

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Beltratti, Andrea

Working Paper Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium

Nota di Lavoro, No. 93.2003

Provided in Cooperation with: Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Beltratti, Andrea (2003) : Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium, Nota di Lavoro, No. 93.2003, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118124

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium

Andrea Beltratti NOTA DI LAVORO 93.2003

OCTOBER 2003

ETA – Economic Theory and Applications

Andrea Beltratti, Bocconi University

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_wp.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium

Summary

Socially responsible investment in analyzed in a general equilibrium context. This is important in order to understand the ultimate consequences of SRI on the decisions of economic agents. Building on models by Brock (1982) and Merton (1987), SRI is modelled as the choice to voluntarily give up investment in stocks and bonds issues by a firm producing an externality. The model is used to analyze the utility costs of SRI to the responsible investor and the impact on the price of the stock issued by the firm which is responsible for the externality. The results shed light on the factors which may magnify or reduce the impact of SRI, among which are crucial the wealth commended in relative terms by the responsible agents and the diversification possibilities offered by the firms which are excluded from the investment opportunity set. A set of firms targeted by SRI may be seriously affected by SRI only if the responsible investors command a large portion of overall wealth; moreover the same firms are more likely to be hit by SRI behavior if they do not represent important diversification instruments. Firms with unique characteristics from the point of view of overall diversification are less likely to be the target of SRI.

Keywords: General equilibrium, Redistributive effects, Public goods

JEL: D50, H23, H41

The author thanks Geoffrey Heal for useful conversations on the topic and Nicola Tosini for excellent research assistance in the numerical solution of the model.

Address for correspondence:

Andrea Beltratti Università Bocconi IEP Via Sarfatti 25 20100 Milano Italy E-mail: abeltratti@unimailer.sm-bocconi.it

Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium

1. Introduction

SRI (socially responsible investment) can be described as an asset allocation style according to which securities are selected not only on the basis of their probability distribution and of the risk aversion of the investor but also taking into account characteristics of the companies issuing the securities. The typical case is that of a mutual or pension fund manager excluding tobacco stocks or polluting stocks *a priori* from his asset allocation. A good example dates from February 22, 2002, with the pension fund Calpers deciding to stop investing in Thailand, Indonesia, Malaysia and the Philippines because of violations of human and worker rights. Also typical is an index-producer such as Dow Jones excluding firms from a socially responsible index. From this point of view, SRI may be regarded as a way of using the power of financial markets to take into account externalities that are normally ignored in a market equilibrium.

The issue is topical for several reasons, including increased consciousness of the social consequences of firms' activities, the increase in the amount of wealth managed by institutions, and the increasing fraction of this devoted to SRI funds, which in the US now manage 12% of the total of institutionally-managed funds. Recently the UK passed legislation requiring that pension funds invest a certain fraction of their assets according to socially responsible criteria. Most major fund management groups now have funds in the SRI field.

The practical implementations of SRI are widespread. There are many socially responsible mutual funds and socially responsible indices managed according to a wide range of criteria. It is interesting to notice that most of these are specific to particular countries, at least in Europe. For example many Northern European countries regard alcohol production as bad, while Mediterranean countries are more inclined to regard smoking as particularly negative. There seems to be some correlation between the "typical sins" of each country and the concerns of those proposing socially responsible investing. This may reflect a sensation that many externalities are local in nature, or at least that the local financial community should try first to cure local problems.

Some funds exclude classical negative externality-producers like tobacco and weapons companies. Others look at companies doing business with certain dictatorial governments not respecting human rights, as was the case of South Africa under apartheid. Others are more sophisticated and try to distinguish firms according to their compliance with the general rules of sustainable development. From this latter point of view, there seem to be obvious connections with company-level applications of green and sustainable accounting.

It is puzzling that very little research has been devoted to understanding the causes and effects of SRI, both at the theoretical and at the applied level, particularly given its rapid rise to prominence. The reasons for SRI are not entirely clear. It is presumably an attempt to use the power of capital markets to further social goals not normally attainable by market action, even though this may be a costly attempt. Various papers, for example Lakonishok et al. (1992), have discussed at length the agency problems associated with delegated financial management, and have concluded that pension fund investing is affected by many agency problems. SRI is mainly carried out by institutional investors like pension funds. Why would a rational pension fund decide to complete even further a very complex financial management problem by excluding potentially useful assets? Of course there is no agreement that investing in financial markets in a way that supports social goals is costly for the decision-maker. According to some practitioners, compliance with social duties is useful to identify best companies in terms of current and future profits. Responsible companies could be the ones which can afford a socially positive behavior because of their high overall efficiency. They could also be the ones which minimize future potential liabilities associated with operations which do not comply with best practices from a social point of view. In short, responsible companies might well be the most profitable companies.

In this paper we focus on the first possibility, i.e. that SRI is costly. In other words, we assume that there is no connection between company responsibility and company profitability. This makes the economic problem interesting. If social responsibility were one of the many dimensions of profitability then there would be no cost to SRI. Our purpose in instead to understand whether SRI is a costly method which is effective in changing the equilibrium of the economy. Do countries and firms that are discriminated against encounter any specific problems as a consequence? Before undertaking an SRI choice, decision makers who want to bear the cost also should make sure that the cost produces a result. Surprisingly, there is even less research on this question. While SRI funds advertise their financial performance, few document the attainment of their social goals.

By building mutual funds or stock indices that only include socially responsible firms, proponents probably hope to change the behaviour of the excluded companies. But how exactly can these investment and index choices affect the firms and countries? Consider a case where the supply function of shares of a firm is vertical and the demand function is negatively sloped. Then exclusion of the firm from an index is likely to shift the demand function down and decrease the equilibrium price. The price must be lower to convince non-socially responsible groups to increase their holding

of the asset. However one could argue that the price of a stock is the present discounted value of its fundamentals. In this case the decreased demand on the part of one group of investors would be rapidly offset by an increased demand on the part of another group who would perceive the opportunity to buy stocks at a market price lower than value. SRI would have no effect on the price of the stocks, but only on the portfolio of the investors carrying out SRI.

Even when there is an effect on the price of the stock it is far from clear what may be the final consequences. First it is not clear whether the effect would be a one-time decrease in market price or a sequence of falling prices. The latter would be more effective but would probably require an ever-increasing part of society obeying the rules of SRI to create a continuous shift of the demand function. Second it is not clear what the damage is for the firm, especially in the case of short run price pressure.

This paper develops a simple two-period model which achieves two goals. First is to clarify under what conditions SRI may affect stock prices and expected returns. Second is to connect stock prices to firm investment and to final consumption in order to evaluate the effect of SRI on the general equilibrium of the economy. We have already clarified why we believe these are important issues which are missing from the theory. To remark on this, we believe that SRI may develop and attract a larger portion of investors only if it can be shown that there are specific and concrete effects. SRI is likely to remain a fashion which may easily pass by if it does not force firms and consumers to modify their behaviour.

Assume that socially responsible investors bear an opportunity cost for their portfolio choice. Why should they take such a cost in the long run if SRI does not modify the equilibrium of the economy? On the contrary, assume that SRI does modify the general equilibrium of the economy through its effects on stock prices and returns. Then it may be plausible that ethical investors who did not choose in a socially responsible way, for example because they did not believe that this was a worthwhile effort, switch to the ethical side of the investment. An increase in the proportion of ethical investors would reinforce the original effect and further affect the general equilibrium.

The plan of the paper is as follows. After this Introduction, in the second section we describe the general equilibrium model. In the third section we comment on the results obtained from numerical solution of the model. The fourth section concludes.

2. A general equilibrium model of SRI

2.1 The model

Our model is a two-agent two-period model. It is similar in the description of the investment opportunity set to the model proposed by Brock (1982), which is an early production version of the simple Lucas (1978) tree economy (for more recent attempts to introduce endogenous production in the Lucas model see for example Naik (1994), Abel (2000), Dai (2000)). In the Brock (1982) model a representative consumer with an infinite horizon decides how many resources to lend to firms and how many stocks to buy in order to maximize intertemporal utility. Firms use resources lent by consumers and carry out production which is subject to exogenous production shocks. After the loans are repaid, the profit of the firms are distributed to stockholders.

This model is of interest because of its considering production processes and the possibility to include externalities going from production activities to utility. Given that we are going to model socially responsible investment as a discrimination choice on the part of a subset of the population, it is crucial to allow for heterogeneity of agents, since not all of them will behave in a socially responsible way. This heterogeneity however implies technical problems in the solution of the model. Lucas (1982) in a pure exchange economy can simply analyze the perfectly pooled equilibrium where the portfolio of the two agents are equal. The perfectly pooled equilibrium is not useful for our case because we want to study the consequences of heterogeneous behavior with respect to portfolio choice. Therefore we use a simple finite-horizon version of the model due to the technical difficulties associated with analysing heterogeneous-agent, infinite-horizon models involving heterogeneous portfolios of financial assets, production and externalities. Our choice is also justified by referring to Merton (1987), which considers a two-period economy for studying a financial market populated by heterogeneous agents.

In our two-period model, consumers are denoted with i and j. Firms are denoted with A and B. The problem of consumer k, k=i,j, can be described as:

$$\max u(c_{k,0}) + \delta E_t u(c_{k,1})$$

$$c_{k,1} = \pi_{A,1} z_{k,A,0} + \pi_{B,1} z_{k,B,0} + r_{A,1} x_{k,A,0} + r_{B,1} x_{k,B,0}$$

$$c_{k,0} = W_{k,0} - x_{k,A,0} - x_{k,B,0} - p_{A,0} z_{k,A,0} - p_{B,0} z_{k,B,0}$$

Consumer k maximizes a two period expected utility function. Initial wealth $W_{k,0}$ is exogenous. Initially the agent selects consumption and resources invested in financial assets. Final consumption is given by the share of profits distributed by the two firms and by the interest paid on the loans. $\pi_{A,1}$ is the time 1 profit of firm A, $p_{A,0}$ is the time 0 market price of the stock issued by firm A, $z_{k,A,0}$ is the quantity of stocks of firm k held by investor A at time 0. A similar notation is used for firm B. $r_{A,1}$ is equal to 1 plus the rate of interest paid by firm A on the loan $x_{k,A,t0}$ made by investor k at time 0. Determination of the interest rate and the price of the stocks obtains endogenously according to a mechanism that will be explained soon.

The first order conditions for loan supply and stock demand of the investor are:

$$-u'(c_{k,0}) + E_0 u'(c_{k,1})r_{A,1} = 0$$

$$-u'(c_{k,0}) + E_0 u'(c_{k,1})r_{B,1} = 0$$

$$-p_{A,0} \times u'(c_{k,0}) + E_0 u'(c_{k,1})\pi_{A,1} = 0$$

$$-p_{B,0} \times u'(c_{k,0}) + E_0 u'(c_{k,1})\pi_{B,1} = 0$$

These first order conditions have the standard form, i.e. they equate the marginal disutility of saving to the expected marginal utility of the financial investment.

Production and profit at time 1 for a generic firm k, k=A,B are described by:

$$y_{k,1} = \Gamma_k \times f'(x_{k,01})$$

$$x_{k,0} = x_{i,k,0} + x_{j,k,0}$$

$$\pi_{k,1} = y_{k,1} - r_{k,1} x_{k,0}$$

where it is assumed that the production shows decreasing returns to scale. Production therefore is obtained by direct use of the loans made by the investors, but is subject to an exogenous shock which is firm-specific, Γ_k . Following Brock (1982), it is assumed that the shock is known to the firm when making decisions about the input of resources. Profit is what remains to the firm after paying the loan and the interest to the consumers. Profit is completely redistributed to shareholders in period 1.

What is the difference between loans and stocks from the point of view of the investors? Following Brock we assume that the exogenous shock is unknown to the investors when these decide the size of the loans but it is known to the firm when the interest rate is set. Therefore both loan and stocks are risky for the investors which in the aggregate get hold of the whole production. The timing of decisions in the model is therefore the following: first agents determine their demand functions for consumption and financial investments in loans and stocks, then firms see the productivity shock and after that determine the demand for loans. Time 1 consumption is finally determined on the basis of the asset holdings and the productivity shocks which affect production and profit.

The first order condition of the firm is simply:

$$\Gamma_k \times f'(x_{k,0}) - r_{k,1} = 0$$

where f' is the marginal product. Remember that we assume that the firm knows the value of the productivity shock before deciding the level of production. The firm therefore takes up the amount of loans which is optimal for the realized productivity shock.

2.2 The mechanics of the model

We assume that there are two productivity shocks for each firm so that there are 4 states of nature at time t. $q_{A,s}$ is the probability of the s-th state for firm A, s=1,2, $q_{B,s}$ is the probability of the s-th state for firm B, s=1,2, q_s is the probability of the s-th aggregate state, s=1,2,3,4. The aggregate states are described by the following combinations of shocks:

aggregate state 1 (state 1 for A and state 1 for B) $\Gamma_A = \Gamma_B = 1 + \varepsilon$, $q_1 = q_{A,1} \times q_{B,1}$ aggregate state 2 (state 1 for A and state 2 for B): $\Gamma_A = 1 + \varepsilon$, $\Gamma_B = 1 - v$, $q_2 = q_{A,1} \times q_{B,2}$ aggregate state 3 (state 2 for A and state 1 for B): $\Gamma_A = 1 - v$, $\Gamma_B = 1 + \varepsilon$, $q_3 = q_{A,2} \times q_{B,1}$ aggregate state 4 (state 2 for A and state 2 for B): $\Gamma_A = \Gamma_B = 1 - v$, $q_4 = q_{A,2} \times q_{B,2}$

where ε and v are constant terms. Shocks are therefore independently and identically distributed. This production structure implies that the payoffs of the two stocks are uncorrelated when $q_{A,1} = q_{B,1} = 0.5$. This assumption is clearly important for evaluating the consequences of exclusion of one of the two assets from the portfolio of any investor. The zero correlation assumption can be justified on the basis of the results of Campbell et al. (2000), showing that the average correlation between two stocks in the US market has decreased over time and is currently very close to 0. In order to also analyze the case of high correlation, which could hold true for the case where the stocks of the two firms in the model represent two international stock markets, one can assume the following structure of joint probabilities:

$$q_1 = 0.4, q_1 = 0.1, q_1 = 0.1, q_1 = 0.4$$

where the first and the fourth state have a higher probability than the other two states. Negative correlation could be described by:

$$q_1 = 0.1, q_1 = 0.4, q_1 = 0.4, q_1 = 0.1$$

At the initial time the investor, given available wealth, has to make a consumption and portfolio decisions. The portfolio is potentially composed of four risky assets, two issued by each firms. The rates of return obtained from the assets are positively correlated for each firm across the two states:

given the size of the capital leant for productive processes, the higher the productivity shock the larger the returns on both assets. After the consumption and portfolio decisions are taken the productivity shocks are realized and observed by the firms, which finally demand loans on the market.

Equilibrium is characterised by the following equations:

$$c_{i,0} + c_{j,0} + x_{i,A,0} + x_{i,B,0} + x_{j,A,0} + x_{j,B,0} = W_{A,0} + W_{B,0}$$

$$\bar{z}_{A} = z_{i,A,0} + z_{j,A,0}$$

$$\bar{z}_{B} = z_{i,B,0} + z_{j,B,0}$$

$$x_{A,0}^{s} = x_{i,A,0} + x_{j,A,0}, s = 1,2,3,4$$

$$x_{B,0}^{s} = x_{i,B,0} + x_{j,B,0}, s = 1,2,3,4$$

where $x_{A,0}^s$ and $x_{B,0}^s$ are the amounts of loan taken up by the two firms in state s at time 0.

We have therefore a system of 18 equations to be solved for 18 endogenous variables. The 18 equations are: the supply function of loans on the part of the two agents (2 equations), the demand function for two stocks on the part of the two agents (4 equations), 8 equilibrium equations between loan demand and supply, the 4 demand functions for loans (4 equations). The 18 variables are the 2 stock prices, the 2 interest rates, the 2 demand for loans, the 8 loan supplies, the 4 stock demand.

Notice that this system does not impose any non-negativity constraint on the variables, in particular on the supply of loans and on the demand for stocks on the part of the investors. A negative value for the supply of loan, for example $x_{i,A,0}$, is compatible with the equilibrium as long as $x_{j,A,0}$ is positive and large enough to make the total supply of loan to firm *A* positive in equilibrium. A small negative loan on the part of consumer *i* to firm *A* and a large positive loan on the part of *j* to the same company in the aggregate is equivalent to a positive loan of the consumers to the firm together with an internal system of loans whereby consumer *i* borrows resources from consumer *i*. The interpretation of this case may refer to a sort of internal financial system, where agents can lend resources to each other. The firm of this stylized model therefore both implements production activities and carries out the role of financial intermediary for personal loans.

A similar interpretation occurs in the case of a negative holding of stock. In equilibrium the supply is fixed so the two consumers together have to hold the stocks. However there can be internal contracts between the two consumers according to which one lends resources to the other with a remuneration contingent on the future valuation of the stock.

2.3 SRI and externalities

We now interpret SRI as a reaction to externalities. Many of the examples of SRI we have mentioned in the introduction can in our opinion be interpreted in this way. Discrimination against tobacco reflects concerns about the social effects of smoking, which are strictly associated with the externalities arising when it is not possible to separate smokers and non-smokers in the population. Even when separation is possible, there are indirect externalities associated with the demand for resources produced by smokers who contract some illness due to smoking. In a system where it is not possible to internalise all medical costs, non-smokers have an interest in reducing the number of smokers. Discrimination against environmentally unfriendly companies may be interpreted the same way. In various cases it is technically impossible to isolate environmental damages as these are global in nature.

Of course it is possible to find other examples. Discrimination against firms producing weapons might also be explained on the basis of the possibility that the weapons will directly affect the utility of the agent. However it might also be explained as a reaction to the possibility that weapons will affect other agents. This would be a truly altruistic behavior where the agent internalizes the negative consequences for other agents. However to capture this effect we would need a much more sophisticated economic structure, which is left to future research.

The externality we consider is a negative effect of demand for loans of firm A on the utility of the *i*-th agent, whose utility function becomes

$$u(c_{i,0}) + \delta E_0 u(c_{i,1}, x_A)$$

with $u'_x(c_{i,1}, x_A) < 0$. Remember that the specification implies that productive capital equals the value of loans, so this is a way to describe a negative external effect of the capital used in production of one firm on welfare. The reason why the negative externality regards consumption at time 1 is that in such a way the consumer may hope that the socially responsible investment may affect the choices of the polluting firm through the indirect effects of market prices.

It would also be possible to consider the case where the agent is not sure about the future negative externality. For example firms might be discriminated against for their contribution to future pollution and non sustainable behavior on the basis of an estimate of the damage inflicted to society. This damage might turn out to be non existent or very weak if some future technological innovation is used to eliminate the negative consequences of production. For example, the airline industry is the largest source of CO_2 emissions but is exempt from any extra charges for the

emission of greenhouse gas. However there is no scientific agreement on the climate change issue, so that private agents may decide to proceed with discrimination of the industry on the basis of an estimate of possible future damages associated with CO_2 emissions. In the model, we could assume that the agent might therefore attach a probability *n* to the case of a future damage, so at to maximize:

$$u(c_{i,0}) + \delta E_0 \Big[n \times u(c_{i,1}, x_A^s) + (1-n) \times u(c_{i,1}) \Big]$$

This case however does not change the qualitative characteristics of the model, it simply affects the quantitative results making less strong the socially responsible investment process with respect to the case where n=1.

It is worth noticing that the externality affects the first order condition of agent i if the utility function is non-separable in future consumption and the externality:

$$-u'(c_{i,0}) + E_0 u'(c_{i,1}, x_A) r_{A,1} = 0$$

$$-u'(c_{i,0}) + E_0 u'(c_{i,1}, x_A) r_{B,1} = 0$$

$$-p_{A,0} \times u'(c_{i,0}) + E_0 u'(c_{i,1}, x_A) \pi_{A,1} = 0$$

$$-p_{B,0} \times u'(c_{i,0}) + E_0 u'(c_{i,1}, x_A) \pi_{B,1} = 0$$

To model SRI we refer to the financial side of Merton (1987) which assumes that investors are heterogeneous in their information sets about securities. They decide to trade only stocks for which they have information. The model shows how heterogeneous information sets impact stock prices and returns. More precisely it is shown that stocks of firms with smaller investor bases have relatively larger expected returns, and lower prices, than in the comparable complete-information model. Here we assume that one of the two agents decides a priori to finance only one of the two firms in the economy, voluntarily giving up the possibility of diversification offered by investment in the polluting firm. Extending the behavioral assumption of Merton (1987) to the case where exclusion is justified by SRI may imply that the stocks of externality-producing firms will be damaged in equilibrium by means of the negative effect on the price level.

We interpret this model in terms of the impact of ethical considerations on the demand for assets issues by firms. We define agent i as the social responsible investor. In the minimal case he decides not to invest in the stock of firm A, the firm which is responsible for the externalities in the model. Therefore $z_{i,A,0} = 0$. In the maximal case he also decides not to lend resources to firm A, $x_{i,A,0} = 0$. In the latter case discrimination is extended simultaneously to both assets¹. Notice that there is a

¹ This is not unrealistic. In June 2003 nine major banks from seven countries have decided to adopt guudelines (known as "Equator principles") for project finance in emerging markets, requiring the banks to adhere to the IFC (International

difference between discriminating with stock and discriminating with loans. In the model the former does not affect production activity but the latter does. This difference reflects the working of the economic system in the short run, lacking rights issues from firms. We will return to this in the conclusions, when commenting possible extensions of the framework.

Investor *i* therefore exposes herself to a dramatic decrease in the investment opportunity set. By not diversifying, she forces herself to hold a suboptimal portfolio which is concentrated on firm B. It is also possible to extend the model to allow for negative externality of the firm towards the second consumer as well:

$$u(c_{i,0}) + \delta E_0 u(c_{i,1}, x_A)$$

Production on the part of A in this case affects both consumers. In such a way one creates an indirect effect of SRI on the part of consumer *i* on consumer *j*. Such indirect effect is one element that may stop investors from actively implementing SRI.²

We can use the model to study a few interesting elements. What are the effects of the discrimination carried out by agent i? What are the effects of discriminating against stocks in terms of overall equilibrium? Is it helpful to discriminate against loans? What is the utility loss of the discrimination? What is the utility transfer between agents i and j following unilateral discrimination? What is the damage inflicted to the firm which produces the externality?

In order to answer these questions we will numerically solve the model. The presence of heterogeneous agents requires this technique, as in equilibrium the two investors will end up with different portfolios and consumption choices.

3. Results

We have solved numerically the model due to the presence of various asymmetries, a standard procedure in the literature on the equity premium see for example Heaton and Lucas (1992). Initially we only explor the model which includes externality on consumer i (columns 3-5 of the table). The utility functions are constant relative risk aversion of the type:

$$(1-\alpha)^{-1}c_{i,0}^{1-\alpha} + \delta(1-\alpha)^{-1}(c_{i,0}e^{-\varkappa_{A,0}})^{1-\alpha}$$

Finance Corporation) social and environmental rules for sustainable development. This implies that the banks will not provide loansa directly to projects where the borrower cannot comply with certain requirements.

² For example Tom Jones, in an interview with the Financial Times, published in Financial Times Fund Management June 16, 2003, said that "There is a free-rider problem. If we spend money to do shareholder activism, Citigroup asset management shareholders bear the expense but don't get a benefit that is distinct from other shareholders".

$$(1-\alpha)^{-1}c_{j,0}^{1-\alpha} + \delta(1-\alpha)^{-1}c_{j,0}^{1-\alpha}$$

The parameter δ is fixed at 0.9 in all the computations. The parameters α is set equal to 0.5 and alternatively to 4 in order to analyze the impact of risk aversion on the solution. Calibration of the parameter γ is more difficult. We will calibrate the parameter in such a way to control for the total decrease in utility for consumer i associated with the externality with respect to the baseline case of no externality. For example, we will see that when externalities are ignored and $\alpha = 0.5$, the total value of loans to firm A is about 0.2. With this value, a choice of $\gamma = 1$ is coherent with a 20% reduction of consumption of consumer *i* associated with the external effect, while a choice of $\gamma = 0.5$ is associated with a 10% reduction of consumption. Therefore 1 and 0.5 will be the two values considered in the exercises. A similar computation will be performed for the other sets of parameters, yielding $\gamma = 0.3$ and 0.6 when risk aversion is high. The production functions assume decreasing returns to scale with a parameter $\beta = 0.4$.

To start, we have solved the model assuming no externalities and no SRI (second column of Table 1), externality but no SRI and finally SRI without externalities. The rows represent the symbols used in the text to describe the model, that is respectively demand for stock issued by firm A on the part of i and j, stock demand for firm B on the part of the two consumers, price of stock A and price of stock B, loans to firm A by i and j, loans to firm B by i and j, rate of interest paid by firm A in the two states of nature, rate of interest paid by B, production of firm A in the two states, production of B, profits of A in the two states, profits of B, utility of i, utility of j.

	No externalities,	Externality on	Externality on
	no SRI	consumer i, no SRI	consumer i, no SRI
	$\gamma = 0$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$
$Z_{i,A,0}$	0.5000	0.5062	0.5130
$Z_{j,A,0}$	0.5000	0.4938	0.4870
$Z_{i,B,0}$	0.5000	0.5062	0.5130
$Z_{j,B,0}$	0.5000	0.4938	0.4870
$C_{i,0}$	0.7644	0.7363	0.7055
$C_{j,0}$	0.7644	0.7773	0.7913
p_A	0.3533	0.3648	0.3774
$p_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.3533	0.3648	0.3774
$x_{i,A,0}$	0.1178	0.1296	0.1424
$x_{j,A,0}$	0.1178	0.1136	0.1091
$x_{i,B,0}$	0.1178	0.1296	0.1424
$x_{j,B,0}$	0.1178	0.1136	0.1091
${\cal Y}_{A,1}$	0.6169	0.6249	0.6334
${\cal Y}_{A,2}$	0.5048	0.5112	0.5182
${\cal Y}_{B,1}$	0.6169	0.6249	0.6334
$y_{B,2}$	0.5048	0.5112	0.5182
$\pi_{_{A1}}$	0.3702	0.3749	0.3801
$\pi_{_{4,2}}$	0.3028	0.3067	0.3109
π_{R1}	0.3702	0.3749	0.3801
$\pi_{R,2}$	0.3028	0.3067	0.3109
\mathcal{U}_{i}	3.2062	3.0133	2.9239
u_{j}^{\prime}	3.2062	3.0960	3.0968

Table 1: baseline cases, low risk aversion, $\alpha = 0.5$

In the absence of externality and SRI the equilibrium is symmetric. Each consumer holds $\frac{1}{2}$ of the available stock, and the market prices of the stock are equal. Loans are equal. Production, profit and the interest rates are constant across firms even though they vary across states of nature. Consumers have the same utility level.

Introduction of a small externality (with no SRI) affects the equilibrium because the optimal choices of consumer i are affected due to non-separability between the externality and consumption. Consumer i decreases the demand for the stock of the polluting firm and gives less loans to the same firm, however he also varies the demand for assets associated with the non-polluting firm. This can be explained on the basis of the first order conditions of consumer i. The presence of

externalities reduces the future marginal utility of consumption; this provides an incentive to increase immediate consumption, to provide less loans and to invest less in the stock market. In equilibrium however the supply of stocks has to be held, and consumer *j* increases the demand. In order to give an incentive, the price of stocks goes down.

Consumer j also reacts to the change in prices by decreasing initial consumption, partly undoing the decreased saving of consumer i. Utility of consumer i decreases while utility of consumer j increases. Production and profit of both firms decrease due to the incentive to consume a larger portion of the initially available resources.

An increase in the importance of the externalities from 1.1 to 3.5 magnifies these effects.

Table 2 analyzes the case of high risk aversion.

	No externalities,	Externality on	Externality on
	no SRI	consumer i, no SRI	consumer i, no SR
	$\gamma = 0$	$\gamma = 0.3$	$\gamma = 0.6$
$Z_{i,A,0}$	0.5000	0.3563	1.6359
$Z_{j,A,0}$	0.5000	0.6437	-0.6359
$Z_{i,B,0}$	0.5000	0.5072	1.4792
$Z_{j,B,0}$	0.5000	0.4098	-0.4792
$C_{i,0}$	0.6821	0.6473	0.6096
$c_{j,0}$	0.6821	0.6845	0.6881
p_A	0.4767	0.4998	0.5265
$p_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.4767	0.4998	0.5265
$x_{i,A,0}$	0.1590	0.2483	-0.4027
$x_{j,A,0}$	0.1590	0.0857	0.7539
$x_{i,B,0}$	0.1590	0.1723	-0.3204
$x_{j,B,0}$	0.1590	0.1615	0.6715
${\cal Y}_{A,1}$	0.6956	0.7092	0.7238
$y_{A,2}$	0.5690	0.5800	0.5919
$\mathcal{Y}_{B,1}$	0.6956	0.7092	0.7238
$\mathcal{Y}_{B,2}$	0.5690	0.5800	0.5919
$\pi_{_{A1}}$	0.4173	0.4521	0.4344
π_{A2}	0.3413	0.3474	0.3549
$\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle R1}$	0.4173	0.4252	0.4344
$\pi_{R,2}$	0.3413	0.3473	0.3549
u_i	-2.2744	-2.6932	-3.2647
u_i	-2.2744	-2.2769	-2.2693
,			

Table 2: baseline cases, high risk aversion, $\alpha = 4$

The results are qualitatively similar except that there are lower transfers of resources between one period and the other. This is not surprising as this model, contrary to the more general class of models introduced by Epstein and Zin (1989), is unable to distinguish between risk aversion and elasticity of intertemporal substitution. In the model used in this paper a higher risk aversion therefore also means lower willingness to substitute consumption over time. Indeed Table 2 shows a smaller increase of the initial consumption of the two agents with respect to the case described in Table 1. In the case of high impact of the externality agent *i* shorts both stocks and bonds in order to anticipate consumption.

The main interest of the paper is in the general equilibrium effects of SRI. We therefore now analyze the case of responsible behavior of agent i in the presence of various degrees of externalities.

	$\alpha = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.5, \gamma = 1.1$	$\alpha = 4, \gamma = 0.3$	$\alpha = 4, \gamma = 0.6$
$Z_{i,A,0}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$Z_{j,A,0}$	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
$Z_{i,B,0}$	0.7458	0.7716	1.0994	2.1981
$Z_{j,B,0}$	0.2542	0.2284	-0.0994	-1.1981
$c_{i,0}$	0.7375	0.7005	0.6926	0.6048
$c_{j,0}$	0.7765	0.7993	0.6829	0.6917
p_{A}	0.3629	0.3778	0.4860	0.5107
$p_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.3661	0.3815	0.5192	0.5457
$x_{i,A,0}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$x_{i,A,0}$	0.2419	0.2519	0.3252	0.3404
$x_{i,B,0}$	0.3539	0.3848	0.2914	-0.2759
$x_{i,B,0}$	-0.1099	-0.1305	0.0552	0.6393
${\mathcal Y}_{A,1}$	0.6235	0.6337	0.7016	0.7147
$\mathcal{Y}_{A,2}$	0.5102	0.5185	0.5734	0.5849
${\mathcal Y}_{B,1}$	0.6258	0.6361	0.7197	0.7335
${\mathcal Y}_{B,2}$	0.5120	0.5205	0.5888	0.6010
$\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle A,1}$	0.3741	0.3802	0.4210	0.4288
$\pi_{_{A,2}}$	0.3061	0.3111	0.3431	0.3511
$\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle B.1}$	0.3755	0.3817	0.4316	0.4396
$\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle B.2}$	0.3072	0.3123	0.3528	0.3614
u_i	3.0114	2.9041	-2.8171	-3.3848
u_j	3.0970	3.0980	-2.2412	-2.2263
-			1	1

Table 3: SRI and externalities, various degrees of risk aversion

The Table shows that SRI has little impact on the general equilibrium, even though there are important effects on personal portfolios. SRI on the part of consumer i deeply affects the distribution of stockholdings and the distribution of loans. Consumer j ends up being the owner of the polluting firm, with a strong reduction in the stockholding of firm B and a much more concentrated stock portfolio. Overall concentration of financial holdings of consumer B is counterbalanced by changes in the loans given to the two firms. In equilibrium the price of stock A is now lower than the price of stock B, as predicted by the model by Merton in the case of asymmetric demand. Total utility marginally decreases for consumer i and marginally increases for consumer j. The most relevant result is that there are weak price effects on the market prices of both stocks. Consumer substitute each other in stockholdings. There are weak effects on production and profits.

Table 4 investigates the effects of a reduced form of SRI, consisting of excluding only stocks:

	$\alpha = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.5, \gamma = 1.1$
$Z_{i,A,0}$	0.0	0.0
$Z_{i,A,0}$	1.0	1.0
$Z_{i,B,0}$	0.5909	0.607
$Z_{i,B,0}$	0.4091	0.393
$C_{i,0}$	0.7362	0.6998
$C_{i,0}$	0.7773	0.7943
p_A	0.3645	0.3798
p_{B}	0.3649	0.3802
$x_{i,A,0}$	0.2072	0.227
$x_{i,A,0}$	0.0361	0.0265
$x_{i,B,0}$	0.2057	0.2234
$x_{i,B,0}$	0.0375	0.0299
$\mathcal{Y}_{A,1}$	0.6248	0.6351
$y_{A,2}$	0.5114	0.5200
y_{B1}	0.6248	0.6351
y_{R2}	0.5114	0.5200
$\pi_{_{A1}}$	0.3748	0.3809
$\pi_{_{A,2}}$	0.3069	0.3121
$\pi_{_{R1}}$	0.3748	0.3809
π_{B2}	0.3069	0.3121
u_i	3.013	2.9048
u_j	3.0961	3.0971

Table 4: SRI directed at stocks only

In Table 5 we experiment with the relative wealth of the two consumers. In the first column the initial wealth of agent i is 1.1 while that of j is 0.9, while in the second column the opposite happens.

	$\alpha = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5,$	$\alpha = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5,$
	$W_{A,0} = 1.1, W_{B,0} = 0.9$	$W_{A,0} = 0.9, W_{B,0} = 1.1$
$Z_{i,A,0}$	0.0	0.0
$Z_{j,A,0}$	1.0	1.0
$Z_{i,B,0}$	0.7701	0.7214
$Z_{i,B,0}$	0.2299	0.2786
$C_{i,0}$	0.7926	0.6825
$C_{i,0}$	0.7203	0.8326
p_A	0.3634	0.3623
p_B	0.3672	0.3651
$x_{i,A,0}$	0.0	0.0
$x_{i,A,0}$	0.2423	0.2415
$x_{i,B,0}$	0.3900	0.3177
$x_{i,B,0}$	-0.1452	-0.0744
\mathcal{Y}_{A1}	0.6239	0.6231
$y_{A,2}$	0.5105	0.5098
y_{B1}	0.6265	0.6250
y_{B2}	0.5126	0.5114
π_{A1}	0.3744	0.3739
π_{A2}	0.3063	0.3059
$\pi_{R,1}$	0.3759	0.3750
$\pi_{R,2}$	0.3075	0.3069
и.	3.1194	2.8991
$u_{j}^{'}$	2.9817	3.2082

Table 5: SRI and relative wealth

A change in the distribution of initial wealth has the expected consequences on the solution. Relative utilities move in the same direction as relative wealth, and the price of stock of firm A is more severely affected by discrimination the larger the share of initial wealth going to the socially responsible investor.

In Table 6 we analyze the effects of the varying degrees of correlation among the four states of nature by experimenting with the joint probability distribution. We consider one case where the states with shocks which are simultaneously positive or negative have lower probabilities than the other two states (we call this case "negative correlation") and one case where the states with shocks

which are simultaneously positive or negative have higher probabilities than the others ("positive correlation").

	$\alpha = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5$
	$q_1 = 0.1, q_2 = 0.4,$	$q_1 = 0.4, q_2 = 0.1,$
	$q_3 = 0.4, q_4 = 0.1$	$q_3 = 0.1, q_4 = 0.4$
$Z_{i,A,0}$	0.0	0.0
$Z_{j,A,0}$	1.0	1.0
$Z_{i,B,0}$	0.7448	0.7471
$Z_{j,B,0}$	0.2552	0.2529
$c_{i,0}$	0.7381	0.7369
$c_{j,0}$	0.7759	0.7771
p_A	0.3619	0.3639
$p_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.3670	0.3652
$x_{i,A,0}$	0.0	0.0
$x_{j,A,0}$	0.2413	0.2426
$x_{i,B,0}$	0.353	0.3548
$x_{i,B,0}$	-0.1083	-0.1113
$\mathcal{Y}_{A,1}$	0.6299	0.6242
y_{A2}	0.5096	0.5107
y_{B1}	0.6264	0.6251
y_{B2}	0.5125	0.5115
$\pi_{_{A1}}$	0.3737	0.3745
$\pi_{_{A2}}$	0.3058	0.3064
$\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle B.1}$	0.3758	0.3751
$\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle B.2}$	0.3075	0.3069
u_i	3.0106	3.0121
u_j	3.098	3.0959

Table 6: SRI and correlation

The first column of Table 6 considers the case where there are higher probabilities to the state where a positive shock to productivity of one firm is counterbalanced by a negative shock to the productivity of the other firm (negative correlation), while the second considers the reverse case of positive correlation. The results confirm that SRI is more painful to the agent when the correlation is negative. One can expect that from the hedging portfolio of the investor, trying to smooth consumption across states of nature. Also the price differential of the two stocks increases in the

negative correlation case with respect to the positive correlation case. This is due to the fact that a negative correlation is equivalent to considering the case where stocks are "more different" among themselves than the case of positive correlation. Therefore giving up the possibility of investing in one asset with a negative correlation is more costly in utility terms, because this exclusion increases volatility of consumption across states of nature.

Finally, Table 7 considers the case where the externality affects both consumer i and consumer j. In this case SRI on the part of consumer i benefits both consumers.

	-	
	$\alpha = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.5, \gamma = 1.1$
$Z_{i,A,0}$	0.5	0.0
$Z_{j,A,0}$	0.5	1.0
$Z_{i,B,0}$	0.5	0.7384
$Z_{j,B,0}$	0.5	0.2616
$C_{i,0}$	0.7485	0.7496
$c_{j,0}$	0.7485	0.7479
p_A	0.3773	0.3753
$p_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.3773	0.3784
$x_{i,A,0}$	0.1258	0.0
$x_{j,A,0}$	0.1258	0.2502
$x_{i,B,0}$	0.1258	0.3478
$x_{j,B,0}$	0.1258	-0.0955
$y_{A,1}$	0.6334	0.632
$\mathcal{Y}_{A,2}$	0.5181	0.5171
$\mathcal{Y}_{B,1}$	0.6334	0.6341
$y_{B,2}$	0.5181	0.5188
$\pi_{_{A,1}}$	0.3800	0.3792
$\pi_{A,2}$	0.3108	0.3103
$\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle B1}$	0.3800	0.3805
$\pi_{B,2}$	0.3108	0.3113
u_i	3.0121	3.0102
u_j	3.0121	3.0132
		•

Table 7: externality on both consumers

The first column shows that when both consumers are affected, the equilibrium is symmetric also when an externality is present. The second column shows what happens when consumer i implements SRI. SRI affects market prices of stocks, as shown by the differential between the price

of the two stocks. It therefore indirectly affects the choices of consumer j, who decreases initial consumption, buys all of the stock of firm A and decreases the holding of stock B. Production and profit by firm A decrease but increase in firm B. Utility of i goes down as a consequence of activism and utility of j increases indirectly benefiting from SRI.

4. Conclusions

We started to explore the consequences of socially responsible investment in a simple two-period general equilibrium model. We assume that the firms which are discriminated against generate a negative externality towards a part of the consumers. We also assume that discrimination may be about all the financial assets issued by the firm or alternatively only the stock. The simulation model is simple but powerful enough to show some strong implications. Perhaps one of the main messages emerging from the results is that SRI is not likely to be a major force in shaping the market equilibrium in cases where it is restricted to a small subset of the agents, there is high substitutability across firms and does not consider loans to the firms. As such, it is not likely to change the productive choices of the firms and the negative implications for the consumers.

In a large economy with heterogeneous agents and firms there are many substitution possibilities. Firms which are discriminated against from a financial point of view can always find other investors who are willing to provide the financial resources necessary for the normal productive operations. Responsible agents are unlikely to deeply affect the overall equilibrium. On the other hand, their utility loss is likely to be minimal in such a case. SRI produces little but costs little. In a large economy there are many firms that issue financial assets which are useful for investors to smooth consumption over time. Giving up a priori investments in a given subset of firms does not amount to change the consumption program, which can be implemented by means of other financial assets.

Our results are plausible in the context of empirical observations: firms which are the subject of investors discrimination do not seem to be deeply affected in terms of performance. Moreover, socially responsible mutual funds do not seem to significantly underperform or overperform the other mutual funds, as shown by Hamilton, Jo and Statman (1993), and that is what one could expect in an environment characterized by large substitution possibilities across financial assets. Legal causes against single companies, take for example tobacco companies or pharmaceutical companies under the threat of having sold cures which revealed themselves to be dangerous, certainly affect the value of the firm. However this is one step beyond SRI per se.

Under what conditions can socially responsible behaviour be effective? Our results show that this may happen with the increase of the share of responsible investors and with the importance of the stock, where the latter concept is defined in terms of hedging against overall uncertainty. These are also the conditions in which SRI is particularly painful in utility terms, it may produce a lot but it also costs much.

Of course our results are obtained in the context of a stylized model. SRI is more likely to be relevant whenever companies are heavily dependent on the stock market as a financing instrument. For example companies are crucially dependent on the stock market in the phase of the initial public offering. Also the initial phase of venture capital depends heavily on the possibility to liquidate the investment by means of a public offering. A coalition of socially responsible investors which were able to boycott the IPO of a firm might perhaps be useful to block its expansion, even though it is hard to believe that other non-responsible investors were not willing to finance a profitable business.

Of course the current negative results on the relevance of SRI may be due to the specific functional choices made in this paper. The current version of the model is rather ad hoc and might be improved with an extended theoretical base (for example an infinite horizon or an overlapping generations structure) and with a richer set of experiments on the relevant parameters. The model may be used to understand which combinations of parameters generate an important effect of socially responsible investments. Our guess is that socially responsible investments is likely to be little effective in all cases of large substitutability across firms and financial assets. If this is true, investors will either find that SRI indicators are useful predictors of company profitability and stock price performance which merit attention on the grounds of purely financial analysis or drop SRI altogether, unless the proportion of investors who are concerned with SRI becomes so large as to really affect the amount of financial resources available to non SRI firms.

References

Abel, A.B., 2000, The effects of a baby boom on stock prices and capital accumulation in the presence of Social Security, mimeo, Wharton School

Brock, W.A., 1982, Asset prices in a production economy, in J.J. McCall (editor), The economics of information and uncertainty, University of Chicago press, Chicago

Dai, Q., 2000, From equity premium puzzle to expectations puzzle: A general equilibrium production economy with habit formation, mimeo

Epstein, L. and S. Zin, 1989, Substitution, risk aversion, and the temporal behavior of consumption and asset returns: A theoretical framework, Econometrica, 57, 937-968

Hamilton, S., H. Jo and M. Statman, 1993, Doing well while doing good? The investment performance of socially responsible mutual funds, Financial Analysts Journal, November-Deecember

Heaton, J. and D. Lucas, 1992, The effects of incomplete insurance markets and trading costs in c consumption-based asset pricing model, Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, 16, 601-620

Lakonishok, J., A. Shleifer and R.W. Vishny, 1992, The structure and performance of the money management industry, Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, Microeconomics

Lucas, R.E., 1978, Asset prices in an exchange economy, Econometrica, 46, 1429-1445

Lucas, R.E., 1982, Interest rates and currency prices in a two-country world, Journal of Monetary Economics, 10, 335-359

Merton, R.C., 1987, A simple model of capital market equilibrium with incomplete information, Journal of Finance, 42, 483-510

Naik, V., 1994, Asset prices in dynamic production economies with time-varying risk, Review of Financial Studies, 7, 781-801

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html

http://papers.ssrn.com

SUST	1.2002	K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
		Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa
ЕТА	2.2002	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
NV A T	2 2002	Preferences?
WAT	3.2002	Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
CI D (4 2002	Black Sea
CLIM	4.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International
		Comparison on European Gasoline Markets
ETA	7.2002	Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Eftichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An
		Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a
		Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11
		European Countries
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary
		Policy
KNOW	12.2002	Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable
		Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes:
		Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy
CLIM	16.2002	Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance
		Economy
Coalition	18.2002	L $ds = 16 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100}$. The Core in the Presence of Externalities
Theory		Luszlo A. ROCEI (NV). The Core in the resence of Externations
Network		
Coalition	19.2002	Steven I BRAMS Michael A IONES and D Marc KII GOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Theory		Section Dialities, internet in bottles and D. Marc MEGOOR (IN). <u>Single Fearcaness and Disconnected</u>
Network		Coalitions
Coalition	20.2002	Guillaume H4FRINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Theory		Guildane Hillenvolk (IIV). On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Network		
NRM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach
		in Insular Systems
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
		Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
CLIM	23.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US
		Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech
ETA	24.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
CLIM	25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
		Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
		Change
SUST	27.2002	Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
		Conservation Plans
SUST	28.2002	The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
KNOW	29.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence
ETA	30.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies

NRM	31.2002	Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
		the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
NRM	32.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
KNOW	33.2002	A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
		Industries?
KNOW	34.2002	G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage
		Effect
CLIM	35.2002	C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from
		Economic Theory and International Experiences
CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (Iv): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (IV): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (lv): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
		the Framework of Agenda 2000
NRM	40.2002	S. M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
		under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA. R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
		Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42 2002	C OHI (1vi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43 2002	L EVC(WAN), Including Eleventhematic Co-operation of unit Design of Elements of the start of the second st
CLINI	45.2002	Analysis with MacGEM
CLIM	44 2002	Analysis with MacOEM A ANTOCI and S DORCHESI (hei): Working Too Much in a Dolluted World: A North South Evolutionomy
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (W). Working Too Much in a Ponuted world. A North-South Evolutionary
	45 2002	Model D. C. EREDRIKSSON I. I. A. UST ID: INHURPET(I.). Chainethe Southerteche Statement
EIA	45.2002	P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (IVI): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
		Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (Ivi): <u>A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect</u>
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
		8
Theory		
Theory Network		
Theory Network FTA	52 2002	Robert N_STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
Theory Network ETA	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
Theory Network ETA ETA	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and B. KLEIN (Jiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
Theory Network ETA ETA	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott RAPPETT (liji): Towards a Patter Climate Treaty
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Biskert O. Secture and Betwert N. STAVING: Cost Haterogeneity and the Potential Society Form Market
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Decided Delivier
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	 Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 63.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 63.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Penvironmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or meaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PDIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 57.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS: Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 65.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's O </td
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 68.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (vii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (vii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's.Q Federico
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Franny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Ulnion Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (iiii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Famny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002 	 Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iiii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the UK. Barbara K. BUCHINER and Roberto ROSON: Co

NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
	72 2002	Irrigation
PRIV	/3.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical
PRIV	74 2002	Evidence from Egypt Mike RURKART Equato PANUNZL and Andrei SHI EIEER: Family Firms
PRIV	75.2002	<i>Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD:</i> Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
	, 0.2002	Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
		Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
		Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: <u>How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?</u>
DDIV	80 2002	Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PKIV	80.2002	Alberto R. PETRUCCI: Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and wealth Displacement in a Small Open
CLIM	81 2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
CLIM	01.2002	of the Montreal Protocol
PRIV	82.2002	George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization. Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
		Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
		to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: An
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (lv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
CL D (06.0000	Misconceptions
CLIM	86.2002	Pierre COURTOIS: Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
EIA	87.2002	VIIO FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (IVIII): <u>Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance</u>
ETA FTA	89 2002	Timo GOESCHI and Timothy M SWANSON (lyiji): Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an
	07.2002	evolutionary biological system
ETA	90.2002	Hans KEIDING (Iviji): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	91.2002	Wietze LISE (lviii): A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production
		Units
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
CL D (05 0000	Agreements
CLIM	95.2002	Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
CUM	06 2002	International Structure and Reality Matthian Control Parises on The Design in Environmental Palian
KNOW	90.2002	Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
KINOW	77.2002	Thailand
ETA	98.2002	Gianfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
		GARCH Models
ETA	99.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul
		EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: <u>Biodiversity and Globalization</u>
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements – If per capita emission levels matter
EIA	103.2002	Pierre-Andre JOUVEI and Walid OUESLAII: <u>Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous</u>
ETA	104 2002	Anna ROTTASSO and Alassandro SEMBENELLI: Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Date
LIA	104.2002	Fidence on Italy
PRIV	105.2002	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Frank DE JONG, Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolva SCHINDELE: Privatization and
		Stock Market Liquidity
ETA	106.2002	Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (Iviii): Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game
PRIV	107.2002	Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of
		Privatisation in Developing Countries
PRIV	108.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial
DD ••••	100 0000	Diversification
PRIV	109.2002	Alberto CHONG and Florencio LOPEZ-DE-SILANES: Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the
	110 2002	World Nandini CUDT () Dertial Drivertization and Firm Derformer
	110.2002	Francois DEGEORGE Dirk IENTER Alberto MOEL and Pater TUEANO: Salling Company Shares to
1 1/1 #	111.2002	Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience

PRIV	112.2002	Isaac OTCHERE: Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and
		Developing Countries
PRIV	113.2002	Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI: Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization
PRIV	114.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS: Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets
PRIV	115.2002	D. Teja FLOTHO: A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration
PRIV	116.2002	Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI: Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets:
		The Case of Hungary
PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical
		Issues
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
		Market
CLIM	4.2003	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTROM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of
		Government Commitment
KNOW	5.2003	Reyer GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA	6.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO: Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model
SIEV	7.2003	Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: <u>The</u>
		Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment:
1014	0.000	Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissatos PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u>
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARROS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAIT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
WNOW	10 2002	with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	Element CASTELINGOVO And Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of
KNOW	12 2002	<u>Climate Change Policy Analysis</u>
KNUW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Glanmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eas.): Economic Growin, Innovation, Cultural
KNOW	13 2003	Carola MAIGNAN Gianmarco OTTAVIANO Dino PINELLI and Erangasco PULLANI (liv): Bio Ecological
KINOW	13.2003	Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures
KNOW	14 2003	Maddy IANSSENS and Chris STEVAERT (liv): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and
KINO W	14.2005	Future Trajectories
KNOW	15 2003	Turin RAYCAN LEVENT Enno MASUREL and Poter NUKAMP (lix): Diversity in Entrepreneurshin: Ethnic and
KINO W	15.2005	Female Roles in Urban Economic Life
KNOW	16 2003	Alexandra RITUSIKOVA (lix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from
	10.2005	Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Theory		
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Monopoly with Resale
PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
		Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV	22.2003	Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-
		Price Auctions
PRIV	23.2003	David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV	24.2003	Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment
PRIV	25.2003	Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in
		Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions
PRIV	26.2003	Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (Ix): <u>Rationing in IPOs</u>
PRIV	27.2003	Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (Ix): <u>Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes</u>
PRIV	28.2003	Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (Ix): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative
DDUV		Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts
PRIV	29.2003	TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (Ix): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
	30.2003	Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (IX): <u>Auctions with Financial Externalities</u>
EIA	31.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca KUNDSHAGEN: <u>A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive</u>
KNOW	22 2002	Externally NIU-Coalition Games
	32.2003	Philippa OUIDION: Palative Ouotae: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Cose of Paculatory Conture?
F KI V K NOW	33.2003	<i>Ciucanna MEDA Claudia PIGA and Danald SIECEL</i> : On the Delationship between D&D and Draductivity.
MINOW	34.2003	Treatment Effect Analysis
ET 4	35 2003	Alessandra DEL ROCA Marrie GALEOTTL and Paola ROTA: Non-convertises in the Adjustment of Different
LIA	55.2005	Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation
		Suprai inpuss. I I inii is voi invosuguton

GG	36.2003	Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats
PRIV	37.2003	<i>Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection</i>
CLIM	38.2003	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers
KNOW	39.2003	Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade
CTN	40.2003	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations
KNOW	41.2003	Sonia OREFFICE: Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply
KNOW	42 2003	Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing
in to the	12.2005	Biotechnologies
ETA	43.2003	Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US
CLIM	44.2003	Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES: The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its
	45 2003	Encourted BOPTOLOTTL and Paolo PINOTTL: The Political Economy of Privatization
FNIV	45.2003	Behavio BOKTOLOTTI una Fuoto FINOTTI. <u>The Folical Economy of Finalization</u>
SIEV	40.2005	Disposal Methods
ETA	47 2002	<u>Disposal Methods</u>
EIA	47.2003	Results for Germany
CLIM	48.2003	Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS: The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing
CLIM	49.2003	Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER: Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting
		Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits
CTN	50.2003	László Å. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (lxi): The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension
CTN	51 2003	Matthew O. JACKSON (1xi) Allocation Rules for Network Games
CTN	52 2003	And MALLEON and Vincent VANNETEL ROSCH ((wi): Earlightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation
CTN	53 2003	<i>Farmado VEGA-BEDONDO</i> (vi): Building Un Social Canital in a Changing World: a network approach
CTN	54 2003	Matthew HAAG and Porger LAGINOFE (ivi): On the Size and Structure of Group Concertion
CTN	55 2003	Taili FUIDISA WA and Figor KONSHI (IN): Ena Trade Naturals
CTN	56 2003	Halis News YII DIZ (V): National Varias International Margars and Trade Liberalization
CIN	57 2002	Restriction of Allistan U.B. Matchield Versus International Weigers and Tack Enderlandon
CIN	57.2003	Environmental Agreements
KNOW	58.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: <u>ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A</u> Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research
KNOW	59 2003	Giorgio RELLETTINI and Giammarco LP OTTAVIANO: Special Interests and Technological Change
ETA	60 2003	Romin SCHÖR: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey
	61 2003	Michael FINUS, Fike yen IEPLAND and Pohert DELLINK, Stability of Climate Coulitions in a Cartal
CLIW	01.2003	Michael Phyos, Ewo van IEREAND and Robert DELENKE, <u>Stability of Climate Coantions in a Carter</u>
CC	62 2002	romation Game
00	02.2003	Michael FINOS and Dianca KONDSHAGEN. How the Rules of Coantion Formation Affect Stability of
CIEV	(2 2002	International Environmental Agreements
SIEV	63.2003	Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy
CLIM	64.2003	Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARREIT and Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of</u>
OIEV.	(5.0002	Chobar Climate Policy Architectures
SIEV	65.2003	Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy
SIEV	66.2003	Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the
		Environment
SIEV	67.2003	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam
		Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise
CLIM	68.2003	ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply
		with its Kyoto Target
KNOW	69.2003	David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation
KNOW	70.2003	<i>Ercole SORI</i> (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History
KNOW	71.2003	Liiliana DERU SIMIC (lxii): What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?
KNOW	72 2003	Nataba V. $TARANOVA$ (byi): The Role of the City in Exstering Intergroup Communication in a
	, 2.2003	Multicultural Environment: Soint Detersburg's Case
KNOW	72 2002	Municultar Environment, same-retersolig's case
NNOW	13.2003	<i>Krisune CKAIVE</i> (IXII): <u>The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of</u>
		Globalisation and Migration
KNOW	74.2003	Kazuma MATOBA (lxii): Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication
KNOW	75.2003	Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA (lxii): Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese
		in Portugal
KNOW	76.2003	Sandra WALLMAN (lxii): The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems

KNOW	77.2003	Richard PEARCE (lxii): A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities
KNOW	78.2003	Vincent MERK (lxii): Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of
		the Dilemmas
KNOW	79.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P.OTTAVIANO: Child Labor and
		Resistance to Change
ETA	80.2003	Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA: Investment Size and Firm's Value
		under Profit Sharing Regulation
IEM	81.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Oil and Product Dynamics in
		International Petroleum Markets
CLIM	82.2003	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against
		Environmental Regulation
CLIM	83.2003	Giuseppe DI VITA: Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?
CLIM	84.2003	Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes
NRM	85.2003	Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: How Fast are the Tourism Countries
		Growing? The cross-country evidence
KNOW	86.2003	Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: The ICT Revolution:
		opportunities and risks for the Mezzogiorno
SIEV	87.2003	Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural
		Resources. How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments
CLIM	88.2003	Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: New Roads to International Environmental Agreements:
		The Case of Global Warming
CLIM	89.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Economic Development and Environmental Protection
CLIM	90.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to
		Decoupling?
CLIM	91.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing
		<u>Countries</u>
IEM	92.2003	A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: The Influence of Climate Change Considerations
		on Energy Policy: The Case of Russia
ЕГА	93.2003	Andrea BELTRATTI: Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium

(1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001

(li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001

(lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001

(liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

(liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002

(lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei , Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001

(lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE – Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum fur Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001

(lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002

(lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002

(lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002

(lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003

(lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities", The Hague, November 7-8, 2002

2002 SERIES

CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
VOL	Voluntary and International Agreements (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SUST	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
MGMT	Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

2003 SERIES

CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	Coalition Theory Network