

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Snyder, Lori D.; Stavins, Robert N.; Wagner, Alexander F.

Working Paper

Private Options to Use Public Goods Exploiting Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits

Nota di Lavoro, No. 49.2003

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Snyder, Lori D.; Stavins, Robert N.; Wagner, Alexander F. (2003): Private Options to Use Public Goods Exploiting Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits, Nota di Lavoro, No. 49.2003, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118086

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Private Options to Use Public Goods Exploiting Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits

Lori D. Snyder, Robert Stavins and Alexander F. Wagner NOTA DI LAVORO 49.2003

JUNE 2003

CLIM – Climate Change Modelling and Policy

Lori D. Snyder, John F. Kennedy School of Government

Harvard University

Robert N. Stavins, John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University

and Resources for the Future

Alexander F. Wagner, Harvard University

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Private Options to Use Public Goods Exploiting Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits

Summary

We develop and apply a new method for estimating the economic benefits of an environmental amenity. The method fits within the household production framework (Becker 1965), and is based upon the notion of estimating the derived demand for a privately traded option to utilize a freely-available public good. In particular, the demand for state fishing licenses is used to infer the benefits of recreational fishing. Using panel data on state fishing license sales and prices for the continental United States over a fifteen-year period, combined with data on substitute prices and demographic variables, a license demand function is estimated with instrumental variable procedures to allow for the potential endogeneity of administered prices. The econometric results lead to estimates of the benefits of a fishing license, and subsequently to the expected benefits of a recreational fishing day. In contrast with previous studies, which have utilized travel cost or hypothetical market methods, our approach provides estimates that are directly comparable across geographic areas. Further, our results suggest that the benefits of recreational fishing days are generally less than previously estimated.

JEL: Q26, Q21, Q22, H41

Address for correspondence:

Robert N. Stavins Harvard University John F. Kennedy School of Government 79 JFK Street Cambridge MA 02138 USA

Phone: 617-495-1820 Fax: 617-496-3783

E-mail: robert stavins@harvard.edu

PRIVATE OPTIONS TO USE PUBLIC GOODS

EXPLOITING REVEALED PREFERENCES TO ESTIMATE ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS

Lori D. Snyder, Robert N. Stavins and Alexander F. Wagner*

We develop and apply a new method for estimating the economic benefits of an environmental amenity. The method fits within the household production framework (Becker 1965), and is based upon the notion of estimating the derived demand for a privately traded option to utilize a freely-available public good. In particular, the demand for state fishing licenses is used to infer the benefits of recreational fishing. Using panel data on state fishing license sales and prices for the continental United States over a fifteen-year period, combined with data on substitute prices and demographic variables, a license demand function is estimated with instrumental variable procedures to allow for the potential endogeneity of administered prices. The econometric results lead to estimates of the benefits of a fishing license, and subsequently to the expected benefits of a recreational fishing day. In contrast with previous studies, which have utilized travel cost or hypothetical market methods, our approach provides estimates that are directly comparable across geographic areas. Further, our results suggest that the benefits of recreational fishing days are generally less than previously estimated.

1. INTRODUCTION

When considering a number of disparate environmental and natural resource issues, policy makers may wish to have estimates of the economic value of a day of recreational fishing. Indeed, in the past, such estimates have been used in analyses of the consequences of: new dams and reservoirs, improvements in water quality, cleanups of abandoned hazardous waste sites, and reductions in the magnitude of global climate change. Virtually all of these estimates have been made with one of two methods: contingent valuation, a direct survey approach employing hypothetical constructed markets; or travel-cost, an indirect market-based method. The use — more broadly — of the first of these approaches has generated considerable controversy within economics; and both approaches require large quantities of geographically specific data.

In this context, it is of interest to have an independent set of estimates — based upon a conceptually distinct, revealed-preference approach — of the economic benefits of a recreational fishing-day. Our methodology differs from previous studies in two important respects. First, we estimate willingness-to-pay for a recreational fishing day from observed behavior regarding the purchase of fishing licenses, rather than observed behavior regarding travel to sites or stated

^{*}Snyder is a Ph.D. student in Public Policy at Harvard University; Stavins is the Albert Pratt Professor of Business and Government at the John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, and a University Fellow of Resources for the Future; and Wagner is a Ph.D. student in Political Economy and Government at Harvard University. Financial support was provided by Resources for the Future, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and the Dean's Research Fund, John F. Kennedy School of Government. The analysis benefitted from discussions with Andrew Metrick, Richard Newell, and Kerry Smith, and comments from participants at seminars at the National Bureau of Economic Research and Harvard University. The data set, which builds upon previous work by Scott Wolf (1988), was assembled with the help of a series of research assistants, including: Rod Bender, Nancy Bilica, Alisha Bloom, Diane Cherry, Peter Condon, Andrew Hoffman, and Michael Susanto. The authors alone are responsible for any errors.

¹For an overview of the controversy, see: Portney 1994; Hanemann 1994; and Diamond and Hausman 1994.

preferences regarding those sites. Second, the two existing approaches² use detailed micro-data (of observations of opportunity costs of travel or respondents' explicit estimates of willingness-to-pay) to develop benefit estimates specific to particular bodies of water. This is both their advantage and disadvantage. In contrast, the approach developed in this paper uses aggregate data at the state level to derive estimates — in a national modeling framework — of state averages of recreational benefits.³ As a result, our state estimates are directly comparable among one another, allowing inferences to be made about relative recreational benefits across geographic areas with more confidence than is possible based on previously available methods.

Given these methodological differences, it is perhaps not surprising that our results differ—in some cases significantly—from those previously available. In particular, our estimates are generally lower—in some cases, much lower—than those from previous studies.

1.1 Overview of Method

Throughout the United States, a state fishing license is required for recreational fishing on any and all bodies of water, with the exception of privately own ponds. Thus, apart from the possibility of illegal fishing activity, to which we return below, a license is a necessary condition for deriving benefits from a day of recreational fishing. Likewise, apart from the relatively rare urbanite who may enjoy displaying to others an (unused) fishing license, experiencing some fishing days is a necessary prerequisite for deriving benefits from owning a fishing license.⁴

Building upon the household production approach to consumer behavior (Becker 1965), Bockstael and McConnell (1983) identified the conditions under which empirical knowledge of the demand function for a private, market good could be used to infer the benefits derived from a related public good. In a simple model,⁵ let X be the number of fishing days experienced, L a fishing license, and Z a composite of other goods and services. If utility is defined by the function,

$$U = U(X, L, Z)$$
 (1)

then the above situation can be represented by the following pair of marginal utility relationships:

²Other direct, revealed-preference methods that have been used for examining environmental amenities and that require detailed micro-data — hedonic property and wage models — have not been applied to estimating the value of recreational fishing days (Freeman 2003). In principle, a sport fishing demand function could also be estimated in some cases by drawing on data from pay-for-use facilities, such as private, managed trout ponds, where users are charged for access or use (Vaughan and Russell 1982).

³Seneca and Davis (1976), in an analysis of the factors affecting participation in recreational activities, carried out a county-level, cross-sectional econometric analysis of the factors affecting fishing license sales in West Virginia in 1970. Because there was no variation in license prices in the cross section, price could not be included as an explanatory variable.

⁴We return later to the possibility that the license provides its owner with the *option* to go fishing, and thereby that simply *expecting* to go fishing is all that is required for a person to derive benefits from owning a license.

⁵For a detailed theory of the utilization of recreational fisheries, see: Anderson 1993.

$$\frac{\partial U\left(X,0,Z\right)}{\partial X} = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial U\left(0,L,Z\right)}{\partial L} = 0 \tag{3}$$

defining what McConnell (1992) terms "joint weak complementarity." Thus, we can employ information about peoples' revealed valuation of fishing licenses, measured by the appropriate area under the respective demand functions, to draw inferences regarding their revealed valuation of (expected) recreational fishing days (Smith 1991).

The first step is to estimate econometrically a set of demand functions for state annual recreational fishing licenses. By measuring the appropriate area under the (state-specific) inverse demand function, we can estimate the average benefits per capita of fishing licenses. Further manipulation leads to an estimate of the average benefits of fishing licenses *per license* (again, specific to states and years). From this we derive a revealed-preference estimate of the expected value of a recreational fishing day. This value can be compared with estimates derived in previous studies by contingent valuation, travel cost, or other methods.

1.2 Preview of the Paper

In Part 2, we describe our data, and in Part 3, we describe the econometric analysis, including the results from ordinary least squares (OLS) and instrumental variables (IV) regressions. In Part 4, we use the econometric results from the IV equations to derive estimates of average expected recreational fishing day values, and we compare these estimates with results from previous studies. In Part 5, we review methodological implications and reflect on the numerical results.

2. DATA

Recreational fishing licenses are sold by all fifty states. In all cases, prices are administratively set by state governments, and licenses are sold without limit. This study focuses on a panel of licenses sold in 48 states⁶ over a 15-year period (1975 to 1989).⁷ We aggregated the numerous types of fishing licenses that exist into ten categories. All states offered both resident and nonresident

⁶Hawaii is excluded from the analysis because the structure of license demand does not match the rest of the United States, and Tennessee is excluded because it does not sell a fishing license *per se*, but a "Sportsman License," which can be used for both hunting and fishing.

⁷Since our motivation and contribution is largely methodological in nature, we have chosen a time period for our analysis for which a large number of previous studies exist, the results of which can be compared with our own. In any event, it is highly unlikely that fishable waters have changed in the interim to such degree as to change significantly our relative and absolute estimates.

licenses, the former at lower prices. Resident annual licenses were by far the most popular type, with sales of more than 257 million over the 15-year sample period, representing about two-thirds of all licenses sold (Table 1).⁸ Second in numerical importance were resident "combination licenses" that allow for both hunting and fishing during a given year.

Various duration short-term fishing licenses were also available to residents in many states; these allowed for as little as a single day or as much as two weeks of fishing, but — in total — made up only 3 percent of all resident fishing license sales. In contrast, nonresident license sales were much more heavily weighted toward short-term permits. In fact, about 65 percent of all nonresident fishing license sales during the sample period were of short-term licenses (Table 1).

There was substantial variation in aggregate and per capita sales of the various types of licenses. The variation was greatest across states, but was also significant within states over time. For example, in the final year of the sample, 1989, resident annual license sales ranged from about one percent to over 25 percent of state population. There was also considerable variation in (real) license prices across states and over time. In the case of resident annual licenses, for example, the range in the sample was from a minimum of \$3.79 to a maximum of \$32.80° (Table 2). In the final year of the sample, the range was from \$7.63 (Minnesota) to \$26.73 (Colorado). The variation was even greater for some of the other license categories. For example, in 1989, the minimum price of a nonresident annual license was \$16.66 (South Dakota) and the maximum was \$69.44 (California).

In addition to the license price and quantity information, data were assembled on various demographic, political, and environmental variables that were thought to be relevant to license demand or necessary for establishing the links between license demand and participants' expected benefits of a recreational fishing day. These data are summarized in Table 2 and discussed in the next section.

3. ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF LICENSE DEMAND

Since license prices are set administratively by states, and licenses are sold without limit, the quantity sold at various prices traces out a demand function if the administratively set prices are exogenously determined and if any relevant variables that are omitted are uncorrelated with the license price. The first set of variables — in addition to the license price itself — that would seem to be relevant are the prices of major substitutes. In this analysis we focus on the demand for resident annual fishing licenses, and therefore the relevant substitute prices include the price of

⁸Two states — Montana and Wyoming — required the purchase of a "conservation stamp" in addition to a fishing license. The cost of the stamp was added to the price of a license.

⁹These and all other monetary amounts in this paper are expressed in year 2000 dollars.

¹⁰Under these conditions, the observed price-quantity combinations are the intersections of infinitely elastic license supply functions (one for each price) and an assumed downward-sloping license demand function. This situation contrasts with hunting licenses, which are *not* sold without limit in most states. At administered prices, there are typically shortages, which are dealt with in various ways, including random lotteries and waiting lists. Because of this difference, the approach described in this paper is not directly applicable to valuing a recreational hunting day.

resident short-term fishing licenses, the price of resident combination fishing and hunting licenses, and the price of nonresident licenses in adjacent states.¹¹

Presumably, the characteristics of demanders are also relevant, and we therefore included the following demographic variables in the resident license demand estimation: median family income; mean years of education; and the share of the population living in urban areas. Finally, the nature of available recreational fishing resources in states should affect demand for state fishing licenses. We dealt with this in two ways. First, we included a variable that measures acres of "fishable waters" per state, 12 but this treats all fishing resources as being homogeneous in terms of the experiences they offer. Clearly, this is not correct. An acre of pristine, high-quality Colorado mountain stream is not equivalent to an acre of Ohio reservoir. The omitted variable — quality of fishing waters — is likely to be important and may be correlated with license price, hence causing biased estimates of demand elasticity. The problem presented by such unobserved quality is not insurmountable, because although quality variation is dramatic across states, quality variation within states over time is trivial by comparison. Hence, we can model this unobserved factor as a fixed effect.

This leaves one concern regarding the possibility of inferring a true demand relationship from econometric estimates: is it supply, demand, or some combination of the two that is being observed? In other words, are price and quantity simultaneously determined, or are prices *exogenously* set by states? A reasonable first approximation is that the administered prices are set exogenously by state officials, and so we begin with ordinary least squares (OLS) estimates. But it is not difficult to posit theories of administered prices that support the notion that these prices are endogenous. Hence, we follow the OLS estimates with a set of specifications in which we treat the license price as endogenous, and estimate the relationships with instrumental variable (IV) methods.

3.1 Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Estimation

Resident annual licenses comprise approximately two-thirds of all fishing licenses sold in the United States. ¹³ For resident license demand, the dependent variable was expressed as sales per capita. ¹⁴ Fixed effects were employed to control for constant differences among states in the quantity and quality of their recreational fishing resources. Thus, for various specifications of the demand for resident annual licenses, we have:

¹¹A problem arises in specifying which state licenses are relevant as substitutes. We constructed a variable that is a weighted average of prices of specified types of nonresident licenses in adjacent states and Canadian provinces.

¹²Note that this variable varies not only across states, but also over time, reflecting both development of new reservoirs and changes in water quality.

¹³To whatever degree the holders of annual licenses have greater or lesser fishing-day valuations than holders of other categories of fishing licenses, the eventual results will tend to over or under-estimate average state valuations.

¹⁴These equations were also estimated with sales as the dependent variable and state population as an independent variable; the estimated parameters on population were not significantly different from 1.0 (in the primitive equations). An additional reason for estimating the demand equations in per capita terms was to reduce potential problems associated with heteroskedasticity in the error terms.

$$\frac{Q_{it}}{N_{it}} = f(P_{it}, P_{it}^{S1}, D_{it}^{S1}, P_{it}^{S2}, D_{it}^{S2}, P_{it}^{S3}, D_{it}^{S3}, P_{it}^{NR}, F_{it}, U_{it}, E_{it}, Y_{it}, D_{i}, D_{t}, \varepsilon_{it}, \beta)$$
(4)

where Q_{it} = quantity of sales of resident annual license in state i in year t;

 N_{it} = population of state i in year t;

 P_{it} = price of resident annual license in state i in year t;

 P_{it}^{S1} = price of short-term, type 1 (1-3 day) resident license in state i in year t;

 D_{it}^{S1} = dummy variable which equals unity if a short-term, type 1 resident license is not offered in state i in year t, and otherwise equals zero;

 P_{it}^{S2} = price of short-term, type 2 (4-9 day) resident license in state i in year t;

 D_{it}^{S2} = dummy variable which equals unity if a short-term, type 2 resident license is not offered in state i in year t, and otherwise equals zero;

 P_{it}^{S3} = price of short-term, type 3 (10-15 days) resident license in state i in year t;

 D_{it}^{S3} = dummy variable which equals unity if a short-term, type 3 resident license is not offered in state i in year t, and otherwise equals zero;

 P_{it}^{NR} = average price of adjacent state nonresident annual licenses for state i in year t;

 F_{it} = area of fishable waters (acres) in state i in year t;

 U_{it} = share of population living in urban areas in state i in year t;

 E_{it} = mean years of education of population in state i in year t;

 Y_{it} = median family income in state i in year t;

 D_i = state fixed effects;

 D_t = annual fixed effects;

 ϵ_{it} = an independent, but not necessarily homoscedastic error term;

 β = parameters to be estimated.

The results of estimating the fixed effects models of demand for resident annual fishing license are reported in Table 3 for three functional forms: linear; multiplicative (log-log); and semilog. For each functional form, we report two specifications: one includes the prices of all relevant substitutes as explanatory variables; and the other includes only the price of short-term Type 1 licenses plus dummy variables for each year.

In general, estimated own price effects were negative and statistically significant, and substitute price effects were positive, as expected.¹⁵ The parsimonious specification that included

¹⁵But when the full menu of substitute prices were included, some of the respective parameters were insignificant and negative. The prices of nonresident licenses in neighboring states performed particularly poorly. This could be because

only short-term Type 1 resident licenses as substitutes consistently yielded positive and statistically significant coefficients (for all three functional forms). Goodness-of-fit statistics were reasonably good for these fixed-effects models, with R² on the order of .15 to .23; not surprisingly, the complete models — including the fixed effects — explain a much greater share of the observed variance. The order of .15 to .23 is not surprisingly.

It is simplest to consider the results from the multiplicative (log-log) specification, because the estimated coefficients can be interpreted as elasticities. The own-price elasticity was consistently -0.19 to -0.20. The cross-price elasticities (of substitute licenses) were all positive in the parsimonious specification, and quite small. The attempt to capture (partially) resource-quality effects with the fishable waters variable met with limited success. The variable was consistently positive and statistically significant, but the elasticities were small. Presumably, much of the variation in the quality of fishing resources across states was picked up by the fixed effects. Finally, the demographic variables seem to have had some effect on fishing license demand, but while the signs were consistent across specifications, the statistical significance and magnitude of the effects varied. In several specifications, income was positive and statistically significant. In other specifications, years of education was negative and significant.

3.2 Potential Problems

These results raise two major concerns: the effect of illegal fishing activity on the estimates; and the possibility of endogeneity of license prices. Since the purpose of econometrically estimating fishing license demand is to derive implied valuations of expected recreational fishing days, it is necessary to consider the implications of illegal fishing, that is, fishing without a license.²⁰ This, by

the simple arithmetic average of neighboring state prices does not correctly capture the role that neighboring state fishing opportunities play in the demand for resident annual licenses. If sufficient data were available, it would be preferable to allow the econometrics to determine the appropriate weighting of the neighboring state prices.

¹⁶The latter specification includes dummy variables for each year in the sample. On average, nominal license prices changed only 2.5 times per state over the 15-year sample period. Therefore, much (but not all) of the intertemporal variation in prices consists of gradually declining *real* prices. If, at the same time, sales were drifting upward, this would yield a negative correlation, but not one due to price-quantity demand effects. The yearly dummy variables were included to examine this potential problem. However, their inclusion did not materially affect the results, and so it appears unlikely that the observed negative price elasticities were due to such spurious correlation.

¹⁷A Hausman specification test consistently rejected the hypothesis that state-level variation could be adequately modeled as a random effect.

¹⁸The estimated elasticities at the mean from the other specifications are roughly consistent with these constant elasticities of demand.

 $^{^{19}}$ Not all states offer all categories of substitute (short-term) licenses during all time periods. In a sense, the "prices" of these non-existent licenses are infinite. The various specifications allow for the effect of some type of license not being available through the inclusion of dummy variables, D_{it} , where $(1-D_{it})$ is interacted with the respective license price, so that for each observation either a substitute price effect or a lack-of-substitute effect is estimated. In theory, both should be positive, which they consistently were.

²⁰If we were concerned with the demand for fishing licenses per se, then illegal fishing would not be a problem for the econometrics; indeed, in that case it is important to exclude illegal fishing, as the data implicitly do. Why might one

itself, need not be a problem given the approach that is taken below to derive valuation from license demand, but it can lead to bias in the econometric estimates.

A theoretically desirable way of treating illegal fishing in the license demand equation would be to allow for this particular substitute activity. Hence, we would want to include as an explanatory variable the "price" of illegal fishing, which may be thought of as the magnitude of fines multiplied by the probability of being fined. Unfortunately, these fines are typically set by courts, not by statute or regulation, and data even for proxies of the probability of being fined (enforcement levels) are rarely available.²¹ Although it is reasonable to assume that the true "price of illegal fishing" is positively correlated with the demand for fishing licenses, it is much less clear how it is correlated with license prices, if at all. Hence, omitting this variable may not seriously bias the elasticity estimates.²²

There is also the possibility that license prices, administratively set by governments, are endogenous, that is, that causality runs not only from price to quantity, but vice-versa. How could this be the case? One potential source of such a causal linkage would be state budgets. States might seek to set license prices at levels that cover annual budgets of fish and game services, assumed to be more or less fixed over time. In the time series for a single state, this could yield a negative correlation between quantity of license sales and administered price (recognizing that this assumes that states can predict sales).

Another potential explanation for a spurious, negative price-quantity correlation is associated with persons sorting themselves for residence among states. People with strong preferences for fishing may be expected to exert political pressure to keep license prices low. If people with strong preferences for fishing move to relatively good fishing states (or develop preferences for fishing as a result of having been born and raised in such a state), then states with large quantities of license sales could tend to have relatively low prices, suggesting a source of spurious, negative cross-sectional correlation. We allow for price endogeneity by identifying a set of instruments for license price, and using instrumental variables in what is essentially a reduced form approach.²³

be interested in license demand in and of itself? One reason is that such an analysis can provide the relevant elasticities for examining revenue and other effects of fishing license taxes of various forms.

²¹To whatever degree these factors and their effects vary across states but are constant over time, they are picked up by the state fixed effects.

²²A more serious problem arises, however, if illegal fishing increases when license prices increase, perhaps as a form of protest. If this is the case, then demand responsiveness will be overestimated and the benefits of licenses will be underestimated.

²³A third potential problem with the fixed effects estimation results presented in the previous section is that the fixed effects model allows the intercept to vary, but other demand parameters are constrained to be equal across states. The data do not permit estimation of separate demand functions for each state.

3.3 Instrumental Variable (IV) Estimation

To address possible price endogeneity, we wanted an instrument or set of instruments that would be correlated with resident annual license prices, but uncorrelated with the error term (uncorrelated with license sales), that is, exogenous to the demand for fishing licenses. Since license prices were administratively set in each state, a reasonable set of instruments would be ones that are indicative of bureaucratic and political proclivities of states, such as the size of government, and the degree of regulation and taxation. In particular, it would be desirable to have instruments that represent states' (possibly changing) proclivities to employ user fees as opposed to taxes and regulations. These proclivities might be correlated with the administrative price of the fishing licenses, but it is less likely that they would be correlated with the quantity of fishing licenses sold.

The set of instruments used in this analysis were: cigarette taxes (cents per package); motor fuels taxes cents per gallon); general sales taxes (percent); and state expenditures (millions of dollars). The IV regression results are reported for the parsimonious specification for all three functional forms in Table 4. The results were robust to different specifications, including changes in the list of substitute prices and changes in the demographic variables. The parameters on the price and fishable waters variables are all of the logical sign and statistically significant, although several are quite small in magnitude. The demographic variable parameters are all of the expected sign, although some are not statistically different from zero. The own-price elasticity of demand is consistently greater (in absolute value) in the IV estimates than in the OLS estimates.²⁴

4. ESTIMATING THE VALUE OF A RECREATIONAL FISHING DAY

Three steps were required to derive the (state and year specific) average expected value of a recreational fishing day from the econometrically estimated demand functions for fishing licenses: derive average benefits of fishing licenses per capita from an estimated demand function; calculate average benefits per licensee; and estimate average expected value of a recreational fishing day.

4.1 Estimating Average Benefits of Owning Fishing Licenses from the Demand Function

To derive the average benefits of a fishing license (in per capita terms), we begin with an equation for which the parameters have been estimated econometrically. Consider the instrumental variables setup reported in Table 4. From the estimated demand curve, we can obtain point

²⁴

²⁴This suggests that whatever endogeneity underlies these results, it is not due to either of the linkages posited above, both of which suggested a negative bias for the OLS results. A Hausman specification test can be used to test whether the coefficients in the OLS and IV regressions are statistically different. Using this test, we cannot reject the null hypothesis that the coefficients are the same, that is, we cannot reject the hypothesis that OLS is the correct model. But the Hausman test looks at the weighted difference of all the coefficients, and we are only concerned with whether the price coefficient is statistically different. A different test regresses the license price on the instrumental variables and then includes the predicted price from this first-stage regression as an explanatory variable (in addition to the licence price itself) in the quantity regression. If the coefficient on the predicted price variable is statistically significant, then it is drawing variance from the error term that would otherwise be attributed to the price variable. This would be what we would expect if the price variable was endogenous. We found that the predicted price variable was consistently insignificant when included in the quantity regression. Therefore, we cannot reject the hypothesis that the price variables is exogenous.

estimates as well as uncertainty estimates (in the form of a variance-covariance matrix). We begin with calculations based on the point estimates.

We first set all variables — with the exception of the (annual resident) license price and the dependent variable (sales per capita) — equal to their actual values for a given state and year. Also, we set all parameters at their econometrically estimated values. The inverted form of the resulting equation (that is, the inverse demand function) is then integrated between the actual per capita demand (sales per capita) and zero (or an appropriate cutoff value for the log-log specification), yielding an estimate of the (revealed) benefits per capita of fishing licenses for each state and year. Multiplying by the state's population produces an estimate of the total benefits of licenses; and dividing this by license sales yields an estimate of the average revealed benefits of owning a fishing license *per licensee*:

$$B_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{it} \\ \int_{c} f(\hat{\alpha}_{it}, \hat{\beta}_{0}, q) dq \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{N}{Q}$$
 (5)

where $f(\cdot)$ = inverse demand function;

 q_{it} = per capita sales of resident annual licenses in state i in year t;

c = appropriate cutoff (zero for the linear and semi-log specifications of the demand curve);

 α_{it} = the fitted value from setting all variables — other than (annual resident) license price and the dependent variable — equal to their actual values for state i in year t, and all parameters, including the relevant fixed, state effect, at their econometrically estimated values;

 β_0 = the estimated own-price elasticity of demand; and

 B_L = average benefits of owning a fishing license (per licensee).

We primarily employ the log-log specification of the demand function for benefit-estimation purposes, because we believe on theoretical grounds that the demand for fishing licenses is unlikely to be linear, and because the log-log form consistently provides a better fit than the semi-log functional form. With this specification, however, it is impossible to integrate the demand curve between zero and actual per capita sales, q_{ir} , and so we need to identify a limit for purposes of integration. We examined a variety of such cutoff values, including \$33, 25 \$100, \$200, and \$500. The estimates implied by the log-log specification with a cutoff of \$200 are similar to those implied by the semi-log specification, and so we later focus on these results. It is important to note, however, that the *relative* magnitudes of the estimated benefits of recreational fishing across states do *not* change with different cutoff values.

²⁵This cutoff corresponds to the highest price actually charged for a license in our sample (\$32.80), and provides a very conservative estimate of benefits.

4.2 Estimating the Expected Value of a Recreational Fishing Day

Since an annual fishing license is essentially an option to purchase (through direct expenditures plus opportunity costs of time) some number of days of recreational fishing experience (up to the total number of days in the season), it would seem that the stochastic relationship between a fishing license and the experiences it can facilitate would bring forth an important component of "option value." After all, no one knows with certainty how many days they will be able to go fishing during a season. Two conditions, however, essentially undue the option value, and make it possible to infer user value directly. First, annual license sales continue throughout the season, up until the very last day. Hence, there is no necessity to purchase a license *before* the time of one's first expedition. Second, numerous short-term licenses (substitutes) are always available. ²⁶

Thus, if short-term licenses did not exist *and* if there were an early deadline for purchasing annual licenses, then it could be argued that any valuation linked with licenses would include both user and option value. In the absence of those conditions, however, it seems more reasonable to assume that the benefits of a fishing license are linked with expected use value. If we assume that consumers are risk neutral in regard to their fishing license purchases and that the duration of a fishing season is short enough that discounting is not a significant issue, then we can derive the approximate conditional value of an expected fishing day in a very direct manner from the license demand evidence. First, we can say that:

$$B_{L} = E_{\beta}B_{FD} \mid B_{FD} > 0 \cdot pr_{\beta}B_{FD} > 0 \cdot S$$
 (6)

where B_{FD} is the benefit (value) of a recreational fishing day; and S is the number of days in the season. Since the probability that the benefits are positive will be equivalent to the expected number of days of recreational fishing experienced, E[d], divided by the length in days of the season, S, we have the following:

$$E[B_{FD} \mid B_{FD} > 0] = \left[\frac{B_L}{E[d]}\right] \tag{7}$$

Thus, we can approximate the conditional value of an expected recreational fishing day by dividing the revealed valuation of an annual license by the expected number of fishing days. This is subject to four caveats. First, these relationships assume risk neutrality. If license purchasers are risk averse, then we will over-estimate the daily valuation. This seems, however, to be a second-order problem. Second, we have ignored discounting, but it is unlikely to amount to a significant error (relative to econometric and other sources of error), considering the length of the fishing season. Third, this assumes independence of the valuation of each day, but if there is declining

²⁶It is also true that an annual fishing license could offer option value for someone who decided he wanted to go fishing (for the first time in a season) in the middle of the night, when local outlets for purchasing a short-term license are closed. But this does not represent an important class of exceptions.

marginal valuation of fishing days by license holders and serial correlation among days of participation, then such independence does not hold. Fourth, depending upon the nature of unobserved heterogeneity among licensees within states, the aggregation may not produce the correct weighted average.

This takes us to the point of estimating the average expected number of fishing days per licensee per state per year, $E[d_{ii}]$. Various approaches to this problem exist, but a reasonable approximation is simply to use the actual numbers, which are periodically compiled at the state level by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). This may impart a slight upward bias to the final results, since the FWS numbers refer to all (resident) participants, not only annual license holders. Some of these are short-term license holders, who likely go fishing less frequently. But the overwhelming majority of licenses sold are annual licenses, implying that this problem will not be important. A final point concerns uncertainty estimates. We use Monte Carlo procedures to simulate confidence intervals for the benefits of a recreational fishing day.

The results from this analysis are summarized in Table 5, which provides an overview of benefit estimates derived with the various specifications (all estimated as IV regressions), averaged over the sample period. Our results provide evidence of substantial heterogeneity among states in the expected value of a recreational fishing day. The mountain states plus Alaska, Arkansas, and Minnesota exhibit valuations that are ten or even twenty times the magnitude of the estimates for the lowest value states, such as Delaware, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island. This should not be surprising, and any absence of such dramatic contrasts in previous studies might even be a source of concern. Our results reflect considerable uncertainty. The 90% confidence intervals are typically large, both in absolute terms and relative to the estimated benefits of recreational fishing.²⁸

In Table 6, we contrast our estimates with previous estimates of the value of a recreational fishing day, drawing upon a number of earlier studies that used either contingent valuation or travel-cost methods (but typically do not report confidence bounds).²⁹ Our results are generally much lower than previous estimates. Perhaps more important than the differences in absolute numbers, however, are the relative estimates of the benefits of recreational fishing. No matter which specification we use, the findings imply that fishing in states such as Colorado, Minnesota, Oregon, and Wisconsin, for example, conveys much greater recreational value than fishing in many other areas. Hence, a policymaker who were to extrapolate findings from site-specific studies of fishing in Oregon, for example, to other parts of the country could be employing a significantly biased

²⁷As reported in U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (1975, 1980, 1985, and 1991), the surveys provide annual estimates of state-level total days of recreational fishing (separately by residents and nonresidents) and the number of participants. The estimates from those five years were interpolated to provide a set of annual estimates for the period, 1975-1989. The U.S. average over this time period is about 20 days per year for residents and 10 days per year for nonresidents.

²⁸The large confidence intervals we estimate for the highest-valuation states under the log-log specifications can be misleading, however, because of the extremely asymmetric distribution of mass within them. Note the location of the expected value (mean), relative to the 90% confidence limits, for example in the case of Colorado: the confidence bounds are 13.13 and 42.03, and the mean is 13.78.

²⁹We only consider states in Table 6 for which previous studies exist of the time period employed in our analysis and for which our results yield estimates that are statistically different from zero.

estimate. This would suggest particular caution when using so-called "benefit transfer" methods as frequently employed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and other regulatory agencies.

Throughout the above discussion, we emphasized factors that could alter our findings. First, if there is significant option value of licenses, the actual (use value) benefits of recreational fishing would be even less than estimated here. Second, if illegal fishing increases when license prices increase, demand responsiveness will be overestimated, implying that the benefits of licenses are underestimated. Third, to the extent that other license holders may have systematically different valuations than annual license holders, our estimates will be affected. Fourth, our calculation of the expected number of fishing days relies upon USFWS statistics, which may contain errors of their own.

5. CONCLUSION

As an alternative means of estimating — on an aggregate basis — the value of a recreational fishing day, the method developed here holds promise. There is ongoing controversy surrounding the use of the contingent valuation (CV) and other hypothetical market methods for environmental-benefit estimation. Furthermore, the data requirements of the CV (and other survey methods) and travel cost models are severe, and hence the expense of carrying out such analyses is a major impediment to their use. For this reason, government agencies such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency rarely carry out original analyses, typically relying instead on "benefit transfers" from previous studies. Given these realities, it is of considerable value to have access to a conceptually distinct method of estimating environmental values that is based upon a revealed-preference, econometric framework.

Our numerical estimates of recreational fishing-day values suggest great variation across geographic areas, and considerably lower values than previously reported. Since previous studies have been of single sites or single states, there was inevitably some question as to whether and to what degree any observed variations were due to real differences in values, as opposed to differences among respective models. Although our approach may suffer from being a macro-oriented approach — in contrast with survey methods and travel-cost models that focus on single sites — this is also an advantage, because it facilitates the development of a set of mutually consistent estimates that can be effectively compared with one another over time and space.

TABLE 1: MAJOR CATEGORIES OF RECREATIONAL FISHING LICENSES CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES, 1975-1989

		Total License Sales (T = 15 Years, N = 48 States)			
License Type	Number ^a	Share	Observations (NT)		
Resident Annual	257,054,000	67.6%	720		
Resident Combination ^b	52,690,000	13.9%	481		
Resident Short-Term 1 ^c	9,661,000	2.5%	203		
Resident Short-Term 2 ^d	349,000	0.1%	71		
Resident Short-Term 3 ^e	986,000	0.3%	29		
Nonresident Annual	20,059,000	5.3%	709		
Nonresident Combination	795,000	0.2%	118		
Nonresident Short-Term 1	17,022,000	4.5%	378		
Nonresident Short-Term 2	15,034,000	4.0%	422		
Nonresident Short-Term 3	6,374,000	1.7%	203		
All License Categories	380,024,000	100%	3,305		

^aRounded to the nearest 1,000.

b"Combination" licenses cover both fishing and hunting on an annual basis.

c"Short-Term Type 1" licenses are temporary fishing licenses, ranging in length from 1 to 3 days.

d"Short-Term Type 2" licenses are temporary fishing licenses, ranging in length from 4 to 9 days.

e"Short-Term Type 3" licenses are temporary fishing licenses, ranging in length from 10 to 15 days.

TABLE 2: RECREATIONAL FISHING LICENSES, 48 STATES, 1975-1989 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

Variable	Mean ^a	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximu m	Number of Observations
Quantity of Resident Annual Licenses per State	357,019	362,301	10,925	2,293,671	720
Quantity Per Capita of Resident Annual Licenses	0.091	0.050	0.010	0.249	720
Price of Resident Annual Licenses	\$14.89 ^b	3.48	\$3.79	\$32.80	720
Quantity of Resident Combination Licenses per State	109,543	104,386	5,059	724,990	481
Quantity Per Capita of Resident Combination Licenses	0.044	0.038	0.001	0.207	481
Price of Resident Combination Licenses	\$29.02	7.84	\$10.44	\$72.02	481
Quantity of Resident Type 1 Short-Term Licenses per State	47,590	64,300	194	307,893	203
Quantity Per Capita of Resident Type 1 Short-Term Licenses	0.015	0.015	0.0004	0.077	203
Price of Resident Type 1 Short- Term Licenses	\$9.01	3.47	\$1.74	\$31.77	203
Quantity of Resident Type 2 Short-Term Licenses per State	4,909	5,217	151	17,947	71
Quantity Per Capita of Resident Type 2 Short-Term Licenses	0.005	0.007	0.0001	0.026	71
Price of Resident Type 2 Short- Term Licenses	\$13.43	3.36	\$5.30	\$22.69	71
Quantity of Resident Type 3 Short-Term Licenses per State	34,000	20,657	93	74,141	29
Quantity Per Capita of Resident Type 3 Short-Term Licenses	0.007	0.005	0.0001	0.018	29
Price of Resident Type 3 Short- Term Licenses	\$6.69	1.20	\$4.14	\$10.44	29

^aFor states and time periods where particular types of licenses did not exist, "zero observations" have been eliminated before calculation of descriptive statistics. The unit of observation for the table is a state in a given year; there is no weighting to account for the underlying samples of unequal size.

^bAll monetary amounts throughout the paper are expressed in 2000 dollars.

TABLE 2 (CONTINUED): RECREATIONAL FISHING LICENSES, 48 STATES, 1975-1989 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximu m	Number of Observations
Quantity of Nonresident Annual Licenses per State	28,292	36,570	1,232	224,850	709
Price of Nonresident Annual Licenses	\$35.65	11.09	\$6.40	\$85.96	709
Quantity of Nonresident Combination Licenses per State	6,741	20,344	10	103,921	118
Price of Nonresident Combination Licenses	\$97.52	40.10	\$7.86	\$250.08	118
Quantity of Nonresident Type 1 Short-Term Licenses per State	45,031	42,310	562	216,568	378
Price of Nonresident Type 1 Short-Term Licenses	\$11.07	3.58	\$2.64	\$31.77	378
Quantity of Nonresident Type 2 Short-Term Licence's per State	35,625	38,964	661	180,712	422
Price of Nonresident Type 2 Short-Term Licenses	\$16.93	5.37	\$3.79	\$43.33	422
Quantity of Nonresident Type 3 Short-Term Licences per State	31,398	30,568	1	185,187	203
Price of Nonresident Type 3 Short-Term Licenses	\$22.19	7.26	\$6.15	\$47.13	203
Median Income (for four- person families)	\$51,585	5,072	\$36,956	\$91,269	720
Share of State Population Residing in Metro. Areas	0.614	0.230	0.126	1.000	720
Mean Years of Schooling	12.67	0.40	11.54	13.54	720
State Population	4,673,888	4,911,251	377,000	29,063,00	720
State Area (in square miles)	74,377	88,748	1,212	591,004	720

Total Area of Fishable Waters 1,845,732 4,054,958 5,983 25,416,00 (in acres)	33 25,416,00 720
--	------------------

TABLE 3: DEMAND FOR RESIDENT ANNUAL FISHING LICENSES FIXED EFFECTS

	Lin	ear	Semi	-Log	Multiplicative (Log-Log)		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Price of Residential Annual License	0015171*** (.00021)	0015584*** (.00022)	0156747*** (.00195)	0156678*** (.00203)	1978754*** (.02648)	198246*** (.02584)	
Price of Short-Term Type 1 License	.001335*** (.00035)	.0011662*** (.00035)	.006559*** (.00180)	.00678*** (.00194)	.0738751*** (.02443)	.0721838** (.02777)	
Dummy/No Short-Term Type 1 License	.0139258*** (.00286)	.012949*** (.00279)	.017713*** (.00365)	.0176151*** (.00389)	.1738659*** (.04751)	.16266*** (.05245)	
Price of Short-Term Type 2 License	0013835* (.00078)		009805 (.00654)		0187599 (.07160)		
Dummy/No Short-Term Type 2 License	0087098 (.00667)		0173988 (.01347)		.0295111 (.14443)		
Price of Short-Term Type 3 License	.0002694 (.00109)		.0037573 (.00473)		.0328461 (.07064)		
Dummy/No Short-Term Type 3 License	.0142384** (.00638)		.0173169** (.00779)		.1930563 (.12295)		
Price of Adjacent N-R Annual Licenses	0005014*** (.00010)		0164198*** (.00246)		1793059 (.02590)		
Quantity (Acres) of Fishable Waters	1.83 x 10 ⁻⁹ ** (7.57 x 10 ⁻¹⁰)	3.16 x 10 ⁻⁹ *** (5.42 x 10 ⁻¹⁰)	.0040064 (.00268)	.0079781*** (.00240)	.042351 (.02680)	.079086*** (.02372)	
Share of Population Living in Urban Areas	0317561* (.01614)	0174476 (.01761)	0110163* (.00660)	0070867 (.00695)	0636066 (.05285)	0051365 (.05332)	
Median Family Income	3.69×10^{-7} (2.26 x 10^{-7})	5.06 x 10 ⁻⁷ (3.44 x 10 ⁻⁷)	.0165951** (.00703)	.0226339* (.01173)	.2972307*** (.07322)	.3616696*** (.10835)	
Mean Years of Education	0075608*** (.00215)	0000607 (.00328)	1013291*** (.02270)	0109566 (.04199)	9912109*** (.24429)	8482652 (.60772)	
Annual Fixed Effects	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Number of Observations	720	720	720	720	720	720	
R ² (Within)	.251	.232	.274	.233	.243	.200	

Note: Robust Standard Errors are in parentheses below respective parameter estimates *** Significant at the 1% level, ** Significant at the 5% level, * Significant at the 10% level

TABLE 4: DEMAND FOR RESIDENT ANNUAL FISHING LICENSES INSTRUMENTAL VARIABLES

	Linear		Semi-Log		Multiplicative (Log-Log)	e
Price of Resident Annual License	0020268	***	0394666	**	3523899	**
	(.00061)		(.01913)		(.17869)	
Price of Short-term Type 1	.001233	***	.0079709	***	.0798971	**
License	(.00036)		(.00255)		(.03264)	
Dummy/ No Short-term Type 1	.0130771	***	.0182087	***	.1665044	***
License	(.00277)		(.00468)		(.05844)	
Acres of Fishable Waters	3.45 x 10 ⁻⁹	***	.0100813	***	.0927085	***
	(6.73×10^{-10})		(.00313)		(.02912)	
Share of Population Living in	0186832		0078297		0099494	
Urban Areas	(.01800)		(.00700)		(.05406)	
Median Family Income	5.17 x 10 ⁻⁷		.0218192		.3563928	***
	(3.44×10^{-7})		(.01305)		(.11421)	
Mean Years of Education	0010463		0486377		-1.092323	
	(.00359)		(.06297)		(.72027)	
Annual Fixed Effects	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Number of Observations	720		720		720	·
R-squared (within)	0.225		0.0682		0.1464	

Note: Robust Standard Errors are in parentheses below respective parameter estimates *** Significant at the 1% level, ** Significant at the 5% level, * Significant at the 10% level

TABLE 5: ESTIMATES OF THE VALUE OF A FRESHWATER RECREATIONAL FISHING DAY (1975-1989 averages, in 2000 \$, Based on Instrumental Variables Regressions)

	Linear	Semilog	Log-log IV	Log-log IV	Log-log IV	Log-log IV	90% conf			nfidence
	IV	IV	cutoff \$32.8	cutoff \$100	cutoff \$200	cutoff \$500	interval ba semi-l		interval log-log (\$2	based on
Alabama	2.63	3.86	2.61	4.23	5.72	8.52	1.14	13.12	5.08	30.84
Alaska	5.58	37.23	14.13	22.94	31.01	46.17	8.95	155.96	16.34	1901.03
Arizona	2.96	2.81	1.82	2.95	3.99	5.94	0.73	10.82	1.51	7.14
Arkansas	3.93	20.03	8.11	13.17	17.80	26.50	4.53	89.68	10.72	681.15
California	3.04	3.66	2.51	4.08	5.51	8.21	0.80	16.83	3.00	8.91
Colorado	4.60	8.79	6.28	10.20	13.78	20.52	1.81	43.20	13.13	42.03
Connecticut	1.33	1.13	0.44	0.72	0.97	1.45	0.28	4.59	0.06	3.21
Delaware	1.08	0.79	0.18	0.30	0.40	0.60	0.23	2.74	0.00	2.13
Florida	1.06	0.87	0.39	0.63	0.85	1.26	0.23	3.30	0.08	2.50
Georgia	2.20	2.28	1.66	2.69	3.63	5.41	0.70	7.51	3.44	6.09
Idaho	3.92	6.93	5.00	8.12	10.98	16.34	1.49	32.50	10.50	30.14
Illinois	1.86	1.36	0.75	1.23	1.66	2.47	0.42	4.44	0.32	3.68
Indiana	1.95	1.71	1.13	1.83	2.47	3.68	0.49	6.01	1.02	4.35
Iowa	2.84	3.42	2.49	4.04	5.46	8.13	0.90	13.11	4.96	8.57
Kansas	2.56	3.56	2.56	4.15	5.61	8.36	0.79	16.23	4.81	8.46
Kentucky	2.56	3.45	2.51	4.08	5.51	8.20	0.85	14.07	5.21	9.79
Louisiana	1.68	0.96	0.68	1.10	1.49	2.22	0.42	2.20	1.15	2.22
Maine	3.02	4.50	3.17	5.14	6.95	10.34	0.42	24.17	4.86	10.54
Maryland	1.66	1.38	0.34	0.55	0.74	1.11	0.35	5.44	0.01	3.63
Massachusetts	1.25	1.24	0.20	0.33	0.45	0.66	0.23	6.72	0.00	3.05
Michigan	2.61	3.17	2.25	3.66	4.94	7.36	0.78	12.92	4.01	7.49
Minnesota	5.02	17.98	9.81	15.93	21.53	32.05	3.73	87.01	15.28	362.81
Mississippi	1.36	0.92	0.46	0.75	1.01	1.51	0.30	2.87	0.12	2.60
Missouri	2.80	3.42	2.48	4.03	5.45	8.11	0.91	12.95	5.12	9.18
Montana	6.45	46.86	16.56	26.88	36.32	54.08	9.51	233.36	20.20	1843.60
Nebraska	3.06	4.71	3.42	5.56p	7.51	11.19	1.02	22.07	7.05	11.93
Nevada	4.03	6.10	3.94	6.40	8.65	12.88	1.06	35.45	3.66	15.47
New Hampshire	2.24	2.69	1.47	2.39	3.23	4.80	0.49	14.96	0.56	7.47
New Jersey	1.33	1.29	0.23	0.37	0.50	0.74	0.25	6.65	0.00	3.21
New Mexico	1.58	1.47	0.08	0.13	0.18	0.27	0.33	6.61	0.00	2.73
New York	1.45	1.29	0.32	0.51	0.69	1.03	0.30	5.59	0.01	3.49
North Carolina	1.27	1.18	0.27	0.43	0.59	0.88	0.25	5.54	0.01	3.25
North Dakota	4.47	8.49	5.66	9.19	12.42	18.49	2.08	34.90	10.81	69.57
Ohio	2.29	2.74	1.96	3.18	4.30	6.41	0.67	11.23	3.51	6.26
Oklahoma	2.50	3.68	2.64	4.29	5.79	8.63	0.92	14.82	5.51	16.02
Oregon	4.87	10.76	7.69	12.49	16.88	25.13	1.95	59.85	15.71	52.37
Pennsylvania	2.21	3.00	2.01	3.26		6.56	0.57	15.87	2.06	7.43
Rhode Island	0.84	0.64	0.17	0.28	0.37	0.56	0.18	2.29	0.01	1.76
South Carolina	1.58	1.48	0.85	1.38	1.86	2.77	0.37	6.07	0.41	4.02
South Dakota	3.81	5.57	3.82	6.20	8.38	12.48	1.49	20.93	7.63	26.63
Texas	2.00	1.72	1.07	1.73	2.34	3.48	0.48	6.27	0.71	4.53
Utah	4.62	8.03	5.70	9.26	12.52	18.64	1.45	44.76	10.60	19.15
Vermont	2.46	2.78	2.02	3.29	4.44	6.61	0.76	10.27	4.03	6.58
Virginia	2.01	1.94	1.20	1.95	2.63	3.92	0.48	7.86	0.76	5.09
Washington	3.09	5.61	4.09	6.65		13.38	0.99	32.13	8.12	13.57
West Virginia	2.01	2.04	1.20	1.95	2.63	3.92	0.47	8.88	0.60	5.50
Wisconsin	3.72	6.96				15.53	1.67	29.21	9.27	52.61

Wyoming	8.22	77.09	20.07	32.57	44.02	65.54	17.66	339.82	21.51	3408.97

TABLE 6: COMPARING PREVIOUS ESTIMATES OF THE VALUE OF A FRESHWATER RECREATIONAL FISHING DAY WITH THOSE DERIVED IN THIS STUDY

State		Pre	vious Estimates		Valuation from this Study (2000 \$, based on	
State	Estimation Method ^a	Types of Fishing	Study	Valuation (2000 \$)	log-log specification, cutoff \$200) [90% conf.]	
Alabama	TC	Trout	King and Hof 1985	24.57	5.86 [5.22 32.14]	
Arizona	TC	All	Miller and Hay 1980	73.14	2.90 [1.09 5.14]	
Colorado	CV	Cold water	Walsh, et. al. 1980	22.01	10.40 [10.01 30.38]	
Georgia	TC	Warm water	Ziemer, et. al. 1980	27.65	2.92 [2.80 5.59]	
Idaho	ТС	All Cold/warm water	Miller and Hay Loomis and Sorg 1986	56.42 45.59/47.04	11.12 [10.80 25.18]	
Maine	ТС	All	Miller and Hay 1980	48.06	4.43 [2.73 6.88]	
Minnesota	TC	All	Miller and Hay 1980	60.60	18.80 [13.34 305.88]	
Missouri	TC	Trout	Haas & Weithman 1982	27.97	4.86 [4.62 8.06]	
Ohio	TC	Cold water Perch/Walleye	Dutta 1984 Hushak, et. al. 1988	8.73 4.58/5.60	3.51 [2.60 5.24] 5.28 [4.07 7.79]	
Oregon	TC	Salmon Steelhead	Brown and Shalloof 1984	36.47 49.72	12.97 [12.29 26.94]	
Wisconsin	TC	All	Kealy and Bishop 1986	51.60	11.55 [10.37 52.00]	

-

^aCV refers to contingent valuation (survey) methods; TC refers to travel-cost (Hotelling-Clawson-Knetch) methods.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, Lee G. "Toward a Complete Economic Theory of the Utilization and Management of Recreational Fisheries." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 24(1993): 272-295.
- Becker, Gary S. "A Theory of the Allocation of Time." Economic Journal 75(1965):493-517.
- Bockstael, Nancy E. and Kenneth E. McConnell. "Welfare Measurement in the Household Production Framework." *American Economic Review* 73(1983):806-814.
- Brown, William G. and Faisal M. Shalloof. "Recommended Values for Columbia River Salmon and Steelhead for Current Fishery Management Decisions." Oregon State University, 1984.
- Carson, Richard T., Nicholas E. Flores, Kerry M. Martin, and Jennifer L. Wright. "Contingent Valuation and Revealed Preference Methodologies: Comparing the Estimates for Quasi-Public Goods." *Land Economics* 72(1996):80-99.
- Diamond, Peter A. and Jerry A. Hausman. "Contingent Valuation: Is Some Number Better than No Number?" *Journal of Economic Perspectives* volume 8, number 4, Fall 1994, pp. 45-64.
- Dutta, Nilima. "The Value of Recreational Boating and Fishing in the Central Basin of Ohio's Portion of Lake Erie." Ohio State University, Sea Grant Program, 1984.
- Freeman, A. Myrick III. *The Measurement of Environmental and Resource Values: Theory and Methods*. Second Edition. Washington, D.C.: Resources for the Future, 2003.
- Haas, Mark A. and Stephen A. Weithman. "Socioeconomic Value of the Trout Fishery in Lake Teneycomo, Missouri." *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 111(1982):223-230.
- Hanemann, W. Michael. "Valuing the Environment Through Contingent Valuation." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* volume 8, number 4, Fall 1994, pp. 19-43.
- Kealy, Mary Jo and Richard C. Bishop. "Theoretical and Empirical Specifications Issues in Travel Cost Demand Studies." *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 68(1986):660-667.
- King, David A. and John G. Hof. "Experimental Commodity Definition in Recreation Travel Cost Methods." *Forest Science* 31(1985):519-529.
- Larson, Douglas M. "Joint Recreation Choices and Implied Values of Time." *Land Economics* 69(1993):270-286.
- Loomis, John B. and Cindy F. Sorg. "Economic Value of Idaho Sport Fisheries With an Update on Valuation Techniques." *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 6(1986):494-503.

- McConnell, Kenneth E. "On-Site Time in the Demand for Recreation." Working paper, Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Maryland, 1992.
- Miller, John R. and Michael J. Hay. "Estimating Substate Values of Fishing and Hunting." Transactions of the North American Wilderness and Natural Resources Conference 49(1980):345-355.
- Portney, Paul R. "The Contingent Valuation Debate: Why Economists Should Care." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* volume 8, number 4, Fall 1994, pp. 3-17.
- Seneca, Joseph J. and Robert K. Davis. "A Cross Section Analysis of State Recreation Activity." Journal of Leisure Research 8(1976):88-97.
- Smith, V. Kerry. "Household Production Functions and Environmental Benefit Estimation." *Measuring the Demand for Environmental Quality*, John B. Braden and Charles D. Kolstad, eds., pp. 41-76. New York: North-Holland, 1991.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. *National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation, State Overview.* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1991.
- Vaughan, William J. and Clifford S. Russell. Freshwater Recreational Fishing: The National Benefits of Water Pollution Control. Washington, D.C.: Resources for the Future, 1982.
- Walsh, Richard, Ray Ericson, Daniel Arosteguy, and Michael Hansen. "An Empirical Application of a Model for Estimating the Recreation Value of Instream Flow." Colorado Water Resources Research Institute, Colorado State University, 1980.
- Walsh, Richard G., Donn M. Johnson, and John R. McKean. "Nonmarket Values from Two Decades of Research on Recreation Demand." Albert N. Link and V. Kerry Smith (eds.), *Advances in Applied Micro-Economics*, Volume 5, pp. 167-193, 1990.
- Wolf, Scott Allen. "The Sport Fishing Conservation Stamp: A Proposal for Wetland Protection." A.B. Thesis, Harvard College, 1988.
- Ziemer, Rod, Wesley Musser, and Carter Hill. "Recreation Demand Equations: Functional Form and Consumer Surplus." *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 62(1980):136-141.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html

http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html http://papers.ssrn.com

SUST	1.2002	K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
ETA	2.2002	Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
WAT	3.2002	<u>Preferences?</u> Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
CL D.4	4.2002	Black Sea
CLIM	4.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International
ETA	7.2002	Comparison on European Gasoline Markets Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Eftichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11
		European Countries
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary Policy
KNOW	12.2002	Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes: Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy
CLIM	16.2002	Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance
		Economy
Coalition	18.2002	László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities
Theory		
Network	10.000	
Coalition	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Theory Network		Coalitions
Coalition	20.2002	
Theory	20.2002	Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Network		
NRM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach
		in Insular Systems
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
CL D f	22 2002	Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
CLIM	23.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US
ETA	24 2002	Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
ETA CLIM	24.2002 25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
CLIIVI	23.2002	Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
		Change
SUST	27.2002	Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
CLICT	20.2002	Conservation Plans The ANSE A Network Towards An Appletical Strategic Equipment 1 Accessment
SUST KNOW	28.2002	The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
	20 2002	Daolo CUDICO: Coographic Concentration and Increasing Determine Concerns of Concerns
ETA	29.2002 30.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies

NRM	21 2002	
	31.2002	Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
		the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
NRM	32.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
KNOW	33.2002	A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN: Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
KNOW	24.2002	Industries?
KNOW	34.2002	G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: <u>Labor Taxes</u> , Wage Setting and the Relative Wage
CL D.4	25 2002	Effect
CLIM	35.2002	C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from
CL D.4	26.2002	Economic Theory and International Experiences
CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (IV): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (IV): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (IV): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
NRM	40.2002	the Framework of Agenda 2000 S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
INIXIVI	40.2002	under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
INIXIVI	41.2002	Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42,2002	C. OHL (Ivi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43.2002	J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (Ivi): Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
CLIM	43.2002	Analysis with MacGEM
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (Ivi): Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary
CLIM	77.2002	Model
ETA	45.2002	P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (Ivi): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
LIII	13.2002	Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (Ivi): A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
Theory		
Network		
ETA	52.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
ETA	53.2002	
	33.2002	
LIA		C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and P. KLEIN (199): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
		R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
CLIM	54.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty
		R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-
CLIM ETA	54.2002 55.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies
CLIM ETA SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
CLIM ETA	54.2002 55.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
CLIM ETA SUST SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy
CLIM ETA SUST SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 65.2002 66.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edit DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edit DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITIMA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 68.2002 68.2002 69.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna Alberini, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Services of
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 68.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edin DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna AlbERINI, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Services of Urban Sites? Evidence from a Survey of Belfast Residents
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 68.2002 68.2002 69.2002	R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna Alberini, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Services of

		
NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
DD IV	72 2002	Irrigation Mel ground OMP AN: The Performance of State Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatived Firms: Empirical
PRIV	73.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical Evidence from Egypt
PRIV	74.2002	Mike BURKART, Fausto PANUNZI and Andrei SHLEIFER: Family Firms
PRIV	75.2002	Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD: Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
1111	70.2002	Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
		Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
		Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?
DD II I	00.2002	Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PRIV	80.2002	Alberto R. PETRUCCI: Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and Wealth Displacement in a Small Open Economy
CLIM	81.2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
CLIM	01.2002	of the Montreal Protocol
PRIV	82.2002	George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization, Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
		Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
		to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: <u>An</u>
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (Iv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
CI D I	06.2002	Misconceptions Response of the second of th
CLIM	86.2002	Pierre COURTOIS: Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
ETA	87.2002	Vito FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (Iviii): Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance
ETA	88.2002	Laurent FRANCKX (Iviii): Environmental Enforcement with Endogenous Ambient Monitoring Timo GOESCHL and Timothy M. SWANSON (Iviii): Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an
ETA	89.2002	evolutionary biological system.
ETA	90.2002	Hans KEIDING (Iviii): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	91.2002	Wietze LISE (Iviii): A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production
DIII	75.2002	Units
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
		Agreements
CLIM	95.2002	Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
		the Netherlands: Perceptions and Reality
CLIM	96.2002	Matthieu GLACHANT: The Political Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Policy
KNOW	97.2002	Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
		<u>Thailand</u>
ETA	98.2002	Gianfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
E.E.A	00.2002	GARCH Models
ETA	99.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Biodiversity and Globalization
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements – If per capita emission levels matter
ETA	102.2002	Pierre-André JOUVET and Walid OUESLATI: Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous
LIM	103.2002	Growth Model with Environmental Externality
ETA	104.2002	Anna BOTTASSO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI: Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data
		Evidence on Italy
PRIV	105.2002	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Frank DE JONG, Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolya SCHINDELE: Privatization and
		Stock Market Liquidity
ETA	106.2002	Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (Iviii): Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game
PRIV	107.2002	Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of
DDAY	100 2002	Privatisation in Developing Countries
PRIV	108.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial
DD III	100 2002	Diversification Alberto CHONG and Florencia LÓPEZ DE SHANES: Privatigation and Labor Force Postmeturing Around the
PRIV	109.2002	Alberto CHONG and Florencio LÓPEZ-DE-SILANES: Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the
PRIV	110.2002	World Nandini GUPTA: Partial Privatization and Firm Performance
PRIV	111.2002	François DEGEORGE, Dirk JENTER, Alberto MOEL and Peter TUFANO: Selling Company Shares to
1 1(1)	111.2002	Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience

PRIV	112.2002	Isaac OTCHERE: Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and Developing Countries
PRIV	113.2002	Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI: Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization
PRIV	114.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS: Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets
PRIV	115.2002	D. Teja FLOTHO: A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration
PRIV	116.2002	Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI: Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets The Case of Hungary
PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
CLIM	4.2003	Market Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of
KNOW	5.2003	Government Commitment Reyer GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA	6.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO: Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model
SIEV	7.2003	Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: The Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment
		Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARRÓS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
VNOW	10.2002	with Asymmetric Information Ciousia RRINELLO and Daniela CHECCHI; School Quality and Family Bookground in Italy
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model o
CLIM	11.2003	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
KNOW	12.2003	Climate Change Policy Analysis Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultura
	13.2003	Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lvix): Bio-Ecologica
KNOW	13.2003	Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures
KNOW	14.2003	Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lvix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and Future Trajectories
KNOW	15.2003	Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (Ivix): Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life
KNOW	16.2003	Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (Ivix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (Ivix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lvix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Theory	17.2003	of the buonky of metaremes in ounies with Externances
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lvx): Monopoly with Resale
PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lvx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
		Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV	22.2003	Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lvx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions
PRIV	23.2003	David ETTINGER (lvx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV	24.2003	Hannu VARTIAINEN (lvx): Auction Design without Commitment
PRIV	25.2003	Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lvx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions
PRIV	26.2003	Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lvx): Rationing in IPOs
PRIV	27.2003	Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lvx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes
PRIV	28.2003	Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lvx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests o
DDIM	20 2002	Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lvx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
PRIV PRIV	29.2003 30.2003	Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lvx): Auctions with Financial Externalities
ETA	31.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive
		Externality NTU-Coalition Games
KNOW	32.2003	Michele MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty Philippa OURION: Palative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Pagulatory Capture?
PRIV	33.2003	Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?
KNOW	34.2003	Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis Alegazardus DEL BOCA Marrie CALEOTTI and Bocla BOTA. Non-convenities in the Adjustment of Different
ETA	35.2003	Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation

GG	36.2003	Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats
PRIV	37.2003	Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate
		Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection
CLIM	38.2003	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers
KNOW	39.2003	Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade
CTN	40.2003	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations
KNOW	41.2003	Sonia OREFFICE: Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply
KNOW	42.2003	Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing
		Biotechnologies
ETA	43.2003	Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific
		Basin Region, Japan and US
CLIM	44.2003	Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES: The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its
		<u>Effectiveness</u>
PRIV	45.2003	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI: The Political Economy of Privatization
SIEV	46.2003	Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste
		<u>Disposal Methods</u>
ETA	47.2003	Jens HORBACH: Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical
		Results for Germany
CLIM	48.2003	Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS: The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology
		<u>Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing</u>
CLIM	49.2003	Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER: Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting
		Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits

- (l) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001
- (li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001
- (lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001
- (liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001
- (liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002
- (lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001
- (lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum für Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001
- (lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002
- (Ivix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lvx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002

2002 SERIES

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

VOL *Voluntary and International Agreements* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SUST Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

(Editor: Carlo Carraro)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KNOW *Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital* (Editor: Dino Pinelli)

MGMT *Corporate Sustainable Management* (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)

PRIV Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA *Economic Theory and Applications* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

2003 SERIES

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

(Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KNOW Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM *International Energy Markets* (Editor: Anil Markandya)

CSRM Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRIV Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

CTN *Coalition Theory Network*