

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

van Londen, Selma; de Ruijter, Arie

## Working Paper Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World

Nota di Lavoro, No. 18.2003

**Provided in Cooperation with:** Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

*Suggested Citation:* van Londen, Selma; de Ruijter, Arie (2003) : Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World, Nota di Lavoro, No. 18.2003, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118043

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



## Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World

Selma van Londen and Arie de Ruijter

NOTA DI LAVORO 18.2003

## JANUARY 2003 KNOW – Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital

Selma van Londen, Utrecht University, The Netherlands Arie de Ruijter, University of Tilburg, The Netherlands

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/\_wp.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://ssrn.com/abstract=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

The special issue on *Economic Growth and Innovation in Multicultural Environments (ENGIME)* collects a selection of papers presented at the multidisciplinary workshops organised by the ENGIME Network.

The ENGIME workshops address the complex relationships between economic growth, innovation and diversity, in the attempt to define the conditions (policy, institutional, regulatory) under which European diversities can promote innovation and economic growth.

This paper is based on the key-note speech delivered at the first ENGIME workshop: *Mapping Diversity*.

ENGIME is financed by the European Commission, Fifth RTD Framework Programme, Key Action Improving Socio-Economic Knowledge Base, and it is co-ordinated by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM).

Further information is available at www.feem.it\engime.

### Workshops

- Mapping Diversity Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- Communication across cultures in multicultural cities The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- Governance and policies in multicultural cities Rome, July 2003
- **Trust and social capital in multicultural cities** Athens, November 2003
- **Diversity as a source of growth** Milan, April 2004

### Partners of the ENGIME network:

- Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milano, Italy
- Psychoanalytic Institute for Social Research, Roma, Italy
- Institute of Historical, Sociological and Linguistic Studies, University of Ancona, Italy
- Centre for Economic Learning and Social Evolution, University College London, UK
- Faculty of Economics and Applied Economics, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
- Idea Consult, Bruxelles, Belgium
- Maison de la Recherche en Science Humaines, Laboratoire d'Analyse Socio-Anthropologique du Risque, Maison de la Recherche en Sciences Humaines, Université de Caen, France
- Centre for Economic Research and Environmental Strategy, Athens, Greece
- Institute of Higher European Studies, The Hague University of Professional Education, The Netherlands

# Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World

Our daily lives are governed by products and images originating from all over the world, through the process of globalization. At the same time, however, globalization creates favourable conditions for all sorts of forms of particularization, localization and even fragmentation.

While individuals and groups acquire multiple identities, the resulting plurality gives rise to conflicts, controversies and variations, but also to attempts to live peacefully together, to co-ordinate activities, and to balance interests. In short, present-day society embodies the ongoing dialectical processes of globalization and localization, and a review and reassessment of our reality is thus required.

A necessary precondition for this review, however, is to promote dialogue between groups with different identities, without asking these groups to develop a shared system of basic values or a common worldview, i.e. commonality.

The paper thus suggests (1) a plea for compatibility – instead of commonality - with regard to cultural values and (2) a strong emphasis on the interaction model in decision making, i.e. a model which does not advocate uniformity, but the compatibility of views, and in particular, practices. It concerns the coordination and combination of the proper interests of the various actors who have to depend on one another for the satisfaction of their demands or the realization of their objectives.

**Keywords**: Managing diversity, globalization, localization, glocalization, compatibility, interaction model

Address for correspondence:

Arie de Ruijter Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences Warandelaan 2, Tilburg (building S) PO Box 90153 LE Tilburg The Netherlands E-mail: arieDERUIJTER@uvt.nl

#### Managing Diversity in a glocalizing world

#### Selma van Londen and Arie de Ruijter

Our daily lives are governed by products and images originating from all the corners of the world. This goes together with growth of mutual dependencies. This implies a condensation of relationships and interactions between steadily more actors (a.o. Appadurai 1990). A massive, global exchange of people, goods, services, ideas and images takes place by means of telecommunication and transport technology. Standardised time, money and expert systems are introduced everywhere. Multinationals have become global concerns.

Apart from the more rapid increase, the long-distance migration is also characterised by a greater distribution: steadily more countries and regions become involved in networks which span the globe. Lifestyles, consumption patterns and other forms of cultural expression are exchanged increasingly more rapidly between more and more locations. Developments of a political, ideological, religious or cultural nature which originally appear to be connected with a specific region, culture or period are being echoed in other parts of the world.

Although this process has been going on at least since the end of the Middle Ages, we feel that the current wave of globalization is unique in scope and impact. The most obvious reasons of this are "the growing capital-intensity of manufacture; the accelerating momentum of technologies; the emergence of a growing body of universal users; and the spreading of neoprotectionist pressures" (Brenner 1996:19). This globalisation, by the way, not only refers to processes, the world as a whole is adopting systemic properties in which characteristics of each particular entity have to be understood within the framework of the world as a whole (e.g. Robertson 1992, Friedman 1995).

What is interesting is that, at the same time, increasing globalisation creates favourable conditions for all sorts of forms of particularization, localization and even fragmentation (a.o. Featherstone 1990; Friedman 1995; Giddens 1990; Hannerz 1992, 1996; Latour 1994; Robertson 1992, 1995). Apparently the emergence of a transnational system implies the rebirth of nationalism, regionalism and ethnicity (Anderson 1992). Here we touch the other extreme, the localization. Globalization and localization constitute and feed each other. Distant localities are linked in such a way that local happenings are shaped by events occurring many miles away and vice versa Social relations become disembedded, that is, they are increasingly 'lifted out' of the context of local interaction (Giddens 1990:64). For instance, we see that transmigrants act, take decisions and develop identities while being embedded in networks of relationships which bind them with two or more nation states simultaneously. They develop new spheres of experiences and new kinds of social relations. A situation of 'in-betweenness' is created, resulting in the hybridization of institutions, as well as the particularization, sometimes even fragmentation, of world views and moral frames of reference (Bauman 1991). As a consequence, individuals and groups, confronted with the uprooting of many existing local identities, feel an increasing need to construe or 'invent' new identities. These 're-inventions of tradition' (a.o. Roosens 1989) can partly be interpreted as a new defensive orthodoxy, in which - paradoxically - the modern communication technology is intensively used. A result of this is that some group borders are fading, but that others are articulated and defended more strongly. So, although the geographical bond of identities has become less 'natural' because of globalisation processes - it is a case of 'deterritorialisation of identities' (Malkki 1992) - people cling to a geographical grid for the construction and experiencing of a cultural or ethnic identity. Various (corporate) agents, with their divergent histories, views and interests are thus engaged in ongoing negations to define reality and to get access to scarce resources. In the course of these 'exchanges and negotiations of meaning', the various identities are expressed, affirmed, commented on, externally imposed and adjusted in their mutual relations. Individuals and groups thus have multiple identities. This leads to diversity and ambiguity. It results in a drop in the acceptability of the certainties offered by local or national communities with their concomittant moral orientations. The resulting plurality of 'representations' and 'voices' gives rise to conflicts, controversies and variations, but also to attempts to live peacefully together, to co-ordinate activities, and to balance interests. In short, present-day society is 'nothing but 'a never ending story' of antagonistic cooperation. A such, it embodies the ongoing dialectical processes of globalisation and localisation.

Let me rephrase my argument. Although globalization may be much less new and comprehensive than often assumed by media and politicians, it is nevertheless powerful, pervasive and profound. Its ramifications (1) dynamize and complicate the received grids of social and cultural arrangements in all zones of the globe; (2) reconfigure existing territorial frameworks and issues of governance; and (3) include a set of unambiguously negative outcomes such as the continued marginalization and exclusion of broad categories of dependent populations. As a result, we have to envisage a world in which variety of and diversity in core-institutions will probably increase. These will partly follow old classical boundaries of region, socio-economic class, age, gender and religion, but will also run along new lines of ethnicity and lifestyle. Because the disadvantages of this variety - lack of consensus, increasing strife over scarce resources and provisions - can often rely on more public interest than the advantages, increasing demands for integration and decreasing tolerance for variety will become significant social powers. This creates a new paradox: the growing variety calls up powers which hinder the pursuit of integration. That is because the social fabric is built up of groups with different visions and interests. Societies at almost every level will be cleaved but also connected via processes and structures whereby distinction is continually made between inside and outside, between us and them. It must be clear that it is not just about the making of difference, drawing boundaries, creating a specific order, but also about the realisation of an inequality, yes even of marginalisation of specific groups by means of categorising groups of people and situations in specific ways. After all, categorisation intrinsically has a power dimension and is in many cases constitutive for the interpretation of the reality and the positions of different groups within it. Bourdieu (1991:221) described this as follows: "What is at stake here is the power of imposing a vision of the social world through principles of di-vision", including the unequal access to and control of scarce resources which is connected to it. As a consequence, it is likely that specific groups are in danger of ending up on the sidelines of social life. We have to remember that opportunities for mobility and the availability of resources are highly differentiated. While the new processes of transnationalisation hold out new opportunities for some groups of the world population, the same processes are disadvantageous for other groups. We have to acknowledge that knowledge, social practices and identities are construed in a context of inequality of power and unequal access to scarce resources (Mohanty 1990). We have to acknowqledge that globalisation is accompanied by new patterns of inequality and polarization. We have to acknowledge that the global restructuring of production that is taking place favours a number of countries and ethnic groups but bypasses or even harms a considerably larger number. Poorer segments of the population are increasingly pushed towards degraded areas and are

forced to overexploit the natural resources, straining the adaptability of local cultures. The labour markets are characterized by numerous forms of fragmentation. Attempts at macroeconomic stabilisation are accompanied by institutional reforms which emphasize liberalisation, deregulation and privatisation, all implying a withdrawal of the state in favour of the private sector. In a number of countries the 'separation' of state institutions from the internal dynamics of society has resulted in a complete collaps of the state and an absorbation of the state functions by an intricate network of legal and illegal transactions between patrons and clients. Sometimes this leads to the complete marginalization of a growing number of groups who increasingly resort to the informal sector as a means of survival. The related risk of social isolation is a fertile breeding-ground for racism, religious fundamentalism, ethnocentrism, with all the disastrous consequences that entails, as ethnic violence in so many parts of the world clearly demonstrates.

In a sense, the problems of the crumbling away of social cohesion converge in our metropolises. One of the most remarkable, impressive and probing features of today's globalization is rapid urbanization. Currently, half of the world's population - around three billion people - is living in cities; their numbers are increasing fast. Besides, more than ever before a high percentage of the urban population lives in large cities of one million or more inhabitants and in mega-cities with ten million or more people (Scott 1998). The functioning of as wells as the interconnections between these cities command our attention. As a consequence of technological innovations, in particular with regard to information technology, physical distances are becoming less important, thus creating more opportunities for international interaction. The resulting network is composed of linkages between large urban areas (Scott et al. 1999). The key nodal points hereof are the global cities that are strongly integrated into the world economy. These cities are points of transmission and transformation between the local and the global. On the one hand, they channel local and national resources to the global level and on the other hand transmit the global back to the national and regional centres (Knox 1996). Together they form a global urban system with world cities (Friedman 1986), the major sites for the concentration, accumulation and redistribution of international capital. Where the headquartes of the transnational companies and the major financial markets are located. Related to this is the changing spatial form of cities that reflects the dynamics of a new urban network economy. "The global city is multi-nodal and polycentric. It cannot be managed from one bureaucratic centre but needs to be guided from a point which can co-ordinate a flexible network. The same applies at regional and higher levels where global cities are not mere competitors but also interrelate, since they need each other and are often also complementary. Thus they need to work toegether "(Van Naerssen 2000: 181-182).

Global cities are also major sites for the creation of a new global culture. It is particularly in the world cities that new cultural and political identities are being constructed. Globalization and information technology have contributed to a new experience of time and place in what Castells (2000) calls the network society of the age of information.

According to Van Naerssen (2000: 184) two outstanding features of global cities are vital to an understanding of global culture. In the first place, global cities are receivers of both domestic and international migrants. In the second place, thanks to liberalization and privatization, socio-economic polarization has taken place during recent decades in all global cities, creating new problems. Global cities reflect "the contradictions of industrial capitalism, among them spatial and class polarisation" (Friedman 1986). This is where the new rich, as well as the labour force of the services sector lives. They can be considered as the leaders and consumers of a sophisticated global culture. However, it is also in the global cities that mass culture has appeared, a process usually referred to as the McDonaldization of the world. This suggests the creation of a global culture consisting of two components, more or less parallel to the socio-economic polarization.

But let us be careful, the picture is more complicated than that. Next to processes contributing to the emergence of an homogeneous world culture, cultural differentiation processes also occur. Local cultures, different from the dominant one, flourish as for example in the ethnic neighbourhoods of our metropolises. The latter relates to what Short and Kim (1998) call the reterritorialization of cultures, whereby large groups of migrants cling to their original cultures in other environments and at the same time changing it in specific ways.

If cultural differences are representative of global cities, so are striking socio-economic disparities We have already seen that there is an increasing demand for a highly skilled specialized labour force and for unskilled personnel. Even where the labour market provides ample opportunities, the contrasts between rich and poor have increased. For instance, in the eighties of the 20<sup>th</sup> century the number of well to do households in Los Angelos increased from 10 to 25 percent. During the same years, the percentage of poor households increased from 30 to 40 percent. The middle class thus became less important and social polarization occurred (Van Naerssen 2000: 185). Here the concept of the dual city comes to mind, the city where a part of the population benefits from the age of information, while other parts experience the disadvantages or are even excluded from the fruits of 'progress' (Sassen 1991). There is an increasing realization that poverty is not only a lack of income, it also concerns a matter of social exclusion, that is to say of insufficient access to economic resources, social networks and the political process of decision-making (Mingione 1996). Social insecurity, instability and alienation - the urban stress - characterize the dark site of life in all cities of the world. Throughout the whole world, the culture of poverty (Lewis 1965) is back on the urban agenda.

This shifting pattern of hybridization in large parts of the world, with a clear concentration in large metropolises, goes together with an increasing loss of control. It is as if our knowledge about 'society', despite the enormous corpus of social scientific research, is ever decreasing. The course of social processes is growing more and more unpredictable (e.g. Van Gunsteren 1993). Governments and their apparatuses do not operate as society's control room and reception desk. No one has a comprehensive and readily-available knowledge of the changes that are in progress. Policy measures have insufficient effect; they have a shorter life span and lead to a welter of new measures intended to correct the previous ones. This leads in turn to a questioning of many components of policy and management in terms of responsibility, operation, effectiveness, feasibility, accountability and even legitimacy. It is as if reality has become too complex, too pluralistic, too open, too unpredictable and thus too unmanageable. Calibrated certainties and existing factors which are taken for granted are disappearing (e.g. Breuer 1992). The Enlightenment idea of direction and steering through knowledge as well as the related bureaucratic procedures and techniques is under strong pressure. It has become tarnished. The ideology of social engineering has been exposed as a myth. In this connection, paradoxically, there is a growing need for direction and consensus as a basis for meaningful social action between a growing number of mutually related actors, while the possibility of doing so is diminishing. There is a risk of the absence of common or mutually attuned interests and representations, as well as of too much diversity. All the same, this 'absence' has become a genuine problem for governments because of the growth of dependencies and the compression of interactions. After all, the paradox pointed out above - the growing need for 'consensus' and the diminishing prospects of its realization-raises major questions about our ability to manage and to gain insight into social processes and institutions of any kind.

Awareness has grown that society is in the grip of divergent paradoxical and contradictory forces. Accordingly, it is becoming more and more important to learn how to cope with the uncertainties which people create in and through their own actions. In the current post-traditional society, the views held in the past and the related guidelines for action no longer form the basis for a 'natural' social order. It is not just that more and more individuals and bodies are establishing more and more contacts of various kinds with distant actors; more and more they (re)arrange and reflect on these contacts as well. Life in a post-traditional society in which regular patterns of behaviour and stable institutions are declining means that we all have to conntemplate and reflect on our own specific situation in order to be able to give it meaning. As a result of this reflexivity, the relations - and the concomitant routines and institutions-are made explicit, called into question, and adjusted. This naturally leads in turn to a further growth of reflexive knowledge in particular. Actors learn to anticipate the demands of the system. The problem is that it is often only a superficial adjustment. People behave in accordance with the rules, but this does not mean that they believe in the purpose, effectiveness or legitimacy of the rules, let alone internalizing the rules as a compass for future action. But how can you find out what they really believe when more and more actors have become streetwise? Does this not all lead to a drop in the effectiveness of policy with an increase in the complexity and the rapid accumulation of waves of policy? Do we not see more and more partial adjustments within the system, which can almost without exception be characterized as detailed elaborations, additional rules, intensified control and so on? Are we not bound to conclude that this 'involution', as this imprisonment within the same body of principles and procedures can also be called, no longer works today, and that a review and reassessment of our reality is called for? I do not claim to have a detailed vision of this issue far from it! I have not got any further than a few suggestions, but I would like to share them with you. These suggestions boil down to (1) a plea for compatibility - instead of commonality - with regard to cultural values, and (2) a strong emphasis on the interaction model in decision making.

Due to glocalization contemporary people are increasingly facing and experiencing cultural difference whereas fragmentation undermines their idea of relatively safe and stable embeddedness in cultural, economic, social and political instututions. The combined effects of both trends create uncertainty, insecurity, anxiety and identity challenges.

Confronted with the question of how to deal with uncertainty and diversity I would like to suggest that we are bound to become hybrids in a hybrid world if we are to survive. Is it not better to realize that we have to operate in various settings with diverse structural arrangements and cultural orientations? Should we not aim at plurality, at a palette of combinations in which existing and historically conditioned boundaries are transgressed, such as that between the private and the public sphere, and between all kinds of policy sectors such as health care, housing, law enforcement and (public) security? In short, the challenge posed to managers and citizens by the present time is the development of skills which can be labelled 'management of diversity'.

These skills should first of all include the ability to deal with uncertainty, unknown situations, limited means, and one's own shortcomings. After all, in a global world and a plural society the citizen will inevitably have to associate with people who have different ways of thinking and acting. The citizen does not find his freedom in blindly observing rules nor in a self-evident orientation towards the general interest, nor in the possiblity of doing everything he wants to do, but in the ability to act judiciously under different specific conditions (Van Gunsteren 1992).

Now we can approach this problem of dealing with uncertainty from at least two different angles: an integrative and a coordinative point of view. In the integrative point of view of society or nation-state uniformity is advocated. It is transferred, although indirectly and often camouflaged, as an appeal to promote common aspects. The advocates of this view adhere to the conviction that society will disintegrate if its members are not strung more or less like beads along a string of common motives, cognitions and values. They think that a plural society can only function adequately if there is commonality of fundamental values and standards between the various groups in society. The ultimate goal seems to be the abolition of differences. For instance, the principle of a uniform law for every citizen implies that members of society cannot be distinguished before the law, and should not be. The qualities according to which a group or individual can be distinguished are placed outside the law. In a certain sense this principle therefore reflects an attempt by the dominant segment of society to define other segments and features as 'foreign', as misplaced, as illegitimate. In addition it is a confirmation and reinforcement of the social hierarchy. In essence it assumes the superiority of one form of life - and its corresponding legal system - in relation to the other. It makes it possible for individuals to accept and internalize the dominant form of life. The offer to switch over to 'the enlightened' position, in general that of the autochthonous population, is presented as an example of tolerance, but actually confirms the rigidity of the distinguishing values, as Bauman argues in his article 'Modernity and Ambivalence' (1990).

At the same time, tolerance with respect to individuals expresses an intolerance towards collectivities and their values. Cultural conformity becomes a condition and a vehicle for obtaining full citizenship. In particular, the ambitious segments of the allochthonous population groups adapt themselves. Also, as a result of their participation in the social practices and related exposure to the values embedded in these practices, they adapt themselves to the dominant cultural patterns. They are the so-called 'progressive' people, the others are traditionalists with whom the Western civilization offensive has not yet had the desired result. From this viewpoint the various assimilation programmes focus on breaking down and transforming ethnic identity. They intend to build up and mobilize a link with an 'imagined community' (Anderson 1983). The ideal of this community is an ethnic, religious, linguistic and cultural homogeneity. If the nation-state were to to achieve its goal, no aliens would be left in the perception of the 'residents-turned authochthonous-turned patriots' (Bauman 1990). This has not been realized anywhere. 'Melting pots' are either myths or failed projects.

This experience leads to the conclusion that a coordinative model is to be preferred. This model does not deal with commonality (as is the case in the integrative model) but with compatibility of views, and in particular, practices (Wallace 1962). From a normative point of view this model places less stringent and hence more realistic demands on the groups living together within the nation-state. In this way people also avoid the problem with which tolerant 'integrationists' are struggling. Based on the conviction that the (re)production of commonality is necessary, these tolerant 'integrationists' demand that everybody mentally supports and internalizes uniform key values. At the same time they recognize, however, the right of minorities to experience their own culture. Their solution consists of the analytically acceptable, but empirically contestable, distinction between public and domestic or private domain. In daily practice, these two domains are interchangeable.

However, the problems are not solved by advocating the coordinative model. After all, integration and coordination have one common element: the demand of non-conflict of principles, criteria and (legal) rules. Incompatibilities should be banned. The conditions, however, under which and the way in which the 'process of banning' should occur are not easy to indicate. Choices are inevitable when it concerns conflicting views, for example concerning

the granting of equal rights of men and women, the integrity of the human body and the relation between the citizen and the state. I do not have the answer to this issue. However, I am convinced that a necessary precondition is to promote dialogue between groups with different identities though without asking these groups to develop a shared system of basic values, or a common worldview. Such an appeal is not only unnecessary, it is also dangerous because minorities may regard this as an invitation to renounce or forsake their own culture. So please, do not adhere to the mainstream view on culture as a common set of values, standards and practices.

Let me turn now to my second argument: the interaction model of decision making. It should be clear that the concept of governance is of great importance to an open perspective on the future. My thesis is that the success of governance will depend not just on the content of decisions. but mainly on the quality of the decision-making process. Time and again I have the feeling that two models of decision-making are being confused: in many cases the norm is a classical rational model of decision-making, while in everyday practice it is a strategic interaction model which is followed. The classical model distinguishes the clearly demarcated successive stages in the process of decision-making. We are familiar with these stages: preparation, determination, execution, evaluation and adjustment of policy. These stages call for strong management and rational bureaucratic procedures with obedient actors who are ideally guided by 'the common good' and who observe the prescribed 'rules of the game', which lay down exactly who may take part in this process, when and how. It is common knowledge that some of them do not stick to the rules of the game, that some perhaps many engage in shopping, intriguing and manipulating outside official channels. Really these 'cheats' ought to be dismissed, but that does not happen. They are not only tolerated, they are often positively appreciated. Practice shows that they are the successful ones. In other words, are not the 'cheats' in terms of the classical model the strategic actors in terms of the interaction model? This model assumes that solutions and problems only become relevant in a process of decision-making if they are represented by an actor. This implies that the definitions of reality adhered to by the various parties are an important basis for the course of the decision-making, which can be described as a bundle of series of decisions taken by various actors (Teisman 1992). Note that the interaction model has a structure and rules too, though they are fundamentally different from the classical model (In 't Veld 1995). There are no stages, but decision rounds. The results of each round affect the following round. However, the process can start up again each time with different positions, different definitions, and to some extent, different actors and weapons in different arenas. Those involved are thus reasonably free to take initiatives, adjust others' proposals, or to run off with them whenever they feel the need. So it seems that chaos reigns.

Since the interaction model recognizes an antagonistic cooperation between the actors, whether they are representatives of political parties, trade unions, sects, government bodies or whatever, it is not concerned with the common good. In fact, this model immediately exposes the common good as the proper interest of an actor who is attempting to impose his or her definition of the situation on others. What is at stake is the location and realization of a shared interest, consisting of the combination of the well-understood proper interests of the participants. The interaction model is partly based on mutual dependencies and well-understood proper interest: in essence it concerns the coordination and combination of the proper interests of the various actors who have to depend on one another for the satisfaction of their demands or the realization of their objectives. I am deliberately using the term 'well-understood proper interest'. Otherwise, there would probably be a permanent situation of short-term profit-seeking without an awareness of the need to create long-term allies, while

the fact that scale and diversity can be important dimensions of survival may be overlooked. The combination of resources which imply this scale and breadth makes it possible to deal more adequately with fluctuations arising from certain changes in the surroundings. From this perspective, the preservation and organization of variety is essential for the maintenance of resilience.

Of course, this 'awareness' of mutual dependence as part of proper interest is not a prior given; it is a difficult task to formulate a shared interest from the range of proper interests. To achieve it, the parties have to submit - though they may do so hesitantly and reluctantly out of necessity -to an arbiter, a 'games master', a process architect (In 't Veld 1995). This 'impartial third party' -who is not necessarily neutral - has to ensure a rich, interactive environment which takes into account a multi-actor perspective in which as many potentially interested parties as possible take part on a particular issue. In this way, the process architect can contribute to obtaining the most satisfactory solution for the interested parties. After all, the only way to 'learn' is to act, to take part 'in a larger public process in which public meanings are negotiated' (Bruner 1990: 13). The attribution of meaning as a social process is dialogical by nature (Bakhtin 1981). Process architects act as monitors or brokers. They do not direct, but they inform and mediate, they bring parties together by articulating and coordinating their wellunderstood proper interests, they supervise the process, and check to see whether the agreements made by the parties (such as goals to be realized, tasks to be carried out, or resources to be reserved) are observed and carried out. Process architects of this kind have to win confidence not on the basis of cultivating a management ideal aimed at or based on an idealized image of consensus (the 'corporate identity myth'), but by expressing the Janus-like character of solidarity and exploitation between members of the same organizational unit. This calls for recognition of the antagonistic character of the cooperation between actors. It requires learning to handle uncertainty and diversity, a skill which can be acquired.

By the way, it is not just the embedding in systems of norms and protocols that counts, but the actual practices of implementation are of great importance as well. Lipsky (1978, 1980) has rightly pointed out that it is often the base-level practical implementers who have the most influence on management and policy processes. Executive officers at the grassroots level are not the end of the policy chain. They are primary actors. The ways in which these officers take decisions must be seen within their specific everyday working situation. It is at the level of executive practices that the actors equipped with diverse frames of reference learn to speak one another's language. This is the level which determines to what extent abstract, official, more or less objectified and available definitions of the situation (for example, the definition of a person as a recipient of unemployment benefit, an offender, someone who is incapacitated for work, or a partner) correspond to the meaning and content which those directly involved in the actual interaction assign to it. It is precisely in the practices of implementation that the direct link between the creation of meaning and the construction of identity can be seen. The degree to which this 'mutual translation process' is a success or a failure partly determines the extent to which the definitions of reality entertained by those engaged in the interaction are shared or reconcilable. This in turn lays the basis for the degree of 'responsive' governance or harmonious living together.

#### REFERENCES

Anderson, B. (1983) Imagined Communities: Reflection on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism, London/New York: Verso.

Anderson, B. (1992) Long-Distance Nationalism: World Capitalism and the Rise of Identity Politics, Centre for Asian Studies, Amsterdam.

Appadurai, A. (1990) Disjuncture and Difference in the Global Cultural Economy, in M. Featherstone (ed.), *Global Culture: Nationalism, Globalization and Modernity*, Sage, London, pp. 295-310.

Bahktin, M.N. (1981) The Dialogic Imagination, Austin: University of Texas Press.

Bauman, Z. (1991) Modernity and Ambivalence, Polity Press, Cambridge.

Baumann, G. (1997) "Dominant and Demotic Discourses of Culture: Their relevance to multiethnic alliances", in: G. Baumann, *Debating Cultural Hybridity*.

Bourdieu, P. (1991) Language and Symbolic Power. Cambridge, Polity Press.

Brenner, Y.S. (1996) Looking Back, Utrecht.: ISOR

Breuer, S. (1992) Die Gesellschaft des Verschwindens, Junius Verlag, Hamburg.

Bruner, J. (1990) Acts of Meaning, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Castells, M (2000), *The Information Age: Economy, Society and Culture*. Vols I, II and III. Oxford: Blackwell.

Featherstone, M. (1990) Global Culture, Nationality, Globalization and Modernity, Sage, London.

Friedman, J. (1986) The World City Hypothesis, Development and Change, vol. 17, pp. 69-83

Friedman, C. (1995) Cultural Identity and Global Process, Sage, London.

Giddens, A. (1990) The Consequences of Modernity, Polity Press, London.

Gunsteren, H. van (1992) Eigentijds Burgerschap, WRR Publication, Den Haag: SDU.

Gunsteren, H. van (1993) Eenvoud in veelvoud, Beleid en Maatschappij, jaargang XX, pp. 3-8.

Hannerz, U. (1992) *Cultural Complexity: Studies in the Social Organization of Meaning,* Columbia University Press, New York.

Hannerz, U. (1996) Transnational Connections. Culture, People, Places. Londen, Routledge.

In 't Veld, R. (1995), Spelen met vuur. Over hybride organisaties. Den haag: VUGA.

Knox, P.L. (1996), Golbalization and the World City Hypothesis, *Scottish Geographical Magazine*, vol. 112, pp. 124-126

Latour, B. (1994) *Wij zijn nooit modern geweest. Pleidooi voor een symmetrische antropologie*, van Gennep, Rotterdam.

Lewis, O, (1965) La Vida, A Puerto Rican Family in the Culture of Poverty. New York, Vintage Books

Lipsky, M. (1978), 'Standing the Study of Public Implementation on its Head', in: W.D. Burnham and M.W. Weinberg (eds), *American Politics and Public Policy*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: M.J.I. Press.

Lipsky, M. (1980) Street-level Bureaucracy: *Dilemmas of the Individual in Public Services*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation

Malkki, L. (1992) National Geographic: the Rooting of Peoples and the Territorialization of National Identity among Scholars and Refugees, *Cultural Anthropology* 7 (1), pp. 24-44.

Mingione, E., (1996) Urban Poverty in the Advanced Industrial World: Concepts, Analysis and Debates, in: E. Mingione (ed.), *Urban Poverty and the Underclass*, Blackwell, Oxford.

Naerssen, T. van (2000), Cities and the Globalization of Urban Development Policy,in: F.J. Schuurman (ed.), *Globalization and Development Studies*. *Challenges for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*. London: Sage, pp.177-196

Robertson, R. (1992) Globalization: Social Theory and Global Culture, Sage, London.

Robertson, R. (1995) Glocalization': Time-Space and Homogeneity-Heterogeneity, in M. Featherstone, S. Lash, & R. Robertson (eds.), *Global Modernities*, Sage, London, pp. 25-44.

Roosens, E.A. (1989) Creating Ethnicity. The Process of Ethnogenesis, Sage, London.

Ruijter, A. de (1995) Cultural Pluralism and Citizenship, Cultural Dynamics 7, pp. 215-31.

Ruijter, A. de (1996) Hybridization and Governance. ISS, Den Haag.

Ruijter, A. de (1997) The era of glocalisation, in: T. van Naerssen, N. Rutten and A. Zoomers (eds.), The Diversity of Development. Assen, Van Gorkum, pp. 381-391.

Sassen, S. (1991) The Global City: London, New York, Tokyo. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Scott, A.J. (1998) *Regions and the World Economy. The Coming Shape of Global Production, Competition and Political Order*. Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Scott, A.J. et al. (1999) Global City-Regions. Los Angelos, UCLA School of Public Policy and

Social Research.

Short, J.R. & Yeong Hyum Kim (1998) Globalization and the City. Harlow, Longman.

Teisman, G.R. (1992) Complexe Besluitvorming, Den Haag; VUGA.

Turner, J.C., M.A. Hogg, P.J. Oakes, S.D. Reicher & M.S. Wetherell (eds) (1987) Rediscovering the social group. A self-categorization theory. Oxford: Blackwell.

Wallace, A.F.C. (1992) Culture and Personality. New York, Random House.

#### NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following address

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/web/activ/\_wp.html

http://papers.ssrn.com

SUST	1.2002	K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
		Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa
ETA	2.2002	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
		Preferences?
WAT	3.2002	Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5.2002	Black Sea
CLIM	4.2002	
		Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International
		Comparison on European Gasoline Markets
ETA	7.2002	Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Eftichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An
		Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a
		Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11
		European Countries
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary
LIM	11.2002	Policy
KNOW	12.2002	<i>Rever GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES:</i> Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable
KNOW	12.2002	
NIDM	12 2002	Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes:
		Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy
CLIM	16.2002	Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance
		Economy
Coalition	18.2002	László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities
Theory		Lusion. Roczi (n). The core in the resence of Externations
Network		
Coalition	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Theory		
Network		Coalitions
Coalition	20.2002	
Theory		Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Network		
NRM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach
	21.2002	in Insular Systems
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
CLINI	22.2002	Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
CLIM	23.2002	
CLIM	25.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US
	24 2002	Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech
ETA	24.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
CLIM	25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
		Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
		Change
SUST	27.2002	Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
		Conservation Plans
SUST	28.2002	The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
KNOW	29.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence
ETA	30.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies

NRM	31.2002	Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
	51.2002	the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
NRM	32.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
KNOW	33.2002	A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
KNOW	24 2002	Industries?
KNOW	34.2002	G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage Effect
CLIM	35.2002	C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from
CLIM	55.2002	Economic Theory and International Experiences
CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (lv): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (lv): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (lv): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
NRM	40.2002	the Framework of Agenda 2000 S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
	40.2002	under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
		Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42.2002	C. OHL (lvi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43.2002	J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (lvi): Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
CLIM	44 2002	<u>Analysis with MacGEM</u> A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (1vi): Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (191): <u>working 100 Much in a Polluted world: A North-South Evolutionary</u> Model
ETA	45.2002	<i>P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET</i> (lvi): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
		Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (lvi): A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
Theory	51.2002	Sergio CORRARINI (IIV). Stable Organizations with Externations
Network		
Network ETA	52.2002	<i>Robert N. STAVINS</i> : Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
ETA ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
ETA ETA CLIM	53.2002 54.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty
ETA ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-
ETA ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
ETA ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> <li>62.2002</li> <li>63.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> <li>62.2002</li> <li>63.2002</li> <li>64.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary <u>Union</u> Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> <li>62.2002</li> <li>63.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary <u>Union</u> Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <u>Tobin's Q</u> Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent <u>Productivity</u> Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> <li>62.2002</li> <li>63.2002</li> <li>64.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary <u>Union</u> Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> <li>62.2002</li> <li>63.2002</li> <li>64.2002</li> <li>65.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> <li>62.2002</li> <li>63.2002</li> <li>64.2002</li> <li>65.2002</li> <li>66.2002</li> <li>67.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (1iii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (1iii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (1vii): <u>Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of</u> Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (1vii): <u>Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy</u> Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (1vii): <u>How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions</u> Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: <u>Staving Together</u> or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: <u>Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability</u> Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: <u>Privatization and R&amp;D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q</u> Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: <u>The Effects of Privatization on R&amp;D Investments and Patent</u> <u>Productivity</u> Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: <u>Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a</u> <u>Statistical Life</u> Paolo SURICO: <u>US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences</u> <i>Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO</i> : <u>Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare</u> Changes in the U.K.
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> <li>62.2002</li> <li>63.2002</li> <li>64.2002</li> <li>65.2002</li> <li>66.2002</li> <li>67.2002</li> <li>68.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules; the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BrAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the ULK. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> <li>62.2002</li> <li>64.2002</li> <li>65.2002</li> <li>66.2002</li> <li>67.2002</li> <li>68.2002</li> <li>69.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (vii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty. Taxes or Tradable Permits?
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> <li>62.2002</li> <li>63.2002</li> <li>64.2002</li> <li>65.2002</li> <li>66.2002</li> <li>67.2002</li> <li>68.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (1iii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (1iii): <u>Towards a Better Climate Treaty</u> Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies</u> Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: <u>Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs</u> Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): <u>Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of</u> Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): <u>Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy</u> Famy MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): <u>How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions</u> Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: <u>Staving Together</u> or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary <u>Union</u> <i>Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER</i> : <u>Interpreting Sustainability in Economic</u> Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity <i>Carlo CAPUANO</i> : <u>Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability</u> <i>Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI</i> : <u>Privatization and R&amp;D Performance</u> : An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q <i>Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO</i> : <u>The Effects of Privatization on R&amp;D Investments and Patent</u> <u>Productivity</u> <i>Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE</i> : <u>Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life</u> <i>Paolo SURICO</i> : <u>US Monetary Policy Rules</u> : the Case for Asymmetric Preferences <i>Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO</i> : <u>Privatisations as Price Reforms</u> : Evaluating Consumers' Welfare <u>Changes in the U.K.</u> Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: <u>Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations</u> <i>Philippe QURION</i> : <u>Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty</u> : Taxes or Tradable Permits? <i>Anna ALBERINI</i> , Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO:
ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	<ul> <li>53.2002</li> <li>54.2002</li> <li>55.2002</li> <li>56.2002</li> <li>57.2002</li> <li>58.2002</li> <li>59.2002</li> <li>60.2002</li> <li>61.2002</li> <li>62.2002</li> <li>64.2002</li> <li>65.2002</li> <li>66.2002</li> <li>67.2002</li> <li>68.2002</li> <li>69.2002</li> </ul>	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (vii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty. Taxes or Tradable Permits?

NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
	72 2002	Irrigation
PRIV	73.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical
PRIV	74.2002	Evidence from Egypt Mike BURKART, Fausto PANUNZI and Andrei SHLEIFER: <u>Family Firms</u>
PRIV	75.2002	<i>Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD:</i> Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
I KI V	75.2002	Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
	,	Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
		Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?
		Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PRIV	80.2002	Alberto R. PETRUCCI: Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and Wealth Displacement in a Small Open
CL D.(	01 2002	Economy
CLIM	81.2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
PRIV	82.2002	of the Montreal Protocol George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization, Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
FNIV	82.2002	Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
I ICI V	05.2002	to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: <u>An</u>
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (Iv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
		Misconceptions
CLIM	86.2002	Pierre COURTOIS: Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
ETA	87.2002	Vito FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (Iviii): Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance
ETA	88.2002	Laurent FRANCKX (lviii): Environmental Enforcement with Endogenous Ambient Monitoring
ETA	89.2002	Timo GOESCHL and Timothy M. SWANSON (lviii): Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an
ETA	90.2002	evolutionary biological system. Hans KEIDING (lviii): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	90.2002 91.2002	<i>Wietze LISE</i> (lviii): <u>A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India</u>
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production
2111	<i></i>	Units
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
		Agreements
CLIM	95.2002	Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
		the Netherlands: Perceptions and Reality
CLIM	96.2002	Matthieu GLACHANT: The Political Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Policy
KNOW	97.2002	Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
ET A	08 2002	<u>Thailand</u> Gianfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
ETA	98.2002	GARCH Models
ETA	99.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul
2111	100.2002	EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Biodiversity and Globalization
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements - If per capita emission levels matter
ETA	103.2002	Pierre-André JOUVET and Walid OUESLATI: Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous
		Growth Model with Environmental Externality
ETA	104.2002	Anna BOTTASSO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI: Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data
PRIV	105 2002	Evidence on Italy Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Frank DE JONG, Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolya SCHINDELE: Privatization and
PKIV	105.2002	Stock Market Liquidity
ETA	106.2002	Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (Iviii): Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game
PRIV	107.2002	Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of
		Privatisation in Developing Countries
PRIV	108.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial
		Diversification
PRIV	109.2002	Alberto CHONG and Florencio LÓPEZ-DE-SILANES: Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the
		World
PRIV	110.2002	Nandini GUPTA: Partial Privatization and Firm Performance
PRIV	111.2002	François DEGEORGE, Dirk JENTER, Alberto MOEL and Peter TUFANO: Selling Company Shares to
		Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience

PRIV	112.2002	Isaac OTCHERE: Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and
		Developing Countries
PRIV	113.2002	Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI: Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization
PRIV	114.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS: Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets
PRIV	115.2002	D. Teja FLOTHO: A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration
PRIV	116.2002	Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI: Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets:
		The Case of Hungary
PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical
		Issues
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
		<u>Market</u>
CLIM	4.2003	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of
		Government Commitment
KNOW	5.2003	Reyer GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA	6.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO: Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model
SIEV	7.2003	Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: The
		Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment:
		Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARRÓS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
		with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of
		Climate Change Policy Analysis
KNOW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural
		Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art
KNOW	13.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lvix): Bio-Ecological
		Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures
KNOW	14.2003	Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lvix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and
		Future Trajectories
KNOW	15.2003	Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lvix): Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic
		and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life
KNOW	16.2003	Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lvix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from
		Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lvix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18 2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RULITER (Ivix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World

KNOW 18.2003 Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lvix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World

(1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and

Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001 (li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001

(lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001

(liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

(liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002

(lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001

(lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE – Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum fur Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001

(lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002

(lvix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002

	2002 SERIES
CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
VOL	Voluntary and International Agreements (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SUST	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
MGMT	Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

#### 2003 SERIES

Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)