

Hamilton, Jacqueline M.

Working Paper

Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists

Nota di Lavoro, No. 21.2004

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Hamilton, Jacqueline M. (2004) : Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists, Nota di Lavoro, No. 21.2004, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/117903>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists

Jacqueline M. Hamilton

NOTA DI LAVORO 21.2004

FEBRUARY 2004

| |
|------------------------------------|
| NRM – Natural Resources Management |
|------------------------------------|

Jacqueline M. Hamilton, *Centre for Marine and Climate Research,
Hamburg University*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:
http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists

Summary

The attractiveness of a tourist destination is partly dependent on its environmental and climatic resource base. Climate change can be expected to have an effect on this attractiveness and will subsequently alter patterns of demand. An application of the pooled travel cost model using survey data on the destination choices of German tourists is presented in this study. Data on the climate, beach length and indicators of cultural, natural resource and economic attractiveness of the destination countries are used in the regression analysis. Optimal climate values were calculated and a climate index was used to examine the change in climatic attractiveness under an arbitrary scenario of climate change. It was found that, for European countries during the summer months, there would be an increase in attractiveness. However, the northern European countries become relatively more attractive closing the gap on the currently popular southern European countries.

Keywords: Tourism demand, Climate change, Travel cost model

JEL Classification: L83, Q26, Q54

This paper was presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” held in Sardinia, Italy, on 19-20 September, 2003 and jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, and supported by the World Bank.

The European Commission Research DG I funded project DINAS-COAST (EVK2-2000-22024) provided welcome financial support. Provision of the tourism data by the “Zentralarchiv für empirische Sozialforschung” and the “Forschungsgemeinschaft Urlaub und Reisen e.V” is gratefully acknowledged. The author would like to thank Katrin Rehdanz, Kerstin Ronneberger, Richard Tol and David Maddison for their helpful comments. All errors and opinions are the author’s own.

Address for correspondence:

Jacqueline M. Hamilton
Forschungsstelle nachhaltige Umweltentwicklung
ZMK, Universität Hamburg
Tropowitzstrasse 7
22529 Hamburg
Germany
Phone: +49 40 42838-7047
Fax: + 49 40 42838-7009
E-mail: hamilton@dkrz.de

1. INTRODUCTION

An increase in the globally averaged surface temperature of between 1.4 and 5.8°C by 2100 is predicted by the IPCC (2001b). Based on such predictions, climate change impact studies have been produced for many different economic sectors. However, the impact on the world's largest industry, tourism, has been examined by only a few studies. Studies on the measurement of destination attractiveness (Hu and Ritchie, 1993; Shoemaker, 1984) have shown that climate is one of the most important destination attributes. Typically, when estimating tourism demand the attributes of destinations are not included. However, these attributes, according to Morley (1991), will determine the utility of a visit to a certain destination with a certain set of characteristics. This study looks further than just to the different spatial attributes of destinations but also to the temporal ones; different months have different climatic conditions. Moreover, climate change implies that these attributes will change over the long term and so utility and the resulting patterns of demand will change. With the above in mind, the purpose of this research is to examine, by way of a case study, the demand of German tourists in terms of destination characteristics. The estimated relationships between the climate variables can then be used to examine the impact of climate change on demand. The pooled travel cost method, which is used in this study, had been developed in other case studies for the UK and the Netherlands. However, in this study, the set of destination attributes used in the regression analysis is extended and the temporal aspect is examined at the monthly scale as opposed to the quarterly scale.

This paper will be structured as follows. In the next section, the literature on the impact of climate change on tourism will be reviewed. In the third section, the pooled travel cost method is discussed. The data used in this study and the results of the regression analysis are

presented in the fourth section. The implications of these are discussed in the fifth section. The sixth section concludes.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Despite its global economic importance and regional and, in some cases, national dependency on tourism revenues, comparatively little work has been carried out on the impacts of climate change on tourism. In the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change reports (IPCC 1998 and IPCC 2001a) the possible impacts on tourism and possible adaptation measures were discussed very generally with an emphasis on the ski industry and on the effects of sea level rise on recreationally important beaches.

Impact analyses have been carried out for different scales and for different sectors. For winter tourism in the Alps, Abegg (1996) analysed the impact of changes in temperature on snow depth and coverage and the consequences of these changes on ski season length and ski facilities. The influence of climate change on city tourism in North America has been analysed by Scott and McBoyle (2001) using the relationship between a tourism climate index and accommodation prices for each city.¹ Through changes in climate, the index scores change and the changes in attractiveness of different cities can be predicted. The authors argue that for Canada, climate change would result in an overall increase in the income generated through accommodation.

At a regional scale, several studies of a qualitative nature have been carried out. Nicholls and Hoozemann (1996) look at the vulnerability of Mediterranean countries to climate change.

¹ The tourism climate index used in this study is a weighted index of several climatic factors that are known to influence human comfort, and a ranking is used to signify suitability for city tourism.

They argue that tourism is an important factor to be considered when planning adaptation responses, particularly because tourism development can aggravate existing problems in the coastal zone. Perry (2000) looks at the impact on tourism in the Mediterranean region and autonomous adaptation to climate change. Caribbean coastal areas are the focus of Gable (1997) where the environmental impacts of climate change and sea-level rise are discussed, along with possible policy responses. Using scenarios and stakeholder discussion groups, Krupp (1997) examines the impact of climate change on tourism in Germany's most northern state Schleswig-Holstein. Similarly, Lohmann (2001) analyses the possible effects on tourist demand for the coastal resorts of northern Germany. Representative surveys of the German population as well as scenario techniques are used. In both studies, tourist industry focus groups were involved.

A few studies have looked at the impacts in terms of demand from particular countries for certain destinations. Viner and Agnew (1999) describe, for a range of destinations popular with UK tourists, the current climate and tourism market situation, the likely impacts on ecosystems under a scenario of climate change and the consequences for tourism demand. Only two studies have examined quantitatively the sensitivity of tourism demand to climate and climate change. Maddison (2001a and 2001b) examined climate as a determinant of the destination choices of British tourists and estimated a demand function that included climate variables and beach length for each country. The estimated function was then used to examine changes in the number of tourists as well as changes in welfare using projected changes in temperature and precipitation under a “business as usual” greenhouse gas emissions scenario. Lise and Tol (2002) adapted Maddison's model and applied it to data on the destination choices of Dutch tourists. They compared the results from the Dutch data with those from the British study and presented the results from a much cruder study of OECD tourists. The case

study presented here uses the pooled travel cost method (PTCM) developed in these two studies. The basic concepts of which are discussed in the following section.

3. METHODOLOGY: THE POOLED TRAVEL COST METHOD

The studies by Maddison and Lise and Tol used a technique called the pooled travel cost method, which is based on microeconomic theory and includes characteristics of destinations which Morley (1992) and Papatheodorou (2001) argue to be necessary. This technique is a variant of the family of travel cost models, which have been widely used for estimating the demand for recreation sites. Two different types of these models exist: those that focus on single sites and those that examine multiple sites. In the former case, the purpose is to calculate the total economic value of the site and in the latter case, the object is to obtain a measure of the change in value when site characteristics change. It is this second set of models that is useful for examining the impact of climate change.

The basic premise of both types of model, however, lies in the fact that in order to use services provided by a certain site you have to travel there. This will involve a cost in terms of travel expenditure and the opportunity cost of time. Assuming that recreation is a normal good then sites with a higher travel cost will be visited less than those with the same characteristics but a lower travel cost. In the case of single sites, individuals who incur a lower travel cost for a certain site will visit that site more frequently than those individuals with a higher travel cost will. Using data on the number of visits and the cost of these visits, a demand function can be estimated. The total value of the site can be measured by the area

under the demand curve. In the case of multiple sites, the characteristics of sites are included in the demand function.²

As travel is necessary for the consumption of the environmental amenities at a particular site, it is said to be a complement to them. That is, changes in the price of travel will affect the number of trips and through this, the quantity consumed of the amenities. Further, travel cost exhibits the quality of weak complementarity for the environmental amenities. Weak complementarity exists when there is a price where demand for the market good will be zero and changes in the public good, the environmental quality, will have no effect on welfare. In terms of travel to a site, this means that if the price of travel to a particular place is so high that no one wants to go there then changes in the qualities of that site do not bring any benefits to consumers. This enables the use of the demand curve for the market good to estimate the value of the non-market good, which in this case is the environmental quality of a site. When certain characteristics of a site improve, the demand curve for the market good, in this case travel cost, will shift outwards and to the right and so more of the favoured amenities are available at the same travel cost. To value this change, the difference in the area under the demand curves but above the market price for the original level of amenities and for the improved level is calculated.

Freemann (1993) describes the methods used to estimate the demand for multiple sites, with the qualification that these models have limitations through their simplification. One technique involves pooling the number of visits to recreation sites and estimating a single demand equation. The set of explanatory variables will include quality variables, which must be sufficiently varied across the sites, in order to use regression techniques to estimate the

² For example, the effect of improvements in water quality at recreation sites has been investigated with this method.

demand function. The coefficients on the parameters are the same across all sites. Freeman states that such models can be used to examine changes in the characteristics at one site, but argues that as they do not contain substitute site qualities or prices in the specification, it is not possible to examine the case where quality changes occur at more than one site.

Maddison (2001a and 2001b) adapted the recreation demand models described by Freeman (1993) and applied it to a case study of international tourism. Using data on British tourists' destination choices, he pools the choices of individuals for different destinations and estimates the demand function for British tourists as a group. He assumes that tourists have perfect information about the climate of countries. In addition, the demand function is used to analyse the impact of changes in environmental quality, in this case changes in climate. The model described by Maddison will be used in this study to examine the sensitivity of the demand of German tourists to climate and other destination factors.

4. CASE STUDY: THE DESTINATION CHOICE OF GERMAN TOURISTS

German tourists make up the second largest market in terms of expenditure (after the USA) and account for 10% of world spending on tourism (WTO 2001). Their market importance means that an examination of the possible impacts of climate change on the patterns of demand is of particular interest. Not only does a further application of the PTCM model allow a comparison of the British, Dutch and German tourism preferences, it is also an opportunity to extend the model to include climate and other variables that have never been used in tourism demand estimation. Whereas previous PTCM studies have used quarterly data and focussed on outbound tourism, this study uses monthly data and the origin country Germany is included as a destination option. This section describes the application of the pooled travel cost model to the case of the destination choices of German tourists.

4.1 Data and model specification

The dependent variable data were taken from a representative survey of 7780 German citizens who were asked about their holidays of more than 5 days that they took in 1997 (F.U.R. 1998). The respondents provided information on the region, country or group of countries where they took their holiday. They also specified in which month it took place. From the individual responses, visitation rates for each country (or group of countries) and month combination were calculated. The countries included in the analysis are presented in tables 1 and 2. Information was also provided on total holiday expenditure but not on travel cost. It was considered too time consuming to estimate the travel cost as many different modes of transport were used to reach the chosen destinations. For this reason, distance between the destination and origin capital city was taken as a proxy for travel cost.³

Country specific variables were included in the analysis. There are many groups of countries in the data set and so for each of the variables a group value was calculated using market shares for 1995 (WTO 2002). Table 2 contains the country groups. GDP per capita, population and population density are taken from the World Resources Institute (2000). Richer countries are more likely to have the basic facilities necessary for tourism, such as quality transport infrastructure and banking services. Richer countries will also have a developed network for domestic tourism and recreation and so the necessary tourist specific infrastructure will be in place. Such countries are more likely to be favoured as international tourist destinations. For population density, it is unclear what effect on tourism demand they have. Densely populated countries may be attractive as they will contain many towns and

³ This was calculated using spherical trigonometry formulas for calculating the great circles distance between two points.

cities but on the other hand, if this implies a lack of natural areas, they may then be unattractive for tourism. The stability index compiled by Kaufmann (1999) is a proxy for the perception of citizens of the likelihood that their government will be overthrown by non-constitutional means. This has been included in the specification of the model to capture the influence of tourists' concerns about safety on the demand for a destination. Of course, the internal perception may be different from that of the tourists.

At a national scale, it is difficult to find comparable data on resource characteristics that are relevant to tourism. One of the key tourist markets is the "sun, sand and sea" holiday. For this particular type of holiday, the availability of beaches is important. To capture the effect of this on demand, the length of beach for each country, taken from Delft Hydraulics (1990), was included in the country dataset. Existing studies used population density and population as proxies for the existence of untouched natural areas and the number of cultural attractions respectively. Both population and population density are included in this analysis, as they may have an independent effect, however other variables are used as a proxy for natural and cultural attractions. In this study, the total area of all protected areas in a country is taken as a proxy for the availability of undeveloped land. The attractiveness of the landscape and the scope for outdoor recreation will depend on this. The total areas protected in each country were obtained from World Conservation Monitoring Centre (WCMC, 2002) for 1996. Only those protected areas of more than 1000 ha in any of the six International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) categories are included in the WCMC data set. The categories cover nature reserves, wilderness areas, management areas, national parks and monuments, and protected landscapes. Of course, larger countries are more likely to have larger protected areas, as will richer countries that will be able to afford to protect more of their territory. The number of sites on the World Heritage List (UNESCO, 2001) was used as a proxy for the historical and cultural attractiveness of a country. Sites on the world

heritage list are those that are considered cultural or natural properties of outstanding natural value. Examples of such sites are Angkor in Cambodia and the Red Square in Moscow.

Climate data were taken from a data set on the recent climate in countries (Mitchell, 2002).

For each country monthly averages for the period 1961-1991 are available for the following: daily temperature (mean, minimum and maximum), precipitation, number of wet days, cloud cover, vapour pressure, and the number of ground frost days. Some of the climate variables show a strong correlation with each other. Vapour pressure and temperature, ground frost days and temperature and wet days and cloud cover are highly correlated (0.87, -0.9 and 0.87 respectively.) On the one hand, this is because of the natural relationship between them and on the other hand because data on vapour pressure and the number of ground frost days was estimated using temperature⁴. For this reason two possible specifications of only three climate variables each were analysed. Both contain mean monthly temperature and average monthly precipitation, as in the two previous studies for the UK and the Netherlands.

The first specification contains the number of wet days per month and in the second wet day frequency is replaced by cloud cover. A wet day is defined as any day with rainfall greater than 0.1mm and the use of this variable in conjunction with precipitation provides more information on the spread of rainfall over the month. As an alternative to the inclusion of wet day frequency, the average cloud cover as a percentage was used in the second specification. The effects of sunlight on the psychological balance have been documented by Parker (2001) and the variable cloud cover has been included to capture the effect of sunlight at the destination country.

To examine the possibility of optimal levels of the climate variables the square of each of these variables was included. In the study by Maddison (2001b), the cubic and quartic terms

⁴ See New et al (1999) for more details on the calculation of the different variables

of the temperature variable are included in the regression analysis, to examine the possibility that more than one temperature optimal exists because of winter sports tourism. This is examined in the third specification and the fourth specification is a refinement of the third.

There are certain disadvantages to using country aggregated climate data, particularly for large countries. Tourist destinations within a country may have a particular climate that is quite different from that of the national average and areas with extreme conditions, such as deserts or mountain ranges, which may be of little interest for tourists, will have an effect on the country average. Country data was preferred to capital city climate data, which is also inaccurate. As far as the author knows, a data set containing the climate of only tourist destinations in each country does not exist.

As Germany was included as a destination, a dummy was used to control for it being chosen more frequently. It is usual that domestic tourism makes up a large part of total tourism, as familiarity of culture and language or visits to friends and relatives will make the origin country a popular destination. Besides climate, other factors may influence temporal choice, such as public holidays or school holidays. To control for this, monthly dummies are included in the analysis. The variables and their definitions are contained in Table 3. The summary statistics of the variables used in the regression analysis are shown in Table 4.

The log of the number of visits was used, as this was found to fit the data better than the linear form. It also conveniently prevents the model predicting negative numbers of visits. The majority of recreation studies use this functional form, as did the aforementioned tourism and climate studies. As the error components from same country observations are likely to be correlated, panel corrected least squares regression analysis was used instead of ordinary least squares. It is expected that the following variables have a positive relationship with the

dependent variable: Stability, GDP per capita, temperature, beach length and the “HOME” dummy variable. Whereas the coefficients of distance, precipitation and cloud cover are expected to be negatively signed. For the other variables, it is unclear what sign to expect.

4.2 Results

The results of the regression analysis are presented in Table 5. For specification 1, the regression explains 58.4% of the variation in the log of the number of visits. The number of heritage sites, length of beach and the level of GDP per capita are all highly significant and signed as expected. The stability variable “STAB” has a positive relationship to the dependent variable but it is not significant. The coefficient for “PROTECT” is negatively signed but is significant only at the 10% level. Although protected areas may be an attraction for tourists many of these protected areas may not in fact be accessible for tourists or tourist development has been restricted because the land has been protected. The coefficient on “DIST” is as expected, highly significant and negatively signed. The popularity of domestic tourism is reflected in the positive and highly significant coefficient for the variable “HOME”. The coefficients on population and population density are not significant. From the monthly dummies, the months February and November are found to be negative and significant. This result is plausible considering that these two months lie either side of the Christmas and New Year holiday period, when many people take holidays and also a large portion of the household budget will be used during the festive period.

Temperature is highly significant. However, the square of temperature is not significant. Therefore, the optimal temperature cannot be calculated. Here we have a positive relationship between demand and temperature; as temperature increases so will demand. Purely from the human physiological point of view, this is rather unlikely, as at high temperatures, particularly

in combination with high levels of humidity, a state of discomfort will occur. For precipitation, a minimum turning point is found at 137mm per month, which is much higher than the observed values for any month in Germany or in Northern Europe. Before this point, any increase in precipitation will have a negative effect on demand. There is an optimal number of wet days at 11.5 days per month. The Northern European countries have more wet days per month all year round and such a value is typical of a Mediterranean winter. The calculated optimal values for each specification are shown in Table 6.

For the second specification containing the variable cloud cover, the greatest changes are seen in the climate variables. Temperature and precipitation are no longer significant and therefore no turning points can be estimated. The square of cloud cover is negative and significant. For the majority of the other variables there are no changes in sign and significance. However, the monthly dummy for August is positive and significant; this is plausible as it is the time of school holidays and the traditional holiday month.

As in the study by Maddison (2001b), a third specification including the quartic terms of temperature was analysed. There are no changes in the significance or signs of the other variables. The turning points do not change significantly either. The turning points are 134mm for precipitation and for 11.6 days for wet day frequency. However, from the four temperature terms the square and the quartic of temperature are significant. A fourth regression is carried out dropping the least significant term, the linear temperature term. The results with this specification are very similar to those of the first and third specification. Again, the turning points for precipitation and wet day frequency are 133 mm and 11.6 respectively. Optimal mean temperatures of 24°C for the values above zero and for those below zero an optimal mean of -11°C.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Comparison with other studies

A comparison with the UK and Netherlands studies shows broadly similar results.⁵ In contrast to the case study presented here, the two previous studies were carried out using quarterly visitation rates and climate data. The significant variables from all three studies are shown in Table 7. In all studies, distance is negatively signed but only significant when a travel cost variable is not included as well as a variable for distance. In both the German and the Dutch study, the square of temperature is not significant. Whereas, the optimal temperature in the UK study (maximum daytime temperature was used) was found to be 29°C. Precipitation was not significant in the Dutch and British studies. Maddison (2001a) also finds beach length to be an important factor in determining demand. However, in the study by Lise and Tol (2002) coast length was not found to be significant. For the variable population, the result from this study is very different from the other two. In this case study, the coefficient on population is negative but not significant. In the Dutch and British studies, population was used as a proxy for cultural resources and the coefficient was positive, as was the coefficient on the number of heritage sites in this case study. Whether this difference is caused by the additional variables or that German tourists have different preferences to the Dutch and British tourists is not clear. Not included in the German study, but in the others, was a variable to measure the price level in each country. Variables to examine the influence of age and income were also used in the Dutch study, which improved the R^2 .

5.2 The climate attractiveness index and a scenario of climate change

⁵ Here the comparison is made with Maddison (2001a).

According to the Third Assessment Report of the IPCC, it is projected that global surface temperature and the sea level are expected to increase during this century. Based upon the results of a set of different climate models and greenhouse gas emissions scenarios, an increase in the global mean temperature of between 0.8°C and 2.6°C is projected for the period 1990 to 2050 (IPCC 2001b). Globally the average annual precipitation is also expected to increase, however at the regional scale there may also be considerable decreases in the level of precipitation. Using the results of the case study, it is possible to examine the impact of these changes on the attractiveness of a destination. This has been done through the construction of a climate index. Taking only the climate data for each country and the coefficients for each of the climate variables resulting from the regression analysis, the contribution of climate to demand can be calculated and the countries then ranked according to their climatic attractiveness. Then using an arbitrary scenario, for the European summer months, of a 2°C temperature increase, a 15% decrease in precipitation and a 10% decrease in the number of wet days per month, new climate index values were calculated. Figure 1 shows the index values for August for certain European countries for the observed data and for the arbitrary scenario both for specification 1 and specification 1b. For specification 1, the climate scenario leads to an increase in the index value for every country; the increase is particularly so for the northern European countries. The estimated values for the specification 1b have broadly similar results. However, for Spain, Greece and Portugal there is actually a decrease in the index values under a scenario of climate change. This is because the monthly mean temperature is now higher than the calculated optimal temperature. Spain has the highest index value for August. Figure 2 shows the index values of the other countries as a fraction of Spain's value. Under a scenario of climate change, the northern European countries come much closer to Spain's attractiveness and make considerable gains on the other southern European countries. In particular, domestic tourism becomes even more

attractive relative to the biggest outbound market. The popular neighbouring countries, the Netherlands, Poland, Denmark and the Czech Republic, would experience an increase in popularity.

5.3 Limitations of the method

This study has further investigated the relationship between climate and demand for particular destinations. There are, however, some limitations of this study with regard to the method. The usefulness of the pooled travel cost method is limited because of the omission of substitution effects. As the substitute site qualities and prices are not included in the demand function in the model presented here, the effect of these on the demand for a destination are not known. If for example the model predicts an increase in the number of visits to a certain destination, after a change in one or more characteristics, it is not possible to say which destinations are now avoided because they have become relatively less attractive. Moreover, the failure to account for substitution effects in the model poses a further problem when changes occur at all sites. This would be the case with climate change, which will occur globally. The decision to go on holiday or not is not included in this model, therefore increases in the total number of tourists cannot be predicted. This method is also less useful for a single country or a region to examine the importance of climate or other factors in the attractiveness for tourism. Suitable variation in the parameters used will be needed in order to carry out the statistical analysis, although with a large monthly variation in the data it would still be possible.

The implications of climate change were examined only for the summer months in Europe using an arbitrary scenario. This is of limited use, as the relative attractiveness of the climate of the different months and of other continents is also of interest. Modelled scenario changes

are available for all countries of the world (Mitchell et al, 2003). In table 8, the climate values for the arbitrary scenario and the average of four scenario results of the Hadley centre climate model (HadCM3) are presented for Bulgaria, Germany, Spain and Sweden. For temperature, there is very little difference in the arbitrary and the Hadley model. However, for precipitation the arbitrary results are much lower for Sweden under the arbitrary scenario. Although the use of the arbitrary scenario is useful to illustrate the effect of climate change on a range of countries for a particular month, the work of this paper could be refined by using the detailed country climate change data. This task will be left for a subsequent paper.

6. CONCLUSIONS

A limited amount of research has been undertaken on the relationship between tourism demand and the natural resource, climate. The pooled travel cost method, employed here, exploits the necessity of travel to a destination and the associated costs of this travel to analyse the effect destination characteristics, such as climate, have on demand. Expanding on the work of previous studies, a tourism demand function, which included economic, climatic and other country characteristics as explanatory variables, was estimated using data from a survey on the destination choices of German tourists. Moreover, this study examined destination choice at the monthly level, extended the set of country characteristics used and examined domestic and outbound tourism. Proxy variables to measure safety and cultural attractiveness were included and two specifications with different climate variables were examined. The specification including wet day frequency was found to perform better than the specification with the variable cloud cover. The former specification was extended to include cubic and quartic terms. In addition to the optimal wet day frequency and precipitation levels, two optimal temperature values were estimated. For temperatures above zero, the optimal was found to be at 24°C. All of the specifications improve on the results of

the earlier studies for the Netherlands and the United Kingdom. An index of climate attractiveness for each country and month was calculated and used to examine the changes in attractiveness under an arbitrary climate change scenario. The northern European countries increase in attractiveness with climate change; the southern European countries becoming relatively less attractive. Using a more detailed climate change scenario, for each country and month, as opposed to an arbitrary one for a particular month and a restricted set of countries, would be an improvement on the current study. Although the travel cost methodology provides us with an insight in to the relationship between tourism demand and destination characteristics, it inadequately describes the substitution process. For this reason, further research is needed to find suitable ways to model this process.

References

- Abegg, B. (1996) . *Klimaänderung und Tourismus – Klimafolgenforschung am Beispiel des Wintertourismus in den Schweizer Alpen*. Zurich: vdf Hochschuleverlag an der ETH.
- Delft Hydraulics (1990) . *Strategies for adaptation to sea level rise: Report of the coastal zone management subgroup for WMO and UNEP*. The Hague: Ministry of Transport and Public Works.
- Forschungsgemeinschaft Urlaub und Reisen e.V. (F.U.R) (1998) . *Die Reiseanalyse RA 98*. Köln: Zentralarchiv für empirische Sozialforschung.
- Freeman, A. M. (1993) . *The measurement of environmental and resource values: theory and methods*. Washington, D.C.: Resources for the Future.
- Gable, F. J. (1997) . Climate change impacts on Caribbean coastal areas and tourism. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 27, 49-70.
- Hu, Y. & Ritchie, J.R.B. (1993) . Measuring destination attractiveness: A contextual approach. *Journal of Travel Research*, 32 (2), 25-34.
- IPCC (1998) . *The regional impacts of climate change - an assessment of vulnerability: A special report of IPCC working group II*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC, (2001a) . *Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptations, and Vulnerability*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

IPCC (2001b) . *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A. & Zoido-Lobaton, P. (1999) . Governance Matters. Policy Research Working Paper 2196, Washington: World Bank.

Krupp, C. (1997) . Klimaänderungen und die Folgen: eine exemplarische Fallstudie über die Möglichkeiten und Grenzen einer interdisziplinären Klimafolgenforschung. Berlin: edition Sigma.

Lise, W., & Tol, R.S.J. (2002) . Impact of climate on tourism demand. *Climatic Change*, 55 (4), 429-449.

Lohmann, M. (2001) . Coastal Resorts and Climate Change. In A. Lockwood, & S. Medlik (Eds.), *Tourism and hospitality in the 21st century*, pp. 284 – 295. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Maddison, D. (2001a), In Search of Warmer Climates? The Impact of Climate Change on Flows of British Tourists. In D. Maddison (Ed.), *The amenity value of the global climate*, pp. 53-76, London: Earthscan,

Maddison, D., (2001b), In Search of Warmer Climates? The Impact of Climate Change on Flows of British Tourists. *Climatic Change*, 49, 193-208

- Mitchell, T.D., Carter, T.R., Jones, P.D., Hulme, M. & New, M. (2003) A comprehensive set of high-resolution grids of monthly climate for Europe and the globe: the observed record (1901-2000) and 16 scenarios (2001-2100). *Journal of Climate*: submitted.
- Mitchell, T.D., Hulme, M., & New, M. (2002) . Climate Data for Political Areas. *Area*, 34, 109-112.
- Morley, C.L. (1992) . A microeconomic theory of international tourism demand. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 19, 250-267.
- New, M., Hulme, M. & Jones, P.D. (1999) . 'Representing Twentieth Century Space-Time Climate Variability, Part I: Development of a 1961-1990 Mean Monthly Terrestrial Climatology'. *Journal of Climate*, 12, 829-856.
- Nicholls, R.J. & Hoozemans, F.M.J. (1996) . The Mediterranean: Vulnerability to coastal implications of climate change. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 31, 105-132.
- Papatheodorou, A. (2001) . Why people travel to different places. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 28, 164-179.
- Parker, P. (2000) . *Physioeconomics: the basis for long-run economic growth*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.
- Perry, A. (2000) . Impacts of climate change on tourism in the Mediterranean: Adaptive responses. *Nota di Lavoro*, 35.2000. Milan: Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei..

- Scott, D. and McBoyle, G. (2001) . Using a “Tourism Climate Index” to examine the implications of climate change for Climate as a Tourism Resource, In *International Society of Biometeorology Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Climate, Tourism and Recreation*. Retrieved from <http://www.mif.uni-freiburg.de/isb/ws/report.htm>.
- Shoemaker, S. (1994) . Segmenting the U.S. travel market according to benefits realized. *Journal of Travel Research*, 32 (3), 8-21.
- Viner, D. and Agnew, M. (1999) . *Climate change and its impacts on tourism*. Report prepared for WWF-UK. Godalming: WWF.
- World Conservation Monitoring Centre (WCMC) (2002) . *Protected Areas Information: 1996 Global Protected Areas Summary Statistics*. Retrieved from <http://www.unep-wcmc.org/protectedareas/data/summstat.html>.
- World Resources Institute (WRI) (2000) . *World Resources Database 2000-2001*. Washington, D.C.: World Resources Institute.
- Yuan, S. and MacDonald, C. (1990) . Motivational determinants of international pleasure time. *Journal of Travel Research*, 29 (1), 42-44.

| | | | |
|----------------|---------|-------------|----------------|
| Albania | Finland | Lebanon | Slovenia |
| Algeria | France | Libya | South Korea |
| Austria | Germany | Malta | Spain |
| Bulgaria | Greece | Morocco | Sweden |
| Canada | Hungary | Netherlands | Switzerland |
| China | Iceland | Norway | Syria |
| Croatia | Ireland | Poland | Tunisia |
| Cyprus | Israel | Portugal | Turkey |
| Czech Republic | Italy | Romania | United Kingdom |
| Denmark | Japan | Slovakia | USA |
| Egypt | | | |

Table 1: Countries included in the analysis

Belgium and Luxembourg
 Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Russian Federation and Ukraine
 Bosnia-Herzegovina, Macedonia and Yugoslavia
 Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Mexico, Nicaragua and Panama
 Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Guyana, Peru and Venezuela
 Brazil and Paraguay
 Argentina, Chile and Uruguay
 Antigua, Bahamas, Barbados, Bermuda, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Guadeloupe, Jamaica,
 Martinique, Puerto Rico, St. Lucia and Trinidad and Tobago
 Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Gabon, Ghana, Kenya, Madagascar, Mauritius, Nigeria,
 Senegal, the Seychelles, Togo, Uganda, Zambia and Zimbabwe
 Botswana, Lesotho, Namibia, South Africa, Swaziland and Tanzania,
 Bahrain, Jordan, Oman and Saudi Arabia
 India, Maldives, Pakistan and Sri Lanka
 Cambodia, Brunei, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam
 Fiji, Palau, Samoa and Vanuatu
 Australia and New Zealand

Table 2: Country groupings included in the analysis

| Variable | Definition |
|-----------------|--|
| VISITS | Number of visits from Germany in 1997 |
| GDPPC | GDP per capita, market prices (1995 US\$) |
| POP | Population (thousands) |
| PDEN | Population density (number per square km) |
| STAB | Stability index value (range -2.5 to 2.5) |
| BLN | Beach length (km) |
| PROTECT | Area of protected areas (ha) |
| HER | Number of heritage sites |
| DIST | The distance between the origin and destination capital (km) |
| TEMP | Average monthly mean temperature (°C) |
| PRE | Average monthly precipitation (mm) |
| WETD | Average number of wet days per month |
| CLOUD | Average monthly cloud cover in percent |
| HOME | Will take the value unity when Germany is the destination, otherwise 0 |
| M1 | Will take the value unity for January, otherwise 0 |
| M2 | Will take the value unity for February, otherwise 0 |
| M3 | Will take the value unity for March, otherwise 0 |
| M4 | Will take the value unity for April, otherwise 0 |
| M5 | Will take the value unity for May, otherwise 0 |
| M6 | Will take the value unity for June, otherwise 0 |
| M7 | Will take the value unity for July, otherwise 0 |
| M8 | Will take the value unity for August, otherwise 0 |
| M9 | Will take the value unity for September, otherwise 0 |
| M10 | Will take the value unity for October, otherwise 0 |
| M11 | Will take the value unity for November, otherwise 0 |

Sources: see text

Table 3 Definition of the variables used in the analysis

| Variable | Mean | Std. Dev. | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| GDPPC | 13171.11 | 11771.36 | 520 | 40998.0000 |
| POP | 100484.9 | 230597.8 | 271 | 1232456.0000 |
| PDEN | 180.37 | 291.8421 | 3 | 1620.0000 |
| STAB | 0.4920546 | 0.8071007 | -2.420517 | 1.6905 |
| BLN | 263.8499 | 480.7259 | 0 | 2970.0000 |
| PROTECT | 14700000 | 26800000 | 0 | 1.0400E+08 |
| HER | 9.103448 | 7.841899 | 0 | 33.0000 |
| DIST | 3548.708 | 3916.272 | 174 | 16933.0000 |
| TEMP | 13.93712 | 9.142842 | -21 | 31.0000 |
| PRE | 74.92982 | 50.27468 | 0 | 251.4000 |
| WETD | 11.14361 | 4.97465 | 0 | 22.3000 |
| CLOUD | 57.24523 | 14.93074 | 8.1 | 86.8000 |
| HOME | 0.0243408 | 1.54E-01 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M1 | 0.0669371 | 0.2501669 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M2 | 6.90E-02 | 2.54E-01 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M3 | 0.0770791 | 0.2669878 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M4 | 0.0851927 | 0.2794518 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M5 | 0.0851927 | 0.2794518 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M6 | 0.0912779 | 0.2882964 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M7 | 0.0933063 | 0.2911566 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M8 | 0.0851927 | 0.2794518 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M9 | 0.0973631 | 0.2967527 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M10 | 0.0912779 | 0.2882964 | 0 | 1.0000 |
| M11 | 0.0770791 | 0.2669878 | 0 | 1.0000 |

Table 4 Characteristics of the data used

Observations: 493

Dependent variable: Log VISITS

| Specification | 1 | | 2 | | 1a | | 1b | |
|---------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| R-squared | 0.584 | | 0.5543 | | 0.5965 | | 0.5964 | |
| Variable | Coeff. | t-ratio | Coeff. | t-ratio | Coeff. | t-ratio | Coeff. | t-ratio |
| M1 | -1.953E-01 | -1.34 | -1.348E-01 | -0.94 | -2.136E-01 | -1.5 | -2.175E-01 | -1.52 |
| M2 | -4.259E-01 | -2.58 | -2.069E-01 | -1.33 | -3.905E-01 | -2.42 | -3.892E-01 | -2.42 |
| M3 | -1.823E-02 | -0.12 | 1.449E-01 | 0.96 | 1.329E-02 | 0.09 | 1.960E-02 | 0.15 |
| M4 | -3.182E-01 | -1.84 | -1.031E-01 | -0.47 | -2.720E-01 | -1.58 | -2.591E-01 | -1.75 |
| M5 | 4.180E-02 | 0.22 | 2.673E-01 | 1.1 | 6.146E-02 | 0.32 | 7.747E-02 | 0.50 |
| M6 | -1.264E-01 | -0.65 | 1.198E-01 | 0.53 | -1.421E-01 | -0.73 | -1.263E-01 | -0.72 |
| M7 | 1.441E-01 | 0.6 | 4.147E-01 | 1.65 | 8.259E-02 | 0.36 | 9.691E-02 | 0.43 |
| M8 | 3.438E-01 | 1.54 | 5.723E-01 | 2.23 | 2.801E-01 | 1.28 | 2.936E-01 | 1.46 |
| M9 | 2.734E-02 | 0.16 | 2.495E-01 | 1.23 | 9.259E-03 | 0.05 | 2.330E-02 | 0.15 |
| M10 | -1.302E-01 | -0.73 | 1.147E-02 | 0.06 | -1.182E-01 | -0.72 | -1.060E-01 | -0.65 |
| M11 | -5.770E-01 | -4.37 | -5.193E-01 | -3.92 | -5.852E-01 | -4.64 | -5.795E-01 | -4.50 |
| HOME | 1.850E+00 | 5.66 | 2.316E+00 | 7.31 | 1.881E+00 | 5.68 | 1.888E+00 | 5.81 |
| GDPPC | 3.300E-05 | 3.17 | 2.140E-05 | 2.08 | 3.370E-05 | 3.16 | 3.350E-05 | 3.09 |
| POP | -4.740E-07 | -1.35 | -8.310E-07 | -1.94 | -4.250E-07 | -1.21 | -4.340E-07 | -1.23 |
| PD | -3.118E-04 | -0.95 | -1.159E-04 | -0.29 | -3.005E-04 | -0.87 | -2.975E-04 | -0.86 |
| STAB | 2.752E-01 | 1.48 | 2.594E-01 | 1.45 | 2.627E-01 | 1.38 | 2.637E-01 | 1.39 |
| BLN | 5.520E-04 | 2.81 | 5.968E-04 | 2.71 | 5.794E-04 | 2.73 | 5.826E-04 | 2.72 |
| PROTECT | -9.500E-09 | -1.88 | -5.890E-09 | -1.12 | -9.820E-09 | -1.84 | -9.960E-09 | -1.89 |
| HER | 5.524E-02 | 2.94 | 6.093E-02 | 2.97 | 5.444E-02 | 2.83 | 5.450E-02 | 2.82 |
| DIST | -9.320E-05 | -2.47 | -1.185E-04 | -3.08 | -1.014E-04 | -2.57 | -1.012E-04 | -2.56 |
| TEMP | 4.350E-02 | 3.11 | 1.796E-02 | 1.09 | 5.268E-03 | 0.18 | | |
| TEMPSQ | 2.763E-04 | 0.5 | -2.040E-04 | -0.32 | 3.491E-03 | 3.43 | 3.626E-03 | 3.73 |
| TEMP3 | | | | | 9.860E-05 | 1.38 | 1.102E-04 | 4.09 |
| TEMP4 | | | | | -6.420E-06 | -2.77 | -6.830E-06 | -5.43 |
| WET | 3.688E-01 | 4.37 | | | 3.417E-01 | 4.02 | 3.384E-01 | 4.18 |
| WETSQ | -1.603E-02 | -5.72 | | | -1.472E-02 | -5.28 | -1.464E-02 | -5.22 |
| PRE | -2.249E-02 | -2.63 | -3.584E-03 | -0.56 | -2.219E-02 | -2.49 | -2.175E-02 | -2.75 |
| PRESQ | 8.210E-05 | 3.11 | 2.500E-05 | 1.13 | 8.300E-05 | 3.05 | 8.160E-05 | 3.21 |
| CLOUD | | | 5.265E-02 | 1.33 | | | | |
| CLOUDSQ | | | -6.545E-04 | -1.98 | | | | |
| CONSTANT | -4.537E-01 | -0.9 | 3.029E-02 | 0.02 | -4.291E-01 | -0.82 | -4.097E-01 | -0.83 |

Table 5: Results of the regression analysis

| optimal | minimum/ maximum | Specification | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 1a | 1b |
| temperature (°C) | maximum | not significant | not significant | 23 and -11 * | 24 and -11 * |
| wet day frequency (days) | maximum | 11.50 | not significant | 11.57 | 11.56 |
| precipitation (mm) | minimum | 137 | not significant | 134 | 133 |

* The first optimal is for temperatures above zero the latter for below zero

Table 6: Comparison of the estimated climate optima for the different model specifications

| | Maddison (2001a) | Lise and Tol (2002) | This study Specification 1 |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Origin Country | United Kingdom | The Netherlands | Germany |
| Year | 1994 | 1988/1992 | 1997 |
| Observations | 305 | 187 | 493 |
| R ² | 0.5 | 0.43 | 0.58 |
| Variable | Significant variables and their signs | | |
| GDPPC | + | + | + |
| BLN | + | ne | + |
| PDEN | - | ns | ns |
| POP | + | + | ns |
| DIST | ns | - | - |
| TEMP | + | + | + |
| TEMP ² | - | ns | ns |
| PRE | ns | ns | - |

¹ "ne" denotes the variables that were not included in the particular analysis and "ns" indicates that the variables were included but were not found to be significant.

Table 7: Comparison of the case study results with those of the UK and Dutch studies

| Country | August temperature - 1961-1990 mean | August temperature - from arbitrary scenario | August temperature - from average of 4 scenarios from HadCM3 (2000-2025) |
|----------|--|---|--|
| Bulgaria | 20.6 | 22.6 | 22.5 |
| Germany | 16.9 | 18.9 | 17.8 |
| Spain | 21.9 | 23.9 | 23.4 |
| Sweden | 12.5 | 14.5 | 13.7 |

| Country | August precipitation - 1961-1990 mean | August precipitation - from arbitrary scenario | August precipitation - from average of 4 scenarios from HadCM3 (2000-2025) |
|----------|--|---|--|
| Bulgaria | 43.6 | 37.1 | 38.5 |
| Germany | 71.5 | 60.8 | 64.5 |
| Spain | 25 | 21.3 | 22.4 |
| Sweden | 72.2 | 61.4 | 72.5 |

Table 8: Comparison of the results of the arbitrary scenario and the scenario results of a climate model

Figure 1: Climate attractiveness index for the month of August for selected European countries

Figure 2: Climate attractiveness index values for August for selected European countries as a fraction of Spain's index value




Figure 1




Figure 2

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2003

| | | |
|-----------|---------|--|
| PRIV | 1.2003 | <i>Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: <u>Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues</u></i> |
| PRIV | 2.2003 | <i>Ibolya SCHINDELE: <u>Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review</u></i> |
| PRIV | 3.2003 | <i>Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity Market</u></i> |
| CLIM | 4.2003 | <i>Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: <u>Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of Government Commitment</u></i> |
| KNOW | 5.2003 | <i>Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition</u></i> |
| ETA | 6.2003 | <i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO: <u>Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model</u></i> |
| SIEV | 7.2003 | <i>Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: <u>The Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment: Evidence from Surveys of Developers</u></i> |
| NRM | 8.2003 | <i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyner GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u></i> |
| CLIM | 9.2003 | <i>A. CAPARRÓS, J.-C. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT: <u>North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game with Asymmetric Information</u></i> |
| KNOW | 10.2003 | <i>Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: <u>School Quality and Family Background in Italy</u></i> |
| CLIM | 11.2003 | <i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of Climate Change Policy Analysis</u></i> |
| KNOW | 12.2003 | <i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): <u>Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art</u></i> |
| KNOW | 13.2003 | <i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix): <u>Bio-Ecological Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures</u></i> |
| KNOW | 14.2003 | <i>Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix): <u>Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and Future Trajectories</u></i> |
| KNOW | 15.2003 | <i>Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix): <u>Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life</u></i> |
| KNOW | 16.2003 | <i>Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix): <u>Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia</u></i> |
| KNOW | 17.2003 | <i>Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix): <u>A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community</u></i> |
| KNOW | 18.2003 | <i>Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix): <u>Managing Diversity in a Globalizing World</u></i> |
| Coalition | 19.2003 | <i>Sergio CURRARINI: <u>On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities</u></i> |
| Theory | 20.2003 | <i>Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): <u>Monopoly with Resale</u></i> |
| Network | 21.2003 | <i>Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): <u>Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition</u></i> |
| PRIV | 22.2003 | <i>Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): <u>Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions</u></i> |
| PRIV | 23.2003 | <i>David ETTINGER (lx): <u>Bidding among Friends and Enemies</u></i> |
| PRIV | 24.2003 | <i>Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): <u>Auction Design without Commitment</u></i> |
| PRIV | 25.2003 | <i>Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): <u>Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions</u></i> |
| PRIV | 26.2003 | <i>Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): <u>Rationing in IPOs</u></i> |
| PRIV | 27.2003 | <i>Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAIEV (lx): <u>Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes</u></i> |
| PRIV | 28.2003 | <i>Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): <u>Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts</u></i> |
| PRIV | 29.2003 | <i>TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): <u>Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment</u></i> |
| PRIV | 30.2003 | <i>Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): <u>Auctions with Financial Externalities</u></i> |
| ETA | 31.2003 | <i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: <u>A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games</u></i> |
| KNOW | 32.2003 | <i>Michele MORETTO: <u>Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty</u></i> |
| PRIV | 33.2003 | <i>Philippe QUIRION: <u>Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?</u></i> |
| KNOW | 34.2003 | <i>Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: <u>On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis</u></i> |
| ETA | 35.2003 | <i>Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA: <u>Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation</u></i> |

| | | |
|------|---------|---|
| GG | 36.2003 | <i>Matthieu GLACHANT</i> : <u>Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats</u> |
| PRIV | 37.2003 | <i>Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI</i> : <u>Postprivatization Corporate Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection</u> |
| CLIM | 38.2003 | <i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers</u> |
| KNOW | 39.2003 | <i>Slim BEN YOUSSEF</i> : <u>Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade</u> |
| CTN | 40.2003 | <i>Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI</i> : <u>Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations</u> |
| KNOW | 41.2003 | <i>Sonia OREFFICE</i> : <u>Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply</u> |
| KNOW | 42.2003 | <i>Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON</i> : <u>On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing Biotechnologies</u> |
| ETA | 43.2003 | <i>Giorgio Busetti and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US</u> |
| CLIM | 44.2003 | <i>Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES</i> : <u>The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its Effectiveness</u> |
| PRIV | 45.2003 | <i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization</u> |
| SIEV | 46.2003 | <i>Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH</i> : <u>Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste Disposal Methods</u> |
| ETA | 47.2003 | <i>Jens HORBACH</i> : <u>Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical Results for Germany</u> |
| CLIM | 48.2003 | <i>Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS</i> : <u>The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing</u> |
| CLIM | 49.2003 | <i>Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER</i> : <u>Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits</u> |
| CTN | 50.2003 | <i>László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (Ixi)</i> : <u>The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension</u> |
| CTN | 51.2003 | <i>Matthew O. JACKSON (Ixi)</i> : <u>Allocation Rules for Network Games</u> |
| CTN | 52.2003 | <i>Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (Ixi)</i> : <u>Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation</u> |
| CTN | 53.2003 | <i>Fernando VEGA-REDONDO (Ixi)</i> : <u>Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach</u> |
| CTN | 54.2003 | <i>Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF (Ixi)</i> : <u>On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation</u> |
| CTN | 55.2003 | <i>Taiji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI (Ixi)</i> : <u>Free Trade Networks</u> |
| CTN | 56.2003 | <i>Halis Murat YILDIZ (Ixi)</i> : <u>National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization</u> |
| CTN | 57.2003 | <i>Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH (Ixi)</i> : <u>An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International Environmental Agreements</u> |
| KNOW | 58.2003 | <i>Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research</u> |
| KNOW | 59.2003 | <i>Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>Special Interests and Technological Change</u> |
| ETA | 60.2003 | <i>Ronnie SCHÖB</i> : <u>The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey</u> |
| CLIM | 61.2003 | <i>Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK</i> : <u>Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game</u> |
| GG | 62.2003 | <i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u> |
| SIEV | 63.2003 | <i>Alberto PETRUCCI</i> : <u>Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy</u> |
| CLIM | 64.2003 | <i>Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS</i> : <u>Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures</u> |
| SIEV | 65.2003 | <i>Edi DEFRANCESCO</i> : <u>The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy</u> |
| SIEV | 66.2003 | <i>Klaus CONRAD</i> : <u>Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment</u> |
| SIEV | 67.2003 | <i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ</i> : <u>Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise</u> |
| CLIM | 68.2003 | <i>ZhongXiang ZHANG</i> : <u>Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target</u> |
| KNOW | 69.2003 | <i>David FRANTZ (Ixi)</i> : <u>Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation</u> |
| KNOW | 70.2003 | <i>Ercole SORI (Ixi)</i> : <u>Mapping Diversity in Social History</u> |
| KNOW | 71.2003 | <i>Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (Ixi)</i> : <u>What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?</u> |
| KNOW | 72.2003 | <i>Natalya V. TARANOVA (Ixi)</i> : <u>The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case</u> |
| KNOW | 73.2003 | <i>Kristine CRANE (Ixi)</i> : <u>The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of Globalisation and Migration</u> |
| KNOW | 74.2003 | <i>Kazuma MATOBA (Ixi)</i> : <u>Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication</u> |
| KNOW | 75.2003 | <i>Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA (Ixi)</i> : <u>Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese in Portugal</u> |
| KNOW | 76.2003 | <i>Sandra WALLMAN (Ixi)</i> : <u>The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems</u> |
| KNOW | 77.2003 | <i>Richard PEARCE (Ixi)</i> : <u>A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities</u> |
| KNOW | 78.2003 | <i>Vincent MERK (Ixi)</i> : <u>Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the Dilemmas</u> |
| KNOW | 79.2003 | <i>Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>Child Labor and Resistance to Change</u> |
| ETA | 80.2003 | <i>Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA</i> : <u>Investment Size and Firm's Value under Profit Sharing Regulation</u> |

| | | |
|------|----------|---|
| ITEM | 81.2003 | <i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Oil and Product Dynamics in International Petroleum Markets</u></i> |
| CLIM | 82.2003 | <i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: <u>Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against Environmental Regulation</u></i> |
| CLIM | 83.2003 | <i>Giuseppe DI VITA: <u>Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?</u></i> |
| CLIM | 84.2003 | <i>Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: <u>Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes</u></i> |
| NRM | 85.2003 | <i>Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How Fast are the Tourism Countries Growing? The cross-country evidence</u></i> |
| KNOW | 86.2003 | <i>Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: <u>The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks for the Mezzogiorno</u></i> |
| SIEV | 87.2003 | <i>Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: <u>Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources. How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments</u></i> |
| CLIM | 88.2003 | <i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of Global Warming</u></i> |
| CLIM | 89.2003 | <i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Economic Development and Environmental Protection</u></i> |
| CLIM | 90.2003 | <i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?</u></i> |
| CLIM | 91.2003 | <i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: <u>Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries</u></i> |
| ITEM | 92.2003 | <i>A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: <u>The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy Policy: The Case of Russia</u></i> |
| ETA | 93.2003 | <i>Andrea BELTRATTI: <u>Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium</u></i> |
| CTN | 94.2003 | <i>Parkash CHANDER: <u>The γ-Core and Coalition Formation</u></i> |
| ITEM | 95.2003 | <i>Matteo MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: <u>Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption Using Principal Components</u></i> |
| ITEM | 96.2003 | <i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA, Margherita GRASSO and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Long-run Models of Oil Stock Prices</u></i> |
| CTN | 97.2003 | <i>Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES, and D. Marc KILGOUR: <u>Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process Matters</u></i> |
| KNOW | 98.2003 | <i>John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (Ixxiii): <u>Anti-Racist Policies in France. From Ideological and Historical Schemes to Socio-Political Realities</u></i> |
| KNOW | 99.2003 | <i>Richard THOMPSON FORD (Ixxii): <u>Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue</u></i> |
| KNOW | 100.2003 | <i>Alaknanda PATEL (Ixxiii): <u>Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities</u></i> |
| KNOW | 101.2003 | <i>David MAY (Ixxiii): <u>The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood</u></i> |
| KNOW | 102.2003 | <i>Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (Ixxiii) : <u>Municipal Reform on the Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City</u></i> |
| CLIM | 103.2003 | <i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime</u></i> |
| CLIM | 104.2003 | <i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in International Climate Agreements</u></i> |
| CLIM | 105.2003 | <i>Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: <u>Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy</u></i> |
| NRM | 106.2003 | <i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests</u></i> |
| NRM | 107.2003 | <i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries</u></i> |
| SIEV | 108.2003 | <i>Sara ANIYAR (Ixiv): <u>Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example</u></i> |
| SIEV | 109.2003 | <i>Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER(Ixiv): <u>Evaluating Projects and Assessing Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies</u></i> |
| NRM | 110.2003 | <i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA(Ixiv): <u>Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries</u></i> |
| NRM | 111.2003 | <i>Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (Ixiv): <u>Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands</u></i> |
| ETA | 112.2003 | <i>Jack GOODY (Ixiv): <u>Globalisation, Population and Ecology</u></i> |
| CTN | 113.2003 | <i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: <u>Endogenous Minimum Participation in International Environmental Treaties</u></i> |
| CTN | 114.2003 | <i>Guillaume HAERINGER and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Decentralized Job Matching</u></i> |
| CTN | 115.2003 | <i>Hideo KONISHI and M. Utku UNVER: <u>Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems</u></i> |
| CTN | 116.2003 | <i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem</u></i> |
| CTN | 117.2003 | <i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem</u></i> |
| CTN | 118.2003 | <i>Marita LAUKKANEN: <u>Transboundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty</u></i> |
| CTN | 119.2003 | <i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary Games with Incomplete Information: Some First Results</u></i> |
| CTN | 120.2003 | <i>Gianluigi VERNASCA: <u>Dynamic Price Competition with Price Adjustment Costs and Product Differentiation</u></i> |
| CTN | 121.2003 | <i>Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SELTEN: <u>Social Conformity in Games with Many Players</u></i> |
| CTN | 122.2003 | <i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>On Equilibrium in Pure Strategies in Games with Many Players</u></i> |
| CTN | 123.2003 | <i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many Players</u></i> |
| 1000 | | Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: <u>One Thousand Working Papers</u> |

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

| | | |
|------|---------|---|
| IEM | 1.2004 | Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and So₂ Emissions in Selected European Countries</u> |
| ETA | 2.2004 | Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u> |
| PRA | 3.2004 | Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u> |
| ETA | 4.2004 | Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u> |
| ETA | 5.2004 | Romano PIRAS: <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u> |
| CCMP | 6.2004 | Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO₂-Taxation Optimal</u> |
| PRA | 7.2004 | Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): <u>Merger Mechanisms</u> |
| PRA | 8.2004 | Wolfgang AUSENNEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u> |
| PRA | 9.2004 | Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u> |
| PRA | 10.2004 | Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u> |
| PRA | 11.2004 | Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u> |
| PRA | 12.2004 | Ohad KADAN (lxv): <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u> |
| PRA | 13.2004 | Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u> |
| PRA | 14.2004 | Gadi FIBICH, Arie GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u> |
| PRA | 15.2004 | Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u> |
| PRA | 16.2004 | Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u> |
| CCMP | 17.2004 | Slim Ben YOUSSEF: <u>R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u> |
| NRM | 18.2004 | Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics</u> |
| SIEV | 19.2004 | Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: <u>Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice</u> |
| NRM | 20.2004 | Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): <u>Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of Differentiated Oligopoly</u> |
| NRM | 21.2004 | Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u> |

- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Mapping Diversity”, Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002
- (lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003
- (lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities”, The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- (lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities”, Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- (lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on “Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics”, organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics - ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei – FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003
- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL) , Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003

2003 SERIES

| | |
|-------------|--|
| CLIM | <i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti) |
| GG | <i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro) |
| SIEV | <i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini) |
| NRM | <i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi) |
| KNOW | <i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano) |
| IEM | <i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya) |
| CSRM | <i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti) |
| PRIV | <i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti) |
| ETA | <i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro) |
| CTN | <i>Coalition Theory Network</i> |

2004 SERIES

| | |
|-------------|--|
| CCMP | <i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti) |
| GG | <i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro) |
| SIEV | <i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini) |
| NRM | <i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi) |
| KTHC | <i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano) |
| IEM | <i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya) |
| CSRM | <i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti) |
| PRA | <i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti) |
| ETA | <i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro) |
| CTN | <i>Coalition Theory Network</i> |