

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Simonis, Udo E.

Research Report — Digitized Version

Quantitative economic growth and international interchange: the Federal Republic of Germany

### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

WZB Berlin Social Science Center

Suggested Citation: Simonis, Udo E. (1973): Quantitative economic growth and international interchange: the Federal Republic of Germany, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung, Berlin

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/112669

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.







### WZB-Open Access Digitalisate

### WZB-Open Access digital copies

Das nachfolgende Dokument wurde zum Zweck der kostenfreien Onlinebereitstellung digitalisiert am Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung gGmbH (WZB). Das WZB verfügt über die entsprechenden Nutzungsrechte. Sollten Sie sich durch die Onlineveröffentlichung des Dokuments wider Erwarten dennoch in Ihren Rechten verletzt sehen, kontaktieren Sie bitte das WZB postalisch oder per E-Mail:

Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung gGmbH

Bibliothek und wissenschaftliche Information

Reichpietschufer 50

D-10785 Berlin

E-Mail: bibliothek@wzb.eu

The following document was digitized at the Berlin Social Science Center (WZB) in order to make it publicly available online.

The WZB has the corresponding rights of use. If, against all possibility, you consider your rights to be violated by the online publication of this document, please contact the WZB by sending a letter or an e-mail to:

Berlin Social Science Center (WZB) Library and Scientific Information Reichpietschufer 50 D-10785 Berlin

e-mail: bibliothek@wzb.eu

Digitalisierung und Bereitstellung dieser Publikation erfolgten im Rahmen des Retrodigitalisierungsprojektes **OA 1000+**. Weitere Informationen zum Projekt und eine Liste der ca. 1 500 digitalisierten Texte sind unter <a href="http://www.wzb.eu/de/bibliothek/serviceangebote/open-access/oa-1000">http://www.wzb.eu/de/bibliothek/serviceangebote/open-access/oa-1000</a> verfügbar.

This text was digitizing and published online as part of the digitizing-project **OA 1000+**. More about the project as well as a list of all the digitized documents (ca. 1 500) can be found at <a href="http://www.wzb.eu/en/library/services/open-access/oa-1000">http://www.wzb.eu/en/library/services/open-access/oa-1000</a>.

Quantitative Economic Growth and International Interchange: The Federal Republic of Germany

ру

Udo E. Simonis

Prepared for the Fourth German-Japanese Cultural Exchange Seminar on PROBLEMS OF THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN JAPAN AND IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY at Düsseldorf, October 1973

"An announcement ... that the country's exports are breaking all records has a regenerative effect on our spirits: we begin to feel proud, confident and very respectable.

One dares not imagine the general acclaim and exhilaration that would follow the discovery, at the end of the year, that we had in fact exportet the whole of our national product".

E.J. MISHAN

### I. Introduction

For quite a long period the main emphasis of economic policy in Germany has been laid on growth and trade expansion, where as one of the points of this paper is that it ought to be on improving the allocation of resources.

In economics, as in other fields, past experiences provide a major basis for current decisions, even though changing circumstances may have diminished the appropriateness of past experiences. This use of "conventional wisdom" may explain the continuing emphasis on economic and export growth - despite the many problems created by such growth.

German economic growth and the surplus in the trade balance since long have ceased to be of national interest only. They have among others been jointly responsible for several crises of the international system. However, the discussion in Germany on the problems involved has centered on the aspect of "imported inflation". Other negative effects have to an astonishingly high degree been neglected or excluded from discussion. Though many industrial countries in the last decade experienced longlasting imbalances in their foreign economic relations, Germany is in fact a very extraordinary example. It's beyond doubt that fundamental imbalances in trade collide with several economic goals, not only on the national but also on the international level. Insofar as economic balance is one element of the "quality of life", long-lasting fundamental imbalances in the trade relations of a country should be detrimental to the improvement of the quality of life of the population at large. This hypothesis should hold true for the German population.

Trade expansion is not an unmixed blessing, and one purpose of this paper is to argue that foreign trade is no longer a factor Germany should be trying to speed up. Growth, of course, is very deeply entrenched in our economic thinking and in institutionalized economic and political behaviour. Society emphasizes growth, and assumes that good things will follow by some indirect mechanism. (To paraphrase Henry C. WALLICH: so long as there is growth there is hope.) Particularly, growth seems to be a substitute for equality and quantitative growth a substitute for qualitative improvements.

Because growth and trade expansion have become such an integral part of the economy, any sudden setback is greatly feared and could indeed be disastrous. One must also see, that as a product of long evolution, the trade system is not something that can be overhauled by a few armchair critics.

Yet, in a 1969 report submitted by the Secretary-General of OECD on the problems of modern society it was said that "... for the 1970's, we should put more emphasis on welfare, and less on growth for its own sake"3). Continuing rapid economic growth now requires a more systematic and foreward looking approach to problems of resource allocation so as to take more fully into account the desirable long-term development. The Secretary-General, however, continued to say that " ... it is not possible to provide quantitative norms pointing to the desirable allocation of resources in favour of 'quality' - what the qualitative priorities should be must to a large extent be a political judgement".4) Though this may be true to some large extent it is in fact necessary to critically analyse the situation and to make clear the options available. A confrontation of the interests of sectors and groups with the general objectives of society, . of the conflicts between foreign trade and domestic trade, and of the interests of today and those of the future should help to produce a better allocation of resources in the long run.

While the precise definition of a society's preferences and valuations regarding the quality of life may represent an inherently difficult or even futile endeavor, it is nevertheless fairly safe to predict that for a policy placing more emphasis on the qualitative aspects of growth and trade there are two problems that supposedly deserve special consideration: the "infrastructure problem" and the "environmental problem". Infrastructural goods and environmental amenities are highly important for our welfare. Their neglect or loss, however, does not show up in any indicator on foreign trade. This must result in a serious misallocation of resources<sup>5)</sup>. Another emphasis of this paper, therefore, will be to show the interdependencies between trade and the structure of the economy, especially to develop hypotheses on the relations between trade expansion, infrastructure and environment.

We proceed by first compiling some figures on economic growth and foreign trade in order to get a first answer to three questions:

- (1) what is the general significance of foreign trade for the German economy,
- (2) how strong is the position of Germany in world trade,
- (3) and where do the pecularities and decisive structural problems of German trade lie?

An intensive though not only empirical consideration will follow on the question of the social costs of foreign trade, especially the environmental costs, and the overall implications of a policy of elimination of social costs for the volume and structure of trade will be looked for. It will be asked in how far rapid growth and the specific structure of trade expansion may have negatively impaired the quality of life in Germany or, in how far the records shown in the trade statistics should be reviewed in order to get an impression of the magnitude of gains from quantitative trade expansion for the improvment in the qualitative conditions of the population at large. Furthermore, the inefficacy of traditional policies to deal with structural imbalances will be discussed and some alternative

structural imbalances will be discussed and some alternative measures be suggested.

A warning should be added. One must always be aware of the precariousness and prematurity of propositions on the quality of life: lack of data and models, difficulties in aggregation and correlation, arbitrariness in the selection of relevant indicators etc. are reasons for this. Still, non-comprehensive empirical investigations and resulting statements should be fruitful, may be more so than rigorous but abstract argumentations along the lines of traditional welfare economics, the assumptions of which are too rigid of ever being fulfilled.

## II. Economic Growth and Trade Expansion

1) Following the United States, the Fereral Republic of Germany is the second biggest trading nation in the world. Exports of goods increased from 8.36 billion Deutsche Mark (DM) in 1950 to some 149.02 billion DM in 1972, while imports rose from 11.37 to 128.74 billion DM in this same period. This rapid expansion lead to a growing share in world exports which rose from 3.6% in 1950 to 12.6% in 1972.

Tables 1, 2 and 3 give a first illustration of the dynamics of the German economic growth and trade expansion in the postwar period.

This increasing role of Germany in world trade in the postwar period went hand in hand with an increasing share of international trade in Germany's GNP. Exports of goods and services in the GNP (in current prices) increased from 11.3 % in 1950 to about 23 % in 1968, staying on that level up to the present. Measuring the export quota in the GNP on the basis of 1962 prices, a steady increase can be observed from 10.7 % in 1950 to some 28.6 % in 1972. The development of both quotas is shown in table 4.

Table 1: Gross National Product, Exports and Imports of Goods and Services of Germany, 1950-1972

(current prices, billion DM, resp. prices of 1962)

Year	GNP	Exp.	Imp.	Exp. Imp:/.	GNP	Exp.	Imp.	Exp/.
	(cur	rent pr	ices)	ner man upp sight two man bets trad and	(cons	st. price 1962)	s of	o many terms where the first man and appro-
	00			7 75	117 60	15 50	77 107	1 11 07
1950	98.10	11.16	12.51	- 1.35	143.60	15.50	11.47	+ 4.03
1951	120.00	18.51	16.39	+ 2.12	158.60	21.03	12.31	+ 8.72
1952	137.00	21.84	18.71	+ 3.13	172.70	23.73	15.32	+ 8.41
1953	147.70	25.08	19.88	+ 5.20	186.90	27.55	18.23	+ 9.32
1954	158.60	30.47	25.49	+ 4.98	200.80	34.15	24.06	+10.09
1955	181.40	36.23	32.30	+ 3.93	224.90	39.87	30.15	+ 9.72
1956	200.50	43.57	37.11	+ 6.46	241.30	45.95	34.05	+11.90
1957	218.50	51.86	43.32	+ 8.54	255.00	53.30	39.28	+14.02
1958	234.30	53.46	44.81	+ 8.65	264.50	55.88	43.69	+12.19
1959	254.90	60.24	51.11	+ 9.13	283.80	62.93	50.80	+12.13
1960	284.70	69.48	61.10	+ 8.38	309.40	71.14	59.84	+11.30
1960	302.30	62.67	55.28	+ 7.39	328.40	64.11	53 <b>.7</b> 9	+10.32
1961	332.60	65.16	58.62	+ 6.54	346.20	65.97	58.17	+ 7.80
1962	360.10	68.37	64.71	+ 3.66	360.10	68.37	64.71	+ 3.66
1963	384.00	74.49	69.40	+ 5.09	372.50	74.00	69.36	+ 4.64
1964	420.90	82.91	77.89	+ 5.02	397.30	81.82	77.28	+ 4.54
1965	460.40	90.87	91.41	- 0.54	419.50	87.93	88.29	- 0.36
1966	490.70	102.29	95.84	+ 6.45	431.70	97.36	90.61	+ 6.75
1967	495.50	110.12	94.20	+15.92	430.80	105.25	89.35	+15.90
1968	540.00	123.83	106.22	+17.61	462.30	119.40	102.46	+16.94
1969	605.20	141.85	127.06	+14.79	500.40	134.45	119.81	+14.64
1970	685.60	158.58	147.41	+11.17	529.40	146.51	139.83	+ 7.53
1971	758.80		152.90	+10.20	544.30	155.86	152.34	+ 3.52
1972	828.50	188.30	175.00	+13.30	<u></u>		***	

Sources: Jahresgutachten 1972 des Sachverständigenrates (JG),pp.212-213.

Note: Definitions according to the National Accounts; 1950-60

without Saarland and Berlin

Table 2: Growth of Commodity Trade of Germany, 1956-1972
Total Trade and Per Capita Trade
(current prices)

Year	Imp.		Exp.		Exp	o	/.Imp.		mp. per Capita		xp. per Capita
	(:	in m	illion	DM)	1000 and 5000 ft					(in DM)	and they cam pull thin the Lord hills
1956	27 9	964	30	861	; <del>†</del>	2	897		528	٠,	582
1957	31 (	697	35	968	+	4	271	•	591		670
1958	31 :	133	36	998	+	5	865		573		681
1959	. 35 8	823	41	184	+	5	361		653		750
1960	42 7	723	47	946	+	5	223		771		865
1961	44	363	50	978	+	б	615		790		907
1962	49. 1	498	52	975	+	3	476		869		930
1963	52 2	277	58	31o	+	6	032		908	1	013
1964	58 8	839	64	920	+	6	081	1	olo	. 1	114
1965	70 1	448	71	651	+	1	203	1	194	1	214
1966	72 6	570	80	628	+	7	958	1	219	1	352
1967	70. ]	183	87	o45.	+	16	862	1.	172	1	454
1968	81 ]	179	99	551	+	18	372	ı	349	. 1	654
1969	97 9	972	113	557	+	15	584	1	610	1.	866
1970	109 6	506.	125	276	+	15	670	1	80.7	. 2	066
1971	120	119	136	oll	+	15	892	1	979	2	241
1972	128 7	744 ′	149	022	+	20	278	<b>.</b> .		-	
1973 JanJu	- 72 6 ne	<b>်</b> ဝဝ	85 -	400.	+	12	870	<b>-</b>			

Sources: Statistisches Jahrbuch 1972, p. 285.

Note: According to Trade Statistics, Special trade only.

Table 3: Germany's Share in World Exports, 1950-1971 (in %)

1950	1955	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965
3,6	7,3	9,6		10,7		10.8	10.7	10.9
			,					
1966	1967	1968	1969	19 <b>7</b> 0	1971			
11,1	11.5	11.7	11.8	12.3	12.6			

Sources: Statistisches Jahrbuch, sev. editions
Note: World exports excluding socialist countries

Table 4: Share of Exports in the German GNP, 1950-1971

وسده نوست غائبة ديدي ويده فواند فيأدن صنبي ديسه	 ويور مورد مورد مورد مين ويور ديم المدين ا	
	Export Quota	Export Quota
Year	(in current prices)	(in const.prices of 1962)
1950	11.3	10.7
1951	15.4	13.2
1952	15.9	13.7
1953	16.8	14.7
1954	19.8	17.0
1955	19.9	17.7
1956	21.7	19.0
1957	23.7	20.9
1958	22.8	21.1
1959	23.6	22.1
1960	24.4	22.9
1960	20.7	19.5
1961	19.6	19.0
1962	19.0	19.0
1963 .	19.3	. 19.8
1964	19.7	20.5
1965	19.7	20.9
1966	20.8.	22.5
1967	22.2	24.4
1968	22.9	26.0
1969	23.4	26.8
1970	23.1	27.6
1971 <b>1132</b>	22.8 <b>22</b> .7	28.6

Source: Calculated according to the system of the National Accounts; Export of Goods and Services; 1950-1960 without Saarland and Berlin.

Generally speaking we may conclude from these aggregate figures that foreign trade plays an important role in the German economy, both with respect to its secular growth and the fluctuations of growth. Any intention to define and to improve the 'quality of life' of the German population it thus inevitably dependent on the analysis of and the influence upon foreign trade. Seen from another angle: a policy of improving the quality of life will be strongly influenced by the existing foreign economic relations and will have to influence them in order to be successful.

A closer look at German exports and imports reveals a heavy bias towards exports, more goods and services being exported than imported. Since 1952 the trade balance registered an enormous and still increasing export surplus. Summed up over the periods 1952-1972 this surplus would reach as much as 164 billion DM, or an average of about 7.80 billion DM per year.

The increase in export surplus, though, has not been steady, rather several periods may be distinguished. The first period between 1953 and 1956 showed a relatively stable surplus of about 2.5 billion DM per year, in 1957 it jumped to more than 4 billion and steadly increased up to 1964, reaching more than 6 billion DM. The year 1965, however, brought a spectaculous change, the surplus decreasing to 1.2 billion, when imports expanded more than twice as rapidly as exports. After this extraordinary deviation from the trend, in the second half of 1966 the third period began, when the surplus jumped to a never experienced level of 16.86 billion in 1967, remaining about that level in the next years and reaching more than 20 billion in 1972. A surplus in the trade balance of more than 23 billion DM in expected for the current year. The development of the German trade balance, and the other international transactions are summarized in table 5.

Table 5: Development of Main Items in the German Balanceof-Payments, 1950-1972 (in million DM; + = surplus, - = deficit)

Year	Trade Balance	Transfer Balance	Balance of long-term capital	Currency Balance
1950	- 3 ol3	+ 2 065	+ 458	- 564
1951	- 149	+ 1 529	- 149	+ 2 038
1952	+ 706	+ 160	- 447	+ 2 900
1953	+ 2 516	- 461	<del>-</del> 398	+ 3 646
1954	+ 2 698	- 474	- 518	+ 2 971
1955	+ 1 245	- 834	- 381	+ 1 851
1956	+ 2 897	- 1 221	- 455	+ 5 010
1957	+ 4 083	- 1 882	- 440	+ 5 122
1958	+ 4 954	- 2 000	- 1 457	+ 3 444
1959	+ 5 361	- 3 279	- 3 739	- 1 692
1960	+ 5 223	- 3 488	- 171	+ 8 019
1961	+ 6 615	- 4 430	- 4 203	- 2 297
1962	+ 3 477	- 5 210	353	- 877
1963	+ 6 032	- 5 095	+ 1 546	+ 2 740
1964	+ 6 081	- 5 311	- 1 034	+ 435
1965	+ 1 203	- 6 377	+ 957	<b>-</b> 1 283
1966	+ 7 958	- 6 295	- 762	+ 1 952
1967	+ 16 862	- 6 422	- 3 180	- 140
1968	+ 18 372	- 7 312	- 11 411	+ 7 009
1969	+ 15 584	- 8 650	- 23 080	-14 361
1970	+ 15 670	- 9 359	- 2 879	+22 650
1971	+ 15 892	- lo 852	+ 6 536	+10 989
1972	+ 20 278	- 13 297	+13 012	+15 194

Source: Monatsberichte der Deutschen Bundesbank, 25, 1973,6, p. 70 +

Of course, to look at the development of one of the items in the international balance-of-payments in isolation may be of little significance, other items and partial balances have to be considered. As was shown in table 5, not only the German merchandise transactions were highly unbalanced. The reserves in gold and foreign currencies too rose dramatically. While in 1952 total reserves stood at just 3 billion DM, at the end of 1970 they reached 51.80 billion and in May, 1973 stood at 91.27 billion DM.

A noticeable decrease of the foreign currency reserves can only be observed for the years of DM-revaluation, 1961 and 1969, while the decrease after the international realignment of exchange rates in December, 1971, was only modest (September, 1971: 65.13, December, 1971: 62.22 billion DM)<sup>6)</sup>. As the Council of Economic Advisors stated correctly (for the situation in 1968), there is compared to trade volume no other country affording it—self such huge reserves of non-renumerative capital<sup>7)</sup>. This is even the more true for 1973.

The German Law on Stability and Growth of the Economy (Stabilitätsgesetz) of June, 8, 1967, states that the Federal government and the country governments have to pay attention to the overall balance of the economy; economic and fiscal measures have to be used in such a way as to achieve "... within the market system (marktirtschaftliche Ordnung) the goals of (1) price stability, (2) full employment, (3) external balance, (4) and steady and adequate economic growth simultaneously. In a 1967 note on economic projections of the ministry of economic affairs the goal 'external balance' was defined as to be reached if the 'Außenbeitrag', i.e. the surplus of exports over imports of goods and services to the GNP, does not exceed the one-percent limit 8). The so-defined 'external balance' has never been reached in postwar Germany, except for the years 1962, 1965, and 1971.

What makes things worse, them very definition of this goal of economic policy, may have to be called in question. It is thought to "... guarantee the probable financial commitments" of the future but, in fact, is some kind of alibi for continuing export surplus, not taking into account that large reserves may make a negative 'Außenbeitrag' necessary; furthermore, mainly it is the very structures of the balances that really matter. In recent discussions the 'basic-balance' concept is stressed, saying that a balance is reached when the 'Außenbeitrag' is equal to the combined balances of the long-term capital and the transfer balance<sup>9</sup>). However, even in this case short-term capital movements may lead to extraordinary hoardings of foreign currency reserves.

Generally speaking, the decisive role in the process of unbalanced development of the German balance-of-payments in the postwar period should be seen in the merchandise exports surplus. An active balance in the short-term capital movements can be observed for several years, for 1970, but in other years respective deficits occured. Though exports of goods are not unaffected by the transactions in other accounts, especially by long-term capital export, it seems obvious that the trade balance curplus must be recognized as being the main reason for the chronic imbalance in the German balance-of-payments. Before asking how this surplus came about, a few further details on German international economic relations shall be given.

(2) A marjor feature of the commodity structure of German exports is the high share of the investment goods industry, which comes close to 56 % (1972) with slightly increasing trend (52,5% in 1960). This fact of course, provides one of the explanations for the rapid expansion for overall exports, following the industry-oriented growth of world trade and the high elasticity of demand for investment goods. Finished products as such count for about 86 %. In contrast, the share of the agricultural products in exports has been decreasing to a mere 3.7 %, and raw materials come to 2.4 % of total exports.

On the import side a rather different picture emerges, although the structure is far from being as pronounced as e.g. is the case with Japan. Products of the investment goods industry count for only 23.4% as against the 56% on the export side; this share however, has gone up since 1960 when it stood at merely 11.1%. Finished goods come to 50.53%. The imports of raw materials have been steadily increasing in volume, from 3.30 billion DM in 1950 to some 15.80 billion DM in 1971, although their share in total imports decreased during that period from 29.6% (1950) to 13.4% (1971). The share of agricultural products in total imports stand now at 19%, having decreased slowly from 1960 when it still came to 26.3%.

On basis of the classification for statistics and tariffs (CST), the differences in the commodity structure of German exports and imports come out very clearly:

Table 6: Commodity Structure of German Trade, 1971

CST-Group	Exports (in %)	<pre>Imports (in %)</pre>			
0 + 1	3.2	16.3			
2 + 4	2.6	11.6			
3	3.0	10.2			
5	11.6	6.3			
6 + 8	30.6	32.1			
7	47.3	19.5			
9	1.6	. II.			

Source: Statistisches Jahrbuch, 1972, p. 301.

To carry on this short survey on the structure of German foreign trade relations a bit further, we computet the absolute surpluses or deficits of main commodity groups according to the production statistics for the period 1960-1971. The results are shown in table 7.

Table 7: Surplus and Deficit in German Trade, Main Commodities, 1960-1971 (in million DM)

	was very year and and their time was said a	hair than than hair the private have been been treet and a	party shall state their gary ways area, and, they good they must be	pale vers anny pamb durn billed below them, que some descripture po	the control of the control of the control of	the factor formed before spectra, manage passing harver other	back speak door and here
Year	Total Trade	Mining Pro- ducts		- Investment Goods	Consump- tion Goods	Food & Bevera- ges	Agri- cultu- ral Produc ts
1960	+ 5 223	<b>-2</b> 213	+ 1 661	+ 20 223	+ 95	-2 772	-10557
1961	+ 6 615	-2 339	+ 2 482	+ 21 421	- 296		-10435
1962	+ 3 476	-2 224	+ 1 492	+ 21 895	- 481	-3 753	-11516
£963	+ 6 o3 <b>2</b>	-2 394	+ 1 745	+ 24 957	- 357	-3 782	-lo44£
1954	+ 6 081	-3 654	+ 564	+ 27 123	- 379	-3 811	-11592
1965	+ 1 203	-4 138	+ 367	+ 27 794	-1 500	-4 741	-13153
1966	+ 7 958	-4 530	+ 2 795	+ 31 569	-1 302	-5 047	-13403
1967	+16 862	<b>-</b> 5 150	+ 5 407	+ 34 387	- 787	-4 354	-12260
1968	+18 372	-6 296	+ 3 337	+ 39 435	+ 123	-4 630	-12292
1969	+15 584	-7 008	+ 694	+ 43 094	+ 540	<b>-</b> 5 176	-13531
1970	+15 670	-7 400	+ 985	+ 43 883	+1 238	-6 032	-13175
1971	+15 892	<b>-</b> 8 535	+ 2 798	+ 47 267	+2 759	-6 225	-14040

Source: Jahresgutachten 1972, p. 286

will be discussed later, the structural difference between German exports and imports as revealed by the foregoing tables play an important role in continously creating trade surpluses. The relatively low elasticity of demand for a large part of the imports, on the one hand, the relatively high elasticity of demand for the larger part of the exports as well as the technical possibilities of greater specialization in the field of manufactures which dominate German exports, on the other hand, give also the clue for understanding the failure of revaluation measures proposed in order to decrease the export surplus of the German economy.

(3) Not only the overall trade and the commodity structure of trade but also the regional trade figures demonstrate the highly unbalanced situation of German exports and imports. Considering first the general classification of industrialized countries (IC), developing countries (DC), and socialist countries (SC), we get the following picture: some 83,5 % of German exports (in 1971) flow to the IC, about 12.0 % to the DC, and only 4.5 % to the SC. On the import side the respective figures are 80 %, 16 %, and 4 %. This means that there is, apart from the high dependence on the IC, also an extra high surplus of exports in the trade with the IC (15.70 billion DM), a deficit with the DC (2.80 billion) and a surplus of about 1 billion with the SC. Since 1968 these regional imbalances have remained fairly constant, no tendency towards a greater balance can be observed.

Going down to the level of regional groupings, the countries of the European Economic Community are by far the largest customers, with nearly 50 % of all German exports, going to this region, at a still increasing trend. Exports and imports to and from the EEC are, however, to an astonishingly high degree balanced. This is not so with the EFTA-countries, with whom Germany has been running to ever increasing surpluses, integration with the EEC countries and desintegration with the EFTA countries being marked in the field of import trade. This trend, furthermore, is very likely to be intensified following the enlargement of the EEC in 1973.

The regional structure of German trade on the country level shows that economic interchange with some industrialized countries has been increasing rapidly, not only absolutely but also relatively. 50 % of German exports are concentrated on 5 western countries (in the order: France, Holland, US) Belgium-Luxemburg, Italy), while concentration on the import side ist still more pronounced, some 57 % coming from the same 5 countries (in a little bit different order). It should be clear, while this heavy regional concentration of trade is conditioned by such factors as distance, elasticity of demand, communication systems etc., this situation is quite problematic, especially from the point of view of conjuncturally stabilizing the economy.

Comparing the fluctuations in the GNP growth rate of the western countries with the expansion of German exports, we find a very high correlation, a marked deviation however to be noted for the period after the first revaluation of the DM in 1961.

(4) To conclude our survey on the structure of German foreign trade relations we should have a look at the trade dependence of the various industries. A tabulation of the export and import quota German manufacturing industries lo) for the period 1960-1970 is given in table 8 and 9. These tables also include a projection for the year 1972 and a prognosis for the years 1977 and 1985.

As can easily be seen, a steady increase is to be registered in the quota concerned. The average export quota of manufacturing industry rose from 15 % in 1960 to 19 % in 1970, while the import quota went up from 12 % to 18 %. A very rapid increase took place in the export quota of the chemical industry (from 23 to 31 %), the paper industry, and the maschinery industry. Regarding imports, a rapid increase in the quota occured in the iron industry, the asbestos, industry, in maschinery and ships, in electronic industry, in glass industry, music instruments, and in clothings.

Unfortunately, these tabulations do not include the development of the last two years when in number of industries a dramatic change took place: export quota of investment goods industry jumped from 29 % in 1970 to 42 % in 1973; consumption goods industry: from 10 % to 17 %; total manufacturing industry: from 19 % to 31 %.

With these recent developments, the long-term prognosis for 1977, respectively 1985 to a certain extent were already reached within two years' time.

(5) German international capital transactions were not as spectaculous as was the case with merchandise trade. Beginning in 1951, a steady long-term capital export took place, which reached temporary high- 'lights in 1959 and 1961, when suddenly in 1963, there was for the first time a net import of long-term capital.

					<b>-</b> ;	17									
Table 8:								.IGTEN G				,			
BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND	1. <b>G</b> RUND	ZAHLEN	IN to	•				•							
	1460	1561	1562	1943	1464	ises	Lvee	1507	1 * 4 8	1086	1<75		1572*	1977	1983
1.00 GREACSTOFFE U.PPONERTICASCUFTER	15	15	15	1.6	1.5	16	1.6	. 50	14	19	19		. 21	≥5	33
1.cl STEIRE L. ERECA 1.02 EISTANDAMERENCE INC. 1.03 EISTANDAMERENCE INC. 1.03 EISTANDAMEREN LUKALINALZHERE 1.03 RE-FETALLINGUSYRIE 1.04 CEPTE 1.07 PIEFFALCELVERPRESITUNG 1.09 VELCTUREN U. ARKSTYPERPRESITUNG 1.09 VELCTUREN U. ARKSTYPERPRESITUNG 1.10 ZELLST.L.PAPIEREZELGUNG	20 16 11 (23 12 5	21 16 11 23 11 1 24 £	21 15 13 23 11 5	3 22 8 15 (16) 25)	20 14 14 25 4 12 8	3 8 14 16 25 4 12 6 8	24 9 14 22 27 4 13 6	30 11 10 22 79 15 1	4 24 115 115 116 118 118 118	24 10 15 14 31 97 93	4 24 24 24 24 16 16 13	+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20 13 17 16 32 4 18	4 32 15 17 22 40 4 23 19	5 34 20 18 29 54 35 27
2.00 ENVESTITIONS CUETER  2.31 STANLERAL SINSCOLLANG COMPAU  2.02 PASCHINERS SIN  2.03 ELEMPASCHINEREAU  2.04 FAMOSCHINEREAU  2.05 SCHIERRY  2.06 SCHIERRY  2.07 FELMPECHANIE  2.08 FEINMECHANIE  2.08 FEINMECHANIE  2.09 FISHANDECHANIE  2.10 SINHAMER CHANIE  2.10 SINHAME CHANIE  3.00 VERERALCHAGGETER	25 11 29 25 42 18 15 10	11 30 1 32 4 34 4 16 34 4 14 5	30 31 12 42 17 30 15	25 11 32 33 13 42 16 15 10	25 10 12 24 10 40 16 33 15	25 11 30 35 10 41 19 33 15	10 34 38 12 39 20 34 16	30 f 13 t 13 t 41 f 11 32 22 x 36 k 16 t 16 t	15 40 45 17 46 23 34 18 18	13 3 4 2 2 3 7 4 4 2 3 7 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	10 34 35 5 14 22 37 17 11	† † †	12 47 46 . 14 . 14 . 25 . 24 . 37 . 17	12 44 53 37 32 27 38 21 14	12 50 69 72 21 32 40 25 67
1.01 felnerabile 3.02 clastritudung Lverfareltung 3.03 reliverabilitung 3.03 reliverabilitung 3.04 reliverabilitung 3.05 fabite -L. Ouppeverabilitung 3.06 reletati L. Vervieltatilitung 3.07 relifationerer repetitung 3.08 leterabilitung 3.08 leterabilitung 3.08 leterabilitung 3.09 letatilingustele 4.00 Admelungs-Ulgerlitung 4.00 Admelungs-Ulgerlitung	24 15 4 31 1 1 1 8 3	231	24 15 27 1 1 27	20 15 47 12 2	· 26 14 5 27 5 27 5 27	26 4 26 4 21 9 9	29 14 5 26 9 2 120 100 4	311135 29 4 8 2 12 1 1 1 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4	32 16 7 24 7 3 14 10 12 5	33 17 2 2 7 3 14 11 1 3 3	2172733043	4	34 36 7 28 9 3 11 15	01 B6 10 24 14 15 17 14	47 165 22 20 1477 7
1.00 VERFREEITENCE INCUSTRIE INSG.	15	15	15	15	16	14	17	191	20	20	10	+	. 21	25	32
7,00 INCLSTRIE TOTAL	(20	20	20	20	20	16	17	191	27	20	10)	L	21	21	30
Table 9:	IMPORTQ Einfuhr	IN PRO	ZENT	DER INL	NACH				DEN P		- 1985			,	,
BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND	1.GPUND		<del></del>	······································	<del></del>	<del>-</del>								•	
		***************************************			<del></del>	·		1567 3		-	i		14254	1977	
1.00 CRUCSTCEFE C. PROCURTICATEVETER  1.01 STEINE U. ERCEN 1.02 FISTN., STAPL- U. TEPPERGIESS. 1.03 FISTN., STAPL- U. TEPPERGIESS. 1.04 FISTR-, STAPL- U. TEPPERGIESS. 1.05 FE-FETALLINGUSTRIE 1.06 CPF-16 1.07 MINIFALCEL WERAPRETTUNG 1.08 SAFLET, ERSE U. NCLTTEFRETTUNG 1.08 SAFLET, ERSE U. NCLTTEFRETTUNG 1.09 SAFLET, LESSES U. NCLTTEFRETTUNG 1.09 SAFLET, SERSE U. NCLTTEFRETTUNG 1.00 SAFLET, SERSE U. NCLTTEFRETTUNG 1.00 SAFLET SAFLET SAFLET SAFLET SAFLETUNG 1.00 SAFLET SAFLET SAFLET SAFLETUNG 1.00 SAFLET SAFLET SAFLET SAFLET SAFLET SAFLETUNG 1.00 SAFLET SAFLET SAFLET SAFLETUNG 1.00 SAFLET SAFLET SAFLETUNG 1.00 SAFLET SAFLETUNG 1.00 SAFLETUNG SAFLETUNG 1.00 SAFLETUNG SAFLETUNG SAFLETUNG 1.00 SAFLETUNG SAFLETUN	15 13 13 12 6 27	14 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 7 26	15 19 19 11 29 11	15 2 4 15 2 4 10 26	16 2 5 42 13 120 27 33	17 40 27 43 15 10 12 28 34	16 16 27 46 15 10 13 24	16 17 2 7 44 15 11 13 24 33	20 21 21 3 49 17 12 21 21	21 1003 40 12 14 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	4 50 5 67 1257 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		22 10 23 3 13 40 20 12 27 25 41	2 19454545	30 13 4 9 31 39 20 24 38
2.00 INVESTITIENSCHETE  2.01 ISTALLER EINSCHLWAGCENBAU 2.02 RESCHINCHBU 2.03 RESCHINCHBU 2.04 RESCHINCHBU 2.05 LEFTANPICUCEAU 2.05 LEFTANPICUCEAU 2.07 LEFTENTECHNIR 2.08 FEINFICHANT L. CPTIR 2.09 FEINFICHANT L.	7 11 5 60 7 5 12 3	7 12 5 51 4 4 13	2 12 7 50 5 6 14 3	2 12 6 37 6 14 5	2 13 7 41 4. 7 16 8	30 14 52 11 8 37	11 2 14 12 57 10 20 7	29 19 10 10	19 14 50 2 1 1 3 4 4	13 39 149 123 44	40 15 45 24 24 24 24 24 25 24		20 20 49 26 14 26 10 5	29 32 90 40 14 14	39 11 27 55 65 68 26 47 23
3.00 VEREFAUCHSCUETER  3.01 EFINEDOMIK 3.02 HELVERAFMETING 3.03 HELVERAFMETING 3.04 HELVERAFMETING 3.05 FAFTER-LUPARTYSTERFTING 3.06 HELVERAFMETING 3.06 CONCRETT LUMPHETISTITUMG 3.07 MASSSTERVEMARETTING 3.06 LECEPTATEUMSG-LAMPARPETING 3.07 SATTLINGUISTELE 3.10 EFALTUMG 3.10 FERETUMG	3 5 4 17 3 (11 14	20	10 7 4 23 3 6 11 17 6	30 5 24 12] 12	11 6 27 3 4 12 12 17	12 11 5 30 3 3 5 14 20 8	13 10 5 32 3 4 17 72 10	13 19 30 3 6 6 6 16 16 6	14 524449111	15 14 17 34 4 11 22 23 14	35 14 14 36 37 11 22 25		17 21 10 40 12 12 17 17	21 23 7 47 7 5 17 41 24	30 51 34 59 12 4 27 62 39
4.CC APPRINCI-V.GENESSMITTEL 5.00 VERAPEEITFNCE INCLESPIF INSG. 6.CO RENCEAU	11	4 13 34	10	19 11 35	12 41	33	11 13	30 13	11 14	14	12 1e 52)		12	14 21 76	14 32 02

This was repeated in 1965 and in 1971-72. In between, following the recession of 1966-67, a rapid increase of long-term capital export can be registered, which jumped from 3.82 billion in 1967 to 11.41 billion in 1968 and some 23.08 billion in 1969, thus reaching quite dramatic proportions. The balance of total capital transactions, of course, does not show a steady trend, since the short-term capital movements are subject to their own laws, surplus and deficit following each other very irregularly over time.

In contrast, the transfer balance developed steadily towards growing exports (Ubertragungen), from about 450 million DM in 1953 to 13.29 billion DM in 1972, without any remarkable deviations from the upward trend.

## III. Causes and Problems of Trade Expansion

Chapter II has demonstrated Germany's extensive international eco nomic interchange in general, and the structural imbalances of trade in particular. As they stood behind several crises of the international system one may predict that as long as they exist the sequence of disturbances of the international economic system has not yet come to and end. German trade and capital transactions seem to provide an example of what was called 'cumulatively increasing foreign trade dynamics: the development potential of the economy and its transformation capacity are strong; the combination of externallyoriented preference structures with a qualitatively high resource basis but relatively small domestic industrial markets seem to favour the creation and growth of an outward-oriented production structure and the continuing evolution of dynamic comparative advantages 11). The question is, whether the volume and structure of German trade are best in a real sense, especially with view to the quality of living conditions of the population.

The economist has at the fore of his mind the notion of an optimum flow of things. The 'optimum volume of trade' would be the just right volume more than which, or less, is to be avoided. Though this concept is straightforward, the optimum volume of trade practically should be difficult to measure with a pretence of anything approa-

actions, preference structures, and given the mechanisms of transfering social preferences into economic and political actions. However, the notion of an optimum volume of trade as a goal of attainment could certainly with advantage replace the current basicly mercantilist view rampant among businessmen, economists and politicians, It could increase their receptiveness to the possibility, the likelihood even, that the volume of trade in the national product is too large and the structure to be changed 12).

In Germany, though the high share of trade has seldom been seriously, many theses have been put forward on how to explain the trade pattern, namely the export surpluses in the trade balance. One should not regard this discussion as being concluded. In the following we first will give a resume of the main streams of thought, which may be classified under three headings. Than we will put forward some new theses which we think have been neglected for too long or undervalued to a great extent. Of course, at this occasion we can give only a short discussion of the implications involved. Should the discussion be rather too sketchy, so only because the arguments are thought to be known or because others are thought to deserve more attention.

What measures are taken to solve the problems of trade imbalances, is a consequence of how the surplus is explained. It mainly was explained by price factors and business cycles. Concentrating on such explanations the surplus should be eliminated mainly by two means: domestic inflation (Anpassungsinflation) or revaluation. Only recently, structural factors were stressed to explain the surplus and to remedy the situation.

## 1. International Price Differences and Trade Expansion

The price differences between Germany and its trade partners have been cited most as being the reason for the rapid trade expansion. The argument is as follows: the efforts of economic policy regarding price stabilization in Germany have been, by and large, rather

successful, especially when compared with the main trading partners. The result is a price gap which promoted the competitiveness of German exporters and weakened the position of the competitors. In contrast to a case of equal inflation rates, German export growth is pushed, and import growth retarded, thus creating a chronic surplus in the trade balance.

One problem with this reasoning is that to can explain trade flows at best only in the short run. No economy being as highly export-oriented can flee from inflation in the present monetary system. All efforts to neutralize the money-expanding effects of export surpluses through monetary policies can be successful only temporarly; increasing the interest rate may reduce domestic money supply but will increase foreign-induced money circulation. There are many examples in postwar history of the boomerang-effect of an autonomous monetary policy in a system of fixed exchange rates. (The most spectaculous example was in 1971 when foreign currency reserves increased by more than 1 billion DM a day).

Recent experiences should show quite clearly that export behaviour cannot be explained by price differences alone. In May, this year, foreign orders in the German industry were nearly 50 % above the level of the year before, desprite recent revaluations, floating of the DM<sup>13</sup>, and higher export prices. Non-price factores of competitive advantage seem to play an increasing role, as e.g. product quality, monopoly positions on foreign markets, temporary shortages, ability to supply in time. Furthermore, the actual variations in the trade balance were not always in parallel to international price movements.

Summarizing, we may say, that his thesis of a monocausal relation—ship between international price differences and German export surplus does not hold good. This is confirmed by an investigation comparing export volume and export prices of the western countries:

Export growth was more pronounced in Germany though export prices of Holland, Belgium, France, etc. grew less rapidly. (On the other hand, this is not to be used as general explanation for all cases,

since e.g. the development of Italian and Japanese exports may provide counter-evidence).

## 2. Time Lag in Business Cycles and Trade Expansion

The time lag in business cycles has been named to explain the export surplus in the German trade balance. This thesis war particularly stressed during the recession of 1966/67, when compensatory exports pushed the export surplus to new dimensions (1967: 16.86 billion DM). In consequence of this argument it was thought that domestic recovery would reduce the surplus. The boom came, but the surplus remained. The same was true for 1971.

Without going into more detailed analysis we can say that during the business cycles of the postwar period there have been reactions of the trade surplus according to this line of thinking, i.e. a decrease of the surplus during domestic boom and an increase during recession, but this is not confirmed by all the business cycles. On the other hand, one may well differentiate between price and cycles effects on trade, since developments of export and import prices do not necessarily correspond to the business cycles.

## 3. Structure of Trade and Trade Expansion

ports and imports in order to find explanations for the chronic developments, though in the German case, one may say, that this has been done so only recently. Harry G. Johnson had shown fairly early that the discrapency between the income elasticity of demand of a country for imports and the income elasticity of foreign demand for export products of this country will lead to imbalances in trade the country towards trade surplus based on the commodity structure of trade may, furthermore, be increased or decreased by the regional structure of trade of the country concerend.

H.S. HOUTHAKKER & S.P. MAGEE in their study on income and price elasticities in world trade demonstrated that the countries with the highest export growth registered the highest income elasticities for their exportables while their income elasticities for importables was clearly behind 15). Though these results may be taken with caution they should be plausible for the german case 16).

As was mentioned before the shares of investment goods and agricultural products in German exports and imports differ markedly. According to general understanding, income elasticity of demand for investment goods is fairly high compared with that for agricultural products. As the share of the former is rather big in the exports but rather low in imports, while the contrary can be said regarding the latter, it is fair to assume that income elasticity of total demand for German exports is higher than that for total imports. This structural component of trade should be strenghtened if the main importers of the products of the country concerned belong to the growth intensive countries. Thus we find a more than proportionate increase of export share in such products whose share in world trade has been rising, and a more than proportionate expansion of exports towards those countries whose imports were rising fast.

In other words: even if Germany would be in line with the business cycles and the price levels of her trading partners, she would run into export surpluses. Revaluations alone, therefore, cannot be an adequate measure, instead or additionally structural policies must be undertaken. (It is to be noticed, that structural measures for stabilizing the trade balances are more or less unknown even in special books on the balance-of-payments. 17)

Going further into the analysis of trade structures, we may differentiate between 'active' and 'passive' (respectively fast expanding and slowly expanding or decreasing) export and import industries. Grüter has estimated approximately 50 % of German exports belong to fast growing industries (Wachstumsindustrien), while on the import side only 30 % belong to that group 18). This fact, again, may be said to mean that the fast growing industries will reach the limits of national absorption soon ('push-effect in exports').

For Germany this process has lead to a double dilemma: with increasing income abroad, German exports grow more than proportionately, while along with increasing domestic income, more and more growth-oriented enterprise press for exports, exports even becoming the main activity (50 % and more turnover) of various industries.

There is yet another characteristic of the strong export-orientation of the German economy to be observed: even in a recession period abroad, exports do not decrease since enterprises tend to 'hold the market' at the risk of temporarily lower profits. Because of these effects it has been suggested to use 'ex ante revaluation' (vorauseilende Aufwertung) as a means of economic policy 19).

## 4. Employment Structure and Trade Expansion

There is no doubt about the dependence of the employment of foreign workers (Gastarbeiter) from the business cycle during the 1960's and the early 1970's<sup>20</sup>. Very important for our discussion is the relationship between employment of foreign workers and German export expansion. <u>Table lo</u> shows the Gastarbeiter-quota in selected German industries compared with the export share of these industries in total exports.

The table shows that while the respective export shares of the selected industries in total exports are fairly constant (or slightly increasing) during the period reviewed, the share of foreign workers in total employment in these industries has a strongly increasing tendency. From this we may conloude that the industries concerned have been able to hold their share in total exports (or to increase it) because they succeeded in getting increasingly more Gastarbeiter. Said differently: if these industries would not have been able to employ more labour, they most probably would not have been able to hold their export share constant (or increase it) and to increase the volume of their exports considerably.

Table lo: Gastarbeiter and Export Quota in Germany, Selected Industries, (1965-1970)

Industry	1965		_		•		G a					r. Quota
gang band ming mink havel tands thent best party dated best best band be	# JU J											マーフ(い Mil Mil bod and you boy good pad
Chemicals	5.7	5.8	6.6	7.2	7.5	7.5	6.7	7.0	5.3	5.9	7.6	9.2
Plastics, Rubber & Asbestos	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.8	11.3	12.8	10.5	11.3	16.5	18.4
Quarring, Ce- ramics, Glass	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2,2	2.3	12.1	12.7	8.8	9.0	11.9	16.1
Wood, Paper & Printing	5.9	5.7	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.4	6.5	7.3	5.7	5.9	7.9	10.1
ather, extiles	9.8	10.0	8.7	9.5	9.8	9.4	8.2	10.0	8.2	9.4	12.1	13.6
Steel, Maschine ry, Vehicles	9 <b>.</b> 2	9.6	9.4	9.5	10.4	12.5	8.0	8.4	5.9	6.6	9.8	12.5
Coal Mining	0.7	0.66	0,66	0,48	047	059	6.7	6.4	5.0	4.4	5.9	9.3

Source: M. NIKOLINAKOS, Politische Ökonomie der Gastarbeiterfrage, Reinbeck 1973, p. 74

Further analysis would show that the increase of Gastarbeiter-employment is directly following the increase in exports. The growing demand from abroad for German exports leads to a grwoing demand of German industry for labour which in the German case was coming from the developing regions of southern Europe, Africa and parts of Asia.

Summarizing, one may say: without the liberal migration policy the growing demand for German products would not have been met, GNP would not have grown as it did. In a study of the Tübingen Institute for Applied Economic Research on the role of employing Gastarbeiter in Germany it is stated: "The increase of the factor labour leads to a surplus value provided this additional factor is meaningfully enployed. Thus the employment of foreign workers will lead to an increase in the GNP, and insofar export growth must in part be attributed to the employment of foreign workers. Thereby it is relatively unimportant whether these foreign workers are employed in the export sector or whether it was possible to employ more German workers in the export sector because of greater flexibility of the labour market" 1.

Recently, the question is put whether the increasing employment of foreign labour does meet its total cost to society, i.e. it is thought that the social costs are not internalized into private accounts, especially regarding housing, schooling, and others parts of social infrastructure. As is well known from welfare economics, in case of externalities the market mechanism will bring forth sub-optimal results, decentralized decisions thus lead us to produce (and work) too much. Since the non-internalization of externalities may especially lead to overproduction for exports we will have to discuss this question more intensively. Before doing so we should conclude this chapter by referring to some other effects which in Germany were quite early touched but not prevented.

The Council of Economic Adivsors to the German mini#stry of economic affaires in its 1957 expert opinion on "Problems of the German Export Surplus" predicted that the surplus in the trade balance would for a long time be larger than necessary to finance the deficit in the capital balance 22). Though the Council did not ask why it should be that the capital balance be as unbalanced as it was, he made quite clear that the surplus in the international balance of goods and services would be detrimental to the welfare of the "... so bedeutet das volkswirtschaftlich gesehen nichts anderes, als daß im Inland produzierte Güter und Dienste dem Ausland überlassen werden und infolgedessen für Investitionen und Konsum im Inland nicht mehr bereitstehen"23 ). The Council went on to say, that if the reserve's of the central bank surpass the 'necessary amount' (it is however, not said what that amount may be), this would be a sign of 'unintended capital export (24). In the case of full employment, 'unintended capital export must lead to heavy burdens on and great disruptions in the economic process, as income is created domestically whose real equivalent is flowing abroad. This in turn, leads to a circulus virticsus insofar as stabilization policy would increase the reserves what again would necessitate new domestic restrictions.

Though one may highly agree to this 1957 analysis even when transposed to the present situation, the medicine the council prescribed was very strange indeed: he called for an even greater international interchange of the German economy 25)—and thus layed the basis for many troubles to come.

Without discussing the pros and cons of this minion further, one point came out clearly: to decrease the export surplus (either by reducing total export volume or by increasing total import volume) would make available more resources at home. Within the overall potential effects of such a policy, two should have been of great importance for the German situation:

(a) increase of resources available for public investment, (b) increase of resources for rationalization purposes which in turn could have lead to a reduction in the demand for foreign labour.

Of course, effects (a) und (b) are very much interrelated as the migration of foreign workers makes additional investments into infrastructure necessary. These investments as we know today were more or less neglected for quite a long time but have to be retrieved now, at a point where the number of Gastarbeiter has reached 2.5 million (plus an unknown number of family members). To put it shortly: the import of foreign labour gives one more example for the discrapency of private and total costs and benefits; it pays for the German industry to engage Gastarbeiter as long as their, and fringe benefits are below average, and as long as the social costs of their employment are born by the state, the public at large, and the Gastarbeiter themselves 26).

Also liberal migration policy must have caused a delay in rationalization of German economic structures. Competitive pressure to modernize production techniques will certainly be reduced as long as (privately cheap) foreign labour is freely available. Migration policy in a sense is a new kind of structural conservation policy (Strukturerhaltungspolitik), the contrary to the very much needed structural adjustments and developments (Strukturentwicklungspolitik). Extending this argument a bit further we may say that the non-internalization of externalities of migration into the private cost accounts does not only lead to private profits but helps at the same time conserving the industrial structure, an effect particularly hostile towards the products of the developing countries (among them those where the Gastarbeiter are coming from).

## 5. Industrial Structure of Exports and Trade Expansion

The foreign trade sector of the German economy is not at all homogeneous regarding productivity, size, competitiveness, etc. Besides numerous 'margianal exporters' supplying mainly the domestic market and exporting only in case of attractive prices and special opportunities on the foreign markets, there are firms with a high degree of specialization towards the foreign market and pursuing an 'active export policy. This last group covers the largest part of German exports both regarding volume and value. The production capacity of these firms often exceeds the absorption capacity of the domestic market so that their behaviour ist strongly oriented toward closed partial markets via product differentiation, their price policy being substituted by non-price sales instruments. Only historically the 'active export policy' of these firms may be traced back to a compensatory export policy. In this field of trade we have to do with the situation, compensatory exports as a reaction to the situation on the domestic markets no longer works as sufficient hypothesis, instead domestic markets tend to become compensatory markets for those firms.

It is also to be feared that high export shares and especially export surpluses bind resources at the cost of the 'marginal exporter': in case of a recession at home, the marginal exporter will bear the main burden, while in the case of a domestic boom the marginal exporter will be able to invest only at higher cost since the labour and capital market are to a great extent dominated or influenced by big industry, including big exporters. The consequence out of this structural situation is more than proportionate growth of the export-oriented active industries, a distortion of the production structure will follow, in the sense, that resources are not available for domestic uses: the essence of what may be called 'Exportlastigkeit' 27'.

Summarizing, we have to suspect that the nagative effects of the types discussed above in the case of German trade expansion have been quite pronounced in the area of: 'private versus public investment' (public goods effect), 'industrial resources and subsidies compe-

tition' (sectoral and regional effects), and in 'income and wealth distribution' (income and inflation effects). Causes and problems of trade expansion with respect to 'common property resources' will be discussed more intensively in the next chapter.

## IV. Environment, Infrastructure and Trade Expansion

Environmental and infrastructural amenities are two important aspects of the 'quality of life'. Generally, in the postwar period the supply of these goods has been subordinated in private and in public decision-making to other goals and elements, in particular to the more immediate requisites of growth (defined concentionally) and trade. Over time, however, in Germany as in other industrial countries the incremental social costs of lacking infrastructure and of environmental digruptions have risen, in the face of steadily more apparent limits in the absorptive capacity of the human- and the bio-sphere 28). Thus there is a grwoing realization that severe problems of infrastructure and environment. are closely related to the imprudent pursuit of economic growth, and that the intersectoral and intertemporal cost-transfers and negative social spillovers inherent in the growth process may at various points become sufficiently powerful to outweigh the expected economic gains. This process in Germany is both gradual and uneven, gaining in intensity and social impact during the last few years but varying widely among municipalities, regions and states.

The lack of homogenessity in the awareness of the problems (Problembewußtsein) and its political perception is attributable in large part to the fact that human and biological absorptive thresholds eixst, that the damage curves are uneven, and that the 'free rider' phenomenon is frequent. Furthermore, regarding environment the international movement of natural and common-property resources has itself helped to obscure emerging problems at the national level. In some way, international trade permits the acquisition of scarce (or environmentally costly) natural resources and goods from pollution-intersive industries from abroad, again dimishing the urgency of dealing with common-property resource management problems arising in these sectors.

These short reflections above should elucidate that infrastructural investments and environmental control are glosely related to and strongly influenced by trade expansion, especially so in a country as highly depending on trade as Germany. In order not to complicate reasoning, in the following we will concentrate on the relations between environment and trade; the arguments however may largely hold true for the infrastructure as a whole.

# 1. Competitive Advantage and Environmental Control

Three types of environmental disruptions may be identified:

- (a) Final goods, intermediates, and raw materials (which when used cause despoilation of the environment);
- (b) Residuals of the consumption process (products having served their purpose are discarded in a manner harmful to the environment);
- (c) Residuals of the production process (environmentally deleterious side-effects of the production of virtaually all goods and services).

Clearly, economic activity generates a wide range of externalities including such environmental disruptions which are not reflected in private costs and hence fail to enter into the resource-allocation process and the conventional measures of economic success. Once acknowledged as real social costs, such externalities must be considered explicitely — and this can alter economic decisions and priorities. The market mechanism generally fails to distribute the cost of environmental disruptions — and other externalities — to the ultimate producers. Enforced internalization will thus affect the international competitive position of the producing units involved, depending on the degree of standards and methods applied. Generally speaking the economy with the highest foreign trade (relatively and absolutely) will be affected most, when international uniformity in standards is applied.

Germany, in such a case, would be one of the countries strongly affected, especially because of the high content of pollution-intensive chemicals and heavy-industries goods in German exports. Since no comparable figures and calculations on the German situation are

available up to now, we would suggest to consider for a moment the standards imposed under the Clean Air Act of the United States and their prospectives effects on all prices, if the 20 industries responsible for most sof the emissions of suphur dioxide, carbon monoxide, hydrocarbons, and particulates satisfy fully these norms (see table 11).

Table 11: Estimated Price Increases of Goods and Services
Resulting from Compliance with Certain Anti-Pollution
Measures, in %

	Price increase if major air-polluti- on industries met the standards of the U.S.Clean Air Act	overall substitution of low sulphur fuel for high sulphur
Electric utilities Gas utilities Iron and steel foundries Primary steel Iron and ferro-alloy mining Coal mining Chemical and ferrous mineral if Iron and steel forgings Pulp mills Paper and allied products Paper containers Primary non-ferrous metals Secondary non-ferrous metals Misc, non-ferrous metals Petroleum refining Crude petroleum and natural gaindustrial chemicals Plastics and synthetic material Fertilizers Metal containers Other fabricated metal product Metal handling machinery and equipment Metal working machinery and equipment Specific industrial machinery and equipment General indstrial machinery and equipment Machine shop products Electric equipment and applian Electric machinery and equipment Engines and turbines	min. 0.4 1.9 0.7 0.4 0.3 16.8 3.0 0.5 6.0 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.8 1.6 1.4 0.8 0.7 0.8 0.7 0.8 0.9	919531942854981323270 21212612322311281323270 1.5 3 71645

Source: GATT, Industrial Pollution Control and International Trade, Geneva 1971

If environmental controls such as these are implemented, and if such estimates are resonably close to the mark regarding the German situation, the overall competitive impact could be substantial indeed, especially since about three quarters of the German exports would be affected. As the pollution load of exports is quite generally much higher than the pollution load of imports, an effective policy of pollution control might help to bring down the chronic export surplus of the German economy. Of course, the impact on aggregate trade and on the structure of trade flows fundamentally depends on the distribtuion and the nature of control measures applied among the competing countries.

Seen from the historic point of view and from the point of view of explaining the postwar developments, one may say that in the past foreign trade and especially exports must have been strongly stimulated by the fact that the environmental (and infrastructural) costs of trade were not (or not completely) internalized into the private accounts of the originators, the exporters and importers, bute were born by third parties or the population at large.

However, it is very difficult to guess on how much the level of trade and its growth rate have been pushed upward by this mechanism of socializing external effects of production and consumption, whether it may count for 5 or 15 % of volume and rate. Relatively more easy, but still highly complicated is to assess the effects of future pollution control policy on the German balance—of—payments and on national income.

B.P. KLOTZ <sup>29)</sup> recently made an assessment of these effects for five contries including Germany, using the model designed by R.D'ARGE <sup>30)</sup> and the import and export elasticities calculated for the OECD countries <sup>31)</sup>. The model traces the sequence of effects triggered off by a rise in domestric prices following environmental control measures: reduced exports, increased imports, correcting respectively worsening of the trade balance and consequent reduction in GNP through the multiplier effect. Table 12 shows that the German national income resulting from a one percent increase in domestic and export prices following environmental pollution crontrol would decrease by 2 billion dollars (or 1.8 %) given the export and import elasticities shown in that table.

Table 12: Estimated Change in National Income Resulting from a One per Cent Increase in Domestic and Export Prices, selected Countries, (in billion dollars, 1968)

Sind fred derit giert form birt bent tene bert ferte tene tene derit bene bene fenn bene benet autt at					_		
Country	Inc <b>e</b> me Change	Percentage Change	Export Elasticity	Import Elasticity			
and has been and tind and now been b	pu) (mm) time) <sub>amp</sub> pind so	ng (land theat theel three dead literal	band dreat dreat good gamed	great break parts three three three trans-	٠		
Japan	- 15.5	<b>-</b> 14 %	- 1.25	0.78			
United Kingdom	4.2	4.9 %	<b>-</b> •33	0.22			
United States	- 8.4	- 1.1 %	- 1.00	1.88			
West-Germany	- 2.0	- 1.8 %	- 0.99	0.34			

Source: B.P. KLOTZ, The Trade Effects of Unilateral Pollution Standards, in: OECD, Problems of Environmental Economics, Paris, 1972, p. 223

There is no room here to discuss the model and its underlying assumptions in detail, but it must be said that the results should be viewed with caution in that they are subject to a wide margin of unceratainty because the model ist highly sensitive to different estimates for the domestic absorption capacity and for the price elasticities of exports and imports. On the other hand, the figure for the price increment pegged in these studies at only one per cent ist considerably below some recent estimates for the economy as a whole and for certain industries in particular.

Classifying the goods and services produced into those in which international competitive advantage exists (exportables), those which appaer to be characterized by an international competitive disadvantage (importables), and those which are non-traded, in international trade exportables are exchanged for importables under assumed constand terms of trade which render the country better off - in terms of (conventionally defined) real income per capita - than if it did not engage in trade. Its economic structure is thus biased towards the production of exportables in which it specializes more than it otherwise would as the result of its particular factor endowments and productivities.

Assuming that the country would decide to deal with environmental disruption in a serious manner by diverting substantial
resources to environmental control not only by forcing this upon
producers but also by undertaking direct pollution abement
operations through the public sector, as is to be expected e.g. in
Germany, the initial outcome would be fewer exportables and fewer
importables as a result of government induced diversion of resources
to non-traded (environmental) goods. In this case, the quantity
of exportables and importables may decline but the quality of life
may well improve.

Different should be the pollution control effects on international trade if the incidence is not symetrical as between exportables and importables - and this may be more realistic with regard to the German case. Supposing that the country's export production is clearly capitalintensive and that the pollution control activities too turn out to be capitalintensive, the diversion of capital resources from tradeable goods to the environment sector thus bears more heavily on the output of exportables than it does on importables. Hence the comparative advantage in exports is reduced and the production mix of tradeable goods becomes less specialized in the export sector. In case of unbalanced trade - as is true for Germany - this may lead to a reduction of the export surplus. However, this effect may work only in the short run, since a substantial shift of resources to the pollution control sector may lay. the very basis for new comparative export advantages in the long run, especially in case the competing countries do not fdlow this shift of policy in due time, and provoded the pollution control goods can be traded (be it in form of consulting, licensing, or exports of hardware). The wider the gap between leading and lacking countries. the more pronounced the competitive advantages of the leaders will become. Anyhow, it would appear that the factor-intensity of pollution control techniques will in part determine the impact on the volume and structure of the country's foreign trade.

Regarding the inter-industry effects, variations in the impact of pollution control policies are born out by the facts. In terms of the primary impact on selected industries, the bearing of environmental measures may be approximately gauged by their respective expenditure— on pollution control plant and equipment.

According to the estimates of the first German government report on environmental problems<sup>32)</sup> private fixed investment and operating expenditure for pollution control plant and equipment in the main problem areas and industry groups for the period 1971-1975 will be as shown in table 13 and table 14.

Table 13: Net Investment and Operating Expenditure for Environmental Control in Germany, 1971-1975 (in prices of 1970, billion DM)

Problem Area	Net Investments 1971-1975	Operationg Expentidure 1971-1975
Air Pollution	<b>3∙</b> 9	0.4
Water Pollution	16.2	4.0
Solid Wastes	2.3	1.5
Biocides and Chemicals	1.7	•
Noise	1.7	=
Land use and Conservation	2.4	<b></b>
Others	0.2	2.1
Total	28 . O	and then have then then have been have then then then then then then then have also take then have also then the then then then then then then

Source: Unweltprogramm der Bundesregierung, op. cit., p. 611

Though these estimates seem to be rather low compared with the rising public concern with environment, they do indicate some order of magnitude and inter-industry differences that may materilize in the effects of environmental policies on international competition<sup>33</sup>.

Table 14: Net Investment and Operating Expenditure for Environmental Control in Germany, 1971-1975 (in prices of 1970, billion DM)

Industry	Net Investments 1971-1975	Operating Expenditure 1971-1975
Mining	2.1	0•3
Energy	0.5	<b></b>
Quarrying, Glass, Ceramics	0.3	0.3
Chemical Industry	6.8	1.3
Other Industry	1.0	0•2
Building-trade	0.1	<b>,</b>
State Budget	15.3	3.6
Others	0.8	2.3
Total	28.0	8.0

Source: Unweltprogramm der Bundesregierung, op. cit. p. 611

## 2. Industrial Location and International Capital Flows

A number of German communities following people's protests ('Bürgerinitiativen') have begun to discourage the location of certain industries, involving confrontations between groups falling at various points on the spect rum from total concern with economic expansion and concern with environment. Regions in which anti-growth tendencies can be seen are characterized by relatively high income levels. Political balance in other regions represents different assessments of the growth-versus environment trade-off. As a result an increase in the importance of environmental considerations in decisions regarding industrial location and interregional capital flows within Germany can be confirmed.

Locational implications of environmental control for the international relations of Germany come also to the fore, especially at a specific industry level. There will thus evolve international spillovers attributable to local or regional environmental control policies. It can be assumed that these spillovers will increase and together with the increased power of the German currency, will make foreign locations progressively more advantageous relative to domestic locations, with environmental considerations increasing in importance relative to such factors as labor cost, raw materials, proximity to markets etc. 34)

It can be expected that international variations in environmental awareness will exceed the inter-regional variations, a fact which became very clear at the last year UN Conference for the Human Environment. Therefore, the scope for international locational spillovers seems to be rather broad and durable. This quite probably will have notable implications for the regional pattern of German foreign direct investment; 'export of pollution' will become a reality in todays world. Reasonable men call this the latest form of exploitation. Yet, since there are regional differences in revealed or unrevealed preferences, the marginal social benefit even of pollution-intensive industries in practice, may exceed marginal social cost in the relatively backwfad countries and regions.

Again, these developments make clear that in the past noninternalization of environmental externalities of trade has by itself strengthened the tendency towards concentration of capital and labour, releasing backwash effects on the relatively backward areas.

3. Implications of Environmental Control for Trade and Capital Flows
The impact of environmental control measures on international trade
and capital flow and on commercial and financial policies will to
a large part depend on precisely how these measures are carried
out and what countermeasures may be taken by the government to
soften their effects.

Economic theory has put foreward numerous proposals centering around the Pigovian principles to reduce or eliminate external diseconomies, namely environmental taxes or subsidies. In Germany political discussion has focussed on the 'Verursachungsprinzip', i.e. internalizing the externality at its origin and by its originator. That principle is one thing. A different thing is that according to the first German government report on environmental problems about 55 % of all net investments for pallution control for the period 1971-1975 will be born by the public sector. This 55 %-socialization of the privately caused environmental costs equal 1 % of all public expenditure envisaged for that period, of which 83 % will have to be financed by local communities.

These projections show that despite an increasing awareness among the German population about the reasons and industrial origins of environmental disruptions, also in future the costs will be socialized to a great extent, as has been the case in the postwar period. In this sense, it certainly would be premature to speak of a definite change in environmental policy in Germany. Therefore, one might speculate who in the case of a dramatic domestic conflict between growth and trade on the one hand and environment on the other hand will bear the burden, the population at large in suffering from pollution, the tax layer in paying for public investment in pollution control, or the individual originator of external diseconomies. "Export of pollution" in this case may certainly be a very problematic alternative.

As was said above, environmental control (and infrastructural development as a whole) is primarily a normative concept and depends very much on the society's actual preferences and valuations regarding the quality of life. However, the differences existing or increasing as between regions and nations will certainly have a strong influence on Germany's comparative economic advantage, industrial location and international exital flows. In the next chapter we will give a more general review of policy problems involved or resulting from German trade expansion and increasing international interchange.

# V. Quantitative Economic Growth and Qualitative Economic Policies

As was shown in the preceding chapters, Germany has undergone long periods of imbalances in her foreign economic relations. Experience has also shown that up to now it was not possible to overcome these imbalances exerting conventional monetary, fiscal and incomes policies. Also several revaluations and the floating of the currency gave at best short-term mitigation. So it seems highly questionable whether such conventional measures of stabilization policy are able to reach both internal and external balance of the economy, being pre-requisitory for improving the quality of life of the population.

The general hyphothesis of this paper has been that the persistent tendeny of the German economy towards rapid trade expansion and activating the trade balance should be seen as being mainly a consequence of structural differences in exports and imports, divergent elasticities of demand, and of different degrees of internalization of the social costs of production, setting free cumulative processes of foreign trade dynamics. Two kinds of non-internalization of externalities seem to be most important in the case of German exports: the social costs of environmental disruption (and neglect of infrastructure in general), and the social costs of labour migration. Both result in a more than optimum output in the export sector, binding too many resources in this field of economic activity, which are then no longer available for other social purposes upon which to a large extent the quality of life really depends.

An expanation of trade flows which puts emphasis on structural factores should also put emphasis on structural therapy; additional and/or alternative measures must be applied in order to remedy imbalances at the roots of trade dynamics. Instruments of a more special character, of qualitative nature are needed that can influence the production and consumption structures and can direct the relevant behavioural patterns towards a balanced situation. To decrease or eliminate the social costs of trade by internalizing the externalities, to put the value of common-property resources into the price structure, in the German case would very probably have a positive effect towards that direction.

Measures of this kind belong to a group of structural measures 38) which are, however, more extensive and therefore should further be discussed in this concluding chapter.

In countries with a strong development potential and capacity to transform, the weaknesses of conventional policy come out very clearly. The competitiveness of active export industries may even be strenghtened when the government or the central bank have recourse to stern measures. In such cases the gap in productivity between active and passive exporters and between exporting and import-competing industries is widened since the active industries will gain most (or lose least) from such policies. contintional measures may thus cause counter-intuitive results. The 'logic' trouble of monetary policiy is that it does not command on the foreign component of money supply and cannot, without offsetting convertibility, guide the international money and capital flows in a sufficient manner. The 'technical' difficulty of incomes policy is that it cannot regulate completely the foreign claims on the capacity of the economy. Revaluation of the currency also is isufficient for stabilizing, because it does not directly influence strong export activity# and other non-price factors of competitive advantage. Circulation-oriented meausres may, therefore, have to be supplemented by other meausres which either indirectly do influence the development process thus steering production and consumption towards the right level and direction, or do modify investment behaviour as the main motivating force of this process directly.

## 1. Structural Development Policies

For countries with a structurally initiated active cumulation of export activities a structural development policy seems needed, that either strenghtens the position of those domestic industries falling behind during the process of greater export-orientation and/or leads to a partial re-orientation of leading export industries towards the domestick marke.

In other words, three basic strategies are to be considered:
(a) export substitution; (b) import expansion; (c) export substitution and import expansion.

H. GRUTER, one of the first advocates of the structural explanation thesis, accepted the volume of exports as given and confined himself mainly to strategy (b) including, however, an active restructuring in the German imports by closing down those industries of low productivity and high labour input (as textiles etc.) and shifting the resources set free to more productive sectors. One problem of strategy (b) therefore is, not to induce even greater exports by this shifting of resources, i.e. to prevent a net increase in exports and export grwoth. The succes of such a strategy thus depends on several conditions:

- the resources set free in the import-competing industries should not lead to an increase of exports, they must be shifted into domestic-oriented production, as e.g. infrastruture and services. However, if this condition would be met, the infrastructure improvements may in a second round lead to increasing productivity in the export sector (productivity effect of infrastructure investment). Therefore, public investments should now mainly go into non-industrial infrastructure, as e.g. social infrastructure, or living-environment oriented infrastructure (welfare effect of infrastructure investment).
- Insofar as such productivity effects favoring exporting industries can not be excluded, a general or specific decrease in working hours may be carried out alternatively, or the cheap import of labour be severed.

Strategy (a) - export substitution - has already been touched in connection with environmental control policies. If it is politically decided upon that export and export growth rates must no longer be as high as they are for exports own sake, the problem still lies more with the question of how to slow down expansion of the active industries than to speed up growth of the more passive industries, since growth and export growth in particular since long have been and still are thought to be basic citeria for economic success. Of course, structural development policy need not to confine itself on the production structure. However, to believe that it would be easy to direct domestic consumer, demand on to the products of active exporting industries, so that they may

shift some part of their activities towards the domestic market, may to some extent be wishful thinking. This the more incase the tendency towards decreasing profits per unit of output is strong. Structural adjustment, furthermore, is very susceptible and apt to lead to export cartels which, in turn, may lead to even wider deviation from optimum foreign trade.

Empirical evidence shows that theoretically different or exclusive strategies in practice are never realized in its pure form. The idea is near to combine both strategies in the German case and to simultaneously strive for (c) export substitution and import expansion. A dilemma however, remains: production must be reduced relatively (or even absolutely) in certain branches, while at the same time reallocating resources to those activities not stimulating exports. These two tasks might well over-stress the possibilities of economic policy in the market system.

This ranter pressimistic assessment of structural policy with regard to stabilize foreign economic relations in the German case is illustrated and verified by a number of examples. Especially, it has not been possible to terminate production in labor-intensive industries strongly competing with developing countries. These industries mostly succeeded to get government subsidies, tariff protection, or cheap labour via the liberal migration policy. So indirect structural policies may very well supplement conventionel policy, but may in the end remain insufficient.

## 2. Policies of Influencing Business Behaviour

Practice shows that a change in the external data ('Datenkranz') of individual behaviour does not guarantee a change in that behaviour. Therefore, it may be necessary for economic policy to include all three elements of business decisions into its radius of action: a change in the Datenkranz, influencing behavioural patterns through a change in the objectives, and a change in the actual behaviour of business enterprise. Information policy, institutionalized conduct, codes of business behaviour, guiding exports, etc.

are potential measures of this kind, some of which being strongly dependent on the consent of the EEC partners.

It will, furthermore, be quite difficult to explain a fundamentally dualistic pattern of policy, i.e. applying the brakes on the active exporters, while stimulating domestic activity, promoting passive industries while opening up chances for more imports from the developing countries. Repressively influencing active exporting industries collides with their long-term strategy. Further trade expansion however collides among others with ecology. Pointing to the fact that the social costs of active exporting may surpass the individual gains of exporting, though true from overall and comprehensive economic and political reasoning, may not at all be accepted by the exporters concerned — the more so when a quantitative calculation of the negative effects on the quality of life of the population as a whole is difficult or not very accurate.

In conclusion, we may say, that a policy of stabilization and development that makes use of those instruments as directly influencing the behaviour of entrepreneurs in addition to indirect structural and competitive measures, will be very complex and more difficult to handle than the conventional type of policy (including revaluations of the currency). Moreover, the implications the suggested measures may have on the economic order may be substantial and have not yet been fully explored.

However, avoidance of change in trade patterns is not a fundamental end in itself since the purpose of trade among others should be to improve the quality of life of whole the population. The practical problems the persistent imbalances in the foreign economic relations of Germany pose are so great that economists should not recoil from suggesting new instruments to remedy imbalances at their roots. Foreign currency reserves which run up to new heights of some 95 billion DM in July, 1973, and an active trade balance of probably 23 billion DM in 1973, are actually too big to be born for long by the international system as well as by the German population at large.

#### Footnotes:

- 1) J.A. Wagar, Growth versus the Quality of Life, in: Science, Vol. 168, 1970, pp. 1179-1184.
- 2) This may spring from an impatience with inquiries needed when talking about quality of life that are non-economic in the conventional sense. To put it differently: "economists are ...nervous of becoming sucked into a morass of quasi-sociological considerations, which are either essentially qualitative or, if quantitative, do not correspond to any clear theoretical framework such as that lying behind the measurement of national product". OECD, Problems of Environmental Economics, Paris 1972, p.23.
- 3) OECD, Problems of Modern Society. Economic Growth, Environment and Welfare, Paris 1969 (mimeographed), p.2.
- 4) Ibid.
- 5) For while the purchasers of products pay market prices to the producers of them, those who are victims of the external diseconomies of trade and the production of tradeable goods do not in general get compensation for the reduction of their welfare.
- 6) Cf. Monatsberichte der Deutschen Bundesbank, 25., 1973, 6, p. 74.
- 7) "Kein anderes großes Welthandelsland leistet sich im Verhältnis zu seinem Handelsvolumen derart hone Bestände an vergleichsweise unrentabel angelegtem Volksvermögen", in: Jahresgutachten des Sachverständigenrates, 1968/69, point 95.
- 8) Later this figure was set at 1.5 %.
- 9) Gutachten des Wissenschaftlichen Beirats beim Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft über Grundfragen der Stabilitätspolitik, 10. April 1973.
- 10) These quozta on the integration of certain industries into world trade do not include indirect exports which could be compuzed by input-output techniques, and would increase the respective total quozta considerably. Cf. P. Stäglin, Direkte und indirekte Exportabhängigkeit der Industrie der Eundesrepublik, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung, Wochenbericht, 20,1969.
- 11) H. Körner, Dynamische Aspekte der außenwirtschaftlichen Absicherung, Göttingen 1970, p. 65.
- 12) E.J. Mishan, Technology and Growth: The Price we Pay, 3rd ed., New York, Washington 1971, p. 170.
- 13) The main decisions regarding the Deutsche Mark in recent years were as follows:
  - Revaluation, 27.10.1969: 9.3%,
  - Floating, 10.5.-17.12.1971,
  - Realignment, 18.12.1971, Revaluation effect: 5.7%,
  - Devaluation of the dollar, 14.2.1972: 10%,
  - Blockfloating, 19.3.1973--; Revaluation of DM against Floating-Flock: 3%, .
  - Revaluating against Floating-Elock, 29.6.1973: 5.5 %.
- 14) H.G. Johnson, International Trade and Economic Growth, Cambridge 1958.

- 15) H.S. Houthakker/S.P. Magee, Income and Price Elasticities in World Trade, in: The Review of Economics and Statistics, 51, 1969, 2, p. 112.
- 16) K.Henkner, Der Einfluß der Regional- und Warenstruktur auf die Exportentwicklung in der Bundesrepublik, in: Vierteljahreshefte zur Wirtschaftsforschung, Berlin 1966, p. 296.
- 17) Cf.E.Küng, Zahlungsbilanzpolitik, Tübingen, Zürich 1959.
- 18) H.Grüter, Strukturelle Aspekte des deutschen Außenhandels,in: Die Aussprache, 19, 1969, pp. 190.
- 19) Ibid.,p.192.
- 20) Cf.V.Merx, Ausländerbeschäftigung und Flexibilität des Arbeitsmarktes der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Köln 1972.
- 21) H.Bullinger/K.Huber, Die volkswirtschaftliche Bedeutung der Feschäftigung ausländischer Arbeitnehmer, quoted from M.Nikolinakos, op.cit.,p.76.
- 22) Gutachten des Wissenschaftlichen Beirats beim Bundeswirtschaftsministerium zur Wirtschaftspolitischen Problematik der deutschen Exportüberschüsse, 30. April 1957, published in 1961, Bonn 1961, p. 2.
- 23) Ibid., p.3.
- 24) It should be problematic to call acch. effects 'unintended' (unbeabsichtigt). Those responsive for expanding exports overproportionately do this, of course, very intendedly. One might explain this expression as the confession that economic policy cannot do much about it... There are many more such 'lapsus linguae' in German economic language.
- 25) "Die Feseitigung der bestehenden Störungen muß in jedem Fall auf der Basis einer weiteren Steigerung der weltwirtschaftlichen Verflechtung der Bundesrepublik durch stetige Zunahme sowohl des Exporte wie des Importvolumens gesucht werden..." Op.cit.,p.5.
- 26) It's cold comfort that at a moment where these mechanisms are going to be understood by a larger portion of the public, the fee enterprises have to pay for engaging an additional foreign worker ('Anwerbegelder') was increased to loop DM, certainly being no equivalent for securing a decent living of the people concerned.
- 27) Cf. Jahresgutachten des Sachverständigenrates, 1968/69, point 25.
- 28) For the following cf.I. Walter, Environmental Control and Consumer Protection, New York 1972 (mimeographed).
- 29) B.P.Klotz, The Trade Effects of Unilateral Pollution Standards, in: OECD Problems of Environmental Economics, Paris 1972, pp. 219-225.
- 30) R.C. D'Arge, International Trade, Domestic Income, and Environmental Controls: Some Empirical Estimates, in: A.V.Kneese/S.E.Rolfe/ J.W. Harned, Managing the Environment.International Economic Cooperation for Pollution Control, New York, Washington, London 1971, pp. 289-315.
- 31) F.Meyer zu Schlochtern/ A.Yajima, OECD Trade Model: 1970 Version, in: Occasional Studies, OECD Economic Outlook, Paris, December, 1970.

- 32) Cf. Umweltprogramm der Bundesregierung, Bundestagsdrucksache VI/2710, 14. October, 1971.
- 33) It is hoped that more reliable and more detailed data will be available soon.
- 34) Cf. I. Walter, Environmental Control and Consumer Protection, op. cit.
- of Experts Convened by the Secretary-General of the UN Conference on the Human Environment, Paris, The Hague 1972; Political Economy of Environment. Problems and Method, Papers presented at the Symposium held at the Haison des Sciences de L'Homme, Paris, The Hague 1972.
- 36) Cf. Umweltprogramm der Bundesregierung, op. cit.
- 37) Cf.H.Körner, Ungelöste Probleme der 'außenwirtschaftlichen Absicherung in: Jahrbuch für Sozialwissenschaft, 20, 1969, pp. 235-249.
- 38) Cf.H.Adebahr, Zu den Ursachen der chronischen deutschen Exportüberschüsse,in:Schmollers Jahrbuch,91,1971,4,pp.385-409.
- 39) Comparing the industrial structure of Germany with the average of the group of industrial countries ('normal structure'), the most imaginative difference is manufacturing industry, being 8.9 M per cent points above average in 1956 and some 9.8 in 1965. For all other sectors, the deviation was in the margin of plus and minus 1.6 per cent points. The study of the Institut für Weltwirtschaft in Kiel names four factors contributing to the extraordinarily high sectoral share of the manufacturing industry, the weight of which is dominating the German industry as well as pollution problems:
  - -elastic supply of labour,
  - -recovery from war,
  - -an industrial structure meeting rapidly growing foreign demand,
  - -an economic policy creating favourable conditions for export-oriented industries, this process being strengthened by a rather modest wages policy wxxkhx by the trade unions, and by a mostly undervalued currency (valuta dumping).
  - Cf. Die Weltwirtschaft, 1970, p. 64.
- 40) H.Grüter, Strukturelle Aspekte des deutschen Außenhandels, op. cit.
- 41) A study by the Ifo-Institut in München says: "when trying to judge the effects of the latest revaluation we come to the conclucion that in face of the rapid export growth being expected for 1973, the reduction in demand due to the revaluation must be called low indeed... Measured against the expected growth of exports and imports... the stabilizing effect of the revaluation can be neglected. Cf. Die jüngsten Wechselkurskorrekturen und der Außenhandel der Bundesrepublik, in: Berichte zur Wirtschaftslage, Ifo-Schnelldienst, 9, 28.2.1973, p. 24.