

Mensink, Paul

Working Paper

Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric Information: The Differential Tax Revisited

Nota di Lavoro, No. 124.2004

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Mensink, Paul (2004) : Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric Information: The Differential Tax Revisited, Nota di Lavoro, No. 124.2004, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/117999>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

**Instant Efficient Pollution
Abatement Under Non-Linear
Taxation and Asymmetric
Information: The
Differential Tax Revisited**

Paul Mensink

NOTA DI LAVORO 124.2004

OCTOBER 2004

ETA – Economic Theory and Applications
--

Paul Mensink, *independent*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=XXXXXX>

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei
Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: www.feem.it, e-mail: working.papers@feem.it

Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement Under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric Information: The Differential Tax Revisited

Summary

This paper analyzes incentives for polluting firms to exchange abatement cost information under the non-linear pollution tax scheme ('differential tax') introduced by Kim and Chang [J. Regul. Econom. 5, 1993, 193-197]. It shows that polluting firms have - under mild conditions - an incentive to join a coalition whose members mutually truthfully exchange information as well as commit themselves with respect to their abatement decisions. As a result, the differential tax triggers instantly - i.e. no abatement adaptation is needed - efficient abatement levels without the regulator knowing marginal abatement costs. Consequently, this paper shows that differential taxation results in lower social costs than traditional non-linear taxation which triggers efficient emissions only after a period of non-efficient abatement.

Keywords: Externalities, Pollution taxes, Coalition formation, Non-linear taxation, Asymmetric information, Co-operative game theory

JEL Classification: C71, D62, D82, Q20

I would like to thank Till Requate for a stimulating discussion and Albrecht Bläsi, Henk Folmer, Rein Haagsma, Ross McKittrick and Dagmar Nelissen for valuable comments with respect to earlier versions of this paper. All remaining errors are mine.

Address for correspondence:

Paul Mensink
Independent
Zeye Strasse 30
24106 Kiel
Germany
E-mail: pgcmensink@yahoo.com

1 Introduction

To minimize social costs in the presence of environmental pollution, one option a regulator has to internalize externalities is through pollution taxation. To be able to set the correct emission tax, however, this regulator should know (future) abatement costs across industries. Unfortunately, polluters have in general no incentive to reveal their true abatement costs - Kwerel (1977) and Dasgupta et al. (1980) dealt with this issue - or just do not know them yet due to uncertainty about future innovation options available

Non-linear taxation has been suggested as one solution in cases where marginal abatement costs are not known by the regulator (Kim and Chang, 1993; Kaplow and Shavell, 2002). Under non-linear taxation the marginal tax level is a function of aggregated emissions and mimics marginal damages, doing potentially a better job in equalizing marginal abatement and marginal damage costs than standard (linear) taxation with its constant marginal tax level. Unfortunately, the problem has not been solved how potential polluters should resolve the uncertainty with respect to emissions of other firms - emissions that determine the tax level under a non-linear taxation scheme. If abatement decisions involve sunk costs or take time to be implemented this uncertainty will lead to inefficiencies because firms will not or only at high costs be able to pollute instantly at the socially optimal level.

Several attempts were made to guide the polluting firms to the efficient emission level in the presence of non-linear taxation under asymmetric information. These included (i) a tax adjustment rule that automatically changes the tax level as a function of actual emissions, resulting - after an unspecified period of time - in the socially efficient emission level¹ (Karp and Livernois, 1994), (ii) firm commitment to the reported

¹Under the condition that firms behave non-strategically. If they behave strategically there is a trade-off between speed of convergence of the tax level and its efficiency in equilibrium.

abatement costs to prevent excessive under-reporting of abatement costs leading to better forecasts of the emission and tax level (Bulckaen, 1997), and (iii) abatement based on conjectures about emissions by other firms (McKittrick, 1999). In the latter paper, McKittrick proved that the simple non-linear ‘differential tax’ suggested by Kim and Chang (1993) triggers the socially optimal level of pollution in the long run, without the regulator knowing marginal abatement costs. ‘Long run’ refers to the time that it takes for firms to sequentially adapt their abatement decisions - based on conjectures with respect to emissions by other firms - until the socially optimal emission level is reached.

This paper analyzes incentives for firms to form coalitions to exchange information with respect to their abatement behavior under the above-mentioned ‘differential tax’ and discusses the welfare effects of this coalition formation. In game-theoretic terms: the paper analyzes the welfare effects of a cooperative game with asymmetric information that is the result of the announcement of a differential pollution tax (Allen, 1997). A prominent role in the analysis plays the commitment to announced abatement behavior as was originally suggested by Bulckaen (1997).

Section 2 introduces the model. Section 3 and section 4 present the results on information exchange and coalition formation respectively. Section 5 concludes with a discussion.

2 The model

Total emissions E of an environmental pollutant causes in monetary terms quantifiable damages $D(E)$, with $D'(E) > 0$ and $D''(E) > 0$. Set I represents the set of n polluting firms, and the firms in I have the opportunity to reduce emissions, but face abatement costs $C_i(e_i)$, where e_i is the emission level of firm i , with $C_i(e_i) > 0$ if and only if $0 < e_i < e_{max}$; $C'_i(e_i) < 0$; and $C''_i(e_i) > 0$. Emissions of the polluting firms sum up to

$E = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$. The firms are not flexible with respect to their abatement level in the sense that they cannot - or only at high costs - change emissions immediately and therefore have to decide on the emission abatement level one period before the actual emissions take place.

The regulator does not know the abatement costs of the firms. Firm i does not know the abatement costs of firm j either, but has at least some subjective expectation of the emission which is denoted by \hat{e}_j^i .²

The regulator's aim is to minimize social costs, i.e. minimize $\sum_{i \in I} C_i(e_i) + D(\sum_{i \in I} e_i)$. The social optimum, therefore, is characterized by the first order conditions:

$$-\frac{\partial C(e_i)}{\partial e_i} = \frac{\partial D(\sum_{i \in I} e_i)}{\partial e_i} \quad \forall i \in I \quad (1)$$

Let e_1^*, \dots, e_n^* be the socially efficient emission levels that solve equation system 1 and let $E^* = \sum_{i \in I} e_i^*$. As in McKittrick (1999, p.356) the standard Cournot assumption is employed that firms do not believe their abatement decision will simultaneously change other firms' emission levels.³

Following Kim and Chang (1993) and McKittrick (1999) we assume that the regulator announces it will levy a charge of $D(E) - D(E - e_i)$ on firm i 's emission e_i . The rationale of this tax is that the marginal emission tax paid by firm i is always equal to

²One might consider to specify \hat{e}_j^i properly, for example by introducing explicit stochastics in the model: firm i does not know the vector θ_j , $j \neq i$, that determines the abatement costs firm j . It does know, however, a distribution of stochastic vector θ_j , and developed - based on that knowledge - a subjective expectation of the emissions of firm j denoted by \hat{e}_j^i given a particular policy. In my view this would make the analysis in this paper unnecessarily complex and less general and would therefore distract from its quintessence.

³Because this assumption also underlies the analysis in McKittrick (1999), the results derived in this paper are as general as they are in McKittrick (1999).

marginal damage costs, thereby minimizing social costs.⁴ The announcement of the differential tax scheme is assumed to be made prior to any abatement decision of the firms.

To analyze what will happen after this announcement, we first introduce the *emission reaction commitment contract*. This contract is crucial for the rest of the analysis.

Definition 1 *An ‘emission reaction commitment contract’ between members of coalition⁵ $S \subseteq I$ is a contract where firm $j \in S$ commits itself to an emission reaction function $e_j^S(E_j^S)$.*

If firm j signed the above mentioned contract we will say ‘firm j committed itself to emission reaction curve $e_j^S(\cdot)$ ’. By means of this emission reaction commitment contract each firm in the coalition commits itself to an endogenous abatement effort: by signing the emission reaction commitment contract the firm commits itself to emit $e_j^S(\tilde{E}_j^S)$, where $\tilde{E}_j^S = \tilde{E}^S - \tilde{e}_j^S$ and $\{\tilde{E}^S, \{\tilde{e}_j^S | j \in S\}\}$ is the solution of the equation system

$$e_i^S = e_i^S(E_i^S), \forall i \in S \quad (2)$$

$$E^S = \sum_{i \in S} e_i^S \quad (3)$$

$$E_i^S = E^S - e_i^S \quad (4)$$

From Brouwer’s fixed point theorem it directly follows that there exists a solution $\{\tilde{e}_j | j \in S\}$ of equations 2-4 as long as emissions are bounded and the functions $e_j^S(\cdot)$ are continuous.⁶ Neither of these assumptions seem to be over-restrictive. Moreover, if

⁴Moreover, the total tax burden for each individual firm is smaller than under linear taxation as was pointed out by McKittrick (1999)

⁵In this section we will - for the time being - assume such a coalition is given. In section 4 we will deal with the question how such a coalition is formed.

⁶Conditions for uniqueness of the solution will be discussed in the context of theorem 4.

the firms in S would found a body that calculates \tilde{E}^S there would be no need for the firms to provide the other firms in S with their reaction curves which might contain strategic information. As a result, these commitments together establish the actual abatement efforts of the firms in S : firm $i \in S$ will emit \tilde{e}_i^S . Now two questions can be asked: *i*) What is the best emission reaction function a firm can commit itself to if it would sign such a contract? And *ii*), will it be attractive for a firm to sign the contract? In other words, is it attractive for a firm to join the coalition? The first question is answered in section 3. The second question will be dealt with in section 4.

3 Commitment and information exchange

Let $\hat{E}_j^{\bar{S}}$ be the subjective expectation of firm j with respect to the emissions of firms $i \in \bar{S}$, where $\bar{S} = I \setminus S$ and $i \neq j$. That is, $\hat{E}_j^{\bar{S}}$ is the subjective expectation of firm j with respect to the emissions of the firms outside the coalition.

Theorem 2 *Suppose firms $j \in S$, with $j \neq i$, committed themselves to reaction curves $e_j^S(E_j^S)$ respectively. Then for firm $i \in S$, given subjective emission expectations $\hat{E}_i^{\bar{S}}$, the best reaction function to commit to is*

$$e_i^S(E_i^S) = \arg \min \{C(e_i) + D(\hat{E}_i^{\bar{S}} + E_i^S + e_i) - D(\hat{E}_i^{\bar{S}} + E_i^S)\}.$$

Proof: Theorem 2 follows directly from the definition of the operator *arg min* which generates the emission decision that minimizes the costs of the firm given the tax scheme and the subjective emission expectation.⁷

⁷Here the assumption that firms do not believe their abatement decision will simultaneously change other firms' emission levels is crucial.

Corollary 3 *Suppose firms $j \in I$ with $j \neq i$ committed themselves to reaction curves $e_j^I(E_j^I)$ respectively. Then for firm i there is no better reaction function to commit to then $e_i^I(E_i^I) = \arg \min\{C(e_i) + D(E_i^I + e_i) - D(E_i^I)\}$.*

Corollary 3 follows directly from theorem 2 by selecting $S = I$. Given these reaction curves, there is good reason to believe the firms can find the solution $\{\tilde{e}_j^I | j \in I\}$ of equations 2 and 3: emulating the adjustment rule suggested by McKittrick (1999) meant for *long run adaptation* by means of an algorithm that converges to the fixed point, the firms in I can find $\{\tilde{e}_j^I | j \in I\}$ directly and will behave accordingly. We are now ready to address the welfare consequences of corollary 3, i.e. the welfare aspects of $\{\tilde{e}_j^I | j \in I\}$:

Theorem 4 *If firms $i \in I$ commit to reaction curves $e_i^I(E_i^I) = \arg \min\{C(e_i) + D(E_i^I + e_i) - D(E_i^I)\}$, then $\tilde{e}_i = e_i^*$ for all i , and therefore $\tilde{E}^I = E^*$. That is, all firms will emit at the social optimal level.*

Proof: If \tilde{E}_i^I is the realized total emission level of all firms except i , the emission level firm i committed itself to, $e_i^I(\tilde{E}_i^I) = \arg \min\{C(e_i) + D(\tilde{E}_i^I + e_i) - D(\tilde{E}_i^I)\}$, satisfies the first order conditions in equation 1 by virtue of the *arg min* operator. This of course holds for all $i \in I$. Consequently, it follows from equations 3 and 4 that $\tilde{E}^I - \tilde{e}_i^I = \tilde{E}_i^I$ indeed is the emission level of all firms except i . Which proves the theorem.⁸ By combining corollary 3 and theorem 4 we find that if all firms would sign the most attractive emission reaction commitment contract from their point of view, this would

⁸This theorem is closely related to proposition 3 in McKittrick (1999). Theorem 4 also gives a clue with respect to uniqueness of the solution of equations 2-4: If $S = I$ and if (e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*) is unique, then the solution of the equations 2-4 must also be unique. A socially optimal emissions vector (e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*) itself is unique under the assumptions with respect to the derivatives of $C(e_i)$ and $D(E)$ as formulated in section 2.

result in the efficient emission level. From the point of view of the regulator it seems to be very attractive if all firms would sign a contract like that. So the question is: do firms have an incentive to sign a contract like that? I.e. under what circumstance will they join the coalition? We will deal with that question in the following section.

4 Coalition formation

With the question whether firms have an incentive to join the coalition we enter the field of cooperative game theory.

4.1 Coalition formation: cooperative games with asymmetric information

In section 3 we implicitly defined a cooperative game with asymmetric information, where the pay-off from joining a coalition is equal to the abatement cost reduction plus the tax burden reduction resulting from mutual information exchange and commitment (e.g. Mas-Colell et al., 1995; Allen, 1997; Schwalbe, 1999).

Though the abatement cost and tax burden reduction resulting from mutual information exchange and commitment can easily be shared among members of a coalition, we will analyze the incentives for firms to join a coalition in the absence of transfers. The reason for that is that the cost and tax burden reductions depend on subjective ex ante emission estimates. As a result, measures of individual contributions to the collective cost reduction effort - like Shapley values - can neither ex-ante nor ex-post be determined unambiguously, which makes agreements with respect to ex-post transfers very unlikely. Consequently, we implicitly interpret the game as a cooperative game with asymmetric information and non-transferable utility (Allen, 1997).

Within the coalition information is shared completely among coalition members. This characteristic of coalition formation within a cooperative game with asymmetric information was first described as *full communication system* in the seminal paper by Wilson (1978, p.807). The fundamental difference with the set-up in Wilson (1978) is that in this paper - as argued above - the assumption is adopted that no transfers can be agreed upon. So only information is shared among coalition members. Due to the special characteristics of the good ‘information’ compared with traditional goods - information cannot be returned if one is not satisfied, i.e. one cannot be forced to forget (e.g. Hirshlifer and Riley, 1992, section 5.2) - the relevance of concepts like ‘core’ and ‘blocking’ seem to be limited in our application: once a firm is in the coalition and found out about the value of the information good there is no way it could leave the coalition and form another coalition.

Unaware of a rigorous and applicable treatment of this special form of game⁹, I will take an intuitive approach to analyze the incentives for coalition formation in the following of this section.

4.2 Coalition formation: an intuitive approach

By joining S , firm i gets reliable information on the individual reaction functions and abatement decisions of the members of S and therefore has better information about the future total emission level and the future marginal tax level it will face. As a result, one would expect firm i to do a better job in minimizing the sum of reduction costs and tax payments if it joins the coalition.

Following the same reasoning, one would believe that members of the coalition would always welcome a new member: if firm i joins S , members of the coalition will get reliable information with respect to the abatement decision of firm i , and therefore will

⁹Suggestions will be welcomed by the author.

be better able to anticipate the marginal tax level. Reality, unfortunately, turns out to be slightly more complex.

The following theorem answers the question what exactly makes it attractive or unattractive for a firm to join the coalition:

Lemma 5 *If firms $j \in S \subseteq I$ committed themselves to $e_j^S(E_j^S)$, then firm i joining the coalition - thereby forming the new coalition $S' = S \cup \{i\}$ - would be confronted with two effects on its costs: 1) an effect caused by adapting its own abatement decision after receiving correct information on emission decisions of the members of the coalition, and 2) an effect caused by changing abatement behavior of the firms in the coalition after they received the correct information on the emission decision by firm i .*

Proof: Let \hat{e}_i^S be the emission decision by firm i if it does not join the coalition, and let $\tilde{e}_i^{S'}$ be the emission decision by firm i when it does:

$$\hat{e}_i^S = \arg \min \{C_i(\hat{e}_i^S) + D(\hat{E}_i^{\bar{S}} + \hat{E}_i^S + \hat{e}_i^S) - D(\hat{E}_i^{\bar{S}} + \hat{E}_i^S)\} \quad (5)$$

$$\tilde{e}_i^{S'} = \arg \min \{C_i(\tilde{e}_i^{S'}) + D(\hat{E}_i^{\bar{S}'} + \tilde{E}_i^{S'} + \tilde{e}_i^{S'}) - D(\hat{E}_i^{\bar{S}'} + \tilde{E}_i^{S'})\} \quad (6)$$

Now let ${}^R E_i^{\bar{S}}$ be the *realized* emissions of the firms in \bar{S} after the tax system has been introduced, and let $K_i^S(\cdot)$ be the abatement plus tax costs that firm i has to pay if a coalition S was formed. Then if firm i did not join the coalition its costs would be:

$$K_i^S(\hat{e}_i^S) = C_i(\hat{e}_i^S) + D({}^R E_i^{\bar{S}} + \tilde{E}_i^S + \hat{e}_i^S) - D({}^R E_i^{\bar{S}} + \tilde{E}_i^S).$$

If firm i joined the coalition its costs will be:

$$K_i^{S'}(\tilde{e}_i^{S'}) = C_i(\tilde{e}_i^{S'}) + D({}^R E_i^{\bar{S}'} + \tilde{E}_i^{S'} + \tilde{e}_i^{S'}) - D({}^R E_i^{\bar{S}'} + \tilde{E}_i^{S'}).$$

So firm i gains from joining the coalition if $K_i^S(\hat{e}_i^S) - K_i^{S'}(\tilde{e}_i^{S'})$ is positive. This difference can be decomposed into two terms:

$$K_i^S(\hat{e}_i^S) - K_i^{S'}(\tilde{e}_i^{S'}) = K_i^S(\hat{e}_i^S) + [K_i^S(\tilde{e}_i^S) - K_i^S(\tilde{e}_i^S)] - K_i^{S'}(\tilde{e}_i^{S'}) \quad (7)$$

$$= \underbrace{[K_i^S(\hat{e}_i^S) - K_i^S(\tilde{e}_i^S)]}_{\alpha} + \underbrace{[K_i^S(\tilde{e}_i^S) - K_i^{S'}(\tilde{e}_i^{S'})]}_{\beta} \quad (8)$$

Equation 8 reveals two effects:

Effect α This is the cost change resulting from firm i having more information on the emission decisions of the firms in the coalition.

Effect β This is the cost change resulting from an adaptation of the emission decisions by the firms in the coalition.

End of proof.

Under standard second order conditions the cost change caused by Effect α will be positive (i.e. joining the coalition reduces abatement costs plus tax costs) if $\hat{E}_i^{\bar{S}} + \tilde{E}_i^S$ is closer to ${}^R E_i^{\bar{S}} + \tilde{E}_i^S$ than $\hat{E}_i^{\bar{S}} + \hat{E}_i^S$. That is, when joining the coalition leads to a more realistic estimation of total emissions. This will be the case in general, because firm i after joining the coalition will have perfect information with respect to emissions of the firms in S , instead of its subjective estimate.

The sign of Effect β , however, is ex ante less clear. It will be negative if the firms in the coalition raise their emissions after finding out about the reaction function of firm i , i.e. when they found out - after i joined the coalition - that they over-estimated firm i 's emissions. This effect will be close to zero if the errors of the firms in S with respect to the emission decision by firm i level each other more or less out.

Under assumption 6 firm i will join the coalition:

Assumption 6 *Firm $i \notin S$ believes the cost reducing Effect α is larger than its (potentially cost raising) Effect β .*

In general one would expect that assumption 6 is satisfied: the larger the summed emissions of the members of S are relative to those of the individual firm i , the larger Effect α will be relative to Effect β . Moreover, the less successful firm i is in suggesting

his marginal emission reduction costs will be higher than they actually are, the less positive will Effect β be. In the situation at hand it seems difficult for firm i to deceit structurally, because firms - inside and outside the coalition - expect it to cheat and therefore will for example base their subjective expectations on independently reported data, not on those provided by firm i .

Now we turn to the question in what circumstances individual members of the coalition would gain from firm i joining the coalition.

Lemma 7 *Member j of coalition S is confronted with two effects when firm $i \notin S$ joins the coalition, thereby forming the new coalition $S' = S \cup \{i\}$: 1) an effect through adaptation of the abatement decision of j itself, originating from receiving correct information on abatement behavior of firm i , and 2) an effect through adaptation of the behavior of the other firms due to new information on behavior of firm i .*

Proof: Let us define e_j^* as follows:

$$e_j^* := \arg \min \{C_j(e_j) + D(\hat{E}_j^{\bar{S}} - \hat{e}_i^S + \tilde{e}_i^S + \tilde{E}_j^S + e_j) - D(\hat{E}_j^{\bar{S}} - \hat{e}_i^S + \tilde{e}_i^S + \tilde{E}_j^S)\}.$$

The net reduction in costs for a firm $j \in S$ resulting from firm i joining the coalition is equal to

$$K_j^S(\tilde{e}_j^S) - K_j^{S'}(\tilde{e}_j^{S'}) = K_j^S(\tilde{e}_j^S) + [K_j^S(e_j^*) - K_j^S(e_j^*)] - K_j^{S'}(\tilde{e}_j^{S'}) \quad (9)$$

$$= \underbrace{[K_j^S(\tilde{e}_j^S) - K_j^S(e_j^*)]}_{\text{Effect } a} + \underbrace{[K_j^S(e_j^*) - K_j^{S'}(\tilde{e}_j^{S'})]}_{\text{Effect } b} \quad (10)$$

Effect a. Effect a is the cost change caused by adaptation of the abatement decision by firm j after receiving reliable information on the abatement decision of firm i .

Effect b. Effect b is the cost change caused by adaptation of the other firms in the coalition due to reception of information on the abatement decision of firm i .

End of proof.

If $\hat{E}_j^{\bar{S}} - \hat{e}_i^S + \tilde{e}_i^S + \tilde{E}_j^S + e_j$ is closer to ${}^R E_i^{\bar{S}} + \tilde{E}_i^S$ than $\hat{E}_i^{\bar{S}} + \hat{E}_i^S$, then the first effect will have a downward effect on the costs of firm j . If knowledge of the true emission level of firm i is closer to the realized emission level, then Effect α will lower the cost. This will in general be the case. Because the sign of the second effect is unclear, it is to be expected - if systematic deception fails - firms in the coalition gain from new members entering the coalition.

We will formulate this as an assumption:

Assumption 8 *Firms $j \in S$ believe the negative Effect a on their costs to be larger than the (potentially positive) Effect b .*

Summarizing the results of our analysis in this section so far: in general one can say firms will be inclined to form ‘information exchange and commitment coalitions’ if the value of the information with respect to the true emission decisions by the other firms is higher than the lower tax payment and reduction costs caused by successful deception of the other firms. The latter ability is likely to be affected by the distrust by the other firms who are aware of this attitude, making joining the coalition more sensible for individual firms.

We are now ready to formulate the main result of this paper:

Theorem 9 *If*

- *information exchange and legal services are relatively cheap, and*
- *firms do not believe their abatement decisions will simultaneously change other firms’ emission levels, and*
- *assumptions 6 and 8 hold,*

then the announcement of tax level $D(E) - D(E_i)$ for firms $i \in I$, an announcement preceding abatement decisions of the firms, automatically results in a the socially efficient abatement level through the formation of a coalition consisting of all firms that exchange and commit themselves to emission reaction curves.

Proof: Theorem 9 follows directly from corollary 3, theorem 4, and lemmas 5 and 7.

If the firms are made aware of this mechanism they might as well start committing to reaction curves with respect to the largest possible coalition I , instead of starting with small coalitions. This would reduce the total sum of transaction costs to only a fraction of the original costs. This observation makes the first condition in theorem 9 less stringent. Please note also that the standard Cournot assumption (the second condition in theorem 9) gets more realistic the more polluting firms there are.

5 Conclusions and discussion

Kim and Chang (1993) showed that the Nash equilibrium resulting from the implementation of a simple non-linear ‘differential pollution tax’ is socially efficient without the regulator knowing marginal abatement costs. This equilibrium will, however, only be attained if firms know the emissions of other firms and can costless adapt to abatement decisions by other firms. This seems to be restrictive because usually abatement decisions involve sunk costs or take time to be implemented.

McKittrick (1999) addressed this problem and showed that under the ‘differential pollution tax’ suggested by Kim and Chang (1993), firms might follow a clever abatement adaptation strategy, and will - by sequentially adapting their emission levels - eventually emit the socially optimal amount. As a result, McKittrick concluded that the ‘differential tax’ triggers the socially efficient emission level *in the long run*, where ‘long

run' refers to the fact that it takes several abatement adaptation decisions by the firm to reach the socially efficient emission level.

In this paper it was shown that in the presence of the 'differential tax'

- firms will emit at the socially efficient level if they are member of a coalition of firms that mutually exchange information with respect to their future abatement decisions and committed themselves to act accordingly, and
- firms have - under mild conditions - an incentive to join the coalition of firms that exchange information and commit themselves to act accordingly.

These results hold under the condition that firms assume they cannot influence the emission of other firms by means of their emission decision - the standard Cournot assumption that is not unreasonable in case of many polluting firms. As a result, the 'differential pollution tax' suggested by Kim and Chang (1993) leads *instantly* - i.e. without abatement adaptation - to efficient abatement levels, without any information requirement for the regulator.

Consequently, the differential pollution tax leads to lower social costs than traditional non-linear pollution taxation adaptation schemes that only reach the efficient emission levels after an usually unspecified period of time in which firms adapt their emissions to new tax levels until an equilibrium is reached. During this period marginal abatement costs are not equal to marginal damage costs which results in higher social costs than under the differential tax scheme that reduces social costs to the theoretical minimum instantly.

This result suggests that the differential tax should play a major role in the analysis of environmental policy instruments when (future) abatement costs are uncertain, for example in the presence of innovation with respect to pollution reducing technologies.

6 Bibliography

- Allen, B.** , 1997, "Cooperative theory with incomplete information" *in* Hart and Mas-Colell (eds.) "Cooperation: Game-Theoretic Approaches", 51-65.
- Bulckaen, F.** , 1997, "Emission charge and asymmetric information: consistently a problem?" *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 34, 100-106.
- Dasgupta, P., P. Hammond, and E. Maskin** , 1980, "On imperfect information and optimal pollution control". *Review of Economic Studies* 47, 857-860.
- Hirshleifer, J. and J.G. Riley** , 1992, "The analytics of uncertainty and information", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Kaplow, L. and S. Shavell** , 2002, "On the superiority of corrective taxes to quantity regulation". *American Law and Economics Review* 4, 1-17.
- Karp, L. and J. Livernois** , 1994, "Using automatic tax changes to control pollution emissions" *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 27, 38-48.
- Kim, J.-C. and K.-B. Chang** , 1993, "An optimal tax/subsidy for output and pollution control under asymmetric information in oligopoly markets". *Journal of Regulatory Economics* 5, 193-197.
- Kwerel, E.** , 1977, "To tell the truth: imperfect information and optimal pollution control". *Review of economic Studies* 44, 595-601.
- Mas-Colell, A., M.D. Whinston and J.R. Green** , 1995, "Microeconomic theory". Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- McKittrick, R.** , 1999, "A Cournot mechanism for pollution control under asymmetric information". *Environmental and Resource Economics* 14, 353-363.

Moulin, H. , 1995, "Cooperative microeconomics: a game-theoretic introduction", Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.

Schwalbe, U. , 1999, "The core of economies with asymmetric information", Series: Lecture notes in economics and mathematical systems, No. 474, Springer, Heidelberg, Germany.

Wilson, R. , 1987, "Information, efficiency, and the core of an economy", *Econometrica* 46, 807-816.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2003

PRIV	1.2003	<i>Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: <u>Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues</u></i>
PRIV	2.2003	<i>Ibolya SCHINDELE: <u>Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review</u></i>
PRIV	3.2003	<i>Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity Market</u></i>
CLIM	4.2003	<i>Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: <u>Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of Government Commitment</u></i>
KNOW	5.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition</u></i>
ETA	6.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO: <u>Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model</u></i>
SIEV	7.2003	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: <u>The Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment: Evidence from Surveys of Developers</u></i>
NRM	8.2003	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyner GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u></i>
CLIM	9.2003	<i>A. CAPARRÓS, J.-C. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT: <u>North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game with Asymmetric Information</u></i>
KNOW	10.2003	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: <u>School Quality and Family Background in Italy</u></i>
CLIM	11.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of Climate Change Policy Analysis</u></i>
KNOW	12.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): <u>Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art</u></i>
KNOW	13.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix): <u>Bio-Ecological Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures</u></i>
KNOW	14.2003	<i>Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix): <u>Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and Future Trajectories</u></i>
KNOW	15.2003	<i>Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix): <u>Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life</u></i>
KNOW	16.2003	<i>Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix): <u>Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia</u></i>
KNOW	17.2003	<i>Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix): <u>A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community</u></i>
KNOW	18.2003	<i>Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix): <u>Managing Diversity in a Globalizing World</u></i>
Coalition	19.2003	<i>Sergio CURRARINI: <u>On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities</u></i>
Theory	20.2003	<i>Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): <u>Monopoly with Resale</u></i>
Network	21.2003	<i>Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): <u>Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition</u></i>
PRIV	22.2003	<i>Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): <u>Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions</u></i>
PRIV	23.2003	<i>David ETTINGER (lx): <u>Bidding among Friends and Enemies</u></i>
PRIV	24.2003	<i>Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): <u>Auction Design without Commitment</u></i>
PRIV	25.2003	<i>Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): <u>Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions</u></i>
PRIV	26.2003	<i>Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): <u>Rationing in IPOs</u></i>
PRIV	27.2003	<i>Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAIEV (lx): <u>Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes</u></i>
PRIV	28.2003	<i>Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): <u>Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts</u></i>
PRIV	29.2003	<i>TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): <u>Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment</u></i>
PRIV	30.2003	<i>Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): <u>Auctions with Financial Externalities</u></i>
ETA	31.2003	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: <u>A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games</u></i>
KNOW	32.2003	<i>Michele MORETTO: <u>Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty</u></i>
PRIV	33.2003	<i>Philippe QUIRION: <u>Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?</u></i>
KNOW	34.2003	<i>Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: <u>On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis</u></i>
ETA	35.2003	<i>Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: <u>Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation</u></i>

GG	36.2003	<i>Matthieu GLACHANT</i> : <u>Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats</u>
PRIV	37.2003	<i>Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI</i> : <u>Postprivatization Corporate Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection</u>
CLIM	38.2003	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers</u>
KNOW	39.2003	<i>Slim BEN YOUSSEF</i> : <u>Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade</u>
CTN	40.2003	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI</i> : <u>Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations</u>
KNOW	41.2003	<i>Sonia OREFFICE</i> : <u>Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply</u>
KNOW	42.2003	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON</i> : <u>On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing Biotechnologies</u>
ETA	43.2003	<i>Giorgio Busetti and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US</u>
CLIM	44.2003	<i>Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES</i> : <u>The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its Effectiveness</u>
PRIV	45.2003	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization</u>
SIEV	46.2003	<i>Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH</i> : <u>Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste Disposal Methods</u>
ETA	47.2003	<i>Jens HORBACH</i> : <u>Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical Results for Germany</u>
CLIM	48.2003	<i>Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS</i> : <u>The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing</u>
CLIM	49.2003	<i>Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER</i> : <u>Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits</u>
CTN	50.2003	<i>László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (Ixi)</i> : <u>The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension</u>
CTN	51.2003	<i>Matthew O. JACKSON (Ixi)</i> : <u>Allocation Rules for Network Games</u>
CTN	52.2003	<i>Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (Ixi)</i> : <u>Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation</u>
CTN	53.2003	<i>Fernando VEGA-REDONDO (Ixi)</i> : <u>Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach</u>
CTN	54.2003	<i>Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF (Ixi)</i> : <u>On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation</u>
CTN	55.2003	<i>Taiji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI (Ixi)</i> : <u>Free Trade Networks</u>
CTN	56.2003	<i>Halis Murat YILDIZ (Ixi)</i> : <u>National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization</u>
CTN	57.2003	<i>Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH (Ixi)</i> : <u>An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International Environmental Agreements</u>
KNOW	58.2003	<i>Carole Maignan, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research</u>
KNOW	59.2003	<i>Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>Special Interests and Technological Change</u>
ETA	60.2003	<i>Ronnie SCHÖB</i> : <u>The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey</u>
CLIM	61.2003	<i>Michael FINUS, Ekko van Ierland and Robert DELLINK</i> : <u>Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game</u>
GG	62.2003	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u>
SIEV	63.2003	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI</i> : <u>Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy</u>
CLIM	64.2003	<i>Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS</i> : <u>Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures</u>
SIEV	65.2003	<i>Edi DEFRANCESCO</i> : <u>The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy</u>
SIEV	66.2003	<i>Klaus CONRAD</i> : <u>Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment</u>
SIEV	67.2003	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ</i> : <u>Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise</u>
CLIM	68.2003	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG</i> : <u>Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target</u>
KNOW	69.2003	<i>David FRANTZ (Ixi)</i> : <u>Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation</u>
KNOW	70.2003	<i>Ercole SORI (Ixi)</i> : <u>Mapping Diversity in Social History</u>
KNOW	71.2003	<i>Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (Ixi)</i> : <u>What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?</u>
KNOW	72.2003	<i>Natalya V. TARANOVA (Ixi)</i> : <u>The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case</u>
KNOW	73.2003	<i>Kristine CRANE (Ixi)</i> : <u>The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of Globalisation and Migration</u>
KNOW	74.2003	<i>Kazuma MATOBA (Ixi)</i> : <u>Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication</u>
KNOW	75.2003	<i>Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA (Ixi)</i> : <u>Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese in Portugal</u>
KNOW	76.2003	<i>Sandra WALLMAN (Ixi)</i> : <u>The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems</u>
KNOW	77.2003	<i>Richard PEARCE (Ixi)</i> : <u>A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities</u>
KNOW	78.2003	<i>Vincent MERK (Ixi)</i> : <u>Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the Dilemmas</u>
KNOW	79.2003	<i>Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>Child Labor and Resistance to Change</u>
ETA	80.2003	<i>Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA</i> : <u>Investment Size and Firm's Value under Profit Sharing Regulation</u>

ITEM	81.2003	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Oil and Product Dynamics in International Petroleum Markets</u></i>
CLIM	82.2003	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: <u>Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against Environmental Regulation</u></i>
CLIM	83.2003	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA: <u>Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?</u></i>
CLIM	84.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: <u>Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes</u></i>
NRM	85.2003	<i>Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How Fast are the Tourism Countries Growing? The cross-country evidence</u></i>
KNOW	86.2003	<i>Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: <u>The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks for the Mezzogiorno</u></i>
SIEV	87.2003	<i>Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: <u>Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources. How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments</u></i>
CLIM	88.2003	<i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of Global Warming</u></i>
CLIM	89.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Economic Development and Environmental Protection</u></i>
CLIM	90.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?</u></i>
CLIM	91.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: <u>Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries</u></i>
ITEM	92.2003	<i>A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: <u>The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy Policy: The Case of Russia</u></i>
ETA	93.2003	<i>Andrea BELTRATTI: <u>Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium</u></i>
CTN	94.2003	<i>Parkash CHANDER: <u>The γ-Core and Coalition Formation</u></i>
ITEM	95.2003	<i>Matteo MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: <u>Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption Using Principal Components</u></i>
ITEM	96.2003	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA, Margherita GRASSO and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Long-run Models of Oil Stock Prices</u></i>
CTN	97.2003	<i>Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES, and D. Marc KILGOUR: <u>Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process Matters</u></i>
KNOW	98.2003	<i>John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (Ixxiii): <u>Anti-Racist Policies in France. From Ideological and Historical Schemes to Socio-Political Realities</u></i>
KNOW	99.2003	<i>Richard THOMPSON FORD (Ixxii): <u>Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue</u></i>
KNOW	100.2003	<i>Alaknanda PATEL (Ixxii): <u>Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities</u></i>
KNOW	101.2003	<i>David MAY (Ixxii): <u>The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood</u></i>
KNOW	102.2003	<i>Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (Ixxii) : <u>Municipal Reform on the Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City</u></i>
CLIM	103.2003	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime</u></i>
CLIM	104.2003	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in International Climate Agreements</u></i>
CLIM	105.2003	<i>Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: <u>Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy</u></i>
NRM	106.2003	<i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests</u></i>
NRM	107.2003	<i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries</u></i>
SIEV	108.2003	<i>Sara ANIYAR (Ixiv): <u>Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example</u></i>
SIEV	109.2003	<i>Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER(Ixiv): <u>Evaluating Projects and Assessing Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies</u></i>
NRM	110.2003	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA(Ixiv): <u>Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries</u></i>
NRM	111.2003	<i>Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (Ixiv): <u>Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands</u></i>
ETA	112.2003	<i>Jack GOODY (Ixiv): <u>Globalisation, Population and Ecology</u></i>
CTN	113.2003	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: <u>Endogenous Minimum Participation in International Environmental Treaties</u></i>
CTN	114.2003	<i>Guillaume HAERINGER and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Decentralized Job Matching</u></i>
CTN	115.2003	<i>Hideo KONISHI and M. Utku UNVER: <u>Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems</u></i>
CTN	116.2003	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem</u></i>
CTN	117.2003	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem</u></i>
CTN	118.2003	<i>Marita LAUKKANEN: <u>Transboundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty</u></i>
CTN	119.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary Games with Incomplete Information: Some First Results</u></i>
CTN	120.2003	<i>Gianluigi VERNASCA: <u>Dynamic Price Competition with Price Adjustment Costs and Product Differentiation</u></i>
CTN	121.2003	<i>Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SELTEN: <u>Social Conformity in Games with Many Players</u></i>
CTN	122.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>On Equilibrium in Pure Strategies in Games with Many Players</u></i>
CTN	123.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many Players</u></i>
	1000	Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: <u>One Thousand Working Papers</u>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	<i>Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB:</i> <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries</u>
ETA	2.2004	<i>Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER:</i> <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u>
PRA	3.2004	<i>Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI:</i> <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u>
ETA	4.2004	<i>Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER:</i> <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u>
ETA	5.2004	<i>Romano PIRAS:</i> <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u>
CCMP	6.2004	<i>Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH:</i> <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal</u>
PRA	7.2004	<i>Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv):</i> <u>Merger Mechanisms</u>
PRA	8.2004	<i>Wolfgang AUSENNEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv):</i> <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u>
PRA	9.2004	<i>Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv):</i> <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u>
PRA	10.2004	<i>Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv):</i> <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u>
PRA	11.2004	<i>Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv):</i> <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u>
PRA	12.2004	<i>Ohad KADAN (lxv):</i> <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u>
PRA	13.2004	<i>Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv):</i> <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u>
PRA	14.2004	<i>Gadi FIBICH, Arie GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv):</i> <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u>
PRA	15.2004	<i>Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv):</i> <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u>
PRA	16.2004	<i>Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv):</i> <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u>
CCMP	17.2004	<i>Slim Ben YOUSSEF:</i> <u>R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u>
NRM	18.2004	<i>Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi):</i> <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics</u>
SIEV	19.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA:</i> <u>Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice</u>
NRM	20.2004	<i>Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii):</i> <u>Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of Differentiated Oligopoly</u>
NRM	21.2004	<i>Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii):</i> <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u>
NRM	22.2004	<i>Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii):</i> <u>Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development</u>
NRM	23.2004	<i>Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii):</i> <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based Resources in Kenya</u>
NRM	24.2004	<i>Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii):</i> <u>Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare</u>
NRM	25.2004	<i>Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii):</i> <u>Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies</u>
NRM	26.2004	<i>Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii):</i> <u>Tourism and Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach</u>
NRM	27.2004	<i>Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii):</i> <u>Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports</u>
CSRM	28.2004	<i>Nicoletta FERRO:</i> <u>Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework</u>
NRM	29.2004	<i>Marian WEBER (lxvi):</i> <u>Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation: an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest</u>
NRM	30.2004	<i>Trond BJØRNDAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi):</i> <u>Output Substitution in Multi-Species Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting</u>
CCMP	31.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI:</i> <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy</u>
CCMP	32.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI:</i> <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy</u>
CTN	33.2004	<i>Wilson PEREZ:</i> <u>Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution</u>
KTHC	34.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii):</i> <u>The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities</u>
KTHC	35.2004	<i>Linda CHAIB (lxviii):</i> <u>Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison</u>
KTHC	36.2004	<i>Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (lxviii):</i> <u>Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context</u>
KTHC	37.2004	<i>Kristine CRANE (lxviii):</i> <u>Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome, Naples and Bari</u>
KTHC	38.2004	<i>Kiflemariam HAMDE (lxviii):</i> <u>Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm</u>
ETA	39.2004	<i>Alberto CAVALIERE:</i> <u>Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly</u>
PRA	40.2004	<i>Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST:</i> <u>The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?</u>
CCMP	41.2004	<i>Micheal FINUS (lxix):</i> <u>International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems</u>

KTHC	42.2004	<i>Francesco CRESPI</i> : <u>Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis</u>
CTN	43.2004	<i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI</i> : <u>Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies</u>
CTN	44.2004	<i>Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR</i> : <u>Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability</u>
NRM	45.2004	<i>Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL</i> (lxvi): <u>Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity: An Axiomatic Approach</u>
NRM	46.2004	<i>Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLES MARK THORSEN</i> (lxvi): <u>Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits</u>
NRM	47.2004	<i>John MBURU</i> (lxvi): <u>Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach</u>
NRM	48.2004	<i>Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE</i> (lxvi): <u>Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transitional Economy</u>
CCMP	49.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON</i> : <u>The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects</u>
GG	50.2004	<i>Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Optimal Disease Eradication</u>
CTN	51.2004	<i>Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG</i> : <u>Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games</u>
SIEV	52.2004	<i>Francesco RICCI</i> : <u>Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the Theory</u>
SIEV	53.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?</u>
NRM	54.2004	<i>Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF</i> (lxvi): <u>Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Renaturated Streams</u>
NRM	55.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN</i> (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and Regulatory Choices</u>
NRM	56.2004	<i>Tom DEDEURWAERDERE</i> (lxvi): <u>Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance</u>
CCMP	57.2004	<i>Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON</i> : <u>The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households</u>
CCMP	58.2004	<i>Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN</i> : <u>Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios</u>
NRM	59.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA</i> (lxvii): <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management</u>
NRM	60.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI</i> (lxvi): <u>Property Rights Conservation and Development: An Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon</u>
CCMP	61.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO</i> : <u>Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a Technology-based Climate Protocol</u>
NRM	62.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyner GERLAGH</i> : <u>Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.</u>
NRM	63.2004	<i>Györgyi BELA, Györgyi PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ</i> (lxvi): <u>Conserving Crop Genetic Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis</u>
NRM	64.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN</i> (lxvi): <u>The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the Netherlands</u>
NRM	65.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK</i> (lxvi): <u>Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method</u>
ETA	66.2004	<i>Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS</i> : <u>Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings</u>
GG	67.2004	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA</i> : <u>Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach</u>
GG	68.2004	<i>Michael FINUS</i> : <u>Modesty Pays: Sometimes!</u>
NRM	69.2004	<i>Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO</i> : <u>The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy Implications</u>
CTN	70.2004	<i>Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>On Coalition Formation with Heterogeneous Agents</u>
IEM	71.2004	<i>Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Conditional Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants</u>
IEM	72.2004	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER</i> : <u>Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns</u>
SIEV	73.2004	<i>Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA</i> : <u>The Copula Approach to Sample Selection Modelling: An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests</u>
CCMP	74.2004	<i>Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND</i> : <u>Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Assessment</u>
ETA	75.2004	<i>Rosella LEVAGGI and Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Investment in Hospital Care Technology under Different Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach</u>
CTN	76.2004	<i>Salvador BARBERÀ and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>On the Weights of Nations: Assigning Voting Weights in a Heterogeneous Union</u>
CTN	77.2004	<i>Àlex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DÍAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERA and Fernando VEGA-REDONDO</i> (lxx): <u>Optimal Information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion</u>
CTN	78.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Armando GOMES</i> (lxx): <u>Contracting with Externalities and Outside Options</u>

CTN	79.2004	<i>Rabah AMIR, Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Licun XUE</i> (lxx): <u>Merger Performance under Uncertain Efficiency Gains</u>
CTN	80.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players</u>
CTN	81.2004	<i>Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülya ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO</i> (lxx): <u>Bicameralism and Government Formation</u>
CTN	82.2004	<i>Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG QIN and Amnon RAPOPORT</i> (lxx): <u>Potential Maximization and Coalition Government Formation</u>
CTN	83.2004	<i>Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN</i> (lxx): <u>Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement</u>
CTN	84.2004	<i>Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZÁLEZ</i> (lxx): <u>Economics: An Emerging Small World?</u>
CTN	85.2004	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT</i> (lxx): <u>Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players</u>
IEM	86.2004	<i>Finn R. FØRSUND and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by Hydroelectric Power</u>
KTHC	87.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources, Investment and Long-Term Income</u>
CCMP	88.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Claudia KEMFERT</i> : <u>Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey</u>
IEM	89.2004	<i>A. MARKANDYA, S. PEDROSO and D. STREIMIKIENE</i> : <u>Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There Convergence Towards the EU Average?</u>
GG	90.2004	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Agreements and Technology Policy</u>
PRA	91.2004	<i>Sergei IZMALKOV</i> (lxx): <u>Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction</u>
KTHC	92.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI</i> : <u>Cities and Cultures</u>
KTHC	93.2004	<i>Massimo DEL GATTO</i> : <u>Agglomeration, Integration, and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading Cities. Centralisation versus devolution</u>
CCMP	94.2004	<i>Pierre-André JOUVET, Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON</i> : <u>Equilibrium with a Market of Permits</u>
CCMP	95.2004	<i>Bob van der ZWAAN and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global Energy Supply</u>
CCMP	96.2004	<i>Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise</u>
CTN	97.2004	<i>Gustavo BERGANTIÑOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA</i> : <u>Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through the Canonical Form</u>
CTN	98.2004	<i>Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK</i> : <u>Party Formation and Coalitional Bargaining in a Model of Proportional Representation</u>
GG	99.2004	<i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA</i> : <u>The Impact of Surplus Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements</u>
SIEV	100.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence from a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents</u>
SIEV	101.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure</u>
NRM	102.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and David TOMBERLIN</i> : <u>Real Options Analysis of Fishing Fleet Dynamics: A Test</u>
CCMP	103.2004	<i>Alessandra GORIA e Gretel GAMBARELLI</i> : <u>Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability in Italy</u>
PRA	104.2004	<i>Massimo FLORIO and Mara GRASSEN</i> : <u>The Missing Shock: The Macroeconomic Impact of British Privatisation</u>
PRA	105.2004	<i>John BENNETT, Saul ESTRIN, James MAW and Giovanni URG</i> : <u>Privatisation Methods and Economic Growth in Transition Economies</u>
PRA	106.2004	<i>Kira BÖRNER</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?</u>
PRA	107.2004	<i>Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON</i> : <u>Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets</u>
SIEV	108.2004	<i>Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo MAINARDI</i> : <u>Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective</u>
CTN	109.2004	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI</i> : <u>The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some Results</u>
NRM	110.2004	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA</i> : <u>Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look</u>
SIEV	111.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks: Evidence from a Three-Country Contingent Valuation Study</u>
KTHC	112.2004	<i>Valeria PAPPONETTI and Dino PINELLI</i> : <u>Scientific Advice to Public Policy-Making</u>
SIEV	113.2004	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Laura ONOFRI</i> : <u>The Profile of a “Warm-Glow”: A Note on Consumer’s Behavior and Public Policy Implications</u>
IEM	114.2004	<i>Patrick CAYRADE</i> : <u>Investments in Gas Pipelines and Liquefied Natural Gas Infrastructure What is the Impact on the Security of Supply?</u>
IEM	115.2004	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA</i> : <u>Oil Security. Short- and Long-Term Policies</u>
IEM	116.2004	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA</i> : <u>Social Costs of Energy Disruptions</u>
IEM	117.2004	<i>Christian EGENHOFER, Kyriakos GIALOGLOU, Giacomo LUCIANI, Maroeska BOOTS, Martin SCHEEPERS, Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA, Anil MARKANDYA and Giorgio VICINI</i> : <u>Market-Based Options for Security of Energy Supply</u>
IEM	118.2004	<i>David FISK</i> : <u>Transport Energy Security. The Unseen Risk?</u>

IEM	119.2004	<i>Giacomo LUCIANI</i> : <u>Security of Supply for Natural Gas Markets. What is it and What is it not?</u>
IEM	120.2004	<i>L.J. de VRIES and R.A. HAKVOORT</i> : <u>The Question of Generation Adequacy in Liberalised Electricity Markets</u>
KTHC	121.2004	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI</i> : <u>Asset Accumulation, Fertility Choice and Nondegenerate Dynamics in a Small Open Economy</u>
NRM	122.2004	<i>Carlo GIUPPONI, Jaroslaw MYSLAK and Anita FASSIO</i> : <u>An Integrated Assessment Framework for Water Resources Management: A DSS Tool and a Pilot Study Application</u>
NRM	123.2004	<i>Carlo GIUPPONI, Paolo ROSATO, Margaretha BREIL and Anita FASSIO</i> : <u>Evaluation of Urban Improvement on the Islands of the Venice Lagoon: A Spatially-Distributed Hedonic-Hierarchical Approach</u>
ETA	124.2004	<i>Paul MENSINK</i> : <u>Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement Under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric Information: The Differential Tax Revisited</u>

- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Mapping Diversity”, Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002
- (lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003
- (lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities”, The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- (lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities”, Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- (lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on “Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics”, organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics - ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei – FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003
- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities”, Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference “The Future of Climate Policy”, Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003
- (lxx) This paper was presented at the 9th Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004

2003 SERIES

CLIM	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>

2004 SERIES

CCMP	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KTHC	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRA	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>