

Guilhoto, Joaquim J.M.

Conference Paper

Regional Importance of the Agribusiness in the Brazilian Economy

44th Congress of the European Regional Science Association: "Regions and Fiscal Federalism", 25th - 29th August 2004, Porto, Portugal

Provided in Cooperation with:

European Regional Science Association (ERSA)

Suggested Citation: Guilhoto, Joaquim J.M. (2004) : Regional Importance of the Agribusiness in the Brazilian Economy, 44th Congress of the European Regional Science Association: "Regions and Fiscal Federalism", 25th - 29th August 2004, Porto, Portugal, European Regional Science Association (ERSA), Louvain-la-Neuve

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10419/116931>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Regional Importance of the Agribusiness in the Brazilian Economy

Joaquim J.M. Guilhoto

Department of Economics - FEA
University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Regional Economics Applications Laboratory (REAL) at
The University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

CNPq Scholar

e-mail: guilhoto@usp.br

**Paper Presented at the
44th Congress of the European Regional Science Association
Porto, Portugal – 25-29 August, 2004**

ABSTRACT

Following Furtuoso and Guilhoto (2003) the GDP of the Brazilian Agribusiness is estimated to be around 27% of the Brazilian GDP in 2000, and the latest numbers show that it could be reaching 30% of the Brazilian GDP in 2003. Despite its importance for the Brazilian economy as a whole, the size of the Brazilian territory and the regional differences draws attention for the fact that the importance of the agribusiness is not uniform over the Brazilian regions, and if the agribusiness is also divided into its four components, i.e., a) inputs to agriculture; b) agriculture; c) agriculture based industry; and d) final distribution, the differences are even bigger. In this paper it is made a study of the importance of the agribusiness for the 27 states of the Brazilian economy, taking into consideration its four components. The analysis is conduct for the year of 1999 using an interregional input-output system constructed for the Brazilian economy by Guilhoto et al. (2004).

1. INTRODUCTION

With the post-war worldwide technological revolution of agriculture, the farming activities underwent a large expansion and increasing specialization, decisively influenced by the economical development and growing urbanization. Such process basically imposed a new agricultural order in which the modern farmer is an expert involved with cultivation and animal breeding operations thus transferring the functions of storing, processing and distribution of vegetal/animal products as well as the supply of input and production factors to organizations other than the farm.

Previously focusing on self-sufficiency, agriculture was updated and introduced into the market economy constituting new links or segments to the feeding system. Basically this process resulted in the structuring of a modern industrial park providing capital goods and input for that area. On the other hand, complex storing, transportation, processing, industrialization and distribution networks were formed.

As a result of such phenomenon, the traditional economy concept that classifies the different activities as “primary, secondary and tertiary” sectors as separate and not integrated led to an analysis focusing on an interlinked system of production, processing and distribution of farming-originated products – **the Agribusiness**.

The pioneering academic contribution to quantify such conceptual approach was done by Davis & Goldberg (1957) when they created the term **Agribusiness**. Making use of input-output matrix techniques developed by Wassily Leontief (Leontief, 1951), the authors studied the transformations and restructuring of agriculture. By analyzing the problems related to the agricultural sector of the economy they stated that these were much more complex and not limited to an ordinary rural activity. That explains the need of dealing with agricultural problems under a systemic focus (Agribusiness) instead of a static one (agriculture).

Such expansion and specialization process of the agriculture is known to have occurred homogeneously in all regions of the planet, for it depends on the economic and social stage of development of each one of them. Namely, the participation and interaction of the agents – farmers, input suppliers and production factors, processors and distributors –

occurred in different degrees in the various levels of the agricultural-feeding system (Pinazza & Araújo, 1993).

This worldwide transformation process also occurred in the Brazilian agriculture system with the agriculture and the stock raising activities being redirected, updated and integrated into the market.

In view of these considerations, it is clear that the integration between agriculture and industry implies a real restructuring of the rural sector, establishing deep technological, productive, financial and business relationships with the other economy activities.

With the above in mind, the next section will present the methodology developed to estimate the agribusiness in the Brazilian economy. Section 3 will present the results for the Brazilian economy with special reference to the importance of the agribusiness in the 27 Brazilian states, and it is also made a comparison with the importance that the agribusiness has in a selected group of countries, in the Americas and Europe. The final remarks are made in the last section.

2. METHODOLOGY TO MEASURE THE AGRIBUSINESS SYSTEM

This section will make a presentation of the methodology used to measure the Agribusiness system in Brazil, further methodological discussions on the estimation of the Agribusiness Complex can be found on the works of Furtuoso (1998), Furtuoso, Barros and Guilhoto (1998), Guilhoto, Furtuoso, and Barros (2000), and Furtuoso and Guilhoto (2003).

The total GDP value of the Agribusiness can also be divided into 4 aggregates: I) inputs; II) the sector itself; III) industrial processing; and IV) distribution and services.

The procedure adopted to estimate the Agribusiness GDP is through the scope of the Product, i.e., by estimating the value added at market prices, and, it is taking into consideration the methodology presented by the System of National Accounts defined by the United Nations (SNA, 1993), where the input-output matrices are integrated in this system.

The value added at market prices is given by the sum of the value added at basic prices with indirect net taxes less the financial dummy, resulting in:

$$VA_{MP} = VA_{BP} + INT - FDU \quad (1)$$

where:

VA_{MP} = Value added at market prices

VA_{BP} = Value added at basic prices

INT = Indirect net taxes

FDU = Financial dummy

To estimate the GDP of **Aggregate I** (input for vegetal and animal production) one uses the information available in the input-output tables regarding the input values acquired by the Vegetal and Animal sectors. The columns with input values are multiplied by the respective coefficient of value added (CVA_i).

The Coefficients of the Value Added for each sector (CVA_i) are obtained by dividing the Value Added at Market Prices (VA_{MP}) of a given sector by its respective output (X_i), i.e.,

$$CVA_i = \frac{VA_{MP}}{X_i} \quad (2)$$

Thus, the double-counting issue presented by previous Agribusiness GDP estimates when input values were considered, instead of the value added effectively generated by it, is eliminated. In that sense the GDP of the **Aggregate I** is given by:

$$GDP_I = \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ik} * CVA_i \quad (3)$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are the economic sectors

where:

GDP_I = GDP of aggregate I (inputs)

z_{ik} = total input value of sector i to the agricultural sector k

CVA_i = value added coefficient of sector i

The estimates for the **Aggregate II** (the sector itself) considers the value added generated by the respective sectors, subtracting the values used as input from the value added of these sectors, thus the double-counting issue found in the previous Agribusiness GDP estimates for the Brazilian economy is again eliminated. Then one has:

$$GDP_{II} = VA_{MP_k} - z_{kk} * CVA_k \quad (4)$$

where:

$$GDP_{II} = \text{GDP of aggregate II}$$

and the other variables are as previously defined.

To define the composition of the **Aggregate III** (agriculture based industries) several indicators were adopted as for instance: a) the main demanding sectors of agricultural products obtained by input-output matrix estimation; b) the share of agricultural input in the intermediate consumption the agroindustrial sectors; and c) the economic activities carrying out the first, second and third transformation of agricultural raw materials.

In the estimation of **Aggregate III** (Agriculture Based Industries) one adopted the summation of the value added generated by the agroindustrial sectors subtracted from the value added of these sectors that have been used as input in the Aggregate II. As previously mentioned, this subtraction is done to eliminate the double-counting found in previous Agribusiness GDP estimates, as so, one has that:

$$GDP_{III} = \sum_q \left(VA_{MP_q} - z_{qk} * CVA_q \right) \quad (5)$$

where:

$$GDP_{III} = \text{GDP of aggregate III}$$

and the other variables are as previously defined.

In the case of **Aggregate IV**, regarding the Final Distribution, one considers the aggregated value of the Transportation, Commerce and Service sectors. Out of the total value obtained for these sectors only the part corresponding to the share of the agricultural and agroindustrial products is designated to the Agribusiness in the final product demand.

The approach adopted in the estimation of the final distribution value of the industrial agribusiness can be represented by:

$$GFD - INT_{FD} - IP_{ED} = DFD \quad (6)$$

$$VAT_{MP} + VAC_{MP} + VAS_{MP} = TM \quad (7)$$

$$GDP_{IV} = TM * \frac{FD_k + \sum_{q \in k} FD_q}{DFD} \quad (8)$$

where:

GFD = global final demand

INT_{FD} = indirect net taxes paid by the final demand

IP_{FD} = imported products by the final demand

DFD = domestic final demand

VAT_{MP} = value added of the transportation sector at market prices

VAC_{MP} = value added of the commerce sector at market prices

VAS_{MP} = value added of the service sector at market prices

TM = trading margin

FD_k = final demand of agriculture

FD_q = final demand of the agroindustrial sectors

GDP_{IV} = GDP of aggregate IV

The Agribusiness GDP for each sub-complex is given by the sum of its aggregates as:

$$GDP_{Agribusiness} = GDP_I + GDP_{II} + GDP_{III} + GDP_{IV} \quad (9)$$

where:

$GDP_{Agribusiness}$ = Agribusiness GDP

and the other variables are as previously defined.

3. THE BRAZILIAN AGRIBUSINESS

This section will start with an overview of the importance of the agribusiness in selected countries of the Americas and Europe, trying to relate the importance of the agribusiness with the development level in these countries. Then, this study goes down to see the importance of the agribusiness in each one the 27 Brazilian states, and once more trying to relate its importance to the development level in each one the Brazilian states. The results for the Brazilian economy are also aggregated at the level of the 5 Brazilian macro regions.

3.1. Agribusiness in the Americas and Europe

This section presents an overall view of the agribusiness in the Americas and Europe. Despite the difference in methodologies and the fact that the data is for different years, it is possible to have a general idea for the importance of the agribusiness for each one of the countries presented in Table 1, and relate the agribusiness with the development level in these countries. The data for the European countries is based on van Leeuwen (2000), the one for the American countries is based on IADB (2003), while the data for the Brazilian economy the result of this study, conducted using an interregional input-output system constructed for the Brazilian economy for the year of 1999 by Guilhoto et al. (2004).

From Table 1, using the per capita GNI as a measure of development, it is possible to see that in general as the per capita GNI in a given country increases, the share of the agriculture and agribusiness in the economy has a tendency to decline, such that for the countries with a per capita GNI with less than US\$ 10,000 the average share of agriculture in GDP is 8.33%, with an agribusiness share of 29.68%, for the countries between US\$ 10,000 and US\$ 20,000, the average shares are respectively of 5.67% and 14.77%, and for the countries with a value greater than US\$ 20,000 the respective average shares are of 2.62% and 8.83%. On average, for the countries listed into Table 1, the share of agriculture in GPD is of 5.04% and the agribusiness has a share of 17.05%.

Of the selected countries, the ones that show the smallest share of the agriculture in the economy are Germany and the United Kingdom (1.3%), followed by Belgium-Luxembourg and the U.S.A. (1.6%). On the other extreme, one finds Colombia with an agriculture share of 14.3% and Costa Rica with a share of 12.80%. This clearly shows that of the selected countries the agriculture sector does not seem to be the driven force of these economies.

However, if one takes the more complete and complex concept of agribusiness it is possible to see that, of the selected countries, the agribusiness can reach a share of almost 35%. It means that one should pay special attention to the economic importance of the agriculture in these countries. As a result of that, the multiplier effect of the agriculture in the economy, going to the concept of agribusiness, is between 2.2 and 5.9, with an average of 3.6, meaning that the agriculture power at least is doubled in a given economy.

The results for the Brazilian economy are very close to the ones for the average economy in the countries with less than a GNI per capita income of less than US\$ 10,000, i.e., for Brazil, the agriculture share is of 7.47% and the agribusiness share is of 26.58%, with a multiplier power of 3.6.

Table 1. GNI Per Capita, Agriculture and Agribusiness Shares in Selected Countries

Country	GNI Per Capita ^c US\$ (1)	Agriculture Share in GDP (1998) % (2)	Agribusiness Share in GDP % (3)	(3)/(2)	Note
Argentina	8,230	5.60	32.20	5.75	A
Áustria	27,040	2.50	5.70	2.28	B
Belgium-Luxembourg	25,590	1.60	5.80	3.63	C
Brazil	4,610	7.47	26.58	3.56	D
Canadá	20,000	2.60	15.30	5.88	B
Chile	4,890	8.50	32.10	3.78	A
Colombia	2,410	14.30	32.10	2.24	A
Costa Rica	3,590	12.80	32.50	2.54	A
Denmark	32,770	2.90	11.10	3.83	B
Finland	24,750	3.60	10.70	2.97	B
France	24,770	3.20	8.50	2.66	B
Germany	26,630	1.30	5.10	3.92	B
Greece	12,130	8.50	19.90	2.34	B
Ireland	20,630	4.90	16.20	3.31	B
Italy	20,560	3.10	7.00	2.26	B
México	4,020	5.20	24.50	4.71	A
Netherlands	25,160	3.20	8.70	2.72	A
Peru	2,210	9.00	31.80	3.53	B
Portugal	11,030	4.10	13.80	3.37	B
Spain	14,840	4.40	10.60	2.41	B
Sweden	28,710	2.30	5.50	2.39	B
United Kingdom	22,790	1.30	7.10	5.46	B
Uruguay	6,620	7.00	34.80	4.97	B
USA	30,700	1.60	8.10	5.06	A
Venezuela	3,540	5.10	20.50	4.02	A
Mean	16,329	5.04	17.05	3.58	
Standard Deviation	10,398	3.45	10.62	1.16	
Minimum	2,210	1.30	5.10	2.24	
Maximum	32,770	14.30	34.80	5.88	
Median	20,000	4.10	13.80	3.53	
Less 10,000	4,458	8.33	29.68	3.90	
>=10,000 <20,000	12,667	5.67	14.77	2.71	
>=20,000	25,392	2.62	8.83	3.57	

Source: IADB (2003), World Bank (2004), van Leeuwen (2000), and research data.

Notes:

a - 1997

b - 1995

c - 1995, Agriculture data only for Belgium

d - 1999, data estimated by the author

e - GNI per capita, Atlas method (current US\$) 1998

3.2. Agribusiness in the Brazilian States

This section presents the results for the Brazilian agribusiness, which are displayed into Tables 2 to 6. Figure 1 presents a map of Brazil, such that it is possible to locate every region and state in its geographical position in the country.

From the data presented in Table 2 it can be seen the uneven distribution of income among the Brazilian states and macro regions. The richest region is the Southeast region, with a per capita income 34% over the Brazilian average, and which concentrates 56.7% of the Brazilian GDP, 42.6% of its population, and 45.4% of the agribusiness GDP. It is followed by the South region, with a per capita income 21% over the Brazilian average, and with a share of 18.1% of the Brazilian GDP, 14.9% of its population, and 28.1% of the agribusiness GDP. The Central West region has a per capita income that is 15% over the Brazilian average, mainly because of the Federal District where the Brazilian capital (Brasília), with a per capita income three times bigger than the national average, is located. As a result of the above the Central West region has a share of 7.8% of the Brazilian GDP, 6.8% of its population, and 8.1% of the agribusiness GDP. The North region has a per capita income 37% below the Brazilian average, and a share of 4.7% of the Brazilian GDP, 7.4% of its population, and 5.7% of the agribusiness GDP. And finally, the Northeast region has a per capita income 56% below the Brazilian average, and a share of 12.5% of the Brazilian GDP, 28.2% of its population, and 12.7% of the agribusiness GDP.

In economic terms, the more developed state in Brazil, outside the Federal District (mainly a public sector economy), is the São Paulo state, which accounts for 34.50% of the Brazilian GDP, 21.9% of the Brazilian population, 28.7% of the agribusiness GDP, and a per capita income 58% bigger than the national average. The productive structure of the state also shows as the more developed in the nation.

Considering the importance of the agribusiness GDP relatively to the importance of the economy GDP (Table 3), the results show that the agribusiness, relatively, is less important for the Southeast region than for the other regions, despite the fact that the biggest share of the agribusiness is in this region.

Figure 1: Map of the Brazilian States and Macro Regions



Table 2. GDP, Population, GDP Per Capita, and Agribusiness GDP for Brazilian States, Macro Regions, and the Whole Economy – 1999

	GDP US\$ Million	Population Thousand	Per Capita GDP US\$	Agribusiness GDP US\$ Million
North	24,950	12,134	2,056	7,996
Acre	865	528	1,639	174
Amapá	905	440	2,058	115
Amazonas	9,566	2,581	3,706	1,385
Pará	9,299	5,886	1,580	4,719
Rondônia	2,759	1,297	2,128	1,045
Roraima	448	267	1,679	48
Tocantins	1,107	1,135	976	510
Northeast	66,569	46,289	1,438	17,940
Alagoas	3,385	2,713	1,248	1,305
Bahia	22,279	12,993	1,715	5,398
Ceará	10,071	7,107	1,417	2,429
Maranhão	4,285	5,418	791	1,724
Paraíba	4,131	3,376	1,224	1,391
Pernambuco	13,297	7,581	1,754	3,157
Piauí	2,419	2,734	885	821
Rio Grande do Norte	3,941	2,655	1,485	608
Sergipe	2,762	1,713	1,613	1,106
Central West	41,633	11,221	3,710	11,495
Federal District	19,547	1,970	9,923	603
Goiás	9,557	4,849	1,971	3,926
Mato Grosso	6,469	2,376	2,723	3,257
Mato Grosso do Sul	6,061	2,027	2,991	3,709
Southeast	302,137	69,858	4,325	64,103
Espírito Santo	9,528	2,938	3,243	3,276
Minas Gerais	51,349	17,296	2,969	13,404
Rio de Janeiro	58,004	13,807	4,201	6,919
São Paulo	183,256	35,817	5,116	40,505
South	95,845	24,446	3,921	39,667
Paraná	34,706	9,376	3,702	12,846
Santa Catarina	19,988	5,098	3,920	9,814
Rio Grande do Sul	41,152	9,972	4,127	17,006
Brazil	531,135	163,948	3,240	141,201

Source: Research Data

For 15 out of the Brazilian 27 states, the agribusiness has a bigger dimension than the other activities in the economy. i.e., the state agribusiness share in the Brazilian agribusiness is greater than its share in the Brazilian GDP (Table 3). Inside each one these states it is possible to measure the importance of the agribusiness for its economy (Table 4), the results show that the agribusiness has a share of more than 33% of these states GDP. These states are (agriculture and agribusiness share in the State GDP in parenthesis): Espírito Santo (7.5% and 34.4%), Paraná (13.0% and 37.0%), Santa Catarina (12.9% and 49.1%), Rio Grande do Sul (12.6% and 41.3%), Goiás (16.0% and 41.1%), Mato Grosso (21.0% and 50.3%), Mato Grosso do Sul (28.4% and 61.2%), Pará (23.5% and 50.8%), Rondônia (16.7% and 37.9%), Tocantins (18.3% and 46.0%), Alagoas (8.2% and 38.6%), Maranhão (17.2% and 40.2%), Paraíba (11.1% and 33.7%), Piauí (9.1% and 33.9%), and Sergipe (7.8% and 40.1%).

The multiplier power of the agriculture, going to the agribusiness concept, in the Brazilian states goes from 2.2 to 14.8, a bigger spectrum than the one found for the selected countries presented into table one. However, the minimum multiplier power is similar to the one found for these countries.

The results for the Brazilian economy also shows that when studying the importance of the agriculture/agribusiness in a given region it is extremely important to take into consideration the regional differences, if this is not done, an overall economic policy for the country as a whole can have as a consequence some unexpected and undesired results.

Following the tendency observed for the countries presented in this study, as the per capita income increases, there is a tendency for a decrease in the share of the agriculture and the agribusiness in the economy GDP. This can lead one to think about the role that the agribusiness should play in a given economy.

Should the agribusiness be promoted as the leading sector in a given economy, or should it be used as the bases for the growth of the other sectors in the economy as it has been used in the past?

**Table 3. States and Macro Regions Shares in GDP and Agribusiness
GDP, Brazil - 1999**

	GDP Shares (%) (1)	Agribusiness Shares (%) (2)	(2) / (1) (3)
North	4.70	5.66	1.21
Acre	0.16	0.12	0.76
Amapá	0.17	0.08	0.48
Amazonas	1.80	0.98	0.54
Pará	1.75	3.34	1.91
Rondônia	0.52	0.74	1.42
Roraima	0.08	0.03	0.40
Tocantins	0.21	0.36	1.73
Northeast	12.53	12.71	1.01
Alagoas	0.64	0.92	1.45
Bahia	4.19	3.82	0.91
Ceará	1.90	1.72	0.91
Maranhão	0.81	1.22	1.51
Paraíba	0.78	0.99	1.27
Pernambuco	2.50	2.24	0.89
Piauí	0.46	0.58	1.28
Rio Grande do Norte	0.74	0.43	0.58
Sergipe	0.52	0.78	1.51
Central West	7.84	8.14	1.04
Federal District	3.68	0.43	0.12
Goiás	1.80	2.78	1.55
Mato Grosso	1.22	2.31	1.89
Mato Grosso do Sul	1.14	2.63	2.30
Southeast	56.89	45.40	0.80
Espírito Santo	1.79	2.32	1.29
Minas Gerais	9.67	9.49	0.98
Rio de Janeiro	10.92	4.90	0.45
São Paulo	34.50	28.69	0.83
South	18.05	28.09	1.56
Paraná	6.53	9.10	1.39
Santa Catarina	3.76	6.95	1.85
Rio Grande do Sul	7.75	12.04	1.55
Brazil	100.00	100.00	1.00

Source: Research Data

Table 4. Agriculture and Agribusiness Shares in the States and Macro Regions GDP, Brazil - 1999

	Agriculture Share in GDP (%) (1)	Agribusiness Share in GDP (%) (2)	(2) / (1) (3)
North	12.70	32.05	2.52
Acre	4.54	20.11	4.43
Amapá	5.02	12.71	2.53
Ama zonas	2.24	14.48	6.48
Pará	23.54	50.75	2.16
Rondônia	16.72	37.88	2.27
Roraima	4.02	10.64	2.64
Tocantins	18.28	46.03	2.52
Northeast	8.18	26.95	3.29
Alagoas	8.17	38.55	4.72
Bahia	8.53	24.23	2.84
Ceará	5.31	24.12	4.54
Maranhão	17.16	40.24	2.34
Paraíba	11.13	33.68	3.03
Pernambuco	7.33	23.74	3.24
Piauí	9.13	33.94	3.72
Rio Grande do Norte	3.23	15.44	4.78
Sergipe	7.78	40.05	5.15
Central West	11.22	27.61	2.46
Federal District	0.32	3.09	9.74
Goiás	15.99	41.08	2.57
Mato Grosso	20.96	50.34	2.40
Mato Grosso do Sul	28.44	61.19	2.15
Southeast	4.66	21.22	4.55
Espírito Santo	7.46	34.38	4.61
Minas Gerais	9.19	26.10	2.84
Rio de Janeiro	0.81	11.93	14.80
São Paulo	4.47	22.10	4.94
South	12.81	41.39	3.23
Paraná	13.00	37.01	2.85
Santa Catarina	12.87	49.10	3.81
Rio Grande do Sul	12.61	41.33	3.28
Brazil	7.47	26.58	3.56
Mean	10.39	31.30	4.07
Median	8.83	33.81	3.13

Source: Research Data

This is not an easy question to answer and for sure it can not be answer in the scope of the presented work, however, the work being conducted here could shed some light in the role that the agriculture and the agribusiness should play in the development process of a given region.

The values and shares of each component of the agribusiness – (i) non-agricultural inputs, (ii) agriculture, (iii) industry, and (iv) distribution – are presented into Tables 5 and 6.

For Brazil as a whole, the share in the agribusiness of the non-agricultural inputs is of 4.6%, the share of the agriculture is 28.1%, while the shares of industry and distribution are respectively of 32.8% and 34.5%. The results clearly show the importance of the industry and distribution, with a joint share of 67.3%, for the agribusiness. However, this distribution is not uniform among the states and the difference is due, to a great point to, the level of industrialization in a given state.

For the more developed South and Southeast regions the industry share in the agribusiness is around 35%, while for the other regions is between 20% and 30%, i.e., 21% for the Central West region, and 25% and 30% for the North and Northeast region, respectively.

This is an indication of a low level of aggregation of value in the less developed regions of the country, giving an indication that there is a space for the economic growth in these regions through the implementation of processing units of agricultural goods.

A typical example of this process is the Agriculture Frontier Region of Brazil, represented by the Central West region (Brazilian Savanna). With the states of Goiás, Mato Grosso, and Mato Grosso do Sul, being recently the main producers of soybeans and cotton in Brazil. In these states the share of Industry is around 25% for the state of Goiás and around 17% for the other two. At the same time the share of the agriculture is of 39% for Goiás, 42% for Mato Grosso and 46% for Mato Grosso do Sul.

Concerning the use of non-agricultural inputs in the Agribusiness, its share varies from 2.35% to 9.71%, being the average 4.8%.

Table 5. Agribusiness GDP Values (US\$ Million) of Its Components, in the States and Macro Regions, Brazil – 1999

	Agribus.	Non Agr. Inputs	Agriculture	Industry	Distrib.	Agr. Inputs (in 3)	Total Inputs (2)+(6)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(2)+(6)
North	7,996	335	3,169	1,965	2,526	430	765
Acre	174	6	39	49	79	6	12
Amapá	115	5	45	10	54	4	9
Amazonas	1,385	134	214	753	284	25	159
Pará	4,719	122	2,189	918	1,491	287	409
Rondônia	1,045	35	461	175	374	81	116
Roraima	48	3	18	4	23	5	7
Tocantins	510	29	202	57	221	23	52
Northeast	17,940	913	5,446	5,367	6,215	760	1,674
Alagoas	1,305	69	277	470	490	42	111
Bahia	5,398	352	1,901	1,440	1,705	251	603
Ceará	2,429	125	535	943	827	79	204
Maranhão	1,724	50	735	263	676	45	95
Paraíba	1,391	71	460	358	503	61	132
Pernambuco	3,157	132	975	951	1,099	123	255
Piauí	821	39	221	266	296	72	110
Rio Grande do Norte	608	27	127	234	220	29	56
Sergipe	1,106	49	215	443	400	58	107
Central West	11,495	648	4,669	2,371	3,806	895	1,543
Federal District	603	51	62	167	322	9	61
Goiás	3,926	251	1,528	982	1,165	329	580
Mato Grosso	3,257	195	1,356	566	1,140	278	472
Mato Grosso do Sul	3,709	151	1,723	655	1,179	279	430
Southeast	64,103	3,336	14,090	22,986	23,691	1,950	5,286
Espírito Santo	3,276	135	710	1,204	1,227	78	213
Minas Gerais	13,404	584	4,718	3,986	4,115	741	1,325
Rio de Janeiro	6,919	307	467	2,981	3,163	60	368
São Paulo	40,505	2,310	8,193	14,815	15,187	1,072	3,381
South	39,667	1,261	12,276	13,646	12,483	1,780	3,041
Paraná	12,846	470	4,513	3,944	3,919	665	1,135
Santa Catarina	9,814	231	2,573	4,187	2,823	393	624
Rio Grande do Sul	17,006	560	5,191	5,514	5,741	723	1,282
Brazil	141,201	6,494	39,650	46,335	48,722	5,816	12,309
Mean	9,669,762	442,559	2,775,223	3,130,476	3,321,504	404,793	847,351
Median	5,069,091	233,221	1,130,071	1,278,060	1,747,236	142,343	378,110

Source: Research Data

Table 6. Agribusiness GDP Shares of Its Components, in the States and Macro Regions
GDP (%), Brazil - 1999

	Non Agr. Inputs (2)	Agriculture (3)	Industry (4)	Distrib. (5)	Agr. Inputs (in 3) (6)	Total Inputs (2)+(6)
North	4.19	39.64	24.58	31.60	5.38	9.57
Acre	3.69	22.59	28.12	45.61	3.39	7.07
Amapá	4.51	39.51	8.69	47.29	3.36	7.87
Amazonas	9.71	15.44	54.38	20.47	1.80	11.50
Pará	2.58	46.38	19.45	31.59	6.08	8.66
Rondônia	3.36	44.14	16.71	35.79	7.76	11.12
Roraima	6.00	37.81	7.90	48.30	9.45	15.45
Tocantins	5.70	39.70	11.18	43.43	4.47	10.16
Northeast	5.09	30.35	29.92	34.64	4.24	9.33
Alagoas	5.28	21.20	36.00	37.51	3.21	8.49
Bahia	6.53	35.22	26.67	31.58	4.64	11.17
Ceará	5.14	22.03	38.81	34.03	3.26	8.40
Maranhão	2.89	42.65	15.25	39.22	2.60	5.49
Paraíba	5.07	33.06	25.72	36.15	4.39	9.46
Pernambuco	4.19	30.87	30.13	34.81	3.91	8.09
Piauí	4.71	26.89	32.36	36.03	8.73	13.44
R Grande do Norte	4.47	20.91	38.50	36.12	4.81	9.28
Sergipe	4.41	19.42	40.02	36.15	5.28	9.69
Central West	5.64	40.62	20.62	33.11	7.79	13.43
Federal District	8.51	10.27	27.77	53.46	1.55	10.06
Goiás	6.39	38.92	25.01	29.68	8.39	14.78
Mato Grosso	5.98	41.64	17.38	35.00	8.52	14.50
Mato Grosso do Sul	4.08	46.47	17.67	31.78	7.52	11.59
Southeast	5.20	21.98	35.86	36.96	3.04	8.25
Espírito Santo	4.12	21.68	36.75	37.45	2.37	6.49
Minas Gerais	4.36	35.20	29.74	30.70	5.53	9.89
Rio de Janeiro	4.44	6.75	43.09	45.71	0.87	5.31
São Paulo	5.70	20.23	36.58	37.49	2.65	8.35
South	3.18	30.95	34.40	31.47	4.49	7.67
Para	3.66	35.13	30.71	30.50	5.17	8.83
Santa Catarina	2.35	26.22	42.67	28.77	4.00	6.35
Rio Grande do Sul	3.29	30.52	32.43	33.76	4.25	7.54
Brazil	4.60	28.08	32.82	34.51	4.12	8.72
Mean	4.83	30.37	28.37	36.43	4.76	9.59
Median	4.46	31.96	28.93	35.91	4.43	9.37

Source: Research Data

4. FINAL COMMENTS

By analyzing the results presented in this paper, one can infer the complexity of the Brazilian economy and its agribusiness, with differences among regions and inside regions among states.

The Agribusiness results show the fundamental role that this segment has performed in the Brazilian economy, responsible for approximately 27% of its GDP in 1999. The results point out the importance and dependence of the other sectors of the economy in the agriculture, the share of 7.5%, in 1999, of the Brazilian agriculture in the national GDP is multiplied approximately 3.6 times when the Agribusiness concept is used.

The Southeast region has a share of 56.9% of the Brazilian GDP and 45.4% of the Brazilian agribusiness, while the shares of the South region are respectively 18.1% and 28.1%, for the Central West are 7.84% and 8.14%, for the North 4.7% and 5.7%, and for the Northeast 12.5% and 12.7%. These results show that the agribusiness, relatively, is less important for the Southeast region than for the other regions, despite the fact that the biggest share of the agribusiness is in the Southeast region.

The share of each component of the Agribusiness – (i) non-agricultural inputs, (ii) agriculture, (iii) industry, and (iv) distribution - differs among the states and is to a certain point related with the level of industrialization in a given state.

For Brazil as a whole, the share in the agribusiness of the non-agricultural inputs is of 4.6%, the share of the agriculture is 28.1%, while the shares of industry and distribution are respectively of 32.8% and 34.5%.

Despite the study made here, there are still some questions left out and that need to be uncovered, like, how to measure the contribution of the a given culture to the agribusiness, how the regions interact among themselves in generating the value of the agribusiness, how the agriculture can take advantage of this more advanced and integrated process of production, and what should be the future of the agriculture in this new integrated setting.

REFERENCES

- Davis, J. and Goldberg, R. (1957). *A Concept of Agribusiness*, Harvard University, Boston.
- Furtuoso, M.C.O. (1998). *O Produto Interno Bruto do Complexo Agroindustrial Brasileiro*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universidade de São Paulo.
- Furtuoso M.C.O., Barros, G.S.C., and Guilhoto, J.J.M. (1998). “The Gross National Production of the Brazilian Agroindustrial Complex”, *Brazilian Review of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology*, vol. 36, pp. 9-31.
- Furtuoso, M.C.O. e J.J.M. Guilhoto (2003). “Estimativa e Mensuração do Produto Interno Bruto do Agronegócio da Economia Brasileira, 1994 a 2000”. *Revista Brasileira de Economia e Sociologia Rural*. Vol 41, N. 4, Nov./Dez., pp. 803-827
- Guilhoto, J.J.M., Furtuoso, M.C.O., and Barros, G.S.C. (2000). *O Agronegócio na Economia Brasileira, 1994-1999*, Research Report, CEPEA / CNA, Brasília.
- Guilhoto, J.J.M. et al. (2004). “Matriz de Interregional de Insumo-Produto da Economia Brasileira para 1999”. Work in Progress. FEA-USP.
- IADB (2003). “More Than Food on the Table: Agriculture’s True Contribution to the Economy”. Executive Summary. October 20th.
- Leeuwen, M. van (2000). “Importance of Agro-Food Chains in EU Regions: a Cross-Section Analysis.”. Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Input-Output Techniques”, August 21-25. Macerata. Italy.
- Leontief, W. (1951). *The Structure of the American Economy*, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Pinazza, L.A. and Araújo, N.B. (1993). *Agricultura Brasileira no Século XX: Uma Visão de Agribusiness*, Globo, Rio de Janeiro.
- SNA (1993). *System of National Accounts*. Rev. 4. Commission of the European Communities. Brussels.
- World Bank (2004). *World Bank Data by Country*. In <http://www.worldbank.org/data/countrydata/countrydata.html>.