOSIMO</i> : <u>On the Consequences of the U.S. Withdrawal from the Kyoto/Bonn Protocol</u>
SUST	103.2001	<i>Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ, Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL</i> : <u>Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan</u>
SUST	104.2001	<i>R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE</i> : <u>Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates</u>
SUST	105.2001	<i>Clemens B.A. WOLLNY</i> : <u>The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned?</u>
SUST	106.2001	<i>J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE</i> : <u>Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study</u>
SUST	107.2001	<i>W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY</i> : <u>Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program</u>

SUST	108.2001	<i>Gianni CICALA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: <u>Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse</u></i>
SUST	109.2001	<i>Clem TISDELL: <u>Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment</u></i>
SUST	110.2001	<i>M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: <u>Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa</u></i>
SUST	1.2002	<i>K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: <u>Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa</u></i>
ETA	2.2002	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: <u>What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences?</u></i>
WAT	3.2002	<i>Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: <u>The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea</u></i>
CLIM	4.2002	<i>Andreas LÖSCHEL: <u>Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey</u></i>
VOL	5.2002	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Stable Coalitions</u></i>
CLIM	6.2002	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: <u>Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International Comparison on European Gasoline Markets</u></i>
ETA	7.2002	<i>Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Eftichios S. SARTZETAKIS: <u>Stable International Environmental Agreements: An Analytical Approach</u></i>
KNOW	8.2002	<i>Alain DESDOIGTS: <u>Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a Consensus</u></i>
NRM	9.2002	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA: <u>Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling</u></i>
KNOW	10.2002	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO: <u>Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11 European Countries</u></i>
ETA	11.2002	<i>Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: <u>Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary Policy</u></i>
KNOW	12.2002	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: <u>Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable Growth?</u></i>
NRM	13.2002	<i>Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: <u>The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model</u></i>
CLIM	14.2002	<i>Philippe QUIRION: <u>Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector</u></i>
CLIM	15.2002	<i>Roberto ROSON: <u>Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes: Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy</u></i>
CLIM	16.2002	<i>Francesco RICCI (I): <u>Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity</u></i>
ETA	17.2002	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance Economy</u></i>
Coalition Theory Network	18.2002	<i>László Á. KÓCZY (liv): <u>The Core in the Presence of Externalities</u></i>
Coalition Theory Network	19.2002	<i>Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): <u>Single-Peakedness and Disconnected Coalitions</u></i>
Coalition Theory Network	20.2002	<i>Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): <u>On the Stability of Cooperation Structures</u></i>
NRM	21.2002	<i>Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAULO: <u>Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems</u></i>
CLIM	22.2002	<i>Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation</u></i>
CLIM	23.2002	<i>Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: <u>The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech</u></i>
ETA	24.2002	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: <u>Inventories, Employment and Hours</u></i>
CLIM	25.2002	<i>Hannes EGLI: <u>Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany</u></i>
ETA	26.2002	<i>Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Environmental Policy and Technological Change</u></i>
SUST	27.2002	<i>Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: <u>Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans</u></i>
SUST	28.2002	<i>The ANSEA Network: <u>Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment</u></i>
KNOW	29.2002	<i>Paolo SURICO: <u>Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence</u></i>
ETA	30.2002	<i>Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies</u></i>
NRM	31.2002	<i>Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: <u>Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon</u></i>
NRM	32.2002	<i>Robert N. STAVINS: <u>National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years</u></i>
KNOW	33.2002	<i>A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : <u>Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good Industries?</u></i>
KNOW	34.2002	<i>G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: <u>Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage Effect</u></i>
CLIM	35.2002	<i>C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): <u>Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from Economic Theory and International Experiences</u></i>

CLIM	36.2002	<i>T. TIETENBERG</i> (lv): <u>The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?</u>
CLIM	37.2002	<i>K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL</i> (lv): <u>On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits</u>
CLIM	38.2002	<i>C. FISCHER</i> (lv): <u>Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading</u>
SUST	39.2002	<i>G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO</i> : <u>Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under the Framework of Agenda 2000</u>
NRM	40.2002	<i>S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS</i> : <u>Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand under Increasing-Block Prices</u>
NRM	41.2002	<i>A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS</i> : <u>The Effects of Potential Land Development on Agricultural Land Prices</u>
CLIM	42.2002	<i>C. OHL</i> (lvi): <u>Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits</u>
CLIM	43.2002	<i>J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE</i> (lvi): <u>Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An Analysis with MacGEM</u>
CLIM	44.2002	<i>A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI</i> (lvi): <u>Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary Model</u>
ETA	45.2002	<i>P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET</i> (lvi): <u>Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic Policymaking with Multiple Instruments</u>
ETA	46.2002	<i>Z. YU</i> (lvi): <u>A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect</u>
SUST	47.2002	<i>Y. H. FARZIN</i> : <u>Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?</u>
SUST	48.2002	<i>Y. H. FARZIN</i> : <u>Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value</u>
KNOW	49.2002	<i>C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI</i> : <u>Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection</u>
Coalition Theory Network	50.2002	<i>M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO</i> (liv): <u>Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets</u>
Coalition Theory Network	51.2002	<i>Sergio CURRARINI</i> (liv): <u>Stable Organizations with Externalities</u>
ETA	52.2002	<i>Robert N. STAVINS</i> : <u>Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments</u>
ETA	53.2002	<i>C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN</i> (liii): <u>Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation</u>
CLIM	54.2002	<i>Scott BARRETT</i> (liii): <u>Towards a Better Climate Treaty</u>
ETA	55.2002	<i>Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS</i> : <u>Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies</u>
SUST	56.2002	<i>Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO</i> : <u>Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs</u>
SUST	57.2002	<i>Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA</i> (lvii): <u>Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests</u>
SUST	58.2002	<i>Vladimir KOTOV</i> (lvii): <u>Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy</u>
SUST	59.2002	<i>Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO</i> (lvii): <u>How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?</u>
VOL	60.2002	<i>Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE</i> : <u>Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union</u>
ETA	61.2002	<i>Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER</i> : <u>Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity</u>
PRIV	62.2002	<i>Carlo CAPUANO</i> : <u>Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability</u>
PRIV	63.2002	<i>Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI</i> : <u>Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q</u>
PRIV	64.2002	<i>Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO</i> : <u>The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity</u>
SUST	65.2002	<i>Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE</i> : <u>Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life</u>
ETA	66.2002	<i>Paolo SURICO</i> : <u>US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences</u>
PRIV	67.2002	<i>Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO</i> : <u>Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.</u>
CLIM	68.2002	<i>Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON</i> : <u>Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations</u>
CLIM	69.2002	<i>Philippe QUIRION</i> : <u>Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?</u>
SUST	70.2002	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO</i> : <u>Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Services of Urban Sites? Evidence from a Survey of Belfast Residents</u>
SUST	71.2002	<i>Marco PERCOCO</i> : <u>Discounting Environmental Effects in Project Appraisal</u>
NRM	72.2002	<i>Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD</i> : <u>Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of Irrigation</u>
PRIV	73.2002	<i>Mohammed OMRAN</i> : <u>The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical Evidence from Egypt</u>
PRIV	74.2002	<i>Mike BURKART, Fausto PANUNZI and Andrei SHLEIFER</i> : <u>Family Firms</u>
PRIV	75.2002	<i>Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD</i> : <u>Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget Constraint</u>
PRIV	76.2002	<i>Nichole M. CASTATER</i> : <u>Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union</u>

PRIV	77.2002	<i>Christoph LÜLSFESMANN</i> : <u>Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization</u>
PRIV	78.2002	<i>Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ</i> : <u>Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms</u>
PRIV	79.2002	<i>Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ</i> : <u>How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work? Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies</u>
PRIV	80.2002	<i>Alberto R. PETRUCCI</i> : <u>Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and Wealth Displacement in a Small Open Economy</u>
CLIM	81.2002	<i>Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (Ivi)</i> : <u>The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case of the Montreal Protocol</u>
PRIV	82.2002	<i>George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU</i> : <u>Privatization, Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities</u>
PRIV	83.2002	<i>Massimo FLORIO and Katuscia MANZONI</i> : <u>The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing to Outperformance</u>
NRM	84.2002	<i>Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES</i> : <u>An Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)</u>
CLIM	85.2002	<i>Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (Iv)</i> : <u>Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and Misconceptions</u>
CLIM	86.2002	<i>Pierre COURTOIS</i> : <u>Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds</u>
ETA	87.2002	<i>Vito FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (Iviii)</i> : <u>Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance</u>
ETA	88.2002	<i>Laurent FRANCKX (Iviii)</i> : <u>Environmental Enforcement with Endogenous Ambient Monitoring</u>
ETA	89.2002	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Timothy M. SWANSON (Iviii)</i> : <u>Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an evolutionary biological system.</u>
ETA	90.2002	<i>Hans KEIDING (Iviii)</i> : <u>Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing</u>
ETA	91.2002	<i>Wietze LISE (Iviii)</i> : <u>A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India</u>
CLIM	92.2002	<i>Jens HORBACH</i> : <u>Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves</u>
ETA	93.2002	<i>Martin P. GROSSKOPF</i> : <u>Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production Units</u>
VOL	94.2002	<i>Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS</i> : <u>Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change Agreements</u>
CLIM	95.2002	<i>Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE</i> : <u>Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in the Netherlands: Perceptions and Reality</u>
CLIM	96.2002	<i>Matthieu GLACHANT</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Policy</u>
KNOW	97.2002	<i>Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO</i> : <u>Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from Thailand</u>
ETA	98.2002	<i>Gianfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear GARCH Models</u>
ETA	99.2002	<i>Geoffrey HEAL</i> : <u>Bundling Biodiversity</u>

(xlii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Climate Change and Mediterranean Coastal Systems: Regional Scenarios and Vulnerability Assessment" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in co-operation with the Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti, Venice, December 9-10, 1999.

(xliii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Voluntary Approaches, Competition and Competitiveness" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the research activities of the CAVA Network, Milan, May 25-26, 2000.

(xliv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Green National Accounting in Europe: Comparison of Methods and Experiences" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the Concerted Action of Environmental Valuation in Europe (EVE), Milan, March 4-7, 2000

(xlv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "New Ports and Urban and Regional Development. The Dynamics of Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, May 5-6, 2000.

(xlvi) This paper was presented at the Sixth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, January 26-27, 2001

(xlvii) This paper was presented at the RICAMARE Workshop "Socioeconomic Assessments of Climate Change in the Mediterranean: Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation Co-benefits", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, February 9-10, 2001

(xlviii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop "Trade and the Environment in the Perspective of the EU Enlargement", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, May 17-18, 2001

(xlix) This paper was presented at the International Conference "Knowledge as an Economic Good", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and The Beijer International Institute of Environmental Economics, Palermo, April 20-21, 2001

- (i) This paper was presented at the Workshop “Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability” organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001
- (ii) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on “Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001
- (lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on “Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001
- (liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on “Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?”, jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001
- (liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002
- (lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001
- (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy “The International Dimension of Environmental Policy”, organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001
- (lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of “CFEWE – Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001
- (lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on “Game Practice and the Environment”, jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002

2002 SERIES

CLIM	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
VOL	<i>Voluntary and International Agreements</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SUST	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
MGMT	<i>Corporate Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
PRIV	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)