

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Youssef, Slim Ben

Working Paper Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade

Nota di Lavoro, No. 39.2003

Provided in Cooperation with: Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Youssef, Slim Ben (2003) : Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade, Nota di Lavoro, No. 39.2003, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118055

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade

Slim Ben Youssef

NOTA DI LAVORO 39.2003

APRIL 2003 KNOW – Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital

Slim Ben Youssef, Université de Tunis El Manar, Tunisia

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade

Summary

We consider a non-cooperative symmetric three-stage game played by a pair of regulator-firm hierarchies to capture the scale and technological effects of opening markets to international trade. Each firm produces one good sold on the market. The production process generates pollution characterized by a fixed emission/output ratio, and crosses the borders. Firms can invest in R&D in order to lower their emission ratio, and this activity is characterized by positive R&D spillovers (β).

We show that R&D spillovers and the competition of firms on the common market help non-cooperating countries to better internalize transboundary pollution.

When the R&D spillover increases, pollution decreases in most cases, and increases in some others. However, the social welfare improves with β .

Opening markets to international trade leads to both more investment in R&D and more production, and to a lower emission ratio. In most cases, pollution in common market is lower than in autarky, implying a greater social welfare. Nevertheless, in some other cases, international trade increases pollution and therefore reduces the social welfare.

Keywords: Transboundary pollution, R&D spillovers, Common market, Social welfare

JEL: D62, F12, O32

Address for correspondence:

Slim Ben Youssef Université de Tunis El Manar Faculté des Sciences Economiques et de Gestion de Tunis Campus Universitaire 1050 Tunis Tunisia E-mail: slim.benyoussef@gnet.tn

1. Introduction

We develop a dynamic theoretical model to show how R&D spillovers and the competition of firms on the common market help non-cooperating countries to internalize transboundary pollution. This model also captures the scale and technological effects of opening markets to international trade, and shows that, in most cases, free mobility of goods among countries reduces pollution and improves the social welfare. Thus, this work is related to the literature on transboundary pollution and to the one on free trade and the environment. To our knowledge, there is no published theoretical work that studies transboundary pollution in the context of R&D possibilities and/or studies the technological effect of free trade in presence of transfrontier pollution, while taking into account the impact on the social welfare.

Examples of damages engendered by tranfrontier pollution are the ozone layer depletion and global warming which are caused by the total emissions of gazes such as the carbon dioxide. Transboundary pollution is therefore a negative externality among countries which usually does not lead non-cooperating countries to the Pareto-optimality. However, some authors have shown that non-cooperating governments can reach the first best under some conditions (Hoel (1997), Zagonari (1998)). Other studies have been interested in the effect of asymmetric information on transboundary externalities (Bac (1996), Petrakis and Xepapadeas (1996), Mansouri and Ben Youssef (2000)).

The relation between international trade and pollution can be explained by three main effects. The scale effect linking pollution to the scale of production and it is expected that free trade increases production and therefore pollution. The composition effect admits that certain dirty industries could relocate in countries with more lenient regulations. The technological effect refers to the possibility that international competition may encourage the innovation and diffusion of cleaner technologies to reduce the pollution intensity.

Copeland and Taylor (1995) show that free trade may raise world pollution, and because pollution crosses the borders, uncoordinated regulation of pollution at the national level does not eliminate all market failures, and consequently free trade does not necessarily raise welfare. Fernandez (2002) examines empirically the effects of trade liberalization on transboundary water pollution between the United States and Mexico. She shows that trade liberalization gives incentives to use wastewater as input to produce the traded cotton, thus reducing pollution. Péchoux and Pouyet (2003) show that, under incomplete information, international competition generated by the common market enables regulators to reduce the informational rents captured by firms, thereby reinforcing the need to open the markets to international competition. Many papers (Copeland and Taylor (1994), Reppelin-Hill (1999), Antweiler et al. (2001)) highlight the technological effect but they don't consider transboundary pollution and don't prove any result concerning the welfare effects of free trade.

This paper differs from the existing literature by studying a three-stage game in which R&D is carried out to reduce the emissions per-unit of production, in the context of R&D spillovers and transboundary pollution. This model also captures the scale and technological effects and tries to answer the question of whether opening markets to international trade reduces pollution and increases the social welfare.

We consider a non-cooperative and symmetric three-stage game played by two regulator-firm hierarchies. In the third stage, each firm produces one good sold on the market. The production process generates pollution characterized by a fixed emission/output ratio, and crosses the borders. In the second stage, firms can invest in R&D in order to lower their emission ratio. As in D'Aspremont and Jacquemin (1988) where firms invest in R&D to lower their per-unit production cost, this innovation activity is characterized by positive R&D spillovers (β). In the first stage, regulators propose non-cooperatively their contracts which should be accepted by their respective firms while giving the non-cooperative socially optimal levels of pollution (or production) and R&D. We study the complete information context. Our objective is to assess the role of R&D spillovers and the opening of markets in the control of transboundary pollution, and to compare the equilibrium values in autarky and common market. We hope to contribute to the understanding of the

interaction between the scale and technological effects in case of transboundary pollution.

We show that without R&D spillover (β =0), transboundary pollution is not internalized in the autarky regime. The higher R&D spillovers are, the higher is the proportion of transboundary pollution internalized by non-cooperating countries. Consequently, in most cases, pollution decreases with the R&D spillover whereas the social welfare increases. Surprisingly, in some other cases, pollution increases with β . Moreover, opening markets to international trade helps countries to better internalize transboundary pollution through firms' competition on the common market.

International competition leads to both more investment in R&D and more production, and to a lower emission ratio. When the sensitivity of consumers to the environment is sufficiently low, pollution in common market is lower than in autarky, implying a greater social welfare. Nevertheless, when the sensitivity of consumers to the environment and the investment cost parameter are high enough , pollution in common market is higher than in autarky; thus, the non-internalized transboundary pollution may be greater, even if opening markets enables to better internalize the transfrontier externality; moreover, the increase of production and innovation may decrease the profit of firms, leading to a diminution of the social welfare.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the basic model in autarky, resolves it and exhibits the role of the R&D spillovers for the internalization of transboundary pollution. Section 3 deals with the case where markets are opened to international trade and shows how this contributes to internalize transborder pollution. Section 4 compares the equilibrium in autarky and common market, and section 5 gives some comparative static about the R&D spillover parameter. Finally, section 6 concludes. All the proofs of the propositions are gathered in the appendix.

4

2. Autarky

We consider a symmetric model consisting of two countries and two firms. Firm *i* located in country *i* is a regional monopoly and produces good *i* in quantity q_i sold in the domestic market with the following inverse demand function: $p_i = a - 2q_i, a > 0$. The market size of each country is therefore a/2.

The production process generates pollution and firms can invest in R&D in order to lower their fixed emission/output ratio. The level x_i of R&D costs kx_i^2 , k > 0.

If we denote the marginal cost of production by $\theta > 0$, the profit of firm *i* is : $\Pi_i^a = p_i(q_i)q_i - q_{ii} - kx_i^2$.

The innovation activity carried out by the firms is characterized by positive externalities which imply that a proportion β of each firm's R&D level gratuitously spillovers to the other firm. Therefore, the direct external effect of firm *j*'s R&D level is to lower firm *i*'s emission/output ratio. This can be made possible by scientific communications, scientific exchanges or intelligence activities, which we assume have negligible costs. By normalizing the emissions per-unit of production to one without innovation, the emission/output ratio of firm *i* is :

 $e_i = 1 - x_i - \mathbf{b}x_i, 0 \le \mathbf{b} < 1, 0 < x_i + \mathbf{b}x_i < 1$

The emission of pollution of firm *i* is therefore : $E_i = e_i q_i$.

There are also negative externalities between countries through transborder pollution. Damages caused to country *i* are: $D_i = \mathbf{a}E_i + \mathbf{g}E_j$, $\mathbf{a} > 0$, $\mathbf{g} > 0$.

Notice that even when α and γ are different, the model still remains symmetric because these parameters are the same for the two countries. This damage function can explain a pure transfrontier pollution problem when $\alpha = d(1-t)$ and $\gamma = dt$, where 0 < t < 1 is the proportion of pollution of firm *j* exported to country *i*. It can also explain an international environmental problem, when $\alpha = \gamma$, because damages in one country become a function of the total pollution. To explain how transfrontier pollution can be internalized, we will separate the negative effect of the foreign pollution from the one of the home pollution by working with α and γ separately up to section 3, and then, to facilitate our computations, we will assimilate γ to α . The consumer surplus in country *i* engendered by the consumption of q_i is $CS_i^a = \int_0^{q_i} p_i(t)dt - p_i(q_i)q_i = q_i^2.$

Since firm *i* is a regional monopoly that pollutes the environment, it should be regulated. The regulator can use two types of instruments : an emission tax per-unit of pollution to induce the non-cooperative socially optimal levels of production and pollution, and a subsidy per-unit of R&D to induce the non-cooperative socially optimal levels of R&D and emission/output ratio. Unfortunately, computations are not easy to do with this method of regulation. Indeed, the regulator must choose both the non-cooperative socially optimal emission tax and subsidy in the first stage given the reaction of the firm which will choose its non-cooperative optimal levels of R&D and production in the second and third stages, respectively. So, we consider another method of regulation which eases computations.

In the first stage, each regulator offers to his firm a contract (q_i, x_i, T_i) where q_i and x_i are the levels of production and innovation to be attained by firm *i*, and T_i is a monetary transfer inducing the firm to accept this contract. The value of T_i is as such that the net profit of the firm will be at least equal to its reservation utility level. Let's notice that this monetary transfer will not appear in the social welfare function because it is a pure transfer from the regulator to the firm i.e. we suppose that there is no marginal social cost of public funds.¹ In the second stage, firms invest in R&D, and in the third one, they produce the contracted quantities.

The social welfare of a country is equal to the consumer surplus minus damages plus the profit of the firm :

$$S_i^a(q_i, q_j, x_i, x_j, \mathbf{b}) = CS_i^a(q_i) - D_i(q_i, q_j, x_i, x_j, \mathbf{b}) + \Pi_i^a(q_i, x_i)$$
(1)

or written otherwise :

$$S_i^a = q_i^2 - a(1 - x_i - bx_j)q_i - g(1 - x_j - bx_i)q_j + (a - 2q_i)q_i - q_i - kx_i^2$$
(2)

¹ The resolution of the autarky and common market cases is possible if we suppose the existence of positive marginal social cost of public funds. Unfortunately, the comparison of the equilibrium values in the two market regimes becomes very difficult to do.

Expression (2) shows that in the third stage when regulator *i* chooses his production quantity q_i , the pollution coming from country *j* is not internalized. This is general for static models characterized by a linear damage function with respect to the total pollution, or a separable one with respect to the pollution remaining at home and the one received from the other country.²However, in the second stage when regulator *i* chooses his level of R&D x_i , transboundary pollution is partially internalized if there is R&D spillovers ($\beta \neq 0$). The higher the positive externality is, the greater proportion of the negative externality is internalized.

The first order condition of the third stage is :
$$\frac{\mathscr{I}_{i}^{a}}{\mathscr{I}_{i}} = 0$$
 (3)

The resolution of (3) gives :

$$q_i^a = \frac{1}{2} \Big[a - \boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{a} (1 - x_i - \boldsymbol{b} x_j) \Big]$$
(4)

From (4), we have :

$$\frac{\P q_i^a}{\P x_i} = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\P q_i^a}{\P x_i} = \frac{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}}{2} \tag{5}$$

Therefore, the quantity produced by a firm increases with its own R&D level, and with the R&D level of the other firm in case of positive spillovers, because they reduce its emission/output ratio.

Using equality (3), the first order condition of the second stage is reduced to :

$$\frac{dS_i^a}{dx_i} = \frac{\P_{ij}}{\P_{ij}} \frac{\P_{ij}^a}{\P_{ij}} + \frac{\P_{ij}^a}{\P_{ij}} = 0$$
(6)

The symmetric³ solution of (6), using (4) and (5), is :

$$x_i^a = \frac{(\boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{g})(a - \boldsymbol{q}) - \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{a} + 2\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{g})}{4k - (1 + \boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{a} + 2\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{g})}$$
(7)

Expression (7) confirms the fact that without R&D spillovers, transboundary pollution is completely non internalized. The higher β is, the greater proportion of transboundary pollution is internalized. Part of this negative externality is

² If the damages are not linear nor separable, the transboundary pollution is partially non-internalized.

³ We look for the symmetric equilibrium because, first, the model is symmetric and, second, computations are easier.

internalized when a country chooses its level of R&D, because such a choice will affect the emission ratio of the firm of the other country in case of R&D spillovers, which will, in turn, affect the pollution received.

This strategic interaction with transboundary pollution recalls the analysis of Santore et al. (2001), even though their model is drastically different from the one of this paper. They examine the strategic behavior of state-level utility regulators when pollution spillovers among countries are asymmetric and show that strategic behavior is possible because a regulator's environmental policy indirectly affects the price of permits and, therefore, abatement in other states.

To assure that the quantity given by (7) is positive, we need that :

$$a - q > \frac{\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{a} + 2\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{g})}{\boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{g}}$$
 (C.1) , and $k > \frac{1}{4}(1 + \boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{a} + 2\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{g})$ (C.2)

This last inequality guarantees the second order condition of equation (6).

We also need that
$$(1 + \boldsymbol{b})x_i^a < 1 \iff k > \frac{1}{4}(1 + \boldsymbol{b})(\boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{bg})(a - \boldsymbol{q})$$
 (C.3)

The symmetric production quantities are given by (4) :

$$q_i^a = \frac{1}{2} \left[(1 + \boldsymbol{b}) \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{x}_i^a + (a - \boldsymbol{q}) - \boldsymbol{a} \right]$$
(8)

Condition (C.1) guarantees that the above quantities are positive.

3. Common market

When markets are opened to international trade, the inverse demand function of the perfect substitute goods produced by firms becomes : $p = a - (q_i + q_j)$. The size of the integrated market is a.

The firms profits are : $\Pi_i^{cm} = p(q_i, q_j)q_i - qq_i - kx_i^2$.

The total consumer surplus is equally divided between the two symmetric countries : $CS_i^{cm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^{q_i + q_j} p(t) dt - p(q_i + q_j)(q_i + q_j) \right] = \frac{1}{4} (q_i + q_j)^2$.

The social welfare of country *i* is :

$$S_{i}^{cm}(q_{i},q_{j},x_{i},x_{j},\boldsymbol{b}) = CS_{i}^{cm}(q_{i},q_{j}) - D_{i}(q_{i},q_{j},x_{i},x_{j},\boldsymbol{b}) + \Pi_{i}^{cm}(q_{i},q_{j},x_{i})$$
(9)

The first order condition of the third stage is : $\frac{\Re_i^{cm}}{\Re_i} = 0$ (10)

The resolution of (10) is :

$$q_{i}^{cm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (3 - \boldsymbol{b}) \boldsymbol{a}_{i} + \frac{1}{2} (3\boldsymbol{b} - 1) \boldsymbol{a}_{j} + (a - \boldsymbol{q}) - \boldsymbol{a} \right]$$
(11)

From (11), we have :

$$\frac{\P q_i^{cm}}{\P x_i} = \frac{1}{4} (3 - \boldsymbol{b}) \boldsymbol{a} \text{ and } \frac{\P q_i^{cm}}{\P x_j} = \frac{1}{4} (3\boldsymbol{b} - 1) \boldsymbol{a}$$
(12)

When a firm increases its level of R&D, this has two opposite effects on its production. The first is positive and enables it to produce more because its emission/output ratio is lowered. The second is negative, because through R&D spillovers the rival firm has a lower emission ratio enabling it to produce more on the common market, which forces the initial firm to reduce its production. The combination of these two effects always increases production ($\P q_i^{cm} / \P k_i > 0$); however, such an increase is less important with higher R&D externalities ($\P^2 q_i^{cm} / \P k_i \P b < 0$).

When the rival firm increases its level of innovation, it affects the production of the firm both positively and negatively. Indeed, through β , the firm has a lower emission/output ratio which enables it to produce more. But since the rival firm has a lower pollution ratio it can produce more, forcing the firm to reduce its production. The first positive effect dominates when β is high enough $(\P q_i^{cm} / \P x_i > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{b} > 1/3)$.

By using (10), the first order condition of the second stage is reduced to :

$$\frac{dS_i^{cm}}{dx_i} = \frac{\P_{q_j}}{\P_{x_i}} \frac{\P_{s_i}^{cm}}{\P_{q_j}} + \frac{\P_{s_i}^{cm}}{\P_{x_i}} = 0$$
(13)

Using (11) and (12), the symmetric solution of (13) is :

$$x_i^{cm} = \frac{2(\boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{g})(\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{q}) - \boldsymbol{a}[2\boldsymbol{a} + (5\boldsymbol{b} - 1)\boldsymbol{g}]}{8k - (1 + \boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}[2\boldsymbol{a} + (5\boldsymbol{b} - 1)\boldsymbol{g}]}$$
(14)

In common market, transboundary pollution is internalized through two channels : R&D spillovers and competition of firms on the common market. Indeed, when country *i* chooses its level of innovation this affects the emission ratio of its firm and

therefore its production and the production of the competing firm, which in turn affects the pollution received by country *i*. Therefore, opening the markets to international competition helps non-cooperating countries to better internalize transboundary pollution, when R&D possibilities are considered.

The second order condition of the second stage is verified iff :

$$k > \frac{1}{4}(3\boldsymbol{b}-1)\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{g} + \frac{1}{16}(3\boldsymbol{b}^2 - 6\boldsymbol{b} + 7)\boldsymbol{a}^2$$
 (C.4)

The solution given by (14) is positive when :

$$a - q > \frac{a[2a + (5b - 1)g]}{2(a + bg)}$$
 (C.5), and $k > \frac{1}{8}(1 + b)a[2a + (5b - 1)g]$ (C.6)

We also need that $(1 + \boldsymbol{b})x_i^{cm} < 1 \Leftrightarrow k > \frac{1}{4}(1 + \boldsymbol{b})(\boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{bg})(\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{q})$ (C.7)

The symmetric production quantities are given by (11) :

$$q_i^{cm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(1 + \boldsymbol{b}) \boldsymbol{a} x_i^{cm} + (a - \boldsymbol{q}) - \boldsymbol{a} \right]$$
(15)

A sufficient condition for the above production quantities to be positive is :

$$(a-\theta)>\alpha$$
 (C.8)

Notice that in both market regimes, countries can implement the non-cooperative socially optimal allocations by using two instruments: a subsidy per-unit of R&D to induce the desired level of innovation, and a tax per-unit of pollution to induce the desired levels of pollution and production.

In the remaining of the paper, to simplify our computations, we will replace γ by α .

In the following propositions, we suppose that conditions (C.1) to (C.3) and (C.4) to (C.8) are verified for the autarky and common market regimes, respectively. Notice that these conditions, when $\gamma = \alpha$, imply that α is sufficiently low and k is sufficiently high. Indeed, when the sensitivity of consumers to the environment is high, the investment in R&D may be too high when it does not costs much, leading to a negative emission ratio which has no economic meaning.

4. Common market versus autarky

In the previous sections we have showed that opening markets to international trade better internalizes transborder pollution. This suggests that the levels of R&D and production are higher in common market. But what about emissions and social welfare ?

Proposition 1. *The R&D level and production are higher in common market than in autarky, whereas the emission/output ratio is lower.*

Opening markets to international trade better internalizes transboundary pollution, which leads to a higher R&D level than in autarky. Consequently, the emission ratio is lower, enabling firms to produce more in common market.⁴

It's interesting to emphasize that these differences are due to the second stage of R&D because if the innovation levels were equal in autarky and common market, then from (8) and (15), productions, and thus and all the equilibrium values, would be equal.

Proposition 2. International trade reduces pollution when **a** is sufficiently low, and increases it when **a** and k are high enough.

When we increase the R&D level, the emission per-unit of production decreases and production increases, which, in most cases, lowers pollution implying that pollution in common market is lower than in autarky. However, when α is sufficiently high, the R&D level provided to internalize pollution is important and the emission ratio is low; when markets are opened to international trade, the

⁴ Let's notice that if there was no negative externality between countries, then the equilibrium values in autarky and common market would be identical. Indeed, if γ =0, expressions (7) and (14) show that the R&D levels are equal which implies that all the other equilibrium values are equal in the two market regimes.

emission/output ratio slightly decreases when k is high enough because the marginal cost of R&D is increasing, whereas production significantly increases, leading to an increase of pollution. Thus, the better internalization of transborder pollution engendered by the competition of firms on the common market is materialized by an increase in the R&D level which is a choice variable, and does not necessarily imply a decrease of pollution. This implies that the non-internalized transborder pollution may be greater in common market even if opening markets enables to capture a greater proportion of the transfrontier externality.

Proposition 3. International trade increases the social welfare when **a** is sufficiently low, and decreases it when **a** and *k* are high enough.

The results of proposition 3 are in concordance with those of propositions 1 and 2. Indeed, in most cases, opening markets to international competition increases production and innovation and decreases pollution, leading to an increase in social welfare. Nevertheless, when α and k are sufficiently high, pollution in common market is higher than in autarky ; moreover, international trade increases production and innovation which may reduce the profit of firms; the combination of these effects leads to a lower social welfare in common market. Since this last situation happens under very restrictive conditions⁵, we can say that, in general, opening markets to international trade reduces pollution and improves the social welfare.

5. The effect of R&D spillovers

So far, we have shown that a higher β helps non-cooperating countries to internalize a greater proportion of transborder pollution. It is therefore expected that when the R&D spillover increases, pollution and the emission/output ratio decrease

⁵ We recall that the equilibrium conditions imply that α is sufficiently low. So, when, in addition, α is high enough, the set to which belongs α is very restricted.

whereas the social welfare increases. The following proposition will confirm these predictions in almost all the cases, and shows when we have unexpected results.

Proposition 4. *i*)*When* **a** *is sufficiently low, both the equilibrium levels of R*&*D and production increase with* **b***, whereas the emission/output ratio decreases with* **b***. However, when* **a** *and k are sufficiently high, we have the opposite results.*

ii)In most cases pollution decreases with \mathbf{b} , and it increases only when \mathbf{a} is neither too high nor too low and k is sufficiently high.

iii)The social welfare increases with the R&D spillover.

We know that when β increases, a greater proportion of transboundary pollution is internalized by non-cooperating regulators, which in most cases leads to a diminution of pollution. Such a diminution of pollution can be realized by two manners.

The first happens when α is sufficiently low, and uses the fact that the emission ratio decreases with β whereas production increases. The second happens when α and k are high enough. Indeed, when α is sufficiently high, the R&D level provided is very high, so that to internalize a greater proportion of transboundary pollution while reducing pollution, it is preferable to increase the emission ratio (by reducing the R&D level) and to reduce production, in the case of a sufficiently high investment cost parameter k.

In some cases, pollution increases with the R&D spillover. Indeed, when α is neither too high nor too low and k is sufficiently high, production increases with β whereas the emission ratio decreases but not in a sufficiently amount because the innovation level and the investment cost parameter are very high, leading to an increase of pollution.

Since the R&D spillover is a free positive externality, the social welfare improves when it is increased. In the Appendix, we demonstrate this for almost all the cases concerning α and k, and we think there is no reason to get the opposite result for the remaining cases not considered.

6.Conclusion

This model captures the scale and technological effects and tries to answer the question of whether opening markets to international trade, in case of transboundary pollution, reduces pollution and increases social welfare. It also studies the role of the positive R&D spillover and the competition of firms on the common market in the internalization of the transfrontier negative externality.

We consider a non-cooperative and symmetric three-stage game played by two regulator-firm hierarchies. Each firm produces one good sold on the market and can invest in R&D in order to lower its emissions per-unit of production. This research activity is characterized by positive R&D spillovers.

In autarky, we show that without R&D spillovers (β =0), transboundary pollution is completely non-internalized. The higher R&D spillover is, the higher the proportion of transboundary pollution internalized is. Moreover, opening markets to international trade helps competing countries to better internalize transborder pollution through the competition of firms on the common market.

Consequently, when the R&D spillover increases, pollution decreases in most cases whereas the social welfare improves. Therefore, it is recommended for countries to voluntarily increase their positive R&D externality through scientific communications or exchanges. The issue of cooperation in R&D has not been examined in this paper, but we think that it would be beneficial for countries. In addition, if countries fully cooperate, then transboundary pollution is completely internalized and they reach the first best.

Opening markets to international trade leads to both more investment in R&D and more production. When α is sufficiently low, pollution in common market is lower than in autarky implying a greater social welfare. Nevertheless, when **a** and k are high enough, international trade increases pollution and therefore reduces the social welfare. Indeed, the non-internalized transborder pollution may be greater, even if opening markets enables to better internalize the transfrontier externality; moreover, the increase of production and innovation may decrease the profit of firms. Since this last situation happens under very restrictive conditions, we can say that, in general,

opening markets to international trade reduces pollution and improves the social welfare.

Even if we came to our results by a simple but intuitive model, many of them could be generalized to a more complicated context. In this paper, we have assigned the same importance to consumer welfare and profit of the firm. A possible extension of this work is to give these latter different weights i.e. to suppose that there is positive cost of raising public funds. Another extension is to introduce asymmetric information between the regulators and their respective firms concerning their production costs or R&D activity. This may reinforce the potential gain to open markets to international trade because the competition on the common market may decrease the informational rents captured by firms.

Appendix

When we replace γ by α , conditions (C.1) to (C.8) become :

$$a - q > \frac{(1+2b)a}{1+b}$$
 (C.1), $k > \frac{1}{4}(1+b)(1+2b)a^2$ (C.2), $k > \frac{1}{4}(1+b)^2a(a-q)$ (C.3)

$$k > \frac{1}{16}(15\mathbf{b}^2 - 10\mathbf{b} + 7)\mathbf{a}^2$$
 (C.4), $a - \mathbf{q} > \frac{(1+5\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}}{2(1+\mathbf{b})}$ (C.5), $k > \frac{1}{8}(1+\mathbf{b})(1+5\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}^2$ (C.6), (C.7)=(C.3), $a - \theta > \alpha$ (C.8).

These conditions mean that α is sufficiently low and k is sufficiently high. Using (7) and (14) for $\gamma = \alpha$, the symmetric equilibrium values of R&D become :

$$x_{i}^{a} = \frac{\boldsymbol{a}[(1+\boldsymbol{b})(a-\boldsymbol{q}) - (1+2\boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}]}{4k - (1+\boldsymbol{b})(1+2\boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}^{2}} \text{ and } x_{i}^{cm} = \frac{\boldsymbol{a}[2(1+\boldsymbol{b})(a-\boldsymbol{q}) - (1+5\boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}]}{8k - (1+\boldsymbol{b})(1+5\boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}^{2}}$$
(16)

A)Proof of Proposition 1

From (16), we have :

$$x_i^{cm} - x_i^a = \frac{(1 - \mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}^2 [4k - (1 + \mathbf{b})^2 \mathbf{a}(a - \mathbf{q})]}{[8k - (1 + \mathbf{b})(1 + 5\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}^2][4k - (1 + \mathbf{b})(1 + 2\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}^2]}$$

From (C.2), (C.3) and (C.6), we get $x_i^{cm} - x_i^a > 0$, which implies that $e_i^{cm} < e_i^a$, and from (8) and (15), we also have $q_i^{cm} > q_i^a$.

B)Proof of Proposition 2

To compare the emission levels in autarky and common market, we rewrite the symmetric equilibrium pollution level of a firm as $E_i(x_i) = (1 - (1 + \mathbf{b})x_i)q_i(x_i)$, where

$$q_i(x_i)$$
 are given by (8) and (15). Thus, $\frac{dE_i(x_i)}{dx_i} = \frac{1}{2}(1+b)[-2(1+b)ax_i + 2a - (a-q)]$.

$$i)\frac{dE_i(x_i)}{dx_i} < 0 \Leftrightarrow x_i > x^1 = \frac{2a - (a - q)}{2(1 + b)a}$$

The above inequality is verified by any $x_i > 0$ when $(a-\theta) > 2\alpha$.

Therefore, if $(a-\theta)>2\alpha$, then $dE_i(x_i)/dx_i < 0$ " $x_i > 0$, and since $x_i^{cm} > x_i^a$, this implies that $E_i^{cm} < E_i^a$.

ii)
$$\frac{dE_i(x_i)}{dx_i} > 0 \Leftrightarrow x_i < x^1$$
. Therefore, if (a- θ)<2 α , we have $dE_i(x_i) / dx_i > 0$ " $x_i < x^1$.

To compare E_i^{cm} and E_i^a , we need that $x_i^{cm} < x^1$.

$$x_{i}^{cm} - x^{1} = \frac{8k[(a - q) - 2a] + (1 + b)(3 - b)a^{2}(a - q)}{2(1 + b)a[8k - (1 + b)(1 + 5b)a^{2}]} < 0 \Leftrightarrow k > k^{1} = \frac{(1 + b)(3 - b)a^{2}(a - q)}{8(2a - (a - q))}$$

Therefore if $(a - \theta) < 2q$ and $k > k^{1}$ then $dE(x)/dx > 0$ "x < x¹ implying that

Therefore, if $(a-\theta)<2\alpha$ and $k > k^{\perp}$, then $dE_i(x_i)/dx_i > 0$ " $x_i < x^{\perp}$, implying that $E_i^{cm} > E_i^{a}$.

C)Proof of Proposition 3

By using expressions (2) and (9) for the symmetric case, the symmetric equilibrium social welfare of a country can be written as :

$$S_i(x_i) = -(q_i(x_i))^2 - 2\mathbf{a}(1 - (1 + \mathbf{b})x_i)q_i(x_i) + (a - \mathbf{q})q_i(x_i) - kx_i^2$$

where q_i and x_i could be the equilibrium values in autarky or common market. By using expressions (8) and (15), we can show that :

$$\frac{dS_i(x_i)}{dx_i} = \left[\frac{3}{2}(1+\boldsymbol{b})^2 \,\boldsymbol{a}^2 - 2k\right] x_i + (1+\boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}(a-\boldsymbol{q}) - \frac{3}{2}(1+\boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}^2 \tag{17}$$

•Suppose that $k < \frac{3}{4}(1 + b)^2 a^2$

The above inequality is not in contradiction with (C.2), (C.3), (C.4), (C.6) and (C.7).

$$\frac{dS_i(x_i)}{dx_i} > 0 \Leftrightarrow x_i > x^2 = (1 + \boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a} \frac{2(a - \boldsymbol{q}) - 3\boldsymbol{a}}{4k - 3(1 + \boldsymbol{b})^2 \boldsymbol{a}^2}$$

Therefore, if $(a - q) > \frac{3}{2}a$ and $k < \frac{3}{4}(1 + b)^2 a^2$, then $dS_i(x_i) / dx_i > 0$ " $x_i > 0$, implying that $S_i^{cm} > S_i^a$.

•Suppose that $k > \frac{3}{4}(1 + \boldsymbol{b})^2 \boldsymbol{a}^2$, then $\frac{dS_i(x_i)}{dx_i} > 0 \Leftrightarrow x_i < x^2$

If $(a - q) > \frac{3}{2}a$, then $x^2 > 0$ and we need to have $x_i^{cm} < x^2$. $x_i^{cm} - x^2 = a \frac{4k \left[-2(1 + b)(a - q) + (5 + b)a\right] - 4(1 + b)(1 - b^2)a^2(a - q)}{\left[8k - (1 + b)(1 + 5b)a^2\right]\left[4k - 3(1 + b)^2a^2\right]}$

If $(a - q) > \frac{5 + b}{2(1 + b)} a$, then $x_i^{cm} < x^2$.

Thus, if $(a - q) > \frac{5 + b}{2(1 + b)}a$ and $k > \frac{3}{4}(1 + b)^2 a^2$, then $dS_i(x_i) / dx_i > 0$ " $x_i < x^2$, implying that $S_i^{cm} > S_i^a$.

Since $\frac{5+\mathbf{b}}{2(1+\mathbf{b})}\mathbf{a} > \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{a}$, then if $(a-\mathbf{q}) > \frac{5+\mathbf{b}}{2(1+\mathbf{b})}\mathbf{a}$, we have $dS_i(x_i) / dx_i > 0$ on an open interval containing x_i^a and x_i^{cm} , implying that $S_i^{cm} > S_i^a$.

Lastly, $\frac{dS_i(x_i)}{dx_i} < 0 \Leftrightarrow x_i > x^2$: true for any $x_i > 0$ when $(a - q) < \frac{3}{2}a$. Therefore, if $(a - q) < \frac{3}{2}a$ and $k > \frac{3}{4}(1 + b)^2 a^2$, then $dS_i(x_i) / dx_i < 0$ " $x_i > 0$, implying that $S_i^{cm} < S_i^a$.

D)Proof of Proposition 4

*i)** The numerator of $\frac{1}{a} \frac{dx_i^a(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}}$ is $4k[(a-\mathbf{q})-2\mathbf{a}]+\mathbf{a}^2[2(1+\mathbf{b})^2(a-\mathbf{q})-(1+2\mathbf{b})^2\mathbf{a}]$, whereas the denominator is positive. Because of condition (C.1), the term between the above second square brackets is positive. Thus :

• If
$$(\mathbf{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}) > 2\alpha$$
, then $dx_i^a(\boldsymbol{b}) / d\boldsymbol{b} > 0$

•If
$$(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{\theta}) < 2\alpha$$
, then $\frac{dx_i^a(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}} < 0 \Leftrightarrow k > k^2 = \frac{\mathbf{a}^2 \left[2(1+\mathbf{b})^2 (a-\mathbf{q}) - (1+2\mathbf{b})^2 \mathbf{a} \right]}{4 \left[2\mathbf{a} - (a-\mathbf{q}) \right]}$

term $\frac{1}{a} \frac{dx_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}}$ has the same The sign than its numerator $8k[2(a-q)-5a]+a^{2}[10(1+b)^{2}(a-q)-(1+5b)^{2}a]$. Because of condition (C.5), the term between the second square brackets is positive. Thus : •If $(a-q) > \frac{5}{2}a$, then $dx_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} > 0$. • If $(a - q) < \frac{5}{2}a$, then $\frac{dx_i^{cm}(b)}{db} < 0 \Leftrightarrow k > k^3 = \frac{a^2 [10(1 + b)^2 (a - q) - (1 + 5b)^2 a]}{8[5a - 2(a - q)]}$ * We have $e_i(\mathbf{b}) = [1 - (1 + \mathbf{b})x_i(\mathbf{b})]$ and $\frac{de_i(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}} = -x_i(\mathbf{b}) - (1 + \mathbf{b})\frac{dx_i(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}}$ (18)term $-\frac{1}{a}\frac{de_i^a(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}}$ has the same sign than The its numerator $4k[2(1+b)(a-q)-(3+4b)a]+(1+b)^{2}a^{2}(a-q)$. Therefore : • If $(a - q) > \frac{(3 + 4b)a}{2(1 + b)}$, then $de_i^a(b) / db < 0$. •If $(a - q) < \frac{(3 + 4\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}}{2(1 + \mathbf{b})}$ and k is high enough, then $de_i^a(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} > 0$. The term $-\frac{1}{a}\frac{de_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}}$ has the same sign than its numerator $8k[4(1+b)(a-q)-2(3+5b)a]+8(1+b)^{2}a^{2}(a-q)$. Therefore : • If $(a - q) > \frac{(3 + 5b)a}{2(1 + b)}$, then $de_i^{cm}(b) / db < 0$. • If $(a - q) < \frac{(3 + 5b)a}{2(1 + b)}$ and k is high enough, then $de_i^{cm}(b) / db > 0$. * From expressions (8), (15) and (18) we deduce $q_i(\mathbf{b}) = q_i(\mathbf{b}, x_i(\mathbf{b})) \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{dq_i(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}} = \frac{\P q_i}{\P \mathbf{b}} + \frac{dx_i(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}} \frac{\P q_i}{\P k_i} = -\frac{\mathbf{a}}{2} \frac{de_i(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}}$$
(19)

Thus, the quantity produced and the emission ratio vary in opposite directions with respect to the R&D spillover.

ii)We have
$$E_i(\mathbf{b}) = e_i(\mathbf{b})q_i(\mathbf{b})$$
 and $\frac{dE_i(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}} = \frac{de_i(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}}q_i(\mathbf{b}) + e_i(\mathbf{b})\frac{dq_i(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}}$

Using (19), (8) and (15), we establish that :

$$\frac{dE_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}} = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{a}} \frac{dq_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}} \Big[2\boldsymbol{a} - (\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{q}) - 2(1 + \boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{x}_i(\boldsymbol{b}) \Big] = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{a}} \frac{dq_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}} f_i(\boldsymbol{b})$$
(20)

•If $(\mathbf{a} - \theta) > 2\alpha$, then $dq_i(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} > 0$ implying that $dE_i(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} < 0$.

•Using the expression of $x_i^a(\mathbf{b})$, $f_i^a(\mathbf{b})$ has the sign of its numerator $4k[2\mathbf{a}-(a-\mathbf{q})]-(1+\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}^2(a-\mathbf{q})$. Therefore :

If $(a - q) < \frac{(3 + 4b)a}{2(1 + b)}$ and k is high enough, then $dq_i^a(b) / db < 0$ implying that $dE_i^a(b) / db < 0$.

If $\frac{(3+4\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}}{2(1+\mathbf{b})} < a - \mathbf{q} < 2\mathbf{a}$ and k is high enough, then $dq_i^a(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} > 0$ implying that $dE_i^a(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} > 0$.

•Using the expression of $x_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b})$, $f_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b})$ has the sign of its numerator $8k[2\mathbf{a}-(a-\mathbf{q})]-(3-\mathbf{b})(1+\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}^2(a-\mathbf{q})$. Therefore :

If $(a - \mathbf{q}) < \frac{(3 + 5\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}}{2(1 + \mathbf{b})}$ and k is high enough, then $dq_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} < 0$ implying that $dE_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} < 0$.

If $\frac{(3+5\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}}{2(1+\mathbf{b})} < a - \mathbf{q} < 2\mathbf{a}$ and k is high enough, then $dq_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} > 0$ implying that $dE_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} > 0$.

iii) Using expressions (2) and (9), the symmetric equilibrium social welfare of a country can be written as :

$$S_{i}(\mathbf{b}) = -(q_{i}(\mathbf{b}))^{2} - 2\mathbf{a}E_{i}(\mathbf{b}) + (a - \mathbf{q})q_{i}(\mathbf{b}) - k(x_{i}(\mathbf{b}))^{2}$$

Deriving with respect to β and then using expressions (8), (15) and (20), we get :

$$\frac{dS_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}} = \frac{dq_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}} g_i(\boldsymbol{b}) - 2kx_i(\boldsymbol{b})\frac{dx_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}}$$
(21)

where $g_i(b) = 3(1 + b)ax_i(b) + 2(a - q) - 3a$.

The previous results on the variations of $q_i(\mathbf{b})$ and $x_i(\mathbf{b})$ with respect to β , show that :

•If
$$\frac{(3+4\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}}{2(1+\mathbf{b})} < a - \mathbf{q} < 2\mathbf{a}$$
 and k is high enough, then $dS_i^a(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} > 0$.

•If $\frac{(3+5\boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a}}{2(1+\boldsymbol{b})} < a - \boldsymbol{q} < \frac{5}{2}\boldsymbol{a}$ and k is high enough, then $dS_i^{cm}(\boldsymbol{b}) / d\boldsymbol{b} > 0$.

•Using the expression of $x_i^a(\mathbf{b})$, $g_i^a(\mathbf{b})$ has the sign of its numerator $4k[2(a-\mathbf{q})-3\mathbf{a}]+(1-\mathbf{b}^2)\mathbf{a}^2(a-\mathbf{q})$.

Thus, if $(a - q) < \frac{3}{2}a$ and k is high enough, then $dS_i^a(\mathbf{b}) / d\mathbf{b} > 0$.

•Using the expression of $x_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b})$, $g_i^{cm}(\mathbf{b})$ has the sign of its numerator $8k[2(a-\mathbf{q})-3\mathbf{a}]+4(1-\mathbf{b}^2)\mathbf{a}^2(a-\mathbf{q}).$

Thus, if $(a - q) < \frac{3}{2}a$ and k is high enough, then $dS_i^{cm}(b) / db > 0$.

•Using expressions (18) and (19), (21) becomes :

$$\frac{dS_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{2} \left[x_i(\boldsymbol{b}) + (1+\boldsymbol{b}) \frac{dx_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}} \right] (3(1+\boldsymbol{b})\boldsymbol{a} x_i(\boldsymbol{b}) + 2(a-\boldsymbol{q}) - 3\boldsymbol{a} - 2kx_i(\boldsymbol{b}) \frac{dx_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}} d\boldsymbol{b} \right]$$

Using the equality (17), we get:

$$\frac{dS_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{2} x_i(\boldsymbol{b}) \Big[3(1+\boldsymbol{b}) \boldsymbol{a} x_i(\boldsymbol{b}) + 2(\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{q}) - 3\boldsymbol{a} \Big] + \frac{dx_i(\boldsymbol{b})}{d\boldsymbol{b}} \frac{dS_i(x_i(\boldsymbol{b}))}{d(x_i(\boldsymbol{b}))}$$

The previous results on $\frac{dx_i(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}}$ and $\frac{dS_i(x_i)}{dx_i}$, imply that if $(a - \mathbf{q}) > \frac{5}{2}\mathbf{a}$, then $\frac{dS_i(\mathbf{b})}{d\mathbf{b}} > 0$.

References

- Antweiler, W., B.R Copeland and M.S. Taylor, 2001, 'Is Free Trade Good for the Environment', The American Economic Review, 91, 877-908.
- D'Aspremont, C. and A. Jacquemin, 1988, 'Cooperative and Noncooperative R&D in Duopoly with Spillovers'', The American Economic Review, 78, 1133-1137.
- Bac, M, 1996, 'Incomplete Information and Incentives to Free Ride on International Environmental Resources', Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 30, 301-315.

- Copeland, B.R. and M.S. Taylor, 1994, 'North-South Trade and the Environment', Quarterly Journal of Economics, 109, 755-787.
- Copeland, B.R. and M.S. Taylor, 1995, 'Trade and Transboundary Pollution', The American Economic Review, 85, 716-737.
- Fernandez, L, 2002, ''Trade's Dynamic Solutions to Transboundary Pollution'', Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 43, 386-411.
- Hoel, M, 1997, 'Coordination of Environmental Policy for Transboundary Environmental Problems ?'', Journal of Public Economics, 66, 199-224.
- Mansouri, F. and S. Ben Youssef, 2000, ''Regulation and Coordination of International Environmental Externalities with Incomplete Information and Costly Public Funds'', Journal of Public Economic Theory, 2, 365-388.
- Péchoux, I. and J. Pouyet, 2003, ''Regulated Firms with Transboundary Pollution :Does International Competition Improve Efficiency ?'', Journal of Public Economic Theory, 5, 499-525.
- Petrakis, E. and A. Xepapadeas, 1996, 'Environmental Consciousness and Moral Hazard in International Agreements to Protect the Environment', Journal of Public Economics, 60, 95-110.
- Reppelin-Hill, V, 1999, ''Trade and Environment :An Empirical Analysis of the Technology Effect in the Steel Industry'', Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 38, 283-301.
- Santore, R., H.D. Robison and Y. Klein, 2001, 'Strategic State-Level Environmental Policy with Asymmetric Pollution Spillovers', Journal of Public Economics, 80, 199-224.
- Zagonari, F, 1998, ''International Pollution Problems : Unilateral Initiatives by Environmental Groups in One Country'', Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 36, 46-69.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following address

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_wp.html

http://papers.ssrn.com

SUST	1.2002	K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
		Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa
ETA	2.2002	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
		Preferences?
WAT	3 2002	Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
	3.2002	Black Sea
CLIM	4 2002	
CLINI	4.2002	Andreas LOSCHEL: <u>lechnological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey</u>
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Stable Coalitions</u>
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International
		Comparison on European Gasoline Markets
ETA	7.2002	Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Effichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An
		Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a
		Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10 2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11
11100	10.2002	European Countries
FTΔ	11 2002	Morelecai KURZ, Hebui IIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Eluctuations and the Role of Monetary
LIA	11.2002	Dolari
KNOW	12 2002	roncy
KNOW	12.2002	Rever GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFRES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable
1014	12 2002	Growth?
NKM	13.2002	Michele MORE 110 and Paolo ROSATO: <u>The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model</u>
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes:
		Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy
CLIM	16.2002	Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance
		Economy
Coalition	18.2002	Láz lá KÁCZV (liu). The Care in the Dresence of Externalities
Theory		Laszio A. KOCZI (IIV). <u>The Core in the Presence of Externatities</u>
Network		
Coalition	19 2002	
Theory	17.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (IIV): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Network		Coalitions
Coalition	20 2002	
Theorem	20.2002	Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Theory		
Network	21 2002	
NKM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach
~ ~ ~		<u>in Insular Systems</u>
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Back to Kyoto? US</u>
		Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
CLIM	23.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US
		Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech
ETA	24.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
CLIM	25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
		Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE. Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
		Change
SUST	27 2002	Josenh C COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
2001	27.2002	Conservation Plans
SUST	28 2002	The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
KNOW	20.2002	Proto SUBICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Deturns: a Survey of Evidence
	20 2002	Pohew N. STAUNS: Lossons from the American Experiment with Market Decad Environmental Delicies
LIA	30.2002	NOUER IN, STAVING, LESSONS NOM THE AMERICAN EXPERIMENT WITH MARKET-DASEU ENVIRONMENTAL POLICIES

NRM	31.2002	Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
		the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
NRM	32.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
KNOW	33.2002	A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
		Industries?
KNOW	34.2002	G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage
		Effect
CLIM	35.2002	C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from
		Economic Theory and International Experiences
CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (Iv): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (IV): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (lv): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
		the Framework of Agenda 2000
NRM	40.2002	S. M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
		under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA. R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
		Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42 2002	C OHI (1vi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43 2002	L EVC(WAN), Including Eleventhematic Co-operation of unit Design of Elements of the start of the second st
CLIW	45.2002	Analysis with MacGEM
CLIM	44 2002	Analysis with MacOEM A ANTOCI and S DORCHESI (hei): Working Too Much in a Dolluted World: A North South Evolutionomy
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (W). Working Too Much in a Ponuted world. A North-South Evolutionary
	45 2002	Model D. C. EREDRIKSSON I. L. A. LIST, J. D: J. MILLINET (J.). Chained a Social start of a Start of a
EIA	45.2002	P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (IVI): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
		Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (Ivi): <u>A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect</u>
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
Theory		
Theory Network		
Theory Network FTA	52 2002	Robert N_STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
Theory Network ETA	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
Theory Network ETA ETA	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Jiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
Theory Network ETA ETA	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BAPPETT (liji): Towards a Patter Climate Treaty
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Biokard C. NEWLL, and Bokert M. STAVING: Cost Haterogeneity and the Potential Society Form Market
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Decided Delivier
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	 Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	 Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	 Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 63.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia; The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federi
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PDIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 65.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 65.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Ulnion Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 68.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Wi): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Wi): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together Or or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Inv
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iiii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fnany MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Ulnion Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iiii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Famny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity <tr< td=""></tr<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 57.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002 	 Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITIMA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philappe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna AlBERINI, Patrita RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can Peo

NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
	72 2002	Irrigation
PRIV	/3.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: <u>The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical</u>
PRIV	74 2002	Evidence from Egypt Mike RURKART Equato PANUNZL and Andrei SHI EIEER: Family Firms
PRIV	75.2002	<i>Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD:</i> Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
	/0.2002	Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
		Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
		Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: <u>How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?</u>
DDIV	80 2002	Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PKIV	80.2002	<i>Alberto R. PETROCCT</i> . Government Debi, Agent Helerogeneity and wealth Displacement in a Small Open
CLIM	81 2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
CLIM	01.2002	of the Montreal Protocol
PRIV	82.2002	George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization. Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
		Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
		to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: An
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (lv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
CL D /	06 0000	Misconceptions
CLIM	86.2002	<i>Pierre COURTOIS</i> : Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
EIA	87.2002	VIIO FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (IVIII): <u>Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance</u>
	88.2002	Time COESCHI and Timethy M SWANSON (lyiji): Lost Herizons. The noncooperative management of an
LIA	07.2002	evolutionary biological system
ETA	90.2002	Hans KEIDING (lyiji): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	91.2002	Wietze LISE (Iviii): A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production
		Units
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
CL D /	05 0000	Agreements
CLIM	95.2002	Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
CUM	06 2002	International Structure and Reality Matthian CLACHANT: The Delitical Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Delicy
KNOW	90.2002	Kann ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
KINOW	77.2002	Thailand
ETA	98.2002	Gianfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
2111	2002	GARCH Models
ETA	99.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul
		EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Biodiversity and Globalization
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements – If per capita emission levels matter
EIA	103.2002	Pierre-Andre JOUVET and Walid OUESLATI: <u>Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous</u>
ETA	104 2002	Arma ROTTASSO and Alessandre SEMPENELLI: Door Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Banal Date
LIA	104.2002	<i>Annu DOTTASSO unu Alessunuro SEMDENELLI. <u>Does Ownersnip Affect Fillis Efficiency: Fallet Data</u> Evidence on Italy</i>
PRIV	105 2002	Bernardo RORTOLOTTI Frank DE JONG Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolva SCHINDELE: Privatization and
1101	100.2002	Stock Market Liquidity
ETA	106.2002	Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (Iviii): Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game
PRIV	107.2002	Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of
		Privatisation in Developing Countries
PRIV	108.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial
		Diversification
PRIV	109.2002	Alberto CHONG and Florencio LOPEZ-DE-SILANES: Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the
	110 2002	World New divis CUDT (), Dertial Drivertiantian and Firm Derformer (1)
	110.2002	IVARIATION OUT LAT <u>PARUAL PRIVAUZATION and FIRM PERFORMANCE</u> Examples DEGEORGE Dirk IENTER Alberto MOEL and Pater TUEANO: Solling Company Shares to
1 KIV	111.2002	Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience
		Refue with Employees, Figuree Felecom 5 Experience

PRIV	112.2002	Isaac OTCHERE: Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and
		Developing Countries
PRIV	113.2002	Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI: Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization
PRIV	114.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS: Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets
PRIV	115.2002	D. Teja FLOTHO: A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration
PRIV	116.2002	Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI: Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets:
		The Case of Hungary
PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical
		Issues
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
		Market
CLIM	4.2003	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTROM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of
		Government Commitment
KNOW	5.2003	Reyer GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA	6.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO: Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model
SIEV	7.2003	Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: <u>The</u>
		Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment:
		Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissatos PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u>
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARROS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAIT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
WNOW	10 2002	with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	Element CASTELINGOVO And Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of
KNOW	12 2002	<u>Climate Change Policy Analysis</u>
KNUW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Glanmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eas.): Economic Growin, Innovation, Cultural
KNOW	12 2002	<u>Diversity</u> . What are we an taiking about (A childar survey of the state-of-the-art
KINOW	13.2003	Diversity vs. Socia Economic Diversity A Comparison of Existing Measures
KNOW	14 2003	Maddy IANSSENS and Chris STEVAERT (lyix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and
KINOW	14.2003	Future Trajectories
KNOW	15 2003	Turin RAYCAN LEVENT Enno MASUREL and Potar NUKAMP (lyix): Diversity in Entrepreneurshin: Ethnic
KINOW	15.2005	and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life
KNOW	16 2003	Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lvix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grev to Colourful: The Case Study from
	10.2005	Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lvix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RULITER (lvix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Theory		
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lvx): Monopoly with Resale
PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lvx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
		Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV	22.2003	Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lvx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-
		Price Auctions
PRIV	23.2003	David ETTINGER (lvx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV	24.2003	Hannu VARTIAINEN (lvx): Auction Design without Commitment
PRIV	25.2003	Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lvx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in
		Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions
PRIV	26.2003	Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lvx): Rationing in IPOs
PRIV	27.2003	Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lvx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes
PRIV	28.2003	Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lvx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of
		Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts
PRIV	29.2003	TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lvx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
PRIV	30.2003	Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lvx): <u>Auctions with Financial Externalities</u>
ETA	31.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: <u>A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive</u>
WYON	20.0000	Externality NTU-Coalition Games
KNOW	32.2003	Michele MORE ITU: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty
PRIV	33.2003	Prinippe QUIRION: Kelative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?
KNOW	34.2003	Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A
ET A	25 2002	Irealment Effect Analysis Alagandug DEL BOCA Maunia CALEOTTI and Brada DOTA Nan and distant da Ali atawat CDISS
EIA	35.2003	Alessanara DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI ana Paola ROTA: <u>Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different</u>
		<u>Capital inputs. A Film-level investigation</u>

GG 36.2003 Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats

PRIV 37.2003 Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection

CLIM 38.2003 Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers

KNOW 39.2003 Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade

(1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001

(li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001

(lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001

(liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

(liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002

(lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001

(lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE – Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum fur Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001

(lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002

(lvix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002

(lvx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002

	2002 SERIES
CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
VOL	Voluntary and International Agreements (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SUST	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
MGMT	Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

	2003 SERIES
CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	Coalition Theory Network