

Bitusikova, Alexandra

Working Paper

Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia

Nota di Lavoro, No. 16.2003

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Bitusikova, Alexandra (2003) : Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia, Nota di Lavoro, No. 16.2003, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118038>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

**Post-Communist City on its Way
from Grey to Colourful:
The Case Study from Slovakia**

Alexandra Bitusikova

NOTA DI LAVORO 16.2003

JANUARY 2003

KNOW – Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital

*Alexandra Bitusikova, Matej Bel University, Institute of Social and Cultural Studies,
Faculty of Humanities, Slovak Republic*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:

http://www.feem.it/web/attiv/_wp.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:

http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

The special issue on *Economic Growth and Innovation in Multicultural Environments (ENGIME)* collects a selection of papers presented at the multidisciplinary workshops organised by the ENGIME Network.

The ENGIME workshops address the complex relationships between economic growth, innovation and diversity, in the attempt to define the conditions (policy, institutional, regulatory) under which European diversities can promote innovation and economic growth.

This batch of papers has been presented at the first ENGIME workshop: *Mapping Diversity*.

ENGIME is financed by the European Commission, Fifth RTD Framework Programme, Key Action Improving Socio-Economic Knowledge Base, and it is co-ordinated by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM).

Further information is available at www.feem.it/engime.

Workshops

- **Mapping Diversity**
Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- **Communication across cultures in multicultural cities**
The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- **Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities**
Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- **Governance and policies in multicultural cities**
Rome, July 2003
- **Trust and social capital in multicultural cities**
Athens, November 2003
- **Diversity as a source of growth**
Milan, April 2004

Partners of the ENGIME network:

- Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milano, Italy
- Psychoanalytic Institute for Social Research, Roma, Italy
- Institute of Historical, Sociological and Linguistic Studies, University of Ancona, Italy
- Centre for Economic Learning and Social Evolution, University College London, UK
- Faculty of Economics and Applied Economics, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
- Idea Consult, Bruxelles, Belgium
- Maison de la Recherche en Science Humaines, Laboratoire d'Analyse Socio-Anthropologique du Risque, Maison de la Recherche en Sciences Humaines, Université de Caen, France
- Centre for Economic Research and Environmental Strategy, Athens, Greece
- Institute of Higher European Studies, The Hague University of Professional Education, The Netherlands

Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: Case-Study from Slovakia

The paper is a case study of the city of Banská Bystrica in Slovakia in the light of political, socio-economic and cultural changes. It discusses urban diversity and integrity from an anthropological qualitative perspective. On the example of three different historical periods (1918 – 1948: the democratic Czechoslovakia; 1948 – 1989: the communist Czechoslovakia; 1989 up to the present: building new democracy in a new state) the study shows transformations of the city and urban life.

The research results show how political systems influence conditions, in which urban diversity and heterogeneity develop. During the democratic period of the first Czechoslovak Republic (1918 – 1948 with the exception of the World War II), Banská Bystrica was a multicultural city with a rich ethnic, religious and social differentiation of the inhabitants. Diverse social and cultural life was flourishing in tolerance until the World War II. After the communist coup in 1948, the situation dramatically changed. Totalitarian regime was systematically suppressing any diversity or pluralism in public spaces for fear of a mass protest against the regime. Diversity in public spaces was replaced by homogeneity that does not tolerate any difference. After the ‘velvet revolution’ in 1989 and the ‘velvet divorce’ in 1993 dramatic political, economic, social and cultural changes transformed the face of the city. Reconstruction of the city centre opened the door to diversity. For the inhabitants diversity and plurality is a symbol of ‘Western’ democracy, which is in contrast to uniformity of the communist past. Yet, although the change from homogeneity to diversity has been welcomed by most citizens, everyday life in heterogeneous society asks for more tolerance and understanding.

The study demonstrates that diversity can grow and flourish only in democracy, which allows differences and pluralism leading to richer and diversified urban life.

Keywords: Urban diversity, post-communist city, democracy, Slovakia

Address for correspondence:

Alexandra Bitusikova
Matej Bel University
ISKS
Tajovského 40
974 00 Banská Bystrica
Slovak Republic
E-mail: bitusikova@mail.com

Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia¹

by Alexandra Bitusikova

Dr. Alexandra Bitusikova, PhD, Institute of Social and Cultural Studies, Faculty of Humanities, Matej Bel University, Tajovskeho 40, 974 00 Banska Bystrica, Slovak Republic, e-mail: bitusikova@mail.com

One of the main characteristics of the city is its heterogeneity and diversity. In sociological and urban anthropological writings of the 20th century the city is often described as a dichotomy to rural area. While the city represents complexity, diversity, variety, changes and innovation, the countryside or small town are defined by simplicity, tradition, homogeneity, social continuity and cultural unity. Cities are more ethnically, religiously and socially heterogeneous than the countryside. That leads to greater tolerance and understanding on the one hand or to potential intergroup conflict on the other hand.

On the example of the Slovak city of Banska Bystrica we can study transformations of the city in the light of political, socio-economic and cultural changes in the 20th century and explore impact of ideologies on pluralism and diversity of the city. The methods of the interview, participant observation, oral history, study of archive documents, regional newspapers and memoir literature have been used in the study. Historic approach has

also been applied to understand certain phenomena of social relations and communication of various groups and individuals.



The coat-of-arms of Banská Bystrica

Banská Bystrica is a medium-sized city situated in the mountainous region of Central Slovakia on the Hron river.² In 1255 it was granted important municipal and mining privileges and rights by the Hungarian ruler Belo IV and became a free royal city. Rich ore deposits of precious metals attracted first mostly German settlers. Silver and copper mining sustained dynamic development of the city. In the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries Banská Bystrica flourished as a major Central European mining city and was well known as *'the copper Banská Bystrica'*. The medieval city like other European cities developed its economic strength because of trade and commerce. The successful development resulted in luxurious architecture - palaces and residences of noble families situated on the central square. The 'golden age' of the mining city lasted until the seventeenth century, afterwards the city became an important centre of crafts, commerce and services.



Map of the Slovak Republic with Banská Bystrica in the centre

Having lived in Banská Bystrica for most of my life, I have become a participant – observer and informant myself, which helped me to visualise and compare various images of the city in different historic periods, particularly the communist and post-communist periods. Studying older period of the democratic first Czechoslovak Republic, interviews and archive documents revealed the history.

The period 1918 – 1948 ³

The first Czechoslovak Republic was established on 28 October 1918. Banská Bystrica became an administrative centre of the Central Slovak District. At that time it was a small town with only ten thousand inhabitants. Although the city was situated in the region with the dominant Slovak population, the ethnic structure of the city inhabitants was heterogeneous and was influenced by historical development of the city in the Austro-Hungarian Empire. German settlers who had developed mining and craft activities, assimilated in course of time, but a number of German words and

phrases have survived in the local dialect. The strong magyarization (hungarization) on the turn of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries also left traces in local communication and identity. Jewish community numbered more than ten per cent of urban population (Bitusikova 1996a). Although the number of people claiming Slovak nationality grew to 90% after 1918, trilinguism naturally survived until 1948 when the communists took over the power. By religion, the predominant religions were Roman-Catholicism (60%), Protestantism (25%) and Judaism (10%).

The period of the first democratic Czechoslovak Republic could serve as a model for tolerant co-existence of diversified urban population. In Banská Bystrica, all social, cultural and economic activities were concentrated in the city centre on the square, called Masaryk's Square. The square lined with palaces and houses of the former '*Ringbürger*' and '*Waldbürger*' (wealthy burghers and mine-owners) took pride in high towers, the fountain built in the Art Nouveau decorative style, a lot of greenery and the Baroque Marian column. The square was a multifunctional space visited by the inhabitants of the city and the neighbouring villages as well as by numerous visitors and tourists, attracted to Banská Bystrica by historical monuments, natural beauties, sport opportunities and an old proverb: '*To live in Bystrica, and after the death in heaven is best*'. Although the urban population was differentiated by the social strata and by professional, ethnic and religious affiliation that was reflected also in the spatial structure of the city, it was the city centre and the conditions in the new democratic state that had impact on urban life and integration of the heterogeneous urban population.

Typical example of regular contacts of the city dwellers was the daily evening promenade. It was a place of meetings and visual contacts of different groups of urban population regardless of the social, ethnic or religious affiliation. The German greeting '*Küss die Hand*', the Hungarian '*Kezét csókolom*', the Latin '*Servus*' and the Slovak '*Dobry den*' that could be heard in the promenade, reflected the multicultural nature of the city. The promenade performed an important function of social integration. As respondents mention: '*I remember common walks and discussions of the Catholic priest, the Protestant vicar and the Rabbi. They served as a perfect example of tolerant communication for all urban inhabitants of various religions and ethnicities*' (J.M., 1921). '*We have never distinguished between us who were who – Catholic, Lutheran, Jewish, Slovak, Czech, Hungarian. If there was a theatre or ball in the Catholic club, we all went there, if in the Lutheran club, we met there. We were brought up in everyday tolerance both in the family and at school*' (E.B., 1913).

In the inter-war period the small city had over hundred and fifty restaurants, pubs, wine bars and cafes, and almost two hundred interest associations, clubs and charities for all groups of inhabitants. The trade and commerce also contributed to the diversity of the place – craftsmen and farmers from the whole Slovakia regularly visited the city markets.



Dominik Skutecky
Market in Banska Bystrica, 1889, oil

The democratic atmosphere of the Czechoslovak Republic allowed the people of all ethnic, religious or social groups to participate in public manifestations, celebrations, religious processions, parades and political demonstrations (Bitusikova 1995). This communication started to be tense at the end of the 1930s when separatist movement calling for the independent Slovak Republic was getting stronger and the Nazi ideology was spreading throughout Europe. On 14 March 1939, the Slovak Republic was established. The regime of the president Jozef Tiso compromised itself by collaborating with the Nazis. The political situation and the Second World War had a strong impact on everyday life of the city inhabitants. The former openness and sociableness was replaced by fear, uncertainty and suspiciousness. The life of the Jewish community was violently interrupted by numerous restrictions and after May 1942 deportations. Several Christian

families in the city and in the nearby villages offered sanctuary to the Jews, but only a few of them survived. On 29 August 1944, Banská Bystrica became the centre of the Slovak National Uprising - the biggest anti-fascist event in Central Europe during the Second World War. Although the uprising was stifled by the Nazis in October 1944, it has been one of the most important events in the modern Slovak history. The short after-war period was an era of the restoration of the Czechoslovak Republic and strengthening the position of communists. In February 1948 the Communist Party took over the political power in the country that was the end of democracy in Czechoslovakia.

The period of 1918 - 1948 (with the exception of the World War II) were the years of a democratic regime with its freedom, plurality and heterogeneity. Democracy allowed the inhabitants of all groups to express themselves freely, to participate in various events or associations that led to the city growth, development and diversity in everyday life. Rise of Fascist ideology and the World War II violently disrupted previous tolerant co-existence of the heterogeneous urban population.

The period 1948 - 89

After the political and socio-economic communist take-over in 1948 the face of the city changed dramatically. The heart of the city – the main square was renamed from the Masaryk's Square that symbolized the former democracy, to the Slovak National Uprising Square. Residential houses and palaces on the square were taken from original private owners and given to the state

ownership during the nationalization. Almost all historical buildings served as shops and offices, the backs of the houses and yards were settled by the Roma and the inhabitants of lower strata, which completely changed the spatial structure of the city. The colours of everyday diversity disappeared. This way of planned urban development, forced by communist ideology and leading to breaking the former social networks, was similar in all East and Central European cities.

The main goal of the communists was to suppress any diversity that was a threat to the regime. All kinds of means were used to reach homogeneity and to celebrate the new ideology of 'equality'. New monumental symbols appeared (memorial dedicated to the Soviet Army, statues of Lenin or other Soviet symbols) and replaced older, mainly religious symbols.⁴ Open public spaces like the city square, attracting various groups of urban population, became a potential place for spontaneous or organised protest against the communist regime, and therefore were considered dangerous for the new communist power. The socio-integration function of the city centre was intentionally limited and replaced by the traffic function that became highly dominant and had a negative influence on the quality of life and environment on the square. The square became a traffic junction for public transport. The life disappeared from the centre each day after the working hours. The look of the square was grey, dull and sad, and so was the look of the people visiting it. They all had to look for any social and cultural activities in other parts of the city or – more often - in private, at their homes. The only occasions when the square was full of people were politically motivated and organised political rituals - manifestations (May

Day, anniversaries of the October Revolution and the Slovak National Uprising). This situation lasted until 1989 when the communist regime in the country collapsed in the '*velvet revolution*'.

The period after 1989

In November 1989 the city centre in Banská Bystrica (like squares in other cities of the former Czecho-Slovakia) was filled with thousands of people hoping for the new future. After long years of totalitarian regime the square became a place of free manifestation of democratic ideas. We all were participating in November events holding our symbolic keys to the new era, full of belief and desire to change the future. Political and socio-economic changes were soon reflected in the city life. After restitutions, most of buildings were given back to the former owners or sold to private companies and banks. Many houses were transformed into luxurious private shops, banks, restaurants and cafes. Reconstruction of the backs and yards of the houses and their transformation into residential flats brought life into the city centre. The spatial structure of the city has changed again – while before November 1989 it was only Roma who inhabited the houses on the square, after restitutions these houses became affordable only for a new post-communist bourgeoisie and became the symbol of prestige, social status and wealth.

An important step to revitalise the city life was the complete reconstruction of the main square in 1994. Within five months the square changed from the traffic crossroads to the pedestrian zone with a new paving, lamps, newly

planted trees, benches, drinking fountains and lots of garden cafes and restaurants. The most dramatic was the change of the people and their attitude towards the city. The inhabitants, so indifferent to their city in the communist past, have welcomed the change with a feeling of great euphoria. Life and colours were back on the square, which has been transformed into a large open-air stage for various social and cultural activities. The characteristic feature of the change has been growing diversity in the streets of the city. In the daytime the square is now full of tourists, people who come to relax, meet business partners or friends, old people sitting on benches and watching life, mothers with babies, young people on their rendez-vous. The evening promenade has revived again. People enjoy coming to the square for a walk, having dinner in an outside restaurant and becoming a part of the dynamic street life. In summer also numerous cultural programmes and festivities attract people to the square (concerts, theatres, festivals, craft markets etc.). After years of limited opportunities people slowly learn how to express themselves freely and to enjoy life spontaneously, without fear of being watched by the secret police.

Democracy, however young, has contributed to revitalisation of urban life, but it wouldn't be enough. You have to create incentives and build opportunities for social interactions. Reconstruction of the square has reinforced local identity and integrity of the inhabitants. On the other hand, the new square has also become a symbol of internationalization, westernization and Europeanism, especially for the middle-aged and young people who compare the city with other cities in Europe: *"When I sit in the garden cafe on the square, I feel like I am in Paris."* The dream to return to

Europe and to live ‘*western*’ way of life, however idealist it was, is coming true. The survey made among the city inhabitants, the media interviews with the people, and my participant observation support this statement. The square is now a place where the inhabitants get into contact with diverse social types, subcultures, values, various manners of dressing and behavior that leads to acceptance of social, cultural and ethnic heterogeneity.

Diversity may bring also tensions. Not everyone welcomes it easily. After years of homogeneity and forced equality people need time to get used to more colourful face of the city. New political situation - democracy in a young independent state – has awaken old ghosts of nationalism and intolerance that show their teeth from time to time, mainly in the media. Distrust to the ‘others’ is a Central European heritage from the complex historic development in various regimes and states.

Conclusion

The case study of the city of Banska Bystrica in the light of different historic periods and political systems proves that it is only democracy that brings and allows diversity, development and growth of the city and its life. The material reveals the points of coherence between ideologies and socio-political systems, and the possibilities for social communication, contacts and activities of city inhabitants in different historical periods. The period 1918 - 1948 was an era of the democratic Czechoslovak Republic. The democratic spirit of the state reflected in diversity of social contacts in

various clubs, cultural and religious events, taverns, pubs, restaurants etc., and allowed the city inhabitants to openly express their views, to use their potential for the benefit, growth and development of the city.

The communist period of the years 1948 - 1989 disrupted integrity of the population and limited motivation and any opportunities for creativity and innovation. The communist ideology systematically suppressed social communication on public places for fear of any mass protest against the communist power. It tried to break all social networks and social activities of the inter-war period. Instead of diversified social contacts, activities leading to the isolation in the family or in the work team (in the so called *brigades of socialist work*) were supported. The multifunctionality of public spaces was purposely replaced with the dominant traffic function, which condemned them to become places of no social importance.

The ‘velvet revolution’ in 1989 has caused revolutionary political, economic, social and cultural changes in the society and each city of Slovakia. The revitalisation of the city centre in Banská Bystrica has reawaken the city inhabitants from the previous lethargy and reinforced their local identity, which is important for the community life, motivation, responsibility, creativity and innovation leading to the city development. A number of urban studies show how significant is an integration role of public spaces that stimulate citizen’s participation in various activities. In the case of Banská Bystrica, like in other revitalised post-communist cities, enthusiastic approach of the city inhabitants to the square transformation has resulted from their vivid memory of the grey and stereotyped life during

communism, which is in sharp contrast with the diversified life in democracy. As an university student puts it: *The square has become a pearl of the city. Walking on the square makes everyone happy and even romantic. It is now a square without buses and without people rushing home. There are the same people here, yet different, in a different mood. I am happy to live in this city*' (M.S., 1980). It seems that diversity and pluralism can flourish only in democratic conditions, but it also needs incentives, instruments and tools like carefully planned and organised public spaces that are motivating and stimulating for everyone and lead to positive identification of the people with the city where they live.

Footnotes

¹ Throughout its history, Slovakia has often been on the crossroads of various cultures and languages. For almost a thousand years it was a part of the multi-ethnic Hungarian Monarchy and from 1918 to 1992 a part of the Czechoslovak Republic (with the exception of the World War II period). In January 1993 after the 'velvet divorce' from the Czechs Slovakia started to write a new chapter of its history as an independent state. Demographically, Slovakia is a state of 5.3 million inhabitants. 85% of the inhabitants claim Slovak nationality, 10% Hungarian nationality, another minority groups include Czechs (1%), Roma (1.7% according to the census, but estimated number is 10%), Ruthenians – Ukrainians and Germans. As to the religion, 69% of the inhabitants are the Catholics, 10% the Protestants (Evangelical church and denominations), another religions include the Greek-Catholics, Orthodox and Jewish.

² Banská Bystrica has almost 100.000 inhabitants (in 2002) and in Slovakia it belongs to medium-sized cities (fifth largest city in Slovakia).

³ Some parts of this paper were published in: Bitusikova, A.: Transformations of the city center in the light of ideologies. *International Journal of Urban and Regional research*, Vol. 22. No 4, 1998, p. 614 – 622.

⁴ The best example of these activities was the transfer of the Baroque Marian column with a bust of Virgin Mary from the centre of the square to the less visible castle area. The reason was strictly ideological: the Soviet communist leader Nikita Chruschew was expected to visit the city in summer 1964 and it was not appropriate to present religious symbols on the central square. Paradoxically, the ideological reason served a good thing. Transferring the column from a busy and polluted city square to a quiet place has helped to its protection.

References

Bitusikova, A. (1998) Transformations of the city center in the light of ideologies. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, Vol. 22. No 4, 614 – 622.

Bitušíková, A. (1995) Premeny funkcií námestia ako priestoru spoločenskej komunikácie. (Changes of Functions of the Square as a Place of Social Communication.) *Etnologické rozpravy* 2, 95 - 105.

Bitušíková, A. (1996a) A brief history of the Jewish community in Banská Bystrica. *Slovenský národopis* 44, 2, 202 - 211.

Bitušíková, A. (1996b) Pohostinské zariadenia a podniky ako miesta spoločenskej komunikácie. (Taverns as Places of Social Communication.) In J. Darulová (ed.), *Banská Bystrica - sociokultúrne obrazy a portréty*, Banská Bystrica, 9 - 25.

Bitušíková, A. (1999). Kontinuita a zmena v urbannom kontexte. (Continuity and change in urban context.) In J. Darulová (ed.), *Banská Bystrica – Premeny mesta a spoločnosti*, Banská Bystrica, 6 – 60.

Gehl, J. (2000) *Zivot mezi budovami*. (Life among buildings.) Nadace Partnerství a Jan Gehl, Brno.

Hannerz, U. (1980). *Exploring the City*. Columbia University Press.

Leeds Love, R. (1973) The Fountains of Urban Life. *Urban Life and Culture* 2, 2, 161-210.

Palen, J.J. (1987) *The Urban World*. McGraw-Hill Book Company.

Pašiak, J. (1983) K sociológii mestského centra. (To the city centre sociology.) *Architektúra a urbanizmus* 17, 2, 99 - 104.

Press, I. (1975) The City as Context: Cultural, Historical, and Bureaucratic Determinants of Behavior in Seville. *Urban Anthropology* 4, 1, 27 - 34.

Salner, P. a kol. (1991) *Taká bola Bratislava*. (That was Bratislava.) Bratislava.

Wirth, L.: (1938) Urbanism as a Way of Life. *American Journal of Sociology*, 44, July.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/web/attiv/_wp.html

<http://papers.ssrn.com>

SUST	1.2002	<i>K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: <u>Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers' Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa</u></i>
ETA	2.2002	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: <u>What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's Preferences?</u></i>
WAT	3.2002	<i>Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: <u>The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the Black Sea</u></i>
CLIM	4.2002	<i>Andreas LÖSCHEL: <u>Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey</u></i>
VOL	5.2002	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Stable Coalitions</u></i>
CLIM	6.2002	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: <u>Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International Comparison on European Gasoline Markets</u></i>
ETA	7.2002	<i>Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Eftichios S. SARTZETAKIS: <u>Stable International Environmental Agreements: An Analytical Approach</u></i>
KNOW	8.2002	<i>Alain DESDOIGTS: <u>Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a Consensus</u></i>
NRM	9.2002	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA: <u>Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling</u></i>
KNOW	10.2002	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO: <u>Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11 European Countries</u></i>
ETA	11.2002	<i>Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: <u>Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary Policy</u></i>
KNOW	12.2002	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: <u>Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable Growth?</u></i>
NRM	13.2002	<i>Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: <u>The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model</u></i>
CLIM	14.2002	<i>Philippe QUIRION: <u>Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector</u></i>
CLIM	15.2002	<i>Roberto ROSON: <u>Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes: Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy</u></i>
CLIM	16.2002	<i>Francesco RICCI (I): <u>Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity</u></i>
ETA	17.2002	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance Economy</u></i>
Coalition Theory	18.2002	<i>László Á. KÓCZY (liv): <u>The Core in the Presence of Externalities</u></i>
Network Theory	19.2002	<i>Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): <u>Single-Peakedness and Disconnected Coalitions</u></i>
Coalition Theory	20.2002	<i>Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): <u>On the Stability of Cooperation Structures</u></i>
Network Theory	21.2002	<i>Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAULO: <u>Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach in Insular Systems</u></i>
NRM	22.2002	<i>Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Back to Kyoto? US Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation</u></i>
CLIM	23.2002	<i>Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: <u>The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech</u></i>
ETA	24.2002	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: <u>Inventories, Employment and Hours</u></i>
CLIM	25.2002	<i>Hannes EGLI: <u>Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from Time Series Data for Germany</u></i>
ETA	26.2002	<i>Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Environmental Policy and Technological Change</u></i>
SUST	27.2002	<i>Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: <u>Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of Conservation Plans</u></i>
SUST	28.2002	<i>The ANSEA Network: <u>Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment</u></i>
KNOW	29.2002	<i>Paolo SURICO: <u>Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence</u></i>
ETA	30.2002	<i>Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies</u></i>

NRM	31.2002	<i>Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: <u>Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon</u></i>
NRM	32.2002	<i>Robert N. STAVINS: <u>National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years</u></i>
KNOW	33.2002	<i>A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : <u>Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good Industries?</u></i>
KNOW	34.2002	<i>G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: <u>Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage Effect</u></i>
CLIM	35.2002	<i>C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): <u>Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from Economic Theory and International Experiences</u></i>
CLIM	36.2002	<i>T. TIETENBERG (lv): <u>The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?</u></i>
CLIM	37.2002	<i>K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (lv): <u>On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits</u></i>
CLIM	38.2002	<i>C. FISCHER (lv): <u>Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading</u></i>
SUST	39.2002	<i>G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: <u>Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under the Framework of Agenda 2000</u></i>
NRM	40.2002	<i>S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: <u>Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand under Increasing-Block Prices</u></i>
NRM	41.2002	<i>A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: <u>The Effects of Potential Land Development on Agricultural Land Prices</u></i>
CLIM	42.2002	<i>C. OHL (lvi): <u>Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits</u></i>
CLIM	43.2002	<i>J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (lvi): <u>Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An Analysis with MacGEM</u></i>
CLIM	44.2002	<i>A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (lvi): <u>Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary Model</u></i>
ETA	45.2002	<i>P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (lvi): <u>Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic Policymaking with Multiple Instruments</u></i>
ETA	46.2002	<i>Z. YU (lvi): <u>A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect</u></i>
SUST	47.2002	<i>Y. H. FARZIN: <u>Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?</u></i>
SUST	48.2002	<i>Y. H. FARZIN: <u>Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value</u></i>
KNOW	49.2002	<i>C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: <u>Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection</u></i>
Coalition	50.2002	<i>M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): <u>Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets</u></i>
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	<i>Sergio CURRARINI (liv): <u>Stable Organizations with Externalities</u></i>
Theory		
Network		
ETA	52.2002	<i>Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments</u></i>
ETA	53.2002	<i>C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): <u>Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation</u></i>
CLIM	54.2002	<i>Scott BARRETT (liii): <u>Towards a Better Climate Treaty</u></i>
ETA	55.2002	<i>Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: <u>Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies</u></i>
SUST	56.2002	<i>Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: <u>Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs</u></i>
SUST	57.2002	<i>Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): <u>Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests</u></i>
SUST	58.2002	<i>Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): <u>Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy</u></i>
SUST	59.2002	<i>Fanny MISSELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): <u>How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?</u></i>
VOL	60.2002	<i>Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: <u>Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union</u></i>
ETA	61.2002	<i>Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: <u>Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity</u></i>
PRIV	62.2002	<i>Carlo CAPUANO: <u>Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability</u></i>
PRIV	63.2002	<i>Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: <u>Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q</u></i>
PRIV	64.2002	<i>Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: <u>The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity</u></i>
SUST	65.2002	<i>Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: <u>Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life</u></i>
ETA	66.2002	<i>Paolo SURICO: <u>US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences</u></i>
PRIV	67.2002	<i>Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: <u>Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.</u></i>
CLIM	68.2002	<i>Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: <u>Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations</u></i>
CLIM	69.2002	<i>Philippe QUIRION: <u>Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?</u></i>
SUST	70.2002	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: <u>Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Services of Urban Sites? Evidence from a Survey of Belfast Residents</u></i>
SUST	71.2002	<i>Marco PERCOCO: <u>Discounting Environmental Effects in Project Appraisal</u></i>

NRM	72.2002	<i>Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: <u>Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of Irrigation</u></i>
PRIV	73.2002	<i>Mohammed OMRAN: <u>The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical Evidence from Egypt</u></i>
PRIV	74.2002	<i>Mike BURKART, Fausto PANUNZI and Andrei SHLEIFER: <u>Family Firms</u></i>
PRIV	75.2002	<i>Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD: <u>Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget Constraint</u></i>
PRIV	76.2002	<i>Nichole M. CASTATER: <u>Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union</u></i>
PRIV	77.2002	<i>Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: <u>Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization</u></i>
PRIV	78.2002	<i>Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: <u>Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms</u></i>
PRIV	79.2002	<i>Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: <u>How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work? Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies</u></i>
PRIV	80.2002	<i>Alberto R. PETRUCCI: <u>Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and Wealth Displacement in a Small Open Economy</u></i>
CLIM	81.2002	<i>Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (Ivi): <u>The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case of the Montreal Protocol</u></i>
PRIV	82.2002	<i>George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: <u>Privatization, Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities</u></i>
PRIV	83.2002	<i>Massimo FLORIO and Katuscia MANZONI: <u>The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing to Outperformance</u></i>
NRM	84.2002	<i>Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: <u>An Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)</u></i>
CLIM	85.2002	<i>Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (Iv): <u>Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and Misconceptions</u></i>
CLIM	86.2002	<i>Pierre COURTOIS: <u>Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds</u></i>
ETA	87.2002	<i>Vito FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (Iviii): <u>Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance</u></i>
ETA	88.2002	<i>Laurent FRANCKX (Iviii): <u>Environmental Enforcement with Endogenous Ambient Monitoring</u></i>
ETA	89.2002	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Timothy M. SWANSON (Iviii): <u>Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an evolutionary biological system.</u></i>
ETA	90.2002	<i>Hans KEIDING (Iviii): <u>Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing</u></i>
ETA	91.2002	<i>Wietze LISE (Iviii): <u>A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India</u></i>
CLIM	92.2002	<i>Jens HORBACH: <u>Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves</u></i>
ETA	93.2002	<i>Martin P. GROSSKOPF: <u>Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production Units</u></i>
VOL	94.2002	<i>Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: <u>Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change Agreements</u></i>
CLIM	95.2002	<i>Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: <u>Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in the Netherlands: Perceptions and Reality</u></i>
CLIM	96.2002	<i>Matthieu GLACHANT: <u>The Political Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Policy</u></i>
KNOW	97.2002	<i>Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: <u>Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from Thailand</u></i>
ETA	98.2002	<i>Gianfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: <u>Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear GARCH Models</u></i>
ETA	99.2002	<i>Geoffrey HEAL: <u>Bundling Biodiversity</u></i>
ETA	100.2002	<i>Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David STARRETT: <u>Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture</u></i>
ETA	101.2002	<i>Geoffrey HEAL: <u>Biodiversity and Globalization</u></i>
VOL	102.2002	<i>Andreas LANGE: <u>Heterogeneous International Agreements – If per capita emission levels matter</u></i>
ETA	103.2002	<i>Pierre-André JOUVET and Walid OUESLATI: <u>Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous Growth Model with Environmental Externalities</u></i>
ETA	104.2002	<i>Anna BOTTASSO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI: <u>Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data Evidence on Italy</u></i>
PRIV	105.2002	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Frank DE JONG, Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolya SCHINDELE: <u>Privatization and Stock Market Liquidity</u></i>
ETA	106.2002	<i>Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (Iviii): <u>Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game</u></i>
PRIV	107.2002	<i>Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: <u>Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of Privatisation in Developing Countries</u></i>
PRIV	108.2002	<i>Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: <u>Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial Diversification</u></i>
PRIV	109.2002	<i>Alberto CHONG and Florencio LÓPEZ-DE-SILANES: <u>Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the World</u></i>
PRIV	110.2002	<i>Nandini GUPTA: <u>Partial Privatization and Firm Performance</u></i>
PRIV	111.2002	<i>François DEGEORGE, Dirk JENTER, Alberto MOEL and Peter TUFANO: <u>Selling Company Shares to Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience</u></i>

PRIV	112.2002	<i>Isaac OTCHERE</i> : <u>Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and Developing Countries</u>
PRIV	113.2002	<i>Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI</i> : <u>Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization</u>
PRIV	114.2002	<i>Guillaume GIRMENS</i> : <u>Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets</u>
PRIV	115.2002	<i>D. Teja FLOTHO</i> : <u>A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration</u>
PRIV	116.2002	<i>Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI</i> : <u>Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets: The Case of Hungary</u>
PRIV	1.2003	<i>Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO</i> : <u>Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues</u>
PRIV	2.2003	<i>Ibolya SCHINDELE</i> : <u>Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review</u>
PRIV	3.2003	<i>Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity Market</u>
CLIM	4.2003	<i>Laura MARSILLANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM</i> : <u>Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of Government Commitment</u>
KNOW	5.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition</u>
ETA	6.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO</i> : <u>Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model</u>
SIEV	7.2003	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI</i> : <u>The Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment: Evidence from Surveys of Developers</u>
NRM	8.2003	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyner GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u>
CLIM	9.2003	<i>A. CAPARRÓS, J.-C. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game with Asymmetric Information</u>
KNOW	10.2003	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI</i> : <u>School Quality and Family Background in Italy</u>
CLIM	11.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI</i> : <u>Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of Climate Change Policy Analysis</u>
KNOW	12.2003	<i>Carole MIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.)</i> : <u>Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art</u>
KNOW	13.2003	<i>Carole MIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (Ivix)</i> : <u>Bio-Ecological Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures</u>
KNOW	14.2003	<i>Maddy JANSENS and Chris STEYAERT (Ivix)</i> : <u>Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and Future Trajectories</u>
KNOW	15.2003	<i>Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (Ivix)</i> : <u>Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life</u>
KNOW	16.2003	<i>Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (Ivix)</i> : <u>Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia</u>

(I) This paper was presented at the Workshop “Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability” organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001

(li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on “Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001

(lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on “Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001

(liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on “Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?”, jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

(liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002

(lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001

(lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy “The International Dimension of Environmental Policy”, organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001

(lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of “CFEWE – Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001

(lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on “Game Practice and the Environment”, jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002

(lvix) This paper was presented at the ENGINE Workshop on “Mapping Diversity”, Leuven, May 16-17, 2002

2002 SERIES

CLIM	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
VOL	<i>Voluntary and International Agreements</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SUST	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
MGMT	<i>Corporate Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
PRIV	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

2003 SERIES

CLIM	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)