

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Alberini, Anna; Cropper, Maureen; Krupnick, Alan; Simon, Nathalie B.

Working Paper

Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?

Nota di Lavoro, No. 53.2004

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Alberini, Anna; Cropper, Maureen; Krupnick, Alan; Simon, Nathalie B. (2004): Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?, Nota di Lavoro, No. 53.2004, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/117932

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?

Anna Alberini, Maureen Cropper, Alan Krupnick and Nathalie B. Simon

NOTA DI LAVORO 53.2004

MARCH 2004

SIEV – Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

Anna Alberini, University of Maryland and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Maureen Cropper, University of Maryland and the World Bank Alan Krupnick, Resources for the Future Nathalie B. Simon, US Environmental Protection Agency

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://ssrn.com/abstract=XXXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?

Summary

Using results from two contingent valuation surveys conducted in Canada and the U.S., we explore the effect of a latency period on willingness to pay (WTP) for reduced mortality risk using both structural and reduced form approaches. We find that delaying the time at which the risk reduction occurs by 10 to 30 years reduces WTP by more than half for respondents in both samples aged 40 to 60 years. Additionally, we estimate implicit discount rates equal to 8% for Canada and 4.5% for the U.S. – both well within the range established previously in the literature.

Keywords: Value of a statistical life, Mortality risks, Benefit-cost analysis

JEL Classification: Q51, Q58

The opinions reported in this paper are the authors' own and do not represent the views of their respective Agencies. This research was supported by a grant from the US Environmental Protection Agency.

Address for correspondence:

Anna Alberini
Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics
University of Maryland
2200 Symons Hall
College Park
MD 20742
USA

Phone: 301 405 1267 Fax: 301 314 9091

E-mail: aalberini@arec.umd.edu

For many environmental policies, such as those that seek to reduce exposure to carcinogens, the reduction in the risk of dying occurs many years after the initial investment in pollution reduction. To value the benefits of such policies it is necessary to ask people how much they would be willing to pay now for a reduction in risk that takes place in the future. Economic theory suggests that willingness to pay (WTP) for a future risk reduction should be less than WTP for an immediate risk reduction of the same size. This occurs for two reasons: (1) the individual may not be alive to enjoy the risk reduction and (2) if the individual is willing to substitute consumption for risk, the risk reduction should be discounted at the consumption rate of discount. A key question for policy is exactly how much WTP is reduced by a gap between the initiation of a program and time at which the risk reduction is delivered.

In a recent contingent valuation survey administered in Canada (Krupnick et al., 2002) and the U.S. (Alberini et al., forthcoming), we asked individual respondents how much they would be willing to pay today for a reduction in their risk of dying at age 70. In this paper, we use the responses to such payment questions to produce estimates of mean and median willingness to pay for the future risk reduction. Specifically, we present three sets of results: (1) a reduced-form model of WTP for the future risk reduction that examines how WTP varies with respondent age, income, health status, expected health status in the future, and self-assessed probability of survival until age 70; (2) a structural model that estimates the discount rate implicit in WTP responses; and (3) a comparison of WTP for the future risk reduction with WTP for a risk reduction of the same size that occurs today.

In our reduced-form model we find that WTP today for a risk reduction at age 70 is, as prescribed by economic theory, lower for persons who have a lower self-assessed chance of surviving to age 70 and lower for persons who believe their health will be worse at age 75 than it is today. In our structural model, which assumes (as predicted by the life-cycle model) that WTP today for a risk reduction at age 70 equals what the individual would pay for a current risk reduction at age 70 discounted to the present, we estimate the average discount rate at 8% for our Canada sample and 4.5% for our U.S. sample. These estimates are in line with those in Viscusi and Moore (1989) (1-14%), Horowitz and Carson (1990) (4.5%), and Johannesson and Johansson (1996) (0.3 and 1.3%). Most importantly for policy, we find that WTP today for a risk reduction at age 70 is, for persons aged 40-60, less than half of WTP for a current risk reduction.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 1 presents the life-cycle model with uncertain lifetime and reviews its implications for willingness to pay for a reduction in the conditional probability of dying at any age. It also elaborates on our plan of analysis. Section 2 discusses the administration and structure of our survey. Section 3 presents our econometric models and section 4 our results. We summarize our findings in section 5.

1. Theoretical Framework and Plan of Analysis

1.1 The Value of Mortality Risk Changes in the Life-Cycle Model

To provide a framework for our empirical work, in this section we derive WTP for a change in the conditional probability of dying (at any age) in the context of the life-cycle model with uncertain lifetime (Cropper and Sussman, 1990; Cropper and Freeman, 1991). The model assumes that at age j the individual chooses his future consumption stream to maximize expected lifetime utility,

$$V_{j} = \sum_{t=j}^{T} q_{j,t} (1+\delta)^{j-t} U_{t}(C_{t})$$
 (1)

where V_j is the present value of expected utility of lifetime consumption, $U_t(C_t)$ is utility of consumption at age t, $q_{j,t}$ is the probability that the individual survives to age t, given that he is alive at age j, and δ is the subjective rate of time preference. We assume that (1) is maximized subject to a budget constraint that allows the individual to invest in annuities and to borrow via life-insured loans (Yaari, 1965). This is equivalent to assuming that the present value of expected consumption equals the present value of expected earnings plus initial wealth,

$$\sum_{t=j}^{T} q_{j,t} (1+r)^{j-t} C_t = \sum_{t=j}^{T} q_{j,t} (1+r)^{j-t} y_t + W_j,$$
(2)

where r is the riskless rate of interest, y_t is income at time t and W_j is initial wealth.

Now consider a program that alters D_k , the conditional probability of dying at age k, given that the individual survives to that age. Since $q_{j,t} = (1-D_j)(1-D_{j+1})$. . . $(1-D_{t-1})$, any program that alters D_k will necessarily alter the probability of surviving to all future ages. For small changes in D_k , willingness to pay may be written as the product of the rate at which the individual is willing to trade wealth W_j for a change in D_k , which we term $VSL_{j,k}$, times the size of the change in D_k ,

$$WTP_{j,k} = -\frac{dV_j / dD_k}{dV_j / dW_j} dD_k \equiv VSL_{j,k} dD_k.$$
(3)

Applying the Envelope Theorem to the Lagrangian function formed by (1) and (2), the rate at which the individual substitutes current wealth for D_k may be written (Cropper and Sussman, 1990) as:

$$VSL_{j,k} = \frac{1}{1 - D_k} \sum_{t=k+1}^{T} q_{j,t} \left[(1 + \delta)^{j-t} U_t(C_t) \lambda_j^{-1} + (1 + r)^{j-t} (y_t - C_t) \right]. \tag{4}$$

Equation (4) says that the value of a change in the probability of dying at age k equals the loss in expected utility from age k+1 onward, converted to dollars by dividing by the marginal utility of income (λ_j). Added to this is the effect of a change in D_k on the budget constraint. Cropper and Sussman (1990) show that, by substituting first-order conditions for utility maximization into (4) and rearranging terms, WTP at age j for a risk reduction at age k equals WTP for a current risk reduction at age k multiplied by the probability of surviving to age k and discounted to the present at the monetary rate of discount,

$$WTP_{i,k} = q_{i,k} (1+r)^{j-k} WTP_{k,k}.^{1}$$
(5)

1.2 Plan of the Analysis

Our empirical work focuses on equation (5), and its goal is three-fold. First, as a test of internal validity of responses, we estimate a reduced-form version of (5) for persons for whom $40 \le j \le 60$ and k = 70. Equation (5) suggests that $WTP_{j,70}$ should be lower the lower is the probability of surviving to age 70 $(q_{j,70})$ and should increase with current age (j), holding $q_{j,70}$ constant. $WTP_{70,70}$ should be higher for wealthier respondents and may depend on the respondent's estimate of his health after age 70. The impact of other variables (e.g., education) on $WTP_{j,70}$ is, however, ambiguous.

Second, we then use equation (5) to estimate respondent discount rates (r). Because our survey elicits WTP for a current risk reduction (for persons of different ages) we can use models described elsewhere (Alberini et al. forthcoming) to estimate $WTP_{70,70}$ for each respondent. Given the respondent's estimate of $q_{j,70}$, we estimate a log-linear version of (5), where $(1+r)^{j-70}$ has been approximated by $\exp[r(j-70)]$ to obtain an estimate of the interest rate facing respondents:

$$\ln WTP_{i,70} = \ln WTP_{70,70} + \ln q_{i,70} + r \cdot (j - 70). \tag{6}$$

On appending an error term equation (6) becomes a regression model where the discount rate can be estimated as the coefficient on (j - 70), the time until the risk reduction takes place, as long as the latter varies across respondents.

Finally, we use the responses to WTP questions for current and future risk reductions to estimate $WTP_{j,k}/WTP_{j,j}$ —i.e., to see by how much WTP is reduced when the risk valued occurs in the future. Equation (5) does not necessarily imply that $WTP_{j,j} > WTP_{j,k}$; however, if $WTP_{j,j} \ge WTP_{k,k}$ —if WTP for a given risk reduction is no larger at age 70 than between ages 40 and 60—equation (5) indeed implies that $WTP_{j,j} > WTP_{j,k}$. The question of interest for policy is exactly what the ratio of $WTP_{j,k}/WTP_{j,j}$ is.

2. Survey Administration and Structure

Our survey instrument was administered in Canada in 1999 and in the U.S. in 2000.² In the Canada study, the questionnaire was self-administered by respondents using a computer at a centralized facility in Hamilton, Ontario. Study participants were recruited through random digit dialing. In the U.S., we drew a national sample from the panel of consumers maintained by Knowledge Networks. The sample received and filled out the questionnaire via Web-TV.

The questionnaire began by asking the respondent to provide information about his or her self, including age, gender, health status. It also queried the respondent about the health status of family members (parents and siblings), and about the age of his or her parents. This was followed by a simple tutorial on probability, at the end of which respondents were introduced to the concept of risk of dying. To show risk and risk changes, we used a grid of 1,000 squares. White squares represent survival, while red squares represent death.

Respondents were subsequently told about their own risk of dying over the next 10 years (and shown this risk on the grid of squares), along with the most common causes of death for a person of their age and gender. When eliciting WTP for a risk reduction, it is important that respondents understand that it is possible to reduce risk through a number of actions (both medical and non-medical), but that doing so costs money. We described to the respondents common risk-reducing actions (such as exercise and medical screening or diagnostic tests), but, to avoid anchoring respondents to specific dollar figures, we simply told them whether these actions were "expensive," "inexpensive," or "moderately priced."

Respondents were asked to report information about their WTP for each of three risk reductions: (i) 5 in 1000 over the next 10 years, (ii) 1 in 1000 over the next 10 years, and (iii) 5 in 1000, but beginning at age 70 and taking place over the subsequent 10 years.³ The latter question was asked only of respondents aged 60 and younger. We used the dichotomous choice approach ("Would you purchase a product that would deliver the risk reduction in question at a stated price?) with a follow-up question. (See table A.1 in the Appendix for the bid values used.)

Respondents were also asked to report their subjectively assessed life expectancy and probability of surviving until age 70. The survey ended with socio-demographic questions, debriefing questions, and questions from Short Form 36 (SF-36), a questionnaire widely used to assess health status and functionality in the medical literature.⁴

A total of 930 and 1135 respondents completed the survey in Canada and in the U.S., respectively. The WTP questions about the future risk reductions were answered by 650 persons in Canada and 699 in the US.⁵ We exclude from the usable samples respondents who failed simple probability questions, which results in 638 respondents for the Canada study, and all 699 for the US study.⁶

3. Econometric Model

As indicated in section 1 we use two approaches to estimate $WTP_{j,70}$. The first is a reduced-form approach, where $WTP_{j,70}$ is assumed to follow the Weibull distribution with scale parameter $\sigma_i = \exp(\mathbf{x}_i \boldsymbol{\beta})$ and shape parameter θ (i.e, an accelerated life model). This is equivalent to the regression equation:

$$\log WTP_{j_{70}}^{i} = \mathbf{x}_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}, \tag{7}$$

where i denotes the respondent, the error term follows the type I extreme value distribution with scale θ , and the vector of regressors \mathbf{x} includes variables thought to influence WTP. Since information about WTP was elicited using dichotomous choice questions with a follow-up, we form intervals around the respondent's (unobserved) WTP amount, specify a double-bounded interval-data likelihood function, and estimate the parameters of equation (7) using the method of maximum likelihood.

To test internal validity in a reduced-form context, \mathbf{x}_i includes age, gender, current and future health status of the respondent, education and income and the respondent's estimate of $q_{j,70}$. From equation (5) we expect the coefficients on $q_{j,70}$ and on age to be positive. To the extent that current income is correlated with wealth, it should increase $WTP_{j,70}$ and so, presumably, should a more optimistic estimate of the respondent's health state at age 75 (midway between the beginning (70) and ending age (80) of the risk change being valued).

Our second estimation approach is a structural-form approach. To implement it, we begin with an interval-data maximum likelihood regression for WTP for the immediate 5 in 1000 risk reduction on income, gender, age group dummies, etc. based on the underlying equation:

$$\log WTP_{i,j}^i = \mathbf{x}_i \gamma + \eta_i \tag{8}$$

where η is a Type I Extreme value error term with scale τ . We use the maximum likelihood estimates $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\tau}$ to predict what each respondent's median WTP would be, if his or her age were 70. We denote this prediction as $PWTP_{70,70}$, and its logarithmic transformation as $\log PWTP_{70,70}$.

In the next step, we regress $\log WTP_{j,70}$ on (j-70) (j being current age), $\log PWTP_{70,70}$ and $\log q_{j,70}$, where $\log q_{j,70}$ is the respondent-reported probability of surviving until age 70. Following equation (6), we restrict the coefficients on $\log PWTP_{70,70}$ and $\log q_{j,70}$ to be equal to one. The coefficient on (j-70) is the interest rate, r.

Clearly, this approach assumes that the interest rate r is constant over time and across individuals. In subsequent runs, we relax this assumption by allowing individuals with different characteristics to have different discount rates. Specifically, we posit that $r_i = \exp(\mathbf{z}_i \lambda)$, where \mathbf{z}_i is a 1×k vector of individual characteristics. Data limitations do not allow us to discriminate between a linear discount rate or a hyperbolic one, but we do check whether the discount rate is affected by the time until the discounting takes place by including a dummy variable that takes on a value of one for respondents in the age group from 50 to 60 years.

4. Results

4.1. Reduced Form

Results from the reduced-form model with covariates are reported in Table 1. Column (A) refers to the data from the Canada study, column (B) to the WTP responses from the U.S.

study, as does (C), except that it omits African Americans for ease of comparison with the Canada sample, which does not include this group.

Table 1. Interval-data reduced-form regressions. Weibull distribution of WTP.

(Standard errors in parentheses.)

	(A)	(B)	(C)
Variable	Canada	ÙŚ	US, no Blacks
	(n=632)	(n=668)	(n=600)
Intercept	4.7777**	5.3234**	5.1902**
-	(0.933)	(0.539)	(0.591)
Wave 1	-0.2206	-0.1253	-0.1166
	(0.203)	(0.129)	(0.137)
Age 50 to 59	0.1067	0.0089	0.0129
	(0.199)	(0.134)	(0.143)
Male	-0.1038	-0.1378^	-0.2103
	(0.194)	(0.129)	(0.138)
Black		0.3715	
		(0.225)	
Education	-0.0030	-0.0175	-0.0203
	(0.041)	(0.0283)	(0.0296)
Bottom 25% of distribution of income	-0.2726	-0.1789	-0.1327
(dummy)	(0.245)	(0.157)	(0.164)
Health75worse	-0.4382**	-0.3013*	-0.3028*
	(0.199)	(0.132)	(0.140)
Log CHANCE70	0.0927	0.1735*	0.2243*
	(0.160)	(0.086)	(0.098)
Chronic	0.2929	0.2542*	0.2588^
	(0.210)	(0.135)	(0.143)
Scale parameter	0.4752**	0.7262**	0.7207**
	(0.029)	(0.041)	(0.043)

^{* =} significant at the 5% level. ** = significant at the 1% level.

Three main findings emerge from the reduced-form regressions of Table 1. First, individual characteristics like age, race, education and income are not important predictors of a person's WTP for the future risk reduction. (The only exception is gender in specification (C).) The only significant determinants of WTP are current health status, future health status and the subjective probability of surviving until age 70. As a consequence of the relatively large number of regression coefficients that are individually insignificant, likelihood ratio tests of the null hypothesis that all slopes are zero fail to reject the null for model (A) and marginally reject it at the 5% level for models (B) and (C).

Second, the signs of two coefficients are consistent with expectations. Specifically, the coefficient on the low-income dummy (bottom 25% of the income distribution), which takes on a value of one if income is less than \$24,500, is negative, although insignificant, and the sign on the log of the probability of living until age 70 is positive (and significant at the 5% level in the U.S. study).

Moreover, respondents who expect that their health will become worse when they are older are willing to pay less: their WTP is about one-third lower than that of all other individuals in Canada, and about 27% lower for U.S. respondents. This seems reasonable, and in sharp contrast with the fact that the coefficient on CHRONIC, a dummy taking on a value if the respondent has a chronic respiratory or cardiovascular disease, or cancer, is *positive* (and significant, at least in the U.S. study). The presence of one such chronic illness raises WTP by 28 to 33%.

Third, many coefficients are very similar across the two studies. Indeed, a Wald test comparing columns (A) and (C) does not reject the null that the coefficients are the same across the two studies.

These similarities and the result of the Wald test prompted us to pool the data from the two studies, and estimate the following regression:

$$log WTP_i = \mathbf{x}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + CANADA_i \cdot \lambda + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i , \qquad (9)$$

where CANADA is a dummy denoting the study. The scale of the error term ε is allowed to vary across the two countries: $\theta_i = \theta_0 + CANADA_i \cdot \theta_1$.

Results from this specification are reported in Table 2. They confirm that many individual characteristics, such as income, education, and age, are not important determinants of WTP, although the low-income dummy has the expected negative association with WTP. The coefficient on gender is now significant at the 5% level, implying that males hold lower WTP values: all else the same, men's WTP figures are 10% lower than those of women.

Table 2. Interval-data reduced form regressions. Pooled samples, Weibull distribution of WTP with country-specific shape parameter (African Americans excluded from the sample).

Variable	Coefficient	Standard error
Constant	4.0165	0.560
Wave 1	-0.1375	0.116
Age 50 to 59	0.0845	0.111
Male	-0.2302*	0.114
Education	-0.0058	0.024
Bottom 25% of the	-0.1160	
distribution of income		0.138
Health75worse	-0.1944^	0.114
Log chance70	0.1541	0.095
Chronic illness	0.4173**	0.116
Canada	-0.8922**	0.137
Weibull shape: θ ₀	1.1488**	0.066
Weibull shape: θ ₁	0.1968*	0.085

^{^ =} significant at the 10% level. * = significant at the 5% level; ** = significant at the 1% level.

Table 2 also confirms that WTP for the future risk reduction is significantly lower if (all else the same) the respondent believes that in the future his health will deteriorate relative to the present. Specifically, respondents who believe their health at age 75 will be worse than it is now hold WTP values that are 18% less than the values of all other respondents. WTP is over 50% greater if the respondent currently has a chronic illness. As before, the WTP for the future risk reduction increases with the (logarithmic transformation of) the subjective probability of surviving to age 70, but this effect is weak.

4.2. Structural Form

We estimate the structural form using only respondents in wave 1.9 Assuming that the discount rate is constant for all respondents and all ages, we estimate the discount rate to be 8% in the Canada study and 4.5% in the U.S. study. The discount rates are estimated very precisely: the standard errors around the estimates are 0.7% and 0.55%, respectively.

Results from the structural-form model with covariates are displayed in Table 3. Since the sample size is smaller when attention is restricted to wave 1 respondents, we pool the data from the two studies, but exclude U.S. African Americans. Coefficients are often large, and so are the standard errors, implying that results should be interpreted with caution. For example, the coefficient on the chronic illness dummy is equal to -0.59, and significant at the 10% level, implying that persons with these illnesses have discount rates that are 45% lower than those of respondents without chronic illnesses. At the same time, the discount rate of low-income respondents is 43% greater than that of the other respondents, but this effect is not statistically significant. Older respondents have higher discount rates: those in the age group between 50 and 59 have a discount rate that is 51% greater than that of younger respondents, the p-value of the coefficient being about 0.09.

Table 3. Structural Form results. $r=\exp(\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{y})$

	Coefficient	Standard error
Constant	-2.7212**	0.184
Male	-0.1677	0.227
Chronic	-0.5897^	0.307
Bottom 25% distribution of	0.3570	0.264
income		
Age 50 to 59 years	0.4131^	0.245
θ	2.7630**	0.134

^{^ =} significant at the 10% level. * = significant at the 5% level; ** = significant at the 1% level.

We attempted to estimate a function where the discount rate is a linear function of age (and hence of the time until the discounting takes place, which is 70 minus current age), but this model behaved poorly, as did the model with hyperbolic discounting. These results are probably due to the insufficient variation in the time until the risk reduction occurs. We also attempted to control for the country of the study, but the model behaved very poorly when the Canada dummy was included. Models that included chronic illness variables in a more disaggregate form experienced the same problem. This suggests that the regression results for the structural form with covariates are not very robust, and should be interpreted with caution.

We end by comparing mean and median WTP for a future risk reduction, estimated using all respondents but with no covariates, with mean and median WTP for a current reduction, estimated using the same respondents. These results appear in Table 4. We have previously argued that it is likely that WTP for a future risk reduction should be less than WTP for a risk reduction that starts immediately. This is borne out by the data. The ratio of mean $WTP_{j,k}$ to mean $WTP_{j,j}$ for $40 \le j \le 60$ and k = 70 is 0.44 in the Canadian sample and 0.48 in the U.S. sample. This suggests that a latency period of 10 to 30 years, experienced late in life, significantly reduces WTP for a reduction in risk of dying.

Table 4 also translates the WTP estimates into VSL estimates by dividing the WTP by 5/10,000. Mean VSLs derived from WTP estimates for the future risk reductions for this 40-60 age group range from \$533,000 for Canada to \$700,000 for the U.S. As is generally the case with estimates from CV surveys, the median VSLs are lower still.

Table 4. Mean and Median WTP and VSLs for present v. future risk reductions. Weibull interval-data model with no covariates. All Figures in 2000 US dollars (PPP conversion from the Canadian dollar). Cleaned samples, 40-60 year-olds.

	Canada		US	
	Current risk	Future risk	Current risk	Future risk
	reduction*	reduction	reduction*	reduction
Mean WTP (standard error)	609	265	727	348
	(59.02)	(32.85)	(53.12)	(25.29)
Mean VSL (\$million)	1.22	0.53	1.45	0.70
Median WTP (standard error)	317	55	395	167
	(26.71)	(7.73)	(27.69)	(13.86)
Median VSL (\$million)	0.63	0.11	0.79	0.33

^{*} wave 1 only. N=438 for Canada, N=361 for the US.

5. Conclusions

This paper reports the results of a contingent valuation survey that elicits WTP for current and future mortality risk reductions. The survey questionnaire was self-administered by respondents in Canada and the US using a computerized format.

We examine the responses to the payment questions for the future risk reduction using both reduced form and structural form approaches. Using a reduced-form approach, we find that WTP for a risk reduction at age 70 relates to the respondent's expectations about his or her future health status.

Using a structural-form approach, we estimate the implicit discount rates to be 8% in Canada and 4.5% in the U.S. The discount rate appears to depend on age and health status, but inference should be made with caution. Our estimates of the discount rate are in line with previous estimates of the discount rate in risk reduction tradeoffs, which range from 0.3% (Johannesson and Johansson, 1996) to 14% (Viscusi and Moore, 1989).

Finally, we note that for respondents aged 40 to 60, WTP today for a risk reduction occurring at age 70 is less than half of WTP for a current risk reduction of the same size. Delaying the time at which the risk reduction occurs significantly reduces WTP, at least for respondents in the 40 to 60 age group.

What are the policy implications of this finding? In its primary analysis of the benefits of reducing the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of arsenic in drinking water from 50 ppb to 10 ppb, the USEPA (2000) did not discount the value of a statistical life used to value the reduction in lung and bladder cancers that were predicted to occur as a result of the rule, even though there is likely to be a cessation lag between the reduction in exposure and the reduction in cancers. The study estimated that there would be between 21 and 30 fewer cancers per year from the reduction in exposure, starting immediately, and used a VSL of \$6.1 million (1999 USD) to value each case. The resulting mortality benefits (\$128-\$183 million) accounted for over 90% of the monetized benefits of the rule. Total annual costs were estimated to be \$205.6 million, implying that the upper bound estimate of benefits was approximately equal to costs. Adjusting the \$6.1 million VSL to reflect an average gap of 20 years between reduction in exposure and reduction in cancer would, according to the results reported above, cause the benefit-cost ratio to fall below one-half. Using the VSLs estimated in our study for this valuation exercise would have even more dramatic effects in lowering benefits, as our VSL for the U.S. is almost a factor of 10 lower than that used by EPA.

The decision to reduce the MCL for arsenic is, of course, more complicated than the previous paragraph would suggest.¹¹ Our purpose in citing this example is to show that allowing for a gap between reduction in exposure and reduction in risk can indeed make a difference in a policy context.

References

- Alberini, Anna, et al. (forthcoming). "Does the Value of a Statistical Life Vary with Age and Health Status? Evidence from the U.S. and Canada," *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*.
- Cropper, Maureen and Frances G. Sussman. (1990). "Valuing Future Risks to Life," *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 19, 160-74.
- Cropper, Maureen and A. Myrick Freeman III. (1991). "Environmental Health Effects," in *Measuring the Demand for Environmental Quality*, John Braden and Charles Kolstad (eds). Elsevier Science Publishers.
- Horowitz, John and Richard Carson. (1990). "Discounting Statistical Lives," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 3, 403-413.
- Johannesson, Magnus and Per-Olov Johansson. (1996). "To Be, or Not to Be, That is the Question: An Empirical Study of the WTP for an Increased Life Expectancy at an Advanced Age," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 13: 163-174.
- Krupnick, Alan, et al. (2002). "Age, Health, and the Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: A Contingent Valuation Survey of Ontario Residents," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 24, 161-186.
- USEPA (2000), Arsenic in Drinking Water Rule Economic Analysis. EPA 815-R-00-026, December.
- USEPA (2001), Arsenic Rule Benefits Analysis: An SAB Review, EPA-SAB-EC-01-008, August.
- Viscusi, W. Kip and Michael Moore. (1989). "Rates of Time Preference and Valuations of the Duration of Life," *Journal of Public Economics*, 38, 297-317.
- Yaari, Menahem. (1965). "Uncertain Lifetime, Life Insurance and the Theory of the Consumer," *Review of Economic Studies*, 32, 137-150.

Appendix.

Table A.1. Bid design by country.

	Initial bid	If yes	If no
US	70	150	30
(2000 US dollars)	150	500	70
	500	725	150
	725	1000	500
Canada	100	225	50
(1999 Canadian	225	750	100
dollars)	750	1100	225
	1100	1500	750

Notes:

¹ Equation (5) of course holds for $VSL_{i,k}$ and $VSL_{k,k}$ as well.

- ² The survey instruments we used in our Canada and U.S. studies were almost identical, except for currency and baseline risk adjustments, and the fact that U.S. respondents were asked more detailed questions about their own health status, and the health status and ages of family members. For more information, see Alberini et al. (forthcoming).
- ³ People were randomly assigned to one of two subsamples, "wave 1" and "wave 2." The two subsamples received identical questionnaires, except for the order in which the risk reductions to be valued were presented to the respondents. In wave 2, the order of (i) and (ii) was reversed, but the future risk reduction was the third commodity to be valued in both subsamples.
- ⁴ The SF-36 questions were given to respondents in a pencil-and-paper questionnaire in the Canada study, but were included in the web-TV questionnaire in the US study. The SF-36 questions were asked at the end of both surveys.
- ⁵ We remind the reader that the questions about WTP for the future risk reduction were asked of individuals up to 60 years of age.
- ⁶ Following the probability tutorial, respondents were asked to identify which of two grids represented the individual with the higher risk and which of the two they personally would rather be. Individuals who answered these questions incorrectly were deleted from the sample used in this paper.
- ⁷ As a special case, we also consider a simple version of the model that includes only the intercept in the right-hand side of equation (7). We use this model without covariates to estimate mean and median WTP,

which we discuss in section V.B. Mean WTP is $\sigma \cdot \Gamma(1/\theta + 1)$, where $\Gamma(\bullet)$ is the gamma function, and median WTP is $\sigma \cdot [-\ln(0.5)]^{1/\theta}$.

⁸ The likelihood ratio test of the null hypothesis that all slope coefficients are zero are 10.38 for model (A), 20.02 for specification (B), and 18.46 for specification (C).

⁹ We choose to do so because our procedure relies on predicting willingness to pay for a 5 in 1000 risk reduction at age 70 for respondents who are currently between 40 and 60 years old. But willingness to pay for an immediate risk reduction is sensitive to the order in which the risk reductions were valued by the respondents in the survey. To be conservative, when we estimate models of willingness to pay for the 5 in 1000 risk change, we restrict attention to the responses from those respondents who valued the 5 in 1000 risk reduction first.

¹⁰ In evaluating the health benefits of a reduction in exposure to a carcinogen, the *cessation-lag* matters, i.e., the time between cessation of exposure and the reduction in risk.

¹¹ The USEPA Science Advisory Board (USEPA, 2001) criticized the benefits analysis for assuming a zero cessation lag, but also noted that no attempt was made to quantify other health benefits, in spite of a rich epidemiological literature.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htmlhttp://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2003

PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical
		Issues
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
		Market
CLIM	4.2003	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of
CLIIVI	4.2003	Government Commitment
KNOW	5.2003	Reyer GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA	6.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO: Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model
SIEV	7.2003	Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: The
		Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment:
		Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARRÓS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
		with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of
		Climate Change Policy Analysis
KNOW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural
		Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art
KNOW	13.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix): Bio-Ecological
121 (0),	15.2005	Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures
KNOW	14.2003	Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and
KINOW	14.2003	Future Trajectories
KNOW	15.2003	Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix): Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and
KNOW	13.2003	· / — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
KNOW	16 2002	Female Roles in Urban Economic Life
KNOW	16.2003	Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from
TO LOTT	17.0000	Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition		
Theory	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Monopoly with Resale
PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
		Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV	22.2003	Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-
		Price Auctions
PRIV	23.2003	David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV	24.2003	Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment
PRIV	25.2003	Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in
		Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions
PRIV	26.2003	Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs
PRIV	27.2003	Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes
PRIV	28.2003	Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative
		Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts
PRIV	29.2003	TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
PRIV	30.2003	Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (Ix): Auctions with Financial Externalities
ETA	31.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive
L111	51.2005	Externality NTU-Coalition Games
KNOW	32.2003	Michele MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty
PRIV	33.2003	Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?
KNOW	34.2003	Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A
ET.	25.0002	Treatment Effect Analysis
ETA	35.2003	Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different
		Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation

GG	36.2003	Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats
PRIV	37.2003	Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate
		Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection
CLIM	38.2003	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers
KNOW	39.2003	Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade
CTN	40.2003	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations
KNOW	41.2003	Sonia OREFFICE: Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply
KNOW	42.2003	Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing
ICT (O V	12.2003	Biotechnologies
ETA	43.2003	Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific
EIA	43.2003	
CL D.	44.2002	Basin Region, Japan and US
CLIM	44.2003	Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES: The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its
		<u>Effectiveness</u>
PRIV	45.2003	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI: The Political Economy of Privatization
SIEV	46.2003	Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste
		<u>Disposal Methods</u>
ETA	47.2003	Jens HORBACH: Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical
		Results for Germany
CLIM	48.2003	Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS: The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology
		Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing
CLIM	49.2003	Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER: Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting
		Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits
CTN	50.2003	László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (lxi): The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension
CIII	30.2003	Easter 1. Roots and the Esternation (RR). The William Bollmant Set is a root Empty Core Extension
CTN	51.2003	Matthew O. JACKSON (lxi): Allocation Rules for Network Games
CTN	52.2003	Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (lxi): Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation
CTN	53.2003	Fernando VEGA-REDONDO (lxi): Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach
CTN	54.2003	Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF (lxi): On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation
CTN	55.2003	Taiji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI (lxi): Free Trade Networks
CTN	56.2003	Halis Murat YILDIZ (lxi): National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization
CTN	57.2003	Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH (lxi): An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International
		Environmental Agreements
KNOW	58.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A
		Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research
KNOW	59.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: Special Interests and Technological Change
ETA	60.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey
CLIM	61.2003	Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel
021111	01.2005	Formation Game
GG	62.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of
00	02.2003	International Environmental Agreements
SIEV	63.2003	Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy
		Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate
CLIM	64.2003	*
CHEN	65.2002	Policy Architectures
SIEV	65.2003	Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy
SIEV	66.2003	Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment
SIEV	67.2003	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing
		Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise
CLIM	68.2003	ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its
		Kyoto Target
KNOW	69.2003	David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation
KNOW	70.2003	Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History
KNOW	71.2003	Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lxii): What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?
KNOW	72.2003	Natalya V. TARANOVA (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural
121 (0) (72.2005	Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case
KNOW	73.2003	Kristine CRANE (lxii): The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of
ICT (O V	73.2003	Globalisation and Migration
KNOW	74.2003	Kazuma MATOBA (lxii): Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication
KNOW	75.2003	Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA (Ixii): Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese in
KNOW	73.2003	· / —
IZNIOITI	76.0000	Portugal St. L. WALLMAN (19) The Discovice of Discovice at a selection of the section of the se
KNOW	76.2003	Sandra WALLMAN (lxii): The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems
KNOW	77.2003	Richard PEARCE (lxii): A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities
KNOW	78.2003	Vincent MERK (lxii): Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the
		<u>Dilemmas</u>
KNOW	79.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P.OTTAVIANO: Child Labor and Resistance
		to Change
ETA	80.2003	Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA: Investment Size and Firm's Value under
		Profit Sharing Regulation
		· · · ·

IEM	81.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Oil and Product Dynamics in International
CLIM	82.2003	Petroleum Markets Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against
CLIM	02.2003	Environmental Regulation
CLIM	83.2003	Giuseppe DI VITA: Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?
CLIM	84.2003	Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes
NRM	85.2003	Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: How Fast are the Tourism Countries Growing?
		The cross-country evidence
KNOW	86.2003	Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks
		for the Mezzogiorno
SIEV	87.2003	Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources.
CLIM	88.2003	How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of
CLIM	88.2003	Global Warming
CLIM	89.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Economic Development and Environmental Protection
CLIM	90.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?
CLIM	91.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries
IEM	92.2003	A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy
		Policy: The Case of Russia
ETA	93.2003	Andrea BELTRATTI: Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium
CTN	94.2003	Parkash CHANDER: The γ-Core and Coalition Formation
IEM	95.2003	Matteo MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption
		<u>Using Principal Components</u>
IEM	96.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA, Margherita GRASSO and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Long-run Models of
CTD I	07.2002	Oil Stock Prices
CTN	97.2003	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES, and D. Marc KILGOUR: Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process
KNOW	98.2003	<u>Matters</u> John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (lxiii): Anti-Racist Policies in France. From Ideological and Historical
KNOW	98.2003	Schemes to Socio-Political Realities
KNOW	99.2003	Richard THOMPSON FORD (lxiii): Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue
KNOW	100.2003	Alaknanda PATEL (Ixiii): Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities
KNOW	101.2003	David MAY (lxiii): The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood
KNOW	102.2003	Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (Ixiii): Municipal Reform on the
		Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City
CLIM	103.2003	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime
CLIM	104.2003	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in
		International Climate Agreements
CLIM	105.2003	Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy
NRM	106.2003	Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (lxiv): Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests
NRM	107.2003	Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (lxiv): Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries
SIEV	108.2003 109.2003	Sara ANIYAR (lxiv): Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER(lxiv): Evaluating Projects and Assessing
SIEV	109.2003	Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies
NRM	110.2003	Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA(lxiv): Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries
NRM	111.2003	Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (Ixiv): Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands
ETA	112.2003	Jack GOODY (lxiv): Globalisation, Population and Ecology
CTN	113.2003	Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: Endogenous Minimum Participation in
		International Environmental Treaties
CTN	114.2003	Guillaume HAERINGER and Myrna WOODERS: Decentralized Job Matching
CTN	115.2003	Hideo KONISHI and M. Utku UNVER: Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems
CTN	116.2003	Somdeb LAHIRI: Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem
CTN	117.2003	Somdeb LAHIRI: Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem
CTN	118.2003	Marita LAUKKANEN: Transboundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty
CTN	119.2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary
CTN	120 2002	Games with Incomplete Information: Some First Results Gianluigi VERNASCA: Dynamic Price Competition with Price Adjustment Costs and Product Differentiation
CTN	120.2003 121.2003	Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SELTEN: Social Conformity in Games with Many
CIIV	141.4003	Players
CTN	122.2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: On Equilibrium in Pure Strategies in Games with Many Players
CTN	123.2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many
		Players
	1000	Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: One Thousand Working Papers

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: Empirical Analysis of National Income and
ETA	2.2004	So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries
PRA	3.2004	Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: Do Privatizations Boost
		Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy
ETA	4.2004	Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union
ETA	5.2004	Romano PIRAS: Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy
CCMP	6.2004	Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal
PRA PRA	7.2004 8.2004	Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): Merger Mechanisms Wolfgang AUSSENECC, Proposet PICILI EP and Alay STOMPER (lyv): IPO Priving with Poolshuilding and a
		Wolfgang AUSSENEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (Ixv): IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market
PRA	9.2004	Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (Ixv): Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets
PRA	10.2004	Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions
PRA	11.2004	Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi- Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders
PRA	12.2004	Ohad KADAN (lxv): Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values
PRA	13.2004	Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): Auctions as Coordination Devices
PRA	14.2004	Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers
PRA	15.2004	Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): Competition and Cooperation in Divisible
		Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination
PRA	16.2004	Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions
CCMP	17.2004	Slim Ben YOUSSEF: R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade
NRM	18.2004	Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): Biodiversity and Economic Growth:
SIEV	19.2004	Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: Information and Willingness to
SIEV	19.2004	Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice
NRM	20.2004	Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of
TVICIVI		Differentiated Oligopoly
NRM	21.2004	Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (Ixvii): Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists
NRM	22.2004	Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii):
		Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development
NRM	23.2004	Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based</u> <u>Resources in Kenya</u>
NRM	24.2004	Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare
NRM	25.2004	Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies
NRM	26.2004	Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (Ixvii): Tourism and
		Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach
NRM	27.2004	Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports
CSRM	28.2004	Nicoletta FERRO: Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business, a Descriptive Framework
NRM	29.2004	Marian WEBER (lxvi): Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation:
NRM	30.2004	an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest Trond BJORNDAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi): Output Substitution in Multi-Species
		Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting
CCMP	31.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
	22 2004	Natural, Social and Economic System (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy
CCMP	32.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
CTN	33.2004	Natural, Social and Economic System (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy Wilson PEREZ: Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution
KTHC	34.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence
KINC	34.2004	from US Cities
KTHC	35.2004	Linda CHAIB (lxviii): Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison
KTHC	36.2004	Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (Ixviii): Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of
		Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context
KTHC	37.2004	Kristine CRANE (Ixviii): Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities - Rome,
*****	20 2004	Naples and Bari
KTHC	38.2004	Kiflemariam Hamde (lxviii): Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm
ETA	39.2004	Alberto CAVALIERE: Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly
PRA	40.2004	Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST: The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy:
1 IXA	10.2007	Does the Degree of Competition Matter?
CCMP	41.2004	Micheal FINUS (lxix): International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems

KTHC	42.2004	Francesco CRESPI: Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis
CTN	43.2004	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies
CTN	44.2004	Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR: Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability
NRM	45.2004	Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL (lxvi): Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity:
		An Axiomatic Approach
NRM	46.2004	Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLESMARK THORSEN (lxvi): Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric
		Information on Private Environmental Benefits
NRM	47.2004	John MBURU (lxvi): Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach
NRM	48.2004	Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE (lxvi): Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural
		Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transitional Economy
CCMP	49.2004	Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows,
		<u>Competitiveness Effects</u>
GG	50.2004	Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL: Optimal Disease Eradication
CTN	51.2004	Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG: Simple Priorities and Core
		Stability in Hedonic Games
SIEV	52.2004	Francesco RICCI: Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the
		<u>Theory</u>
SIEV	53.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON: Willingness to Pay for
		Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?

- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002
- (lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003
- (lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities", The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- (lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities", Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- (lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics", organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003
- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications" organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on "Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation" organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities", Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference "The Future of Climate Policy", Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003

2003 SERIES

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KNOW Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

CSRM Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRIV Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

CTN Coalition Theory Network

2004 SERIES

CCMP Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KTHC Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

CSRM Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRA Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

CTN Coalition Theory Network