

Nunes, Paulo A.L.D.; Onofri, Laura

Working Paper

The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior and Public Policy Implications

Nota di Lavoro, No. 113.2004

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Nunes, Paulo A.L.D.; Onofri, Laura (2004) : The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior and Public Policy Implications, Nota di Lavoro, No. 113.2004, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/117994>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

**The Economics of Warm Glow: A
Note on Consumer's Behavior and
Public Policy Implications**

Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Laura Onofri

NOTA DI LAVORO 113.2004

SEPTEMBER 2004

SIEV – Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

Paulo A.L.D. Nunes, *Department of Economics, University Ca' Foscari of Venice
and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei*

Laura Onofri, *Department of Economics, University of Bologna and
Department of Economics, University Ca' Foscari of Venice*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=593681>

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

The Economics of Warm Glow: a Note on Consumer's Behaviour and Public Policy Implications

Summary

The paper focuses on the ongoing debate on non-market valuation, including the valuation of environmental goods, about the opportunity to use contingent valuation for policy guidance. Contingent valuation critics argue that reported willingness to pay answers do not reflect real economic preferences and, for this reason, should not be used in cost-benefit analysis. This paper focuses on warm glow, which is defined as the feeling of moral satisfaction generated by contributing. The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, it sheds light on the individual warm glow motivational profile, exploring the empirical relationship between individual's socio-economic characteristics and warm glow. Second, it discusses some implications of the presence of warm glow for public policy.

Keywords: Economic value; contingent valuation; willingness to pay; latent factor; consumer motivations; ego driven warm glow; social oriented warm glow; public policy design.

JEL Classification: D11, D12, Q26

Address for correspondence:

Paulo A.L.D. Nunes
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei
Palazzo Querini Stampalia
Castello 5252
30122 Venice
Italy
Phone: + 39 041 271 1400
Fax: +39 041 271 1461
E-mail: pnunes@unive.it

1. Introduction

The paper focuses on the ongoing debate on non-market valuation, including the valuation environmental goods, about the opportunity to use contingent valuation (CV) studies for policy guidance. Within this debate, CV critics argue that reported willingness to pay (WTP) responses do not reflect real economic preferences and, for this reason, should not be used in cost-benefit analysis (see Diamond 1993 and Milgrom, 1993). This position can be interpreted as a “narrow interpretation” of the concept of consumer preferences.

Many economists disagree with this position. Since Kahneman and Knetsch (1992), the idea that CV respondents express only “narrow economic preferences” in their WTP responses has been questioned. According to these authors, individuals’ contributions to the public good are explained by two driving forces. The first is that individuals want the provision of the public good. The second is that contributing per se makes individuals feel good, i.e. the ‘purchase of moral satisfaction’ or warm glow effect (see Andreoni, 1988; 1989; 1990). More recently, Nunes and Schokkaert (2003) empirically tested, and found support for Andreoni’s impure altruism theoretical framework.

This paper starts from this stream of research and adds two original contributions: (1) it sheds light on the individual warm glow motivational profile, exploring the empirical relationship between individual’s socio-economic characteristics and the individual’s warm glow latent construct; (2) it discusses some implications of the presence of warm glow motivation for public policy. The latter point is very important because is related to the crucial theme about implementation of CV results. We discuss possible answers to several questions: When a typical warm glow profile is identified, and once we have disentangled the warm glow valuation component from the reported WTP responses, what valuation results should be used in cost-benefit exercises? The reported WTP values? Or alternatively, the dry WTP results, which are corrected for warm glow? Or, in a broader context, how should a policy maker behave? Should reported WTP values be respected, or not? And what are the respective implications in terms of public policy design? More in general, what is the role of ethics in environmental economics and valuation? The discussion of these questions will be useful for better understanding and targeting public policy interventions.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: section 2 presents an overview on Andreoni's theoretical model on impure altruism. Section 3 reports the methodology that underpins the identification of the latent warm glow motivation. Section 4 reports the empirical results and section 5 contains a discussion regarding the assessment of the profile of the warm glower. Discussion about public policy implications, when considering warm glowers in the provision of the public good is provided in section 6. Section 7 concludes.

2. Theoretical framework: Andreoni's impure altruism model

Andreoni (1989; 1990) developed a general model of private donations to provide public goods that includes impure altruistic considerations derived from giving. This model assumes that individuals contribute to the provision of a specific public good for two reasons: first, because they simply want more of it; second, because they derive some private benefits from giving to the good. The latter reason signals the presence of impure altruistic considerations and it is often interpreted in terms of warm glow or satisfaction derived from the act of giving *per se*. In this perspective, the contribution to the good enters an individual's utility function twice: once as a private good and once as a public good.

In this section, we present an overview of Andreoni's model to provide a theoretical framework for our work. Following Andreoni, individuals may have different preference mappings with respect to private provision of public goods and therefore a number of utility functions can be derived to take account of possible different underlying preferences. Suppose that U_i is the utility function of individual i ($i = 1, \dots, n$); x_i is the private goods consumption of individual i , G is the level of provision of (services from) the public good and g_i is the individual's donation to the provision of the public good. The sum of the individual donations equals the total supply of the public good:

$$(1) \quad G = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i$$

From these assumptions, we can distinguish three different types of utility functions, corresponding to three different possible underlying preferences and related psychological profiles:

$$(2) \quad U_i = U_i(x_i, G)$$

$$(3) \quad U_i = U_i(x_i, g_i)$$

$$(4) \quad U_i = U_i(x_i, G, g_i)$$

Equation (2) describes the utility function of a pure altruistic individual, where there are no warm glow benefits from contributing to the public good G . For such individual, the private donation g_i only enters the utility function as part of the level of public benefits G . Equation (3) describes the utility function of a pure egoistic individual, where private warm glow benefits are the only benefits received by the individual i . Such individual does not consider the total level of public good G , but only his personal contribution (and benefit) for the provision of G . Finally, equation (4) describes the utility function of an impure altruistic individual, where both the level of G and the amount of g_i become explicit arguments in individual i 's utility function. This latter welfare transmission mechanism can be described and explained in terms of different elements such social pressure, feelings of sympathy and moral satisfaction, or the simply the desire for a warm glow. The present paper focuses on this impure altruistic model formulation. The purpose of our research is twofold. First, we aim at "looking into warm glow", in order to identify the elements affecting such psychological attitude towards private contributing for a public good. Second, we want to get some empirical information about the profile of people having a utility function as described by Equation (4).

3. Identifying the warm glow contributor profile

In this section we provide an explanatory analysis of the individual warm glow motivational profile. In order to do so, we regress warm glow motivation against a series of variables containing information about the respondents' characteristics. In formal terms we propose to estimate the following equation,

$$(5) \quad \hat{f}_{wg, i} = a_0 + \sum_k a_k x_{ki} + u_{wg, i} \text{ for all } i\text{-respondents}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{wg}$ is the vector with all the individual observations for the level of warm glow motivation, \mathbf{x}_k is a vector with the observations of all individuals for the socio-economic characteristic k , $u_{wg, i}$ is a vector with disturbance terms and a 's are coefficients to be estimated.

Two steps, however, are preliminary to perform such econometric estimation exercise. Warm glow is not an observable variable and must be "built" in a two-step operational procedure: First, elicit reported WTP responses from the CV study¹, and distinguish the main components of respondent's willingness-to-pay. In particular, respondents' willingness-to pay for the Alentejo Natural Park mainly depends on the possibility to see the wilderness area protected from any human exploitation, the opportunity to exercise recreational activities, and warm glow², i.e.,

$$(6) \quad WTP = WTP f(WA, RA, WG)$$

The second step refers to identify and disentangle warm glow from the *WTP* regression line. In this case, the task is obtaining quantitative data regarding individual warm glow motivation, which give information with respect to the individual preferences related to the psychological motivation of warm glow. Therefore we make the use of a motivational scale that relates respondent's answers to the different attitudinal items, as described in the survey instrument, to an underlying latent motivational structure³. In practical terms, individual's motivational factor scores are computed on the basis of his answers using a five point semantic differential scale "I completely agree", "I agree", "Sometimes I agree, sometimes I disagree", "I disagree" and "I completely disagree"

¹ In the study, reported WTP values are obtained from a nationwide CV to assess the economic value of protecting Alentejo Natural Park from tourism development (see Nunes 2002a). In short, the Alentejo Natural Park, and its environmental benefits, were described in terms of the Wilderness Area (WA) and the Recreational Area (RA). The first refers to the geographical area of Park that is allocated to the protection of the local wildlife diversity. Wilderness Area is not open to visitors. The Recreational Area is open to visitors who can enjoy a set of recreational activities in a natural environment.

² For a detailed description of the operationalization of step 1, see Nunes and Schokkaert (2003).

upon a set of different attitudinal items (see appendix for a full description items). From a formal point of view, equation (7) describes the fundamental regression of the econometric latent model,

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{av} = \Lambda \mathbf{f} + \Xi$$

where \mathbf{av} captures the matrix giving the answers of the sample respondents on the attitudinal items as presented in the instrument survey; \mathbf{f} captures the matrix of factor scores giving the position of the sample respondents on the three retained motivations; Λ captures the matrix of factor loadings showing the correlations between the answers on the 26 items and the respondents' factor scores and Ξ captures the matrix of the residual terms. Since \mathbf{f} is not observed, we must estimate them as functions of the observed av 's. The most popular approach to estimating the factors is based on regression. Taking into account the computed factor loadings for warm glow and the respondent's answers to the attitudinal questions, we can estimate warm glow motivation scores using a least squares technique,

$$(8) \quad \hat{\mathbf{f}} = (\hat{\Lambda}' \hat{\Lambda}^*)^{-1} \hat{\Lambda}' \mathbf{av}$$

where $\hat{\Lambda}^* = \hat{\Lambda} \mathbf{T}$ (\mathbf{T} is orthogonal) and $\hat{\Lambda}$ is the matrix of factor loadings showing the correlations between the answers on the attitudinal questions and the position of the respondents on the warm glow motivation (see Table 1).

4. Estimation results

Individual respondent's variables are derived from the surveys and refer to (1) personal features, (2) recreational-consumption characteristics, (3) ideological profile, (4) charitable behavior, (5) material wealth indicators, and (6) sociological profile.⁴ Table 2

³ For a detailed description of the operationalization of step 2, see Nunes (2002b)

⁴ The respondents were asked to provide information about (1) gender, age, marital status; (2) whether they practice surfing, biking, trekking, and camping activities; (3) their political orientation, religious direction,

reports the estimation results. First, we can observe that this model estimation only a small part of the variance of the realized warm glow motivation factor can be explained by these characteristics - the respective R^2 is less 20 percent. From an impure altruistic literature perspective, such a low R^2 is good news. Such an apparent paradoxical result indicates that this motivational factor score, when contrasted to the individual socio-economic characteristics, contain additional empirical information for the characterization of the individual consumer profile. In contrast, a high R^2 would say that most of the individual characteristics influence the individual motivation factor, thus indicating the individual motivation factor *per se* would convey redundant information.

In other words, warm glow factor scores are meant to reflect psychological dispositions, containing additional information that is not fully captured by other socio-economic characteristics as well as indicators. On the other hand, from a strict econometric perspective such a low R^2 signals a weak empirical. For these reasons, we interpret the estimation results carefully as resulting from the first attempt to explore the empirical analysis of the individual warm glow profile and its steering components.

Second, and perhaps unsurprisingly, estimated coefficients of material wealth indicator variables (income level, living in a luxurious apartment etc.) are not to be statistically significant. We might interpret this set of results with the idea that actual contributory/financial capability does not represent the driving force affecting contribution will and warm glow feelings. Other personal features reveal, however, to have a robust econometric role in explaining the individual warm glow profile.

In particular: (a) Personal features variables – being a male has a negative impact on the warm glow motivation. In other words, the feeling of satisfaction provided by the act of giving reveals itself to be stronger among women. In particular, this effect is stronger among young women, given that age has an effect on warm glow too; (b) Recreational-consumption features variables – higher warm glow factor scores occur among the respondents, who present a stronger propensity for recreation, in general, and undertake recreational activities in the Alentejo Natural Park, such as surfers, bikers, and trekkers,

and their political position regarding different public policy issues; (4) whether they practice donation activities, give blood or are committed in other charitable activities; (5) income level and housing conditions; and (6) education level, job type, and location of their dwelling.

in particular; (c) Ideological variables – this set of variables provides for interesting results. Respondents caring for the implementation of ‘Medical Assistance-Social Security’ present a stronger warm glow profile. On the contrary, individuals who state a high concern regarding the ‘Quality of the public education system’ reveal weaker warm glow effect. Both results are statistically significant. Catholics (mainly located in the North area of Portugal) have negative warm glow. Finally, left wing taxpayers, mainly including voters of the Portuguese Communist Party, are associated to lower warm glow profile; (d) Charitable behavior variables – Respondents who report having participated in donation and charity schemes are more likely to have higher warm glow factor scores; (e) Sociological variables – The inhabitants of rural areas present stronger warm glow motivation. This means that the satisfactory feeling derived by the act of contributing to the provision of an environmental good is stronger among those populations leaving in Portugal rural areas. Alentejo local inhabitants present a positive warm glow motivational profile. The nature of the job, held by the respondent, also affects warm glow motivational profile: the feeling of satisfaction provided by the act of giving is weaker among professionals, including lawyers, architects and other liberal professions. Finally, the education level has a negative impact on “warm glow”: individuals holding graduate degrees derive less satisfaction from the act itself of contribution for the public good.

Warm glow is a feeling; it has a strong psychological dimension. This characteristic is captured by our estimation results: among all considered variables, mainly psychological and ideological variables matter in the regression line (they are statistically significant), when determining warm glow. The warm glow profile that emerges from the analysis of Table 2 is rather blurred and difficult to bring back to a unified figure. Hence, some “scientific warnings” are due. We analyze data and results that are derived from a study conducted in Portugal, with Portuguese taxpayers. The public good at issue is a national park; the payment scheme is a voluntary contribution. It is important to put forward all these factors since we are aware the institutional setting plays an important role as platform that that underpins the current valuation exercise. Therefore, caution is needed in the interpretation since one could expect that valuation might occur if we had in consideration another range of sociological characteristics, juridical frameworks, and

social norms. Furthermore, the nature of the public good itself (whether a hospital or a bridge or a public park), moreover, might generate different reactions in the respondents, depending on the (personal or social) importance they attribute to the good at issue. Having said this, we now proceed with the identification and analysis of possible types of warm-glow.

5. Interpretation of the estimation results: analysis of the warm glow profile

In this particular setting, and according to our empirical estimates, we can sketch two different types of warm-glow. This distinction comes from an economic interpretation of the empirical results and both classes might overlap. The feeling of satisfaction provided by the act of contributing for a public good is more evident in those respondents who: (1) derive moral satisfaction because they want to obtain a personal advantage or a personal sense of pride from their contribution. We call this category as '*ego driven*' warm-glow; and/or (2) derive moral satisfaction because contributing for the provision of the public good makes them experience a tighter sense or sentiment of social-cohesion. We call this category of '*social-oriented*' warm-glow.

'Ego driven' warm-glow

In the first situation, the warm glow profile is mainly sketched by looking at the estimation results related to individual ideological variables. Respondents who express strong care for social programs related to medical assistance and social security evidence a personal interest and personal advantage in contributing for the public good and have, therefore, positive warm glow factor scores. This result might be explained by the fact that the social programs under consideration have direct impacts in the personal sphere of the respondents. The efficient working of the medical system, for instance, is a fact that affects society in general and the respondent in particular. When stating he cares for the promotion of such a social program, the respondent is caring and protecting his own interest as a user of the public medical assistance scheme. This is the essence of impure altruism *à la* Andreoni.

If we extend this reasoning to the provision of the public good under consideration, we can infer that the *'ego driven'* warm glow drives the individual to contribute for the protection of the Natural Park in order to satisfy a personal his own interest from the act of giving. In the same direction, they care for social program because they want to derive a personal advantage from the effective working of those policies. The sense of satisfaction derived by the act of giving is, in this case, animated by the desire to get advantages from the good itself. In short, if the public medical assistance system works, it will also work for the *'ego driven'* warm glow; if the public good is provided, it will also be provided for the *'ego driven'* warm glow. Therefore, he is willing to pay for it.

Finally, individuals committed to charitable operations also present an *'ego driven'* type of warm glow. In this case, the satisfaction provided by the act of giving is inspired by the sense of pride, borne by feeling useful to society. Furthermore, respondents who are committed to donation and charity activities might be driven by the desire to feel *'good'* towards others, including people in need. This feeling of “personal goodness” can be extended to the case at issue, in order to interpret the econometric results and define the warm glow profile. We might recognise a different source of warm glow: respondents are willing to pay for the public good, simply because the provision makes them feel “good”, like when acting in charitable activities.

'Social-oriented' warm-glow

In the second case, warm glow features are, somehow, related to social exclusion elements. In particular, the warm glow belongs to more marginalized categories (caveat: in the Portuguese society as a reflection of a standard Western capitalist democracy). Residents of rural areas, unskilled workers, people holding a low level of education. The feeling of satisfaction generated by the act of contributing for a public good makes is strongly present in such categories. We might explain this as an attempt to feel more active in social activities and in the society as a whole. If we consider high profile jobs or high level of education, for instance, we notice that the warm glow effect has a negative impact on the respondent choice whether to contribute for the public good. These results are statistically significant and can strengthen our thesis that socially

marginalized respondents desire to feel a sense of satisfaction from the contribution, because this might spur them to feel better integrated in the community. Table 3 summarizes our analysis.

According to us, the overlapping effect is strong when considering Alentejo local inhabitants. Respondents, leaving in the Alentejo region (locals) are both '*social oriented*' and '*ego driven*' warm glowers. Local inhabitants, in fact, might derive moral satisfaction because they will feel directly related and responsible for the provision of the public good and, in this way, experience a tighter sense or sentiment of social-cohesion together. At the same time, the feeling of satisfaction derived from the act of giving, can be inspired (and explained) by the fact that the act of contributing for the public good might have a positive impact on the local reputation of the respondent, in terms of personal prestige. This empirical result is well founded and explained by the theoretical literature in signalling (see Laffont and Tirole, 1993). According to such literature, the stated WTP answers for a public good can signal different classes and typologies of contributors. In our, survey, however, we did not specify that, if the respondents were willing to pay for the public good, their name would have been published or made public. In our opinion, such choice renders the result even stronger, when interpreting "local" warm glowers. The personal feeling of satisfaction derived by the act of paying for the public good can be interpreted as driven by the desire to increase the respondent personal prestige in the local community. Even if their name is not rendered public, respondents can communicate their participation in the provision in the local environment in other ways, or feel that the local community acknowledges their contribution.

6. Policy Implications

Our exercise, aiming at the identification of a "warm glower", does not want to be a kind of technical "*art pour l'art*" operation. On the contrary, it offers us the ground for a discussing a very practical implementation problem. In fact, once the warm glow effect is targeted and the warm-glower is identified, what should a policy maker do? In our opinion, such analysis might be useful for critically tackling CV results in a public policy and implementation perspective. By comparing reported WTP estimates and WTP estimates corrected for warm glow effect, i.e. dry WTP, two scenarios are open: (a) the

reported WTP and the dry WTP are larger than the costs of its provision. The CV answers reflect real economic preferences and should, therefore, be used in cost-benefit analysis. In this case, cost-benefit analysis suggests providing the good; (b) the reported WTP is larger than costs. Once corrected for “warm-glow”, however, the dry WTP is smaller than costs of the provision. Therefore, providing the public good creates a situation where costs are higher than benefits.

How should a policy-maker behave in the latter situation? Should she provide the public good because most of respondents derive a sense of satisfaction in contributing for it and are, maybe, not interested in having the good itself? This is a rather complicated public policy question, whose answer is related to a better understanding of the black box of preferences and to the nature of the policy maker. In order to discuss the answer to these questions, we propose to reason along the following guidelines: (1) the policy maker does not take into account the warm glow effect and does not provide the public good; and, (2) the policy maker takes into account the “warm glow” effect and provides the public good.

In the first case, a policy maker that is inspired by efficiency principles, which will not pay for the public good, since the public benefits do not cover the public costs of the provision. If costs effectiveness principles inspire the decision maker policy, the “warm glow” effect, will have a final, preponderant weight on the decision whether providing the public good. In particular, such motivational factor, when disentangled from the dry WTP, will have a negative impact on the provision of the public good. In this situation, one might infer that the decision-maker does not respect consumer’s preferences (who have expressed a positive willingness to pay for the contribution of a public good). However, the “warm glow” motivational element can be interpreted by an efficiency-oriented decision-maker as an irrelevant valuation effect, not directing expressing the real WTP (only dry WTP matters). If reported WTP consists of two different components: one relating to the value attributed by the respondent to the public good (and to the strict economic preference), the second relating to the psychological feeling of “warm glow”, a decision-maker oriented by efficiency criteria, will strictly focus on the stated economic preference and never let the costs of the provision overcome the benefits.

Suppose now that the policy-maker decides to take into account the “warm-glow” valuation mechanism and provides the good. In this case, the identification of the “warm-glower” might create a support in pursuing and targeting public policies, in addition to the provision itself of the public good. By considering the individual consumer’s well being related to the individual personal participation in the provision of the public good, the decision-maker can (voluntary or involuntary) increase the overall welfare. The decision-maker, for instance, might be willing to provide the public good because, by taking into account “warm-glower of the social oriented type”, she can promote social cohesion, support minorities or integration of more emarginated social groups of population. In the study at issue, for instance, the feeling of satisfaction provided by the act of giving is stronger among low educational categories. By contributing to the public good, the warm glowers might feel more socially integrated. Alternatively, in the scenario where the “warm glow” valuation mechanism is mainly due to “ego-driven” profiles, we can interpret the decision about public good provision as signalling the presence and respect of an “invisible hand”. Every “warm glowers”, by pursuing his/her own personal interest can contribute to achieving Pareto optimal outcomes. Suppose, for instance, that people engaged in charitable activities are mostly driven by the desire to fulfil their own (impurely altruistic) desire to feel good, when helping needy categories of people. By pursuing an egoistic interest, these people, somehow, improve society. If we reason in Pareto principle terms, we might say that the needy person is better off after being helped (for instance because she receives food or clothes that could not afford) and the donor or charity giver is better off because she feels a good person. Let us extend this reasoning to ‘*ego driven*’ warm glowers. Suppose that the respondents are willing to pay for the public good because this strengthens their social reputation. Once again, society might be better off with the provided public good and the ‘*ego driven*’ warm glowers are better off because they fulfil their personal goal.

7. Concluding remarks

We would like to conclude our study, by focusing on some final remarks. First, we are aware that our exercise is, somehow, limited and cannot assume a certain “universal truth” dignity. The survey was implemented in Portugal and was designed for Portuguese

citizens. As already remarked, every national reality differs for socio-economic, institutional, cultural, juridical setting. At the same time, other elements, like the nature and type of the public good to be provided, the payment scheme (voluntary contribution or compulsory tax), the signaling incentives defined in the survey, all matter in influencing the CV respondents. Surely, if the same exercise were performed in Holland or in the United States, we may expect different results, and maybe, a different warm glow profile. Nevertheless, our study wants to represent a starting point for further CV exercises and for the definition and targeting of public policies. We want to stimulate a debate about the understanding of the “black box of preferences”. Our study stresses that psychological motivation, driven by impure altruistic forces, finds room in the utility function definition and is strictly related to particular socio-economic profiles (the warm glow). Moreover, the identification of the proper public policy represents another important issue: if the estimated demand function for the public good disregards one of the underlying driving forces – such as the warm glow valuation component – the demand function is misspecified, and the respective value predictions not correct. Moreover, we highlighted how the identification of a warm glow and its consideration when designing a public policy, might be useful in pursuing public objectives, others than the simple provision of the public good.

In addition, nonetheless the above scientific “warnings”, in our opinion, when discussing whether to use (or not) reported WTP estimates in policy making and cost–benefit exercises, the survey responses do reflect true WTP responses – the empirical results confirm the validity of the proposed impure public good model formulation – and, in this way, the original WTP responses constituted correct information to be used in the estimation of the demand for the public good. Therefore, warm glow is a legitimate valuation component and the original WTP estimates can (and should) be used in cost–benefit analysis. In our opinion, there are several reasons to believe that the use of the *dry* WTP, i.e. correcting WTP estimates from warm glow, in cost–benefit analysis does not constitute a compelling argument.

First, the statement that (impure) altruism motives are incompatible with economic theory is hardly consistent with the notion of consumer sovereignty, a cornerstone in modern theory of social choice. It violates a long-standing tradition that one takes

people's preferences as one finds them. Modern theory of social choice has always emphasized that it was immaterial whether individual's preferences reflected selfish interest or moral judgement. According to Arrow 'the individual may order all social states by whatever standards he deems relevant'. In a similar vein, we can find the work of Becker, who always defended the premise that 'individuals maximize welfare as they conceive it, whether they be selfish, altruistic, loyal, spiteful, or masochistic'.

Second, since the empirical evidence confirmed Andreoni's impure altruism model formulation, then we could interpret the demand for the public good as characterized by two driving forces; (a) the individual consumer's well-being derived from the provision of the public good; and (b) the individual consumer's well-being related to the individual personal participation in the provision of the public good. Therefore, the correction WTP estimates from warm glow would be associated with a valuation bias when assessing the demand for the public good and thus the use of such a WTP measure in policy decisions or cost-benefit analysis would be associated with a misleading allocation of resources.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the participants to the Annual Meeting of the European Environmental and Resource Economists Association, held in Bilbao, June 2003 and the participants to the Annual Meeting of the European Economists Association, held in Stockholm, August 2003 for their helpful comments. We also acknowledge the critical feedback provided by Prof Carlo Carraro and an anonymous referee on preliminary version of the current manuscript.

References

- Andreoni, J. (1988) "Privately Provided Goods in a Large Economy: the Limits of Altruism", *Journal of Public Economics*, **35**, pages 57-73.
- Andreoni, J. (1989) "Giving with Impure Altruism: Applications to Charity and Ricardian Equivalence", *Journal of Political Economy*, **97(3)**, pages 1447-1458.
- Andreoni, J. (1990) "Impure Altruism and Donations to Public Goods", *The Economical Journal*, **100**, pages 464-477.

- Diamond, P., Hausman, J., Leonard, G. and M. Denning (1993) “Does contingent valuation measure preferences? Experimental evidence, in Hausman, J. (ed.), “Contingent valuation: a critical assessment”, New York: North-Holland.
- Kahneman, D. and J. L. Knetsch (1992) “Contingent Valuation and the Value of Public Goods: Reply”, *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **22**, pages 90-94.
- Laffont, J. and J. Tirole (1993) “A Theory on Incentives and Procurement”, MIT Press.
- Milgrom, P. (1993) “Is sympathy an economic value? Philosophy, economics and the contingent valuation method”, in Hausman, J. (ed.), “Contingent valuation: a critical assessment”, New York: North-Holland.
- Nunes, P.A.L.D. (2002a) “Measuring the Economic Benefits from Protecting the Parque Natural do Sudoeste Alentejano e Costa Vicentina of Commercial Tourism Development: Results from a Contingent Valuation Survey”, *Portuguese Economics Journal*, **1**, pages 71-87.
- Nunes, P.A.L.D. (2002b) “Using Factor Analysis to Identify Consumer Preferences for the Protection of a Natural Area in Portugal”, *European Journal of Operational Research*, **140**, pages 499-516;
- Nunes, P.A.L.D. and Schokkaert E. (2003) “Identifying the Warm Glow Effect in Contingent Valuation”, *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **45**, pages 231-245.

Appendix: The complete list of attitudinal items as stated in the original CV survey

1. *My family and I would have great pleasure in knowing that the SIC, RTP and TVI together have agreed in introducing in their TV schedule more documentary films about wildlife and its natural habitats. ('use')*
2. *My family and I think that the preservation of the Alentejo coast line is important because this is a place which all of us can visit and where we can see very beautiful natural landscapes. ('use')*
3. *My family and I like to see the Portuguese government giving more support to the national organizations that are promoting work in the field of environment conservation. ('warmglow')*
4. *My family and I think that the preservation of the Parks is important because these are privileged places where everybody may enjoy a walk or a picnic in a relaxed environment. ('use')*
5. *My family and I take great satisfaction in knowing that it is today guaranteed that our children, and future generations, will continue to have the possibility of observing wildlife in its natural habitat. ('use')*
6. *Despite the fact that my family and I may never see an otter in its natural habitat, we would be very worried if the total population of otters in Portugal became extinct. ('existence')*
7. *My family and I like to spend the weekends at home or going to the movies rather than going out for a walk in the countryside or by the beach. ('use')*
8. *Our family admires the individuals who, on voluntary basis, participate in collecting donations for national programs for social aid and solidarity. ('warmglow')*
9. *My family and I take great pleasure in knowing that we are still able to visit villages in Alentejo which keep their true identity and their typical houses, facades and streets. ('use')*
10. *Despite the fact that my family and I may never see an Iberian lynx in its natural habitat, we are very happy to know that we have the guarantee that the lynx is kept safe from extinction in Portugal. ('existence')*
11. *My family and I think that the preservation of the natural areas is important since they are privileged sites for recreational activities like sightseeing or biking in a natural environment. ('use')*
12. *There are some funding campaigns to which my family and I feel very close and therefore we do not hesitate to contribute a donation. ('warmglow')*
13. *Despite the fact that my family and I may never visit a Natural Park, we are very happy to see these natural areas protected so that other Portuguese citizens may also have the possibility to observe wildlife in its natural habitat. ('use')*
14. *My family and I think that the preservation of the Alentejo coast line is important because this is a privileged place where all of us may enjoy going to the beach in a relaxed environment and being in contact with nature. ('use')*
15. *It is difficult for me to decline my help to other individuals who, either in the streets or at my door, beg for charity. ('warmglow')*
16. *Whenever I am approached by identified personnel, it is not difficult for me to refuse to make a financial contribution to a national fund raising campaign. ('warmglow')*
17. *The protection of the forests is very important because for Portugal they are a very important source of wealth. ('use')*
18. *With the increasing use of the media in our elementary schools as well as an increasing number of school visits to the Zoo, it will no longer be important to take the children on educational trips to the Natural Areas. ('use')*
19. *Sometimes our help in national fund raising campaigns is explained because we come under observation and feel "socially-pressed" to contribute, and therefore we do not decline to make a contribution. ('warmglow')*
20. *I am happy with myself whenever I give a financial contribution to national fund raising campaigns. ('warmglow')*
21. *With the Portuguese participation in the EU, the preservation of our national diversity is no longer so important since we are constructing a common and shared European culture. ('existence')*
22. *Despite the fact that my family and I may never observe an eagle in nature, we take great pleasure in knowing that the eagles are kept safe from extinction. ('existence')*
23. *My family and I like to contribute to good causes such as the protection of the environment, and whenever we can afford it, we do not decline our help to such fund raising campaigns. ('warmglow')*
24. *Giving blood is giving life. ('warmglow')*
25. *During the holidays, my family and I prefer to stay home or to go to the beach rather than travelling around Portugal visiting our traditional villages. ('use')*
26. *My family and I think that the preservation of the Alentejo coast line is important because in this way we are protecting a typical lifestyle of the local inhabitants, which belongs to our national identity. ('use')*

Source: Nunes and Schokkaert (2003)

Table 1: Warm glow factor loadings after varimax rotation

Estimate	Survey motivational question
0.56	Our family admires the individuals who, on voluntary basis, participate in collecting donations for national programs for social aid and solidarity.
0.60	There are some funding campaigns to which my family and I feel very close and therefore we do not hesitate to contribute with a donation.
0.47	It is difficult for me to decline my help to other individuals who, either in the streets or at my door, beg for charity.
0.57	I am happy with myself whenever I give a financial contribution to national fund raising campaigns.
0.58	My family and I like to contribute to good causes such as the protection of the environment, and whenever we can afford it, we do not decline our help to such fund raising campaigns.

Source: Nunes (2002b)

Table 2: Warm glow estimation results

Variables	Parameter estimate	Standard error	<i>p</i> value
Intercept	-0.2746	0.142	0.054**
<i>Personal features</i>			
Gender = male	-0.2114	0.050	0.001*
Age	-0.1244	0.066	0.059**
<i>Recreational consumption features</i>			
Recreationists	0.1317	0.030	0.001*
<i>Ideological features</i>			
Catholics	-0.0646	0.023	0.005*
Medical assistance and social security	0.0669	0.038	0.084**
Taxpayers with a left wing orientation	-0.0714	0.053	0.043*
Quality of public education system	-0.0385	0.023	0.013*
<i>Charitable behavior</i>			
Financial donation (via organizations)	0.3172	0.067	0.001*
Charity (on the streets)	0.5293	0.070	0.001*
<i>Sociological features</i>			
Household dimension	-0.0233	0.021	0.183
Rural areas	0.1684	0.102	0.101**
Unskilled workers	0.1497	0.140	0.136
Professional workers	-0.2153	0.096	0.025*
Education level	-0.5903	0.019	0.002*
Local inhabitants	0.3205	0.101	0.001*
<i>R</i> ²	0.183		

Note: The estimated results are computed in SAS[®]. The model was estimated by the use of the STEPWISE procedure in SAS[®]. Variables are included in the equation if the probability associated with the F test for the hypothesis that the coefficient of the entered variable is statistically significant at 15%. Other model specifications are available upon request.

** Significant at 5% (10%)

Table 3: The profile of warm glow and respective inspiration factors

“Ego Driven” Warm Glow	“Socially Oriented” Warm Glow
Inspiration factors	Inspiration factors
Derive a personal advantage or satisfy a personal interest. Derive a feeling of usefulness or goodness towards society and himself. Strengthen personal reputation in the local community.	Feel more socially integrated; feel more cohesion with society as a whole, feel less social marginalized.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2003

PRIV	1.2003	<i>Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO</i> : <u>Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues</u>
PRIV	2.2003	<i>Ibolya SCHINDELE</i> : <u>Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review</u>
PRIV	3.2003	<i>Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity Market</u>
CLIM	4.2003	<i>Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM</i> : <u>Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of Government Commitment</u>
KNOW	5.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition</u>
ETA	6.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO</i> : <u>Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model</u>
SIEV	7.2003	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI</i> : <u>The Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment: Evidence from Surveys of Developers</u>
NRM	8.2003	<i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyner GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u>
CLIM	9.2003	<i>A. CAPARRÓS, J.-C. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game with Asymmetric Information</u>
KNOW	10.2003	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI</i> : <u>School Quality and Family Background in Italy</u>
CLIM	11.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI</i> : <u>Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of Climate Change Policy Analysis</u>
KNOW	12.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.)</i> : <u>Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art</u>
KNOW	13.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix)</i> : <u>Bio-Ecological Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures</u>
KNOW	14.2003	<i>Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix)</i> : <u>Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and Future Trajectories</u>
KNOW	15.2003	<i>Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix)</i> : <u>Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life</u>
KNOW	16.2003	<i>Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix)</i> : <u>Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia</u>
KNOW	17.2003	<i>Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix)</i> : <u>A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community</u>
KNOW	18.2003	<i>Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix)</i> : <u>Managing Diversity in a Globalizing World</u>
Coalition		
Theory	19.2003	<i>Sergio CURRARINI</i> : <u>On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities</u>
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	<i>Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx)</i> : <u>Monopoly with Resale</u>
PRIV	21.2003	<i>Claudio MEZZETTI (lx)</i> : <u>Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition</u>
PRIV	22.2003	<i>Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx)</i> : <u>Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions</u>
PRIV	23.2003	<i>David ETTINGER (lx)</i> : <u>Bidding among Friends and Enemies</u>
PRIV	24.2003	<i>Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx)</i> : <u>Auction Design without Commitment</u>
PRIV	25.2003	<i>Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx)</i> : <u>Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions</u>
PRIV	26.2003	<i>Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx)</i> : <u>Rationing in IPOs</u>
PRIV	27.2003	<i>Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAIEV (lx)</i> : <u>Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes</u>
PRIV	28.2003	<i>Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx)</i> : <u>Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts</u>
PRIV	29.2003	<i>TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx)</i> : <u>Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment</u>
PRIV	30.2003	<i>Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx)</i> : <u>Auctions with Financial Externalities</u>
ETA	31.2003	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games</u>
KNOW	32.2003	<i>Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty</u>
PRIV	33.2003	<i>Philippe QUIRION</i> : <u>Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?</u>
KNOW	34.2003	<i>Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL</i> : <u>On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis</u>
ETA	35.2003	<i>Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA</i> : <u>Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation</u>

GG	36.2003	<i>Matthieu GLACHANT</i> : <u>Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats</u>
PRIV	37.2003	<i>Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI</i> : <u>Postprivatization Corporate Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection</u>
CLIM	38.2003	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers</u>
KNOW	39.2003	<i>Slim BEN YOUSSEF</i> : <u>Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade</u>
CTN	40.2003	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI</i> : <u>Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations</u>
KNOW	41.2003	<i>Sonia OREFFICE</i> : <u>Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply</u>
KNOW	42.2003	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON</i> : <u>On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing Biotechnologies</u>
ETA	43.2003	<i>Giorgio Busetti and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US</u>
CLIM	44.2003	<i>Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES</i> : <u>The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its Effectiveness</u>
PRIV	45.2003	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization</u>
SIEV	46.2003	<i>Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH</i> : <u>Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste Disposal Methods</u>
ETA	47.2003	<i>Jens HORBACH</i> : <u>Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical Results for Germany</u>
CLIM	48.2003	<i>Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS</i> : <u>The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing</u>
CLIM	49.2003	<i>Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER</i> : <u>Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits</u>
CTN	50.2003	<i>László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS</i> (Ixi): <u>The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension</u>
CTN	51.2003	<i>Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (Ixi): <u>Allocation Rules for Network Games</u>
CTN	52.2003	<i>Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH</i> (Ixi): <u>Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation</u>
CTN	53.2003	<i>Fernando VEGA-REDONDO</i> (Ixi): <u>Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach</u>
CTN	54.2003	<i>Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF</i> (Ixi): <u>On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation</u>
CTN	55.2003	<i>Taiji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI</i> (Ixi): <u>Free Trade Networks</u>
CTN	56.2003	<i>Halis Murat YILDIZ</i> (Ixi): <u>National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization</u>
CTN	57.2003	<i>Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH</i> (Ixi): <u>An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International Environmental Agreements</u>
KNOW	58.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research</u>
KNOW	59.2003	<i>Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>Special Interests and Technological Change</u>
ETA	60.2003	<i>Ronnie SCHÖB</i> : <u>The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey</u>
CLIM	61.2003	<i>Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK</i> : <u>Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game</u>
GG	62.2003	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u>
SIEV	63.2003	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI</i> : <u>Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy</u>
CLIM	64.2003	<i>Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS</i> : <u>Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures</u>
SIEV	65.2003	<i>Edi DEFRANCESCO</i> : <u>The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy</u>
SIEV	66.2003	<i>Klaus CONRAD</i> : <u>Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment</u>
SIEV	67.2003	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ</i> : <u>Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise</u>
CLIM	68.2003	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG</i> : <u>Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target</u>
KNOW	69.2003	<i>David FRANTZ</i> (Iix): <u>Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation</u>
KNOW	70.2003	<i>Ercole SORI</i> (Iix): <u>Mapping Diversity in Social History</u>
KNOW	71.2003	<i>Ljiljana DERU SIMIC</i> (Ixi): <u>What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?</u>
KNOW	72.2003	<i>Natalya V. TARANOVA</i> (Ixi): <u>The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case</u>
KNOW	73.2003	<i>Kristine CRANE</i> (Ixi): <u>The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of Globalisation and Migration</u>
KNOW	74.2003	<i>Kazuma MATOBA</i> (Ixi): <u>Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication</u>
KNOW	75.2003	<i>Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA</i> (Ixi): <u>Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese in Portugal</u>
KNOW	76.2003	<i>Sandra WALLMAN</i> (Ixi): <u>The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems</u>
KNOW	77.2003	<i>Richard PEARCE</i> (Ixi): <u>A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities</u>
KNOW	78.2003	<i>Vincent MERK</i> (Ixi): <u>Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the Dilemmas</u>
KNOW	79.2003	<i>Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>Child Labor and Resistance to Change</u>
ETA	80.2003	<i>Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA</i> : <u>Investment Size and Firm's Value under Profit Sharing Regulation</u>

IEM	81.2003	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Oil and Product Dynamics in International Petroleum Markets</u></i>
CLIM	82.2003	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: <u>Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against Environmental Regulation</u></i>
CLIM	83.2003	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA: <u>Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?</u></i>
CLIM	84.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: <u>Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes</u></i>
NRM	85.2003	<i>Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How Fast are the Tourism Countries Growing? The cross-country evidence</u></i>
KNOW	86.2003	<i>Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: <u>The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks for the Mezzogiorno</u></i>
SIEV	87.2003	<i>Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: <u>Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources. How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments</u></i>
CLIM	88.2003	<i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of Global Warming</u></i>
CLIM	89.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Economic Development and Environmental Protection</u></i>
CLIM	90.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?</u></i>
CLIM	91.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: <u>Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries</u></i>
IEM	92.2003	<i>A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: <u>The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy Policy: The Case of Russia</u></i>
ETA	93.2003	<i>Andrea BELTRATTI: <u>Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium</u></i>
CTN	94.2003	<i>Parkash CHANDER: <u>The γ-Core and Coalition Formation</u></i>
IEM	95.2003	<i>Matteo MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: <u>Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption Using Principal Components</u></i>
IEM	96.2003	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA, Margherita GRASSO and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Long-run Models of Oil Stock Prices</u></i>
CTN	97.2003	<i>Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES, and D. Marc KILGOUR: <u>Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process Matters</u></i>
KNOW	98.2003	<i>John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (Ixxiii): <u>Anti-Racist Policies in France. From Ideological and Historical Schemes to Socio-Political Realities</u></i>
KNOW	99.2003	<i>Richard THOMPSON FORD (Ixxiii): <u>Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue</u></i>
KNOW	100.2003	<i>Alaknanda PATEL (Ixxiii): <u>Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities</u></i>
KNOW	101.2003	<i>David MAY (Ixxiii): <u>The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood</u></i>
KNOW	102.2003	<i>Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (Ixxiii) : <u>Municipal Reform on the Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City</u></i>
CLIM	103.2003	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime</u></i>
CLIM	104.2003	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in International Climate Agreements</u></i>
CLIM	105.2003	<i>Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: <u>Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy</u></i>
NRM	106.2003	<i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests</u></i>
NRM	107.2003	<i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries</u></i>
SIEV	108.2003	<i>Sara ANIYAR (Ixiv): <u>Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example</u></i>
SIEV	109.2003	<i>Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER(Ixiv): <u>Evaluating Projects and Assessing Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies</u></i>
NRM	110.2003	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA(Ixiv): <u>Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries</u></i>
NRM	111.2003	<i>Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (Ixiv): <u>Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands</u></i>
ETA	112.2003	<i>Jack GOODY (Ixiv): <u>Globalisation, Population and Ecology</u></i>
CTN	113.2003	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: <u>Endogenous Minimum Participation in International Environmental Treaties</u></i>
CTN	114.2003	<i>Guillaume HAERINGER and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Decentralized Job Matching</u></i>
CTN	115.2003	<i>Hideo KONISHI and M. Utku UNVER: <u>Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems</u></i>
CTN	116.2003	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem</u></i>
CTN	117.2003	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem</u></i>
CTN	118.2003	<i>Marita LAUKKANEN: <u>Transboundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty</u></i>
CTN	119.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary Games with Incomplete Information: Some First Results</u></i>
CTN	120.2003	<i>Gianluigi VERNASCA: <u>Dynamic Price Competition with Price Adjustment Costs and Product Differentiation</u></i>
CTN	121.2003	<i>Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SELTEN: <u>Social Conformity in Games with Many Players</u></i>
CTN	122.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>On Equilibrium in Pure Strategies in Games with Many Players</u></i>
CTN	123.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many Players</u></i>
	1000	Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: <u>One Thousand Working Papers</u>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	<i>Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries</u></i>
ETA	2.2004	<i>Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u></i>
PRA	3.2004	<i>Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u></i>
ETA	4.2004	<i>Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u></i>
ETA	5.2004	<i>Romano PIRAS: <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u></i>
CCMP	6.2004	<i>Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal</u></i>
PRA	7.2004	<i>Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): <u>Merger Mechanisms</u></i>
PRA	8.2004	<i>Wolfgang AUSSENEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u></i>
PRA	9.2004	<i>Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u></i>
PRA	10.2004	<i>Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u></i>
PRA	11.2004	<i>Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u></i>
PRA	12.2004	<i>Ohad KADAN (lxv): <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u></i>
PRA	13.2004	<i>Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u></i>
PRA	14.2004	<i>Gadi FIBICH, Arie GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u></i>
PRA	15.2004	<i>Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u></i>
PRA	16.2004	<i>Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u></i>
CCMP	17.2004	<i>Slim Ben YOUSSEF: <u>R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u></i>
NRM	18.2004	<i>Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics</u></i>
SIEV	19.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: <u>Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice</u></i>
NRM	20.2004	<i>Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): <u>Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of Differentiated Oligopoly</u></i>
NRM	21.2004	<i>Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u></i>
NRM	22.2004	<i>Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii): <u>Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development</u></i>
NRM	23.2004	<i>Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based Resources in Kenya</u></i>
NRM	24.2004	<i>Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): <u>Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare</u></i>
NRM	25.2004	<i>Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): <u>Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies</u></i>
NRM	26.2004	<i>Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii): <u>Tourism and Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach</u></i>
NRM	27.2004	<i>Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): <u>Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports</u></i>
CSRM	28.2004	<i>Nicoletta FERRO: <u>Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework</u></i>
NRM	29.2004	<i>Marian WEBER (lxvi): <u>Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation: an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest</u></i>
NRM	30.2004	<i>Trond BJORN DAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi): <u>Output Substitution in Multi-Species Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting</u></i>
CCMP	31.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy</u></i>
CCMP	32.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy</u></i>
CTN	33.2004	<i>Wilson PEREZ: <u>Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution</u></i>
KTHC	34.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): <u>The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities</u></i>
KTHC	35.2004	<i>Linda CHAIB (lxviii): <u>Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison</u></i>
KTHC	36.2004	<i>Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (lxviii): <u>Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context</u></i>
KTHC	37.2004	<i>Kristine CRANE (lxviii): <u>Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome, Naples and Bari</u></i>
KTHC	38.2004	<i>Kiflemariam HAMDE (lxviii): <u>Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm</u></i>
ETA	39.2004	<i>Alberto CAVALIERE: <u>Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly</u></i>
PRA	40.2004	<i>Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST: <u>The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?</u></i>
CCMP	41.2004	<i>Micheal FINUS (lxix): <u>International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems</u></i>

KTHC	42.2004	<i>Francesco CRESPI</i> : <u>Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis</u>
CTN	43.2004	<i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI</i> : <u>Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies</u>
CTN	44.2004	<i>Marc ESCRHUELA-VILLAR</i> : <u>Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability</u>
NRM	45.2004	<i>Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL</i> (lxvi): <u>Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity: An Axiomatic Approach</u>
NRM	46.2004	<i>Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLESMARK THORSEN</i> (lxvi): <u>Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits</u>
NRM	47.2004	<i>John MBURU</i> (lxvi): <u>Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach</u>
NRM	48.2004	<i>Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE</i> (lxvi): <u>Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transitional Economy</u>
CCMP	49.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON</i> : <u>The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects</u>
GG	50.2004	<i>Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Optimal Disease Eradication</u>
CTN	51.2004	<i>Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG</i> : <u>Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games</u>
SIEV	52.2004	<i>Francesco RICCI</i> : <u>Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the Theory</u>
SIEV	53.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?</u>
NRM	54.2004	<i>Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF</i> (lxvi): <u>Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Renaturated Streams</u>
NRM	55.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN</i> (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and Regulatory Choices</u>
NRM	56.2004	<i>Tom DEDEURWAERDERE</i> (lxvi): <u>Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance</u>
CCMP	57.2004	<i>Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON</i> : <u>The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households</u>
CCMP	58.2004	<i>Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN</i> : <u>Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios</u>
NRM	59.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA</i> (lxvii): <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management</u>
NRM	60.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI</i> (lxvi): <u>Property Rights Conservation and Development: An Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon</u>
CCMP	61.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO</i> : <u>Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a Technology-based Climate Protocol</u>
NRM	62.2004	<i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.</u>
NRM	63.2004	<i>Györgyi BELA, Györgyi PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ</i> (lxvi): <u>Conserving Crop Genetic Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis</u>
NRM	64.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN</i> (lxvi): <u>The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the Netherlands</u>
NRM	65.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK</i> (lxvi): <u>Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method</u>
ETA	66.2004	<i>Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS</i> : <u>Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings</u>
GG	67.2004	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA</i> : <u>Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach</u>
GG	68.2004	<i>Michael FINUS</i> : <u>Modesty Pays: Sometimes!</u>
NRM	69.2004	<i>Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO</i> : <u>The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy Implications</u>
CTN	70.2004	<i>Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>On Coalition Formation with Heterogeneous Agents</u>
IEM	71.2004	<i>Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Conditional Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants</u>
IEM	72.2004	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER</i> : <u>Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns</u>
SIEV	73.2004	<i>Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA</i> : <u>The Copula Approach to Sample Selection Modelling: An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests</u>
CCMP	74.2004	<i>Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND</i> : <u>Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Assessment</u>
ETA	75.2004	<i>Rosella LEVAGGI and Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Investment in Hospital Care Technology under Different Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach</u>
CTN	76.2004	<i>Salvador BARBERA and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>On the Weights of Nations: Assigning Voting Weights in a Heterogeneous Union</u>
CTN	77.2004	<i>Álex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DÍAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERA and Fernando VEGA-REDONDO</i> (lxx): <u>Optimal Information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion</u>
CTN	78.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Armando GOMES</i> (lxx): <u>Contracting with Externalities and Outside Options</u>

CTN	79.2004	<i>Rabah AMIR, Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Licun XUE</i> (lxx): <u>Merger Performance under Uncertain Efficiency Gains</u>
CTN	80.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players</u>
CTN	81.2004	<i>Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülya ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO</i> (lxx): <u>Bicameralism and Government Formation</u>
CTN	82.2004	<i>Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG QIN and Annon RAPOPORT</i> (lxx): <u>Potential Maximization and Coalition Government Formation</u>
CTN	83.2004	<i>Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN</i> (lxx): <u>Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement</u>
CTN	84.2004	<i>Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZÁLEZ</i> (lxx): <u>Economics: An Emerging Small World?</u>
CTN	85.2004	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT</i> (lxx): <u>Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players</u>
IEM	86.2004	<i>Finn R. FØRSUND and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by Hydroelectric Power</u>
KTHC	87.2004	<i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources, Investment and Long-Term Income</u>
CCMP	88.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Claudia KEMFERT</i> : <u>Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey</u>
IEM	89.2004	<i>A. MARKANDYA, S. PEDROSO and D. STREIMIKIENE</i> : <u>Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There Convergence Towards the EU Average?</u>
GG	90.2004	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Agreements and Technology Policy</u>
PRA	91.2004	<i>Sergei IZMALKOV</i> (lxx): <u>Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction</u>
KTHC	92.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI</i> : <u>Cities and Cultures</u>
KTHC	93.2004	<i>Massimo DEL GATTO</i> : <u>Agglomeration, Integration, and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading Cities. Centralisation versus devolution</u>
CCMP	94.2004	<i>Pierre-André JOUVET, Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON</i> : <u>Equilibrium with a Market of Permits</u>
CCMP	95.2004	<i>Bob van der ZWAAN and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global Energy Supply</u>
CCMP	96.2004	<i>Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise</u>
CTN	97.2004	<i>Gustavo BERGANTIÑOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA</i> : <u>Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through the Canonical Form</u>
CTN	98.2004	<i>Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK</i> : <u>Party Formation and Coalitional Bargaining in a Model of Proportional Representation</u>
GG	99.2004	<i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA</i> : <u>The Impact of Surplus Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements</u>
SIEV	100.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence from a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents</u>
SIEV	101.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure</u>
NRM	102.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and David TOMBERLIN</i> : <u>Real Options Analysis of Fishing Fleet Dynamics: A Test</u>
CCMP	103.2004	<i>Alessandra GORIA e Gretel GAMBARELLI</i> : <u>Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability in Italy</u>
PRA	104.2004	<i>Massimo FLORIO and Mara GRASSEN</i> : <u>The Missing Shock: The Macroeconomic Impact of British Privatisation</u>
PRA	105.2004	<i>John BENNETT, Saul ESTRIN, James MAW and Giovanni URGA</i> : <u>Privatisation Methods and Economic Growth in Transition Economies</u>
PRA	106.2004	<i>Kira BÖRNER</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?</u>
PRA	107.2004	<i>Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON</i> : <u>Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets</u>
SIEV	108.2004	<i>Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo MAINARDI</i> : <u>Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective</u>
CTN	109.2004	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI</i> : <u>The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some Results</u>
NRM	110.2004	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA</i> : <u>Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look</u>
SIEV	111.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks: Evidence from a Three-Country Contingent Valuation Study</u>
KTHC	112.2004	<i>Valeria PAPPONETTI and Dino PINELLI</i> : <u>Scientific Advice to Public Policy-Making</u>
SIEV	113.2004	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Laura ONOFRI</i> : <u>The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior and Public Policy Implications</u>

- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Mapping Diversity”, Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002
- (lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003
- (lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities”, The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- (lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities”, Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- (lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on “Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics”, organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics - ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei – FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003
- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities”, Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference “The Future of Climate Policy”, Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003
- (lxx) This paper was presented at the 9th Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004

2003 SERIES

CLIM	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSR	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>

2004 SERIES

CCMP	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KTHC	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSR	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRA	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>