

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Schindele, Ibolya

Working Paper

Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review

Nota di Lavoro, No. 2.2003

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Schindele, Ibolya (2003): Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review, Nota di Lavoro, No. 2.2003, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118029

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review

Ibolya Schindele

NOTA DI LAVORO 2.2003

JANUARY 2003

PRIV – Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust

Ibolya Schindele, Faculty of Economics and Econometrics, Group of Finance and Organization, University of Amsterdam

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_wp.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review

Summary

This paper provides a discussion of the most important theoretical contributions to the literature on privatization, focusing on emerging economies, and gives a summary on recent research concerning the ways privatization might affect the development of securities markets. In addition, the paper provides a number of policy implications, emphasizing the trade-off between privatization and the reduction in social welfare and the possibility that the privatization process itself may have conflicting objectives (creation of incentive mechanisms, fairness, fast privatization).

JEL: L33, P31

Financial support from the European Commission for the project "Privatisation and Financial Market Development" (contract n. HPSE-CT-1990-00007) is greatly acknowledged.

Address for correspondence:

Ibolya Schindele Department of Financial Management Universiteit van Amsterdam Roeterstraat 11 1018 WB Amsterdam The Netherlands

Phone: 0031 20 525 5351 Fax: 0031 20 525 5285

E-mail: schindele@tinbergen.nl

1 Introduction

The rapid expansion of financial markets over the last decade and large privatization programs accomplished in several countries in the same period have been a core of recent research in economics (Demirgüc-Kunt and Levine 1995; Megginson and Netter, 2000). A primary question that should be addressed is whether the two phenomena are related. Specifically, to what extent national privatization programs have played a role in the development of securities markets?

Evidence has been provided that privatization share issues significantly contribute to stock market development in obvious direct ways: they increase the number of quoted companies and trading volume, thereby promoting the broadening of the market (Megginson and Boutchkova, 1999). More importantly, privatization sales produce positive externalities and therefore indirect benefits for stock markets, as well. Pagano (1993) argues that new listings increase the potential for diversification and as a consequence contribute to market deepening and enable further risk-sharing. Perotti and van Oijen (2000) provide evidence that in emerging economies privatization brings along the resolution of political risk, thereby attracting new investors to the stock market.

No theory provides yet an explanation for the relation between privatization and stock market development. To establish a theoretical framework describing this relation, first the primary questions of privatization should be reviewed. This paper provides a discussion of the most important theoretical aspects of privatization, focusing on emerging economies, and gives a summary on recent research concerning the ways privatization may affect the development of securities markets.

The setup of the paper is as follows. The first section provides a thorough overview of the theories on privatization with a special focus on Eastern-Europe. The second part is a discussion on how privatization and securities market development might relate.

2 Theoretical approaches to privatization

Theoretical literature on privatization in Eastern Europe initially focused on the question of how to design an optimal privatization plan in order to reallocate ownership rights and maximize revenues. A first theoretical approach assumes a benevolent government which focuses on the establishment of management incentives and the social costs of restructuring during the privatization process. Schmidt and Schnitzer (1993) argue that a fundamental trade-off exists between the social costs of restructuring and management incentives in transition: fast privatization creates more efficient incentive structures while brings about higher social costs than a low speed privatization program.

Anticipating large restructuring costs, several authors propose a graduate transition to new market-type corporate governance structures. Blanchard (1991), Lipton and Sachs (1990), and Tirole (1991) argue that first interme-

diate governance structures should be introduced, with the participation of the government, and only later Western-type incentive mechanisms can be applied. Aghion and Blanchard (1996) also emphasize the incentive problem in privatization and call attention that an appropriate restructuring of companies requires the sale of shares to outside investors rather than to insiders.

A second approach to privatization takes the assumption of a self-interested government and argues that during privatization, politicians and managers have conflicting interests: politicians often allow inefficient enterprise operation since excess employment and high wages may bring them political benefits. On the contrary managers, anticipating some ownership in the company, do prefer efficiency. Shleifer and Vishny (1994), and Boycko, Shleifer and Vishny (1996) present bargaining games between politicians and managers. The level of employment is the key variable over which the parties bargain. The primary issue is whether privatization can serve as a mechanism to decrease excess employment and thereby to mitigate the inefficiency, in an environment that allows for the transfer of subsidies (from the treasury to the firm) and bribes between the bargaining parties.

Apart from politicians' interests, another core issue concerning privatization in transition is the long-term sustainability of the process. Laban and Wolf (1993), and Roland and Verdier (1994) suggest that in emerging economies, successful privatization requires that the initially announced volume of sales by the state exceeds a critical level. In both models, there is a positive externality attached to the size of the private sector: once the number of privately owned companies has achieved a specific level, the economy converges to a full privatization equilibrium. Below this critical mass, however, due to a reverse in policy, a low-privatization trap might arise.

A fourth approach in the literature places the process of privatization in a political economy context. Biais and Perotti (1997) and Schmidt (2000) argue that expropriation by the government depends on the choice of the median voter at election after privatization. Results by Schmidt justify free share distribution, which has been applied in many privatization programs in Eastern Europe: this method mitigates expropriation by the government after privatization and restructuring. Biais and Perotti focus on how privatization and underpricing share issues might support a right wing government maximizing the utility of the rich class to stay in power, by providing median class voters shareholding property.

In an emerging economy, efficient transformation of ownership rights is often hindered by political forces: to gain popularity, the government may be interested in ex-post expropriation of firm value after privatization. Policy uncertainty thus affects prices and incentives. Perotti (1995) presents a signaling game focusing on the time-inconsistency of government's policy of selling state-owned enterprises. In the beginning of privatization, a committed government prefers to sell small fractions of its property at a discounted price in order to signal its willingness to share the risks of a possible future expropriation of privatized firms.

2.1 The incentive mechanism approach

A first theoretical model focusing on the impact of different governance structures on the efficiency of restructuring is provided in Schmidt and Schnitzer (1993). In this paper, two main approaches to the establishment of new corporate governance structures are distinguished: the 'market approach' and the 'government approach'. The first refers to immediate and fast privatization at the very beginning of the transition process leaving restructuring of companies to new owners. Excluding the government's active participation, this approach considers mass privatization (free share distribution) and the set up of holdings as first owners of the new private companies, in order to achieve the goals of fairness and efficiency in the privatization process. The so-called 'government approach' aims at restructuring and establishing competitive market structures before any ownership change. This method requires the government's active participation (through a government agency at the firm) and allows for reallocation of revenues up to some degree in order to reduce the social costs of restructuring. Both governance structures result in socially sub-optimal allocations: managers under both regimes exert an inefficiently low amount of effort. However, a trade-off exists between the two ways: government control allows more reallocation of profits and therefore lowers the social costs of restructuring, but induces managers to spend less effort and therefore has detrimental effects on incentives. The market approach favors incentives but increases welfare loss to citizens.

Proposals favoring intermediate governance structures during transition received considerable attention in the early literature. Lipton and Sachs (1990) suggest that initially government agencies should own a small fraction of shares in privatized companies, which in the long term should be sold to core investors. This would restrain cross-subsidization by the government, and provide firms harder budget constraints. Tirole (1991) argues that the short-term objective of privatization should be to create a stable ownership of firms through the setup of holding companies as temporary owners. The establishment of Western-type market structures requires competition oriented restructuring before the ownership change, especially in industries where monopolies had prevailed under socialism. In the process, foreign institutions should be used as a commitment device to counter-balance the influence of different interest groups on government's decision making. The introduction of a stock market should occur after privatization, during a more mature phase of transition.

Aghion and Blanchard (1996) concentrate on the incentive issue at the firm's level. They show the superiority of selling shares to outside investors over insider privatization. Their argument is that, although insider privatization aligns control and property rights and therefore creates appropriate incentive structures, it might lead to inefficient restructuring. Also, after a failure to restructure by insiders (which is a realistic scenario in transition economies), a resale to outside investors can happen only with small probability. This may be because, worker-owners either extract the surplus of restructuring by requiring an excessive price from an outside investor or, when the probability of becoming

unemployed is high, they do not carry out restructuring at all. Under managerial ownership, when the probability of becoming unemployed and the size of private benefits are both high (which is typical in transition), the resale process is more likely if managers own small stakes. Large managerial ownership, under these circumstances, hinder a possible resale.

2.2 Political goals after privatization

The analysis by Shleifer and Vishny (1994) and by Boycko, Shleifer and Vishny (1996) address the issue of efficient employment level at companies after privatization. Both papers argue that politicians favor inefficient company operation (equivalent to a high employment level) even after an ownership change since it brings them political benefits. The two models differ in the primary question they focus on: the first concentrates on whether the transfer of control and cash flow rights should be separate or parallel when the main objective is to achieve efficiency, while the latter aims at finding conditions under which politicians themselves become interested in low employment. These issues are addressed in the framework of a bargaining game between a politican and a manager, the two key players in the privatization process.

Shleifer and Vishny examines whether corporatization and /or privatization affect the level of inefficiency (excess employment and transfers) at public enterprises. The fundamental assumption here is that cash flow and control rights are completely separable: corporatization (the transfer of control over employment) might occur without privatization (the transfer of cash flow rights). Employment and subsidies (transfers from the treasury) are the variables over which the manager and the politician bargain. Both parties can bribe the other, which ensures a possibility for an efficient allocation.

Without restrictions on corruption, the social optimum in the bargaining game can not obtain. Although, equilibrium leads to efficiency from the point of view of the manager and the politician (they receive their highest possible utility from bribing each other), employment remains at its inefficient level. In this situation, allocation of control and cash flow rights will influence bribes but not the decision about employment: when corruption is allowed, neither privatization, nor corporatization affects resource allocation.

Unrestricted corruption is, however, an unrealistic assumption since corruption contracts are not enforceable in courts. Therefore, the opposite case, the case of no corruption is considered as well. A key result of the paper is that, when bribes are not allowed, the level of employment does depend on who has control rights. Without corruption, giving the control over employment to the manager promotes restructuring and results in better resource allocation. Compared to the full corruption case, the conclusion is that the effect of corporatization (the transfer of control over employment) depends on whether bribing is possible or not.

Restrictions on corruption do not bring along efficiency effects of privatization. In the no corruption case, when the politician controls employment, giving cash flow rights to managers or shareholders might have deleterious effects: a regulated private firm can have lower subsidies and higher excess employment than a public firm. Since privatizing cash flows is a means for politicians to extract profits, politicians do like privatizing and keeping control at the same time. In the opposite case, when the manager has the control over employment, a change in cash flow rights does not affect equilibrium employment and transfers: privatization does not add much to corporatization.

The possibility of unrestricted transfers from the treasury is not a realistic assumption either, except for money-losing firms. When subsidies are restricted (the government is not allowed to subsidize a firm that is able to provide its manager with a minimum utility level), giving both control and cash flow rights to the manager does lead to substantial restructuring. In conclusion, the paper claims that privatization and corporatization entails efficiency, when bribing is not allowed and subsidies are restricted.

In another version of the bargaining game presented in Boycko, Shleifer and Vishny, the politician and the manager bargain exclusively over employment. Subsidies are not bargainable, they serve as a 'counter-bribe' from the politician to the manager to prevent restructuring after privatization. A major difference compared to the previous model is that here, corporatization is not distinguished from privatization: the latter implies the transfer of both control and cashflow rights. In addition, the politician incurs a cost since the treasury foregoes profits due to inefficient operation of the firm. This cost substantially affects the politician's decisions.

When control over employment is in the hand of the politician, efficiency (lower employment) is achieved when the marginal cost of one unit of profits foregone by the treasury is higher than the marginal benefit of an extra unit of labor spending. If the manager bribes the politician, the condition for low employment will be less restrictive than in case of no bribing. Therefore, the authors claim that corruption tends to raise efficiency in terms of lower employment at public enterprises.

When control over the employment decision is given to the manager, the politician still can prevent restructuring by giving the firm a subsidy to set labor costs at high level. The condition for low employment is that the costs of getting the firm not to restructure in terms of foregone profits and the needed subsidies exceed the political benefits from high employment. In this case, the costs of foregone profits are partially internalized by the politician and the condition for low employment is again less restrictive than in the case of politician's control. Therefore, in this bargaining context, the transfer of control encourages restructuring in such a way that it gets the politician internalize the costs of foregone profits by the manager (shareholders).

2.3 Systemic effects of privatization

Besides the conflict of interests between politicians and managers, further papers on privatization in transition focus on the sustainability of the process: they argue that a policy reversal might arise during changing ownership structures. The rationale for this is the strong pressure for redistribution from previously

favored interest groups after new owners take actions following the ownership change.

Focusing on the long-term sustainability of privatization, Laban and Wolf (1993) and Roland and Verdier (1994) suggest that the government should privatize a relatively large stake early in the transition process: when privatization is carried out in large scale, the possibility of a policy reversal becomes less realistic.

According to Laban and Wolf, the success of transformation of ownership structures substantially depends on the expected aggregate volume of privatization at the time when the privatization plan is announced. They argue that due to a positive externality related to the size of the private sector, if a certain amount of aggregate capital is to be privatized relatively early in the course of transition, a higher number of investors will choose to invest in buying state-owned property. The paper by Roland and Verdier reinforces the existence of a critical mass effect in privatization. It proves that once a critical number of privatizations has been achieved, there is no further possibility for a policy change.

In both papers, as a result of an endogenous probability of political continuation, several equilibria might arise. On the condition that the critical (threshold) level of capital is privatized relatively early, a full-privatization equilibrium will be approached by the end of the transition process. In the opposite case, however, due to a coordination problem among agents, a low-privatization trap may occur, meaning that the total amount of capital given to private hands by the end of transition does not reach the threshold level. The low privatization trap, in the Laban and Wolf model, rises due to a change in government policy towards capital income taxation. In the other paper, however, a possible renationalization of privatized assets represents the way of ex-post expropriation by the government. The low privatization equilibrium might be brought along by investors' fear of a political backlash or high entry costs born by them when they enter the state-owned asset market. Therefore, a possible change in policy (political risk) drives the optimal design of privatization in both papers.

In the Laban and Wolf model, equilibrium capital sold is determined through strategic interaction by workers and foreign investors. The government is not an active player in the game. Policy change or continuation is an endogenous function of workers' minimum wage relative to the decrease in their welfare. In the model by Roland and Verdier, it is the government that maximizes citizens' welfare. In the early privatization period, welfare is a decreasing function of the number of companies privatized since privatization implies an increase in efficiency by decreasing employment. The raise in unemployment might give rise to a policy reversal in the form of renationalization. The difference compared to the Laban and Wolf model is that there, workers accept lower wages therefore the question of unemployment is not directly addressed. Here, wages are sticky downward, therefore, the adjustment can not take place through changes in the wage rate. Workers are inactive: equilibrium capital depends on the decisions by the government and investors.

The policy of free distribution of shares applied in some Eastern European

countries is also considered as a means of stimulating privatization, in the paper by Roland and Verdier. Free share distribution eliminates government's incentives to renationalize even below the critical mass, therefore it solves the problem of a potential policy reversal. At the same time, it raises entry costs for private investors engaging in buying state enterprises, by which it increases the costs of restructuring. Even though, the government's temptation to renationalize is eliminated, when entry costs are too high and privatization volume is below the threshold, there still remains a coordination problem among private investors. Therefore, multiple equilibria persists. A possibility to eliminate bad equilibria is subsidizing entry costs.

2.4 The political economy of privatization

Schmidt (2000) and Biais and Perotti (1997) place the possibility of a policy reversal in a political economy context: they assume a government interested in ex-post expropriation of privatized companies, with the aim at gaining political benefits through revenue reallocation. In both models, the probability of the policy change comes from individual utility maximization: the level of expropriation is considered as the choice of the median voter at election after privatization.

Results by Schmidt suggest that mass privatization lowers the degree of expropriation of profitable companies after privatization. This is especially true, when free share distribution occurs in such a way that voters are entitled to obtain shares of several companies (for example through a voucher scheme). If workers receive a proportion of the shares of the company they are employed by ('insider' mass privatization), the mitigating effect on expropriation is not unambiguous.

In the model, the success of restructuring represents uncertainty at the time the median voter decides on the level of expropriation at election. Expropriation is chosen by maximizing the expected utility of his income which originates from private savings, from shareholding, and from earnings. Since wages depend on the success of restructuring at the employee firm, they are unknown to the median voter at the time of election. Therefore, the level of expropriation chosen will be a function of the probability of success of the firm and the proportion of shares owned.

Expropriation might serve as a means of redistribution (subsidization of wages at unsuccessful companies). Since it has devastating effects on incentives to restructure, it is considered as ex-post inefficient (every dollar expropriated will bring less than a dollar to those that receive it as a wage subsidy). When workers are risk averse, they gain by redistribution on the condition that the level of this redistributive efficiency is higher than their level of shareholding.

Expropriation also has a risk-sharing or insurance motive. Since the wage subsidy is a certain income for the median voter, she might favor to give up uncertain future income from her shareholding and prefer getting the subsidy. Therefore, when the voter is risk-averse and wants to get insured against uncertain future states, she has incentives to choose a higher level of expropriation

at election.

Through the utility maximization of the median voter, similar to Schmidt (2000), Biais and Perotti (1997) provide explanation how right wing, market-oriented parties can win elections with the help of well designed privatization mechanisms. The main result is that a privatization program allocating an appropriate quantity of shares to the median voter shifts her political preferences to the right, and therefore such a policy is able to keep the right wing party in power. When, at the market clearing price, the median class can not afford to buy enough shares to become averse to redistributive policies of the left wing party, underpricing and rationing of shares are necessary to get the shareholding motive prevail.

The ability to carry out such a Machiavellian program depends on the level of income inequality and the relative size of the company to be privatized. The quantity of shares required to change preferences of the median class is increasing in the level of income inequality and decreasing in privatization size. When social inequality is large, underpricing would ensure that the median class buys an appropriate number of shares. In case of extreme income inequality, the privatization price goes to zero implying a need for free share distribution. Whenever underpricing is required, the government must prevent median voters to resell their shares after privatization, otherwise they will no longer be interested to vote for the right wing party. This result provides an explanation for why, for example in the Czechoslovak privatization, the government postponed the distribution of shares until after election.

A further implication of the paper is that voucher privatization can be a means for the government to manipulate political expectations. Citizens expecting the left wing party to win would not buy shares since they would be afraid of expropriation, and as a result, the left would indeed win. In such a situation, the right wing government might distribute shares for free enticing the median class to vote for the right.

Machiavellian privatization, however, is not possible when large stakes are assigned to insiders, when efficiency gains associated to the privatization are very small, or social inequalities are extreme.

2.5 Privatization with policy uncertainty

The possibility for a policy reversal serves as an explanation in Perotti (1995), for the puzzle of partial sale and underpricing observed in many privatization programs. Initial equity retention and a discounted share price may help the government to signal its commitment to a long-term pro-privatization policy.

In transition, to counter-balance the loss in popularity resulted by the general decrease in the country's welfare, at privatization, the government is often interested to ex-post reallocate value to its favored constituencies. Therefore, there is significant uncertainty for investors about government's interests concerning future interference. The model shows that in the presence of uncertainty, the best privatization strategy for a committed government is to offer a small

proportion of its equity in the beginning thus reassuring investors that the government is willing to share the risk of an ex-post value redistribution.

When the efficient transfer of control requires selling a large stake of the company, equity retention may not serve as a signal of commitment. Instead, strategic underpricing can be a substituting device: when large sales are necessary, a committed government will offer shares at discount. A combination of underpricing and graduate selling can be the most efficient signaling method.

3 Privatization and Stock Market Development: an Externality Approach

The ground for theoretical research on the relation between privatization and stock market development is the idea that besides the direct effects privatization stock issues have on the deepening and broadening of securities markets, there exists an indirect influence that contributes to the development of financial markets to a large extent. The existence of this externality is justified by the fact that the immense increase in market capitalization in many emerging economies, happened in the same period as the privatization process, substantially exceeded the raise of stock market capital from privatization issues (Perotti and van Oijen (2000)).

Only a few explanations exist in the literature for the positive externality that a privatization process may imply for the economy. Pagano (1989 and 1993) argues that new entries to the stock market provide investors better portfolio diversification possibilities and thereby have a positive effect on the size of the market. He shows that the development or stagnation of stock markets can arise as a result of a coordination success or failure among agents that may enter as investors or issuers. Following this idea, privatization can be a means for the government to introduce new entries to the stock market thereby enhancing its development. Perotti and van Oijen (2000) suggest another way in which privatization might have an indirect effect on stock markets. The idea especially applies in emerging economies where there is uncertainty concerning the government's commitment to market oriented policies: a sustained privatization program can help the government to build up credibility and thereby to make investment in the country attractive for potential stock market participants.

3.1 Policy Risk Resolution

The channel, suggested by Perotti and van Oijen, through which privatization affects securities markets is the resolution of political risk. Using a sample of 22 emerging economies, Perotti and van Oijen provide evidence that policy uncertainty measured by political risk indices decreases as privatization proceeds. At the same time, a significant positive relationship exists between the growth in stock market capitalization and the improvement in the policy risk index in those countries.

Political risk in this context is meant to measure the government's ability to adopt appropriate economic policies and its willingness to adjust decisions to people's expectations (credibility of its policy). In addition, it implies an evaluation by financial market experts and bankers, of the country's financial stability and ability to service its debts.

An important result is that in the period preceding the announcement of the privatization program and even at the time of the announcement, political risk indicators reflect a negative change in the countries' rating. This suggests that governments start to privatize in periods of declining credibility. When sales start and later when they are at the peak, the policy risk indicators show substantial improvements referring to a resolution of the uncertainty about government's future policy.

The relation between the evolution of policy risk and stock market development is shown for a dataset including about 300 observations for 31 countries (9 additional countries are involved above the 22 privatizing ones). Policy risk proves to be a significant explanatory factor for several measures of stock market development such as growth in market capitalization or traded value over GNP. The coefficient of the privatization sales over GNP term appears insignificant in all regressions, which is consistent with the notion that policy risk resolution rather than the direct effect of privatization issues brings about the development of stock markets in transition economies.

3.2 Participation externalities

Pagano (1989 and 1993) addresses another type externality argument for stock market development: participation externalities may give rise to coordination failure among investors or firms issuing equity.

On one hand, there is a feedback from stock market volatility and liquidity to investors' expectations and entry decisions. Thin stock markets described with high risk and a small number of participants might remain thin and highly volatile in the future because of self-fulfilling expectations of potential entrants, formed on the basis of previous history of the market. On the other hand, expectations influence the behavior of potential issuers as well, to a large extent. The number of firms floating shares on the stock market has an indirect effect on other firms' decisions about going public. When only few firms are expected to seek flotation, diversification possibilities and therefore the demand for stocks remain limited, which implies that flotation will be unattractive for other firms. Under certain circumstances, these feedback mechanisms can produce multiple equilibria in strategic decision making by potential investors / issuer companies. Participation in the different equilibria is positively correlated with market depth (market size, stability of prices, risk sharing opportunities).

In Pagano (1989), every additional trader in the stock market generates a positive externality for other traders to enter by decreasing the market's volatility. A raise in the number of investors results in increasing prices and lower expected returns, which induces firms to issue more equity and brings about an increase in market size. In the presence of transaction costs, however, the exis-

tence of this positive feedback becomes ambiguous: individual investors might have no incentive to enter even though as a group they would benefit from doing that.

In the presence of transaction costs, the interaction between thinness and price volatility might produce multiple equilibria: some equilibria can be characterized by small number of transactions and high volatility while some others with a large number of trades and small volatility. Which equilibrium occurs in a particular market, depends on agents' expectations: in the presence of transaction costs, when expectations are self-fulfilling type, high liquidation costs will keep agents out of thin markets, which preserves market thinness and high volatility. If the ground for expectation formation is not past history, many traders may decide to enter to a small size market and consequently, the high trade and low volatility equilibrium might arise.

From the social-welfare point of view, the "high-trade" equilibrium is superior to the "low-trade" one. Incentives can be created to shift the economy to the first type, Pareto optimal equilibrium, but the adjustment process would be such that investors entering the stock market early might suffer losses. Government intervention is therefore necessary to implement those incentives.

In the model describing the flotation of companies on the stock market (Pagano 1993), the externality arises because every additional new listing enhances risk sharing opportunities. If there exist imperfections in the capital markets (borrowing constraints or flotation costs), besides each participant gains from further risk sharing as a consequence of an additional entry, each has an incentive to go public. Under such circumstances, each additional listing affects incentives of potential entrants. Therefore, the positive externality gives rise to the potential of multiple equilibria: depending on agents' expectations about the behavior of others, several equilibria might arise with different number of flotations. In a thin market, if expectations are based on past history, only few new listings will occur and the market will be trapped in stagnation. If agents believe that a large number of new listings will occur, they will benefit from flotation themselves.

As in the previous case, the resulting equilibria can be ranked, the higher number of public issues being Pareto-superior. Government intervention might create incentives to adjust expectations of potential issuers such that the superior outcome prevails.

In emerging economies, where stock markets are at their low level of development, privatization may serve as a means for the government to prevent that inferior equilibria occurs. Through a sustained privatization program, the government can ensure an appropriate number of issues such that every potential investor and each firm going public may expect a large number of other participants to enter the stock market, as a consequence of which an equilibrium with high number of trades and many-listings can arise.

4 Conclusion

This paper reviews the privatization literature with a focus on economies that proceed from a socialist to a market oriented system, and discusses the externality effects that privatization may have on the development of stock markets in those economies.

To conclude, we summarize the most important characteristics of privatization in Eastern Europe in the following paragraphs.

- 1. Several trade-off exist in the process of transition to a market economy, one of those is the trade-off between privatization and the reduction in social welfare. At the same time, different goals (creation of incentive mechanisms, fairness, fast privatization) of the privatization process itself represent trade-offs. Therefore several privatization mechanisms have been suggested (free share distribution, temporary government ownership, setup of holding companies, insider privatization or sales to outsiders) depending on which goal should be considered as of primary importance.
- 2. Politicians' interests play a substantial role in the privatization process. Being able to use the basic trade-off between the costs of restructuring the economy and efficient privatization, politicians might obtain private benefits from hindering privatization. Bargaining models of privatization suggest that in order to achieve efficient privatization, corruption should be impossible and firms should face hard budget constraints.
- 3. Expectations play an important role in privatization: when agents expect that large stakes are given to private ownership early in transition, privatization will be successful with great probability (full privatization equilibrium arises).
- 4. Since people know that politicians are interested to reallocate value after privatization, benevolent governments in favor of efficiency need to build up credibility. Public sales of companies at a discounted IPO price can serve as signals of commitment. When obtaining high revenues from privatization is a primary objective, gradual sales of equity (with a large initial retention) can substitute underpricing and signal commitment at the same time.
- 5. Privatization can be a means for right wing governments (that do not intend to ex-post expropriate) to give ownership to citizens and thereby obtain popularity and win elections.
- 6. Privatization has an indirect effect on stock market development, both through the positive feedback provided by each new entry to market size and liquidity, and through the resolution of political risk.

References

Biais, Bruno and Enrico C. Perotti, 1997. *Machiavellian Underpricing*, Working Paper, University of Amsterdam

Blanchard, Olivier, Philippe Aghion, 1996. Ex-state Firms in the Transition: On Insider Privatization, European Economic Review 40, 759-766.

Blanchard, Olivier, Rudriger Dornbusch, Paul Krugman, Richard Layard and Lawrence Summers, 1991. *Reform in Eastern Europe*, Cambridge, MA: MIT press

Boycko, Maxim, Andrei Shleifer, and Robert W, Vishny, 1996. A Theory of Privatization, Economic Journal 106, 309-319.

Demirgüc-Kunt, Asli, and Ross Levine, 1996. Stock Market Development and Financial Intermediaries: Stylized Facts, The World Bank Economic Review, Vol. 10, No. 2, 291-321.

Jones, Steven L., William L. Megginson, Robert C. Nash, and Jeffrey M. Netter, 1999. *Share issue Privatizations as Financial Means to Political and Economic Ends*, Journal of Financial Economics 53.

Laban, Raul and Holger C. Wolf, 1993. Large-scale Privatization in Transition Economies, American Economic Review, Vol. 83, Issue 5, 1198-1210.

Lipton, David and Jeffrey Sachs, 1990. Privatization in Eastern Europe: The Case of Poland, Brookings Papers on Econ. Act, 293-333.

Megginson, William L. and Maria K. Boutchkova, 1999. The Impact of Privatization on Capital Market Development and Individual Share Ownership, unpublished paper

Megginson, William L. and Jeffrey M. Netter, 2000. From State to market: A Surway of Empirical Studies on Privatization, unpublished paper

Perotti, Enrico C. 1995. *Credible Privatization*, American Economic Review Vol. 85, Issue 4, 847-859.

Perotti, Enrico C. and Pieter van Oijen, 2000. Privatization, Political Risk and Stock Market Development in Emerging Economies, Journal of International Money and Finance, forthcoming

Pagano, Marco, 1989. Endogenous Market Thinnes and Stock price Volatility, The Review of Economic Studies, Vol. 56, Issue 2, 269-287.

Pagano, Marco, 1993. The Flotation of Companies on the Stock Market; A Co-ordination Failure Model, European Economic Review, 37, 1101-1125.

Roland, Gerard and Thierry Verdier, 1994. *Privatization in Eastern Europe: Irreversibility and Critical Mass Effects*, Journal of Public Economics, Vol. 54, Issue 2, 161-83.

Sappington, David E.M. and Joseph E. Stiglitz, 1987. *Privatization, Information and Incentives*, Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, 6, 4: 567-582.

Schmidt, Klaus, 2000. The Political Economy of Mass Privatization, European Economic Review, 44, 393-421.

Schmidt, Klaus and Monika Schnitzer, 1993. Privatization and Management Incentives in the Transition Period in Eastern Europe, Journal of Comparative Economics, 17, 264-287.

Shleifer, Andrei and Robert W. Vishny, 1994. Politicians and Firms, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 109, 995-1025.

 $\label{eq:conomics} \begin{tabular}{ll} Tirole, Jean, 1991. & Privatization in Eastern Europe: Incentives and the Economics of Transition, NBER Macroeconomic Annuals, 221-259. \\ \end{tabular}$

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_wp.html http://papers.ssrn.com

SUST	1.2002	K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
		Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa
ETA	2.2002	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
		Preferences?
WAT	3.2002	Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
		Black Sea
CLIM	4.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL: Technological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Stable Coalitions
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International
	*****	Comparison on European Gasoline Markets
ETA	7.2002	Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Eftichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An
		Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a
		Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10.2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11
		European Countries
ETA	11.2002	Mordecai KURZ, Hehui JIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Fluctuations and the Role of Monetary
		<u>Policy</u>
KNOW	12.2002	Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable
	12.200	Growth?
NRM	13.2002	Michele MORETTO and Paolo ROSATO: The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes:
CLIM	16.2002	Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy Francesco RICCI (1): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance
EIA	17.2002	Economy
Coalition	18.2002	
Theory	10.2002	László Á. KÓCZY (liv): The Core in the Presence of Externalities
Network		
Coalition	19.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (liv): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Theory		· / · · · ·
Network		Coalitions
Coalition	20.2002	Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Theory		ommume Inizitivozit (iiv). On the stability of cooperation structures
Network		
NRM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach
		in Insular Systems
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Back to Kyoto? US
CL D.4	22 2002	Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
CLIM	23.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US
ETA	24.2002	Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
CLIM	25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
CLIM	23.2002	Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE, Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
DIN	20.2002	Change
SUST	27.2002	Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
		Conservation Plans
SUST	28.2002	The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
KNOW	29.2002	Paolo SURICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Returns: a Survey of Evidence
ETA	30.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Lessons from the American Experiment with Market-Based Environmental Policies

NRM	24 2002	
	31.2002	Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
		the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
NRM	32.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
KNOW	33.2002	A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN: Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
KNOW	24.2002	Industries?
KNOW	34.2002	G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: <u>Labor Taxes</u> , Wage Setting and the Relative Wage
CL D.4	25 2002	Effect
CLIM	35.2002	C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from
CL D.4	26 2002	Economic Theory and International Experiences
CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (IV): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (IV): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (IV): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
NRM	40.2002	the Framework of Agenda 2000 S.M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
INIXIVI	40.2002	under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA, R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
INIXIVI	41.2002	Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42.2002	C. OHL (lvi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43.2002	J. EYCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMORTER and V. VAN STEENBERGHE (Ivi): Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
CLIM	43.2002	Analysis with MacGEM
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (Ivi): Working Too Much in a Polluted World: A North-South Evolutionary
CLIM	77.2002	Model
ETA	45.2002	P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (Ivi): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
LIII	13.2002	Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (Ivi): A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
Theory		
Network		
	52 2002	
ETA	52. 2002.	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
ETA ETA	52.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. LAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, L.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
ETA ETA	52.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and
ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
ETA CLIM	53.2002 54.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty
ETA	53.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-
ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies
ETA CLIM ETA SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
ETA CLIM ETA	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy
CLIM ETA SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity
CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K.
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edit DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits?
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna Alberini, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Servi
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iiii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRON: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna Albertin. Patricia RiGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Services of Urban
ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002	C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Orley ASHENFELTER and Michael GREENSTONE: Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the U.K. Barbara K. BUCHNER and Roberto ROSON: Conflicting Perspectives in Trade and Environmental Negotiations Philippe QUIRION: Complying with the Kyoto Protocol under Uncertainty: Taxes or Tradable Permits? Anna Alberini, Patrizia RIGANTI and Alberto LONGO: Can People Value the Aesthetic and Use Servi

		
NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
DD IV	72 2002	Irrigation Mel ground OMP AN: The Performance of State Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatived Firms: Empirical
PRIV	73.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical Evidence from Egypt
PRIV	74.2002	Mike BURKART, Fausto PANUNZI and Andrei SHLEIFER: Family Firms
PRIV	75.2002	Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD: Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
1111	70.2002	Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
		Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
		Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?
DDIII	00.2002	Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PRIV	80.2002	Alberto R. PETRUCCI: Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and Wealth Displacement in a Small Open Economy
CLIM	81.2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
CLIM	01.2002	of the Montreal Protocol
PRIV	82.2002	George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization, Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
		Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
		to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: <u>An</u>
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (Iv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
CI D I	06.2002	Misconceptions Response of the second of th
CLIM	86.2002	Pierre COURTOIS: Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
ETA	87.2002	Vito FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (Iviii): Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance
ETA	88.2002	Laurent FRANCKX (Iviii): Environmental Enforcement with Endogenous Ambient Monitoring Timo GOESCHL and Timothy M. SWANSON (Iviii): Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an
ETA	89.2002	evolutionary biological system.
ETA	90.2002	Hans KEIDING (Iviii): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	91.2002	Wietze LISE (Iviii): A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production
DIII	75.2002	Units
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
		Agreements
CLIM	95.2002	Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
		the Netherlands: Perceptions and Reality
CLIM	96.2002	Matthieu GLACHANT: The Political Economy of Emission Tax Design in Environmental Policy
KNOW	97.2002	Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
ET A	00.2002	Thailand Girling FORTE AND MANERA FOR SIGNAL STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
ETA	98.2002	Ganfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
ETA	99.2002	GARCH Models Coefficient UE AL: Dandling Displayareity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul
LIA	100.2002	EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Biodiversity and Globalization
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements – If per capita emission levels matter
ETA	102.2002	Pierre-André JOUVET and Walid OUESLATI: Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous
DIII	103.2002	Growth Model with Environmental Externality
ETA	104.2002	Anna BOTTASSO and Alessandro SEMBENELLI: Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Data
		Evidence on Italy
PRIV	105.2002	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Frank DE JONG, Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolya SCHINDELE: Privatization and
		Stock Market Liquidity
ETA	106.2002	Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (Iviii): Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game
PRIV	107.2002	Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of
DD III	100 2002	Privatisation in Developing Countries Civil and Countries Countries Out Effort as Financial
PRIV	108.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial
DDIV	100 2002	<u>Diversification</u> Alberto CHONG and Florencio LÓPEZ-DE-SILANES: Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the
PRIV	109.2002	World
PRIV	110.2002	Nandini GUPTA: Partial Privatization and Firm Performance
PRIV	111.2002	François DEGEORGE, Dirk JENTER, Alberto MOEL and Peter TUFANO: Selling Company Shares to
,	111.2002	Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience

PRIV	112.2002	Isaac OTCHERE: Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and
		<u>Developing Countries</u>
PRIV	113.2002	Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI: Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization
PRIV	114.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS: Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets
PRIV	115.2002	D. Teja FLOTHO: A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration
PRIV	116.2002	Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI: Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets:
		The Case of Hungary
PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical
		<u>Issues</u>
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review

- (1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001
- (li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001
- (lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001
- (liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001
- (liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002
- (lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001 (lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum fur Europaische
- Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001 (Iviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002

2002 SERIES

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

VOL *Voluntary and International Agreements* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SUST Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

(Editor: Carlo Carraro)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KNOW *Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital* (Editor: Dino Pinelli)

MGMT Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)

PRIV Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA *Economic Theory and Applications* (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

2003 SERIES

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

(Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KNOW Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

CSRM Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRIV Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)