

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Galeotti, Marzio; Lanza, Alessandro; Manera, Matteo

Working Paper

Rockets and feathers revisited: An international comparison on European gasoline markets

Nota di Lavoro, No. 6.2002

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Galeotti, Marzio; Lanza, Alessandro; Manera, Matteo (2002): Rockets and feathers revisited: An international comparison on European gasoline markets, Nota di Lavoro, No. 6.2002, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/119615

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International Comparison on European Gasoline Markets

Marzio Galeotti*, Alessandro Lanza** and Matteo Manera***

JANUARY 2002

CLIM - Climate Change Modelling and Policy

*University of Bergamo and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei **Eni S.p.A., Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and CRENos ***Bocconi University

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_activ.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=XXXXXX

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano, tel. +39/02/52036934 – fax +39/02/52036946 E-mail: letter@feem.it C.F. 97080600154

SUMMARY

This paper re-examines the issue of asymmetries in the transmission of shocks to crude oil prices onto the retail price of gasoline. Relative to the previous literature, the distinguishing features of the present paper are: i) use of updated and comparable data to carry out an international comparison of gasoline markets; ii) two-stage modeling of the transmission of oil price shocks to gasoline prices (first refinery stage and second distribution stage), in order to assess possible asymmetries at either one or both stages; iii) use of asymmetric error correction models to distinguish between asymmetries that arise from short-run deviations in input prices and from the speed at which the gasoline price reverts to its long-run level; iv) explicit, possibly asymmetric, role of the exchange rate, as crude oil is paid for in dollars whereas gasoline sells for different sums of national currencies; v) bootstrapping of F tests of asymmetries, in order to overcome the low-power problem of conventional testing procedures. In contrast to several previous findings, the results generally point to widespread differences in both adjustment speeds and short-run responses when input prices rise or fall.

Keywords: Gasoline prices, speed of adjustment, asymmetric adjustment, exchange rate

JEL: C220, D400, Q400

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Price asymmetries in gasoline markets:	4
	what economic theory says	
3.	Price asymmetries in gasoline markets:	6
	what the applied literature says	
4.	Data and econometric methodology	10
5.	Empirical results	13
6.	Conclusions	17
	References	19

ROCKETS AND FEATHERS REVISITED: AN INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON ON EUROPEAN GASOLINE MARKETS

1. Introduction

Drivers throughout the world are very sensitive to the money they pay for the fuel consumed by their car or truck. Because individual mobility is so essential – or, in economists' jargon, because gasoline demand is so inelastic – a reduction in the price of gasoline makes drivers very happy just as a price rise makes them very upset. Or: very, very upset in the latter case while only very happy in the former?

This paper deals with the transmission of positive and negative changes in the price of oil to the price of gasoline. There is a sizeable literature looking for empirical evidence in support of asymmetries in this transmission mechanism: allegedly, gasoline prices go up faster than they go down whenever there is turbulence in international crude oil markets. Indeed, in every recurring period of tension in the price of oil there has been renewed interest and even heated debate about the level of gasoline prices, the magnitude of its cost components, including retailers' margin, and the taxes that contribute to keep those prices high and sluggish. The debate invariably centers on the fact that gas prices do not decrease so rapidly as oil prices do.

Asymmetries in the transmission of changes in the price of oil are in general possible if gasoline markets are non-competitive. Indeed, unlike the case of perfect competition, varying degrees of price rigidity are a feature of oligopolistic and monopolistically competitive markets. Economic theory offers a few explanations of such price rigidity, and these often contemplate the possibility of an asymmetric transmission of cost changes onto product prices.

However, perhaps because structural models of asymmetric price effects are not easily translated into empirical models, the literature on gasoline prices has typically employed reduced-form dynamic equations relating the price of gasoline to the price of oil. Findings vary across countries and periods, but on the whole they do not provide firm evidence that prices rise faster than they fall. To date, therefore, the empirical evidence does not seem to justify the blame that the press, public

opinion, some political groups and environmentalist movements put on the oil industry particularly in periods of highly volatile prices.

This paper provides fresh new evidence to bear on the issue of price asymmetries in gasoline markets: are they real or are they only imaginary as people tend to pay attention to gasoline prices only when they are rising rapidly?

In this paper we study potential price asymmetries in the markets of leaded gasoline of five European countries, namely Germany, France, U.K., Italy, and Spain. The data are monthly and in general range from the 1985 to 2000. Relative to the previous literature, the paper is novel in several respects.

First of all, the paper presents an international comparison employing the same empirical model and estimation technique and using a very recent, comparable data set. The fact that no unanimous conclusions could be drawn by previous individual country studies may, among other things, depend upon the fact that no similar data across countries for a uniform time period were used.

A second consideration concerns the econometric methodology and the dynamic model used to assess price asymmetries. In this paper an error correction mechanism (ECM henceforth) is estimated throughout after applying modern unit root and cointegration techniques. Relative to partial adjustment, "old-fashioned" ECM and general dynamic models, we are here able to distinguish between two types of asymmetries: the first refers to the fact that adjustment of current price levels to desired targets may differ depending on the sign of the adjustment, the second one instead has to do with asymmetries in transitory price movements.

A third aspect that distinguishes the present contribution is a more satisfactory consideration of the organizational structure of the industry under scrutiny. The majority of previous studies investigated the relationship between crude oil and retail gasoline prices as a single stage process. However, the industry has a more complex structure, often varying country by country. We may make a small step forward and roughly suppose the existence of two stages in the production and distribution process: the first one concerns the transformation of crude oil into the refined product, while the second one has to do with the distribution of gasoline to retailers. The relevant prices involved in the first stage, therefore, are crude oil price and ex-refinery price. In addition, since crude oil is paid for in dollars whereas gasoline sells for different sums of national currencies, the exchange rate

plays a relevant, possibly asymmetric, role at this stage. The subsequent stage instead deals with the relationship between ex-refinery and retail gasoline prices. We think that this strategy provides a more satisfactory representation of the complex chain linking crude oil to pump prices. Moreover, we believe that it is of interest to find out whether price asymmetries originate upstream or downstream in the transmission process: in view of the suspicions of collusive behavior that often accompany increases in retail gasoline prices, the two stage nature of our investigation is clearly useful.

A final point made in the paper is methodological. A few applied studies employing the asymmetric ECM model have recently documented that the commonly used F-tests of equality among the coefficients accounting for the asymmetries are biased toward accepting the null of symmetry in small samples. This fact could explain why the data fail to turn up the asymmetric price adjustments that many commonly suspect. A way around this technical difficulty is to bootstrap the asymmetry tests. We carry out this task in the paper and present results from both standard and bootstrapped test outcomes.

The results of the estimated parameters generally point to widespread differences both in adjustment speeds and short-run elasticities when input prices rise or fall. This appears to confirm the common perception that price increases are larger than price reductions. This finding characterizes, albeit to a different extent, nearly all countries and both stages of the transmission chain. Conventional tests, however, fail to reject the symmetry hypothesis. Yet, drawing from a few contributions which have addressed this specific aspect in related contexts, we have reasons to believe that those usual tests have low power when samples are of limited size. We therefore bootstrap the F statistics and report rejection frequencies of our tests. The results strongly confirm the emergence of widespread price asymmetries in the data we have examined.

The paper is structured as follows. We begin in the next section with a cursory review of theoretical explanations of price rigidity and asymmetric price effects. In section 3 we provide a brief overview of the literature on gasoline prices. Section 4 describes the data and the econometric methodology adopted in the paper. The results are presented and discussed in section 5. Concluding remarks close the paper.

2. Price Asymmetries in Gasoline Markets: What Economic Theory Says

A necessary condition for the presence of asymmetric price effects is price rigidity, which gives rise to sluggish adjustment of output prices to shocks in cost conditions. However, according to Peltzman (2000) "economic theory suggests no pervasive tendency for prices to respond faster to one kind of cost change than to another" (p.467). Yet, there are a number of more or less standard arguments that can be put forth concerning the issue of asymmetric adjustment.

To begin with, profit maximizing behavior forces firms in competitive markets to adjust their prices to new cost conditions immediately, and presumably symmetrically. This holds when frictions and imperfections are absent from markets.

Menu costs, however, preclude instantaneous price adjustment even if firms have no market power. Similarly, accountancy rules and inventory valuation may be responsible for the sluggish adjustment of final prices with respect to increase or decrease of major exogenous variables. Take, for example, inventory valuation.

As is well known, there are two generally accepted ways of valuing products held by a firm, one based on historical costs and the second based on replacement costs. When a historical criterion (FIFO) is adopted to value inventories, the firm does not adjust its output price immediately when costs change, but awaits until the stocks of inputs bought at the old price are depleted. When instead a replacement cost criterion (LIFO) is applied, the firm adjusts its price very rapidly in response to changes in input costs. The accounting convention chosen by a firm can therefore have an influence on the speed of adjustment: application of a FIFO criterion results in longer lags than in the case of a LIFO principle.

Market power is probably the greatest concern to those who observe that gasoline prices respond more quickly to oil price increases than when they fall. The standard argument goes as follows. Retailers allegedly try to maintain their "normal" profit margins when prices rise, but they try to capture the larger margins that result, at least temporarily, when upstream prices fall. In both cases the situation is not to last because, for instance, consumer search costs are present. When costly search is completed, profits go down and prices tend to competitive levels. A version of this story that emphasizes tacit collusion in oligopolistic markets notes that, when upstream prices rise, each firm is quick to increase its selling price in order to signal its competitors that it is adhering to the tacit agreement; when

upstream prices fall, it is slow in adjusting its price because it does not want to run the risk of selling a signal that it is cutting its margins and breaking away from the agreement.

Finally, another argument is the fact that adjusting production is costly. When a cost shock occurs profit maximizing competitive firms absorb part of the shock by depleting inventories when input prices fall and storing output when they rise. This leads prices not to immediately adjust to cost shocks even in competitive markets, although it is perfectly consistent with firms enjoying market power. According to Borenstein, Cameron, and Gilbert (1997), asymmetry is consistent with the above story if the net marginal convenience yield (the change in net distribution costs resulting from a change in inventory levels) is convex. In this case cost increases will be accommodated more quickly.

Coming back to Peltzman (2000)'s examination of "literally hundreds of markets" (p.469), the author finds that neither inventory holdings nor menu costs seem a key ingredient in producing price asymmetries. The hypothesis of asymmetric costs of input adjustment appears to be more consistent with price asymmetry. More generally, price asymmetry is as characteristic of competitive as oligopoly market structures.

3. Price Asymmetries in Gasoline Markets: What the Applied Literature Says

Numerous attempts have been made to analyze the relationship between the price of the input (crude oil in the present case) and the price of the corresponding output (here petroleum products). The product which has been most studied is gasoline because its share of petroleum consumption is large and because the wide geographical dispersion of sales (relative to the total volume sold) gives rise to a diversity of market situations within a single country.¹

About a dozen studies have specifically dealt with the issue of the asymmetric transmission of price changes in gasoline markets. These studies generally differ in one or more of the following aspects: the country under scrutiny; the time frequency and period of the data used; the focus on wholesale and retail gas prices or on oil and gasoline prices; the dynamic model employed in the empirical investigation.

_

¹ Unlike previous studies, which have documented price asymmetries in selected markets (including gasoline, bank deposits, agricultural products), Peltzman (2000) uses large samples of diverse products: 77 consumer and 165 producer goods.

The problem of a different response to price increases and decreases is first considered in Bacon (1991)'s work on the U.K. gasoline market, which is however limited to the second stage of the transmission chain (the ex-Rotterdam spot price is used as a proxy of the product price).² Biweekly data are used for the period 1982-1989. The author finds that increases in the product price are fully transmitted within two months, in the case of price reductions an extra week is necessary. Moreover, changes in the exchange rate necessitate two extra weeks relative to product prices before being incorporated in retail gas prices.

Manning (1991) looks directly at the impact of changes in oil prices on U.K. retail prices. The data are monthly for 1973-1988 and an ECM specification allowing for asymmetry only in the dynamic part of the equation is used. Weak and non-persistent asymmetry in price changes, which is absorbed within four months, is found. No formal tests of asymmetric price effects are however performed.

Shifting attention to the U.S., Karrenbrock (1991) employs 1983-1990 monthly data to study the empirical relationship between wholesale and (after tax) retail gasoline prices. The author uses a distributed lags model to find that the length of time in which a wholesale price increase is fully reflected in the retail gasoline price is the same as that of a wholesale price decrease for premium and unleaded regular gasoline. Instead, wholesale price increases for leaded regular gasoline are passed along to consumer more quickly than price decreases. Nevertheless, the author concludes, contrary to the popular belief that consumers do not benefit from wholesale gasoline price decreases, these are eventually passed along to consumers as fully as are wholesale gasoline price increases.

Lanza (1991) chooses the Federal Republic of Germany as the country to study. The period is 1980-1990, thus including the oil price collapse of 1986. The analysis is structured in two stages, employs monthly data and is based on an asymmetric partial adjustment model: the dependent price variable (wholesale or retail gasoline price) responds to its lagged value differently depending upon positive or negative changes in the price explanatory variable (crude oil or wholesale price respectively). The author's findings are: (i) at the refinery level there is only weak evidence of asymmetric

_

² Although not directly testing for asymmetric price changes, Bacon (1986) is probably the first study to structure the analysis of pricing in gasoline markets into two stages: first the relationship between crude oil price and factory gate product prices, and then the relationship between product prices and net of tax retail prices. The data refer to the United Kingdom and are monthly covering the 1977-1985 period. The fitted equation is specified as an autoregressive-distributed lag. The author finds that crude oil price changes are passed on to refinery prices quickly,

reaction (the average lag is about three months); (ii) at the consumers' level the speed of adjustment is lower in the case of price reductions than for price rises.

Kirchgässner and Kübler (1992) also look at West Germany for the period 1972-1989 using monthly data. The authors consider the response of both consumer and producer leaded gasoline prices to the spot price on the Rotterdam market; they distinguish two periods, before and after January 1980. The methodology adopted is very rigorous: the time series are tested for, respectively, unit roots, Granger causality, cointegration, and structural breaks. When cointegration cannot be rejected, both symmetric and asymmetric ECMs are fitted. Unfortunately, the asymmetry is permitted only for price changes, thus allowing only for a different response in the short-run but not in adjustment speeds. Briefly stated, the results show that, while long-run reactions are not significantly different for the 1970s and the 1980s, there is considerable asymmetry in the former period but not in the latter in the short-run adjustment processes. In particular, reductions in the Rotterdam prices are transferred faster to German markets than increases.

Shin (1994) relates the average wholesale price of oil products to the price of oil in his investigation of the U.S. market. He uses monthly data for the period 1986-1992; his dynamic model quite simply regresses average wholesale price changes on positive and negative oil price changes and shows no evidence of short-run asymmetric effects.

The focus of Duffy-Deno (1996) is again on the U.S., and in particular on the downstream relationship between wholesale and net-of-tax-retail gasoline prices. The data this time are weekly for 1989-1993 and the econometric model, a general unrestricted distributed lag specification, shows strong short-run and long-run asymmetries, with a fuller, longer (4 weeks) adjustment in the case of price rises and an incomplete, shorter (2 weeks) adjustment for price falls.

Borenstein, Cameron, and Gilbert (1997) study the U.S. gasoline market using weekly data for 1986-1992. The empirical investigation confirms the common belief that retail gasoline prices react more quickly to increases in crude oil prices than do decreases (4 weeks versus 8 weeks). An ECM is estimated but, like the previous paper, only asymmetry for price changes is permitted.

Balke, Brown, and Yücel (1998) extend the work of Borenstein et al. (1997) by using two different model specifications with weekly data from 1987 through 1997. In particular the authors use a

distributed lags model in the levels of prices with asymmetric effects and an ECM representation which allows for both long-run and short-run asymmetries.³ On the basis of an encompassing test this last specification is preferred. Both models involve three prices, with the wholesale price depending upon oil and spot prices and the retail price upon wholesale and spot prices. The authors do not obtain unambiguous evidence concerning asymmetry, being weak in the specification in levels and moderate and persistent in the ECM.

Reilly and Witt (1998) go back to the U.K. market to revisit the evidence of Bacon (1991) and Manning (1991) with monthly data for 1982-1995 and emphasizing the role of the dollar-pound exchange rate and the potential asymmetries associated with it, in addition to those of crude oil prices. A restricted ECM is estimated which allows only for short-run asymmetry. The hypothesis of a symmetric response by petrol retailers to crude price rises and falls is rejected by the data, and so is for changes in the exchange rate.

Finally, three recent papers look at the experience of other countries. Godby, Lintner, Stengos, and Wandschneider (2000) study the Canadian market for both premium and regular gasoline. The analysis is based on weekly data for thirteen cities between 1990 and 1996. By noting that the asymmetric ECM specifications used in previous studies are misspecified if price asymmetries are triggered by a minimum absolute increase in crude cost, a Threshold AutoRegressive model within an ECM is implemented in the paper. On this basis the authors fail to find evidence of asymmetric pricing behavior.

Asplund, Eriksson, and Friberg (2000) investigate the Swedish retail market by fitting a restricted ECM with asymmetries only on the short-run dynamic components. The data are monthly and cover the period 1980 through 1996. There is some evidence that in the short-run prices are stickier downwards than upwards. Also, prices respond more rapidly to exchange rate movements than to the spot market prices.

Berardi, Franzosi, and Vignocchi (2000) study the Italian lower end of the market considering the retail price of both leaded and unleaded gasoline as a function of Platt's Med ex-refinery price. The data are weekly 1991-2000 and are used in the estimation of an ECM specification which allows for

although the speed of reaction does not appear to differ between product price and exchange rate changes.

³ Both in Borenstein et al. (1997) and in Balke et al. (1998) the restriction represented by the long-run level relationship is not imposed (no cointegration analysis is performed) in the ECM. This implies that the long-run asymmetry introduced in the second paper applies to the different parameters of the price level variables and not to the error correction coefficient.

asymmetries both in the long-run and short-run components. The authors conduct formal tests of equality between coefficients associated with these asymmetries and find that the hypothesis of a differential response in the long-run is rejected, while the evidence is less clear-cut for the short-run (symmetry is rejected at 5% for unleaded but not for leaded gasoline; at 1% symmetry is always rejected).

Summarizing, most previous articles have studied markets of individual countries, most often the U.S. and U.K. The period usually investigated covers the 80s and 90s, although Manning (1991) looks back at the period after 1973 and Berardi et al. (2000) arrive at year 2000. The frequency of the data is typically either weekly or monthly, although sometimes biweekly data are also employed. In general the contributions surveyed consider the lower end of the market, the one in which the product is distributed and sold to the pump. The relevant prices involved are therefore some wholesale price and the retail price. The other prevailing type of analysis is the one that in a single stage relates the price of crude oil to the pump price. Lanza (1991) appears to be the only study to analyze asymmetries in both stages of the transmission chain of cost shocks to the final gasoline prices. Finally, only a few recent papers make use of the latest developments in time series econometrics: by rigorously testing for unit roots and finding evidence of long-run cointegrating relationships between the relevant prices, it is possible to model, estimate and test for asymmetric price effects both in the short-run and long-run.

4. Data and Econometric Methodology

We represent the complex chain of transformation of crude oil into the refined gasoline product as a two-stage process: first we consider the transformation of oil into the refined product, and then its distribution to gas stations. Of each stage we investigate the potential asymmetries in the transmission of input price changes onto output prices. For the sake of comparison with the bulk of the literature and in order to appreciate the advantages of a two-stage representation, we also consider the transmission of changes in crude oil prices directly on the price of gasoline.

We conduct an international comparison of the issue at hand among five European countries, namely Germany, France, U.K., Italy, and Spain. The sample period ranges from January 1985 to June 2000 (the German sample stops at February 1997) and leaded gasoline has been considered.⁴

_

⁴ We have chosen this sample for at least two reasons. In terms of product and geographical coverage, we want to have an idea of possible price asymmetries, taking into account that leaded gasoline has been very important over the

The variables used in this paper are the price of crude oil (C), the gasoline spot price (S), the before-tax gasoline retail price (R), and the exchange rate between U.S. dollar and individual national currencies (ER). We denote the natural logarithm of these variables by lowercase letters. In particular, crude oil price is the Crude Oil Import Costs in U.S. dollars/bbl (average unit value, c.i.f.) published by the International Energy Agency. As a proxy for the ex-refinery gasoline price we use the gasoline spot price f.o.b. Rotterdam for the European countries. The gasoline retail prices are also from the International Energy Agency. Since any company purchasing in the spot market must pay in dollars, the exchange rate between the national currency and U.S. dollar is used. These series are taken from the International Monetary Fund. As already emphasized by Bacon (1991), it is clear that the exchange rate may be a relevant source of asymmetry in non-U.S. countries. Hence the importance of allowing separately for positive and negative changes in exchange rates.

Summarizing, the basic relationships we take to the data are the following:

$$s_t = a_0 + a_1 c_t + a_2 e r_t + \varepsilon_t \tag{1}$$

$$r_t = a_0 + a_1 s_t + \varepsilon_t \tag{2}$$

$$r_t = a_0 + a_1 c_t + a_2 e r_t + \varepsilon_t \tag{3}$$

Equations (1)-(3) represent the long-run or equilibrium relationships relating output prices to input prices and exchange rates. Equation (1) refers to the first stage in which the price of crude oil, along with the exchange rate, determines the spot gasoline price; according to (2) this price affects the retail price of gasoline. It is apparent that the exchange rate enters only the first stage of the chain as both retail and spot prices are denominated and posted in the same national currency.⁶ Finally, (3) relates in a single stage the retail price of petrol to that of crude oil.

sample period in the European countries. In terms of time period, we start from right before the 1986 oil price collapse until the most recent months. Finally, the reason why the German sample stops at a different date is due to the unavailability of the relevant information from the official source of our data.

⁵ More information on gasoline spot prices can be found in "General Note on Definition Methods and Source" published in the quarterly statistics *Energy Price and Taxes* of the International Energy Agency, Paris.

⁶ Note that in principle the coefficient in front of the exchange rate should be the same as that of crude oil price. We do not impose such restriction. In addition, the influence of sources of costs other than the relevant prices (and exchange rate) are considered to be negligible and relegated into the disturbance term. Finally, in order not to clutter notation we have maintained the same symbols for coefficients and disturbance term of different equations.

The asymmetry in the transmission of changes in input prices to output prices can be accommodated within a dynamic model. However, it is important to distinguish between two types of asymmetries. This distinction can be best entertained by a dynamic ECM specification estimated in two steps. That is, let $\hat{\varepsilon}_t$ denote the residual of (1) through (3) and define $ECM_t^{(+)} = \hat{\varepsilon}_t > 0$ and $ECM_{t}^{(-)} = \hat{\varepsilon}_{t} < 0.7$ These two terms account for asymmetry in the adjustment to equilibrium, whereas short-run asymmetry is captured by similarly decomposing price (and exchange rate) changes into $\Delta x_t^{(+)} = x_t - x_{t-1} > 0$ and $\Delta x_t^{(-)} = x_t - x_{t-1} < 0$ for x=c,s,er. Thus asymmetry of the first type is related to the speed at which a gap between the current and the equilibrium level (as described by the long-run relationships (1)-(3)) of spot or retail prices is filled within the period. This speed can differ according to whether the current price is below or above its equilibrium level. The asymmetric ECMs can therefore be formulated as follows:

$$\Delta s_{t} = \alpha + \beta^{(+)} ECM_{t-1}^{(+)} + \beta^{(-)} ECM_{t-1}^{(-)} + \gamma^{(+)} \Delta c_{t}^{(+)} + \gamma^{(-)} \Delta c_{t}^{(-)} + \delta^{(+)} \Delta e r_{t}^{(+)} + \delta^{(-)} \Delta e r_{t}^{(-)} + u_{t}$$

$$(4)$$

$$\Delta r_{t} = \alpha + \beta^{(+)} ECM_{t-1}^{(+)} + \beta^{(-)} ECM_{t-1}^{(-)} + \gamma^{(+)} \Delta s_{t}^{(+)} + \gamma^{(-)} \Delta s_{t}^{(-)} + u_{t}$$
(5)

$$\Delta r_{t} = \alpha + \beta^{(+)} ECM_{t-1}^{(+)} + \beta^{(-)} ECM_{t-1}^{(-)} + \gamma^{(+)} \Delta c_{t}^{(+)} + \gamma^{(-)} \Delta c_{t}^{(-)} + \delta^{(+)} \Delta e r_{t}^{(+)} + \delta^{(-)} \Delta e r_{t}^{(-)} + u_{t}$$

$$(6)$$

where Δ is the first difference operator. Recent advances in time series econometrics suggest that the first step toward estimation of (4)-(6) is to check whether or not the different price series and exchange rates are stationary. Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) tests for unit roots have been used and all variables have been found to be integrated of order one, or I(1), most of them with intercept and trend.8 Though non-stationary, these series may form a linear combination which is stationary, or I(0). In this case there are long-run or equilibrium relationships between relevant price series as represented in (1)-(3). The relevant series are said to be cointegrated and this implies that the residual of the equations $\hat{\varepsilon}_t$ is I(0). Again ADF tests are used to test for cointegration. OLS estimation of (1)-(3) yields (super) consistent estimates of long-run responses of output prices to

⁷ The two *ECM* series take on only positive (resp. negative) values and zero otherwise.

Results from tests and estimation of (1) through (6) are not reported here to economize on space. We just concentrate on results and tests concerning price asymmetries. The complete set of results is contained in an appendix available from the authors.

changes in input prices and exchange rates. Finally, when two or more variables are cointegrated, we know from the Engle-Granger representation theorem that they admit an ECM formulation of the type (4)-(6).

All the above considerations apply to the case of symmetric effects, but the ECM has been extended to the case of asymmetric adjustment originally by Granger and Lee (1989). In this case first differences and cointegration residual can be decomposed into positive and negative changes as shown above. We merely note here that in order to allow for asymmetric adjustment to long-run equilibrium it is necessary to estimate the asymmetric ECM in two steps.⁹

5. Empirical Results

Tables 1 and 2 present the results from estimation of asymmetric price effects, whereas Tables 3 and 4 present the evidence of testing for price asymmetries.

5.1 Evidence on Asymmetric Adjustment Speeds and Short-run Price Asymmetries

Table 1 reports the magnitude and statistical significance of the adjustment speed coefficients $\beta^{(+)}$ and $\beta^{(-)}$, which allow us to evaluate long-run or persistent asymmetry, and magnitude and significance of the coefficients of price changes $\gamma^{(+)}$ and $\gamma^{(-)}$, which instead account for short-run or transitory asymmetry. The results suggest several remarks.

Firstly, the table shows that, with just five exceptions, all coefficients are statistically significant, in the vast majority of cases at the 1% confidence level. According to Granger and Lee (1989), the significance of individual coefficients is a necessary condition for testing for asymmetric effects.

Secondly, the comparison between "positive" and "negative" coefficients shows that the former in general exceed, in absolute value, the latter. This holds for each stage of the production and distribution chain and both for long-run and short-run. However, to establish significant divergences between the two groups of parameters requires rigorous statistical testing, an aspect we tackle below.

⁹ One could observe that estimation of equations (4)-(6) is affected by a a generated regressor problem, as the *ECM* terms are obtained from the prior estimation of (1)-(3). While this is certainly reason for concern in the usual context, McAleer, McKenzie and Pesaran (1994), among others, note that generated regressors cease to be a problem when variables are non-stationary and cointegrated.

Thirdly, if we consider the two-stage approach we have adopted to describe the transmission chain of price shocks to final gasoline prices relative to the single-stage practice, we see that numerical estimates are very different. In particular, first-stage adjustment speeds are generally smaller in absolute value than second-stage speeds, whereas the contrary appears to be true for short-run price elasticities. These differences do not surface in the single-stage approach.

If we restrict our attention to point estimates, the picture that emerges appears to confirm the general perception of a more rapid adjustment in the case of price rises relative to price falls. This can be seen, as far as the short-run is concerned, by direct comparison of the estimated parameters in that they represent contemporaneous price adjustments: in the majority of cases the coefficient γ associated with price increases is larger than that corresponding to price reductions. There are exceptions though, but the differences among coefficients do not appear to be large. It is clear that in these cases a test of equality between coefficients is called for. As for the long-run, note that the positive and negative β coefficients are respectively associated with adjustment to the long-run equilibrium level of price from above and from below. Also these parameters differ in general. It is however perhaps useful and more informative to use an alternative statistics based on those adjustment speed coefficients.

5.2 Evidence on Asymmetric Adjustment

Table 2 presents evidence on this type of asymmetry by computing the number of weeks necessary to close the gap between current and desired (long-run or equilibrium) levels of price. In particular, we compute the following statistics:

$$t = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{p_t - p_t^*}{p_0 - p_t^*}\right)}{\beta} \tag{7}$$

where the numerator is the log of the percentage gap closed and β is the speed of adjustment coefficient estimated, for either upward and downward adjustments, in the ECM equations (4)-(6). Formula (7) can be used to compute the number of weeks necessary to close x% of the gap between actual and long-run price levels. Because monthly data are used in estimation, (7) becomes:

-

¹⁰ This statistics has been suggested and used in other contexts by Christiano and Eichenbaum (1987) and Eichenbaum (1989). A full derivation of (7) is contained in an appendix available from the authors.

$$t = \frac{30}{7} \frac{\ln(1-x)}{\beta} \tag{8}$$

Letting x=50%, 95% Table 2 tells us how many weeks it takes for the price of gasoline to revert to its equilibrium level once a shock has caused him to diverge upward or downward. The results show that it often takes less time to go back up to the equilibrium level when the price is forced downward: as an example 9 weeks are necessary to re-establish the long-run level in the case of a negative shock to the German leaded retail gasoline against 12.6 in the case of a positive shock. This is not however always the case, as again the example of Germany for the first-stage documents (22.2 versus 15 weeks). At any rate, when we translate differences in adjustment speeds into time periods there do not appear to exist sizeable differences between upward and downward deviations from equilibrium. Finally, adjustment is faster in the second-stage of the chain than in the first-stage.

5.3 Evidence on Exchange Rate Asymmetries

The next table considers the issue of the asymmetric transmission of shocks in exchange rates to retail gasoline prices. Table 3 deserves a few comments. First, dollar exchange rate effects are statistically significant in all cases. Interestingly, this significance often disappears in the single-stage case. This evidence lends support to the idea of breaking up into two stage the production and distribution chain of gasoline on the one hand, and of allowing for exchange rate asymmetries on the other. Finally, we see that gasoline prices are more responsive to increases in the exchange rate than decreases, and this fact again emerges clearly in the first-stage results.

5.4. Evidence on Tests of Price Asymmetries

We have remarked above that in order to establish significant divergences between "positive" and "negative" estimated coefficients formal statistical testing is required.

The general formulation of the asymmetric ECM, originally introduced by Granger and Lee (1989), has been often used as the appropriate statistical framework for conventional F tests of the null hypothesis of symmetric adjustment, both in terms of adjustment speeds towards a cointegrating equilibrium and of short-run responses.

In terms of equations (4)-(6) a rejection of the null hypothesis $H_0^L: \beta^{(+)} = \beta^{(-)}$ implies asymmetric adjustment to a long-run equilibrium, whereas short-run asymmetries arise when the null hypothesis $H_0^S: \gamma^{(+)} = \gamma^{(-)}$ is rejected. Table 4 reports the calculated conventional F tests of H_0^L and H_0^S . It immediately appears that the symmetry hypothesis is rejected only in 5 cases out of 30 and, of these 5 cases, only 1 is a rejection at 1% significance level (3 cases at 5 % and 1 at 10%). In addition, short-run symmetry is rejected in 2 cases out of 5, while symmetric adjustment to the long-run is rejected in 3 cases, including the one at 10% significance level. The countries which do not experience any asymmetry are Italy, U.K. and Germany. France and Spain show both types of asymmetries (at single and second stage, respectively).

The overall picture which emerges from testing the symmetry hypothesis therefore runs contrary to both the common perception and to the visual inspection of the magnitude of the estimated coefficients made in the previous tables.

A few recent papers have questioned the reliability of conventional tests of symmetry in the above and similar contexts. In particular, these contributions note that there is a tendency to over-reject the null hypothesis of symmetry which can be traced to the low power of standard F statistics. Cook, Holly, and Turner (1998) note that, for the asymmetric adjustment ECM to be valid, $\beta^{\scriptscriptstyle (+)}$ and $\beta^{\scriptscriptstyle (-)}$ must be both significantly different from each other and individually significant (similar considerations apply to the other coefficients of asymmetry). Using the Granger and Lee (1989) data, Cook (1999) estimates an asymmetric ECM and finds that the corresponding null hypotheses are rejected by conventional F and t tests at 5% significance level in only 8% of all the estimated models. Cook, Holly, and Turner (1999) show that the variance of the difference between the estimated $\beta^{(+)}$ and $\beta^{(-)}$ tends to zero as the sample size increases. However, for a given sample size, this variance is increasing in $|\hat{\beta}^{(+)} - \hat{\beta}^{(-)}|$ and in the ratio of the variance of the independent variable to that of the dependent variable in the ECM. Monte Carlo simulation experiments confirm that some improvements in the power of the F statistics are made when the difference between the adjustment speed parameters doubles, while rejection frequencies rise substantially only for large samples (500 observations or more). Overall, rejection frequencies remain low, in the order of 17%, for economically plausible sample sizes and magnitudes of $|\hat{\beta}^{(+)} - \hat{\beta}^{(-)}|$. 11

¹¹ See Cook et al. (1999), first row of Table 1, figure in column Rej, sample size T=100.

The findings just mentioned suggest that any straightforward interpretation of the results of Table 4 could be misleading. In order to overcome the documented unreliability of standard tests of symmetry we have bootstrapped the F statistics for both H_0^L and H_0^S and have calculated the corresponding rejection frequencies at the 5% significance level on the basis of 1,000 replications. The results are presented in Table 5. Rejection frequencies greater than 15% are found in 17 cases out of 30, whereas 3 are the cases with high (i.e. greater than 58%) rejection frequencies. ¹² If we reinterpret the results of Table 4 in the light of these findings, the picture changes dramatically. In particular, each country is now more likely to be characterized by both long-run and short-run asymmetries. Moreover, in Italy, Spain and U.K. asymmetries arise in the second stage, whereas in France and Germany they appear mainly at first and single stage.

Generally speaking, we find that in (almost) all countries asymmetries arise in the second stage. The straightforward interpretation of this result lies in the more competitive environment of the refining sector with respect to the distribution sector where several different operators could act to increase upward rigidity.

6. Conclusions

In a recent paper, Peltzman (2000) states that "economic theory suggests no pervasive tendency for prices to respond faster to one kind of cost change than to another" (p.467). However, after an examination of "literally hundreds of markets" (p.469), he concludes that "the person in the street is right and we [economists] are wrong" (p.493).

This paper has re-examined the issue of presumed asymmetries in the transmission of shocks to crude oil prices onto the retail price of gasoline. Especially in periods of volatile international markets, this is an issue to which both public opinion (nearly all are driving cars and trucks) and policy makers are quite sensitive. It has therefore attracted the interest of energy economists, who have carried out several empirical investigations on gasoline markets with a special eye to the hypothesis that prices rise faster than they fall.

Relative to the previous literature the present paper contains several elements of novelty. Firstly, it uses updated and comparable data to carry out an international comparison of gasoline markets,

-

¹² See Cook et al. (1999), first row of Table 1, figure in column Rej, sample size T=500.

unlike previous work which has invariably concentrated on individual national markets. Secondly, it breaks up the process of transmission of oil price shocks to gasoline prices into two stages, roughly corresponding to a first refinery stage and then to a second distribution stage. In so doing we are able to assess possible asymmetries at either one or both stages. This is obviously relevant from a market structure perspective. Thirdly, by a suitable choice of the dynamic regression model with which to analyze the problem, we are able to distinguish between asymmetries arising from short-lived deviations in input prices and asymmetries characterizing the speed at which the gasoline price, once shocked, reverts to its long-run or equilibrium level. The so-called asymmetric ECM allows precisely to carry out this task. Fourthly, we allow for an explicit possibly asymmetric role of the exchange rate, as crude oil price is paid for in dollars whereas gasoline sells for different sums of national currencies.

The results of the estimated parameters generally point to widespread differences both in adjustment speeds and short-run elasticities when input prices rise or fall. This appears to confirm the common perception amply echoed by newspapers in periods of increasing international oil prices of more rapid price increases relative to price reductions. This finding characterizes, albeit to a different extent, nearly all countries and both stages of the transmission chain. When however we turn to conventional testing, we find that the usual F tests overwhelmingly fail to reject the symmetry hypothesis. Yet, drawing from a few contributions which have addressed this specific aspect in related contexts, we have reasons to believe that those usual tests have low power when samples are of limited size. We therefore bootstrap the F statistics and report rejection frequencies of our tests. The results strongly confirm the emergence of widespread price asymmetries in the data we have examined.

In summary, and in contrast to several previous findings, we do find that rockets and feathers appear to dominate the price adjustment mechanism of gasoline markets in many European countries.

References

- Asplund, M., R. Eriksson, and R. Friberg (2000), "Price Adjustment by a Gasoline Retail Chain", *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 102, 101-121.
- Bacon, R.W. (1986), "UK Gasoline Prices: How Fast Are Changes in Crude Prices Transmitted to the Pump?", Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, Energy Economics Study N.2.
- Bacon, R.W. (1991), "Rockets and Feathers: The Asymmetric Speed of Adjustment of U.K. Retail Gasoline Prices To Cost Changes", *Energy Economics*, July, 211-218.
- Balke, N.S., S.P.A. Brown, and M.K. Yücel (1998), "Crude Oil and Gasoline Prices: An Asymmetric Relationship?", *Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas Economic Review*, First Quarter, 2-11.
- Berardi, D., A. Franzosi, and C. Vignocchi (2000), "Il prezzo dei carburanti in Italia: asimmetrie e mispecificazioni", *Contributi di Ricerca Irs* N.50.
- Borenstein, S. and A. Shepard (1996), "Sticky Prices, Inventories, and Market Power in Wholesale Gasoline Markets", National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper N.5468.
- Borenstein, S., A.C. Cameron, and R. Gilbert (1997), "Do Gasoline Prices Respond Asymmetrically to Crude Oil Prices?", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, February, 112, 305-339.
- Christiano, L.J. and M. Eichenbaum (1987), "Temporal Aggregation and Structural Inference in Macroeconomics", *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy*, 26, 63-130.
- Cook, S. (1999), "Nonsymmetric Error Correction Revisited", *Applied Economic Letters*, 6, 467-470.
- Cook, S., S. Holly, and P. Turner (1998), "DHSY Revisited: the Role of Asymmetries", *Applied Economics*, 31, 775-778.
- Cook, S., S. Holly, and P. Turner (1999), "The Power of Tests for Non-linearity: the Case of Granger-Lee Asymmetry", *Economics Letters*, 62, 155-159.
- Duffy-Deno, K.T. (1996), "Retail Price Asymmetries in Local Gasoline Markets", *Energy Economics*, 18, 81-92.
- Eichenbaum, M. (1989), "Some Empirical Evidence on the Production Level and Production Cost Smoothing Models of Inventory Investment", *American Economic Review*, 79, 853-64.
- Energy Information Administration (1999), "Price Changes in the Gasoline Market", DOE/IEA-0626, February
- Godby, R.M., A. Lintner, T. Stengos, and B. Wandschneider (2000), "Testing for Asymmetric Pricing in the Canadian Retail Gasoline Market", *Energy Economics*, 22, 349-368.

- Granger, C.W.J. and T.H. Lee (1989), "Investigation of Production, Sales and Inventory Relationships Using Multicointegration and Non-symmetric Error Correction Models", *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 4, S145-S159.
- Karrenbrock, J.D. (1991), "The Behavior of Retail Gasoline Prices: Symmetric or Not?", *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Economic Review*, 73, 19-29.
- Kirchgässner, G. and K. Kübler (1992), "Symmetric or Asymmetric Price Adjustments in the Oil Market: An Empirical Analysis of the Relations between International and Domestic Prices in the Federal Republic of Germany, 1972-89", *Energy Economics*, 14, 171-185.
- Lanza, A. (1991), "Speed of Adjustment and Market Structure: A Study of Gasoline Market in Germany", Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, Energy Economics Study N.14.
- Manning, D.N. (1991), "Petrol Prices, Oil Price Rises and Oil Price Falls: Evidence for the United Kingdom Since 1972", *Applied Economics*, 23, 1535-1541.
- McAleer, M., C.R. McKenzie and M.H. Pesaran (1994), "Cointegration and Direct Tests of the Rational Expectations Hypothesis", *Econometric Reviews*, 13, 231-258.
- Peltzman, S. (2000), "Prices Rise Faster Than They Fall", *Journal of Political Economy*, 108, 466-502.
- Reilly, B. and R Witt (1998), "Petrol Price Asymmetries Revisited", *Energy Economics*, 20, 297-308.
- Shin, D. (1994), "Do Product Prices Respond Symmetrically to Changes in Crude Oil Prices?", *OPEC Review*, Summer.

TABLE 1: Asymmetric Adjustment Speeds and Short-run Price Asymmetries

	Italy	France	Spain	Germany	U.K.
		First Stage: s	pot = f(crud	e, exchange rat	te)
Asym. adj. speed $oldsymbol{eta}^{\scriptscriptstyle (+)}$	-0.640*	-0.733**	-0.347*	-0.855**	-0.470**
Asym. adj. speed $oldsymbol{eta}^{(-)}$	-0.400	-0.498*	-0.345*	-0.579**	-0.272
Short-run asymmetry $\gamma^{(+)}$	0.877**	0.801**	0.839**	0.867**	0.766**
Short-run asymmetry $\gamma^{(-)}$	0.856**	0.923**	0.581**	1.156**	0.718**
		Second	Stage: retai	l = g(spot)	
Asym. adj. speed $oldsymbol{eta}^{\scriptscriptstyle (+)}$	-0.732**	-0.929**	-0.937**	-1.022**	-0.885**
Asym. adj. speed $oldsymbol{eta}^{(-)}$	-0.977**	-0.892**	-0.772**	-1.422**	-0.894**
Short-run asymmetry $\gamma^{(+)}$	0.180**	0.362**	0.216**	0.478**	0.306**
Short-run asymmetry $\gamma^{(-)}$	0.205**	0.281**	0.229**	0.474**	0.194**
	S	ingle Stage: r	etail = h(cru	de, exchange r	ate)
Asym. adj. speed $oldsymbol{eta}^{\scriptscriptstyle (+)}$	-1.367**	-1.055**	-1.075**	-0.739**	-0.153
Asym. adj. speed $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(-)}$	-1.359**	-0.678**	-1.011**	-1.127**	-0.117*
Short-run asymmetry $\gamma^{(+)}$	0.196**	0.562**	0.236**	0.788**	0.435**
Short-run asymmetry $\gamma^{(-)}$	0.240**	0.164	0.160**	0.552**	0.237**

Notes to the table: a single (double) asterisk denotes significance at 5% (1%) level.

TABLE 2: Long -run Price Asymmetries

	Italy	France	Spain	Germany	U.K.
	I	First Stage: sp	ot = f(crude	, exchange rate)
50% of gap (upward deviation)	4.6	4.0	8.6	3.5	6.3
50% of gap (downward deviation)	7.4	6.0	8.6	5.1	10.9
95% of gap (upward deviation)	20.1	17.5	37.0	15.0	27.3
95% of gap (downward deviation)	32.1	25.8	37.2	22.2	47.2
		Second S	Stage: retail	= g(spot)	
50% of gap (upward deviation)	4.0	3.2	3.2	2.9	3.3
50% of gap (downward deviation)	3.0	3.3	3.8	2.1	3.3
95% of gap (upward deviation)	17.5	13.8	13.7	12.6	14.5
95% of gap (downward deviation)	13.1	14.4	16.6	9.0	14.4
	Si	ngle Stage: ret	tail = h(crud	le, exchange ra	te)
50% of gap (upward deviation)	2.2	2.8	2.8	4.0	19.4
50% of gap (downward deviation)	2.2	4.4	2.9	2.6	25.4
95% of gap (upward deviation)	9.4	12.2	11.9	17.4	83.9
95% of gap (downward deviation)	9.4	18.9	12.7	11.4	109.7

Notes to the table: the figures represent the number of weeks needed to fill 50% and 95% of the gap between current and equilibrium price levels, distinguishing between price increases and decreases. The figures are computed using the error correction coefficients estimated in the asymmetric ECM.

TABLE 3: Exchange Rate Asymmetries

	Italy	France	Spain	Germany	U.K.
	F	irst Stage: sp	ot = f(crude,	exchange rate	e)
Short-run asymmetry $\delta^{\scriptscriptstyle (+)}$	1.055**	1.477**	1.297**	1.494**	1.503**
Short-run asymmetry $\delta^{(-)}$	0.828**	0.698*	0.825*	0.771**	0.481
	Sin	igle Stage: re	tail = h(crud	e, exchange ra	ite)
Short-run asymmetry $\delta^{\scriptscriptstyle (+)}$	-0.062	0.324	0.156	0.246	0.732**
Short-run asymmetry $\delta^{\scriptscriptstyle (-)}$	0.464**	0.693**	0.143	0.405	0.071

Notes to the table: a single (double) asterisk denotes significance at 5% (1%) level.

TABLE 4: Computed F Tests of Asymmetric Adjustment Speeds and Short-run Price Effects

	Italy	France	Spain	Germany	U.K.
Null Hypothesis					
	I	First Stage: sp	ot = f(crude,	exchange rate)
Sym. adj. speeds	1.855	1.651	0.0001	2.058	1.076
Short-run symmetry	0.019	0.481	2.240	2.093	0.075
		Second S	Stage: retail	= g(spot)	
Sym. adj. speeds	2.193	0.197	4.465**	0.014	1.169
Short-run symmetry	1.707	0.017	5.900**	2.404	1.083
	Si	ngle Stage: re	tail = h(crud	e, exchange ra	te)
Sym. adj. speeds	0.004	3.999**	0.128	2.926*	0.091
Short-run symmetry	0.439	9.289***	0.618	1.613	2.348

Notes to the table: (i) entries are calculated F tests of the equality between estimated coefficients associated with error correction terms (sym. adj. speeds) and price changes (short-run symmetry); (ii) a single (double) [triple] asterisk denotes significance at 10% (5%) [1%] level.

TABLE 5: Simulated F Tests of Asymmetric Adjustment Speeds and Short-run Price Effects

	Italy	France	Spain	Germany	U.K.
Null Hypothesis					
	F	irst Stage: sp	ot = f(crude	, exchange rate)
Sym. adj. speeds	0.387	0.335	0.047	0.374	0.265
Short-run symmetry	0.045	0.117	0.369	0.334	0.053
		Second S	Stage: retail	= g(spot)	
Sym. adj. speeds	0.244	0.069	0.425	0.063	0.177
Short-run symmetry	0.219	0.071	0.598	0.135	0.178
	Siı	ngle Stage: ret	ail = h(crud	le, exchange ra	te)
Sym. adj. speeds	0.058	0.637	0.06	0.438	0.050
Short-run symmetry	0.082	0.882	0.129	0.292	0.346

Notes to the table: entries are simulated rejection frequencies, i.e. the percentage number of times (out of 1,000 replications) the null hypothesis of symmetric adjustment speeds (resp. short-run symmetry) is rejected by an F tests at 5% level.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Papers Series

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: Server WWW: WWW.FEEM.IT

Anonymous FTP: FTP.FEEM.IT

To order any of these papers, please fill out the form at the end of the list.

SUST	1.2001	Inge MAYERES and Stef PROOST: Should Diesel Cars in Europe be Discouraged?
SUST	2.2001	Paola DORIA and Davide PETTENELLA: The Decision Making Process in Defining and Protecting Critical Natural Capital
CLIM	3.2001	Alberto PENCH: Green Tax Reforms in a Computable General Equilibrium Model for Italy
CLIM	4.2001	Maurizio BUSSOLO and Dino PINELLI: Green Taxes: Environment, Employment and Growth
CLIM	5.2001	Marco STAMPINI: Tax Reforms and Environmental Policies for Italy
ETA	6.2001	Walid OUESLATI: Environmental Fiscal Policy in an Endogenous Growth Model with Human Capital
CLIM	7.2001	Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Commitment and Emission Trading: a European Union Perspective
MGMT	8.2001	Brian SLACK (xlv): Globalisation in Maritime Transportation: Competition, uncertainty and
VOL	9.2001	implications for port development strategy Giulia PESARO: Environmental Voluntary Agreements: A New Model of Co-operation Between Public and Economic Actors
VOL	10.2001	Cathrine HAGEM: Climate Policy, Asymmetric Information and Firm Survival
ETA	11.2001	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: A Sequential Approach to the Characteristic Function and the
LIA	11.2001	Core in Games with Externalities
ETA	12.2001	Gaetano BLOISE, Sergio CURRARINI and Nicholas KIKIDIS: Inflation and Welfare in an OLG Economy
EIA	12.2001	with a Privately Provided Public Good
KNOW	13.2001	Paolo SURICO: Globalisation and Trade: A "New Economic Geography" Perspective
ETA	14.2001	Valentina BOSETTI and Vincenzina MESSINA: Quasi Option Value and Irreversible Choices
CLIM	15.2001	Guy ENGELEN (xlii): Desertification and Land Degradation in Mediterranean Areas: from Science to
CLIM	13.2001	Integrated Policy Making
SUST	16.2001	Julie Catherine SORS: Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable Development in Venice: A
3031	10.2001	Comparative Assessment of Methods and Approaches
SUST	17.2001	<i>Julie Catherine SORS:</i> Public Participation in Local Agenda 21: A Review of Traditional and Innovative
3031	17.2001	Tools
CLIM	18.2001	Johan ALBRECHT and Niko GOBBIN: Schumpeter and the Rise of Modern Environmentalism
VOL	19.2001	Rinaldo BRAU, Carlo CARRARO and Giulio GOLFETTO (xliii): Participation Incentives and the Design
VOL	17.2001	of Voluntary Agreements
ETA	20.2001	Paola ROTA: Dynamic Labour Demand with Lumpy and Kinked Adjustment Costs
ETA	21.2001	Paola ROTA: Empirical Representation of Firms' Employment Decisions by an (S,s) Rule
ETA	22.2001	Paola ROTA: What Do We Gain by Being Discrete? An Introduction to the Econometrics of Discrete
EIA	22.2001	Decision Processes
PRIV	23.2001	Stefano BOSI, Guillaume GIRMANS and Michel GUILLARD: Optimal Privatisation Design and Financial
1 KI V	25.2001	Markets
KNOW	24.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO, Claudio LUPI, Patrizia ORDINE, and Maria Luisa PARISI: Beyond National
TO VO VV	21.2001	Institutions: Labour Taxes and Regional Unemployment in Italy
ETA	25.2001	Klaus CONRAD: Locational Competition under Environmental Regulation when Input Prices and
		Productivity Differ
PRIV	26.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Juliet D'SOUZA, Marcella FANTINI and William L. MEGGINSON: Sources of
1101	20.2001	Performance Improvement in Privatised Firms: A Clinical Study of the Global Telecommunications
		Industry
CLIM	27.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER and Emiliano RAMIERI: Climate Change Impacts on the Mediterranean Coastal
CEIIII	27.2001	Zones
ETA	28.2001	Nunzio CAPPUCCIO and Michele MORETTO: Comments on the Investment-Uncertainty Relationship
2111	20.2001	in a Real Option Model
KNOW	29.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Absolute Risk Aversion and the Returns to Education
CLIM	30.2001	Zhong Xiang ZHANG: Meeting the Kyoto Targets: The Importance of Developing Country Participation
ETA	55.2001	
	31.2001	Ionathan D. KAPLAN, Richard E. HOWITT and Y. Hossein FARZIN: An Information-Theoretical
LIII	31.2001	Jonathan D. KAPLAN, Richard E. HOWITT and Y. Hossein FARZIN: An Information-Theoretical Analysis of Budget-Constrained Nonpoint Source Pollution Control
MGMT	31.2001 32.2001	Jonathan D. KAPLAN, Richard E. HOWITT and Y. Hossein FARZIN: An Information-Theoretical Analysis of Budget-Constrained Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Roberta SALOMONE and Giulia GALLUCCIO: Environmental Issues and Financial Reporting Trends

Coalition Theory	33.2001	Shlomo WEBER and Hans WIESMETH: From Autarky to Free Trade: The Impact on Environment
Network		
ETA	34.2001	Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: Model Selection and Tests for Non Nested Contingent Valuation Models: An Assessment of Methods
NRM	35.2001	Carlo GIUPPONI: The Substitution of Hazardous Molecules in Production Processes: The Atrazine
KNOW	36.2001	Case Study in Italian Agriculture Raffaele PACI and Francesco PIGLIARU: Technological Diffusion, Spatial Spillovers and Regional Convergence in Europe
PRIV	37.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI: Privatisation, Large Shareholders, and Sequential Auctions of Shares
CLIM	38.2001	Barbara BUCHNER: What Really Happened in The Hague? Report on the COP6, Part I, 13-25
PRIV	39.2001	November 2000, The Hague, The Netherlands Giacomo CALZOLARI and Carlo SCARPA: Regulation at Home, Competition Abroad: A Theoretical Framework
KNOW	40.2001	Giorgio BRUNELLO: On the Complementarity between Education and Training in Europe
Coalition	41.2001	Alain DESDOIGTS and Fabien MOIZEAU (xlvi): Multiple Politico-Economic Regimes, Inequality and
Theory Network		<u>Growth</u>
Coalition	42.2001	Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS (xlvi): Limits to Climate Change
Theory Network		
Coalition	43.2001	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN (xlvi): Endogenous Coalition Formation in Global Pollution
Theory Network		<u>Control</u>
Coalition	44.2001	Wietze LISE, Richard S.J. TOL and Bob van der ZWAAN (xlvi): Negotiating Climate Change as a Social
Theory		Situation
Network NRM	45.2001	Mohamad R. KHAWLIE (xlvii): The Impacts of Climate Change on Water Resources of Lebanon-
NID) (4 < 2004	Eastern Mediterranean
NRM	46.2001	Mutasem EL-FADEL and E. BOU-ZEID (xlvii): Climate Change and Water Resources in the Middle East: Vulnerability, Socio-Economic Impacts and Adaptation
NRM	47.2001	Eva IGLESIAS, Alberto GARRIDO and Almudena GOMEZ (xlvii): An Economic Drought Management
CLIM	48.2001	<u>Index to Evaluate Water Institutions' Performance Under Uncertainty and Climate Change</u> Wietze LISE and Richard S.J. TOL (xlvii): <u>Impact of Climate on Tourist Demand</u>
CLIM	49.2001	Francesco BOSELLO, Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Davide RAGGI: Can Equity Enhance
SUST	50.2001	Efficiency? Lessons from the Kyoto Protocol Roberto ROSON (xlviii): Carbon Leakage in a Small Open Economy with Capital Mobility
SUST	51.2001	Edwin WOERDMAN (xlviii): Developing a European Carbon Trading Market: Will Permit Allocation
CLICT	F2 2001	Distort Competition and Lead to State Aid?
SUST SUST	52.2001 53.2001	Richard N. COOPER (xlviii): <u>The Kyoto Protocol: A Flawed Concept</u> Kari KANGAS (xlviii): <u>Trade Liberalisation</u> , <u>Changing Forest Management and Roundwood Trade in</u>
		Europe
SUST	54.2001	Xueqin ZHU and Ekko VAN IERLAND (xlviii): Effects of the Enlargement of EU on Trade and the Environment
SUST	55.2001	M. Ozgur KAYALICA and Sajal LAHIRI (xlviii): Strategic Environmental Policies in the Presence of
SUST	56.2001	Foreign Direct Investment Savas ALPAY (xlviii): Can Environmental Regulations be Compatible with Higher International
CLICT	E7 2001	Competitiveness? Some New Theoretical Insights Polder MURADIAN Martin O'CONNOR Jose MARTINEZ ALER (altriii). Embedied Polletion in
SUST	57.2001	Roldan MURADIAN, Martin O'CONNOR, Joan MARTINEZ-ALER (xlviii): Embodied Pollution in Trade: Estimating the "Environmental Load Displacement" of Industrialised Countries
SUST	58.2001	Matthew R. AUER and Rafael REUVENY (xlviii): Foreign Aid and Direct Investment: Key Players in the Environmental Restoration of Central and Eastern Europe
SUST	59.2001	Onno J. KUIK and Frans H. OOSTERHUIS (xlviii): Lessons from the Southern Enlargement of the EU
ETA	60.2001	for the Environmental Dimensions of Eastern Enlargement, in particular for Poland Carlo CARRARO, Alessandra POME and Domenico SINISCALCO (xlix): Science vs. Profit in Research:
		Lessons from the Human Genome Project
CLIM	61.2001	Efrem CASTELNUOVO, Michele MORETTO and Sergio VERGALLI: Global Warming, Uncertainty and Endogenous Technical Change: Implications for Kyoto
PRIV	62.2001	Gian Luigi ALBANO, Fabrizio GERMANO and Stefano LOVO: On Some Collusive and Signaling
CLIM	63.2001	Equilibria in Ascending Auctions for Multiple Objects Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: A Note on Testing for Environmental Kuznets
CLIIVI	00.2001	Curves with Panel Data

CLIM	64.2001	Paolo BUONANNO, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Endogenous Induced Technical Change
CLIM	04.2001	and the Costs of Kyoto
CLIM	65.2001	Guido CAZZAVILLAN and Ignazio MUSU (I): <u>Transitional Dynamics and Uniqueness of the Balanced-</u>
		Growth Path in a Simple Model of Endogenous Growth with an Environmental Asset
CLIM	66.2001	Giovanni BAIOCCHI and Salvatore DI FALCO (1): Investigating the Shape of the EKC: A Nonparametric
CI D ((7.0 001	Approach No i CALEOTTI AL LANZA LE PALILLO DE LA CALE (E i LANZA LE LANZA
CLIM	67.2001	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI (l): Desperately Seeking (Environmental) Kuznets: A New Look at the Evidence
CLIM	68.2001	Alexey VIKHLYAEV (xlviii): The Use of Trade Measures for Environmental Purposes – Globally and in
CLIIVI	00.2001	the EU Context
NRM	69.2001	Gary D. LIBECAP and Zeynep K. HANSEN (li): U.S. Land Policy, Property Rights, and the Dust Bowl of
		<u>the 1930s</u>
NRM	70.2001	Lee J. ALSTON, Gary D. LIBECAP and Bernardo MUELLER (li): Land Reform Policies, The Sources of
CLIM	71.2001	<u>Violent Conflict and Implications for Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon</u> Claudia KEMFERT: <u>Economy-Energy-Climate Interaction</u> - The Model WIAGEM -
SUST	71.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Yohanes E. RIYANTO: Policy Instruments for Creating Markets for
3031	72.2001	Bodiversity: Certification and Ecolabeling
SUST	73.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Erik SCHOKKAERT (lii): Warm Glow and Embedding in Contingent
		<u>Valuation</u>
SUST	74.2001	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Peter NIJKAMP (lii): Ecological-Economic
VOL	75.2001	Analysis and Valuation of Biodiversity Johan EYCKMANS and Henry TULKENS (li): Simulating Coalitionally Stable Burden Sharing
VOL	75.2001	Agreements for the Climate Change Problem
PRIV	76.2001	Axel GAUTIER and Florian HEIDER: What Do Internal Capital Markets Do? Redistribution vs.
		<u>Incentives</u>
PRIV	77.2001	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Marcella FANTINI and Domenico SINISCALCO: Privatisation around the
ETA	78.2001	World: New Evidence from Panel Data Toke S. AIDT and Jayasri DUTTA (li): Transitional Politics. Emerging Incentive-based Instruments in
LIZI	70.2001	Environmental Regulation
ETA	79.2001	Alberto PETRUCCI: Consumption Taxation and Endogenous Growth in a Model with New
		Generations
ETA	80.2001	Pierre LASSERRE and Antoine SOUBEYRAN (li): A Ricardian Model of the Tragedy of the Commons
ETA	81.2001	Pierre COURTOIS, Jean Christophe PÉREAU and Tarik TAZDAÏT: An Evolutionary Approach to the Climate Change Negotiation Game
NRM	82.2001	Christophe BONTEMPS, Stéphane COUTURE and Pascal FAVARD: Is the Irrigation Water Demand
		Really Convex?
NRM	83.2001	Unai PASCUAL and Edward BARBIER: A Model of Optimal Labour and Soil Use with Shifting
CI D (0.4.2001	Cultivation
CLIM CLIM	84.2001 85.2001	Jesper JENSEN and Martin Hvidt THELLE: What are the Gains from a Multi-Gas Strategy? Maurizio MICHELINI (liii): IPCC "Summary for Policymakers" in TAR. Do its results give a scientific
CLIIVI	05.2001	support always adequate to the urgencies of Kyoto negotiations?
CLIM	86.2001	Claudia KEMFERT (liii): Economic Impact Assessment of Alternative Climate Policy Strategies
CLIM	87.2001	C DOCK INCLUMENTED OF LINE COLUMN COL
ETA		Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: Global Warming and Financial Umbrellas
EIA	88.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA:
		Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms
ETA	88.2001 89.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the
ETA	89.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed
		Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference?
ETA CLIM CLIM	89.2001 90.2001 91.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? Zhong Xiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO
ETA CLIM	89.2001 90.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The
ETA CLIM CLIM SUST	89.2001 90.2001 91.2001 92.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada
ETA CLIM CLIM	89.2001 90.2001 91.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with
ETA CLIM CLIM SUST	89.2001 90.2001 91.2001 92.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada
ETA CLIM CLIM SUST SUST	89.2001 90.2001 91.2001 92.2001 93.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and
CLIM CLIM SUST SUST CLIM CLIM	89.2001 90.2001 91.2001 92.2001 93.2001 94.2001 95.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information
ETA CLIM CLIM SUST SUST CLIM CLIM CLIM	89.2001 90.2001 91.2001 92.2001 93.2001 94.2001 95.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? Zhong Xiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options
CLIM CLIM SUST SUST CLIM CLIM	89.2001 90.2001 91.2001 92.2001 93.2001 94.2001 95.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? Zhong Xiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of
CLIM CLIM SUST SUST CLIM CLIM CLIM ETA SUST	89.2001 90.2001 91.2001 92.2001 93.2001 94.2001 95.2001 96.2001 97.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? ZhongXiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of Protest Votes on the Estimates of Willingness to Pay for Use Values of Recreational Sites
ETA CLIM CLIM SUST SUST CLIM CLIM CLIM	89.2001 90.2001 91.2001 92.2001 93.2001 94.2001 95.2001	Elena BONTEMPI, Alessandra DEL BOCA, Alessandra FRANZOSI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Capital Heterogeneity: Does it Matter? Fundamental Q and Investment on a Panel of Italian Firms Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: Model Uncertainty, Optimal Monetary Policy and the Preferences of the Fed Umberto CIORBA, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PAULI: Kyoto Protocol and Emission Trading: Does the US Make a Difference? Zhong Xiang ZHANG and Lucas ASSUNCAO: Domestic Climate Policies and the WTO Anna ALBERINI, Alan KRUPNICK, Maureen CROPPER, Nathalie SIMON and Joseph COOK (lii): The Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Comparison of the United States and Canada Riccardo SCARPA, Guy D. GARROD and Kenneth G. WILLIS (lii): Valuing Local Public Goods with Advanced Stated Preference Models: Traffic Calming Schemes in Northern England Ming CHEN and Larry KARP: Environmental Indices for the Chinese Grain Sector Larry KARP and Jiangfeng ZHANG: Controlling a Stock Pollutant with Endogenous Investment and Asymmetric Information Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: On the Opportunity Cost of Nontradable Stock Options Elisabetta STRAZZERA, Margarita GENIUS, Riccardo SCARPA and George HUTCHINSON: The Effect of

NRM 99.2001 Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS: Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice Area – Potentials of the Integrated Participatory, Management Approach NRM 100.2001 Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPONI: Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – A Methodological Framework PRIV 101.2001 Enrico C. PEROTTI and Luc LAEVEN: Confidence Building in Emerging Stock Markets CLIM 102.2001 Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Igor CERSOSIMO: On the Consequences of the U.S. Withdrawal from the Kyoto/Bonn Protocol SUST 103.2001 Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ, Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan SUST 104.2001 R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates SUST 105.2001 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY; the Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 Giannii Cicia, Elisabetta D'ERCOLL ean			
NRM 100.2001	NRM	99.2001	Frédéric BROCHIER, Carlo GIUPPONI and Julie SORS: Integrated Coastal Management in the Venice
NRM 100.2001 Frédéric BROCHIER and Carlo GIUPPON! Integrated Coastal Zone Management in the Venice Area – A Methodological Framework PRIV 101.2001 Enrico C. PEROTTI and Luc LAEVEN: Confidence Building in Emerging Stock Markets CLIM 102.2001 Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Igor CERSOSIMO: On the Consequences of the U.S. Withdrawal from the Kyoto/Bonn Protocol SUST 103.2001 Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ, Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates SUST 105.2001 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 109.2001 Glamni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences? VAST Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Errom CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? VAILE V. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers			, — 0
PRIV 101.2001 Enrico C. PEROTTI and Luc LAEVEN: Confidence Building in Emerging Stock Markets CLIM 102.2001 Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Igor CERSOSIMO: On the Consequences of the U.S. Withdrawal from the Kyoto JBonn Protocol SUST 103.2001 Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ, Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan SUST 104.2001 R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates SUST 105.2001 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community: Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 109.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 (Cmr TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment Farmers' Preferences? SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences? Form CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? SUST 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 4.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Ro	NRM	100.2001	
CLIM 102.2001 Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Igor CERSOSIMO: On the Consequences of the U.S. Withdrawal from the Kyoto/Bonn Protocol SUST 103.2001 Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ, Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan SUST 104.2001 R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates SUST 105.2001 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 108.2001 Giannii CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SU			
SUST 103.2001 Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ, Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan SUST 104.2001 R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates SUST 105.2001 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community: Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 109.2001 Glanni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa SUST 1.2002 Efrom CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey OL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 4.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	PRIV	101.2001	Enrico C. PEROTTI and Luc LAEVEN: Confidence Building in Emerging Stock Markets
SUST 103.2001 Riccardo SCARPA, Adam DRUCKER, Simon ANDERSON, Nancy FERRAES-EHUAN, Veronica GOMEZ, Carlos R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan SUST 104.2001 R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates SUST 105.2001 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community: Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 109.2001 Glanni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa SUST 1.2002 Efrom CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey OL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 4.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	CLIM	102.2001	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO and Igor CERSOSIMO: On the Consequences of the U.S.
SUST 105.2001 R. RISOPATRON and Olga RUBIO-LEONEL: Valuing Animal Genetic Resources in Peasant Economies: The Case of the Box Keken Creole Pig in Yucatan SUST 104.2001 R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates SUST 105.2001 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 109.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa SUST 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An			
SUST 104.2001 R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates	SUST	103.2001	
SUST 105.2001 R. SCARPA, P. KRISTJANSON, A. DRUCKER, M. RADENY, E.S.K. RUTO, and J.E.O. REGE: Valuing Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates SUST 105.2001 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 109.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 110.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Mattee MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An			
Indigenous Cattle Breeds in Kenya: An Empirical Comparison of Stated and Revealed Preference Value Estimates SUST 105.201 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse			
SUST 105.2001 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 108.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	SUST	104.2001	
SUST 105.2001 Clemens B.A. WOLLNY: The Need to Conserve Farm Animal Genetic Resources Through Community-Based Management in Africa: Should Policy Makers be Concerned? SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 108.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An			
SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 108.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Ouncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	OI IOT	4.05.0004	
SUST 106.2001 J.T. KARUGIA, O.A. MWAI, R. KAITHO, Adam G. DRUCKER, C.B.A. WOLLNY and J.E.O. REGE: Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 108.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	SUST	105.2001	
Economic Analysis of Crossbreeding Programmes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Conceptual Framework and Kenyan Case Study SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 108.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	CLICT	106 2001	
SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 108.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	3031	106.2001	
SUST 107.2001 W. AYALEW, J.M. KING, E. BRUNS and B. RISCHKOWSKY: Economic Evaluation of Smallholder Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 108.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An			
Subsistence Livestock Production: Lessons from an Ethiopian Goat Development Program SUST 108.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Yaluing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	SUST	107.2001	
SUST 108.2001 Gianni CICIA, Elisabetta D'ERCOLE and Davide MARINO: Valuing Farm Animal Genetic Resources by Means of Contingent Valuation and a Bio-Economic Model: The Case of the Pentro Horse SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	0001	107.2001	
SUST 109.2001 Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	SUST	108.2001	
SUST 110.2001 M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from West Africa SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An			
SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	SUST	109.2001	Clem TISDELL: Socioeconomic Causes of Loss of Animal Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment
SUST 1.2002 K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	SUST	110.2001	M.A. JABBAR and M.L. DIEDHOU: Does Breed Matter to Cattle Farmers and Buyers? Evidence from
ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An			
ETA 2.2002 Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	SUST	1.2002	
WAT 3.2002 Preferences? WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An			
WAT 3.2002 Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	ETA	2.2002	•
CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	X 4.7 A FF	2 2002	
CLIM 4.2002 Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	WAI	3.2002	•
VOL 5.2002 Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An	CLIM	4 2002	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CLIM 6.2002 Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
international Comparison on European Gasoline Markets	CLIM	6.2002	
			International Comparison on European Gasonne Markets

- (xlii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Climate Change and Mediterranean Coastal Systems: Regional Scenarios and Vulnerability Assessment" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in co-operation with the Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti, Venice, December 9-10, 1999.
- (xliii)This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Voluntary Approaches, Competition and Competitiveness" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the research activities of the CAVA Network, Milan, May 25-26,2000.
- (xliv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Green National Accounting in Europe: Comparison of Methods and Experiences" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei within the Concerted Action of Environmental Valuation in Europe (EVE), Milan, March 4-7, 2000
- (xlv) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "New Ports and Urban and Regional Development. The Dynamics of Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, May 5-6, 2000.
- (xlvi) This paper was presented at the Sixth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, January 26-27, 2001
- (xlvii) This paper was presented at the RICAMARE Workshop "Socioeconomic Assessments of Climate Change in the Mediterranean: Impact, Adaptation and Mitigation Co-benefits", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, February 9-10, 2001 (xlviii) This paper was presented at the International Workshop "Trade and the Environment in the Perspective of the EU Enlargement", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, May 17-18, 2001
- (xlix) This paper was presented at the International Conference "Knowledge as an Economic Good", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and The Beijer International Institute of Environmental Economics, Palermo, April 20-21, 2001
- (I) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and + Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001
- (li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001
- (lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001
- (liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

2001 SERIES

MGMT Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich) **CLIM** Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti) **PRIV** Privatisation, Antitrust, Regulation (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti) Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli) **KNOW** NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi) **SUST** Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation (Editor: Marialuisa Tamborra) VOL Voluntary and International Agreements (Editor: Carlo Carraro) **ETA** Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

2002 SERIES

MGMT	Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
PRIV	Privatisation, Antitrust, Regulation (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
SUST	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Evaluation (Editor: Marialuisa Tamborra)
VOL	Voluntary and International Agreements (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SUBSCRIPTION TO "NOTE DI LAVORO"

Starting from January 1998 Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei issues a Periodic E-mail "Note di Lavoro" Bulletin listing the titles and the abstracts of its most recent Working Papers.

All the "Note di Lavoro" listed in the Bulletin are available on the Internet and are downloadable from Feem's web site "www.feem.it".

If you wish to receive hard copies you may choose from the payment options listed in the following table (minimum order: 10 papers)*.

*Orders for individual papers should clearly indicate the "Nota di Lavoro" number and can therefore be issued for published papers only.

All orders must be sent by fax to:

"Publications Office" - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei: Fax +39+2+52036946

PAYMENT OPTIONS

How many papers?	What's the price?	How to pay?
10 or more*	US\$ 4.00 each Euro 5,00 each	By Credit card or Bank transfer
Annual subscription (approx. 100 papers/year)	US\$ 250.00 Euro 219,00	By Credit card or Bank transfer

*

Please fill out the Working Paper Subscription Form indicating your preferences (Periodic E-mail "Note di Lavoro" Bulletin, Annual subscription, Order for individual papers - minimum 10)!

WORKING PAPER SUBSCRIPTION FORM

Bank transfer in US\$ (or Euro in Italy) to Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei - account no. 39341-56 - SWIFT ARTIITM2 - ABI 03512 - CAB 01614 - Credito Artigiano - Corso Magenta 59, 20123 Milano, Italy. Copy of the bank transfer should be faxed along with the order.

Please return this duly completed form to:

"Publications Office" - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei - Corso Magenta, 63 - 20123 Milano, Italy