

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Wolff, Reiner

# Working Paper On the Existence of Structural Saddle-Points in Variational Closed Models of Capital Formation

Volkswirtschaftliche Diskussionsbeiträge, No. 24-91

# **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Fakultät III: Wirtschaftswissenschaften, Wirtschaftsinformatik und Wirtschaftsrecht, Universität Siegen

*Suggested Citation:* Wolff, Reiner (1991) : On the Existence of Structural Saddle-Points in Variational Closed Models of Capital Formation, Volkswirtschaftliche Diskussionsbeiträge, No. 24-91, Universität Siegen, Fakultät III, Wirtschaftswissenschaften, Wirtschaftsinformatik und Wirtschaftsrecht, Siegen

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118721

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

# VOLKSWIRTSCHAFTLICHE DISKUSSIONSBEITRÄGE





# UNIVERSITÄT - GESAMTHOCHSCHULE - SIEGEN FACHBEREICH WIRTSCHAFTSWISSENSCHAFTEN

# On the Existence of Structural Saddle-Points in Variational Closed Models of Capital Formation

Reiner Wolff •

Department of Economics University of Siegen, Germany

Discussion Paper No. 24-91

Paper Presented at the 16th Symposium on Operations Research University of Trier, September 9-11, 1991

### Abstract

The so-called turnpike theorem can be considered one of the most striking results of modern growth theory. It confirms the catenary behavior of efficient capital accumulation paths around a ray of fastest proportional capital stock expansion in finite-horizon models of efficient economic growth. This paper employs a gradient argument to prove in a continuous-time neoclassical framework with some restrictions imposed upon technical progress that for there to exist a turnpike expansion ray the production technology set must possess along the ray a biconvex transformation frontier function representation in the sense of L.J. Lau.

<sup>\*</sup> This paper is for discussion purposes only. It must not be quoted without the author's written consent.

# I. Introduction

The so-called turnpike theorem can be regarded one of the most striking results of modern growth theory. It confirms the catenary behavior of efficient capital accumulation paths around a ray of fastest proportional capital stock expansion in finite-horizon models of efficient economic growth. Standard proofs of the theorem assume that the underlying production technology set is a convex cone (see L.W. McKenzie [4]). More recent research by this author (see R. Wolff [7]) indicates that the theorem also applies in the case of a non-convex production technology set if this set can be assumed a biconvex set in the sense of L.J. Lau [3] with its input and output partitions independent of each other. The present paper tries to shed light on the subsequent, yet open question if there exist production technologies different from the ones just mentioned and still compatible with the catenary property of efficient capital formation paths.

This question will be posed within the common framework of a continuoustime neoclassical optimum growth model to be introduced in Section II. The model assumes as given an instantaneous production technology set which may be timedependent and represented by a smooth transformation frontier function with some further restrictions imposed upon the change of technology over time. We will then establish and prove in Section III the theorem that for there to exist a turnpike expansion ray the transformation frontier must have along this ray a representation of the form globally assumed in R. Wolff [7].

Our use of notation will be as follows. Throughout the paper elements of  $R^*$ , n > 1, will be referred to as column-vectors or simply called 'vectors'. They will be denoted by lowercase letters and set in a bold typeface for ease of reading. Component *i* of vector **x** will be written as  $x_i$ . Furthermore, let  $S \subset R^*$  and  $T \subset R$  be two sets and consider a differentiable function  $f: S \to T$ . Then for each element  $\mathbf{x} \in S$  and image  $f(\mathbf{x})$  we use  $f_{x_i}$  as short-hand notation for  $\partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_i$ . Much in the same way,  $f_x$  stands for the gradient  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ . Differentiation with respect to 'time' will be indicated by a dot '.' and a prime '' signifies transposition.

## **II.** Statement of the Problem

We will be concerned with the standard optimum investment problem of maximizing under technological constraints a positive linear combination of a vector of capital stocks  $\mathbf{k}$  in some future period  $t_1$ :

(1)  

$$\max_{\mathbf{k}(t)} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{k}(t_{1})$$
subject to  $T(\mathbf{k}, \dot{\mathbf{k}}, t) = 0$ ,  $t \in [t_{0}, t_{1}]$ , and  $\mathbf{k}(t_{0}) = \mathbf{k}_{0}$ .

 $T(\cdot)$  is assumed a transformation frontier function with domain  $R_{+}^{*} \times R^{*} \times R_{+}$ , where n > 1. It thus completely describes all efficient one-period input-output combinations of a firm or of an economy. It shall be increasing in  $\mathbf{k}$ , decreasing in  $\mathbf{k}$  and twice-continuously differentiable as well as strictly quasi-concave in both  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{k}$ . Differentiability is also assumed with regard to t. Finally, Inada regularity conditions shall hold for  $\mathbf{k}$ .

Note that (1) is a classical problem of Mayer in the calculus of variations. We call it closed in the sense that all input variables other than capital stocks  $\mathbf{k}$  and all output variables other than investments  $\dot{\mathbf{k}}$  are assumed to be given from some external decision process and supposed to enter  $T(\cdot)$  via t. Most of all, however, will t reflect autonomous technical progress.

Standard practice suggests to define a Lagrangian functional associated with (1) and solve the corresponding system of Euler differential equations for optimum time paths  $\mathbf{k}(t)$ . These equations are long known to be equivalent to the familiar own-rates of interest relationships of optimum growth theory:

**Lemma 1:** If k(t) is a solution to (1) then

(2) 
$$\frac{T_{k_i}}{T_{k_i}} = \frac{T_{k_n}}{T_{k_n}} + \frac{d}{dt} \ln\left[\frac{T_{k_i}}{T_{k_n}}\right], \quad \text{for all } i \neq n.$$

**Proof:** see H.Y. Wan, Jr. ([6], pp. 277-278).

The above n-1 equations along with 2n boundary conditions and the technology constraint  $T(\cdot) = 0$  completely determine the dynamics of  $\mathbf{k}(t)$ . In particular, the following theorem holds:

**Theorem 1:** If the transformation frontier of (1) assumes the form

(3) 
$$T(\mathbf{k}, \dot{\mathbf{k}}, t) = J(G(\mathbf{k}), t) - H(\dot{\mathbf{k}})$$

with first-order homogeneous functions  $G(\cdot)$  and  $H(\cdot)$  then there exists a real-valued scalar function  $\theta(t)$  and a vector of n-1 positive constants  $\bar{s}^*$  such that  $\mathbf{k}(t) = \theta(t)(\bar{s}^{*'}, 1)'$  is a solution to (2) and  $\bar{s}^*$  constitutes a turnpike expansion ray of (1).

**Proof:** see R. Wolff [7].

Theorem 1 refers to the case of a production technology set which is globally biconvex and has independent input and output partitions. Biconvexity of the production technology set then involves (additive) separability of the transformation frontier function (see L.J. Lau [3]). Note that our theorem does not imply constant returns to scale. Finally, as  $\mathbf{\overline{s}}^*$  is indicative of the composition of the capital stocks  $\mathbf{k}(t)$ , we label it a 'structural saddle-point' of (1).

# **III. A Representation Theorem**

We may now introduce our basic

**Theorem 2:** Suppose a turnpike expansion ray  $\mathbf{S}^*$  of (1) exists. Also suppose that along this ray all marginal substitution and transformation rates between inputs  $\mathbf{k}$  and outputs  $\mathbf{k}$ , respectively, are independent of t. Then the production technology set will possess a local frontier function representation of the form in (3).

**Proof:** Our proof consists of two parts. To begin with the first part, define as w the rate of growth uniformly assigned to all stock variables along an arbitrary expansion ray at a given point in time. Hence,  $\dot{\mathbf{k}} = w\mathbf{k}$  such that  $T(\mathbf{k}, w\mathbf{k}, t) = 0$ must hold. As  $T(\cdot)$  is decreasing in  $\dot{\mathbf{k}}$  we may solve for w as a function of  $\mathbf{k}$  and t:  $w = f(\mathbf{k}, t)$ . Furthermore, assume that  $k_{\mathbf{x}} > 0$  and let  $\mathbf{s} := (k_1/k_{\mathbf{x}}, ..., k_{\mathbf{x}-1}/k_{\mathbf{x}})'$  in which case  $w = f(\mathbf{s} k_{\mathbf{x}}, k_{\mathbf{x}}, t)$ . Now observe as an intrinsic necessary condition for a given expansion ray to be efficient that it must never pay, in terms of levels of w, to move off the ray as  $\mathbf{k}$  increases over time. In other words, every efficient ray  $\bar{\mathbf{s}}^*$ must always point in the direction of the maximum increase or minimum decrease, respectively, of w. Therefore, we conclude from the envelope theorem that along  $\bar{\mathbf{s}}^*$ :

(4) 
$$-\frac{T_{k_n}}{T_{k_n}} = \frac{\partial k_n}{\partial k_n} = \frac{\partial (wk_n)}{\partial k_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_{k_i} \bar{s}_i^* k_n + f_{k_n} k_n + w = \sum_{i=1}^n f_{k_i} k_i + w$$

Since  $k_n$  is really any capital stock the above sequence applies accordingly to all components of **k**. We thus obtain from (4) a system of n-1 necessary conditions for there to exist a ray of efficient proportional capital stock formation. They require that the own-rates of interest be the same for all capital stocks:

(5) 
$$\frac{T_{k_i}}{T_{k_i}} = \frac{T_{k_n}}{T_{k_n}} \quad \text{for all } t \text{ and all } i \neq n.$$

Equivalently, we may also say that all corresponding marginal substitution and transformation rates must coincide:

(6) 
$$\frac{T_{k_i}}{T_{k_n}} = \frac{T_{k_i}}{T_{k_n}} \quad \text{for all } t \text{ and all } i \neq n.$$

Plugging (5) into (2) reveals that intertemporal efficiency is achieved only if the second term on the right-hand side of (2) drops to zero for all t and i. Therefore, and because of (6), we find that

(7) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{T_{k_i}}{T_{k_n}}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{T_{k_i}}{T_{k_n}}\right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \text{ and all } i \neq n.$$

These equations establish an invariant relationship between  $\mathbf{s}^*$  and the ratios in (6) and end the first part of our proof.

We will now discuss in the final part of the proof what is implied by (5)-(7) for the functional form of the transformation frontier. First of all, let  $\tilde{T}(k_n, \dot{\mathbf{k}}, t) := T(\bar{\mathbf{s}}^* k_n, k_n, \dot{\mathbf{k}}, t) = 0$ . Since  $\tilde{T}(\cdot)$  is increasing in  $k_n$  we can solve for  $k_n$  in terms of  $\dot{\mathbf{k}}$  and t:  $k_n = \tilde{F}(\dot{\mathbf{k}}, t)$ . Now recall that all output transformation rates are by assumption independent of t. Therefore, and considering (7), it follows from the quasi-concavity of  $T(\cdot)$  with respect to  $\dot{\mathbf{k}}$  that  $\tilde{F}(\cdot)$  must be a homothetic and quasi-convex function of  $\mathbf{k}$ , at least if evaluated along  $\bar{\mathbf{s}}^*$  (see R. Färe [1] and [2], pp. 49-61; also see Shephard [5]). Hence,  $k_n = F(H(\dot{\mathbf{k}}), t) = F(wH(\mathbf{k}), t)$ . Consequently, multiplying both sides of  $w = f(\mathbf{k}, t)$  by  $H(\mathbf{k})$  yields

(8) 
$$w H(\mathbf{k}) = H(\mathbf{k}) = f(\mathbf{k}, t) H(\mathbf{k}) =: \tilde{J}(\mathbf{k}, t)$$

and thereby separates  $T(\cdot)$  into a homothetic and quasi-convex output branch  $H(\cdot)$ and a remaining input branch  $\tilde{J}(\cdot)$ . At this point note that the gradients  $H_k$  and  $\tilde{J}_k$ always indicate the same direction because of (6). Also note that we have assumed all input substitution rates not to be affected by t. Finally, remind that  $T(\cdot)$  is a quasi-concave function of  $\mathbf{k}$ . As a result,  $\tilde{J}(\cdot)$  must be both homothetic and quasiconcave in  $\mathbf{k}$  along  $\bar{\mathbf{s}}^*$ :  $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{k},t) = J(G(\mathbf{k}),t)$ . We thus obtain from (8) a frontier function representation of the form

(9) 
$$T(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}, t) := J(G(\mathbf{k}), t) - H(\mathbf{k})$$

which is quasi-concave in both k and k and with  $H(\cdot)$  and  $G(\cdot)$  homogeneous of degree one. This completes our proof of Theorem 2. Q.E.D.

## References

- R. Färe, "On Linear Expansion Paths and Homothetic Production Functions", in: W. Eichhorn et al. (eds.), Production Theory, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1974, pp. 53-64.
- 2. R. Färe, Fundamentals of Production Theory, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1988.
- L.J. Lau, "A Characterization of the Normalized Restricted Profit Function", Journal of Economic Theory 12 (1976), pp. 131-163. Reprinted in D. Cass and K. Shell (eds.), The Hamiltonian Approach to Dynamic Economics, Academic Press, New York 1976.
- L.W. McKenzie, "Optimal Economic Growth, Turnpike Theorems and Comparative Dynamics", in: K.J. Arrow, M.D. Intriligator (eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Economics, Vol. III, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1986, Chapter 26, pp. 1281-1355.

- 5. R.W. Shephard, Theory of Cost and Production Functions, Princeton 1970.
- 6. H.Y. Wan, Jr., Economic Growth, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, New York 1971.
- 7. R. Wolff, "Efficient Stationary Capital Accumulation Structures of a Biconvex Production Technology", Discussion Paper No. 13-90, Department of Economics, University of Siegen, Siegen 1990, 9 pages.

2

Seit 1989 erschienene Diskussionsbeiträge: Discussion papers released as of 1989/1990:

- 1-89 Klaus Schöler, Zollwirkungen in einem räumlichen Oligopol
- 2-89 Rüdiger Pethig, Trinkwasser und Gewässergüte. Ein Plädoyer für das Nutzerprinzip in der Wasserwirtschaft
- 3-89 Rüdiger Pethig, Calculus of Consent: A Game-theoretic Perspective. Comment
- 4-89 **Rüdiger Pethig**, Problems of Irreversibility in the Control of Persistent Pollutants
- 5–90 Klaus Schöler, On Credit Supply of PLS–Banks
- 6-90 **Rüdiger Pethig**, Optimal Pollution Control, Irreversibilities, and the Value of Future Information
- 7-90 Klaus Schöler, A Note on "Price Variation in Spatial Markets: The Case of Perfectly Inelastic Demand"
- 8-90 Jürgen Eichberger and Rüdiger Pethig, Constitutional Choice of Rules
- 9-90 Axel A. Weber, European Economic and Monetary Union and Asymmetries and Adjustment Problems in the European Monetary System: Some Empirical Evidence
- 10-90 Axel A. Weber, The Credibility of Monetary Target Announcement: An Empirical Evaluation
- 11-90 Axel A. Weber, Credibility, Reputation and the Conduct of Economic Policies Within the European Monetary System
- 12-90 Rüdiger Ostermann, Deviations from an Unidimensional Scale in the Unfolding Model
- 13-90 Reiner Wolff, Efficient Stationary Capital Accumulation Structures of a Biconvex Production Technology
- 14-90 Gerhard Brinkmann, Finanzierung und Lenkung des Hochschulsystems Ein Vergleich zwischen Kanada und Deutschland
- 15-90 Werner Güth and Rüdiger Pethig, Illegal Pollution and Monitoring of Unknown Quality – A Signaling Game Approach
- 16–90 Klaus Schöler, Konsistente konjekturale Reaktionen in einem zweidimensionalen räumlichen Wettbewerbsmarkt
- 17-90 Rüdiger Pethig, International Environmental Policy and Enforcement Deficits
- 18-91 Rüdiger Pethig and Klaus Fiedler, Efficient Pricing of Drinking Water
- 19–91 Klaus Schöler, Konsistente konjekturale Reaktionen und Marktstrukturen in einem räumlichen Oligopol

- 20-91 Axel A. Weber, Stochastic Process Switching and Intervention in Exchange Rate Target Zones: Empirical Evidence from the EMS
- 21–91 Axel A. Weber, The Role of Policymakers' Reputation in the EMS Disinflations: An Empirical Evaluation
- 22-91 Klaus Schöler, Business Climate as a Leading Indicator? An Empirical Investigation for West Germany from 1978 to 1990
- 23-91 Jürgen Ehlgen, Matthias Schlemper, Klaus Schöler, Die Identifikation branchenspezifischer Konjunkturindikatoren

