

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Maignan, Carole; Pinelli, Dino; Ottaviano, Gianmarco I.P.

## **Working Paper**

ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research

Nota di Lavoro, No. 58.2003

## **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Maignan, Carole; Pinelli, Dino; Ottaviano, Gianmarco I.P. (2003): ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research, Nota di Lavoro, No. 58.2003, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118074

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research

Carole Maignan, Dino Pinelli and Gianmarco I.P.Ottaviano NOTA DI LAVORO 58.2003

## **JUNE 2003**

KNOW - Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital

Carole Maignan, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Dino Pinelli, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Gianmarco I.P. Ottaviano, University of Bologna, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and CEPR

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract\_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

# ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research

## **Summary**

The question of the spatial impacts of the Information and Communication Technology (ICT) has animated the intellectual and policy debate for a long time. At the beginning of the 1990s the rise of the Internet brought a new surge of debate: it was argued that the Internet would free the economy from the constraints of geography (Cairncross, 1997) bringing about a more even economic landscape. This contrasts sharply with the popular view of, for example, Silicon Valley, a congested area where world-class ICT and high-tech industries cluster together.

In theory, geographical agglomeration of economic activities results as an equilibrium solution of a tension between centripetal and centrifugal forces.

The paper discusses how the use of ICT may alter the balance between centripetal and centrifugal forces and therefore the final equilibrium solution. It shows that, from a theoretical point of view, there are many counterbalancing effects and not unique answer. The question is therefore down to empirical research. Available empirical evidences are then reported and discussed. Finally, the implications for European policies are drawn.

This paper was firstly prepared within the framework of the European Commission IST project Digital Europe: e-business and sustainable development (www.digital-eu.org), contract IST-2000-28606. The authors gratefully acknowledge Vidhya Alakeson, Andrew Gillespie, Jeremy Millard, Carlo Carraro, Alessandro Lanza, Marzio Galeotti and Francesco Rullani for their useful comments. Special thanks go to Francesco Rullani for his help on the definition of the digital economy and useful discussions on IPR rules and Open Source communities.

Address for correspondence:

Dino Pinelli Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Corso Magenta, 63 20121 Milano MI Italy

Phone: +39 (02)-52036969 Fax: +39 (02)-52036946 E-mail: dino.pinelli@feem.it

## 1. Introduction

Continuous technological progress in ICT – starting with the introduction of the transistor back in the 1940s – has speeded the codification, processing, storage and communication of an ever increasing mass of information. New technologies for data manipulation and storage allow growing amount of information to be compressed into tiny electronic space, therefore making the flow of information quicker, cheaper, and immediate.

These advances have introduced new methods of data transmission on private networks within a firm, on private networks between firms, and on the public network (Kolko, 2001).

Individuals can now communicate instantly with people never met, send everywhere on Earth the equivalent of information contained in a book in real time, listen to any type of music produced in the world. Businesses are able to create and maintain large, centralised databases and share this centralised knowledge-base with decentralised operational plants. Workers can work remotely from their offices. The authors of this paper seat now in three different Italian cities.

The scenario is one of great changes in transport and communication costs.

Over a century ago, Alfred Marshall (1890) wrote that "Every cheapening of the means of communication alters the action of forces that tend to localise industries".

What does it mean now? What are the consequences of these changes on the spatial organisation of economic activities?

This is the question we are concerned about in this paper.

The question has animated the intellectual and political debate for a long time. In 1964 Marshall McLuhan wrote that new technologies would lead to a 'dense symphony of nations', with activities leaving the centre and going to the periphery, to create a uniform 'global village'. In 1988 Bairoch suggested that one of the causes of urban sprawl (in his words the 'break up of cities') was the development of television. 'For decades recreation was a factor drawing people into the cities. But what today do average city dwellers do with their leisure time? They spend it in front of their television sets, watching more or less the same programs as the average dwellers in the country'.

The beginning of the 1990s, following the emergence of the Internet, saw a new surge of debate. It was suggested that the Internet would free the economy from the constraints of geography. The Internet was perceived to be 'everywhere, yet nowhere in particular' (Economist, Aug 2001). Since ICT products are 'disrespectful of physical distance and geographical barriers' (Quah, 2000), the digital revolution could bring about the 'death of distance' (Cairncross, 1997): as 'weightless' goods such as software, databases, electronic libraries and new media can be transported at no cost, and ICTs free workers to work anywhere, then the digital economy could promote development opportunities in more remote and economically disadvantaged areas. The impact would not only be felt in new industries, but also in those traditional industries that would benefit from improved access to world markets.

This view contrasts sharply with an opposite spatial manifestation of the digital revolution: the Silicon Valley model, where world-class ICT and high-tech industries are concentrated in a congested area. Here ICT appear to result in greater concentration and further advantage the position of more developed cities and regions. This view has inspired policy-makers around the

world trying to imitate the success of Silicon Valley offering tax breaks, infrastructures and regulatory relief to high-tech firms in specific locations (Kolko, 2001).

In the theory, geographical agglomerations and regional imbalances results as a equilibrium solution of a tension between centripetal and centrifugal forces.

This paper analyses how ICT may alter the centripetal and centrifugal forces, and therefore the final equilibrium solution. Those effects are then discussed in the light of recent empirical evidences and used to induce implication for EU policy-making.

Section 1 frames the debate. Section 2 looks at the forces that shape economic geography: centrifugal (convergence) and centripetal (divergence) forces and discusses how centrifugal and centripetal forces are altered in the digital economy. Section 3 reviews the existing empirical evidences. Section 4 discusses relevant policy implications.

#### 2. Some definitions

Scholars have developed a wide range of concepts to capture the essence of the economy-wide consequences resulting from the increased use of processed digital information and from the application of the Internet for delivering services (software programming, webpage maintanance) or transactions (delivering music, movies, documents).

Catchwords such as "digital economy", "virtual economy", "information economy", "weightless economy", "knowledge economy", "network economy", "e-conomy" or may be the most used "new economy" refers to different characteristic of this phenomenon.

In response to the diffusion of the Internet, people and media adopted the term *new economy* to capture the idea that ongoing changes would transform the social and economic system. The term emphasises exactly this dimension: "new" means we are facing something that wasn't there before.

Other scholars use the expression the *weightless economy* to describe the revolution, "replacing" the term "new" with "weightless". They identify the shift from a *weightly* economy (made up of cars, stones, cement) to a *weightless economy* (made up of ideas, knowledge, software) as the key fact of the revolution (Quah, 1996).

Others, coming from different fields (sociology, economics, and anthropology) focused on the "networking" features of the revolution. ICT made possible and profitable (not only in economic terms) a new organisational model, which soon became one of the most important symbols of the revolution. The term *net economy* tries to capture this dimension (Castells, 1996).

Some authors have emphasised the *knowledge* dimension of some of these phenomena. The idea is that the possibility to digitalise a huge amount of information can increase knowledge profitability, production, use and diffusion. For example, the Open Source Software community is composed mostly by people who never met each other in person, but who share information, create debates and build a social environment in which they learn together and from each other<sup>1</sup>. These elements, combined with the increasing importance of knowledge as consumption good and as a production factor, represent the *knowledge economy* dimension (FEEM, 2003).

3

2000; Weber S., 2000; and eventually Himanen, Torvalds, Castells, 2001; Berra, Meo, 2001 (in Italian) for a sociological approach.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For an overview of Open Source Community environment, see Torvalds, Diamond, 2001; Raymond, 2000; Free Software Foundation, 2001; for the economical aspects see Harhoff D., Henkel J., von Hippel E., 2000; Lerner, Tirole, 2000; Dalle, Jullien,

Albeit trying to capture different characteristics, most of these definitions are used as synonyms. "The weightless economy [is] also described as the knowledge economy, the intangible economy, the immaterial economy or simply the new economy" (Quah, 1998). Danny Quah's statement illustrates how the different definitions overlap each other.

Kling and Lamb (2000) suggest to use the term *information economy* to include all informational goods and services like publishing, research, legal and insurance services, entertaining and teaching in all of its forms, and the term *internet economy* to address (only) the good and services whose development, production, sale, or provision is critically dependent upon digital technologies. Finally, they associate the term *new economy* to the possible consequences of the information economy and the digital economy, namely high growth, low inflation, and low unemployment (Piazolo, 2001).

We will follow Piazolo (2001) and adopt a wider definition of *digital economy*, embracing the characteristics of both the information and the internet economy.

We will define *digital economy* as "an economy where both final output and intermediate input increasingly consist of information and where the modern (digital) ICT increasingly provide world-wide immediate access to any information made available. These new technologies might have the potential to enable an increase in the productivity of conventional business practices, but also facilitate the establishment of new processes and products. Consequently, the evolution of the digital economy should not be considered as being restricted to the information sector, but as a far reaching process that might alter and extend the products and production processes within the whole economy" (Piazolo, 2001, p 30).

We will therefore take into account three different manifestation of the rise of the digital economy.

Firstly, we will consider the growth of ICT sectors themselves, as defined by the OECD, 2002. ICT-producing sectors account now for between 3-5% of GDP in major industrialised countries (OECD, 2002). ICT sectors have been often studied as a manifestation of the digital economy in the simplification ICT sectors=digital economy. However, they include a wide range of industries, ranging from manufacturing industries (personal computers, data processing machines, telecommunication equipments including televisions and telephones), whose characteristics are probably not different from traditional manufacturing, to services (such as software development), whose products can be taken as representative of the weightless and knowledge based economy. Although important they might be to the digital economy, the study of their locational dynamics should be generalised with great care.

Secondly, we will discuss the development of new products and services. The developments in ICT industries have generated a range of new opportunities for economic activities, in the form of new products and services, involved in the creation, manipulation or distribution of digital content (Gillespie et al, 2001). Gillespie et al, 2001, mention *Multimedia* industries (associated with CD-Roms and standalone interactive software), *New Media* industries (focused on multiuser interactive information services based on the Internet) and *dot.com* activity (focused on transactional capacity of the Internet). Those are the sectors often associated in the business vulgate with the label of *new economy*. They represent a minor part of the GDP in industrialised countries. However, they represent a key driver of change at local level in many cases.

Finally, we will address the impact on the traditional sectors. The potential impact of the adoption of ICT on traditional sectors of the economy is wide-ranging. Firstly, in some industries the digitalisation of products allows infinite replicability of the product and disrespect of geographical distance in its delivery (music, e-book, insurances). This effect captures most of what Quah (2001) refers to as the *weightless* economy. Secondly, digitalisation and Internet

offer immediate advertisement everywhere and facilitate worldwide relationships with clients: traditional firms in peripheral regions may have immediate access to world markets. Finally, last but not least, the increased capacity in data management at the firm level might allow an internal reorganisation of the firm, towards a different spatial structure (Gillespie et al, 2001).

However, before going into the details of the relationships between the rise of the digital economy and the spatial organisation of economic activities, some important clarifications need to be made concerning the relationships between the concept of *digital* economy as adopted above and those of *knowledge* economy and *weightless* economy.

OECD defines a *knowledge economy* as "directly based on the production, distribution and use of knowledge and information" (OECD, 1996). As defined, the knowledge economy is not directly related to ICT, and not necessarily new. "Economies have been knowledge-based for over 5,000 years. Sumerians in the Mesopotamian river basin began carving cuneiform financial records onto clay tablets 5,000 years ago. During the first Industrial Revolution, deploying spinning Jennies and steam engines significantly boosted economic performance. Such machines were the physical embodiment of new knowledge" (Quah, 1998). However, steam engines or clay tablets are physical objects, their use is limited by geographical and physical constraints. They embody knowledge, but they are not knowledge. Quah (1998) argues that the real novelty is in the fact that the "new" products, such as software, databases, electronic libraries, new media, videos, broadcasting do not just embody knowledge; they are knowledge and behave as such (Arrow, 1962). They represent what he calls the *weightless economy*: an economy whose products are not-excludible, infinitely replicable and transportable costlessly through space, like knowledge in Arrow (1962).

Nevertheless, it is important to stress that knowledge is a farther reaching concept than information: while it is possible to translate a piece of information into bits, this is not true for every kind of knowledge. "Knowledge represents the capacities or capabilities of an individual or a social group [...] associated with meaning and understanding, as well as the abilities to organise, interpret and assess information" (Cohendet, Stainmueller, 2000), while information is "knowledge reduced to messages that can be transmitted to decision agent" (Dasgupta, David, 1994). Moreover, "the value of information is also dependent on the recipient's prior knowledge. If we have no previous knowledge of a particular subject, it's usually difficult if not impossible to make sense of data related to that subject. Conversely, the more we know about a subject, the better able we are to evaluate and use new data about it" (Burton-Jones A. C., 1999). While information represents the mere datum, knowledge represents the meaning of that datum, and the force that can create new meanings and structures, new ideas and strategies to use it in a valuable way. Therefore, it is possible to transform into bit strings only the *codifiable* knowledge, while *tacit* knowledge, embodied in practices, people or networks of relationships cannot<sup>2</sup>.

The distinction is important here: new technologies allows codified knowledge to travel quicker and cheaper, but tacit knowledge remains localised, embedded into people, local practices, network of relationships. The final result in terms of localisation patterns of knowledge-intensive industry will therefore depend on the share of knowledge becoming codified with respect to the knowledge remaining tacit. This ratio is not given *a priori*: it depends on the relative costs and benefits of codifying knowledge and therefore on available technologies and institutions. Internet and the Intellectual Property Right system are important determinants of

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For more on the codification of knowledge see Polany, 1966; Ancorì, Bureth, Cohendet, 2000; Cohendet, Stainmueller, 2000; Malerba F., Orsenigo L., 2000.

this ratio. We discuss these issues in the next section where we look at how the new technologies affect dispersion and agglomeration forces.

## 3. Dispersion and agglomeration of economic activities

The economic literature has explained the type of agglomeration patterns that characterise the spatial distribution of economic activity in space (such as the EU's strong and enduring divide between a rich core and a much poorer periphery), in terms of a balance between some centrifugal and centripetal forces.

Agglomeration results from some form of increasing returns that cause cumulative causation mechanisms to set in and lock development processes. In fact, of the sheer notion of "location decision" by a firm contains an implicit assumption of increasing returns (i.e., of costly duplication of the firm's production process in different places). Under constant returns to scale, firms do not need to locate anywhere: they can disperse arbitrarily fine operations plants everywhere on the territory. It is only when there are increasing returns that a few large operation plants work better than many small ones. This is precisely what raises the location question: where should the few plants be located? (Quah, 2001c).

Marshall (1890) has described the three main *centripetal* forces (Marshallian triad) that are at the base of the existence of agglomeration. We briefly summarise them below following Krugman (1998):

- Market-size effect (demand and cost linkages, also called backward and forward linkages).
   A local concentration creates a large local market that in turn creates both 'demand linkages' sites close to large markets are preferred location for the production of goods and 'cost linkages' the local production of intermediate goods lowers the production costs of other producers;
- Thick labour markets. A local concentration supports the creation of a thick labour market, where employees and employers are readily matched;
- Pure external economies. A local concentration creates information spillovers benefiting all
  firms in the agglomeration ('The mysteries of the trade become no mystery, but are, as it
  were, in the air' (Marshall, 1890). Besides, it is easier to monitor and manage activities in
  an established centre where firms know and can benchmark each other performances
  (Venables, 2001).

If only centripetal forces were at work, the final result would be a unique agglomeration of economic activity. Opposing to that and limiting the otherwise indefinite possibility of growing of the agglomeration are the *centrifugal forces*, all of them involving some form of costly transportation or congestion costs. The set of *centrifugal forces* is more difficult to complete. Krugman (1998) suggests the following useful classification:

- Immobile factors. Immobile factors (land, natural resources, and, to some extent, labour) slow down the process of agglomeration, both on the *demand* side (industries have to go where factor owners are) and on the *supply* side (industries have to go where factors themselves are);
- Land rents. Concentration of economic activity drives up the cost of land and disincentivates further concentration. This explains, for example, why most of the land-consuming manufacturing activities have left the urban areas;
- Pure external diseconomies. Concentration of economic activities and concentration of population are likely to lead to increased traffic, congestion, pollution and crime.

The digital economy is dramatically reducing transport and communications costs. It has therefore the potential to alter the current equilibrium of centrifugal and centripetal forces, and to re-design the existing economic landscape. The final effect is not self-evident. In what follows we discuss some examples of possible channels through which those effects can come about (the main reference is Venables, 2001).

#### • **Search and matching costs**: identifying a potential trading partner.

It seems likely that new technologies, internet, e-mails, mobile phones in particular, would significantly reduce the search and matching costs of finding a partner. What does this imply in terms of centrifugal/centripetal forces? Firstly, as the costs of searching and matching are reduced, the demand and cost linkages are weakened. Secondly, the thick labour market effect is also weakened. Both of these effects tend to weaken centripetal forces and therefore to increase centrifugal. Nevertheless, the requirement to be located close to customers/buyers still exists and often, if the Internet appears to be a necessary condition to acquire information, it is not sufficient to conclude a trade.

### • *Direct shipping costs:* moving inputs and outputs.

As activities are codified and digitised, they can be moved costlessly through space<sup>3</sup>. Transport costs of many economic (intangible) goods are reduced to zero. Industries such as accounting, advertising, management consulting, and other services are increasingly able to substitute in-person delivery to customers with electronic delivery. The consequence on agglomeration effects is not straightforward. Two counterbalancing effects can be identified. On the one hand, the relevance of demand and costs linkages is reduced and being close to suppliers and customers become less important: one of the main centripetal forces is reduced. On the other hand, the need to be close to dispersed customers and immobile factors is reduced, consequently reducing one of the main centrifugal costs.

#### • Control and management costs: monitoring and management

Outsourcing and FDI (foreign direct investment) have seen a rapid growth in recent years. They involve a fragmentation of the structure of the firm, which means that production and administration can be split into geographically and organisationally different units. This implies that particular stages of the production process can be moved to lower cost locations (labour intensive products to low wages economies, land intensive products to low rent regions, etc.). To be able to achieve this, it requires an efficient management between the different fragments of the firm. New technologies have facilitated this<sup>4</sup>. The consequence on agglomeration effects is not straightforward: on the one hand, the possibility of "conversational" transaction might be enough to create and increase relations between two firms and not necessarily require closeness. On the other hand, the need for face-to-face contact will tend to maintain or expand industrial clusters/regions.

## • Cost of time in transit: shipping to and communication with distant locations

Digital economy is often perceived as "speed". The question is how a time-saving technology might influence the location of production by changing the value of time. Venables (2001) shows that when the marginal value of time (cost of delay) is negative (i.e. time-saving technical improvement increases the value of further reduction of time), the firm moves its production factories closer to the market to exploit the advantage of the more rapid market information. This is the normal case that arises because of discounting, but also

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> They are also typically subject to very large productivity increases and price reductions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Venables, 2001, mentions Dell Computers as a good example of the use of new technologies to outsource, order and gett components from suppliers at short notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Learmer and Storper (2000)

the case of the suppliers of intermediate goods, that use new technologies to make it easier to detect faults and therefore move production closer and cut delivery times (so that fewer faulty items are in the delivery chain). This effect tends to weaken centrifugal forces and increases concentration.

### • *Costs of personal interactions*: knowledge spillovers

It can be argued that personal interaction and knowledge spillovers become easier with the rise of the digital economy and less dependent on geographic proximity: with Internet it is possible to compare written draft of papers, pictures, diagrams, and exchange ideas in a way that was simply impossible by fax and telephone. This effect alone would weaken one of the centripetal forces and therefore foster agglomeration.

However, the final result also depends on the ratio of tacit to codified knowledge. This ratio is not given *a priori*: it depends on the relative costs and benefits of codifying knowledge and therefore on available technologies and institutions. The Internet and the Intellectual Property Right (IPR) system are important determinants of this ratio.

The Internet (as well as cheaper telecommunications in general) makes the transmission of codified knowledge cheaper but does not change anything regarding tacit knowledge. Consequently, the rising of the Internet increases incentive to codify knowledge (and then to transmit it). At the same time, however, the resources saved on the transmission of codified knowledge could be used to generate more tacit knowledge. Hence the evolution of the ratio tacit/codified knowledge could decrease as well as increase. The first case would mean a greater diffusion of knowledge across space whereas the second one means increased concentration of knowledge (Duranton and Charlot, 2003a/b).

The IPR system limits the possibility of codified knowledge to travel and be exchanged. Stringent IPR rules keep relatively more knowledge in the tacit state. More tenuous rules favour the codification and exchange of knowledge. The clustering of Silicon Valley versus the dispersal of the Open Source community would be the spatial manifestation of these differences.

Digital outputs are generally characterised by more tenuous IPR rules than intellectual assets generated by R&D: the first are generally protected by copyrights and the second by patents (Quah, 2001c). We can then infer that the digital industries - such as the software, or multimedia industries - have stronger incentives to codify and exchange knowledge than more traditional patent-protected high-tech industries.

## • *Costs of commuting*: moving within the agglomeration

Bairoch (1988) argues that in a typical city of 100,000 persons (assuming a reasonable density of 100 inhab. per Km², one can walk from any part of the city to its centre in a 10-15 minutes, which can be taken as negligible. On the contrary, in a city of 1m people, the same task can take up to one hour. In a large city, land is a scarce resource: commuting and shopping costs become strong brakes on urban growth. Teleworking, teleshopping, improved management of traffic through the use of ICT are likely to reduce commuting and shopping costs and therefore weaken one of the main limits to the growth of urban agglomerations.

#### • *Costs of replicating the products.* Infinite expandibility.

ICT outputs have typycally little physical manifestation. Therefore, they can be transported almost costlessy through space with potential important consequences for location choices, as discussed above. However, this is not the only feature of intangible ICT outputs.

Intangible ICT outputs are, unlike haircut, *non-rival* or *infinitely expansible* (Quah, 2001c). Computer sofware, or music, or a film can be located on a satellite server and be accessed by an infinite number of customers. Its use by a customer does not detract anything from the use of the next customer. Therefore "ICT output behaves like knowledge (Arrow, 1962) or intellectuall assets more generally. It is not just that scientific knowledge is an input in ICT production, but ICT output itself acts like knowledge" (Quah, 2001c, p 86). This feature of ICT outputs strenghten increasing returns in production, which are at the base of agglomeration forces.

## • *Costs of relocation*: changing location

Not only products can be moved costlessly through space. Firms are becoming more and more footloose. Sunk costs of moving operations to a different country (or region) are reduced. The change in relocation costs does not affect directly any of the convergence and divergence forces. However, it affects the thresholds at which firms may decide to move locations in response to a change in the balance between the convergence and divergence forces. This effect has important consequences for policy: the ability of national governments to raise tax revenues and their ability to use those funds to foster regional development and cohesion is challenged, as the tax base is increasingly footloose.

The following table summarises the results of this brief analysis of the effects of knowledge-based weightless economy on centrifugal and centripetal forces. The first column identifies the category of cost affected, the second column describes the effect on centripetal or centrifugal forces, the third column gives a summary explanation for the effect. The last column identifies the geographical level at which the effect is likely to happen (global, national, regional, urban o cluster level)

Table 1 How the digital economy changes centrifugal and centripetal forces

Costs affected by the rise of the digital economy	Effect on centrifugal and centripetal forces	Explanation	Geographical level
Reduction in search and matching costs	Strengthen centrifugal forces	Reduction of costs of searching and finding a trading partners	Regional/cluster/ur ban
Reduction in direct shipping costs	Strengthen centrifugal forces	No need to locate close to producers or other ICT firms as transport costs fall	Country/regional
	Strengthen centripetal forces	No need to follow dispersed customers	Country
Costs of personal interactions: relative roles of codified and tacit knowledge		It becomes easier to exchange and transfer codified knowledge	Regional/cluster
	Strengthen centripetal forces	The ratio of tacit to codified knowledge might increase.	Cluster/urban
Reduction in control and management costs	Weaken or do not change centripetal forces	ICT is not a necessary and sufficient condition in itself, it also requires face-to-face contact	Global/country
Increase in the cost of time in transit	Strengthen centripetal forces	The marginal value of time increases: the desire to be closer to the market increases	Cluster
Reduction in the costs of commuting	Strengthen centrifugal forces	One of the major limits to urban growth in industrial cities is weakened	Urban

Reduction in the costs of replicating a product	Strengthen centripetal forces	Increase the degree of increasing returns	Global
Reduction in the costs of relocation	Weaken lock-in effects	Increase the possibility of relocating once the conditions are changed	Global/country

The discussion above shows that the increasing use of ICT in business has many counterbalancing effects on centrifugal and centripetal forces. Therefore, the question of the final spatial impact of ICT has not a definite answer at the theoretical level and thus it must be addressed at the empirical level. In the next Section we report and discuss available evidences.

## 4. Empirical evidences

Relevant empirical contributions can be classified into three strands: firstly, those looking at very specific impacts of the adoption of ICT on industrial locational patterns; secondly, those looking at the spatial patterns of 'new' industries (producing ICT or using ICT to produce, manipulate or commercialise digital content) and finally those enlarging the scope of research to assess the overall impact of ICT adoption in new and traditional industries. They are discussed in turn below.

### 4.1 Some specific impacts of ICT on industrial locational patterns

A first strand of empirical studies looks at some specific impacts of ICT on locational structure of traditional industries.

Hummels (2000) tries to assess to what extent transport costs have actually decreased. He uses US data on shipments by air and sea and estimates the implicit value of time saved by using air transport. He finds that this value is quite high and that the cost of an extra day's travel is around 0.3% of the value shipped (of imports as a whole), implying that transports costs have fallen much more through time than what is suggested by looking at freight charges alone.

Klier (1999) finds that 70-80% of suppliers of the US automobile industry are located within one day drive of the assembly plant. He also finds evidence that the concentration of supplier plants around assembly plants has increased since 1980 with the introduction of just-in-time production methods. This is consistent with the theoretical prediction that the increase of the cost of time makes location of production more prone to be closer to producers (Venables, 2001).

Kolko (2001) studies how ICT has changed the internal organisation of the firm. The hypothesis here is that ICT, lowering the management and control costs, would allow a more dispersed organisation of the firm, for example by allowing back-office operations to be separated from control and strategic functions (as discussed by Gillespie et al, 2001 or Sassen, 1991). He finds no evidence of a positive correlation between the average distance of an establishment from its headquarters and the ICT-intensity of an industry. The only significant effect comes from the growth rate of the industry: faster growing industries tend to exhibit an increasing average distance from headquarters.

This first group provides in-depth analyses of specific centripetal and centrifugal effects at work. It is interesting to know that empirical research confirms our *a-priori* of a dramatic reduction in transport cost. Overall, the other two studies seem to suggest that, if anything, the increasing use of ICT would lead to increased industrial geographical concentration: while the

centripetal time-effect discussed by Venables (2001) is confirmed, the hypothesis of a internal spatial reorganisation of companies towards a more dispersed spatial structure (Sassen, 1991) seems to vanish in front of empirical research.

However, the focus of those studies is too specific to allow for any generalisation. As discussed in Section 3, the range of effects to be taken into consideration is wide and many of those effects counterbalance each other. Section 4.2 and 4.3 below discusses some of the studies trying to reach more general conclusions.

#### 4.2 Spatial patterns in "new" industries: more evidences for the Silicon Valley model

A bigger number of studies have looked at the spatial organisation of either ICT industries themselves or those "new" industries that use new technologies intensively to produce, manipulate or distribute digital content (see Section 2) with the objective of understanding how the future economic landscapes will look like.

There is ample agreement that the ICT and digital industries are geographically concentrated.

Le Blanc (2000) uses US data and finds that the most recent and fast-growing industries – Internet on-line services and software – exhibit a higher level of geographical concentration than four other industries<sup>6</sup> which, on the contrary, shows roughly similar concentration measures. His research would tend to conclude that agglomeration forces are stronger in the Internet and software industries. Similar findings are reported by other researchers looking at a a wide variety of industries and locations. Cooke (2002) discusses the formation and life of a wide variety of "knowledge" clusters: from biotech companies in Cambridge, UK, Germany or San Diego, US, to advanced opto-eletronics cluster in St Asaph, Wales; to the ICT cluster in Oulu, Finland. Quah (2001a) documents that successful regional cluster tend to cross national borders within the EU. Scott (1996, 1997, 1998) drawing on trade directories and official data has studied the locational patterns of the multimedia industry in South California. He finds evidence of a strong spatial pattern in the industry: entertainment activities cluster in Los Angeles while business-oriented activities cluster in San Francisco. Sandberg (1998) has noted a similar concentration in Sweden, around Stockholm. Zook (2000) has used Internet registration data to provide maps of "dot.com" addresses across the US. He finds that "dot.com" activity is spread widely but unevenly across and within US city regions. Gillespie et al (2001) use trade directories to map the regional patterns of firms in the "New Media" subsectors (games, webbased advertising, etc.) showing that such activities are predominantly concentrated in four locations quite close to each other: London, the M4 Corridor, East Sussex and the M11 Corridor. Dodge and Kitchen (2000) obtain a similar picture using registered addresses of owners of domain name space in the UK. Similarly, Bonaccorsi et al (2002) find that domain names are more spatially concentrated across Italian provinces than income or population.

The foregoing empirical evidence has often been used to generalise (Cooke, 2002; Gillespie *et al*, 2001) and argue that new technologies will further reinforce existing regional imbalances: "The e-economy maps on to the existing geography of economic and social division." (Christie and Hepworth, 2001, p 141).

However, there are reasons to believe that some further analysis is needed before drawing such general conclusions. For example, Koski at al. (2000) and Quah (2001b) suggest that while it is correct to say that the production of ICT manufacturing is increasingly concentrated in certain countries, trade of ICT products is more evenly distributed, pointing out the need for enlarging the scope of research to a wider range of indicators of ICT specialisation.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The other sectors being cable, telecom, data processing and computer systems.

Moreover, the scope of the analysis should be enlarged to include traditional as well as ICT and digital industries. Indeed, clustering does not characterise only ICT and digital industries. It is also a feature of some traditional industries. It implies that the intensive use of ICT is not the only characteristic driving industrial agglomeration or dispersion. Other factors (intensive use of skilled labour, growth profile) are likely to play a role and should be controlled for before any general conclusion is drawn.

Finally, "new" and "old" clusters do not necessarily map each other. Clustering of "new" industries may or may not lead to a reinforcement of the traditional regional imbalances.

Section 4.3 below discusses the studies looking at these last two issues.

# 4.3 The impact of ICT on the spatial organisation of new and traditional activities: challenging earlier conclusions

The main objection to the Christie and Hepworth-type of conclusions comes from the fact that clustering does not only characterise ICT and digital industries. It is also a feature of some traditional industries. In Midelfart et al (2001), most concentrated industries include textiles, clothing or footwear. Krugman (1991) describes geographic concentration in activities as diverse as carpet manufacturing, jewellery production, or the rubber processing industries. Devereux et al (1999) finds that low-tech industries are the most concentrated in the UK. In fact, the degree of clustering in one industry depends on a multiplicity of characteristics of the industry, ICT-intensity being just one of them. Using local industry growth regressions (estimated across across US SMSA<sup>7</sup>), Kolko (2001) finds that regional geographical divergence<sup>8</sup> patterns of industries are explained by two factors: the industry's intensity in highly-skilled labour and its growth profile. The relative intensity of ICT (measured by the fraction of employees in the industry using a computer at work for either electronic mail, communication, or both) does not appear to be a significant factor. He argues that there is nothing specific to ICT and digital industries, and that other industries with similar skills and growth profiles would also be similarly concentrated. He concludes that clusters are relatively less likely to remain for the Internet and ICT related activities and that the landscape will tend to be progressively less agglomerated. Those results are broadly confirmed by FEEM (2003), which carries out an econometric analysis of localisation patterns of 82 industries across 103 Italian provinces.

It is also important to stress that even if ICT and "new" industries clustered, this would not automatically lead to a reinforcement of the traditional regional imbalances, such as the coreperiphery European regional divide. If ICT and "new" activities clustered in the periphery, this would rather contribute to the creation of a "multicentric Europe" as envisaged in the European Spatial Development Perspective (EC, 1999). There is some evidence suggesting that the latter might actually be the case: some peripheral EU countries such as Finland, Ireland and Sweden are the most specialised in ICT in the EU, with respect to a variety of measures of ICT specialisation, such as the share of ICT in the total manufacturing exports, in gross value added, and in R&D (OECD, 2000; Koski at al., 2000). Supporting evidence is also given in FEEM (2003) showing that ICT-intensity of industry is not significant in explaining the tendency of a

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Standard Statistical Metropolitan Areas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Divergence is defined as the tendency of an industry to grow where it is already over-represented. Concentration at a point in time and divergence over time are distinct, although related concepts. Concentration is the extent to which an industry's employment (or production) is clustered in a small number of places; divergence is the extent to which an industry's employment grow faster where it is already over-represented. Changes in industrial concentration over time can be decomposed into divergence and random shocks (Kolko, 2001, p 1, and 2).

industry to locate close to the Italian economic core (measured by provinces' economic potential<sup>9</sup>).

Section 5 below discusses the implication for European policy of those findings

## 5. Implications for European policy

The issues discussed in this paper are relevant for two strategic objectives of the European Union.

Firstly, the European Union is committed to achieving *regional cohesion* (EC Treaty, Art 158). The European Spatial Development Perspective (EC, 1999) has qualified further this objective, indicating the attainment of a "multi-centric Europe" as the key feature of a "spatially balanced" Europe.

Secondly, the adoption of the Lisbon strategy set the strategic objective for Europe to become "the most competitive *knowledge-based economy* in the world". The contemporaneous launch of the e-Europe Action plan recognises that ICT plays an essential role in the transformation. In this sense, the Lisbon documents reflect the definitional debate summarised in Section 2 with the rise of the knowledge-based economy compounding two parallel but distinct shifts: a shift towards a more ICT-intensive economy and a shift towards a more knowledge-intensive economy.

Regional cohesion in a knowledge-based economy

Research results show the existence of both complementarities and trade-offs between the two objectives. On the one hand, as far as the ICT-dimension of the change is concerned, the empirical evidence seems to show that the increasing use of ICT in the economy will lead to greater dispersion of economic activity, i.e. less regional disparities. This would suggest that policies fostering the adoption of ICT by industries and regions (such as the e-Europe Action Plan, launched by the Lisbon Council itself) would indeed favour a more geographically cohesive Europe.

On the other hand, there is evidence that the parallel shift towards more knowledge- and skill-intensive activities might counterbalance this dispersion effect. This effect points out a potential important trade-off in European policies: the shift towards the knowledge-based European economy envisaged in Lisbon might result in less regional cohesion.

Cohesion policies in a knowledge-based economy

Two additional points concern the elaboration of policies for fostering long-term growth at regional level.

First, research results suggest that regional policies aimed at attracting low-skill functions (such as call centres) in ICT-intensive industries are likely to fail in creating new clusters, as agglomeration forces for ICT-intensive, low-skill activities are weak (Kolko, 2001). In this sense, "high-IT industries are unlikely to offer poorer countries long-term sustainable economic growth" (Kolko, 2001, p 18), as poorer countries and regions have stronger comparative advantage in low-skilled rather than high-skilled labour. Policies should be rather aimed at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The economic potential of a region is given by the weighted average of the GDP of the region and GDP of surrounding regions, with weights inversely related to the distance. Economic potential of EU NUTS 3 regions have been calculated by Copus (1997) and Schürmann and Talaat (2000).

improving the local education and research system in peripheral regions. The case of Oulu in Finland shows that this strategy might indeed be, in some cases, successful (Cooke, 2002).

Second, successful concentrations of "new" activities are achieved at a finer level of policy-making than national states and often propagate across national boundaries within the EU (Quah, 2001c). Policy might therefore need to be developed at regional and local rather than national level

Mono- versus multi-centric Europe: open questions

Two final considerations concern future research.

First, we discussed how an eventual clustering of ICT and "new" industries does not automatically lead to a reinforcement of the traditional regional imbalances, such as the coreperiphery divide characterising the European economic landscape. If ICT and "new" activities cluster in the periphery, this would rather contribute to the creation of a "multi-centric Europe" as envisaged in the European Spatial Development Perspective (EC, 1999). There is some evidence suggesting that this might actually be the case: some peripheral EU countries such as Finland, Ireland and Sweden are the most specialised in ICT in the EU, with respect to a variety of measures of ICT specialisation, such as the share of ICT in the total manufacturing exports, in gross value added, and in R&D (OECD, 2000; Koski at al., 2000). Supporting evidence is also given in FEEM (2003) who carry out an econometric analysis of localisation patterns of 82 industries across 103 Italian provinces showing that ICT-intensity of industry is not significant in explaining the tendency of an industry to locate close to the Italian economic core. However, results are still tentative and more research is needed.

Second, and related, we discussed how the Internet and the IPR rules are important factors in determining the share of codified-global to tacit-localised knowledge and their potential consequences on localisation. However, theoretical and empirical analysis is still at a early stage. We think this is an interesting area for new research.

## 6. REFERENCES

Ancorì B., Bureth A., Cohendet P. (2000), *The Economics of Knowledge: The Debate About Codification and Tacit Knowledge*, in *Industrial and Corporate Change*, No 9.

Arrow K.J. (1962b.), Economic welfare and the allocation of resources for invention. In The Rate and Direction of Inventive Activity, ed. R. Nelson. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Bairoch P. (1988), Cities and Economic Development, Chicago University Press.

Bonaccorsi A, Rossi C., Martinelli M., Serrecchia I. (2002), *Internet domains and internet diffusion: looking for a new metric*. The case study. Paper presented at the EUNIP Conference, December 2002 Turku, Finland.

Burton-Jones A. C. (1999), Knowledge Capitalism, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

Cairneross F. (1997), The Death of Distance, Cambridge, MA, Harvard Business School Press.

Castells M. (1996), The Rise of the Network Society, The Information Age: Economy, Society and Culture, Vol. I. Blackwell, Oxford, UK.

Christie I., Hepworth M. (2001), Towards the sustainable e-region, in Digital Futures, James Wilsdon ed.

Cohendet P., Stainmueller W.E. (2000), *The Codification of Knowledge. A Conceptual and Empirical Exploration*, in *Industrial and Corporate Change*, No. 9.

Cooke P. (2002), *Knowledge Economies. Clusters, Learning and Cooperative Advantage*, Routledge, Taylor and Francis Group. London.

Copus A.K. (1997), *A New Peripherality Index for European NUTS II Regions*, Report for the Highlands and Islands Partnership.

Dasgupta P., David P.A. (1994), Towards a new economy of science, in Research Policy, No. 23.

Dodge, M. and Kitchen, R. (2000), Mapping Cyberspace, Routledge, London.

Duranton G. and Charlot S., (2003a), *Cities and Workplace Communication: Some French Evidence*, mimeo, available at http://cep.lse.ac.uk/~duranton/.

Duranton G. and Charlot S., (2003b), *Communication Externalities in Cities*, mimeo, available at http://cep.lse.ac.uk/~duranton/.

European Commission (2001), A Sustainable Europe for a Better World – A EU Strategy for Sustainable Develoment, Commission Communication to the Gothenburg European Council.

European Commission (2001), Consultation Paper for the *Preparation of a EU Strategy for Sustainable Development*, Working document from the Commission Services.

European Commission (2001), *Second Report on Economic and Social Cohesion*, Office for Official Publication of the European Communities, Luxembourg.

European Commission, Committee on Spatial Development (1999), European Spatial Development Perspective. Towards a Balanced and Sustainable Development of the Territory of the EU, Potsdam.

European Commission (1999), The Structural Funds And Their Co-Ordination With The Cohesion Fund – Guidelines For Programmes In The Period 2000-2006.

European Commission (1993), White Paper on Growth Competitiveness and Employment.

Eurostat (2002), *Regions: Statistical Yearbook 2002*, Office for Official Publications of the European Commission, Luxembourg.

FEEM (2003), *ebusiness and sustainable regional development*, Digital Europe project, Theme report, downloadable at www.digital-eu.org.

Free Software Foundation (2001), *Free Software Foundation*, First ed. 1996. [Online]. Available: <a href="http://www.fsf.org/fsf/fsf.html">http://www.fsf.org/fsf/fsf.html</a>. [Access date: 2002, July 10].

Fujita M., Krugman P.E., Venables A. (1999), *The spatial economy – cities, regions and international trade*, MIT Press, Cambridge.

Gillespie A, Richardson R., Cornford J. (2001), *Regional Development and the New Economy*, European Investment Bank Papers, Vol 6 n 1, 2001, p 109-131.

Harhoff D., Henkel J., Von Hippel E. (2000), *Profiting Form Voluntary Information Spillovers: How Users Benefit by Freely Revealing Their Innovations*, MIT Sloan School of Managment Working Paper No. 4125.

Himanen P., Torvalds L., Castells M. (2001), *The Hacker Ethic and the Spirit of the Information Age*, Secker & Warburg. London, UK.

Hummels D. (2000), Time as a trade barrier, mimeo Purdue University.

Klier T.H. (1999), Agglomeration in the US auto supply industry, Economic Perspectives, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, N°1, pp.18-34.

Kling R., Lamb R. (2000), IT and Organizational Change in Digital Economies: A Sociotechnical Approach, in: Brynjolfsson E., Kahin B.(eds.), Understanding the Digital Economy – Data, Tools, and Research, Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press.

Kolko J. (2001), Silicon Mountains, Silicon Molehills: Geographic Concentration and Convergence of Internet Industries in the US, UNU/WIDER Discussion Paper No. 2.2001.

Koski H., Rouvinen P., Ylä-Anttila P. (2000), *ICT Clusters in Europe: the Great Central Banana and the Small Nordic Potato*, The Research December Institute of the Finnish Economy.

Krugman P.E. (1991), Geography and Trade, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Krugman P.E. (1998), What's New About the New Economy Geography, Oxford Review of Economic Policy, Vol 14, No 2, pp. 7-17.

Learner E.E., Storper M. (2001), *The Economic Geography of the Internet Age*, Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 34 pp.

Le Blanc G. (2001), Les nouveaux districts des technologies de l'information: L'exemple de Denver aux Etats-Unis, CERNA, in: DATAR, Réseaux d'entreprises et territoires – Regards sur les systèmes productifs locaux, La Documentation Française.

Le Blanc G. (2000), *Regional Specialization, Local Externalities and Clustering in Information Tecnology Industries*, Centre d'Economie Industrielle Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Mines de Paris Paris, France.

Lerner J., Tirole J. (2000), The Simple Economy of Open Source, NBER Working Paper No. 7600.

Malerba F., Orsenigo L. (2000), *Knowledge, Innovative Activities and Industrial Evolution*, in Industrial and Corporate Change, No. 9.

Marshall A. (1890), *Principles of Economics*, London, Macmillan.

Midelfart-Knarvik K.H., Overman O.G., Redding S.J., Venables A.J. (2001), *The Location of European Industry*, Report Prepared for DGECON.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (1996), *The Knowledge-Based Economy*, OECD, Paris, France.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2000), *Measuring the ICT Sector*, OECD, Paris, France.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2001), *The New Economy: Beyond the Hype. Final Report on the OECD Growth Project, Executive Summary, Meeting of the OECD Council at the Ministerial Level, OECD, Paris, France.* 

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2002), *Measuring the Information Economy*, OECD, Paris, France.

Piazolo D. (2001), The Digital Divide, CESifo Forum, Vol 2, no 3, pp. 29-34

Polany M. (1966), The Tacit Dimension. Dubleday, New York City NY, USA.

Popper K.R. (1959), The logic of scientific discovery, Basic Books, New York City, NY, USA.

Quah D.T. (2002), Technology Dissemination and Economic Growth: Some Lessons for the New Economy. London School of Economics Working Paper, London, UK.

Quah D.T. (2001a), Technology Dissemination and Economic Growth: Some Lessons for the New Economy, London School of Economics Working Paper, London, UK.

Quah D.T. (2001b), *ICT clusters in development: Theory and evidence*, London School of Economics Working Paper, London, UK.

Quah D.T. (2001c), ICT clusters in development: Theory and evidence, EIB Papers, Vol 6, n 1, pp 85-100.

Quah D.T. (2000), *The Weightless Knowledge Economy*, written for the Asia-Europe Young Leaders Symposium IV, June 13, Limerick, Ireland.

Quah D.T. (1998), A Weightless Economy. The UNESCO Courier, UNESCO.

Quah D.T. (1997), Increasing weightless economy, Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin, February 1997.

Quah D.T. (1996), *The invisible hand and the weightless economy*, Centre for Economic Performance, occasional paper N° 12.

Sandberg A. (1998), New Media in Sweden: the Swedish New Media and Internet Industry Survey, Stockholm, National Institute for Working Life in co-operation with PROMISE (Producers of Interactive Media in Sweden) and the journal Vision.

Sassen S. (1991), The Global City: New York, London, Tokyo, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Schürmann, C., Talaat, A. (2000), *Towards a European Peripherality Index. Final Report*. Report for General Directorate XVI (Regional Policy) of the European Commission. Institute of Spatial Planning, Dortmund, Germany.

Scott A.J. (1998), From Silicon Valley to Hollywood: Growth and Development of the Multimedia Industry in California, in: H.J. Braczyk, P. Cooke and M. Heidenreich, (Eds.), Regional Innovation Systems: The Role of Governances in a Globalized World, London, UCL Press, pp. 136-162.

Scott A.J. (1997), The cultural economy of cities, *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 21(2), pp. 323-339.

Scott A.J. (1996), The craft, fashion, and cultural-products industries of Los Angeles: competitive dynamics and policy dilemmas in a multisectoral image-producing complex, Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 86(2), pp. 306-323.

Torvalds L., Diamond D. (2001), Just for Fun: The Story of an Accidental Revolutionary, Harper Business, New York City, NY, USA.

Venables A.J. (2001), *Geography and International Inequalities: the impact of new technologies*, paper prepared for World Bank Annual Conference on Development Economics, Washington, May 2001.

Weber S. (June 2000), The Political Economy of Open Source Software, BRIE Working Paper No. 140.

Zook (2000), The Web of Production: the Economic Geography of Commercial Internet Content Production in the US, Environment and Planning, 32, pp. 411-426.

## NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

## Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

## Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html

http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html http://papers.ssrn.com

SUST	1.2002	K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
ETA	2.2002	Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa  Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
WAT	3.2002	<u>Preferences?</u> Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
CLIM	4.2002	Black Sea
		Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International Comparison on European Gasoline Markets
ETA	7.2002	Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Eftichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11
		European Countries
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary
KNOW	12.2002	Policy Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable
1111011	12.2002	Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes:
CLIM	16.2002	Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy  Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance
LIA	17.2002	Economy
Coalition	18.2002	László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities
Theory		Laszto A. AOCZI (IIV). The Core in the Presence of Externames
Network		
Coalition	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Theory		Coalitions
Network Coalition	20.2002	
Theory	20.2002	Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Network		
NRM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach
		<u>in Insular Systems</u>
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
CLIM	22 2002	Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
CLIM	23.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech
ETA	24.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
CLIM	25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
CLIM	23.2002	Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
		Change
SUST	27.2002	Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
CHICT	20 2002	Conservation Plans The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
SUST	28.2002	THE ANODA WEIWORK. TOWARDS AN AMARYMEAT STRANGE EMVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
K N( )\\\/		Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Paturns: a Survey of Evidence
KNOW ETA	29.2002 30.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies

NRM	24 2002	
	31.2002	Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
		the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
NRM	32.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
KNOW	33.2002	A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN: Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
KNOW	24.2002	Industries?
KNOW	34.2002	G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: <u>Labor Taxes</u> , Wage Setting and the Relative Wage
CL D.4	25 2002	Effect
CLIM	35.2002	C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from
CL D.4	26 2002	Economic Theory and International Experiences
CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (IV): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (IV): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (IV): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
NRM	40.2002	the Framework of Agenda 2000  S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
INIXIVI	40.2002	under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
INIXIVI	41.2002	Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42.2002	C. OHL (lvi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43.2002	J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (Ivi): Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
CLIM	43.2002	Analysis with MacGEM
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (Ivi): Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary
CLIM	77.2002	Model
ETA	45.2002	P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (Ivi): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
LIII	13.2002	Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (Ivi): A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
Theory		
Network		
	52 2002	
ETA	52. 2002.	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
ETA ETA	52.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments  C.C. LAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, L.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
ETA ETA	52.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
ETA CLIM	53.2002 54.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty
ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-
ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies
ETA CLIM ETA SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy
CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions  Trading or Joint Implementation?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions  Trading or Joint Implementation?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions  Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions  Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life  Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty  Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs  Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions  Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life  Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences  Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.  Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation  Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edia DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests  Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy  Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?  Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth. Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life  Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences  Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.  Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life  Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences  Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.  Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?  Anna Alberini, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Servi
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iiii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRON: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna Albertin. Patricia RiGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Services of Urban
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies  Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union  Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity  Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability  Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q  Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity  Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life  Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences  Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.  Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?  Anna Alberini, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Servi

	<b></b>	
NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
DD IV	72 2002	Irrigation  Mel ground OMP AN: The Performance of State Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatived Firms: Empirical
PRIV	73.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical Evidence from Egypt
PRIV	74.2002	Mike BURKART, Fausto PANUNZI and Andrei SHLEIFER: Family Firms
PRIV	75.2002	Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD: Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
1111	70.2002	Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
		Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
		Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?
DD II I	00.2002	Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PRIV	80.2002	Alberto R. PETRUCCI: Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and Wealth Displacement in a Small Open Economy
CLIM	81.2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
CLIM	01.2002	of the Montreal Protocol
PRIV	82.2002	George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization, Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
		Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
		to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: <u>An</u>
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (Iv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
CI D I	06.2002	Misconceptions  Response of the second of th
CLIM	86.2002	Pierre COURTOIS: Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
ETA	87.2002	Vito FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (Iviii): Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance
ETA	88.2002	Laurent FRANCKX (Iviii): Environmental Enforcement with Endogenous Ambient Monitoring Timo GOESCHL and Timothy M. SWANSON (Iviii): Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an
ETA	89.2002	evolutionary biological system.
ETA	90.2002	Hans KEIDING (Iviii): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	91.2002	Wietze LISE (Iviii): A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production
DIII	75.2002	Units
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
		Agreements
CLIM	95.2002	Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
		the Netherlands: Perceptions and Reality
CLIM	96.2002	Matthieu GLACHANT: The Political Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Policy
KNOW	97.2002	Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
ET A	00.2002	Thailand  Girling FORTE AND MANERA FOR SIGNAL STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
ETA	98.2002	Ganfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
ETA	99.2002	GARCH Models Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul
LIM	100.2002	EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Biodiversity and Globalization
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements – If per capita emission levels matter
ETA	103.2002	Pierre-André JOUVET and Walid OUESLATI: Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous
		Growth Model with Environmental Externality
ETA	104.2002	Anna BOTTASSO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI: Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data
		Evidence on Italy
PRIV	105.2002	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Frank DE JONG, Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolya SCHINDELE: Privatization and
		Stock Market Liquidity
ETA	106.2002	Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (Iviii): Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game
PRIV	107.2002	Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of
DD III	100 2002	Privatisation in Developing Countries  Civil and Countries Countries Out Effect on Financial
PRIV	108.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial
DDIV	100 2002	<u>Diversification</u> Alberto CHONG and Florencio LÓPEZ-DE-SILANES: Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the
PRIV	109.2002	World
PRIV	110.2002	Nandini GUPTA: Partial Privatization and Firm Performance
PRIV	111.2002	François DEGEORGE, Dirk JENTER, Alberto MOEL and Peter TUFANO: Selling Company Shares to
,	111.2002	Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience

PRIV	112.2002	Isaac OTCHERE: Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and Developing Countries
PRIV	113.2002	Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI: Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization
PRIV	114.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS: Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets
PRIV	115.2002	D. Teja FLOTHO: A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration
PRIV	116.2002	Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI: Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets The Case of Hungary
PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretica Issues
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
CLIM	4.2003	Market Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role o
KNOW	5 2002	Government Commitment  Rever CERLACIA Induced Technological Change and an Technological Commetition
KNOW	5.2003	Reyer GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA SIEV	6.2003 7.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO: Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: The Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment
		Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARRÓS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
MION	10.2002	with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model o
KNOW	12 2002	Climate Change Policy Analysis  Change MAICMAN Commence Of TAYMANO and Diver PINELLY (eds.): Food and Crowdy Innovation Contraction Contra
KNOW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultura Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art
KNOW	13.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix): Bio-Ecologica
KNOW	14.2003	<u>Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures</u> Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and
ZNOW	15 2002	Future Trajectories  The PANCAN LEVENTE FOR MACHINEL AND ANNUAL AND ANNUAL PROPERTY OF THE PANCAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A
KNOW	15.2003	Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix): Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and
KNOW	16.2003	Female Roles in Urban Economic Life  Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from
KITOW	10.2003	Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Theory	13.2005	See See Contained in Smoothly of Printing and in Same with Editorial and
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Monopoly with Resale
PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
		Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV	22.2003	Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform
PRIV	23.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV	24.2003	Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment
PRIV	25.2003	Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in
1 111 1	25.2005	Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions
PRIV	26.2003	Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (1x): Rationing in IPOs
PRIV	27.2003	Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes
PRIV	28.2003	Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative
		Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts
PRIV	29.2003	TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
PRIV	30.2003	Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (Ix): Auctions with Financial Externalities  Michael FINUS and Pignag PUNDSHAGEN: A Non-properties Foundation of Core Stability in Positive
ETA	31.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games
KNOW	32.2003	Michele MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty
PRIV	33.2003	Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?
KNOW	34.2003	Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A
ETA	35.2003	<u>Treatment Effect Analysis</u> Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Differen
	JJ.40UJ	THE DOUBLE DOUBLE DOUBLE THE PROPERTY OF THE P

GG	36.2003	Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats
PRIV	37.2003	Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate
		Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection
CLIM	38.2003	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers
KNOW	39.2003	Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade
CTN	40.2003	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations
KNOW	41.2003	Sonia OREFFICE: Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply
KNOW	42.2003	Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing
		Biotechnologies
ETA	43.2003	Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific
		Basin Region, Japan and US
CLIM	44.2003	Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES: The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its
		<u>Effectiveness</u>
PRIV	45.2003	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI: The Political Economy of Privatization
SIEV	46.2003	Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste
		<u>Disposal Methods</u>
ETA	47.2003	Jens HORBACH: Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical
		Results for Germany
CLIM	48.2003	Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS: The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology
		<u>Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing</u>
CLIM	49.2003	Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER: Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting
		Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits
CTN	50.2003	László A. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (lxi): The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension
CTN	51.2003	Matthew O. JACKSON (lxi): Allocation Rules for Network Games
CTN	52.2003	Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (lxi): Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation
CTN	53.2003	Fernando VEGA-REDONDO (lxi): Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach
CTN	54.2003	Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF (lxi): On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation
CTN	55.2003	Taiji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI (lxi): Free Trade Networks
CTN	56.2003	Halis Murat YILDIZ (lxi): National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization
CTN	57.2003	Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH (lxi): An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International
		Environmental Agreements
KNOW	58.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A
		Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research

- (1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001
- (li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001
- (lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001
- (liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001
- (liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002
- (lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei , Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001
- (lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum für Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001
- (Iviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002

- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002

(lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003

### **2002 SERIES**

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

**VOL** *Voluntary and International Agreements* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

**SUST** Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

(Editor: Carlo Carraro)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

**KNOW** *Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital* (Editor: Dino Pinelli)

MGMT Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)

**PRIV** Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

**ETA** *Economic Theory and Applications* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

## **2003 SERIES**

**CLIM** *Climate Change Modelling and Policy* (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

**SIEV** Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

(Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

**KNOW** *Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital* (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

**IEM** International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

**CSRM** Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRIV Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

**ETA** *Economic Theory and Applications* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

**CTN** Coalition Theory Network