

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Wooders, Myrna; Cartwright, Edward; Selten, Reinhard

Working Paper
Social Conformity in Games with Many Players

Nota di Lavoro, No. 121.2003

## **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

*Suggested Citation:* Wooders, Myrna; Cartwright, Edward; Selten, Reinhard (2003): Social Conformity in Games with Many Players, Nota di Lavoro, No. 121.2003, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118147

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# Social Conformity in Games with Many Players

Myrna Wooders, Edward Cartwright and Reinhard Selten

NOTA DI LAVORO 121.2003

## **DECEMBER 2003**

CTN – Coalition Theory Network

Myrna Wooders, Department of Economics, University of Warwick, UK Edward Cartwright, Department of Economics, University of Warwick, UK Reinhard Selten, Department of Economics, University of Bonn, Germany

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract\_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

## **Social Conformity in Games with Many Players**

## **Summary**

In the literature of psychology and economics it is frequently observed that individuals tend to imitate similar individuals. A fundamental question is whether the outcome of such imitation can be consistent with self-interested behaviour. We propose that this consistency requires the existence of a Nash equilibrium that induces a partition of the player set into relatively few groups of similar individuals playing the same or similar strategies. In this paper we define and characterise a family of games admitting existence of approximated Nash equilibria in pure strategies that induce partition of the player sets with the desired properties. We also introduce the Conley-Wooders concept of 'crowding types' into our description players and distinguish between the crowding type of a player those characteristics of a player that have direct effects on others - and his tastes, taken to directly affect only that player. With this assumption of 'within crowding type anonymity' and a 'convexity of taste-types' assumption we show that the number of groups can be uniformly bounded.

This paper is a revision of part of Wooders, Cartwright and Selten (2001). We are indebted to Robert Aumann, Roland Benabou, Francis Bloch, Jean-Marc Bonniseau, Michel Le Breton, Bhaskar Dutta, Warwick Dumas, Glen Ellison, Sergiu Hart, Ehud Kalai, Alan Kirman, Gleb Koshevoy, Jean-Francois Mertens, Frank Page, Mario Pascoa and Unal Zenginobuz, two anonymous referees and also to participants at presentations of versions of this paper at Bogazici University, Hebrew University Centre for Rationality, the Maastricht General Equilibrium conference, and the Paris 1 Oiko NOMIA - Blaise PASCAL Seminar for stimulating and helpful comments.

Myrna Wooders is indebted to Sonderforschungsbereich 303 and to the University of Bonn for hospitality and financial support during 1990-1991 when this research was initiated. She is also grateful to a number of researchers who listened to intuitive discussions of the results of this paper over the intervening years, especially Jurgen Eichberger.

Address for correspondence:

Myrna Wooders
Department of Economics
University of Warwick
Coventry CV4 7AL
United Kingdom
E-mail: M.Wooders@warwick.ac.uk

## 1 Social learning

Individuals belonging to the same society typically have commonalities of language, social and behavioral norms, and customs. Social learning consists, at least in part, in learning the norms and behavior patterns of the society into which one is born and in those other groups that one may join – professional associations, faculty clubs, and communities, for example. Individuals may learn by observing and imitating individuals in the same groups. A fundamental question is whether the outcome of such imitation can be consistent with self-interested behavior. From the perspective of game theory, we propose that this consistency requires the existence of a Nash equilibrium or an approximate equilibrium where individuals within the same society play the same or similar strategies and where most or all societies are nontrivial in size; the Nash equilibrium captures a notion of self-interested behavior while the existence of societies that are nontrivial in size facilitates imitation within societies. In this paper we provide a family of games where an equilibrium with the desired properties exists.

In an economic context there are many reasons why an individual may be influenced by the actions of others, leading to imitation or conformity. For example, an individual who is boundedly rational or has imperfect information may choose to imitate someone he believes is better informed than himself (Gale and Rosenthal 1999, Schleifer 2000). Similarly, in a coordination game with multiple equilibria an individual may benefit from observing and conforming to the actions of others (Ellison and Fudenberg 1995, Young 2001). Finally, a player may be motivated by desires for prestige, popularity or acceptance and so conforms to the actions of others to 'fit in' (Bernheim 1994). An individual will typically, however, only be influenced by a certain groups of individuals with whom he identifies (Gross 1996). He may not, for example, imitate people he perceives as less informed than himself or people whose acceptance he does not desire.

To address the question of whether conformity is individually rational we introduce a structure generating games with the property that, in games with many players, for most players, there are many similar players. We take as given a metric space  $\Omega$  of player attributes and a finite set S of pure strategies. An attribute  $\omega \in \Omega$  is interpreted as specifying the characteristics of a player and the metric on attribute space  $\Omega$  allows measurement of similarity of players. A universal payoff function h is also taken as given. These three elements,  $\Omega$ , S, and h, are called a (noncooperative) pregame. Given a finite set of players and an attribute function, ascribing a point in attribute space to each player, a pregame induces a game, according to standard definitions, on the player set. The definition of h ensures that any induced game has a certain anonymity property – only the attributes, and not the names, of other players are relevant. A pregame allows us to model a family of games all induced from a common strategic structure.

The pregame framework accommodates diverse situations. As a simple example, consider an economy where each agent offers 0 or 1 units of labour towards the provision of a public good. The amount of the public good produced depends on the average offer. The payoff function of an individual is increasing in the amount of public good provided but decreasing in the amount of labour he offers. Suppose payoff functions are drawn from some compact set  $\Omega$ . A universal payoff function h, with domain in terms of strategy vectors, can be constructed to reflects these preferences. The construction of h reflects the anonymity property implicit in the description of the economy; the payoff to an individual player depends only on his contribution and the average contribution of the other players in the economy. The set  $\Omega$ , the function h, and the set of strategies  $\{0,1\}$  determine a pregame. Note that since a total player set has not been specified, a pregame is not a game. But given a finite population of players, described by the the preferences of the individuals in the population, a game satisfying the standard definition is induced on that population by the pregame. An infinite number of games can be induced by this one pregame – two player games, three player games, ... and the players in the games may have different preferences (albeit all drawn from  $\Omega$ ). Many such examples can be generated; three more appear in the body of the paper.

Given a pregame, an induced game and a strategy profile for the game, we define a collection of players A as a society if every player belonging to A has attributes in some convex subset of attribute space  $\Omega_A$  and every player with attributes in in the interior of  $\Omega_A$  plays the same strategy.<sup>1</sup> Further, since it is difficult to motivate the use of mixed strategies if players imitate or conform, we require that all players belonging to A to play the same pure strategy.

To obtain our results, we make two assumptions on a pregame. The first ensures that players whose attributes are close in the metric on attribute space are indeed similar as players in induced games and the second ensures that the strategy choices of individual players have near-negligible impacts on other players. Our main result can be summarized:

**Conformity:** Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are integers  $\eta(\varepsilon)$  and  $L(\varepsilon)$  such that any game with at least  $\eta(\varepsilon)$  players has a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies that induces a partition of the population into at most  $L(\varepsilon)$  societies.

Note that the bound on the number of societies L is independent of the size of the population. Thus, if there are 'many' players then most societies must be large. Moreover, the smaller the number of societies, the greater the possible difference between players in the same society and the stronger the conformity. Generally, however, the bound L is not independent of  $\varepsilon$ . With an additional assumption, we also demonstrate:

Uniform boundedness of the number of societies: With 'convexity in taste types,' the bound on the number of societies L is independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

To the best of our knowledge, our conformity results have no analogues in the extant literature. Bernheim (1994) provides conditions for the existence of a Nash equilibrium consistent with conformity. As we discuss in Section 3.2, however, Bernheim assumes players have explicit desires to 'fit in' with social norms; we treat games where this may or may not be the case. Conformity within subgroups (clubs or jurisdictions) of the population has been an issues in the literature of local public goods and clubs; see, for example,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Our definition of a society is, of course, highly stylized. In part, this is due to the complete information aspect of our model. Nevertheless, the definition captures the idea that individuals within a society are similar and conform to the same norms or standards of behavior. In the framework of a standard noncooperative game, conformity appears in the choice of strategy.

Wooders (1978), Greenberg and Weber (1986), Conley and Wooders (1996) and Demange (1994), where equilibrium/core jurisdictions consist of similar individuals. The approach of these papers however is based on cooperative and/or price-(or tax) taking behavior. A further related literature concerns dynamic models of social learning; see. for example, Kandori, Mailath and Rob (1993), Ellison and Fudenberg (1995), and Young (2001). The motivation for these dynamic models is analogous to ours in questioning the effectiveness of social learning. Typically, however, in these dynamic models there trivially exists a Nash equilibrium that is consistent with the assumed social learning dynamic; the motivating issues are whether play converges to a Nash equilibrium and, if so, which one.

We proceed as follows: Section 2 introduces notation and definitions. In Section 3 we treat conformity beginning with some simple examples before providing our two main results and a discussion on normative influence. In Section 4 we conclude and an Appendix contains remaining proofs.

## 2 Notation and definitions

A game  $\Gamma$  is given by a triple  $(N, S, \{u_i\}_{i \in N})$  consisting of a finite player set N, a finite set of pure strategies S, and a set of payoff functions  $\{u_i\}_{i \in N}$ . A pure strategy vector for game  $\Gamma$  is given by  $m = (m_1, ..., m_{|N|})$  where  $m_i \in S$  denotes the pure strategy of player i. The set of pure strategy vectors is given by  $S^N$ . We note that for each  $i \in N$  the payoff function  $u_i$  maps  $S^N$  into the real line.

Let  $\Omega$  be a metric space, called an *attribute space*, let S be a finite set of strategies, and let W be the set of all mappings from  $\Omega \times S$  into  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with finite support.<sup>2</sup> A member of W is called a *weight function*. A *non-cooperative pregame* is a triple  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$  consisting of an attribute space  $\Omega$ , a set of pure strategies S and a function  $h: \Omega \times S \times W \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . As we formalize below, the function h determines a payoff function for each player in any game induced by a pregame; the payoff to a player depends on the attributes of that player, his strategy choice, and the weight function induced by the strategy choices of the other players.

Take as given a pregame  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$ . Let  $N = \{1, ..., |N|\}$  be a finite set and let  $\alpha$  be a mapping from N to  $\Omega$ , called an *attribute function*. The pair  $(N, \alpha)$  is a *population*, a set of players and their attributes. A pure strategy vector for the population  $(N, \alpha)$  is given by a vector  $m = (m_1, ..., m_{|N|})$  where  $m_i \in S$  ascribes a pure strategy to  $i \in N$ .

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ Where  $\mathbb{R}_{+}$  denotes the non-negative real numbers.

Given a population  $(N, \alpha)$  and a pure strategy vector  $m \in S^N$  we say that weight function  $w_{\alpha,m} \in W$  is relative to m if,

$$w_{\alpha,m}(\omega, s_k) = |\{i \in N : \alpha(i) = \omega \text{ and } m_i = s_k\}|$$

for all  $s_k \in S$  and all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Thus,  $w_{\alpha,m}(\omega, s_k)$  denotes the number of players with attribute  $\omega$  who play strategy  $s_k$ . An *induced game*  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  can now be defined:

$$\Gamma(N,\alpha) = (N, S, \{u_i^{\alpha} : S^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+\}_{i \in N})$$

where

$$u_i^{\alpha}(m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h(\omega, m_i, w_{\alpha,m})$$

for all  $\omega \in \alpha(N)$  and  $m \in \Sigma_{\alpha}$ . We note that players who are ascribed the same attribute have the same payoff function.

Other than finiteness of the strategy set, a pregame need not imply any assumptions on the games induced. A pregame, however, provides a useful framework in which (a) to treat a family of games all induced from a common strategic situation as given by the attribute space  $\Omega$  and pure strategy set S, and (b) to be able, relatively simply, to impose assumptions on that family of games through the function h. We demonstrate this later point in Section 3.

We will assume throughout that players play pure strategies. We invoke, however, the standard von Neumann Morgenstern assumptions with regard to expected utility of (mixed) strategies. The standard definition of a Nash equilibrium applies. Given  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , a strategy vector m is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies or, informally, an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies, only if,

$$u_i^{\alpha}(m_i, m_{-i}) \ge u_i^{\alpha}(s_k, m_{-i}) - \varepsilon \tag{1}$$

for all  $i \in N$  and  $s_k \in S$ .

#### 2.1 Societies

Throughout we assume, for convenience, a particular form of attribute space. Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, ..., C\}$  be a finite set of *crowding types*.<sup>3</sup> We assume that  $\Omega$  is given by  $\mathcal{C} \times [0, 1]^F$  for some finite integer F.<sup>4</sup> We will typically denote an

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ The term 'crowding type' is taken from Conley and Wooders (1996, 1997,2001). Crowding types are described further in the next section, where they play a larger role.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This appears more than general enough to cover many potential applications. Results with a more general form of attribute space are obtained by Wooders, Cartwright and Selten (2001).

attribute by  $\omega = (c,t)$  where  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $t \in [0,1]^F$ . We use the metric on  $\Omega$  whereby the distance between two attributes  $\omega = (c,t)$  and  $\omega' = (c',t')$  is 2 if  $c \neq c'$  and equals the  $\max_f \left| t_f - t'_f \right|$  otherwise. In interpretation, therefore, two players i and j with the same crowding type are always seen as 'more similar' than two players with different crowding types. The attribute space will be treated in more detail in Section 3.3 and 3.4.

Given a set A we denote by con(A) the convex hull of A and by int(A) the interior of A.

**A society:** Given population  $(N, \alpha)$  and strategy vector m a set of players  $D \subset N$  is a *society* (relative to  $\alpha$  and m) if

- 1. all players  $i \in D$  play the same pure strategy,
- 2. all players  $i \in D$  have the same crowding type, and
- 3. for any player  $i \in N$ , if  $\alpha(i) \in int(con(\alpha(D)))$  then  $i \in D$ .

We say that a pure strategy vector m induces a partition of the population  $(N,\alpha)$  into a set of societies  $\mathcal{S} = \{N_1,...,N_Q\}$  if each player  $i \in N$  belongs to a unique society  $N_q \in \mathcal{S}$  and if each society  $N_q \in \mathcal{S}$  is relative to  $\alpha$  and m. Note that if m induces a partition of the population  $(N,\alpha)$  into Q societies then there exists a partition of  $\Omega$  into Q convex subsets  $\{\Omega_q\}_{q=1}^Q$  such that, for any two players  $i, j \in N$  and any  $\Omega_q$ , if  $\alpha(i), \alpha(j) \in int(\Omega_q)$  then  $m_i = m_j$ .

The definition of a society captures two key features. First, players in the same society play the same strategy; this is clearly motivated by the observation that conformity within a society may lead to common behavior. Second, players in the same society have a commonality of attribute; this is motivated by the observation that a player will only conform to those with whom he identifies. That players in a society have a commonality of attribute is demonstrated by the fact that players in a society have the same crowding type and that to each society we can associate a convex subset of attribute space.

We note that any strategy vector induces a partition of a population  $(N, \alpha)$  into |N| societies where each society consists of one player.<sup>5</sup> A crucial aspect of our main results will thus be to bound the number of societies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>That a society could have just one member is not unreasonable as this may represent a player who chooses not to conform (Bernheim 1994) to the actions of similar players.

independently of the size of the player set. In games with many players, this will ensure that most societies contain many players. We treat other implications of the definition of a society after stating our main result in Section 3.3.

## 3 Conformity

In this section we demonstrate conditions under which all sufficiently large games have approximate Nash equilibria in pure strategies that induce partitions of the player sets into a uniformly bounded number of societies. Before introducing our results we provide two simple examples to show why the desired equilibrium may not exist.

**Example 1:** Players have to choose between two locations A and B. The attribute space is given by  $\{X,P\}$  where a player with crowding type X is a celebrity and a player with crowding type P an 'ordinary' member of the public. Members of the public like living in the same location as celebrities. Thus, the payoff of a player with attribute P is equal to the proportion of celebrities whose choice of location he matches. Celebrities, by contrast, prefer avoiding the public and thus the payoff of a player with attribute X is equal to the proportion of members of the public whose choice of location she mismatches. Arbitrarily large games induced from this pregame need not have an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies consistent with conformity. This follows from the fact that there may not exist an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies. This is easily seen by supposing that there is only one celebrity.  $\blacklozenge$ 

Example 1 illustrates that some conditions will be required to guarantee the existence of an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies. Our second example demonstrates that even if there exists an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies there need not exist one that is consistent with conformity.

**Example 2:** Players choose between locations A and B. The attribute space is [0,1]. A player's attribute determines whether he prefers location A or B. Whether a player prefers A or B can, however, be seen as essentially a random event. More formally, assume that if a player has attribute  $\omega$  where  $\omega$  is a rational number then he is assigned a payoff of 1 for choosing A and 0 for choosing B. If a player has attribute  $\omega$  where  $\omega$  is an irrational number then he is assigned a payoff of 1 for choosing B and 0 for choosing A.

Games induced from this pregame clearly have a Nash equilibrium in pure strategies. For arbitrarily large games, however, it is clear that no bound can be put on the number of societies that a Nash equilibrium would induce. For example, in a game where alternate players (in terms of the size of their attribute) have rational and irrational attributes the number of societies is as large as the player set.◆

Example 2 illustrates that some continuity assumption on attributes is necessary. In particular, we require that players with close attributes are similar. It would appear that a simple redefinition of an attribute would solve the observed problem with conformity in Example 2; for example, we could state that there are two attributes to represent those who like location A and those who like location B. Note, however, that the number  $\omega$  may signify an observable characteristic of a player that is irrelevant in terms of his payoff but does influence whether or not other players will identify with him; for example,  $\omega$  may represent age and a player conforms to those with a similar age to himself. This suggests that conformity on the basis of  $\omega$  may be observed, implying that [0,1] is a relevant attribute space to consider.

#### 3.1 Large games

To derive our main result we make two assumptions on pregames - *continuity* in attributes and global interaction. We introduce each in turn.

Continuity in Attributes: The pregame  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$  satisfies continuity in attributes if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a real number  $\delta_c(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that, for any two games  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  and  $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ , if for all  $i \in N$  it holds that

$$dist(\alpha(i), \overline{\alpha}(i)) < \delta_c(\varepsilon)$$

then for any  $j \in N$  and for any pure strategy vector m,

$$\left|u_j^{\alpha}(m) - u_j^{\overline{\alpha}}(m)\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Continuity in attributes dictates that, given strategy choices, if the attribute function changes only slightly, then payoffs change only slightly. We note that the pregame of Example 2 does not satisfy continuity in attributes.

To define global interaction we introduce a metric  $\rho_{\alpha}$  on pure strategy vectors for a given game  $\Gamma(N,\alpha)$ . Consider two arbitrary pure strategy

vectors  $m, s \in S^N$  and denote by  $w_m$  and  $g_s$  the respective induced weight functions. Define  $\rho_{\alpha}$  by

$$\rho_{\alpha}(m,s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{s_k \in S} \sum_{\omega \in \alpha(N)} |w_m(\omega, s_k) - g_s(\omega, s_k)|.$$

Thus, pure strategy vectors m and s are seen as 'close' if the *proportion* of players with each attribute playing each strategy is approximately the same.

**Global Interaction:** The pregame  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$  satisfies global interaction when for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a real number  $\delta_g(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that, for any game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  and any two pure strategy vectors m and s, if

$$\rho_{\alpha}(m,s) < \delta_{a}(\varepsilon)$$

then for any  $j \in N$  where  $m_j = s_j$ 

$$\left| u_i^{\alpha}(m) - u_i^{\alpha}(s) \right| < \varepsilon. \tag{2}$$

The assumption of global interaction states that a player is nearly indifferent to small changes in the *proportion*, relative to the total population, of players of each attribute playing each strategy. Thus, the actions of any one player have little influence on the payoffs of others. We note that the pregame of Example 1 does not satisfy global interaction.

The pregame  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$  is said to satisfy the large game property if it satisfies both continuity in attributes and global interaction. The large game property implies a form of continuity of h with respect to changes in the weight function and attribute. Indeed, to summarize: continuity in attributes is a bound on the payoff difference when the attributes of players change but their strategies do not. By contrast, global interaction is a bound on the payoff difference when the strategies of players change but their attributes do not. The pregame of Example 1, for instance, satisfies continuity in attributes but not global interaction. The pregame of Example 2, by contrast, satisfies global interaction but not continuity in attributes.

## 3.2 Purification

It is difficult to motivate the use of mixed strategies if players imitate or conform. A preliminary to treating the individual rationality of conformity is thus to treat the individual rationality of using pure strategies. The following result demonstrates that in sufficiently large games induced from a pregame satisfying the large game property there exists an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies. This result is most easily obtained using a purification theorem due to Kalai (2000). Wooders, Cartwright and Selten (2001) provide generalizations of Theorem 1.<sup>6</sup>

**Theorem 1:** Consider a pregame  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$  that satisfies the large game property. Given any real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a real number  $\eta(\varepsilon)$  such that for any population  $(N, \alpha)$  where  $|N| > \eta(\varepsilon)$  the induced game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  has a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies.

**Proof:** Suppose not. Then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and, for every integer  $\nu$ , a game  $\Gamma(N^{\nu}, \alpha^{\nu})$  such that  $|N^{\nu}| > \nu$  and game  $\Gamma(N^{\nu}, \alpha^{\nu})$  has no Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium. Let  $\delta = \delta_c \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)$  be the real number implied by continuity in attributes for a payoff bound of  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . Partition  $\Omega$  into a finite number of subsets  $\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_Q$  each of diameter less than  $\delta$ . For each  $\Omega_q$  pick a point  $\omega_q \in \Omega_q$ . For each  $\nu$  consider a population  $(N^{\nu}, \overline{\alpha}^{\nu})$  satisfying, for all  $i \in N^{\nu}$ , the property that  $\overline{\alpha}^{\nu}(i) = \omega_q$  if and only if  $\alpha^{\nu}(i) \in \Omega_q$ . We note that, by the well known Nash existence theorem, each game  $\Gamma(N^{\nu}, \overline{\alpha}^{\nu})$  has a Nash equilibrium. Consider the set of games  $G = \{\Gamma(N^{\nu}, \overline{\alpha})\}_{\nu}$ . Given that the set of attributes for G is finite it can trivially be seen that G is a subset of a family of semi-anonymous Bayesian games as defined by Kalai (2000). From this (global interaction and the existence of Nash equilibrium) it is equally trivial that Theorem 1 of Kalai (2000) implies there exists  $\nu^*$  such that any game  $\Gamma(N^{\nu}, \overline{\alpha}^{\nu})$  where  $\nu > \nu^*$  has a Nash  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ -equilibrium in pure strategies  $m^{\nu}$ . By continuity in attributes and the choice of  $\delta$ 

$$\left|u_i^{\alpha}(s_k, m_{-i}^{\nu}) - u_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(s_k, m_{-i}^{\nu})\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all  $s_k \in S$ . Thus,  $m^{\nu}$  is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies of game  $\Gamma(N^{\nu}, \alpha^{\nu})$  if  $\nu > \nu^*$ .

#### 3.3 Main Result

Theorem 2 demonstrates that in sufficiently large games there exists an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies that partitions the population

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>We note that Kalai (2000) and Wooders, Cartwright and Selten (2001) are independent and concurrent research endeavours. The purification result of Kalai (2000) is sufficient for the purposes of the current paper (and the reader interested in this paper may also be interested in the other results of Kalai 2000). Seminal results on purification appear in Schmeidler (1973). Pascoa (1993) studies situations with a continuum of agents but nevertheless uses assumptions similar to ours. The literature on purification is surveyed in Khan and Sun (2002).

into a bounded number of societies. A fundamental aspect of Theorem 2 is that the bound is independent of population size. Note that the smaller is the bound the more dissimilar players in the same society may be. Theorem 2 is proved in an appendix.

**Theorem 2:** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$  be a pregame satisfying the large game property. Given any real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists real number  $\eta(\varepsilon)$  and integer  $A(\varepsilon)$  such that for any population  $(N, \alpha)$  where  $|N| > \eta(\varepsilon)$  the induced game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  has a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies that induces a partition of the population  $(N, \alpha)$  into  $Q \leq A(\varepsilon)K$  societies.

Theorem 2 suggests that conformity can be individually rational in sufficiently large games. We highlight that the result applies to games in which all players have different attributes.

Note how the definitions of a society and of a partition of the population into societies leaves open the possibility that two players  $i,j \in N$  with the same attributes could belong to different societies and play different strategies. A result such as Theorem 2 cannot be obtained unless this is permitted.<sup>7</sup> To see this, consider a game  $\Gamma(N,\alpha)$  where all players have the same attribute and any Nash equilibrium has the property that a positive fraction of the players choose one strategy and a positive fraction choose another strategy.

Another important feature of Theorem 2 is the convexity aspect of societies. For some attribute spaces, for example,  $\Omega = \mathcal{C} \times [0,1]$ , Theorem 2 implies the existence of an approximate Nash equilibria in pure strategies with the property that most players are playing the same strategy as their nearest neighbors in attribute space. This, however, is a special case; see Wooders, Cartwright and Selten (2001) for further discussion.

## 3.4 Bounding the number of societies independently of $\varepsilon$

In some cases it is possible to bound the number of societies independently of  $\varepsilon$ . We provide one such example.

Recall that the attribute space is given by  $\Omega = \mathcal{C} \times [0,1]^F$ . In this section we shall assume that if a player has attribute (c,t) the value c characterizes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Wooders, Cartwright and Selten (2001) obtain a complementary result to Theorem 1 in which players with the same attribute do belong to the same society. This is possible by treating populations in which the number of players of any one attribute is bounded. Similarly the literature on non-atomic games demonstrates the existence of a symmetric Nash equilibrium in pure strategies in games with a continuum of players if the distribution of players over attributes is atomless (see Pascoa 1993).

his external influence on others - his *crowding type* - while t characterizes his payoff function - his *taste type*. We think of the crowding type of a player as such characteristics as gender, educational level, height, ability to salsa, and so on, that are observable to other players and may have direct effects on them. In contrast, we think of a player's taste type as of direct relevance only to himself, for example, whether he enjoys school or whether he likes to dance. Two assumptions on crowding and taste types are required.

The first assumption, within type anonymity, implies that two players of the same crowding type, playing the same strategy, have the same influence on the payoffs of others.

Within (crowding) type anonymity: Pregame  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$  satisfies within type anonymity when for any induced game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  and for any two pure strategy vectors m and s if

$$\sum_{\omega:\omega=(c,\cdot)} w_m(\omega,s_k) = \sum_{\omega:\omega=(c,\cdot)} w_s(\omega,s_k)$$

for all  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  then for any  $i \in N$  where  $m_i = s_i$ 

$$u_i^{\alpha}(m_i, m_{-i}) = u_i^{\alpha}(s_i, s_{-i}).$$

That is, if any two strategy vectors have the property that, from the perspective of player i, the weight functions induced by these strategy vectors assign the same weight to each strategy chosen by players of each crowding type, then player i's is indifferent between two situations.

Our second assumption is on payoff functions. An explanation follows the definition.

Convexity in taste types: Pregame  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$  satisfies convexity in taste types if there exists a function  $y : \mathcal{C} \times S \times W \to \mathbb{R}$  and a function  $x : \mathcal{C} \times S \times W \to \mathbb{R}^F$  such that for any induced game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  and any pure strategy vector m the payoff of player  $i \in N$  where  $\alpha(i) = (c, t)$  is given by

$$u_i^{\alpha}(m_i, m_{-i}) = y(c, m_i, w_m) + t \cdot x(c, m_i, w_m).$$

We recall that a player's taste type is determined by a vector  $t \in [0, 1]^F$ . All else equal, if a player's taste type is a convex combination of the taste types of two other players (and all three players have the same crowding type), then his payoff is the same convex combination of the payoffs of the other two players. Intuitively, we could think of there being a 'representative player' for each crowding type with, say, taste type (0.5, 0.5, ..., 0.5). The payoff of a player with taste type t can then be thought of as a linear function of how much his attribute differs from that of the representative for his crowding type.

In Section 3.5 we consider a pregame that satisfies within type anonymity and convexity in taste types. Our second main result, Theorem 3, places a bound that is independent of  $\varepsilon$  on the number of societies. For simplicity, we state and prove the Theorem for the case of only two pure strategies and one dimensional space of taste types. After the proof we discuss the general case.

**Theorem 3:** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$  be a pregame satisfying the large game property, within type anonymity and convexity in taste types. Let K = 2 and F = 1. Given any real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists real number  $\eta(\varepsilon)$  such that for any population  $(N, \alpha)$  where  $|N| > \eta(\varepsilon)$  the induced game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  has a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies that induces a partition of the population  $(N, \alpha)$  into  $Q \leq CK$  societies.

**Proof:** Suppose not. By Theorem 1 for any sufficiently large population  $(N, \alpha)$  the induced game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  has a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies  $m^*$ . Let M denote the set of pure strategy vectors such that  $\overline{m} \in M$  if and only if  $\overline{m}$  is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium and

$$\sum_{\omega:\omega=(c,\cdot)} w_{m^*}(\omega,s_k) = \sum_{\omega:\omega=(c,\cdot)} w_{\overline{m}}(\omega,s_k)$$

for all c and  $s_k$ . We note that  $m^* \in M$  and so M is non-empty.

Consider pure strategy vector  $m \in M$ . For each c and  $s_k$  let  $T_{ck} \subset [0,1]^F$  be such that  $t \in T_{ck}$  if and only if there exists a player  $i \in N$  such that  $\alpha(i) = (c,t)$  and  $m_i = s_k$ . Let  $X_{ck} \subset N$  be such that  $j \in X_{ck}$  if and only if  $\alpha(j) = (c,t)$  where  $t \in int(con(T_{ck}))$  and  $m_j \neq s_k$ . Let  $X = \bigcup_{c,k} X_{ck}$ . Suppose, without any loss, that m minimizes |X|. If X = 0 then m induces a partition of the population into CK societies; this is a contradiction to our initial supposition. Therefore |X| > 0. We will construct from m a pure strategy vector  $m^i \in M$  that diminishes |X| by one, thus providing a contradiction and completing the proof.

Given that |X| > 0, we can select a nonempty set  $X_{ck}$  for some c and  $s_k$  and a player  $j \in X_{ck}$ . Thus,  $\alpha(j) = (c,t)$  and  $t \in int(con(T_{ck}))$  yet

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Of course |X| depends on our inital choice of m.

 $m_j \neq s_k$ . Assume that  $m_j = s_{\overline{k}}$ . Let  $A_{ck} \subset N$  be such that  $i \in A_{ck}$  where  $\alpha(i) = (c,t)$  if and only if  $m_i = s_k$  and t belongs to the boundary of  $con(T_{ck})$ . For each  $i \in A_{ck}$  let  $m^i$  be the pure strategy vector with the properties that  $m_i^i = s_{\overline{k}}$ ,  $m_j^i = s_k$  and  $m_l^i = m_l$  for all other  $l \in N$ ; thus players i and j have 'exchanged' pure strategies. We conjecture (\*) that for some  $i^* \in A_{ck}$  the pure strategy vector  $m^{i^*}$  is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium. Provided this conjecture holds, given that  $i^*$  belongs to the boundary of  $con(T_{ck})$  and K = 2 the value of |X| is one less for  $m^{i^*}$  then for m giving the desired contradiction.

To prove the conjecture (\*) observe that within type anonymity and convexity in taste types implies that for some  $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_{|A_{ck}|}$  [where  $1 \ge \beta_i \ge 0$  and  $\sum \beta_i = 1$ ]

$$u_j^{\alpha}(z,m_{-j}) = \sum_{i \in A_{ck}} \beta_i u_i^{\alpha}(z,m_{-i}^i)$$

for all  $z \in S$ . Given that m is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium

$$u_j^{\alpha}(s_{\overline{k}}, m_{-j}) \ge u_j^{\alpha}(s_k, m_{-j}) - \varepsilon.$$

Thus, there exists some  $i^* \in A_{ck}$  and corresponding  $m^{i^*}$  where

$$u_{i^*}^{\alpha}(m_{-i^*}^{i^*}, m_{-i^*}^{i^*}) \ge u_{i^*}^{\alpha}(s_k, m_{-i^*}^{i^*}) - \varepsilon.$$

It is clear, by within type anonymity, that

$$u_{l}^{\alpha}(m_{l}^{i^{*}},m_{-l}^{i^{*}}) \geq u_{l}^{\alpha}(z,m_{-l}^{i^{*}}) - \varepsilon$$

for all  $z \in S$  and  $l \in N$ ,  $l \neq i^*, j$ . It thus remains to consider player j. Let  $l \in A_{ck}$  and  $l \neq i^*$ . Within type anonymity and convexity of taste types implies that

$$u_{j}^{\alpha}(z,m_{-j}^{i^{*}}) = \sum_{i \in A_{ck} \backslash i^{*}} \beta_{i} u_{i}^{\alpha}(z,m_{-i}^{i^{*}}) + \beta_{i^{*}} u_{i^{*}}^{\alpha}(z,m_{-i^{*}}^{l})$$

for all  $z \in S$ . Within type anonymity and that m is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium implies that

$$u_j^{\alpha}(m_j^{i^*}, m_{-j}^{i^*}) \ge u_j^{\alpha}(s_{\overline{k}}, m_{-j}^{i^*}) - \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $m^{i^*}$  is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>There must be some such player since the convex hull  $int(con(T_{ck}))$  is determined by players in  $A_{ck}$  and  $int(con(T_{ck})) \neq \emptyset$ .

Remark: Analogous results to that of Theorem 3 can be obtained for K >2 and F > 1; a proof is available from the authors on request. Using the notation from the proof of Theorem 3, we briefly explain, however, why extending Theorem 3 is not trivial - and significantly complicates the analysis. Consider a player j where  $\alpha(j) = (c,t)$  and  $t \in int(con(T_{ck}))$ yet  $m_i \neq s_k$ . As we show in the proof of Theorem 3 there will exist a player i belonging to the boundary of  $con(T_{ck})$  for whom  $u_i^{\alpha}(s_{\overline{k}}, m_{-i}^i) >$  $u_i^{\alpha}(s_k, m_{-i}^i) - \varepsilon$ . If K=2 this is sufficient to show that playing  $s_{\overline{k}}$  is an ε-best response' for player i. As a result we can exchange the strategies of players i and j and retain a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium. If, however, K=3 we have not done enough to show that  $s_{\overline{k}}$  is a ' $\varepsilon$ -best response' for player i - there is the third pure strategy option that must be considered. As a result playing  $s_{\overline{k}}$  may be only a ' $2\varepsilon$ -best response' for player i. Thus, for the K=3 case, modifying the above proof would require commencing with a pure strategy vector m that is a Nash  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -equilibrium. Also note that simply exchanging the pure strategies of players  $i^*$  and j may not be enough to reduce |X| by one if K > 2 or F > 1; thus, an additional exchange of pure strategies may be required.

## 3.5 Normative Influence and conformity

In a number of game theoretic models of social situations, there is some feature built into the model that ensures conformity. For example, Bernheim (1994) obtains such a result for a model in which individuals care about status and behavior (or strategy) serves as a signal of status. Since individuals gain from playing the most commonly chosen strategy this creates a normative influence. The following example illustrates that with such normative influence it is 'easier' to have conformity as defined in this paper. Indeed, we demonstrate that the existence of normative influence can imply the existence of exact equilibria satisfying conformity with a fewer number of societies.

The attribute space is given by  $\Omega = \{P, R\} \times [0, 1]$  where a player with attribute  $(P, \cdot)$  is referred to as *poor* and a player with attribute  $(R, \cdot)$  as *rich*. The set of pure strategies is  $S = \{A, B\}$  where A and B are interpreted as different locations. Given pure strategy vector m let rb(m) denote the proportion of the population that is rich and chooses location B; formally

$$rb(m) = \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{\omega: \omega = (R, \cdot)} w_m(\omega, B).$$

We define ra(m), pb(m) and pa(m) in an analogous way.

The payoff function of a poor player  $i \in N$  with attribute  $\alpha(i) = (P, t)$  is given by

$$u_i^{\alpha}(A, m_{-i}) = 2ra(m_{-i}) + t \text{ and}$$
  
 $u_i^{\alpha}(B, m_{-i}) = 2rb(m_{-i}) + 1 - t.$ 

Thus, a poor player receives a higher payoff the more rich players he matches in choice of location. The value t can be interpreted as player i's preference for living in location A as opposed to B.

The payoff function of a rich player can be seen as composed of two parts - one part that is free from normative influence and another part that captures normative influence. Let real number  $\beta$  denote the degree of normative influence. The payoff function of a rich player  $i \in N$  with attribute  $\alpha(i) = (R, t)$  is given by

$$u_i^{\alpha}(A, m_{-i}) = 1 + t - pa(m_{-i}) + \beta ra(m_{-i})$$
 and  $u_i^{\alpha}(B, m_{-i}) = 2 - t - pb(m_{-i}) + \beta rb(m_{-i}).$ 

A rich player thus receives a higher payoff the fewer poor people he matches in choice of location. The value t can be interpreted as the player i's preference for living in location A. If  $\beta=0$  then we say that there is no normative influence. If  $\beta>0$  then we say that there is normative influence – a player's payoff is increasing in the number of rich players that choose the same location as himself.

It is clear that Theorem 3 can be applied to demonstrate the existence, for sufficiently large populations, of an approximate Nash equilibrium in pure strategies that partitions the population into 4 societies. For this example, it is, of course, fairly simple to explicitly derive the Nash equilibria of induced games. We find, however, that if  $\beta=0$  then we generally cannot improve upon Theorem 2. If  $\beta>0$  then we may be able to do so. To illustrate, consider the following two cases.

• Suppose that  $\beta = 0$ . Consider a population  $(N, \alpha)$  in which there are n rich and n poor players where n is an odd number. Further, assume each player has attribute  $(\cdot, 0.5)$ . It is clear (using argument by contradiction) that game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  does not have a Nash equilibrium in pure strategies. It is equally simple to see that any approximate Nash equilibrium would induce four societies - 'rich societies' in locations A and B and 'poor societies' in locations A and B.

• Suppose that  $\beta = 4$ . Consider a population  $(N, \alpha)$  in which there are n players of attribute (R, 0), n players of attribute (R, 1) and similarly n players of attributes (P, 0) and (P, 1). Thus, half of the population is poor and half rich. Also, half of the population have a strong preference for location A and half for location B. It is easily checked that there exists an exact Nash equilibria of game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  wherein every player chooses the same location, thus inducing only two societies.

This illustrates how the presence of normative influence can lead to existence of an exact equilibrium in pure strategies that induces a smaller number of societies. Another interesting aspect of this example is how the normative influence of the rich has a 'knock on' effect on the conformity amongst poor; the desire of the rich to conform in their choice of location leads to observed conformity by the poor.

## 4 Conclusions

If individuals are influenced by, imitate, and conform to the actions of others then this poses a challenge to the individual rationality assumption of game theory. This challenge leads us to question the possible existence of an approximate Nash equilibrium consistent with conformity and imitation. In this paper we demonstrate the existence of such an equilibrium in games with many players. This result is made more interesting by observing that in these games, where for most players there are many similar players, it seems intuitively most likely that players will base decisions on processes such as conformity and imitation.

Issues that still remain include: (i). We only demonstrate the existence of an approximate Nash equilibrium with conformity; we do not address directly, the question of whether players actually learn to play that equilibrium. (ii). Conformity in mixed strategy equilibrium is not treated. To treat conformity in mixed strategies may seem unmotivated given our insistence on pure strategy equilibria. Note, however, that while it may seem unnatural that a player would use a mixed strategy it need not be unnatural that a society would 'play a mixed strategy'. In Cartwright and Wooders (2003) we consider this possibility by formulating conformity in terms of mixed strategies and incomplete information. Turning to question (ii), there are many reasons why players who learn through imitation or conformity fail to learn to play an approximate Nash equilibrium even if an equilibrium consistent with conformity exists. This is discussed by Cartwright (2003) where

sufficient conditions are provided under which play will indeed converge to the desired equilibrium.

## 5 Appendix

**Lemma 1:** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (\Omega, S, h)$  be a pregame satisfying the large game property. For any induced game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$ , for any partition of  $\Omega$  into a finite number of subsets  $\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_A$ , each of diameter less than  $\delta_c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)$ , and for any two pure strategy vectors m and  $\overline{m}$  where

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega_a} w_{\alpha,m}(\omega, s_k) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_a} w_{\alpha,\overline{m}}(\omega, s_k), \tag{3}$$

if m is a Nash  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ -equilibrium in pure strategies then  $\overline{m}$  is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies.  $^{10}$ 

**Proof:** Given an induced game  $(N, \alpha)$  and two pure strategy vectors m and  $\overline{m}$  satisfying (3), it is immediate that there exists a one-to-one mapping  $R(i): N \to N$  such that,

$$\overline{m}_i = m_{R(i)} \tag{4}$$

for all  $i \in N$  and,

$$dist(\alpha(i), \alpha(R(i))) < \delta_c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right).$$
 (5)

Informally, we can treat equivalently: (a) player i having attribute  $\omega = \alpha(i)$  and playing strategy  $m_{R(i)}$  and (b) player R(i) playing strategy  $m_{R(i)}$  and having attribute  $\omega = \alpha(i)$ . Thus, consider the population  $(N, \overline{\alpha})$  where,

$$\overline{\alpha}(R(i)) = \alpha(i) \tag{6}$$

for all  $i \in N$ . Our method of proof is to (i) demonstrate that m is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies of game  $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$  before (ii) demonstrating how this implies that  $\overline{m}$  is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies of game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$ .

The assumption of continuity in attributes and (5) implies,

$$\left| u_i^{\alpha}(s_k, m_{-i}) - u_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(s_k, m_{-i}) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$
 (7)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Where  $\delta_c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)$  is the real number implied by continuity in attributes for a payoff bound of  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ .

for all  $s_k \in S$  and all  $i \in N$ . Given that m is a Nash  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ -equilibrium in pure strategies for  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$  it follows that

$$u_i^{\alpha}(m_i, m_{-i}) \ge u_i^{\alpha}(s_k, m_{-i}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

The above two inequalities yield

$$u_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(m_i, m_{-i}) \ge u_i^{\alpha}(m_i, m_{-i}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

$$\ge u_i^{\alpha}(s_k, m_{-i}) - \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$$

$$\ge u_i^{\overline{\alpha}}(s_k, m_{-i}) - \varepsilon$$
(8)

for all  $i \in N$  and  $s_k \in S$ . Thus, m is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies of game  $\Gamma(N, \overline{\alpha})$ .

By (4) and (6)

$$\left(\overline{\alpha}(R(i)), m_{R(i)}\right) = \left(\alpha(i), m_{R(i)}\right) = \left(\alpha(i), \overline{m}_i\right) \tag{9}$$

for all  $i \in N$ . It follows that

$$u_{R(i)}^{\overline{\alpha}}(s_k, m_{-R(i)}) = u_i^{\alpha}(s_k, \overline{m}_{-i})$$
(10)

for all  $i \in N$  and all  $s_k \in S$ . It is immediate from (8) and (10) that  $\overline{m}$  is a Nash  $\varepsilon$ -equilibrium in pure strategies of game  $\Gamma(N, \alpha)$ .

We recall that  $\Omega = \{1,2,...,C\} \times [0,1]^F$  for some finite integers C and F. We make use of a lexicographic ordering on elements of  $[0,1]^F$ . Formally, we define the binary relations  $<_L$  and  $=_L$  as follows: Take any two points  $t = (t_1,...,t_F), \tau = (\tau_1,...,\tau_F) \in [0,1]^F$ . We say that  $t =_L \tau$  if and only if  $t_f = \tau_f$  for all f = 1,...,F. We say that  $t <_L \tau$  if either:

- 1.  $\sum_f t_f < \sum_f \tau_f$  or,
- 2.  $\sum_{f} t_f = \sum_{f} \tau_f$  and for some  $f^*$  we have  $t_{f^*} < \tau_{f^*}$  and  $t_f = \tau_f$  for all  $f < f^*$ .

We say that  $t \leq_L \tau$  if either  $t <_L \tau$  or  $t =_L \tau$ .

**Lemma 2:** Given any two finite sets of points  $\Omega_J = \{t^1, ..., t^J\}$  and  $\Omega_Q = \{\tau^1, ..., \tau^Q\}$  (where  $t^1, ..., t^J, \tau^1, ..., \tau^Q \in [0, 1]^F$ ) if  $t^j \leq_L \tau^q$  for all j and q then the interior of the convex hulls of  $\Omega_J$  and  $\Omega_Q$  are either distinct or both empty.

[Note that given  $t^j \in \Omega_J$ , the hypotheses of the Lemma require that  $t^j \leq_L \tau^q$  for all  $\tau^q \in \Omega_Q$ . If F = 1, for example, this implies that the interiors of the

convex hulls are both empty or that the two sets have in common at most one point. If the two sets have no points in common, then all the points in  $\Omega_J$  are smaller, according to  $\leq_L$ , than all the points in  $\Omega_Q$ .]

**Proof:** Suppose the claim is false. Then there exists a point  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $\omega \in I(Co(\Omega_J))$  and  $\omega \in I(Co(\Omega_Q))$ . Thus, for non-negative numbers  $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_J$  and  $\beta_1, ..., \beta_Q$ 

$$\sum_{q} \beta_q = \sum_{j} \gamma_j = 1 \tag{11}$$

and, for each f = 1, ..., F,

$$\omega_f = \sum_j \gamma_j t_f^j = \sum_q \beta_q \tau_f^q. \tag{12}$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{j} \left[ \gamma_{j} \sum_{f} t_{f}^{j} \right] = \sum_{q} \left[ \beta_{q} \sum_{f} \tau_{f}^{q} \right]. \tag{13}$$

By assumption, for each  $t^j \in \Omega_J$  and  $t^q \in \Omega_Q$  it holds that  $\sum_f t_f^j \leq \sum_f t_f^q$ . Suppose, for some  $t^{\overline{j}} \in \Omega_J$  and  $\tau^{\overline{q}} \in \Omega_Q$ , that  $\sum_f t_f^{\overline{j}} < \sum_f \tau_f^{\overline{q}}$ . Given (13) it must be that either  $\gamma_{\overline{j}} = 0$  or  $\beta_{\overline{q}} = 0$ . Let  $\Omega_J^+$  denote the set of  $t^j \in \Omega_J$  given positive weight  $\gamma_j > 0$  and  $\Omega_Q^+$  the set of all  $\tau^q \in \Omega_Q$  given positive weight  $\beta_q > 0$ . It is immediate that  $\sum_f t_f^j = \sum_f \tau_f^q$  for each  $t^j \in \Omega_J^+$  and  $\tau^q \in \Omega_Q^+$ .

If  $\Omega_J^+ = \Omega_Q^+$  then we easily obtain the desired contradiction. When  $\Omega_J^+ = \Omega_Q^+$  and for each element  $t^j$  in  $\Omega_J^+$  it holds that  $t^j \leq_L t^q$  then the sets must each contain only one element and, in this case, the interiors of the convex hulls are both empty.

Let  $\Omega_J^{++} = \Omega_J^+ \backslash \Omega_Q^+$  and  $\Omega_Q^{++} = \Omega_Q^+ \backslash \Omega_J^+$ . Either  $\Omega_J^{++}$  or  $\Omega_Q^{++}$  is non-empty. Suppose that  $\Omega_J^{++}$  is non-empty. For every  $t^j \in \Omega_J^{++}$  and  $\tau^q \in \Omega_Q^+$  there is some  $f^* \in \{1, ..., F-1\}$  for which  $t_{f^*}^j < \tau_{f^*}^q$  and  $t_f^j = \tau_f^q$  for all  $f < f^*$ . Take the minimum of these  $f^*$  over all points  $t^j \in \Omega_J^{++}$  and  $\tau^q \in \Omega_Q^+$ . By choice of  $f^*$  it holds that  $t_{f^*}^j \leq \tau_{f^*}^q$  for all  $j \in \Omega_J^+$  and  $q \in \Omega_Q^+$  and  $t_{f^*}^j < \tau_{f^*}^q$  for some  $\overline{j} \in \Omega_J^{++}$  and  $\overline{q} \in \Omega_Q^+$ . This must contradict either (11) or (12). The case where  $\Omega_Q^{++}$  is non-empty can be treated in an analogous manner.

**Proof of Theorem 2:** We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that the statement of the Theorem does not hold for some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . We proceed by first determining a value for  $A(\varepsilon_0)$  and then showing that this  $A(\varepsilon_0)$  satisfies the claim of the Theorem for  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$ . Given  $\varepsilon_0$  set  $\delta = \delta_c\left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{3}\right) > 0$ , where  $\delta_c\left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{3}\right)$  is the real number implied by continuity in attributes for a payoff bound of  $\frac{\varepsilon_0}{3}$ . Use compactness of  $\Omega$  to write  $\Omega$  as the disjoint union of a finite number  $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A(\varepsilon_0)$  of convex non-empty subsets  $\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_A$ , each of diameter less than  $\delta$ . We now claim that A satisfies the conditions required by the Theorem. Suppose not. Then, for each integer  $\nu$  there is a population  $(N^{\nu}, \alpha^{\nu})$  where  $|N^{\nu}| > \nu$  and induced game  $\Gamma(N^{\nu}, \alpha^{\nu})$  does not have a Nash  $\varepsilon_0$ -equilibrium in pure strategies that induces a partition of the population  $(N^{\nu}, \alpha^{\nu})$  into  $Q \leq AK$  societies. By Theorem 1, for sufficiently large  $\nu$ ,  $\Gamma(N^{\nu}, \alpha^{\nu})$  has a Nash  $\frac{\varepsilon_0}{3}$ -equilibrium in pure strategies, say  $m^{\nu}$ .

Consider a change of pure strategy vector from  $m^{\nu}$  to  $\overline{m}^{\nu}$ , for all  $\nu$ , where  $\overline{m}^{\nu}$  satisfies:

1. for all  $\Omega_a$  and  $s_k \in S$ ,

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega_a} w_{\alpha^{\nu}, m^{\nu}}(\omega, s_k) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_a} w_{\alpha^{\nu}, \overline{m}^{\nu}}(\omega, s_k),$$

2. for any  $i, j \in N^{\nu}$  where  $\alpha^{\nu}(i), \alpha^{\nu}(j) \in \Omega_a$  for some a, if  $m_i^{\nu} = s_k$  and  $m_j^{\nu} = s_{\overline{k}}$  where  $k < \overline{k}$  then  $\alpha^{\nu}(i) \leq \alpha^{\nu}(j)$ .

Given that the finite set of points  $\alpha(N^{\nu})$  is well ordered for all  $\nu$ , it is always possible to construct such a pure strategy vector  $\overline{m}^{\nu}$  by a simple 'reassignment' of pure strategies. Given the choice of  $\delta$  and that  $m^{\nu}$  is a Nash  $\frac{\varepsilon_0}{3}$ -equilibrium in pure strategies it is immediate from Lemma 1 that, for sufficiently large  $\nu$ ,  $\overline{m}^{\nu}$  is a Nash  $\varepsilon_0$ -equilibrium in pure strategies. By applying Lemma 2 and recalling that each  $\Omega_a$  is convex it is clear that  $\overline{m}^{\nu}$  is a Nash  $\varepsilon_0$ -equilibrium in pure strategies that induces a partition of the population into at most AK societies.

## References

- [1] Asch, S. (1952) Social Psychology, Prentice Hall, New York (Chapter 16).
- [2] Aumann, R.J., Y. Katznelson, R. Radner, R.W. Rosenthal, and B. Weiss (1983) "Approximate purification of mixed strategies," *Mathematics of Operations Research* 8, 327-341.

- [3] Axelrod, R. (1997) "The dissemination of culture: A model with local convergence and global polarization", *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 41: 203 226.
- [4] Cartwright, Ε. (2003)"Learning approximate toplay players," Nash equilibria in games with many University Warwick Working Paper 671. On-line no http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/economics/research/papers/.
- [5] Cartwright, E. and Μ. Wooders (2003)"Social conforarbitrary in with incomplete information," mity games University Warwick Working Paper 672.On-line at http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/economics/research/papers/.
- "Taste [6] Conley, Wooders (1996)and M.H. homogeneof optimal Tiebout ity jurisdictions in economy crowding and endogenous educational investment types choices," RichercheEconomiche50, 367-387. On-line http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/economics/staff/faculty/wooders/.
- [7] Conley, J. and M.H. Wooders (1997) "Equivalence of the core and competitive equilibrium in a Tiebout economy with crowding types," *Journal of Urban Economics* 421-440. On-line at http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/economics/staff/faculty/wooders/.
- [8] Conley, J. and M.H. Wooders (2001) "Tiebout economics with differential genetic types and endogenously chosen crowding characteristics," *Journal of Economic Theory* 98, 261-294. On-line at http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/economics/staff/faculty/wooders/.
- [9] Demange G. (1994) "Intermediate preferences and stable coalition structures," *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 1994, 45-48.
- [10] Deutsch, M. and H.B. Gerard, (1955) "A study of normative and informational social influences upon individual judgement," *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology* 51:629-636.
- [11] Ellison, G. and D. Fudenberg (1995) "Word-of-mouth communication and social learning," Quarterly Journal of Economics 110: 93-125.
- [12] Fudenberg, D. and D.K. Levine (1998) The Theory of Learning in Games, Cambridge: MIT press.

- [13] Gale, D. and R. Rosenthal, 1999, "Experimentation, imitation, and strategic stability," *Journal of Economic Theory* 84, 1-40.
- [14] Greenberg, J. and S. Weber (1986) "Strong Tiebout equilibrium with restricted preference domain," *Journal of Economic Theory* 38:101-117.
- [15] Gross, R. (1996) Psychology. The Science of Mind and Behaviour, Hodder and Stoughton.
- [16] Hart, S. (1992) "Games in extensive and strategic form," Handbook of Game Theory, Vol. 1: 19-40.
- [17] Kandori, M., G.J. Mailath and R. Rob (1993) "Learning, mutation and long run equilibria in games," *Econometrica* 61:29-56.
- [18] Kalai, E. (2000) "Private information in large games," Northwestern University Discussion Paper 1312 (November 2000), on-line at http://www.kellogg.northwestern.edu/research/math/dp\_list\_4.htm.
- [19] Khan, A. and Y. Sun (2002) "Noncooperative games with many players," *Handbook of Game Theory*, R. Auman and S. Hart, eds. North Holland.
- [20] Mas-Colell, A. (1984) "On a theorem of Schmeidler," Journal of Mathematical Economics 13: 206-210.
- [21] Pascoa, M. (1993) "Approximate equilibrium in pure strategies for nonatomic games," *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 22: 223-241.
- [22] Scharfstein, D.S. and J.C. Stein (1990) "Herd behaviour and investment," *The American Economic Review*, vol 80, no. 3, 465-479.
- [23] Schleifer, A., (2000) Inefficient Markets, an introduction to behavioural finance, Oxford University Press.
- [24] Schmeidler, D. (1973) "Equilibrium points of nonatomic games," *Journal of Statistical Physics* 7: 295-300.
- [25] Wooders M.H. (1978) "Equilibria, the core, and jurisdiction structures in economies with a local public good," *Journal of Economic Theory* 18, 328-348.

- [26] Wooders, M., E. Cartwright and R. Selten (2001) "Some first results for noncooperative pregames: Social conformity and equilibrium pure strategies," (May 2001, revised August 2001), University of Warwick Department of Economics Discussion Paper 589, on-line at http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/economics/research/papers/2001/
- [27] Young, H.P. (2001) Individual Strategy and Social Structure, Princeton University Press.

## NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

## Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

## Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html

http://papers.ssrn.com

SUST	1.2002	K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
ETA	2.2002	Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa  Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
WAT	3.2002	<u>Preferences?</u> Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
CL D.4	4.2002	Black Sea
CLIM	4.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International
ETA	7.2002	Comparison on European Gasoline Markets  Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Eftichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An  Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11
		European Countries
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary Policy
KNOW	12.2002	Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes: Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy
CLIM	16.2002	Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance
		<u>Economy</u>
Coalition	18.2002	László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities
Theory		
Network	10 2002	
Coalition Theory	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Network		Coalitions
Coalition	20.2002	C. II. HAEDDICED (I. ) O. d. C. I. II. C. C
Theory		Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Network		
NRM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach
		<u>in Insular Systems</u>
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
CL D.	22 2002	Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
CLIM	23.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech
ETA	24.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
CLIM	25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
CLIM	23.2002	Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
		<u>Change</u>
SUST	27.2002	Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
CLICE	20.2002	Conservation Plans
SUST	28.2002 29.2002	The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence
KNOW	79 7007	ENDIO NURTE LE CIENTANNIC L'ONCENTRATION AND INCLEASING KENTING. A MITVEV OT EVIDENCE
ETA	30.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies

NRM	24 2002	
	31.2002	Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
		the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
NRM	32.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
KNOW	33.2002	A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN: Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
KNOW	24.2002	Industries?
KNOW	34.2002	G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: <u>Labor Taxes</u> , Wage Setting and the Relative Wage
CL D.4	25 2002	Effect
CLIM	35.2002	C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from
CL D.4	26 2002	Economic Theory and International Experiences
CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (IV): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (IV): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (IV): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
NRM	40.2002	the Framework of Agenda 2000  S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
INIXIVI	40.2002	under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
INIXIVI	41.2002	Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42.2002	C. OHL (lvi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43.2002	J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (Ivi): Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
CLIM	43.2002	Analysis with MacGEM
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (Ivi): Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary
CLIM	77.2002	Model
ETA	45.2002	P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (Ivi): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
LIII	13.2002	Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (Ivi): A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
Theory		
Network		
	52 2002	
ETA	52. 2002.	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
ETA ETA	52.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments  C.C. LAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, L.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
ETA ETA	52.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
ETA CLIM	53.2002 54.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty
ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-
ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies
ETA CLIM ETA SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy
CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions  Trading or Joint Implementation?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions  Trading or Joint Implementation?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions  Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions  Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life  Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions  Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life  Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences  Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.  Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edit DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life  Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences  Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.  Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?  Anna Alberini, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Servi
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iiii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRON: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna Albertin. Patricia RiGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Services of Urban
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life  Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences  Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.  Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?  Anna Alberini, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Servi

	<b></b>	
NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
DD IV	72 2002	Irrigation  Mel ground OMP AN: The Performance of State Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatived Firms: Empirical
PRIV	73.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical Evidence from Egypt
PRIV	74.2002	Mike BURKART, Fausto PANUNZI and Andrei SHLEIFER: Family Firms
PRIV	75.2002	Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD: Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
1111	70.2002	Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
		Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
		Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?
DD II I	00.2002	Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PRIV	80.2002	Alberto R. PETRUCCI: Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and Wealth Displacement in a Small Open Economy
CLIM	81.2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
CLIM	01.2002	of the Montreal Protocol
PRIV	82.2002	George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization, Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
		Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
		to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: <u>An</u>
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (Iv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
CI D I	06.2002	Misconceptions  Response of the second of th
CLIM	86.2002	Pierre COURTOIS: Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
ETA	87.2002	Vito FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (Iviii): Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance
ETA	88.2002	Laurent FRANCKX (Iviii): Environmental Enforcement with Endogenous Ambient Monitoring Timo GOESCHL and Timothy M. SWANSON (Iviii): Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an
ETA	89.2002	evolutionary biological system.
ETA	90.2002	Hans KEIDING (Iviii): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	91.2002	Wietze LISE (Iviii): A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production
DIII	75.2002	Units
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
		Agreements
CLIM	95.2002	Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
		the Netherlands: Perceptions and Reality
CLIM	96.2002	Matthieu GLACHANT: The Political Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Policy
KNOW	97.2002	Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
ET A	00.2002	Thailand  Girling FORTE AND MANERA FOR SIGNAL STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
ETA	98.2002	Ganfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
ETA	99.2002	GARCH Models  Coefficient UE AL: Dandling Displayareity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul
LIA	100.2002	EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Biodiversity and Globalization
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements – If per capita emission levels matter
ETA	102.2002	Pierre-André JOUVET and Walid OUESLATI: Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous
DIII	103.2002	Growth Model with Environmental Externality
ETA	104.2002	Anna BOTTASSO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI: Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data
		Evidence on Italy
PRIV	105.2002	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Frank DE JONG, Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolya SCHINDELE: Privatization and
		Stock Market Liquidity
ETA	106.2002	Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (Iviii): Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game
PRIV	107.2002	Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of
DD III	100 2002	Privatisation in Developing Countries  Civil and Countries Countries Out Effort as Financial
PRIV	108.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial
DDIV	100 2002	<u>Diversification</u> Alberto CHONG and Florencio LÓPEZ-DE-SILANES: Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the
PRIV	109.2002	World
PRIV	110.2002	Nandini GUPTA: Partial Privatization and Firm Performance
PRIV	111.2002	François DEGEORGE, Dirk JENTER, Alberto MOEL and Peter TUFANO: Selling Company Shares to
,	111.2002	Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience

	110 2002	A CHANGE AND A CONTROL OF THE CONTRO
PRIV	112.2002	Isaac OTCHERE: Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and
DD II /	112 2002	Developing Countries  No. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
PRIV	113.2002	Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI: Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization
PRIV	114.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS: Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets
PRIV	115.2002	D. Teja FLOTHO: A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration
PRIV	116.2002	Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI: Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets:
		The Case of Hungary
PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical
		<u>Issues</u>
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
		Market
CLIM	4.2003	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of
		Government Commitment
KNOW	5.2003	Reyer GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA	6.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO: Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model
SIEV	7.2003	Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: The
SIEV	7.2003	
		Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment:
NIDA	0.2002	Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARRÓS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
		with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of
		Climate Change Policy Analysis
KNOW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural
		Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art
KNOW	13.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix): Bio-Ecological
		Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures
KNOW	14.2003	Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and
ICI (O W	11.2003	Future Trajectories
KNOW	15.2003	Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix): Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and
KNOW	13.2003	· / — · · · ·
IZMOM	1 ( 2002	Female Roles in Urban Economic Life
KNOW	16.2003	Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from
		Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Theory		
Network		
Network PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Monopoly with Resale
	20.2003 21.2003	
PRIV		Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
PRIV PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation  Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV		Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-
PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform- Price Auctions
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation  Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform—  Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation  Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform—  Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform- Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation  Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-  Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in  Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation  Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-  Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in  Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation  Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-  Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in  Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation  Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-  Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in  Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation  Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-  Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in  Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative  Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation  Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-  Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in  Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative  Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003 30.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation  Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-  Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003 30.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003 30.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games  Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003 30.2003 31.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 33.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games  Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty  Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003 30.2003 31.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform—  Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games  Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty  Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?  Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 33.2003 34.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform—Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games  Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty  Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?  Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 33.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (Ix): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (Ix): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (Ix): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (Ix): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (Ix): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (Ix): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (Ix): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (Ix): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (Ix): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (Ix): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality TU-Coalition Games  Michael FINUS: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty  Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?  Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis  Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-cooperatives in the Adjustment of Different
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 33.2003 34.2003 35.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games  Michele MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty  Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?  Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis  Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 34.2003 35.2003 36.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games  Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty  Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?  Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis  Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation  Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 33.2003 34.2003 35.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games  Michele MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty  Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?  Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis  Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation  Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats  Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 33.2003 34.2003 35.2003 36.2003 37.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (Ix): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (Ix): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (Ix): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (Ix): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (Ix): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (Ix): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (Ix): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (Ix): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (Ix): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (Ix): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games  Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty  Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?  Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis  Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation  Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats  Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	21.2003 22.2003 23.2003 24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 34.2003 35.2003 36.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition  Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions  David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies  Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment  Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions  Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs  Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes  Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts  TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment  Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games  Michele MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty  Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?  Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis  Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation  Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats  Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate

KNOW	39.2003	Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade
CTN	40.2003	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations
KNOW KNOW	41.2003 42.2003	Sonia OREFFICE: Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing
ETA	43.2003	Biotechnologies  Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific
		Basin Region, Japan and US
CLIM	44.2003	Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES: The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its Effectiveness
PRIV	45.2003	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI: The Political Economy of Privatization
SIEV	46.2003	Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste Disposal Methods
ETA	47.2003	Jens HORBACH: Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical Results for Germany
CLIM	48.2003	Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS: The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing
CLIM	49.2003	Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER: Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting
CTN	50.2003	Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits  László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (lxi): The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension
CTN	51.2003	Matthew O. JACKSON (lxi): Allocation Rules for Network Games
CTN	52.2003	Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (Ixi): Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation
CTN	53.2003	Fernando VEGA-REDONDO (lxi): Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach
CTN	54.2003	Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF (lxi): On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation
CTN	55.2003	Taiji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI (lxi): Free Trade Networks
CTN	56.2003	Halis Murat YILDIZ (lxi): National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization
CTN	57.2003	Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH (lxi): An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International
KNOW	58.2003	Environmental Agreements Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A
KNOW		Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research
KNOW	59.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: Special Interests and Technological Change
ETA	60.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey
CLIM	61.2003	Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game
GG	62.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements
SIEV	63.2003	Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy
CLIM	64.2003	Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures
SIEV	65.2003	Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy
SIEV	66.2003	Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment
SIEV	67.2003	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing
CLIM	68.2003	Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise  ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its
		Kyoto Target
KNOW	69.2003	David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation
KNOW	70.2003	Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History
KNOW	71.2003	Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lxii): What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?
KNOW	72.2003	Natalya V. TARANOVA (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case
KNOW	73.2003	Kristine CRANE (lxii): The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of Globalisation and Migration
KNOW	74.2003	Kazuma MATOBA (lxii): Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication
KNOW	75.2003	Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA (lxii): Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese in
IZMOW	76.2003	Portugal  Souther WALLMAN (beil). The Discouries of Discouries Associate a force leave of surface contains
KNOW		Sandra WALLMAN (lxii): The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems
KNOW	77.2003	Richard PEARCE (lxii): A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities
KNOW	78.2003	Vincent MERK (lxii): Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the Dilemmas
KNOW	79.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P.OTTAVIANO: Child Labor and Resistance to Change
ETA	80.2003	Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA: Investment Size and Firm's Value under Profit Sharing Regulation
IEM	81.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Oil and Product Dynamics in International Petroleum Markets
CLIM	82.2003	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against Environmental Regulation

CLIM CLIM	83.2003 84.2003	Giuseppe DI VITA: Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?  Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes
NRM	85.2003	Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: How Fast are the Tourism Countries Growing?  The cross-country evidence
KNOW	86.2003	Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks for the Mezzogiorno
SIEV	87.2003	Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources.  How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments
CLIM	88.2003	Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of Global Warming
CLIM	89.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Economic Development and Environmental Protection
CLIM	90.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?
CLIM	91.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries
IEM	92.2003	A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy
12111	72.2005	Policy: The Case of Russia
ETA	93.2003	Andrea BELTRATTI: Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium
CTN	94.2003	Parkash CHANDER: The γ-Core and Coalition Formation
IEM	95.2003	Matteo MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption
ILIVI	73.2003	Using Principal Components
IEM	96.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA, Margherita GRASSO and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Long-run Models of
ILIVI	70.2003	Oil Stock Prices
CTN	97.2003	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES, and D. Marc KILGOUR: Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process
CIIV	77.2003	Matters
KNOW	98.2003	John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (lxiii): Anti-Racist Policies in France. From Ideological and Historical
TEL TO T	90.2005	Schemes to Socio-Political Realities
KNOW	99.2003	Richard THOMPSON FORD (Ixiii): Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue
KNOW	100.2003	Alaknanda PATEL (Ixiii): Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities
KNOW	101.2003	David MAY (Ixiii): The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood
KNOW	102.2003	Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (Ixiii): Municipal Reform on the
ILI (O ()	102.2003	Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City
CLIM	103.2003	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime
CLIM	104.2003	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in
CLINI	102005	International Climate Agreements
CLIM	105.2003	Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy
NRM	106.2003	Anne Sophie CRÉPIN(lxiv): Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests
NRM	107.2003	Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (lxiv): Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries
SIEV	108.2003	Sara ANIYAR (1xiv): Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example
SIEV	109.2003	Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER(lxiv): Evaluating Projects and Assessing
		Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies
NRM	110.2003	Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA(lxiv): Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries
NRM	111.2003	Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (lxiv): Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands
ETA	112.2003	Jack GOODY (lxiv): Globalisation, Population and Ecology
CTN	113.2003	Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: Endogenous Minimum Participation in
		International Environmental Treaties
CTN	114.2003	Guillaume HAERINGER and Myrna WOODERS: Decentralized Job Matching
CTN	115.2003	Hideo KONISHI and M. Utku UNVER: Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems
CTN	116.2003	Somdeb LAHIRI: Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem
CTN	117.2003	Somdeb LAHIRI: Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem
CTN	118.2003	Marita LAUKKANEN: Transboundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty
CTN	119.2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary
		Games with Incomplete Information: Some First Results
CTN	120.2003	Gianluigi VERNASCA: Dynamic Price Competition with Price Adjustment Costs and Product Differentiation
CTN	121.2003	Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SELTEN: Social Conformity in Games with Many
		Players
	1000	Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: One Thousand Working Papers

- (l) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001
- (li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001
- (lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001
- (liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001
- (liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002
- (lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001
- (lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum fur Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001
- (lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002
- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002
- (lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003
- (lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities", The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- (lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities", Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- (lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics", organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003

#### **2002 SERIES**

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

**VOL** *Voluntary and International Agreements* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

**SUST** Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

(Editor: Carlo Carraro)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KNOW Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)

MGMT Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)

**PRIV** Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

## **2003 SERIES**

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

**SIEV** Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

(Editor: Anna Alberini)

**NRM** Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

**KNOW** *Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital* (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

**IEM** International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

**CSRM** *Corporate Social Responsibility and Management* (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

**PRIV** Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

**CTN** *Coalition Theory Network*