

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Farzin, Y. Hossein

Working Paper
Sustainability and Hamiltonian value

Nota di Lavoro, No. 48.2002

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Farzin, Y. Hossein (2002): Sustainability and Hamiltonian value, Nota di Lavoro, No. 48.2002, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/119654

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value

Y. Hossein Farzin

NOTA DI LAVORO 48.2002

JULY 2002

SUST – Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation

Y. H. Farzin, University of California-Davis

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/ activ.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value

Summary

The relationships among the Hamiltonian, NNP, and the level of sustainable consumption/utility have been widely misunderstood. This paper dispels the misconceptions and provides further new insight into these relationships. We show generally that for autonomous dynamic optimizing economies, a necessary and sufficient condition for sustainability is the stationarity of the current-value Hamiltonian. For autonomous cases, this stationarity condition generalizes Dixit et al.'s (1980) "zero-net-aggregate-investment" rule of sustainability, which in turn generalizes Solow-Hartwick's sustainability rule. For non-autonomous cases, however, except when the net "pure time effect" is constant over time, the stationarity condition is unfulfilled. In non-autonomous cases, Weitzman's (1976) "stationary equivalence" result does not hold, and the current-value Hamiltonian will underestimate (overestimate) the true welfare level when the net "pure time effect" is positive (negative). However, for the special non-autonomous case of a time-dependent utility discount rate we obtain a condition on the discount rate function that upholds the results obtained for autonomous cases. In turn, this condition extends Michel's (1982) transversality condition for the infinite-horizon autonomous control problems to problems with time dependent discount rates.

Keywords: Sustainability, current-value Hamiltonian, net national product

JEL: D63, Q32, C61

Address for correspondence:

Y. Hossein Farzin University of California Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics Davis, CA 95616 USA

Phone: +001 - 530 - 752 7610 Fax: +001 - 530 - 752 5614 E-mail: Farzin@primal.ucdavis.edu

For very helpful comments, the author is grateful to Ken Arrow, Geir Asheim, Michael Caputo, Larry Goulder, Geoff Heal, and Bob Solow. The author also thanks seminar participants at UC Berkeley, Stanford University and University of Washington for their comments. The author alone, however, is responsible for any remaining error of omission or commission.

Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value

1. Introduction

Over the past quarter of a century, the unprecedented concern about the long-run consequences of environmental and natural resource use has confronted economists with two important intertwined questions. First, how should the conventional measure of national income be modified to properly take account of depletion of natural resources and the consequent environmental quality degradation? Second, how do the concepts of economic welfare and intergenerational equity relate to the modified national income measure? In response to these concerns, a vast (and still growing) literature has emerged, providing considerable valuable insights towards both questions. Concerning the first question (green national accounting issue), the studies by Dasgupta and Heal (1979), Dasgupta (1990), Hartwick (1990), Mäler (1991), Dasgupta and Mäler (1991), Brekke (1994), Sefton and Weale (1996), and Heal and Kriström (1998) have been among the pioneering works. Regarding the second question (economic welfare and sustainability issue), original works of Solow (1974)(1986), Hartwick (1977), and Dasgupta and Mäler (1990), have been either further developed or extended in several important directions in papers by Asheim (1994), Aronsson and Löfgren (1995), Chichilnisky (1996), and Heal (1998), among others.

A starting point of most of these and related contributions has inherently been Weitzman's (1976) seminal paper. In that paper, Weitzman showed that under the specific assumptions of his model, at any time, the optimal current-value Hamiltonian equals the economy's net national product (NNP). Further, and perhaps more importantly, he provided the fundamental insight that, at any point in time, the optimal current-value Hamiltonian of a dynamically optimizing economy presents a (hypothetical) permanently constant consumption flow equivalent to the discounted value of the economy's optimal consumption path. This insight is sometimes referred to as "stationary equivalence" or Weitzman's basic result. On the other hand, Solow (1974) and Hartwick (1977) were the first to derive a condition for sustainability of a maximum constant consumption flow in the context of a closed economy using an exhaustible resource input and a reproducible capital with a constant technology to produce a consumption good. Their derived condition, known as Solow-Hartwick's sustainability rule, required that resource rents be reinvested in reproducible capital.

Unfortunately, however, the concurrence of Weitzman's "stationary equivalence" result with Solow-Hartwick's sustainability rule seems to have resulted in a widespread

_

For an overview of the theory of green national accounting, see the special issue of *Environment and Development Economics* (2000).

misinterpretation of Weitzman's result and thereby misinterpretations and confusion about the relationships among the current-value Hamiltonian, NNP, and sustainability condition. Yet, a correct understanding of these relationships is crucial to the development of a sound theoretical basis and methods for green national accounting. Building on many valuable insights from the previous literature, the present paper aims to (i) dispel the existing and potential misconceptions, (ii) generalize some of the basic results in the literature, (iii) provide further new insights into the relationships and, (iv) as a by product, extend an important transversality result in the optimal control theory due to Michel (1982). To this end, Section 2 briefly reviews the characteristics of the optimal consumption policy for the special case of a purely exhaustible resource economy. This special case greatly helps to bring out, in the clearest and simplest fashion, the prevailing misconceptions and consequent paradoxical results. Section 3 shows that, contrary to the usual misinterpretation of Weitzman's result (see, e.g., Mäler (1991, p.5)), the current-value Hamiltonian does *not* represent the maximum sustainable constant utility (consumption) flow. More importantly, it shows that a necessary and sufficient condition for sustainability in this sense is that the current-value Hamiltonian must be stationary. Section 4 shows that the stationarity condition holds generally for the class of dynamically optimizing economies characterized by an infinite-horizon, time-autonomous optimal control problem, of which the economy studied by Weitzman is a special case. As such, the stationarity condition generalizes Dixit et al.'s (1980) "zero-net-aggregate-investment" rule, which in turn is a generalization of Solow-Hartwick's "resource-rent-investment" rule of sustainability.

Section 5 considers the sustainability condition for the more general case of non-autonomous problems that arise from exogenous changes in the economy over time. We show that for such cases Weitzman's "stationary equivalence" result no longer holds, and that the current-value Hamiltonian *deviates* from the true welfare level by an amount equal to the discounted value of the flow of net "pure time effect." Furthermore, in non-autonomous cases, the stationarity of the current-value Hamiltonian no longer implies a constant utility (consumption) level unless the net pure time effect also remains constant over time. Section 6 addresses the sustainability condition for a special case of non-autonomous problems; namely, when the utility discount rate is time dependent. We obtain a new result, showing the specific condition for the discount rate function that ensures Weitzman's "stationary equivalence" result, Dixit *et al.*'s rule, and hence Solow-Hartwick's rule, all carry over from autonomous problems to such non-autonomous cases. In turn, this new condition extends Michel's (1982) result that in infinite horizon optimal control problems the maximum of the Hamiltonian converges to zero when time goes to infinity. Section 7 concludes.

2. The Exhaustible Resource Economy Revisited

Consider a purely exhaustible resource economy and, following Hotelling (1931), assume that: (i) it has a fully known and fixed initial stock of the resource of size $S_0 > 0$, (ii) the resource can be extracted costlessly, (iii) no technological change, (iv) population size remains constant, and (v) citizens' preferences are identical and presented by the representative consumer's utility function, u(c), which is a twice differentiable, increasing, and strictly concave function of the resource consumption rate (i.e., u'(c) > 0, u''(c) < 0 for all $c \ge 0$, with $\lim_{c\to 0} u'(c) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{c\to \infty} u'(c) = 0$. The utilitarian social planner uses a social welfare function defined as the discounted sum of the representative consumer's utility flow and her objective is to plan a path of resource extraction and consumption that maximizes this social welfare function given the resource stock constraint. Formally, she plans to

$$\max_{c(t)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c(t)) dt \tag{1a}$$

s.t.
$$\dot{S}(t) = -c(t) \ge 0$$
, $S(t) \ge 0$, S_0 (given) (1b)

where $\rho > 0$ is the social time preference rate, assumed constant. Assuming the constraint $S(t) \ge 0$ holds, the current-value Hamiltonian of this problem is

$$H(c(t), S(t), \lambda(t)) = u(c(t)) - \lambda(t)c(t)$$
(2)

where $\lambda(t)$ is the utility shadow price of the resource stock. The first-order conditions for an interior optimal path are

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial c} = u'(c(t)) - \lambda(t) = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$-\frac{\partial H}{\partial S} = 0 = \dot{\lambda}(t) - \rho \,\lambda(t) \tag{4}$$

and the transversality condition

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-\rho t} \lambda(t) S(t) = 0 \tag{5}$$

Differentiating (3) with respect to time, using (4), and denoting the elasticity of marginal utility of consumption by $\eta(c) = -\frac{c \, u''(c)}{u'(c)}$, the optimal consumption path is characterized by the familiar condition

$$\frac{c(t)}{c(t)} = -\frac{\rho}{\eta(c)} \tag{6}$$

It is immediate from (6) that, in general, the optimal policy for an exhaustible resource economy does *not* sustain a positive constant flow of consumption and hence utility. In fact, for the class of isoelastic utility function, $u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\eta}}{1-\eta}$, $0 < \eta < \infty$, along the optimal path, the

consumption level declines exponentially over time at the constant rate of $\frac{\rho}{\eta}$. That is,

$$c(t) = c(0) e$$
 (7)

where from the resource stock constraint $\int_0^\infty c(t)dt = S_0$ and (7) one obtains $c(0) = \frac{\rho}{\eta} S_0$, so that (7) can be rewritten as

$$c(t) = \frac{\rho}{\eta} S_0 e^{-\frac{\rho}{\eta} t}, \forall t \in [0, \infty)$$
(8)

It is important to note that for an optimal policy to exist it is necessary that $\rho > 0$. In particular, in the limiting cases of no utility discounting, $\rho = 0$, or a pure egalitarian social welfare function where $\eta \to \infty$, a positive constant consumption path $(c(t) = \overline{c} > 0, \forall t \ge 0)$, as implied by (6) for a general utility function, u(c), cannot be sustained permanently by an exhaustible resource economy. On the other hand, the constant zero consumption path $(c(t) = 0, \forall t \ge 0)$ implied by (8) for these limiting cases and when the utility function is isoelastic is evidently not optimal.

3. Sustainability and Current-Value Hamiltonian

In his classic paper, Weitzman (1976) investigated the welfare significance of NNP for a dynamic competitive economy that produced a single composite consumption good by utilizing services of capital, defined broadly to include a set of stocks of exhaustible natural resources and various kinds of reproductive capital stocks. A basic insight from that paper is that in a dynamically optimizing economy, along the optimal path, the current-value Hamiltonian *at time t*,

 $H^*(t)$, is related to the optimal utilitarian welfare/consumption path, $u(c^*(\tau)), \tau \in (t, \infty)$, according to the following relationship²

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(\tau-t)} H^{*}(t) d\tau = \frac{H^{*}(t)}{\rho} = \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(\tau-t)} u(c^{*}(\tau)) d\tau$$
(9)

Unfortunately, this relationship is often misunderstood by thinking that $H^*(t)$ measures the maximum *sustainable* level of utility (consumption). This misunderstanding becomes evident from a seeming paradox of the exhaustible resource economy analyzed in the previous section. For that economy, using (8), (4) and (3) in (2), it is easy to calculate that

$$H^*(t) = \frac{\eta}{1-\eta} \left(\frac{\rho S_0}{\eta}\right)^{1-\eta} e^{-\rho \left(\frac{1}{\eta}-1\right)t} > 0 \text{ for } \eta < 1. \text{ But, as was noted in the previous section,}$$

there is *no* sustainable positive consumption, and hence utility, level.

The explanation for this paradox lies in a correct understanding of what $H^*(t)$ precisely measures: in utility units, $H^*(t)$ is the "stationary equivalent" of the optimal welfare path.³ In other words, it is the hypothetical maximum constant utility/consumption path whose time-t discounted value is equivalent to that of the (generally non-constant) optimal path, $u(c^*(\tau)), \tau \in (t, \infty)$. But, "stationary equivalence" does not mean "sustainability". That is, it does not imply, as it is often misunderstood, that our economy can actually enjoy a constant utility/consumption equal to $H^*(t)$ forever.

For the latter to be the case, $H^*(t)$ must satisfy an additional condition: it must be time invariant (or *stationary*). Otherwise, it does *not* represent an actually *sustainable* constant consumption level.⁴ The important point to note is that even for autonomous optimal control problems, which characterize most of economic problems studied in the literature, the optimal current-value Hamiltonian need *not* be constant over time. In fact, for the economy analyzed in Weitzman (1976), which presents an example of such problems, we can prove the following

Since u(c) is a single-valued, monotonic function of c, sustainability can be equivalently defined in terms of a constant utility or consumption flow. In fact, Weitzman assumed a linear utility function of the form u(c(t))=c(t).

Note that the utility units of $H^*(t)$ can be readily converted into real consumption units by choosing a dated utility numeraire such as $u^*(c(0))$ or generally $u^*(c(t))$ for any $t \ge 0$.

⁴ The stationarity condition is also necessary and sufficient for time consistency of the optimal solution path; *i.e.*, for the optimal policy to be a sub-game perfect Nash equilibrium of the intergenerational allocation game where each generation has to decide how much to consume and how much capital stock to

proposition, which to our knowledge has not been shown in the previous literature, at least not explicitly

<u>Proposition 1</u>: For Weitzman's economy, the stationarity of the optimal current-value Hamiltonian is a necessary and sufficient condition for permanently sustaining a constant utility/consumption path.

Proof: Differentiating the second equation in (9) w.r.t. t, and using (9) again, one has

$$H^{*}(t) = \rho \left[\rho \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(\tau - t)} u(c(\tau)) d\tau - u(c(t)) \right] = \rho \left[H^{*}(t) - u(c(t)) \right]$$

Sufficient condition: recalling that $u'(c) > 0, \forall c \ge 0$, it immediately follows that $H^*(t) = 0, \forall t \ge 0 \implies H^*(t) = H^*(cons.) = u(c(t)), \forall t \ge 0 \implies c(t) = u^{-1}(H^*) = cons., \forall t \ge 0$

Necessary condition: letting $c(\tau) = \overline{c} \ge 0, \forall \tau \ge 0$, so that $u(c(\tau)) = u(\overline{c}) \ge 0, \forall \tau \ge 0$, and performing the integral yields $H^*(t) = 0, \forall t \ge 0$.

In the special case of our exhaustible resource economy, it is easy to verify that $H^*(t) = -\rho \left(\frac{\rho S_0}{\eta}\right)^{1-\eta} e^{-\rho (\frac{1}{\eta}-1)t} < 0, \forall t \ge 0$. That is, the stationarity condition is not satisfied, thus confirming that there is no sustainable consumption (utility) path for that economy.

4. Sustainability Condition: Generalization

It is quite tempting to go beyond Proposition 1 to explore if the *stationarity* of $H^*(t)$ is a general sustainability condition for any dynamically optimizing economy characterized by an infinite-horizon optimal control problem in which the instantaneous value function may take the most general form of $u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t), t)$, where $\mathbf{c}(t)$ is the vector of n control variables $c_i(t)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, $\mathbf{s}(t)$ is the vector of m state variables, $s_j(t)$, j = 1, 2, ..., m and the differential equations constraints take the general form of $s_i = g_j(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t), t)$, j = 1, 2, ..., m. Obviously,

leave for the future generations so that neither the present nor any of future generations will have an incentive to deviate from it.

a dynamic economy so characterized is general enough to present almost any interesting case that one may come across in the literature. For example, it includes cases where the utility derives not only from consumption of goods but also from capital stocks (for instance, the amenity values of environmental and natural resource stocks). It also includes cases where there is population growth or technological change over time.

Formally, let us consider the general optimal control problem ⁵

Maximize
$$V = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t), t) dt$$

 $\mathbf{c}(t)$
s.t. $s_j = g_j(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t), t), \qquad j = 1, 2, ..., m,$
 $s_j(0) = s_{j0} (given) \qquad j = 1, 2, ..., m.$ (10)

Let $\mathbf{c}^*(t), \mathbf{s}^*(t), \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*(t)$ be the solution to this problem, where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^*(t)$ is the vector of costate variables. Then the current-value Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, t) = u(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}, t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(t) g_j(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ is maximized along the optimal paths. In general, the total time derivative of the current-value Hamiltonian is (for notational convenience, superscript *, denoting the optimal paths, is suppressed)

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial H}{\partial c_i} \dot{c_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial H}{\partial s_j} \dot{s_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_j} \dot{\lambda}_j$$
(11)

Recalling that along the optimal path

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial c_i}\dot{c_i} = 0, \forall i = 1, 2, ..., n \tag{12a}$$

(as either $\frac{\partial H}{\partial c_i} = 0$ for an interior solution or $c_i = 0$ for a boundary solution),

$$-\frac{\partial H}{\partial s_i} = \dot{\lambda}_j - \rho \,\lambda_j \,, \,\forall j = 1, 2, ..., m \,, \tag{12b}$$

_

⁵ Without loss of generality we could also have a set of, say r, inequality constraints of the form $g_k(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t), t) \ge 0$, k = 1, 2, ..., r, and h equality constraints of the form $g_l(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t), t) = 0$, l = 1, 2, ..., h on control variables, where these constraints would be assumed to satisfy the rank condition of the constraint qualifications; namely that the matrix (of order p.n) of partial derivatives of the p(>h) binding constraints with respect to control variables be of rank p. For analytical convenience and to focus on the question at hand, we ignore these additional constraints and assume that the optimal control problems we are examining are all concave problems. In particular, we assume that the functions $\mathbf{c}(t)$, u and g_j satisfy all the continuity and differentiability conditions for the existence and uniqueness of solution to problem (10).

$$s_{j} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_{j}}, \forall j = 1, 2, ..., m$$
 (12c)

and substituting from (12a)-(12c) in (11), we have

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \rho \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \dot{s_j}$$
(13)

Along an optimal path, equation (13) holds generally for *both* non-autonomous and autonomous cases, Weitzman's economy being a special case of the latter. It enables us to state the following proposition, which has not appeared in the previous literature

<u>Proposition 2</u>: For any dynamic economy characterized by an <u>autonomous</u> infinite-horizon control problem, the stationarity of the current–value Hamiltonian is a necessary and sufficient condition for sustainability of a constant <u>utility</u> path.

<u>Proof</u>: It suffices to show that Weitzman's fundamental relationship (9) holds true for any autonomous infinite-horizon control problem, so that the proof of Proposition 1 can be invoked. For an autonomous problem, the functions u or g_i s take the form of $u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t))$ and

 $s_j = g_j(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t))$, so the current-value Hamiltonian is

$$H(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t), \boldsymbol{\lambda}(t)) = u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{j}(t)g_{j}(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t))$$
. Since for such cases $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = 0, \forall t \geq 0$,

(11) reduces to

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \rho \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \ s_j \tag{13a}$$

Recalling that $s_j = g_j$, substituting (13a) in the expression for the optimal current-value Hamiltonian and rearranging terms yields the differential equation

$$\frac{dH(t)}{dt} = \rho \left[H(t) - u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t)) \right] \tag{14}$$

which can be solved to give

$$\int_{t=\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} H(\tau) dt = \frac{H(\tau)}{\rho} = \int_{t=\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t)) dt$$
(15)

for any $\tau \ge 0$ along the optimal path.⁶

<u>Remark 1</u>: It should be noted that in the general case of Proposition 2 where $u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t))$ is a vector-valued function of the flows of various consumption goods, sustainability is defined only in terms of a permanently constant *utility* path, and not of constant consumption paths. Accordingly, in invoking the proof of Proposition 1 only the constancy of utility flow is relevant.

<u>Remark 2</u>: Recalling that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j s_j$ is the value of *net aggregate investment* along the optimal path at any time, it is interesting to note from (13a) that for any $\rho > 0$

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = 0, \forall t \ge 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \, \dot{s}_j = 0, \forall t \ge 0 \tag{13b}$$

That is, our stationarity condition $(\frac{dH}{dt} = 0, \forall t \geq 0)$ for sustainability of autonomous dynamic economies generalizes the familiar "zero-net-aggregate-investment" rule which was originally derived by Dixit, Hammond, and Hoel (1980) only as a *sufficient* condition for sustainability (see also Solow (1986), Hartwick (1977) and Mäler (1991) among others). In turn, the latter rule generalized Solow-Hartwick's sustainability rule of investing resources rents in a reproducible capital. It is important to note that our stationarity condition is *both* a necessary and sufficient condition for sustaining a constant optimal utility path.

<u>Remark 3</u>: Interpreting the value of the integral $W_t \equiv \int_t^{\infty} e^{-\rho(\tau-t)} u(c^*(\tau)) d\tau$ in (9), or its generalized version $W_t \equiv \int_{t=\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t)) dt$ for the class of time-autonomous economies in (15), as economy's stock of "total wealth" (measured in utility units) at any time t, we arrive at

Note that it is a necessary condition that along the optimal path H(t) is bounded above so that $\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{-\rho t} H(t) = 0$, see Michel (1982).

⁷ Dixit, Hammond, and Hoel (1980) derived their *sufficiency* condition in a less general framework than that analyzed here, although they did not assume a constant discount rate. In section 6, we obtain the general sustainability condition when the discount rate is time dependent.

⁸ Obviously, in an economy with heterogeneous capital stocks if net aggregate investment is always positive, net national product and hence the optimal utility level can rise over time.

⁹ By a very different approach, Withagen and Asheim (1998) show that the converse of Solow-Hartwick's rule (the necessary condition) holds in general for an economy with stationary preferences and technology.

another basic and familiar insight from Weitzman's fundamental relationship (reflected by the second equality in (9), or from its generalized form here for autonomous economies (reflected by the second equality in (15). That is, along the optimal path, at any time the current-value Hamiltonian is the imputed "interest" on the economy's stock of wealth (Solow (1986), Hartwick (1994) and others). Now, according to Proposition 2 for autonomous economies, only under the condition of stationarity of the current-value Hamiltonian $(\frac{dH}{dt} = 0, \forall t \ge 0)$, the utility level along the optimal path remains permanently constant ($u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t) = \overline{u}, \forall t \ge 0$), implying in turn that the value of wealth remains intact $(W_t = \frac{\overline{u}}{Q} \equiv \overline{W}, \forall t \ge 0)$. In that case, the optimal currentvalue Hamiltonian may be interpreted as *Hicksian* income, in utility terms; that is, the maximum constant utility level (equal to interest on wealth, $H = \rho \overline{W} = \overline{u}$) that can be permanently sustained. It is important to reemphasize here that while for all autonomous economies the current-value Hamiltonian can be interpreted as interest on total wealth, it represents the sustainable constant utility (consumption) level if, and only if, it is time stationary. Unfortunately, the neglect of the latter condition in the literature has led to the common mistake of interpreting the current-value Hamiltonian as the sustainable constant utility (consumption) level (see, for example, Mäler (1991) and Hartwick (1994)(2000, Ch.3, P.53)). While under the specific assumptions of Weitzman's model, the optimal current-value Hamiltonian at any time equals NNP, it does not, contrary to the prevailing mistaken belief, equal Hicksian income unless the current-value Hamiltonian is stationary.

<u>Remark 4</u>: In the special case of a purely exhaustible resource economy, since by definition there is no accumulable capital stock and since no optimal policy exists for $\rho = 0$, it follows from (13a) that

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \rho \,\lambda(t) \,\dot{S}(t) = -\rho \,\lambda(t) \,c(t) < 0 \tag{13c}$$

i.e., the stationarity condition for sustainability is never met and hence there exists no sustainable (positive) constant utility (consumption) level. This reconfirms and generalizes the result in the previous section for the isoelastic utility function. Note that, in fact, for such an economy, along the optimal path the level of well being *declines* over time.

5. Sustainability Condition: Non-autonomous Cases

We now return to problem (10) and invoke equation (13) to examine the sustainability condition for the more general case of time *non-autonomous* economies where at least one of the

functions $u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t), t)$ or $g_j(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t), t)$ depends explicitly on t. Examples of situations giving rise to non-autonomous cases include exogenous changes over time in population size, in taste and preferences, in the state of technology, in the rate of physical stock depreciation or growth (for instance, the decay of the CO_2 stock in the atmosphere or growth of forest stocks with time, or additions to reserves of mineral deposits due to exogenous new discoveries).

As in problem (10), we continue to assume a constant discount rate $\rho > 0$. Thus, along an optimal path, one has

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_j(t) \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial t}$$
(16)

which measures the *net* change in the optimal current-value Hamiltonian at time *t* due purely to passage of time alone. We may term this as net "pure time effect", which may be positive (for example in the case of exogenous technological progress alone) or negative (for example when there is exogenous population growth or when the rate of stock depreciation changes with time).

Recalling that $\dot{s}_j = g_j$ and substituting for $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \dot{s}_j$ from the Hamiltonian expression

into (13), one has along the optimal path

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \rho [H(t) - u(t)] \tag{17}$$

Solving the differential equation (17) yields, for any $\tau \ge 0$

$$\rho \int_{\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} H(\tau) dt = H(\tau) = \rho \int_{\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} u(t) dt - \int_{\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} \frac{\partial H(t)}{\partial t} dt$$
 (18)

where $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}$ is given by (16).

Relationship (18) is a general result, leading to further important insights. 10

more general and explicit one, embracing these and many other possible specific cases where the pure time effects are present.

¹⁰ To be sure, several interesting special cases of this general result have been studied in the literature. For instance, Weitzman (1997), Weitzman and Löfgren (1997), and Hartwick and Long (1999) have studied the conditions of a constant consumption path when technology, output prices, or interest rates change exogenously over time. Also, in an insightful paper, Aronsson and Löfgren (1995) show how the optimal Hamiltonian value as a welfare measure is modified in the presence of an exogenous technological change, stock pollution externality, or stochastic production factors. The result furnished in (18) is, however, a

First, since
$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j(t) \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial t}$$
 is not identically equal to zero for all $t \ge 0$, the

second integral on the RHS of (18) does not vanish for all $\tau \ge 0$, so that, on comparing (18) with (15) or with (9), we have

<u>Proposition 3</u>: The "stationary equivalence" property of the current value Hamiltonian (Weitzman's fundamental relationship) can be generalized only for time-autonomous dynamic economies but does <u>not</u> hold for non-autonomous cases.

It then immediately follows from (18)

Corollary 1: The interpretation of the optimal current-value Hamiltonian as interest (return) on economy's wealth (see Remark 3 above), and hence as NNP, does <u>not</u> hold for time non-autonomous economies. For these cases, at any time t, the current-value Hamiltonian will under (over) estimate the true welfare level by an amount equal to the discounted value of the net "pure time effect" ($\int_{\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(t-\tau)} \frac{\partial H(t)}{\partial t} dt$) if this effect is positive (negative).

Second, by (17), one has

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = 0, \forall t \ge 0 \quad \Rightarrow u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t), t) = \overline{H} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}, \forall t \ge 0$$
(18a)

So that,

<u>Corollary 2</u>: In contrast to the case of time-autonomous economies, for non-autonomous cases the stationarity of the current-value Hamiltonian is not a sufficient condition for sustainability of a constant utility (consumption) level unless in the exceptional case where the net "pure time effect", $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}$, also remains constant (including 0) over time.

Third, it also follows from (13) that

<u>Corollary 3</u>: For time non-autonomous economies, Dixit et al.'s "zero-net-aggregate-investment" rule, and a fortiori Solow-Hardwick's "resource-rent-investment" rule, is not a sufficient condition for sustaining a constant utility (consumption) path.

Notice that for the non-autonomous case, the stationarity of the current-value Hamiltonian implies that Dixit *et al.*'s "zero-net-aggregate-investment rule" needs to be modified

according to
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} \dot{s_{j}} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(\tau-t)} \frac{\partial H(t)}{\partial t} d\tau$$
. Accordingly, at any time, the net

aggregate investment can be *negative* (positive) as long as the disinvestment (investment) in aggregate capital stocks is exactly made up for by a constant positive (negative) flow of "pure

time effect" of equivalent (discounted) value. Roughly speaking, this means that the economy can afford to let its national wealth run down (and hence raise its consumption level) provided it enjoys a free (windfall) flow of benefits (for example due to exogenous technological progress) of the same discounted value. Conversely, it should *optimally* make up for exogenous losses (for example due to transboundary environmental externalities or an exogenous deterioration in its terms of trade) by building up the aggregate capital stock.

6. Sustainability Condition: Time-dependent Discount Rate

A special non-autonomous case is when the instantaneous discount rate $\rho(t)$ varies with time, so that, denoting by $\psi(t) \equiv \int_0^t \rho(s) \, ds$ the discount rate over the interval of time (0,t], the discount factor at any time t is $e^{-\psi(t)}$. As is familiar, in this case the current-value Hamiltonian expression remains as before but equations (12b) and (13) are modified as

$$-\frac{\partial H}{\partial s_{i}} = \dot{\lambda}_{j} - \rho(t) \,\lambda_{j} \,, \forall j = 1, 2, ..., m \tag{19}$$

and

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \rho(t) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \dot{s_j}$$
 (20)

Concentrating on cases where, as in the general autonomous problem, none of the functions u or g_j s depends explicitly on t, so that $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \equiv 0$, (20) simplifies to

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \rho(t) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j s_j$$
 (20a)

which is the analog of (13a) for the case of constant discount rate. Following the same steps leading to (14), one obtains the modified version of (14) as

$$\frac{dH(t)}{dt} - \rho(t)H(t) = -\rho(t) u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t))$$
(21)

Solving this differential equation yields for all $\tau \ge 0$

$$H(\tau) = \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \rho(t) \left[e^{-(\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))} u(\mathbf{c}(t), \mathbf{s}(t)) \right] dt + \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[e^{-(\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))} H(t) \right]$$
(22)

Condition (22) establishes a new result in the literature and is important in two respects. Second, from a purely technical viewpoint, with a time-dependent utility discount rate, one can no longer necessarily use the well-known result of Michel (1982), showing that the present value Hamiltonian corresponding to a well defined optimal control problem approaches zero when time goes to infinity. Instead, the result must be defined conditional on the assumption, which in the context of the present paper is equivalent to assuming that the sum of utility discount rates approaches infinity when time goes to infinity.

Two noteworthy points emerge from (20a), (21), and (22). First, it is noted from (22) that the assumption of bounded current-value Hamiltonian along the optimal path does not ensure that the second term on the RHS of (22) vanishes. For this to be the case, the instantaneous discount rate function $\rho(s)$ must satisfy the following condition

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \psi(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho(s) \, ds = +\infty \tag{23}$$

Thus, from a purely technical viewpoint, with a time-dependent utility discount rate, one can no longer necessarily use the well-known result of Michel (1982), showing that the present value Hamiltonian corresponding to a well defined optimal control problem approaches zero when time goes to infinity. Instead, the result must be defined conditional on the assumption, which in the context of the present paper is equivalent to assuming that the sum of utility discount rates approaches infinity when time goes to infinity. Accordingly, condition (23) extends Michel's result for the case of time-dependent discount rate.

Second, the economic interpretation of condition (22) reveals that, if the utility discount rate is time dependent, then in general the current-value Hamiltonian along the optimal trajectory no longer represents the discounted value of the imputed interest income (in utility terms), but that *plus* the limit of the Hamiltonian value as time approaches infinity. Consequently, for the time-dependent discount rate Proposition 3 is modified as

<u>Corollary 4</u>: When the discount rate varies with time, the "stationary equivalence" property of the current value Hamiltonian (i.e., Weitzman's fundamental result generalized as $\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \rho(t) \, e^{-(\psi(t)-\psi(\tau))} H(\tau) dt = \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \rho(t) e^{-(\psi(t)-\psi(\tau))} \, u(\mathbf{c}(t),\mathbf{s}(t)) \, dt$) holds if and only if the discount rate function satisfies the condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho(s) \, ds = +\infty$.

This is an important result for it modifies the claims in the literature (see, for example, Svensson (1986) and Asheim (1994, P. 261)) that Weitzman's fundamental result does not hold without the assumption of a constant utility discount rate. It shows that the result holds provided

the discount rate function satisfies the condition $\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_0^t \rho(s)\,ds = +\infty$, which is obviously the case as long as the discount rate does not decline too fast with time. One example of such a discount rate function which has recently received considerable attention in the economic literature (see, e.g., Liabson (1996)(1997) among others) is the hyperbolic discount function. Presented generally in the form of $\rho(t) = \frac{k_1}{1+k_2\,t}$, $(0 < k_1 < 1,\,k_2 > 0)$, it is readily checked

that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t \rho(s) ds = \frac{k_1}{k_2} \lim_{t\to\infty} \ln(1+k_2t) = \infty$. Note that this condition is not satisfied, for

example, by the exponentially declining function $\rho(t) = k_1 e^{-k_2 t}$, for which $\lim_{t \to \infty} \psi(t) = \frac{k_1}{k_2}$.

However, it should be noted that even if the utility discount rate function satisfies condition (23), the implied optimal sustainable consumption path will be time inconsistent (see, Strotz (1955-1956), unless the social planner can somehow precommit to it.

Further, it is noted that the integral $\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \rho(t)e^{-(\psi(t)-\psi(\tau))}u(\mathbf{c}(t),\mathbf{s}(t))dt$ can no longer be interpreted as the interest on stock of wealth in the same precise sense as in the case of constant discount rate $\rho(t) = \rho$ (see *Remark 3* above), for it now presents the discounted value of the stream of interests on the optimal utility path. Thus, by (22) and (23), we can state

<u>Corollary 5</u>: When the discount rate varies with time, the optimal current-value Hamiltonian (or NNP) does <u>not</u> in general represent the interest on the economy's wealth. It presents the discounted value of the flow of interest on the optimal utility path <u>only if</u> the discount rate function satisfies the condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t \rho(s) ds = +\infty$.

This corollary has an important implication for green national accounting: while it cautions us against equating the interest on wealth as green NNP when the discount rate (or the consumption rate of interest) varies with time (as noted by Svensson (1986, 155), Hung (1993, p.381), and Asheim (1994, p. 261)), it also shows the condition under which such a practice would be valid.

Second, it easily follows from (20a) and (21) that

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = 0, \ \forall t \ge 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \ s_j = 0, \ \forall t \ge 0, \ \Rightarrow H(t) = \overline{H}(cons.) = u(t), \ \forall t \ge 0$$

That is, as in the case of constant discount rate, the stationarity of the current-value Hamiltonian, and hence the "zero-net-aggregate-investment" rule is still sufficient for sustainability of a

constant positive utility level (equal to the constant Hamiltonian value). However, contrary to the case of constant discount rate, the reverse is no longer generally true. This latter is seen by noting from (22) that for a constant utility flow, $u(t) \equiv \overline{u} > 0$, one has for all $\tau \ge 0$ (recalling that $\psi(t) = \rho(t)$)

$$H(\tau) = \overline{u} + \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-(\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))} (H(t) - \overline{u})$$
(24)

So that unless $\overline{u} = \lim_{t \to \infty} H(t)$ or condition (23) is met, $H(\tau) \neq \overline{u}$ for all $\tau \geq 0$, i.e., a constant utility level does not generally imply a constant current-value Hamiltonian (equal to the constant utility level). We can therefore state the following

<u>Proposition 4:</u> Even when the discount rate varies with time, the stationarity of the current-value Hamiltonian, and hence Dixit et al.'s "zero-net-aggregate-investment" rule, (a fortiori Solow-Hardwick's "resource-rent-investment" rule) is still a sufficient condition for sustainability of a constant utility (consumption) path (equal to the optimal current-value Hamiltonian), but the converse is no longer true unless either $\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\rho(s)\,ds=+\infty$ or $\lim_{t\to\infty}H(t)=\overline{u}$.

According to the first part of Proposition 4, it is incorrect to think that Dixit *et al.*'s rule, or Solow-Hardwick's rule, of sustainability is valid only if the utility discount rate is constant. The second part of the Proposition shows the specific condition under which the reverse of these rules also holds despite a variable discount rate. On both accounts, Proposition 4 weakens Svensson's (1986, p.154, p.155) claim of the contrary. As we have seen, in general, for any autonomous problem, the stationarity of the current-value Hamiltonian is a sufficient condition for sustainability regardless of whether the discount rate is constant or time-dependent. But, while for a constant discount rate, the stationarity is also a necessary condition, for a time-dependent discount rate, it is so provided as time goes to infinity, either the discount *factor* approaches zero or the optimal Hamiltonian approaches the constant utility level. Obviously, these results also extend to Dixit *et. al*'s and Solow-Hartwick's rules.

7. Conclusions

This paper has scrutinized the fundamental relationships among the concepts of current-value Hamiltonian, sustainability, and NNP. Building on a body of insightful pioneering works, it has clarified some of the misconceptions surrounding these relationships in the green accounting literature, generalized and extended some of the previous basic results obtained in that literature for special cases, and provided new insights into the relationships.

Specifically, we have argued that contrary to common interpretation, the current-value Hamiltonian does *not* represent the maximum sustainable level of consumption (utility). We have shown generally that for any dynamic optimizing economy presented by an autonomous optimal control problem, a necessary and sufficient condition for sustainability in that sense is that the current-value Hamiltonian should be stationary over time. Accordingly, even when the optimal current-value Hamiltonian equals NNP, it is only under the stationarity condition that it can be interpreted as Hicksian income. For the more general case of time non-autonomous economies, characterized by exogenous changes in the economy over time, we have shown that the "stationary equivalence" property of the current-value Hamiltonian does not carry over, with two important implications. First, the optimal current-value Hamiltonian can no longer be interpreted as interest on the economy's wealth, and hence as NNP. In fact, equating NNP with the currentvalue Hamiltonian will lead to an underestimation (overestimation) of the true level of well being if the net "pure time effect" is positive (negative). Second, the stationarity of the current-value Hamiltonian, and hence the "zero-net-aggregate-investment" rule, will no longer be a sufficient condition for permanently sustaining a constant utility (consumption) level. While these results pose conceptual and measurement difficulties for green national accounting, few economists may view continued exogenous changes, such as technological progress, population growth, preference shifts, or environmental externalities, as realistic possibilities. Interestingly, for one special, but important, non-autonomous case—namely, a time dependent discount rate- we have shown that the results obtained under the general autonomous case do prevail provided the discount rate function satisfies a certain mild condition, which is satisfied, for example, by a hyperbolic discount rate function.

References

- Aronsson, T. and K. G. Löfgren, (1995), "National Product Related Welfare Measures in the Presence of Technological Change: Externalities and Uncertainty", *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 5, 321-332.
- Asheim, G. B., (1994), "Net National Product as an Indicator of Sustainability", *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 96, 257-265
- Brekke, K. A., (1996), "Net National Product as a Welfare Measure", *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 2, 241-252
- Chichilnisky, G., (1996), "An Axiomatic Approach to Sustainable Development", *Social Choice and Welfare*, 13 (2), 219-248
- Dasgupta, P., (1990), "The Environment as a Commodity", Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 6, 51-67
- Dasgupta, P. and G. M. Heal, (1979), Economic Theory of and Exhaustible Resources, Cambridge,
- Dasgupta, P. and K. G. Mäler, (1991), "The Environment and Emerging Developing Issues", *Proceedings of the Annual World Bank Conference on Development Economics 1990* (Supplement to the *World Bank Economic Review* and *World Bank Research Observer*).
- Dixit, A., Hammond, R. and M. Hoel, (1980), "On Hartwick's Rule for Regular Maximum Paths of Capital Accumulation and Resource Depletion", *Review of Economic Studies*, 45, 551-556.
- Environment and Development Economics (2000), Volume 5, Parts 1&2, Special Issue: Advances in Green Accounting, February and May, Cambridge University Press.
- Hartwick, J., (1977), "Intergenerational Equity and the Investing of Rents from Exhaustible Resources, *American Economic Review*, 66, 792-794.
- Hartwick, J., (1990), "Natural Resources, National Accounting and Economic Depreciation", Journal of Public Economics, 43, 291-304
- Hartwick, J., (2000), National Accounting and Capital, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Hartwick, J. and N. V. Long, (1999), "Constant Consumption and the Economic Depreciation of Natural Capital: The Non-autonomous Case", *International Economic Review*, 40 (1), 53-62.
- Heal, G. M., (1998), Valuing the Future: Economic Theory and Sustainability, Columbia University Press.
- Heal, G. M. and B. Kriström, (1998)," National Income and the Environment", *PaineWebber Working Paper Series*, PW-98-01, Columbia Business School, Columbia University.
- Hotelling, H., (1931), "The Economics of Exhaustible Resources", *Journal of Political Economy*, 75, 137-175.
- Liabson, D., (1996), "Hyperbolic Discount Functions, Undersaving, and Saving Plans", NBER Working Paper No. 5635

- Liabson, D., (1997), "Golden Eggs and Hyperbolic Discounting", Quarterly Journal of Economics, 112, 443-477
- Mäler, K. G., (1991), "National Accounts and Environmental Resources", *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 1, 1-15
- Michel, P., (1982), "On the Transversality Condition in Infinite Horizon Optimal Problems", *Econometrica*, Vol. 50, No. 4, 975-985
- Sefton, J. A. and M. R. Weale, (1996), "The Net National Product and Exhaustible Resources: The Effects of Foreign Trade", *Journal of Public Economics*, 61, 21-47
- Svensson, L. E., (1986), "Comment on R. M. Solow, 'On the Intergenerational Allocation of Natural Resources', Scandinavian Journal of Economics, 88(1), 153-1559
- Solow, R. M., (1974), "Intergenerational Equity and Exhaustible Resources", *Review of Economic Studies*, (Symposium), 29-45
- Solow, R. M., (1986), "On the Intergenerational Allocation of Natural Resources", *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 88(1), 141-149
- Strotz, R. H., (1955-1956), "Myopia and Inconsistency in Dynamic Utility Maximization", *Review of Economic Studies*, Volume 23, Issue 3, 165-180
- Weitzman, M., (1976), "On the Welfare Significance of National Product in a Dynamic Economy", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 90,156-162
- Weitzman, M., (1997), "Sustainability and Technical Progress" Scandinavian Journal of Economics, 99,1-15
- Weitzman, M. and K. G. Löfgren, (1997), "On the Welfare Significance of Green Accounting as Thought by Parable", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 32, 139-153.
- Withagen, C. and G. B. Asheim, (1998), "Characterizing Sustainability: The Converse of Hartwick's Rule", Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, 23, 159-165

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Papers Series

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

Server WWW: WWW.FEEM.IT

Anonymous FTP: FTP.FEEM.IT http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

SUST	1.2001	Inge MAYERES and Stef PROOST: Should Diesel Cars in Europe be Discouraged?
SUST	2.2001	Paola DORIA and Davide PETTENELLA: The Decision Making Process in Defining and Protecting Critical
5051	2.2001	Natural Capital
CLIM	3.2001	Alberto PENCH: Green Tax Reforms in a Computable General Equilibrium Model for Italy
CLIM	4.2001	Maurizio BUSSOLO and Dino PINELLI: Green Taxes: Environment, Employment and Growth
CLIM	5.2001	Marco STAMPINI: Tax Reforms and Environmental Policies for Italy
ETA	6.2001	Walid OUESLATI: Environmental Fiscal Policy in an Endogenous Growth Model with Human Capital
CLIM	7.2001	Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Commitment and Emission Trading: a
		European Union Perspective
MGMT	8.2001	Brian SLACK (xlv): Globalisation in Maritime Transportation: Competition, uncertainty and implications for
		port development strategy
VOL	9.2001	Giulia PESARO: Environmental Voluntary Agreements: A New Model of Co-operation Between Public and
		Economic Actors
VOL	10.2001	Cathrine HAGEM: Climate Policy, Asymmetric Information and Firm Survival
ETA	11.2001	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: A Sequential Approach to the Characteristic Function and the Core in
		Games with Externalities
ETA	12.2001	Gaetano BLOISE, Sergio CURRARINI and Nicholas KIKIDIS: Inflation and Welfare in an OLG Economy with
		a Privately Provided Public Good
KNOW	13.2001	Paolo SURICO: Globalisation and Trade: A "New Economic Geography" Perspective
ETA	14.2001	Valentina BOSETTI and Vincenzina MESSINA: Quasi Option Value and Irreversible Choices
CLIM	15.2001	Guy ENGELEN (xlii): Desertification and Land Degradation in Mediterranean Areas: from Science to Integrated
arram	160001	Policy Making
SUST	16.2001	Julie Catherine SORS: Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable Development in Venice: A Comparative
OT IOT	15.0001	Assessment of Methods and Approaches
SUST	17.2001	Julie Catherine SORS: Public Participation in Local Agenda 21: A Review of Traditional and Innovative Tools
CLIM	18.2001	Johan ALBRECHT and Niko GOBBIN: Schumpeter and the Rise of Modern Environmentalism Pinglia, PRALI Coula CARRARO, and Civilia COLETTO (aliii), Participation Inventions and the Paging of
VOL	19.2001	Rinaldo BRAU, Carlo CARRARO and Giulio GOLFETTO (xliii): Participation Incentives and the Design of
ETA	20.2001	<u>Voluntary Agreements</u> Paola ROTA: Dynamic Labour Demand with Lumpy and Kinked Adjustment Costs
ETA	21.2001	Paola ROTA: Empirical Representation of Firms' Employment Decisions by an (S,s) Rule
ETA	22.2001	Paola ROTA: What Do We Gain by Being Discrete? An Introduction to the Econometrics of Discrete Decision
LIA	22.2001	Processes
PRIV	23.2001	Stefano BOSI, Guillaume GIRMANS and Michel GUILLARD: Optimal Privatisation Design and Financial
TICIV	23.2001	Markets
KNOW	24.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO, Claudio LUPI, Patrizia ORDINE, and Maria Luisa PARISI: Beyond National Institutions:
1211011	22001	Labour Taxes and Regional Unemployment in Italy
ETA	25.2001	Klaus CONRAD: Locational Competition under Environmental Regulation when Input Prices and Productivity
		Differ
PRIV	26.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Juliet D'SOUZA, Marcella FANTINI and William L. MEGGINSON: Sources of
		Performance Improvement in Privatised Firms: A Clinical Study of the Global Telecommunications Industry
CLIM	27.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER and Emiliano RAMIERI: Climate Change Impacts on the Mediterranean Coastal Zones
ETA	28.2001	Nunzio CAPPUCCIO and Michele MORETTO: Comments on the Investment-Uncertainty Relationship in a Real
		Option Model
KNOW	29.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Absolute Risk Aversion and the Returns to Education
CLIM	30.2001	ZhongXiang ZHANG: Meeting the Kyoto Targets: The Importance of Developing Country Participation
ETA	31.2001	Jonathan D. KAPLAN, Richard E. HOWITT and Y. Hossein FARZIN: An Information-Theoretical Analysis of
		Budget-Constrained Nonpoint Source Pollution Control
MGMT	32.2001	Roberta SALOMONE and Giulia GALLUCCIO: Environmental Issues and Financial Reporting Trends
Coalition		
Theory	33.2001	Shlomo WEBER and Hans WIESMETH: From Autarky to Free Trade: The Impact on Environment
Network	24.6004	A CENTRO LEGISLA CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRA
ETA	34.2001	Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: Model Selection and Tests for Non Nested Contingent
		<u>Valuation Models: An Assessment of Methods</u>

NRM	35.2001	Carlo GIUPPONI: The Substitution of Hazardous Molecules in Production Processes: The Atrazine Case Study
KNOW	36.2001	in Italian Agriculture Raffaele PACI and Francesco PIGLIARU: Technological Diffusion, Spatial Spillovers and Regional
PRIV	37.2001	Convergence in Europe Bernardo BORTOLOTTI: Privatisation, Large Shareholders, and Sequential Auctions of Shares
CLIM	38.2001	Barbara BUCHNER: What Really Happened in The Hague? Report on the COP6, Part I, 13-25 November 2000,
PRIV	39.2001	The Hague, The Netherlands Giacomo CALZOLARI and Carlo SCARPA: Regulation at Home, Competition Abroad: A Theoretical Framework
KNOW	40.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: On the Complementarity between Education and Training in Europe
Coalition	41.2001	Alain DESDOIGTS and Fabien MOIZEAU (xlvi): Multiple Politico-Economic Regimes, Inequality and Growth
Theory Network		
Coalition	42.2001	Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS (xlvi): Limits to Climate Change
Theory Network		
Coalition	43.2001	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN (xlvi): Endogenous Coalition Formation in Global Pollution
Theory		Control
Network Coalition	44.2001	Wietze LISE, Richard S.J. TOL and Bob van der ZWAAN (xlvi): Negotiating Climate Change as a Social
Theory	44.2001	Situation S.J. 10L and Bob van der Zwaan (xivi). Negotiating Chinate Change as a Social
Network		
NRM	45.2001	Mohamad R. KHAWLIE (xlvii): The Impacts of Climate Change on Water Resources of Lebanon- Eastern Mediterranean
NRM	46.2001	Mutasem EL-FADEL and E. BOU-ZEID (xlvii): Climate Change and Water Resources in the Middle East:
NRM	47.2001	<u>Vulnerability, Socio-Economic Impacts and Adaptation</u> Eva IGLESIAS, Alberto GARRIDO and Almudena GOMEZ (xlvii): An Economic Drought Management Index to
INKIVI	47.2001	Evaluate Water Institutions' Performance Under Uncertainty and Climate Change
CLIM	48.2001	Wietze LISE and Richard S.J. TOL (xlvii): Impact of Climate on Tourist Demand
CLIM	49.2001	Francesco BOSELLO, Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Davide RAGGI: Can Equity Enhance Efficiency? Lessons from the Kyoto Protocol
SUST	50.2001	Roberto ROSON (xlviii): Carbon Leakage in a Small Open Economy with Capital Mobility
SUST	51.2001	Edwin WOERDMAN (xlviii): Developing a European Carbon Trading Market: Will Permit Allocation Distort
TZLIZ	52 2001	Competition and Lead to State Aid? Richard N. COOPER (vlviii): The Kyota Protocal: A Flawed Concept
SUST	52.2001 53.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept
SUST	53.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe
		Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept
SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment
SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International
SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade:
SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries
SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe
SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi AlbANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO (1): Investigating the Shape of the EKC: A Nonparametric
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO (1): Investigating the Shape of the EKC: A Nonparametric Approach
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 66.2001 67.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldam MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO (1): Investigating the Shape of the EKC: A Nonparametric Approach
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST ETA CLIM PRIV CLIM CLIM CLIM	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 66.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO (1): Investigating the Shape of the EKC: A Nonparametric Approach
SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2001 54.2001 55.2001 56.2001 57.2001 58.2001 59.2001 60.2001 61.2001 62.2001 63.2001 64.2001 65.2001 66.2001 67.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept Kari KANGAS (xlviii): Trade Liberalisation, Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in Europe Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research: Lessons from the Human Genome Project Effrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets Curves with Panel Data Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change and the Costs of Kyoto Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (1): Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO (1): Investigating the Shape of the EKC: A Nonparametric Approach Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI (1): Desperately Seeking (Environmental) Kuznets: A New Look

NRM	70.2001	Lee J. ALSTON, Gary D. LIBECAP and Bernardo MUELLER (li): Land Reform Policies, The Sources of Violent Conflict and Implications for Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon
CLIM	71.2001	Claudia KEMFERT: Economy-Energy-Climate Interaction – The Model WIAGEM -
SUST	72.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Yohanes E. RIYANTO: Policy Instruments for Creating Markets for Bodiversity: Certification and Ecolabeling
SUST	73.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Erik SCHOKKAERT (lii): Warm Glow and Embedding in Contingent Valuation
SUST	74.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Peter NIJKAMP (lii): Ecological-Economic Analysis and Valuation of Biodiversity
VOL	75.2001	Johan EYCKMANS and Henry TULKENS (li): Simulating Coalitionally Stable Burden Sharing Agreements for the Climate Change Problem
PRIV	76.2001	Axel GAUTIER and Florian HEIDER: What Do Internal Capital Markets Do? Redistribution vs. Incentives
PRIV	77.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Marcella FANTINI and Domenico SINISCALCO: Privatisation around the World: New Evidence from Panel Data
ETA	78.2001	Toke S. AIDT and Jayasri DUTTA (li): <u>Transitional Politics. Emerging Incentive-based Instruments in Environmental Regulation</u>
ETA	79.2001	Alberto PETRUCCI: Consumption Taxation and Endogenous Growth in a Model with New Generations
ETA	80.2001	Pierre LASSERRE and Antoine SOUBEYRAN (li): A Ricardian Model of the Tragedy of the Commons
ETA	81.2001	Pierre COURTOIS, Jean Christophe PÉREAU and Tarik TAZDAÏT: An Evolutionary Approach to the Climate
		Change Negotiation Game
NRM	82.2001	Christophe BONTEMPS, Stéphane COUTURE and Pascal FAVARD: Is the Irrigation Water Demand Really Convex?
NRM	83.2001	Unai PASCUAL and Edward BARBIER: A Model of Optimal Labour and Soil Use with Shifting Cultivation
CLIM	84.2001	Jesper JENSEN and Martin Hvidt THELLE: What are the Gains from a Multi-Gas Strategy?
CLIM	85.2001	Maurizio MICHELINI (liii): IPCC "Summary for Policymakers" in TAR. Do its results give a scientific support always adequate to the urgencies of Kyoto negotiations?
CLIM	86.2001	Claudia KEMFERT (liii): Economic Impact Assessment of Alternative Climate Policy Strategies
CLIM	87.2001	Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: Global Warming and Financial Umbrellas
ETA	88.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA:
		Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms
ETA	89.2001	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed
CLIM	90.2001	Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference?
CLIM	91.2001	ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO
SUST	92.2001	Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada
SUST	93.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England
CLIM	94.2001	Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector
CLIM	95.2001	Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information
ETA	96.2001	Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options
SUST	97.2001	Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites
NRM	98.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Alberto LONGO: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – Perspectives of Development for the Rural Island of Sant'Erasmo
NRM	99.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS: Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area – Potentials of the Integrated Participatory Management Approach
NRM	100.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – A Methodological Framework
PRIV	101.2001	Enrico C. PEROTTI and Luc LAEVEN: Confidence Building in Emerging Stock Markets
CLIM	102.2001	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Igor CERSOSIMO: On the Consequences of the U.S. Withdrawal from the Kyoto/Bonn Protocol
SUST	103.2001	Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ, Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant
SUST	104.2001	Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates
SUST	105.2001	Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based
SUST	106.2001	Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic
5051	100.2001	Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case
SUST	107.2001	Study W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program

SUST	108.2001	Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse
SUST SUST	109.2001 110.2001	Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West
SUST	1.2002	Africa K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
ETA	2.2002	Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
WAT	3.2002	Preferences? Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
CLIM	4.2002	Black Sea Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International
ETA	7.2002	Comparison on European Gasoline Markets Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Eftichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An
		Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11 European Countries
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary Policy
KNOW	12.2002	Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes: Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy
CLIM	16.2002	Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance Economy
Coalition	18.2002	László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities
Theory		Luszio A. ROCZI (IIV). The Core in the Fresence of Externations
Network		
Coalition	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Theory		Coalitions
Network Coalition	20.2002	Coantions
Theory	20.2002	Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Network		
NRM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: <u>Economic and Environmental Sustainability</u> : A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
CLIM	23.2002	Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US
		Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech
ETA	24.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
CLIM	25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
SUST	27.2002	Change Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
SUST	20 2002	Conservation Plans
KNOW		The ANYEA Network: Terrords An Anglytical Strategic Environmental Aggoggment
	28.2002	The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
ETA	29.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence
ETA NRM	29.2002 30.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies
NRM NRM	29.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence
	29.2002 30.2002 31.2002 32.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
NRM	29.2002 30.2002 31.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN: Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
NRM NRM	29.2002 30.2002 31.2002 32.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
NRM NRM KNOW	29.2002 30.2002 31.2002 32.2002 33.2002 34.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN: Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good Industries? G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage Effect
NRM NRM KNOW	29.2002 30.2002 31.2002 32.2002 33.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN: Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good Industries? G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage

CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (lv): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (Iv): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (Iv): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
		the Framework of Agenda 2000
NRM	40.2002	S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
		under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
		Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42.2002	C. OHL (Ivi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43.2002	J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (lvi): Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
		Analysis with MacGEM
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (Ivi): Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary
		<u>Model</u>
ETA	45.2002	Per G. FREDRIKSSON, John A. LIST and Daniel L. MILLIMET (Ivi): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
		Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
CLIM	46.2002	Zhihao YU (lvi): A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect
SUST	47.2002	Y. Hossein FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. Hossein FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value

- (xlii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Climate Change and Mediterranean Coastal Systems: Regional Scenarios and Vulnerability Assessment" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in co-operation with the Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti, Venice, December 9-10, 1999.
- (xliii)This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Voluntary Approaches, Competition and Competitiveness" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the research activities of the CAVA Network, Milan, May 25-26,2000.
- (xliv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Green National Accounting in Europe: Comparison of Methods and Experiences" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the Concerted Action of Environmental Valuation in Europe (EVE), Milan, March 4-7, 2000
- (xlv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "New Ports and Urban and Regional Development. The Dynamics of Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, May 5-6, 2000.
- (xlvi) This paper was presented at the Sixth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, January 26-27, 2001
- (xlvii) This paper was presented at the RICAMARE Workshop "Socioeconomic Assessments of Climate Change in the Mediterranean: Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation Co-benefits", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, February 9-10, 2001
- (xlviii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop "Trade and the Environment in the Perspective of the EU Enlargement", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, May 17-18, 2001
- (xlix) This paper was presented at the International Conference "Knowledge as an Economic Good", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and The Beijer International Institute of Environmental Economics, Palermo, April 20-21, 2001
- (1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001
- (li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001
- (lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001
- (liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001
- (liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002
- (lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001.

2002 SERIES

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

VOL Voluntary and International Agreements (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SUST Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation

(Editor: Carlo Carraro)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KNOW Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)

MGMT Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)

PRIV Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)