

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Cechella, Cristiano

## **Conference Paper**

The influence of cultural affinity for the boost of Brazilian investment in Portugal

50th Congress of the European Regional Science Association: "Sustainable Regional Growth and Development in the Creative Knowledge Economy", 19-23 August 2010, Jönköping, Sweden

## **Provided in Cooperation with:**

European Regional Science Association (ERSA)

Suggested Citation: Cechella, Cristiano (2010): The influence of cultural affinity for the boost of Brazilian investment in Portugal, 50th Congress of the European Regional Science Association: "Sustainable Regional Growth and Development in the Creative Knowledge Economy", 19-23 August 2010, Jönköping, Sweden, European Regional Science Association (ERSA), Louvain-la-Neuve

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118894

### ${\bf Standard\text{-}Nutzungsbedingungen:}$

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# The influence of cultural affinity for the boost of Brazilian investment im Portugal

# Cristiano Cechella – University of Azores

In response to a survey of Fortune 1000 companies enquiring about "the biggest barrier in doing business in the world market", cultural differences ranked at the top of the list (2007). For a long time culture and economy have been treated as broadly independent areas of research. Furthering the understanding of the determinants of economic activity promised to be one of the major research areas in the post-war era, although past analyses, especially those that are quantitatively based, are mainly focused on economic variables. Since the late twentieth century, however, there have been arguments respecting an increasingly close relationship between economy and culture. The failure to recognize these differences was the most common cause of failure for cross-national enterprises. Members of different cultures express different values and priorities when they make and implement decisions. These values influence work relationships, whether between superior and subordinate, peers, international joint venture partners, managers in head-quarters and subsidiaries, and others.

This article seeks to measure the influence of factors such as history, language and culture in foreign direct investment (FDI) of Brazilian companies in Portugal, two countries with deep cultural affinity. Firstly, we will describe the luso-brazilian economic relations, especially after 1990s. Secondly, it will take surveys and assesses various approaches to the conduct of a multidimensional analysis of the FDI, in particular those related to culture. After that, through a regression analysis based on interviews answered by Brazilian companies in Portugal, we measure the influence of the Uppsala School, or Scandinavian, which postulates the importance of culture in corporate investment abroad. While geographic, political and economic approaches each have their own advantages, the cultural area is particularly useful for a long-term comparative economic analysis.

### 1. The luso-brazilian economic relations after 1990s

The increase of economic cooperation between Portugal and Brazil has always been object of discourses evoking the historical and cultural ties that unite the two countries. It was not an empty rhetoric, since it reflected a genuine aspiration, but it lacked the business content, which has been, since ancient times, a key force for the contacts intensification between peoples. In recent years this has changed. According to FDI inflows, since 1986, the European Economic Community, now the European Union, Portugal has become, for Brazil, the gateway to a powerful market. Similarly, from de constitution, in 1991, the Southein Common Market (Mercosur), this region of Souht America has Qualified himself as one of the most promising investment centers around the world. In order to position even more the dimension of luso-brazilian economic relations, we refer the bilateral comerce (Imports + exports), which increased from 292.78 million euros in 1989 to 1.70777 billion euros in 2008, six times more. And bilateral investment has grown from 67, 26 million to 1765.50 million gross in the same period, more than 26 times. The turning point on

Investment relations between the ewo countries with deep historical ties, had a precise date. It was on April 14, 1996, with the visit in Brazil of Prime Minister Antonio Guterres, who was accompanied by a large delegation of executives from various small, medium and large Portuguese companies, and appeal to the business cooperation between the two countries. As some pull factors, in addition to history, culture and language (this fact lowers the cost of communication), Brazil is the fifth largest consumer market in the world and the second largest location of foreign investment among emerging markets. The Guterres plan was facilitated by Brazil government, a team with the dialogue was very easy. The convergence between Guterres and Fernando Henrique Cardoso had a decisive new posture of lusobrazilian economic relations. Guterres's speech coincided with the opening of the Brazilian economy and the privatization that began in Brazil in the 90s, besides the economic stability provided by Real Plan. Then, the companies adquire more confidence to investing in Brazil. Portugal already is a preferred location for Brazilian companies. Proof of this is the number of Brazilian companies installed, the second favorite in terms of geographical location, shortly after Argentina. Brazil's position among the 10 largest foreign investors in Portugal in the early 2000s, ahead of several countries traditionally overseas investors, and the fact that there are recognized brazilian companies in various sectors of the economy are also relevant points to our subject of study.

We also point out other factors boost Brazilian investments in Portugal (Cechella, 2008):

- . The size of domestic market: with a GDP per capita of U.S. \$ 21,404.00 in 2009 (IMF), Portugal is a relevant market for many Brazilian companies and various economic sectors.
- . Basis for wider market and the European Union (EU): as a member state of the European Union, and having to observe the common rules that EU imposes, Portugal is seen by many companies in Brazil as a central country to deepening investments in the European Union.
- . The cultural connexion from the European immigration to Brazil in the nineteenth century and their traditional trade relations also reflect the importance of EU for brazilian investments.
- . Possibility of learning and facilitate foreign management a common language and cultural relations intense simplify the understanding of business environment, one of the biggest challenges for investment abroad. The cultural affinity is one advantage that some Brazilian companies visualize in Portugal, especially those that are beginning. This facilitates both the communication between people as to interchange experience and technology.
- . The economic geography: the geographic position of one country over another is an essential aspect in measuring the potential of trade and investment. Brazil, in the context of South America, and Portugal in the European Union, are part of different continental areas and, therefore, can take the advantage of these strategic points.
- . Economic and political stability of two countries is a key factor to foreign direct investment because it reduces the uncertainty of investment. Portugal, European Union member since 1986, need to fulfill targets of macroeconomic balance and good policies to continue as a member, and Brazil, with democratic elections since 1985 and with the success of the Real Plan in 1994 in the fight against the inflation as well as the significant improvement in its balance of payments, are countries that have achieved a good degree of politic and economic stability.
- . The increasing importance for some companies to integrate into global networks: the phenomenom of integration and globalization has opened the borders. For many sectors of the economy, this represented a reduction of protectionist barriers, and the resulting increase on competition. Thus, companies needed to reorganize its business through measures as the internationalization of their activities to gain scale and improve their products and services.

# 2. A multidimensional analysis of the FDI

The early attempts to explain why foreign direct investment exists emerged in the 1960s, just as flows of FDI began to increase in volume. Before this time, FDI was modelled as a part of neoclassical capital theory, but as Dunning (1981) notes there are two main problems with viewing FDI this way. First, FDI is more than just the transfer of capital, since just as importantly it involves the transfer of technology, organisational and management skills. Second, the resources are transferred within the firm rather than between two independent parties in the marketplace, as is the case with capital. These factors give FDI its own unique literature, with the key theories often cited as: Hymer's (1960) international operations of national firms; Vernon's (1966) product life-cycle theory; Caves's (1971) horizontal and vertical theories; Buckley and Casson's (1976) internalisation theory; Dunning's (1977) eclectic theory; and The Upsala or Scandinavian Schoolof firms. The last two approaches will be considered and form the theory substance of this paper.

# 2.1 The Eclectic Paradigm

Reflecting upon the history of the theory of FDI, Dunning (1977; 2008) noted that it was very much couched in terms of either the structural market failure hypothesis of Hymer and Caves or the internalisation approach of Buckley and Casson. Dunning provided an eclectic response to these by bringing the competing theories together to form a single theory, or paradigm as it is more often referred. The basic premise of Dunning's paradigm is that it links together Hymer's ownership advantages with the internalisation school, and at the same time adds a locational dimension to the theory, which at the time had not been fully explored. Although in many ways the core of the paradigm shares similarities with the previous research, Dunning does manage to introduce some new considerations, such as the impact that different country and industry characteristics have on each of the ownership, locational and internalisation advantages of FDI.

The eclectic paradigm of FDI states that a firm will directly invest in a foreign country only if it fulfils three conditions. These are necessary rather than sufficient conditions. First, the firm must possess an ownership-specific asset, which gives it an advantage over other firms and which are exclusive to the firm. Second, it must internalise these assets within the firm rather than through contracting or licensing.

Third, there must be an advantage in setting-up production in a particular foreign country rather than relying on exports.

Different types of ownership (O), locational (L) and internalisation (I) factors are given in Table 2.1 (collectively known as OLI). The ownership advantages are defined by Dunning as particular assets that are specific to the firm that give it the potential to earn greater profits in the future. They include the size of the firm, the level or quality of management, access to factor inputs, access to product markets and technological capabilities. They may reinforce themselves over time to include advantages created from economies of joint supply and through the possession of greater knowledge and information. Thus, a large multinational will have a large number of ownership-specific advantages. Location advantages are the assets that a country possesses that make production attractive, as opposed to exporting. They include input prices, transportation costs (Cechella et al.), communication costs and government incentives. Stable political and legal systems, a commercial infrastructure and language and culture are also relevant. Internalisation advantages are the ways that a firm maximises the gains from their ownership advantages to avoid or overcome market Internalisation-specific advantages results in the process of production imperfections. becoming internal to the firm. Reasons for internalisation include the avoidance of transaction costs, the protection of the good, avoidance of tariffs and the ability to capture economies of scale from production, marketing and finance.

Table 2.1 The Three Conditions of the Eclectic Theory

Ownership-specific advantages (internal to enterprises of one nationality)

Size of firm

Technology and trade marks

Management and organisational systems

Access to spare capacity

Economies of joint supply

Greater access to markets and knowledge

International opportunities such as diversifying risk

Location-specific advantages (determining the location of production)

Distribution of inputs and markets

Costs of labour, materials and transport costs between countries

Government intervention and policies

Commercial and legal infrastructure

Language, culture and customs (i.e. psychic distance)

Internalisation-specific advantages (overcoming market imperfections)

Reduction in search, negotiation and monitoring costs

Avoidance of property right enforcement costs

Engage in price discrimination

Protection of product

Avoidance of tariffs

Source: Dunning (1981).

Not all of the OLI conditions for FDI will be evenly spread across countries, and therefore each condition will be determined by the factors that are specific to individual countries.

Links between the OLI advantages and the country-specific characteristics are summarised in Table 2.2. For example, the ownership-specific advantage of firm size is likely to be influenced by market size in the firm's home country. This is because the larger the market is, the more likely will a firm be able to gain ownership-specific advantages in the form of economies of scale. In terms of location-specific factors, labour costs will vary across developed and developing countries, while transport costs are determined by the distance between the home and host countries. Finally, country-specific factors are likely to affect the degree to which firms internalise their advantages.

Table 2.2 Characteristics of Countries and OLI-Specific Advantages

| Ownership-specific advantages             | Country characteristics              |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
|   |                                      |  |  |
| Size of firm.                             | Large markets.                       |  |  |
|   | Liberal attitudes to mergers.        |  |  |
| Technology and trade marks.               | Government support of innovation.    |  |  |
|   | Skilled workforce.                   |  |  |
| Management and organisational systems.    | Supply of trained managers.          |  |  |
|   | Educational facilities.              |  |  |
| Product differentiation.                  | High income countries.               |  |  |
|   | Levels of advertising and            |  |  |
|   | Marketing.                           |  |  |
| Location enseific advantages              | Country characteristics              |  |  |
| Location-specific advantages              | Country characteristics              |  |  |
| Costs of labour and materials.            | Developed or developing country.     |  |  |
| Transport costs between countries.        | Distance between countries.          |  |  |
| Government intervention and policies.     | Attitudes of government to FDI.      |  |  |
| Economies of scale.                       | Size of markets.                     |  |  |
| Psychic distance.                         | Similarities of countries' languages |  |  |
| r syellie distance.                       | and cultures.                        |  |  |
|   | did curtares.                        |  |  |
| Internalisation-specific advantages       | Country characteristics              |  |  |
|   |                                      |  |  |
| Searching, negotiating, monitoring costs. | Greater levels of education and      |  |  |
| Avoid costs of enforcing property rights. | larger markets make knowledge        |  |  |
| Protection of products.                   | type ownership-specific advantages   |  |  |
|   | more likely to occur.                |  |  |

Source: Dunning (1981).

# 2.2 The Uppsala or Scandinavian School

A group of Swedish economics and management academics probed further into the dynamics of internationalization in their analysis of the process leading from domestic only production and sales to foreign sales (Johanson and Wiedersheim-Paul, 1975; Johanson and Vahlne, 1977; 1990). Their approach draws on Penrose (1959), and it looks into the black box of the

firm in order to analyse its decision-making process in a specific field: sales in foreign markets.

The authors study the organization of sales and marketing in one or more foreign countries and come up with the idea of stages in the internationalization process.

What emerges is a dynamic model involving a time sequence that develops in a logical and linear pattern, and in which elements in one stage form the input for the next stage. The work of the Scandinavian School starts with empirical research into the internationalization process of Swedish manufacturing firms (Johanson and Wiedersheim-

Paul, 1975). The authors study in detail the following four firms: Sandwik AB, a steel and steel products manufacturer; Atlas Copco, a producer of railway materials and components; Facit, originally a producer of calculating and typing machines who later, in 1972, merged with Electrolux; and Volvo, the car manufacturer.

The authors aim to analyse the stages through which internationalization takes place in any one country as well as the sequence of penetration into different coun tries. In a later work, Johanson and Vahlne (1990) specify that the model they devel oped in 1977 – partly on the basis of the empirical work in Johanson and Wiedersheim-Paul (1975) – can explain two patterns in the internationalization of the firm:

- the firm's engagement in a specific foreign market/country, which develops according to an establishment chain; and
- the involvement into several foreign countries, which proceeds in a time sequence related linearly to the psychic distance from the home country.

The psychic distance is defined in Johanson and Vahlne as 'the sum of factors preventing the flow of information from and to the market. Examples are differences in language, education, business practices, culture and industrial development' (Johanson and Vahlne, 1977: 24). The countries psychically closer to the domestic market see international involvement earlier than the more distant countries. Psychic and spatial distance tend to be very closely related. In the case of the Swedish firms, the countries which are spatially close tend also to be countries which are culturally and linguistically close to Sweden; they are the ones in which the firm looks for foreign markets first. International involvement into more distant countries – psychically and, usually, also spatially – comes later (Johanson and Wiedersheim-Paul, 1975). Johanson and Vahlne (1977) concentrate on the first pattern: increasing involvement in the same foreign country. On the basis of previous empirical work, they see that the establishment of various modes of market involvement into a foreign country follows a specific linear chain

('the establishment chain') along the following sequence: exports via independent representatives (agents); sales subsidiary; and, finally, production subsidiary.

The task the authors set themselves is to explain why and how this sequence evolves. To this end they develop a model of internationalization in which the various steps are explained. Theirs is a dynamic model in which 'the outcome of one decision – or more generally one cycle of events – constitutes the input of the next'.

In their model they distinguish between variables related to state and those related to change aspects of internationalization: the present state of internationalization affects the change in internationalization and therefore the future state aspects.

Essentially the state aspects refer to elements of the situation as it is at the time of the analysis and as the result of investment made and resources committed in the past in that foreign market. It could, for example, include also the resources committed to the training of local labour force or for the deployment of managers and technical staff from other units of the company.

Aspects related to change have to do with results and decisions that extend through time such as the current performance of past investment or the decisions already taken to commit resources in the near future.

As regards the state aspects, the amount of resources committed is close to the size of the investment widely interpreted to include also 'investment in marketing, organization, personnel and other areas'.

The authors distinguish between economic and uncertainty effects of additional commitment. The economic effect is associated with the scale of operations on the market. The uncertainty effect relates to market uncertainty which tends to be high in very dynamic markets and also whenever there is a threat from new or potential new entrants into the market.

The level of uncertainty can be reduced by developing greater interaction and ntegration with the market such as better communications with customers. Reduced incertainty or increase in the level of acceptable risk is likely to lead to an increase in the scale of commitment.

The authors conclude the discussion on 'commitment decisions' 'by observing that additional commitments will be made in small steps unless the firm has very large resources and/or market conditions are stable and homogeneous, or the firm has much experience from other markets with similar conditions' (Johanson and Vahlne, 1977: 30–31). Therefore the conclusion is that involvement in any single foreign country will proceed cautiously and in accordance with the following stages in the establishment chain:

• exports via agents;

- setting up of sales subsidiaries;
- setting up of production subsidiaries.

The above sequence is the result of state and change aspects in which the nature of knowledge and uncertainty play a large role. The dynamic sequence is linear in two ways: because each stage leads to the next one and because each new stage involves a larger commitment of resources than the previous stage.

The second internationalization pattern refers to the spread of internationalization from one foreign country to others. Here the sequence is also dynamic and linear proceeding by stages from the foreign country psychically closer to those more distant. Psychic and spatial distances tend to be strongly related.

In both types of patterns – within a single foreign country and across many – we see internationalization as a result of a series of incremental decisions. It proceeds dynamically and linearly: from one stage to the next; from small to large resource commitment; from a single foreign country to several.

The Scandinavian School starts with the observation of empirical regularities and then goes on to develop a general model to explain internationalization patterns and their regularities. The authors put forward the idea that internationalization develops in stages which are of the following two types:

- 1 Stages in the establishment chain: a dynamic and linear sequence leads from exports via agents to sales subsidiaries and later to production subsidiaries. A related linear pattern can algo be detected in terms of amount of resources committed at each stage in the establishment chain with more advanced stages in the internationalization process requiring larger commitment of resources.
- 2 Stages in the geographical spread of internationalization from one country to many: this follows a linear pattern related to psychic distance between the home and foreign countries.

The model explains various stages in the internationalization process via an analysis of states and change aspects of internationalization. The nature of knowledge and in particular experiential knowledge and its environment-specific character, play a strong role in the development of the various stages. So does uncertainty.

The strength of the model is the realization that internationalization is not an either or situation with regard to mode of operations or number of foreign countries of involvement. Rather it is a dynamic sequence with each stage affecting the change and thus the next stage: the performance variables in each stage become inputs for the next. It is also a cautious an incremental approach to internationalization in which the firm tastes the internationalization water before walking in and later dipping in. The commitment is gradual and the sequences are linear. This gradual stages approach is strongly linked to uncertainty and to the relevance of non-transmittable experiential knowledge.

The model leads the authors to probe into the organization/institution and its decision making process. In this respect it follows other organizational types of studies though the authors confine themselves to the study of the organization's marketing and sales functions. There is very little on production, its organization, its problems and how opportunities might arise or strategies be formulated in relation to the production elements such as costs or innovation and technology, or availability of labour skills.

There is a rather deterministic feel about the Scandinavian School model; the various stages follow a linear, almost predetermined, pattern. Though the model is not driven by efficiency considerations, the scope for strategic decisions seems limited in its well defined linear patterns.

## 3. A MODEL OF BRAZILIAN FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENTS IN PORTUGAL

This model seeks to show, through a factor analysis and regression, the factor that contributed to the evaluation perception of profitability success of brazilian firms in Portugal, and the influence of cultural affinity as a factor in the decision to invest in Portuguese market. These two techniques are widely used in studies on FDI (Bang Nam et al., 2008; van Roeseler, 1996, Zaman and Ünsal, 1997, Read, 2008). Were used questions about Brazilian companies located in Portugal based on the OLI paradigm proposed by Dunning and the Uppsala School (in annex). Firstly, we performed an factor analysis to identify the main explanatory variables, according to the survey conducted in locus with 30 Brazilian companies, 42.12% of total sample. Secondly, we measure de importance of cultural affinity to profitability with a regression with and without constant, using the stepwise method and SPSS 16.0 software.

## 3.1. Factor Analysis

In order to reduce the number of items associated with the factors related to assets, internalizing and location, we performed the factorial analysis to identify the variables that explain the maximum variance of original items. The criterion used was *eigenvalues* greater than 1, followed by *Varimax* rotation and according to *screet plot*.

Firstly, we seek to explain the latent variables of Brazilian foreign direct investments in Portugal motivational factors related to the assets. The factor analysis converged a solution with three main components that explains the whole 66% of total variance, which shows the parsimony analysis. By analysing the solution after *Varimax* rotation, the first principal component explains 36% of the total variance and is composed by items related to technological capability, innovative reputation, brand image, human potential and management. The second principal component explains 17.41% of total variance and includes these factors: international vocation of the owner, location and infrastructure of trade agreements with Portugal and Brazil. The third principal component explains 12.60% of total variance and contains these items: sanitized financial structure, experience in the domestic market and low manufacturing cost of products.

As for factors related to internalization, the factor analysis converged to a solution with four main components that explain 75% of total variance. The items with high saturation in the first component, which explains 47% of total variance, are the high costs of transportation and communication, market used as a platform for export to third countries and customs barriers.

The second component (11.21% of total variance), the factors are those related to good financial structure, to follow competitors or clients, institutional support and economic stability in Brazil. The three component (9.12% of total variance) consists a prior exports and synergies by integrating international, and the four component (7.9% of total variance) is related to the company seeking to diversify the risk and be closer to its customers.

The factors related to location, the factor analysis converged to a solution with 9 principal components that explain 86% of total variance. The components that we retain were the first three, which explain 56.6% of total variance, the sufficient to assess the representativeness of the sample. The component number one (36.40% of total variance) includes items as the existence of bilateral agreements, host country tax incentives, subsidies, and land section by host country, subsidies and tax incentives in the country of origin and investments limitations of the country of origin. The component number two (11.73% of total variance) covers the availability and quality factors of human capital, potential and size of the Portuguese market, level of competence of the host country and access to suppliers. The component number three (8.48% of total variance) includes the items geographical distance, emergence of relations between Brazil and Portugal, legal environment, clustering, buy opportunities to businesses. All major components described above were saved in our database for statistical analysis, including a multiple linear regression analysis. In order to clarify the determinants found by factor analysis, in the following section we will make the regression.

# 3.2 Using the method of stepwise regression to explain the evaluation of the profitability of Brazilian firms in Portugal

To identify the influence of cultural affinity in the profitability of Brazilian companies located in Portugal and proceeded to a multiple linear regression with these variables as independent and dependent, respectively. The first two principal components of the factors related to the assets, the first two main components of factors related to internalization, the three main factors related to location and, finally, two variables that attempt to measure the influence of cultural affinity between Brazil and Portugal complete the list of independent model variables.

The first arises in the context of the factors of location, and the second is a single question asking whether the language, culture and history influenced Brazilian companies decision-making to invest in Portugal. The method used was the stepwise

regression. This model is particularly appropriate when there are significant correlations between independent variables. In search of the best model we test simulations including and excluding the constant. In both models were not detected multicollinearity problems.

## 3.2.1 - Model with constant

**Table 3.1 - Model with constant profitability - summary** 

|       |      |          |          | Std. Error |
|-------|------|----------|----------|------------|
|       |      |          | Adjusted | of the     |
| Model | R    | R Square | R Square | Estimate   |
| 1     | ,568 | ,323     | ,283     | ,95611     |

The first model explains only 32% of profitability, contributing to the explanation the first principal component of factors related to internalizing 8p=0.011), that encompasses issues of high cost of transportation and communication, barriers customs and Portuguese market used as export platform.

**Table 3.2- Profitability model with constante - coeficients** 

| Mode |           | Unstandardized |       | Standardized |        |      |
|------|-----------|----------------|-------|--------------|--------|------|
| 1    |           | Coefficients   |       | Coefficients | t      | Sig. |
|      |           |                | Std.  |              |        |      |
|      |           | В              | Error | Beta         |        |      |
| 1    | (Constant | 5,000          | ,220  |              | 22,713 | ,000 |
|      | FAC1_28   | ,556           | ,195  | ,568         | 2,846  | ,011 |

a Dependent Variable: Q65 3

### 3.2.2 – Model without constant

Seeking the best solution, we estimate a new model not including the constant in the regression line. The regression analysis stopped at the fourth step and explained 94% profitability variance.

**Table 3.3 - Profitability model without constant - summary** 

| 1    |      | R        |          | Std. Error |
|------|------|----------|----------|------------|
| Mode |      | Square(a | Adjusted | of the     |
| 1    | R    | )        | R Square | Estimate   |
| 1    | ,924 | ,854     | ,846     | 2,02857    |
| 2    | ,944 | ,892     | ,879     | 1,80040    |
| 3    | ,959 | ,919     | ,904     | 1,60389    |
| 4    | ,972 | ,944     | ,929     | 1,37831    |

The variables that contribute significantly to explain the variance valuation of the company based on profitability are: q21 (cultural influence, in annex), B = 0.924, t (15) = 4.402, p = 0,001, Q29\_25 (cultural affinity), the first principal component of factors related to assets FAC1\_27 (technological innovative capability, reputation and brand image, human potential and management company), and the first principal component of the factors related to the internalization FAC1\_28 (high cost of transportation and communication, tariff barriers and Portuguese market used as export platform).

**Table 3.4 - Profitability model without constant - coeficients** 

|       |             | **             | 1 1: 1     | G: 1 1: 1    |        |            |
|-------|-------------|----------------|------------|--------------|--------|------------|
|       |             | Unstandardized |            | Standardized |        |            |
| Model |             | Coefficients   |            | Coefficients | t      | Sig.       |
|       |             | В              | Std. Error | Beta         | В      | Std. Error |
| 1     | Q21         | 3,214          | ,313       | ,924         | 10,269 | ,000       |
| 2     | Q21         | 3,383          | ,286       | ,973         | 11,811 | ,000       |
|       | FAC1_2<br>7 | -1,247         | ,516       | ,199         | 2,419  | ,027       |
| 3     | Q21         | 2,379          | ,501       | ,684         | 4,749  | ,000       |
|       | FAC1_2<br>7 | -1,188         | ,460       | ,190         | 2,582  | ,020       |
|       | Q29_25      | ,305           | ,131       | ,331         | 2,328  | ,033       |
| 4     | Q21         | 2,001          | ,455       | ,575         | 4,402  | ,001       |
|       | FAC1_2<br>7 | -1,263         | ,396       | ,202         | 3,185  | ,006       |
|       | Q29_25      | ,400           | ,119       | ,434         | 3,378  | ,004       |
|       | FAC1_2<br>8 | ,772           | ,299       | ,168         | 2,582  | ,021       |

a Dependent Variable: Q65 3

b Linear Regression through the Origin

The cultural affinity contribute more significantly to explain the variance in profitability to *Stepwise method*, according to the theories of FDI examined, such as Uppsala and eclectic paradigm, followed by the first principal component of factors related to assets (related to monopolistic advantage), and the first principal component of the factors related to internalization. Not only in the case of the Portuguese language, which is still emerging, but also of English, Spanish, among others, are evidence that a common language, even that does not guarantee the success of the investment, is a facilitator of business in several strategic issues.

#### 4. Final remarks

For a long time culture and economy have been treated as broadly independent areas of research. Furthering the understanding of the determinants of economic activity promised to be one of the major research areas in the postwar era, although past analyses, especially those that are quantitatively based, are mainly focused on economic variables. Since the late twentieth century, however, there have been arguments respecting an increasingly close relationship between economy and culture. With regards to the mechanism of their relationship, one should recognize that economy and culture do not impose upon one another as wholly external forces, but are always intimately associated. Despite the closeness of this association, they have different logics: the one taking account of certain intrinsic or non-instrumental values, the other relating instrumental values to external goals of reproduction. Their interactions are complex as are their effects on economic development and cultural change.

It is now increasingly accepted that one of the many reasons underlying the relative lack of success of past economic development efforts is that culture was overlooked in development thinking and practice. This belated resurgence of interest has raised culture to a position of honour in development debates. Even conservative financial planners and technical problemsolvers now recognize that if healthy and sustainable development is to take place culture cannot be ignored. In fact, many social scientists, particularly sociologists, human geographers and political scientists, have been undergoing a 'cultural conversion' in recent decades, evident both in method and content. As a result there has been a long-overdue dialogue with literary studies, and an increased concern with cultural phenomena.

This paper show, though a factorial and a regression model, the importance of cultural affinity for brazilian foreign direct investment in Portugal, as Uppsala School approach, exposed.

## **Bibliography**

BANG NAM, J. e SUNG SUP, R. (2008), "The Determinants of Korea's Foreign Direct Investment from the United States, 1980-2001: an empirical investigation of firm-level data", *Contemporary Economic Policy Review*, Vol. 14, pp. 118-131.

BUCKLEY, P. J. e CASSON, M. (1976), *The Future of the Multinational Enterprise*, Macmillan, Londres.

CAVES, R. (1971), "International Corporations: the industrial economics of foreign investment", *Economica*, Vol. 38, pp. 1-27.

CECHELLA, C. (2008), The presence of brazilian multinationals in Portugal within the Iberian, European and global contexts. Revista Economia e Desenvolvimento, Ed. n° 20/2008, Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil.

CECHELLA, C; DENTINHO, T. e SILVA, J. R. (2009), "Explaining Trade and FDI Relationships in a Gravitational Model", *Studies in Regional Science*, Vol. 39, No 1, August. Japan Section of the RSAI (Japan Society of Regional Science), pp. 41-52.

DUNNING, J. H. (1977), Trade, Location of Economic Activity and the MNE: A Search for Eclectic Approach, in: OHLIN, B., HESSEBON, P. O. e WUJKMAN, P. M. (eds), *The International Allocation of Economic Activity*, Macmillan, London.

DUNNING, J. H. e LUNDAN, S. M. (2008), *Multinational Enterprises and the Global Economy*, Edgar Elgar, UK.

HYMER, S. H. (1960, 1976), *The International Operations of National Firms: a study of foreign direct investment*, The MIT Press.

JOHANSON, J. e VAHLNE, J. E (1977), "The Internationalization Process of the Firm: a model of knowledge development and increasing market commitments", *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol.8, n° 1, pp. 23-32.

JOHANSON, J. e WIEDERSHEIM P. F. (1975), "The Internationalization of the Firm: four swedish cases". *Journal of Management Studies*. Vol. 12, pp. 305-22.

JOHANSON, J. e VAHLNE, J. E. (1990), "The mechanism of internationalisation", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 7, n° 4, pp. 11-24.

PENROSE, E. T. (1959). "Foreign Investment and the Growth of the Firm", *Economic Journal*, Vol. 66, pp. 220-35.

READ, R. (2008), "Foreign Direct Investment in Small Island Developing States", *Journal of International Development*, Wiley Journals, Vol. 20 Issue 4, pp. 502 – 525.

Van ROESEL, R. (1996), Taiwan: Foreign Direct Investment and the transformation of the Economy, in: DUNNING, J. H. e NARULA, R. (eds), *Foreign Direct Investment and Governments:* catalysts for economic restructuring, Routledge, London and NewYork. van TULDER, R. e van DER ZWART, A. (2006), *International Business Society Management:* linking corporate responsibility and globalization. London: Routledge.

VERNON, R. (1966), "International Trade and International Investment in the Product Cycle", *Quaterly Journal of Economics*, pp. 37-59.

ZAMAN, M. R. e UNSAL, F. M. (1997), Determinants of Foreign Direct Investment of Selected OECD Countries, in: GHOSH, D. K. e ORTIZ, E (eds), *In Global Structure of Financial Markets: an overview*, pp. 344-351, Routledge Studies in International Business and the World Economy.

# Annex - Survey "Brazilian invest motivations in portuguese market"

### **Location factors**

- Geographic distance
- Access to natural resources
- Political and economic stability of the host country
- Legal system (judiciary efficiency, bureaucracy)
- Respect of property rights
- Labor laws
- Tax burden
- Market size Portuguese
- Cost of human resources
- Transport costs
- Costs of raw materials, energy and water
- Infrastructure
- Availability and quality of human capital
- Market extended to European Union
- Competence level of the host country
- Suppliers access
- Emergence of economic relations between Brazil and Portugal
- Buy local firms
- Improve position in relation to competition
- Personal contacts with host country
- Clustering
- Subsidies and tax incentives
- Environmental legislation less rigid
- Cultural Affinity
- International trade agreements
- Learning opportunities)
- Legal Environment
- Quality of life and public services
- Good receptivity of the community
- Tax incentives in the host country
- Existence of multilateral / bilateral agreements

- Market limitations in the country of origin
- Reduce risk (crisis and instability in the country of origin)

#### **Assets factors**

- Reputation, image and brand
- Technological and innovative capacity
- Human Potential and Management
- International vocation of the owner
- Products better than competition
- Low cost manufacturing of products
- Geographic location and portuguese infrastructure
- Support and trade agreements between Brazil and Portugal
- Agreements with other multinationals
- Experience in the domestic market

# **Internalizing factors**

- Availability of financial resources
- Previous exports
- High costs of transportation and communication
- Logistic
- Explore and control directly strategic resources
- Access to natural resources
- Market used as a export platform to third countries
- Follow the competitors or customers
- Institutional support
- Customs barriers
- Increase the scale of business
- Economic stability of Brazil
- Diversify the risk of production and sales
- Synergies by international integrating
- **Q. 21** Aspects as language, common culture and history influenced the brazilian firms decision-making to invest in Portugal? Yes/No.