

Simonis, Udo E.

Research Report — Digitized Version

Quantitative economic growth and international interchange: the Federal Republic of Germany

Provided in Cooperation with:

WZB Berlin Social Science Center

Suggested Citation: Simonis, Udo E. (1973) : Quantitative economic growth and international interchange: the Federal Republic of Germany, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung, Berlin

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/112669>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WZB-Open Access Digitalisate

WZB-Open Access digital copies

Das nachfolgende Dokument wurde zum Zweck der kostenfreien Onlinebereitstellung digitalisiert am Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung gGmbH (WZB).

Das WZB verfügt über die entsprechenden Nutzungsrechte. Sollten Sie sich durch die Onlineveröffentlichung des Dokuments wider Erwarten dennoch in Ihren Rechten verletzt sehen, kontaktieren Sie bitte das WZB postalisch oder per E-Mail:

Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung gGmbH

Bibliothek und wissenschaftliche Information

Reichpietschufer 50

D-10785 Berlin

E-Mail: bibliothek@wzb.eu

The following document was digitized at the Berlin Social Science Center (WZB) in order to make it publicly available online.

The WZB has the corresponding rights of use. If, against all possibility, you consider your rights to be violated by the online publication of this document, please contact the WZB by sending a letter or an e-mail to:

Berlin Social Science Center (WZB)

Library and Scientific Information

Reichpietschufer 50

D-10785 Berlin

e-mail: bibliothek@wzb.eu

Digitalisierung und Bereitstellung dieser Publikation erfolgten im Rahmen des Retrodigitalisierungsprojektes **OA 1000+**. Weitere Informationen zum Projekt und eine Liste der ca. 1 500 digitalisierten Texte sind unter <http://www.wzb.eu/de/bibliothek/serviceangebote/open-access/oa-1000> verfügbar.

This text was digitizing and published online as part of the digitizing-project **OA 1000+**.

More about the project as well as a list of all the digitized documents (ca. 1 500) can be found at <http://www.wzb.eu/en/library/services/open-access/oa-1000>.

Quantitative Economic Growth
and International Interchange:
The Federal Republic of Germany

by

Udo E. Simonis

Prepared for the Fourth German-Japanese Cultural Exchange
Seminar on
PROBLEMS OF THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN JAPAN AND IN THE FEDERAL
REPUBLIC OF GERMANY
at Düsseldorf, October 1973

"An announcement ... that the country's exports are breaking all records has a regenerative effect on our spirits: we begin to feel proud, confident and very respectable.

One dares not imagine the general acclaim and exhilaration that would follow the discovery, at the end of the year, that we had in fact exported the whole of our national product".

E.J. MISHAN

I. Introduction

For quite a long period the main emphasis of economic policy in Germany has been laid on growth and trade expansion, where—as one of the points of this paper is that it ought to be on improving the allocation of resources.

In economics, as in other fields, past experiences provide a major basis for current decisions, even though changing circumstances may have diminished the appropriateness of past experiences. This use of "conventional wisdom" may explain the continuing emphasis on economic and export growth - despite the many problems created by such growth¹⁾.

German economic growth and the surplus in the trade balance since long have ceased to be of national interest only. They have among others been jointly responsible for several crises of the international system. However, the discussion in Germany on the problems involved has centered on the aspect of "imported inflation". Other negative effects have to an astonishingly high degree been neglected or excluded from discussion. Though many industrial countries in the last decade experienced long-lasting imbalances in their foreign economic relations, Germany is in fact a very extraordinary example. It's beyond doubt that fundamental imbalances in trade collide with several economic goals, not only on the national but also on the international level. Insofar as economic balance is one element of the "quality of life", long-lasting fundamental imbalances in the trade relations of a country should be detrimental to the improvement of the quality of life of the population at large. This hypothesis should hold true for the German population.

Trade expansion is not an unmixed blessing, and one purpose of this paper is to argue that foreign trade is no longer a factor Germany should be trying to speed up. Growth, of course, is very deeply entrenched in our economic thinking and in institutionalized economic and political behaviour. Society emphasizes growth, and assumes that good things will follow by some indirect mechanism. (To paraphrase Henry C. WALLICH: so long as there is growth there is hope.) Particularly, growth seems to be a substitute for equality and quantitative growth a substitute for qualitative improvements²⁾. Because growth and trade expansion have become such an integral part of the economy, any sudden setback is greatly feared and could indeed be disastrous. One must also see, that as a product of long evolution, the trade system is not something that can be overhauled by a few armchair critics.

Yet, in a 1969 report submitted by the Secretary-General of OECD on the problems of modern society it was said that "... for the 1970's, we should put more emphasis on welfare, and less on growth for its own sake"³⁾. Continuing rapid economic growth now requires a more systematic and forward looking approach to problems of resource allocation so as to take more fully into account the desirable long-term development. The Secretary-General, however, continued to say that "... it is not possible to provide quantitative norms pointing to the desirable allocation of resources in favour of 'quality' - what the qualitative priorities should be must to a large extent be a political judgement"⁴⁾. Though this may be true to some large extent it is in fact necessary to critically analyse the situation and to make clear the options available. A confrontation of the interests of sectors and groups with the general objectives of society, of the conflicts between foreign trade and domestic trade, and of the interests of today and those of the future should help to produce a better allocation of resources in the long run.

While the precise definition of a society's preferences and valuations regarding the quality of life may represent an inherently difficult or even futile endeavor, it is nevertheless fairly safe to predict that for a policy placing more emphasis on the qualitative aspects of growth and trade there are two problems that supposedly deserve special consideration: the "infrastructure problem" and the "environmental problem". Infrastructural goods and environmental amenities are highly important for our welfare. Their neglect or loss, however, does not show up in any indicator on foreign trade. This must result in a serious misallocation of resources⁵⁾. Another emphasis of this paper, therefore, will be to show the interdependencies between trade and the structure of the economy, especially to develop hypotheses on the relations between trade expansion, infrastructure and environment.

We proceed by first compiling some figures on economic growth and foreign trade in order to get a first answer to three questions:

- (1) what is the general significance of foreign trade for the German economy,
- (2) how strong is the position of Germany in world trade,
- (3) and where do the peculiarities and decisive structural problems of German trade lie?

An intensive though not only empirical consideration will follow on the question of the social costs of foreign trade, especially the environmental costs, and the overall implications of a policy of elimination of social costs for the volume and structure of trade will be looked for. It will be asked in how far rapid growth and the specific structure of trade expansion may have negatively impaired the quality of life in Germany or, in how far the records shown in the trade statistics should be reviewed in order to get an impression of the magnitude of gains from quantitative trade expansion for the improvement in the qualitative conditions of the population at large.

Furthermore, the inefficacy of traditional policies to deal with structural imbalances will be discussed and some alternative measures be suggested.

A warning should be added. One must always be aware of the precariousness and prematurity of propositions on the quality of life: lack of data and models, difficulties in aggregation and correlation, arbitrariness in the selection of relevant indicators etc. are reasons for this. Still, ^{even} non-comprehensive empirical investigations and resulting statements should be fruitful, may be more so than rigorous but abstract argumentations along the lines of traditional welfare economics, the assumptions of which are too rigid of ever being fulfilled.

II. Economic Growth and Trade Expansion

- 1) Following the United States, the Federal Republic of Germany is the second biggest trading nation in the world. Exports of goods increased from 8.36 billion Deutsche Mark (DM) in 1950 to some 149.02 billion DM in 1972, while imports rose from 11.37 to 128.74 billion DM in this same period. This rapid expansion led to a growing share in world exports which rose from 3.6 % in 1950 to 12.6 % in 1972.

Tables 1, 2 and 3 give a first illustration of the dynamics of the German economic growth and trade expansion in the post-war period.

This increasing role of Germany in world trade in the post-war period went hand in hand with an increasing share of international trade in Germany's GNP. Exports of goods and services in the GNP (in current prices) increased from 11.3 % in 1950 to about 23 % in 1968, staying on that level up to the present. Measuring the export quota in the GNP on the basis of 1962 prices, a steady increase can be observed from 10.7 % in 1950 to some 28.6 % in 1972. The development of both quotas is shown in table 4.

Table 1: Gross National Product, Exports and Imports of Goods and Services of Germany, 1950-1972

(current prices, billion DM, resp. prices of 1962)

Year	(current prices)				(const. prices of 1962)			
	GNP	Exp.	Imp.	Exp. Imp: /.	GNP	Exp.	Imp.	Exp. /. Imp.
1950	98.10	11.16	12.51	- 1.35	143.60	15.50	11.47	+ 4.03
1951	120.00	18.51	16.39	+ 2.12	158.60	21.03	12.31	+ 8.72
1952	137.00	21.84	18.71	+ 3.13	172.70	23.73	15.32	+ 8.41
1953	147.70	25.08	19.88	+ 5.20	186.90	27.55	18.23	+ 9.32
1954	158.60	30.47	25.49	+ 4.98	200.80	34.15	24.06	+10.09
1955	181.40	36.23	32.30	+ 3.93	224.90	39.87	30.15	+ 9.72
1956	200.50	43.57	37.11	+ 6.46	241.30	45.95	34.05	+11.90
1957	218.50	51.86	43.32	+ 8.54	255.00	53.30	39.28	+14.02
1958	234.30	53.46	44.81	+ 8.65	264.50	55.88	43.69	+12.19
1959	254.90	60.24	51.11	+ 9.13	283.80	62.93	50.80	+12.13
1960	284.70	69.48	61.10	+ 8.38	309.40	71.14	59.84	+11.30
1960	302.30	62.67	55.28	+ 7.39	328.40	64.11	53.79	+10.32
1961	332.60	65.16	58.62	+ 6.54	346.20	65.97	58.17	+ 7.80
1962	360.10	68.37	64.71	+ 3.66	360.10	68.37	64.71	+ 3.66
1963	384.00	74.49	69.40	+ 5.09	372.50	74.00	69.36	+ 4.64
1964	420.90	82.91	77.89	+ 5.02	397.30	81.82	77.28	+ 4.54
1965	460.40	90.87	91.41	- 0.54	419.50	87.93	88.29	- 0.36
1966	490.70	102.29	95.84	+ 6.45	431.70	97.36	90.61	+ 6.75
1967	495.50	110.12	94.20	+15.92	430.80	105.25	89.35	+15.90
1968	540.00	123.83	106.22	+17.61	462.30	119.40	102.46	+16.94
1969	605.20	141.85	127.06	+14.79	500.40	134.45	119.81	+14.64
1970	685.60	158.58	147.41	+11.17	529.40	146.51	138.83	+ 7.68
1971	758.80	173.10	162.90	+10.20	544.30	155.86	152.34	+ 3.52
1972	828.50	188.30	175.00	+13.30	-	-	-	-

Sources: Jahresgutachten 1972 des Sachverständigenrates (JG), pp.212-213.

Note: Definitions according to the National Accounts; 1950-60 without Saarland and Berlin

Table 2: Growth of Commodity Trade of Germany, 1956-1972
Total Trade and Per Capita Trade
(current prices)

Year	Imp.	Exp.	Exp./Imp.	Imp. per Capita	Exp. per Capita
	(in million DM)			(in DM)	
1956	27 964	30 861	+ 2 897	528	582
1957	31 697	35 968	+ 4 271	591	670
1958	31 133	36 998	+ 5 865	573	681
1959	35 823	41 184	+ 5 361	653	750
1960	42 723	47 946	+ 5 223	771	865
1961	44 363	50 978	+ 6 615	790	907
1962	49 498	52 975	+ 3 476	869	930
1963	52 277	58 310	+ 6 032	908	1 013
1964	58 839	64 920	+ 6 081	1 010	1 114
1965	70 448	71 651	+ 1 203	1 194	1 214
1966	72 670	80 628	+ 7 958	1 219	1 352
1967	70 183	87 045	+ 16 862	1 172	1 454
1968	81 179	99 551	+ 18 372	1 349	1 654
1969	97 972	113 557	+ 15 584	1 610	1 866
1970	109 606	125 276	+ 15 670	1 807	2 066
1971	120 119	136 011	+ 15 892	1 979	2 241
1972	128 744	149 022	+ 20 278	-	-
1973 Jan.-June	72 600	85 400	+ 12 870	-	-

Sources: Statistisches Jahrbuch 1972, p.285.

Note: According to Trade Statistics, Special trade only.

Table 3: Germany's Share in World Exports, 1950-1971
(in %)

1950	1955	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965
3,6	7,3	9,6	10,0	10,7	10.6	10.8	10.7	10.9
1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971			
11,1	11.5	11.7	11.8	12.3	12.6			

Sources: Statistisches Jahrbuch, sev. editions

Note: World exports excluding socialist countries

Table 4: Share of Exports in the German GNP, 1950-1971

Year	Export Quota (in current prices)	Export Quota (in const. prices of 1962)
1950	11.3	10.7
1951	15.4	13.2
1952	15.9	13.7
1953	16.8	14.7
1954	19.8	17.0
1955	19.9	17.7
1956	21.7	19.0
1957	23.7	20.9
1958	22.8	21.1
1959	23.6	22.1
1960	24.4	22.9
1960	20.7	19.5
1961	19.6	19.0
1962	19.0	19.0
1963	19.3	19.8
1964	19.7	20.5
1965	19.7	20.9
1966	20.8	22.5
1967	22.2	24.4
1968	22.9	26.0
1969	23.4	26.8
1970	23.1	27.6
1971	22.8	28.6
1972	22.7	-

Source: Calculated according to the system of the National Accounts; Export of Goods and Services; 1950-1960 without Saarland and Berlin.

Generally speaking we may conclude from these aggregate figures that foreign trade plays an important role in the German economy, both with respect to its secular growth and the fluctuations of growth. Any intention to define and to improve the 'quality of life' of the German population it thus inevitably dependent on the analysis of and the influence upon foreign trade. Seen from another angle: a policy of improving the quality of life will be strongly influenced by the existing foreign economic relations and will have to influence them in order to be successful.

A closer look at German exports and imports reveals a heavy bias towards exports, more goods and services being exported than imported. Since 1952 the trade balance registered an enormous and still increasing export surplus. Summed up over the periods 1952-1972 this surplus would reach as much as 164 billion DM, or an average of about 7.80 billion DM per year.

The increase in export surplus, though, has not been steady, rather several periods may be distinguished. The first period between 1953 and 1956 showed a relatively stable surplus of about 2.5 billion DM per year, in 1957 it jumped to more than 4 billion and steadily increased up to 1964, reaching more than 6 billion DM. The year 1965, however, brought a spectacular change, the surplus decreasing to 1.2 billion, when imports expanded more than twice as rapidly as exports. After this extraordinary deviation from the trend, in the second half of 1966 the third period began, when the surplus jumped to a never experienced level of 16.86 billion in 1967, remaining about that level in the next years and reaching more than 20 billion in 1972. A surplus in the trade balance of more than 23 billion DM is expected for the current year. The development of the German trade balance, and the other international transactions are summarized in table 5.

Table 5: Development of Main Items in the German Balance-of-Payments, 1950-1972

(in million DM; + = surplus, - = deficit)

Year	Trade Balance	Transfer Balance	Balance of long-term capital	Currency Balance
1950	- 3 013	+ 2 065	+ 458	- 564
1951	- 149	+ 1 529	- 149	+ 2 038
1952	+ 706	+ 160	- 447	+ 2 900
1953	+ 2 516	- 461	- 398	+ 3 646
1954	+ 2 698	- 474	- 518	+ 2 971
1955	+ 1 245	- 834	- 381	+ 1 851
1956	+ 2 897	- 1 221	- 455	+ 5 010
1957	+ 4 083	- 1 882	- 440	+ 5 122
1958	+ 4 954	- 2 000	- 1 457	+ 3 444
1959	+ 5 361	- 3 279	- 3 739	- 1 692
1960	+ 5 223	- 3 488	- 171	+ 8 019
1961	+ 6 615	- 4 430	- 4 203	- 2 297
1962	+ 3 477	- 5 210	- 353	- 877
1963	+ 6 032	- 5 095	+ 1 546	+ 2 740
1964	+ 6 081	- 5 311	- 1 034	+ 435
1965	+ 1 203	- 6 377	+ 957	- 1 283
1966	+ 7 958	- 6 295	- 762	+ 1 952
1967	+ 16 862	- 6 422	- 3 180	- 140
1968	+ 18 372	- 7 312	- 11 411	+ 7 009
1969	+ 15 584	- 8 650	- 23 080	-14 361
1970	+ 15 670	- 9 359	- 2 879	+22 650
1971	+ 15 892	- 10 852	+ 6 536	+10 989
1972	+ 20 278	- 13 297	+13 012	+15 194

Source: Monatsberichte der Deutschen Bundesbank, 25, 1973, 6, p. 70 +

Of course, to look at the development of one of the items in the international balance-of-payments in isolation may be of little significance, other items and partial balances have to be considered. As was shown in table 5, not only the German merchandise transactions were highly unbalanced. The reserves in gold and foreign currencies too rose dramatically. While in 1952 total reserves stood at just 3 billion DM, at the end of 1970 they reached 51.80 billion and in May, 1973 stood at 91.27 billion DM.

A noticeable decrease of the foreign currency reserves can only be observed for the years of DM-revaluation, 1961 and 1969, while the decrease after the international realignment of exchange rates in December, 1971, was only modest (September, 1971: 65.13, December, 1971: 62.22 billion DM)⁶⁾. As the Council of Economic Advisors stated correctly (for the situation in 1968), there is compared to trade volume no other country affording it-self such huge reserves of non-renumerative capital⁷⁾. This is even the more true for 1973.

The German Law on Stability and Growth of the Economy (Stabilitätsgesetz) of June, 8, 1967, states that the Federal government and the country governments have to pay attention to the overall balance of the economy; economic and fiscal measures have to be used in such a way as to achieve "... within the market system (marktwirtschaftliche Ordnung) the goals of (1) price stability, (2) full employment, (3) external balance, (4) and steady and adequate economic growth simultaneously. In a 1967 note on economic projections of the ministry of economic affairs the goal 'external balance' was defined as to be reached if the 'Außenbeitrag', i.e. the surplus of exports over imports of goods and services ~~to~~ the GNP, does not exceed the one-percent limit⁸⁾. The so-defined 'external balance' has never been reached in postwar Germany, except for the years 1962, 1965, and 1971.

What makes things worse, the very definition of this goal of economic policy, may have to be called in question. It is thought to "... guarantee the probable financial commitments" of the future but, in fact, is some kind of alibi for continuing export surplus, not taking into account that large reserves may make a negative 'Außenbeitrag' necessary; furthermore, mainly it is the very structure of the balances that really matter. In recent discussions the 'basic-balance' concept is stressed, saying that a balance is reached when the 'Außenbeitrag' is equal to the combined balances of the long-term capital and the transfer balance⁹⁾. However, even in this case short-term capital movements may lead to extraordinary hoardings of foreign currency reserves.

Generally speaking, the decisive role in the process of unbalanced development of the German balance-of-payments in the postwar period should be seen in the merchandise exports surplus. An active balance in the short-term capital movements can be observed for several years, ^{as} for 1970, but in other years respective deficits occurred. Though exports of goods are not unaffected by the transactions in other accounts, especially by long-term capital export, it seems obvious that the trade balance surplus must be recognized as being the main reason for the chronic imbalance in the German balance-of-payments. Before asking how this surplus came about, a few further details on German international economic relations shall be given.

(2) A major feature of the commodity structure of German exports is the high share of the investment goods industry, which comes close to 56 % (1972) with slightly increasing trend (52,5% in 1960). This fact of course, provides one of the explanations for the rapid expansion for overall exports, following the industry-oriented growth of world trade and the high elasticity of demand for investment goods. Finished products as such count for about 86 %. In contrast, the share of the agricultural products in exports has been decreasing to a mere 3.7 %, and raw materials come to 2.4 % of total exports.

On the import side a rather different picture emerges, although the structure is far from being as pronounced as e.g. is the case with Japan. Products of the investment goods industry count for only 23.4 % as against the 56 % on the export side; this share however, has gone up since 1960 when it stood at merely 11.1 %. Finished goods come to 50.53%. The imports of raw materials have been steadily increasing in volume, from 3.30 billion DM in 1950 to some 15.80 billion DM in 1971, although their share in total imports decreased during that period from 29.6 % (1950) to 13.4 % (1971). The share of agricultural products in total imports stand now at 19 %, having decreased slowly from 1960 when it still came to 26.3 %.

On basis of the classification for statistics and tariffs (CST), the differences in the commodity structure of German exports and imports come out very clearly:

Table 6: Commodity Structure of German Trade, 1971

CST-Group	Exports (in %)	Imports (in %)
0 + 1	3.2	16.3
2 + 4	2.6	11.6
3	3.0	10.2
5	11.6	6.3
6 + 8	30.6	32.1
7	47.3	19.5
9	1.6	4.1

Source: Statistisches Jahrbuch, 1972, p. 301.

To carry on this short survey on the structure of German foreign trade relations a bit further, we computed the absolute surpluses or deficits of main commodity groups according to the production statistics for the period 1960-1971.

The results are shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Surplus and Deficit in German Trade, Main Commodities, 1960-1971 (in million DM)

Year	Total Trade	Mining Products	Basic Materials & Production Goods	Investment Pro-Goods	Consumption Goods	Food & Beverages	Agricultural Products
1960	+ 5 223	-2 213	+ 1 661	+ 20 223	+ 95	-2 772	-10557
1961	+ 6 615	-2 339	+ 2 482	+ 21 421	- 296	-2 999	-10436
1962	+ 3 476	-2 224	+ 1 492	+ 21 895	- 481	-3 753	-11516
1963	+ 6 032	-2 394	+ 1 745	+ 24 957	- 357	-3 782	-10446
1964	+ 6 081	-3 654	+ 564	+ 27 123	- 379	-3 811	-11592
1965	+ 1 203	-4 138	+ 367	+ 27 794	-1 500	-4 741	-13153
1966	+ 7 958	-4 530	+ 2 795	+ 31 569	-1 302	-5 047	-13403
1967	+16 862	-5 150	+ 5 407	+ 34 387	- 787	-4 354	-12260
1968	+18 372	-6 296	+ 3 337	+ 39 435	+ 123	-4 630	-12292
1969	+15 584	-7 008	+ 694	+ 43 094	+ 540	-5 176	-13531
1970	+15 670	-7 400	+ 985	+ 43 883	+1 238	-6 032	-13175
1971	+15 892	-8 535	+ 2 798	+ 47 267	+2 759	-6 225	-14040

Source: Jahresgutachten 1972, p. 286

As will be discussed later, the structural difference between German exports and imports as revealed by the foregoing tables play an important role in continuously creating trade surpluses. The relatively low elasticity of demand for a large part of the imports, on the one hand, the relatively high elasticity of demand for the larger part of the exports as well as the technical possibilities of greater specialization in the field of manufactures which dominate German exports, on the other hand, give also the clue for understanding the failure of revaluation measures proposed in order to decrease the export surplus of the German economy.

(3) Not only the overall trade and the commodity structure of trade but also the regional trade figures demonstrate the highly unbalanced situation of German exports and imports. Considering first the general classification of industrialized countries (IC), developing countries (DC), and socialist countries (SC), we get the following picture: some 83,5 % of German exports (in 1971) flow to the IC, about 12.0 % to the DC, and only 4.5 % to the SC. On the import side the respective figures are 80 %, 16 %, and 4 %. This means that there is, apart from the high dependence on the IC, also an extra high surplus of exports in the trade with the IC (15.70 billion DM), a deficit with the DC (2.80 billion) and a surplus of about 1 billion with the SC. Since 1968 these regional imbalances have remained fairly constant, no tendency towards a greater balance can be observed.

Going down to the level of regional groupings, the countries of the European Economic Community are by far the largest customers, with nearly 50 % of all German exports, going to this region, at a still increasing trend. Exports and imports to and from the EEC are, however, to an astonishingly high degree balanced. This is not so with the EFTA-countries, with whom Germany has been running to ever increasing surpluses, integration with the EEC countries and desintegration with the EFTA countries being marked in the field of import trade. This trend, furthermore, is very likely to be intensified following the enlargement of the EEC in 1973.

The regional structure of German trade on the country level shows that economic interchange with some industrialized countries has been increasing rapidly, not only absolutely but also relatively. 50 % of German exports are concentrated on 5 western countries (in the order: France, Holland, US, Belgium-Luxemburg, Italy), while concentration on the import side is still more pronounced, some 57 % coming from the same 5 countries (in a little bit different order). It should be clear, while this heavy regional concentration of trade is conditioned by such factors as distance, elasticity of demand, communication systems etc., this situation is quite problematic, especially from the point of view of conjunctureally stabilizing the economy.

Comparing the fluctuations in the GNP growth rate of the western countries with the expansion of German exports, we find a very high correlation, a marked deviation however to be noted for the period after the first revaluation of the DM in 1961.

(4) To conclude our survey on the structure of German foreign trade relations we should have a look at the trade dependence of the various industries. A tabulation of the export and import quota German manufacturing industries ¹⁰⁾ for the period 1960-1970 is given in table 8 and 9. These tables also include a projection for the year 1972 and a prognosis for the years 1977 and 1985.

As can easily be seen, a steady increase is to be registered in the quota concerned. The average export quota of manufacturing industry rose from 15 % in 1960 to 19 % in 1970, while the import quota went up from 12 % to 18 %. A very rapid increase took place in the export quota of the chemical industry (from 23 to 31 %), the paper industry, and the machinery industry. Regarding imports, a rapid increase in the quota occurred in the iron industry, the asbestos industry, in machinery and ships, in electronic industry, in glass industry, music instruments, and in clothings.

Unfortunately, these tabulations do not include the development of the last two years when in ^a number of industries a dramatic change took place: export quota of investment goods industry jumped from 29 % in 1970 to 42 % in 1973; consumption goods industry: from 10 % to 17 %; total manufacturing industry: from 19 % to 31 %.

With these recent developments, the long-term prognosis for 1977, respectively 1985 to a certain extent were already reached within two years' time.

(5) German international capital transactions were not as spectacular as was the case with merchandise trade. Beginning in 1951, a steady long-term capital export took place, which reached temporary high-lights in 1959 and 1961, when suddenly in 1963, there was for the first time a net import of long-term capital.

Table 8 : EXPORTQUOTEN DER INDUSTRIE NACH HAUPTBETEILIGTEN GRUPPEN 1960 - 1985
 AUSLANDSUMSAETZE IN PROZENT DER GESAMTUMSAETZE ZU LAUFENDEN PREISEN
 BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND 1. GRUNDZAHLEN IN DM

	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1972	1977	1985	
1.00 GRUNDESTOFFE U. PRODUKTIONSQUELLEN	15	15	15	16	15	16	18	20	19	19	19		21	23	33
1.01 STEINE U. ERDEN	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4		4	4	5
1.02 EISENSCHAFFENDE IND.	20	21	21	22	20	23	24	30	24	24	24		28	32	39
1.03 EISEN-STAHL- U. TEMPERGIESS.				8	7	8	9	11	11	10	11		13	15	20
1.04 ZIERMETALLE U. KUNSTHALTZWERKE	16	16	15	15	14	14	18	19	18	15	16		17	17	18
1.05 NE-METALLINDUSTRIE	11	11	13	(16)	14	12	22	22	19	14	14		18	22	29
1.06 CHEMIE	(23)	23	23	25	25	25	27	29	31	31	31		32	40	54
1.07 MINERALZELVERARBEITUNG				4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		4	4	4
1.08 KUNSTSCHUL. U. ASBESTVERARBEITUNG	12	11	11	12	12	12	13	15	18	17	16		18	23	33
1.09 SAFT- U. PULPVERARBEITUNG	5	5	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	7	6		6	9	13
1.10 ZELLST. U. PAPIERERZEUGNISSE					8	8	9	10	11	13	13		15	19	27
2.00 INVESTITIONSGUETER	25	24	24	25	25	25	27	30	22	22	24		32	37	44
2.01 STAHLERAL. MASCHINENBAU	11	11	11	11	10	11	10	13	15	13	10		12	12	12
2.02 MASCHINENBAU	29	30	30	32	32	30	34	38	40	38	34		49	44	50
2.03 ELEKTROMASCHINENBAU															
2.04 FAHRZEUGE	35	32	31	33	34	35	38	41	45	42	39		46	53	69
2.05 LUFTFAHRZEUGE	1	3	12	13	10	10	12	11	12	12	8		19	17	72
2.06 SCHIFFBAU	62	46	42	42	40	41	39	32	46	44	36		35	32	21
2.07 ELEKTROTECHNIK	18	16	17	18	18	19	20	22	23	22	22		24	27	32
2.08 FEINTECHNIK U. OPTIK	36	34	34	34	33	33	34	36	34	37	37		37	38	40
2.09 EISEN- U. METALLWERKZEUGE	15	14	15	15	15	15	16	16	18	18	17		19	21	25
2.10 STAHLVERFORMUNG	10	10	10	10	10	10	11	14	12	11	11		13	14	17
3.00 VERBRAUCHSGUETER	7	7	7	7	8	8	8	9	10	11	10		11	14	19
3.01 FEINKERAMIK	24	23	24	26	26	26	29	31	32	33	31		34	41	47
3.02 GLASERZEUGNISSE U. -VERARBEITUNG	19	15	15	15	14	13	14	15	16	17	16		16	16	18
3.03 POLYMERERZEUGNISSE	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	7	7	7		7	10	16
3.04 KUNSTSTOFF- U. SPIELWAEREN	31	28	27	27	27	26	26	29	24	25	28		28	26	25
3.05 PAPIER- U. PAPPVERARBEITUNG				1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3		3	5	9
3.06 DRUCKEREI U. VERVIELFAELTUNG	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3		3	5	9
3.07 KUNSTSTOFFVERARBEITUNG	21	17	17	17	12	11	12	12	14	14	13		13	15	20
3.08 LEICHTERERZEUGNISSE U. -VERARBEITUNG				8	8	8	9	9	10	12	10		11	12	16
3.09 TEXTILINDUSTRIE	8	8	8	8	9	9	10	11	12	12	11		15	14	27
3.10 BEKLEIDUNG	3	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	5	5	5		6	9	17
4.00 ANWANDS- U. GENESSMITTEL	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3		3	4	7
5.00 VERARBEITUNGSINDUSTRIE INSG.	15	15	15	15	16	16	17	19	20	20	19		21	25	32
6.00 BERGBAU	(20)	20	20	20	20	21	21	21	22	20	20		21	21	20
7.00 INDUSTRIE TOTAL	15	15	15	16	16	16	17	19	20	20	19		21	25	32

Table 9 : IMPORTQUOTEN DER INDUSTRIE NACH HAUPTBETEILIGTEN GRUPPEN 1960 - 1985
 EINFUHR IN PROZENT DER INLANDSVERSORGUNG ZU LAUFENDEN PREISEN
 BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND 1. GRUNDZAHLEN IN DM

	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1972	1977	1985	
1.00 GRUNDESTOFFE U. PRODUKTIONSQUELLEN	15	14	15	15	16	17	18	18	20	21	21		22	27	36
1.01 STEINE U. ERDEN	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	10	10	8		10	11	13
1.02 EISENSCHAFFENDE IND.	13	12	13	13	14	16	14	17	21	20	20		23	25	41
1.03 EISEN-STAHL- U. TEMPERGIESS.	(2)	3	3	4	5	7	7	7	9	9	10		13	16	31
1.04 ZIERMETALLE U. KUNSTHALTZWERKE	41	39	39	(43)	42	45	46	44	49	48	47		50	54	59
1.05 NE-METALLINDUSTRIE	12	11	11	12	13	15	15	15	17	18	18		20	26	39
1.06 CHEMIE					12	10	10	11	12	12	12		12	15	20
1.07 MINERALZELVERARBEITUNG	6	7	8	10	10	12	13	13	13	14	15		17	24	40
1.08 KUNSTSCHUL. U. ASBESTVERARBEITUNG	27	26	26	26	27	28	24	24	24	24	27		25	25	24
1.09 SAFT- U. PULPVERARBEITUNG					33	34	34	33	37	39	39		41	48	54
1.10 ZELLST. U. PAPIERERZEUGNISSE															
2.00 INVESTITIONSGUETER	7	7	8	8	9	10	11	11	13	13	15		14	23	33
2.01 STAHLERAL. MASCHINENBAU	3	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	4		4	6	11
2.02 MASCHINENBAU	11	12	12	12	13	14	14	15	17	19	20		20	25	37
2.03 ELEKTROMASCHINENBAU															
2.04 FAHRZEUGE	5	5	7	6	7	9	12	13	14	14	16		20	32	55
2.05 LUFTFAHRZEUGE	60	51	50	37	41	52	57	49	50	49	45		45	50	65
2.06 SCHIFFBAU	7	4	5	6	4	11	10	10	12	11	24		26	40	68
2.07 ELEKTROTECHNIK	5	4	6	6	7	8	9	9	11	12	13		14	14	26
2.08 FEINTECHNIK U. OPTIK	12	13	14	14	16	17	20	21	23	23	24		24	34	47
2.09 EISEN- U. METALLWERKZEUGE	3	4	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8	9		10	14	23
2.10 STAHLVERFORMUNG	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	5		5	7	13
3.00 VERBRAUCHSGUETER	9	9	10	10	11	12	13	12	14	15	15		17	21	30
3.01 FEINKERAMIK	5	6	7	8	9	12	13	13	15	14	17		21	31	51
3.02 GLASERZEUGNISSE U. -VERARBEITUNG	5	6	6	7	8	11	10	10	12	11	14		14	23	34
3.03 POLYMERERZEUGNISSE	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6		6	7	8
3.04 KUNSTSTOFF- U. SPIELWAEREN	19	20	23	24	27	30	32	30	34	34	38		38	47	59
3.05 PAPIER- U. PAPPVERARBEITUNG					3	3	3	3	4	4	4		4	7	12
3.06 DRUCKEREI U. VERVIELFAELTUNG	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4		4	5	4
3.07 KUNSTSTOFFVERARBEITUNG	3	3	4	4	4	5	6	9	10	11	11		12	17	27
3.08 LEICHTERERZEUGNISSE U. -VERARBEITUNG	(11)	13	11	12	12	14	17	14	21	23	22		20	41	62
3.09 TEXTILINDUSTRIE	14	14	17	18	18	20	21	19	21	23	24		25	30	32
3.10 BEKLEIDUNG	4	5	6	7	7	9	10	9	11	14	15		17	24	43
4.00 ANWANDS- U. GENESSMITTEL	9	9	10	10	9	11	11	10	11	12	12		12	14	18
5.00 VERARBEITUNGSINDUSTRIE INSG.	19	19	11	11	12	13	13	13	14	14	16		18	23	32
6.00 BERGBAU	(34)	34	34	35	41	44	44	50	54	54	52		60	70	82
7.00 INDUSTRIE TOTAL	12	11	12	12	13	14	15	15	17	17	18		19	24	34

This was repeated in 1965 and in 1971-72. In between, following the recession of 1966-67, a rapid increase of long-term capital export can be registered, which jumped from 3.82 billion^{DM} in 1967 to 11.41 billion in 1968 and some 23.08 billion in 1969, thus reaching quite dramatic proportions. The balance of total capital transactions, of course, does not show a steady trend, since the short-term capital movements are subject to their own laws, surplus and deficit following each other very irregularly over time.

In contrast, the transfer balance developed steadily towards growing exports (Übertragungen), from about 450 million DM in 1953 to 13.29 billion DM in 1972, without any remarkable deviations from the upward trend.

III. Causes and Problems of Trade Expansion

Chapter II has demonstrated Germany's extensive international economic interchange in general, and the structural imbalances of trade in particular. As they stood behind several crises of the international system one may predict that as long as they exist the sequence of disturbances of the international economic system has not yet come to an end. German trade and capital transactions seem to provide an example of what was called 'cumulatively increasing foreign trade dynamics': the development potential of the economy and its transformation capacity are strong; the combination of externally-oriented preference structures with a qualitatively high resource basis but relatively small domestic industrial markets seem to favour the creation and growth of an outward-oriented production structure and the continuing evolution of dynamic comparative advantages¹¹⁾. The question is, whether the volume and structure of German trade are best in a real sense, especially with view to the quality of living conditions of the population.

The economist has at the fore of his mind the notion of an optimum flow of things. The 'optimum volume of trade' would be the just right volume more than which, or less, is to be avoided. Though this concept is straightforward, the optimum volume of trade practically should be difficult to measure with a pretence of anything approa-

aching exactness, given the state of knowledge on production functions, preference structures, and given the mechanisms of transferring social preferences into economic and political actions. However, the notion of an optimum volume of trade as a goal of attainment could certainly with advantage replace the current basically mercantilist view rampant among businessmen, economists and politicians. It could increase their receptiveness to the possibility, the likelihood even, that the volume of trade in the national product is too large and the structure to be changed¹²⁾.

In Germany, though the high share of trade has seldom been seriously^{questioned}, many theses have been put forward on how to explain the trade pattern, namely the export surpluses in the trade balance. One should not regard this discussion as being concluded. In the following we first will give a resumé of the main streams of thought, which may be classified under three headings. Then we will put forward some new theses which we think have been neglected for too long or undervalued to a great extent. Of course, at this occasion we can give only a short discussion of the implications involved. Should the discussion be rather too sketchy, so only because the arguments are thought to be known or because others are thought to deserve more attention.

What measures are taken to solve the problems of trade imbalances, is a consequence of how the surplus is explained. It mainly was explained by price factors and business cycles. Concentrating on such explanations the surplus should be eliminated mainly by two means: domestic inflation (Anpassungsinflation) or revaluation. Only recently, structural factors were stressed to explain the surplus and to remedy the situation.

1. International Price Differences and Trade Expansion

The price differences between Germany and its trade partners have been cited most as being the reason for the rapid trade expansion. The argument is as follows: the efforts of economic policy regarding price stabilization in Germany have been, by and large, rather

successful, especially when compared with the main trading partners. The result is a price gap which promoted the competitiveness^{ti} of German exporters and weakened the position of the competitors. In contrast to a case of equal inflation rates, German export growth is pushed, and import growth retarded, thus creating a chronic surplus in the trade balance.

One problem with this reasoning is that^{it} can explain trade flows at best only in the short run. No economy being as highly export-oriented can flee from inflation in the present monetary system. All efforts to neutralize the money-expanding effects of export surpluses through monetary policies can be successful only temporarily; increasing the interest rate may reduce domestic money supply but will increase foreign-induced money circulation. There are many examples in postwar history of the boomerang-effect of an autonomous monetary policy in a system of fixed exchange rates. (The most spectacular example was in 1971 when foreign currency reserves increased by more than 1 billion DM a day).

Recent experiences should show quite clearly that export behaviour cannot be explained by price differences alone. In May, this year, foreign orders in the German industry were nearly 50 % above the level of the year before, despite recent revaluations, floating of the DM¹³⁾, and higher export prices. Non-price factors of competitive advantage seem to play an increasing role, as e.g. product quality, monopoly positions on foreign markets, temporary shortages, ability to supply in time. Furthermore, the actual variations in the trade balance were not always in parallel to international price movements.

Summarizing, we may say, that^{this} thesis of a monocausal relationship between international price differences and German export surplus does not hold good. This is confirmed by an investigation comparing export volume and export prices of the western countries: Export growth was more pronounced in Germany though export prices of Holland, Belgium, France, etc. grew less rapidly. (On the other hand, this is not to be used as general explanation for all cases,

since e.g. the development of Italian and Japanese exports may provide counter-evidence).

2. Time Lag in Business Cycles and Trade Expansion

The time lag in business cycles has been named to explain the export surplus in the German trade balance. This thesis was particularly stressed during the recession of 1966/67, when compensatory exports pushed the export surplus to new dimensions (1967: 16.86 billion DM). In consequence of this argument it was thought that domestic recovery would reduce the surplus. The boom came, but the surplus remained. The same was true for 1971.

Without going into more detailed analysis we can say that during the business cycles of the postwar period there have been reactions of the trade surplus according to this line of thinking, i.e. a decrease of the surplus during domestic boom and an increase during recession, but this is not confirmed by all the business cycles. On the other hand, one may well differentiate between price and cycles effects on trade, since developments of export and import prices do not necessarily correspond to the business cycles.

3. Structure of Trade and Trade Expansion

It seems quite natural to look at the specific structure of exports and imports in order to find explanations for the chronic developments, though in the German case, one may say, that this has been done so only recently. Harry G. Johnson had shown fairly early that the discrepancy between the income elasticity of demand of a country for imports and the income elasticity of foreign demand for export products of this country will lead to imbalances in trade¹⁴⁾. This tendency towards trade surplus based on the commodity structure of trade may, furthermore, be increased or decreased by the regional structure of trade of the country concerned.

H.S. HOUTHAKKER & S.P. MAGEE in their study on income and price elasticities in world trade demonstrated that the countries with the highest export growth registered the highest income elasticities for their exportables while their income elasticities for importables was clearly behind¹⁵⁾. Though these results may be taken with caution they should be plausible for the German case¹⁶⁾.

As was mentioned before the shares of investment goods and agricultural products in German exports and imports differ markedly. According to general understanding, income elasticity of demand for investment goods is fairly high compared with that for agricultural products. As the share of the former is rather big in the exports but rather low in imports, while the contrary can be said regarding the latter, it is fair to assume that income elasticity of total demand for German exports is higher than that for total imports. This structural component of trade should be strengthened if the main importers of the products of the country concerned belong to the growth intensive countries. Thus we find a more than proportionate increase of export share in such products whose share in world trade has been rising, and a more than proportionate expansion of exports towards those countries whose imports were rising fast.

In other words: even if Germany would be in line with the business cycles and the price levels of her trading partners, she would run into export surpluses. Revaluations alone, therefore, cannot be an adequate measure, instead or additionally structural policies must be undertaken. (It is to be noticed, that structural measures for stabilizing the trade balances are more or less unknown even in special books on the balance-of-payments.¹⁷⁾

Going further into the analysis of trade structures, we may differentiate between 'active' and 'passive' (respectively fast expanding and slowly expanding or decreasing) export and import industries. Grüter has estimated, ^{that} approximately 50 % of German exports belong to fast growing industries (Wachstumsindustrien), while on the import side only 30 % belong to that group¹⁸⁾. This fact, again, may be said to mean that the fast growing industries will reach the limits of national absorption soon ('push-effect in exports').

For Germany this process has led to a double dilemma: with increasing income abroad, German exports grow more than proportionately, while along with increasing domestic income, more and more growth-oriented enterprises press for exports, exports even becoming the main activity (50 % and more ^{of} turnover) of various industries.

There is yet another characteristic of the strong export-orientation of the German economy to be observed: even in a recession period abroad, exports do not decrease since enterprises tend to 'hold the market' at the risk of temporarily lower profits. Because of these effects it has been suggested to use 'ex ante revaluation' (vorausseilende Aufwertung) as a means of economic policy¹⁹⁾.

4. Employment Structure and Trade Expansion

There is no doubt about the dependence of the employment of foreign workers (Gastarbeiter) from the business cycle during the 1960's and the early 1970's²⁰⁾. Very important for our discussion is the relationship between employment of foreign workers and German export expansion. Table 10 shows the Gastarbeiter-quota in selected German industries compared with the export share of these industries in total exports.

The table shows that while the respective export shares of the selected industries in total exports are fairly constant (or slightly increasing) during the period reviewed, the share of foreign workers in total employment in these industries has a strongly increasing tendency. From this we may conclude that the industries concerned have been able to hold their share in total exports (or to increase it) because they succeeded in getting increasingly more Gastarbeiter. Said differently: if these industries would not have been able to employ more labour, they most probably would not have been able to hold their export share constant (or increase it) and to increase the ^{absolute} volume of their exports considerably.

Table 10: Gastarbeiter and Export Quota in Germany, Selected Industries, (1965-1970)

Industry	Export Quota						Gastarbeiter Quota					
	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970
Chemicals	5.7	5.8	6.6	7.2	7.5	7.5	6.7	7.0	5.3	5.9	7.6	9.2
Plastics, Rubber & Asbestos	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.8	11.3	12.8	10.5	11.3	16.5	18.4
Quarrying, Ceramics, Glass	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.3	12.1	12.7	8.8	9.0	11.9	16.1
Wood, Paper & Printing	5.9	5.7	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.4	6.5	7.3	5.7	5.9	7.9	10.1
Leather, Textiles	9.8	10.0	8.7	9.5	9.8	9.4	8.2	10.0	8.2	9.4	12.1	13.6
Steel, Machinery, Vehicles	9.2	9.6	9.4	9.5	10.4	12.5	8.0	8.4	5.9	6.6	9.8	12.5
Coal Mining	0.7	0.66	0.66	0.48	0.47	0.59	6.7	6.4	5.0	4.4	5.9	9.3

Source: M. NIKOLINAKOS, Politische Ökonomie der Gastarbeiterfrage, Reinbeck 1973, p. 74

Further analysis would show that the increase of Gastarbeiter-employment is directly following the increase in exports. The growing demand from abroad for German exports leads to a growing demand of German industry for labour which in the German case was coming from the developing regions of southern Europe, Africa and parts of Asia.

Summarizing, one may say: without the liberal migration policy the growing demand for German products would not have been met, GNP would not have grown as it did. In a study of the Tübingen Institute for Applied Economic Research on the role of employing Gastarbeiter in Germany it is stated: "The increase of the factor labour leads to a surplus value provided this additional factor is meaningfully employed. Thus the employment of foreign workers will lead to an increase in the GNP, and insofar export growth must in part be attributed to the employment of foreign workers. Thereby it is relatively unimportant whether these foreign workers are employed in the export sector or whether it was possible to employ more German workers in the export sector because of greater flexibility of the labour market"²¹).

Recently, the question is put whether the increasing employment of foreign labour does meet its total cost to society, i.e. it is thought that the social costs are not internalized into private accounts, especially regarding housing, schooling, and others parts of social infrastructure. As is well known from welfare economics, in case of externalities the market mechanism will bring forth sub-optimal results, decentralized decisions thus lead us to produce (and work) too much. Since the non-internalization of externalities may especially lead to overproduction for exports we will have to discuss this question more intensively. Before doing so we should conclude this chapter by referring to some other effects which in Germany were quite early touched but not prevented.

The Council of Economic Advisors to the German ministry of economic affairs in its 1957 expert opinion on "Problems of the German Export Surplus" predicted that the surplus in the trade balance would for a long time be larger than necessary to finance the deficit in the capital balance²²⁾. Though the Council did not ask why it should be that the capital balance be as unbalanced as it was, he made quite clear that the surplus in the international balance of goods and services would be detrimental to the welfare of the people:

"... so bedeutet das volkswirtschaftlich gesehen nichts anderes, als daß im Inland produzierte Güter und Dienste dem Ausland überlassen werden und infolgedessen für Investitionen und Konsum im Inland nicht mehr bereitstehen"²³⁾. The Council went on to say, that if the reserves of the central bank surpass the 'necessary amount' (it is however, not said what that amount may be), this would be a sign of 'unintended capital export'²⁴⁾. In the case of full employment, 'unintended capital export' must lead to heavy burdens on and great disruptions in the economic process, as income is created domestically whose real equivalent is flowing abroad. This in turn, leads to a *circulus vitiosus* insofar as stabilization policy would increase the reserves what again would necessitate new domestic restrictions.

Though one may highly agree to this 1957 analysis even when transposed to the present situation, the medicine the council prescribed was very strange indeed: he called for an even greater international interchange of the German economy²⁵⁾—and thus layed the ^{very} basis for many troubles to come.

Without discussing the pros and cons of this opinion further, one point came out clearly: to decrease the export surplus (either by reducing total export volume or by increasing total import volume) would make available more resources at home. Within the overall potential effects of such a policy, two should have been of great importance for the German situation: (a) increase of resources available for public investment, (b) increase of resources for rationalization purposes which in turn could have led to a reduction in the demand for foreign labour.

Of course, effects (a) and (b) are very much interrelated as the migration of foreign workers makes additional investments into infrastructure necessary. These investments as we know today were more or less neglected for quite a long time but have to be retrieved now, at a point where the number of Gastarbeiter has reached 2.5 million (plus an unknown number of family members).

To put it shortly: the import of foreign labour gives one more example for the discrepancy of private and total costs and benefits; it pays for the German industry to engage Gastarbeiter as long as their ^{wages} and fringe benefits are below average, and as long as the social costs of their employment are born by the state, the public at large, and the Gastarbeiter themselves²⁶⁾.

Also liberal migration policy must have caused a delay in rationalization of German economic structures. Competitive pressure to modernize production techniques will certainly be reduced as long as (privately cheap) foreign labour is freely available. Migration policy in a sense is a new kind of structural conservation policy (Strukturerhaltungspolitik), the contrary to the very much needed structural adjustments and developments (Strukturentwicklungspolitik). Extending this argument a bit further we may say that the non-internalization of externalities of migration into the private cost accounts does not only lead to private profits but helps at the same time conserving the industrial structure, an effect particularly hostile towards the products of the developing countries (among them those where the Gastarbeiter are coming from).

5. Industrial Structure of Exports and Trade Expansion

The foreign trade sector of the German economy is not at all homogeneous regarding productivity, size, competitiveness, etc. Besides numerous 'marginal exporters' supplying mainly the domestic market and exporting only in case of attractive prices and special opportunities on the foreign markets, there are firms with a high degree of specialization towards the foreign market and pursuing an 'active export policy'. This last group covers the largest part of German exports both regarding volume and value. The production capacity of these firms often exceeds the absorption capacity of the domestic market so that their behaviour is strongly oriented toward closed partial markets via product differentiation, their price policy being substituted by non-price sales instruments. Only historically the 'active export policy' of these firms may be traced back to a compensatory export policy. In this field of trade we have to do with the situation, ^{that} compensatory exports as a reaction to the situation on the domestic markets no longer works as sufficient hypothesis, instead, domestic markets tend to become compensatory markets for those firms.

It is also to be feared that high export shares and especially export surpluses bind resources at the cost of the 'marginal exporter': in case of a recession at home, the marginal exporter will bear the main burden, while in the case of a domestic boom the marginal exporter will be able to invest only at higher cost since the labour and capital market are to a great extent dominated or influenced by big industry, including big exporters. The consequence out of this structural situation is more than proportionate growth of the export-oriented active industries, a distortion of the production structure will follow, in the sense, that resources are not available for domestic uses: the essence of what may be called 'Exportlastigkeit' (27).

Summarizing, we have to suspect that the negative effects of the types discussed above in the case of German trade expansion have been quite pronounced in the area of: 'private versus public investment' (public goods effect), 'industrial resources and subsidies compe-

tition' (sectoral and regional effects), and in 'income and wealth distribution' (income and inflation effects). Causes and problems of trade expansion with respect to 'common property resources' will be discussed more intensively in the next chapter.

IV. Environment, Infrastructure and Trade Expansion

Environmental and infrastructural amenities are two important aspects of the 'quality of life'. Generally, in the postwar period the supply of these goods has been subordinated in private and in public decision-making to other goals and elements, in particular to the more immediate requisites of growth (defined conventionally) and trade. Over time, however, in Germany as in other industrial countries the incremental social costs of lacking infrastructure and of environmental disruptions have risen, in the face of steadily more apparent limits in the absorptive capacity of the human- and the bio-sphere²⁸). Thus there is a growing realization that severe problems of infrastructure and environment are closely related to the imprudent pursuit of economic growth, and that the intersectoral and intertemporal cost-transfers and negative social spillovers inherent in the growth process may at various points become sufficiently powerful to outweigh the expected economic gains. This process in Germany is both gradual and uneven, gaining in intensity and social impact during the last few years but varying widely among municipalities, regions and states.

The lack of homogeneity in the awareness of the problems (Problem-bewußtsein) and its political perception is attributable in large part to the fact that human and biological absorptive thresholds exist, that the damage curves are uneven, and that the 'free rider' phenomenon is frequent. Furthermore, regarding environment the international movement of natural and common-property resources has itself helped to obscure emerging problems at the national level. In some way, international trade permits the acquisition of scarce (or environmentally costly) natural resources and goods from pollution-intensive industries from abroad, again diminishing the urgency of dealing with common-property resource management problems arising in these sectors.

These short reflections above should elucidate that infrastructural investments and environmental control are closely related to and strongly influenced by trade expansion, especially so in a country as highly depending on trade as Germany. In order not to complicate reasoning, in the following we will concentrate on the relations between environment and trade; the arguments however may largely hold true for the infrastructure as a whole.

1. Competitive Advantage and Environmental Control

Three types of environmental disruptions may be identified:

- (a) Final goods, intermediates, and raw materials (which when used cause despoilation of the environment);
- (b) Residuals of the consumption process (products having served their purpose are discarded in a manner harmful to the environment);
- (c) Residuals of the production process (environmentally deleterious side-effects of the production of virtually all goods and services).

Clearly, economic activity generates a wide range of externalities including such environmental disruptions which are not reflected in private costs and hence fail to enter into the resource-allocation process and the conventional measures of economic success. Once acknowledged as real social costs, such externalities must be considered explicitly - and this can alter economic decisions and priorities. The market mechanism generally fails to distribute the cost of environmental disruptions - and other externalities - to the ultimate producers. Enforced internalization will thus affect the international competitive position of the producing units involved, depending on the degree of standards and methods applied. Generally speaking the economy with the highest foreign trade (relatively and absolutely) will be affected most, when international uniformity in standards is applied.

Germany, in such a case, would be one of the countries strongly affected, especially because of the high content of pollution-intensive chemicals and heavy-industries goods in German exports. Since no comparable figures and calculations on the German situation are

available up to now, we would suggest to consider for a moment the standards imposed under the Clean Air Act of the United States and their prospective effects on all prices, if the 20 industries responsible for most of the emissions of sulphur dioxide, carbon monoxide, hydrocarbons, and particulates satisfy fully these norms (see table 11).

Table 11: Estimated Price Increases of Goods and Services Resulting from Compliance with Certain Anti-Pollution Measures, in %

Sector	Price increase if major air-pollution industries met the standards of the U.S. Clean Air Act	Price increase resulting from an overall substitution of low sulphur fuel for high sulphur fuels
Electric utilities	7.3	2.9
Gas utilities	0.1	1.1
Iron and steel foundries	3.5	2.9
Primary steel	2.2	1.5
Iron and ferro-alloy mining	0.4	2.3
Coal mining	0.5	6.1
Chemical and ferrous mineral min.	0.4	1.9
Iron and steel forgings	1.9	2.4
Pulp mills	0.7	3.2
Paper and allied products	0.4	2.8
Paper containers	0.3	2.5
Primary non-ferrous metals	16.8	3.4
Secondary non-ferrous metals	3.0	1.9
Misc. non-ferrous metals	0.5	1.8
Petroleum refining	6.0	2.1
Crude petroleum and natural gas	0.2	8.3
Industrial chemicals	0.2	1.2
Plastics and synthetic materials	0.6	10.3
Fertilizers	0.4	6.2
Metal containers	0.8	4.7
Other fabricated metal products	1.6	2.0
Metal handling machinery and equipment	1.4	1.7
Metal working machinery and equipment	0.8	1.5
Specific industrial machinery and equipment	0.7	1.3
General industrial machinery and equipment	0.8	1.7
Machine shop products	0.8	1.1
Electric equipment and appliances	0.9	1.6
Electric machinery and equipment	1.6	1.4
Engines and turbines	0.9	1.5

Source: GATT, Industrial Pollution Control and International Trade, Geneva, 1971

If environmental controls such as these are implemented, and if such estimates are reasonably close to the mark regarding the German situation, the overall competitive impact could be substantial indeed, especially since about three quarters of the German exports would be affected. As the pollution load of exports is quite generally ~~is~~ much higher than the pollution load of imports, an effective policy of pollution control might help to bring down the chronic export surplus of the German economy. Of course, the impact on aggregate trade and on the structure of trade flows fundamentally depends on the distribution and the nature of control measures applied among the competing countries.

Seen from the historic point of view and from the point of view of explaining the postwar developments, one may say that in the past foreign trade and especially exports must have been strongly stimulated by the fact that the environmental (and infrastructural) costs of trade were not (or not completely) internalized into the private accounts of the originators, the exporters and importers, but were born by third parties or the population at large. However, it is very difficult to guess on how much the level of trade and its growth rate have been pushed upward by this mechanism of socializing external effects of production and consumption, whether it may count for 5 or 15 % of volume and rate. Relatively more easy, but still highly complicated is to assess the effects of future pollution control policy on the German balance-of-payments and on national income.

B.P. KLOTZ ²⁹⁾ recently made an assessment of these effects for five countries including Germany, using the model designed by R. D'ARGE ³⁰⁾ and the import and export elasticities calculated for the OECD countries ³¹⁾. The model traces the sequence of effects triggered off by a rise in domestic prices following environmental control measures: reduced exports, increased imports, correcting respectively worsening of the trade balance and consequent reduction in GNP through the multiplier effect. Table 12 shows that the German national income resulting from a one percent increase in domestic and export prices following environmental pollution control would decrease by 2 billion dollars (or 1.8 %) given the export and import elasticities shown in that table.

Table 12: Estimated Change in National Income Resulting from a One per Cent Increase in Domestic and Export Prices, selected Countries, (in billion dollars, 1968)

Country	Income Change	Percentage Change	Export Elasticity	Import Elasticity
Japan	- 15.5	- 14 %	- 1.25	0.78
United Kingdom	4.2	4.9 %	- .33	0.22
United States	- 8.4	- 1.1 %	- 1.00	1.88
West-Germany	- 2.0	- 1.8 %	- 0.99	0.34

Source : B.P. KLOTZ, The Trade Effects of Unilateral Pollution Standards, in: OECD, Problems of Environmental Economics, Paris, 1972, p. 223

There is no room here to discuss the model and its underlying assumptions in detail, but it must be said that the results should be viewed with caution in that they are subject to a wide margin of uncertainty because the model is highly sensitive to different estimates for the domestic absorption capacity and for the price elasticities of exports and imports. On the other hand, the figure for the price increment pegged in these studies at only one per cent is considerably below some recent estimates for the economy as a whole and for certain industries in particular.

Classifying the goods and services produced into those in which international competitive advantage exists (exportables), those which appear to be characterized by an international competitive disadvantage (importables), and those which are non-traded, in international trade exportables are exchanged for importables under assumed constant terms of trade which render the country better off - in terms of (conventionally defined) real income per capita - than if it did not engage in trade. Its economic structure is thus biased towards the production of exportables in which it specializes more than it otherwise would as the result of its particular factor endowments and productivities.

Assuming that the country would decide to deal with environmental disruption in a serious manner by diverting substantial resources to environmental control not only by forcing this upon producers but also by undertaking direct pollution abatement operations through the public sector, as is to be expected e.g. in Germany, the initial outcome would be fewer exportables and fewer importables as a result of government induced diversion of resources to non-traded (environmental) goods. In this case, the quantity of exportables and importables may decline but the quality of life may well improve.

Different should be the pollution control effects on international trade if the incidence is not symmetrical as between exportables and importables - and this may be more realistic with regard to the German case. Supposing that the country's export production is clearly capital-intensive and that the pollution control activities too turn out to be capital-intensive, the diversion of capital resources from tradeable goods to the environment sector thus bears more heavily on the output of exportables than it does on importables. Hence the comparative advantage in exports is reduced and the production mix of tradeable goods becomes less specialized in the export sector. In case of unbalanced trade - as is true for Germany - this may lead to a reduction of the export surplus. However, this effect may work only in the short run, since a substantial shift of resources to the pollution control sector may lay the very basis for new comparative export advantages in the long run, especially in case the competing countries do not follow this shift of policy in due time, and provided the pollution control goods can be traded (be it in form of consulting, licensing, or exports of hardware). The wider the gap between leading and lacking countries, the more pronounced the competitive advantages of the leaders will become. Anyhow, it would appear that the factor-intensity of pollution control techniques will in part determine the impact on the volume and structure of the country's foreign trade.

Regarding the inter-industry effects, variations in the impact of pollution control policies are born out by the facts. In terms of the primary impact on selected industries, the bearing of environmental measures may be approximately gauged by their respective expenditure on pollution control plant and equipment.

According to the estimates of the first German government report on environmental problems³²⁾ private fixed investment and operating expenditure for pollution control plant and equipment in the main problem areas and industry groups for the period 1971-1975 will be as shown in table 13 and table 14.

Table 13: Net Investment and Operating Expenditure for Environmental Control in Germany, 1971-1975
(in prices of 1970, billion DM)

Problem Area	Net Investments 1971-1975	Operations Expenditure 1971-1975
Air Pollution	3.9	0.4
Water Pollution	16.2	4.0
Solid Wastes	2.3	1.5
Biocides and Chemicals	1.7	-
Noise	1.7	-
Land use and Conservation	2.4	-
Others	0.2	2.1
Total	28.0	8.0

Source: Umweltprogramm der Bundesregierung, op. cit., p. 611

Though these estimates seem to be rather low compared with the rising public concern with environment, they do indicate some order of magnitude and inter-industry differences that may materialize in the effects of environmental policies on international competition³³⁾.

Table 14: Net Investment and Operating Expenditure for Environmental Control in Germany, 1971-1975
(in prices of 1970, billion DM)

Industry	Net Investments 1971-1975	Operating Expenditure 1971-1975
Mining	2.1	0.3
Energy	0.5	-
Quarrying, Glass, Ceramics	0.3	0.3
Chemical Industry	6.8	1.3
Other Industry	1.0	0.2
Building-trade	0.1	-
State Budget	15.3	3.6
Others	0.8	2.3
Total	28.0	8.0

Source: Umweltprogramm der Bundesregierung, op. cit. p. 611

2. Industrial Location and International Capital Flows

A number of German communities following people's protests ('Bürgerinitiativen') have begun to discourage the location of certain industries, involving confrontations between groups falling at various points on the spectrum from total concern with economic expansion and concern with environment. Regions in which anti-growth tendencies can be seen are characterized by relatively high income levels. Political balance in other regions represents different assessments of the growth-versus environment trade-off. As a result an increase in the importance of environmental considerations in decisions regarding industrial location and interregional capital flows within Germany can be confirmed.

Locational implications of environmental control for the international relations of Germany come also to the fore, especially at a specific industry level. There will thus evolve international spillovers attributable to local or regional environmental control policies. It can be assumed that these spillovers will increase and together with the increased power of the German currency, will make foreign locations progressively more advantageous relative to domestic locations, with environmental considerations increasing in importance relative to such factors as labor cost, raw materials, proximity to markets etc.³⁴⁾

It can be expected that international variations in environmental awareness will exceed the inter-regional variations, a fact which became very clear at the last year UN Conference for the Human Environment. Therefore, the scope for international locational spillovers seems to be rather broad and durable. This quite probably will have notable implications for the regional pattern of German foreign direct investment: 'export of pollution' will become a reality in today's world. Reasonable men call this the latest form of exploitation. Yet, since there are regional differences in revealed or unrevealed preferences, the marginal social benefit even of pollution-intensive industries in practice, may exceed marginal social cost in the relatively backward countries and regions.

Again, these developments make clear that in the past noninternationalization of environmental externalities of trade has by itself strengthened the tendency towards concentration of capital and labour, releasing backwash effects on the relatively backward areas.

3. Implications of Environmental Control for Trade and Capital Flows

The impact of environmental control measures on international trade and capital flow and on commercial and financial policies will to a large part depend on precisely how these measures are carried out and what countermeasures may be taken by the government to soften their effects.

Economic theory has put forward numerous proposals centering around the Pigovian principles to reduce or eliminate external diseconomies, namely environmental taxes or subsidies.³⁵⁾ In Germany political discussion has focussed on the 'Verursachungsprinzip', i.e. internalizing the externality at its origin and by its originator. That principle is one thing. A different thing is that according to the first German government report on environmental problems about 55 % of all net investments for pollution control for the period 1971-1975 will be born by the public sector. This 55 %-socialization of the privately caused environmental costs equal 1 % of all public expenditure envisaged for that period, of which 83 % will have to be financed by local communities.³⁶⁾

These projections show that despite an increasing awareness among the German population about the reasons and industrial origins of environmental disruptions, also in future the costs will be socialized to a great extent, as has been the case in the postwar period. In this sense, it certainly would be premature to speak of a definite change in environmental policy in Germany. Therefore, one might speculate who in the case of a dramatic domestic conflict between growth and trade on the one hand and environment on the other hand will bear the burden, the population at large in suffering from pollution, the tax-payer in paying for public investment in pollution control, or the individual originator of external diseconomies. "Export of pollution" in this case may certainly be a very problematic alternative.

As was said above, environmental control (and infrastructural development as a whole) is primarily a normative concept and depends very much on the society's actual preferences and valuations regarding the quality of life. However, the differences existing or increasing as between regions and nations will certainly have a strong influence on Germany's comparative economic advantage, industrial location and international capital flows. In the next chapter we will give a more general review of policy problems involved or resulting from German trade expansion and increasing international interchange.

V. Quantitative Economic Growth and Qualitative Economic Policies

As was shown in the preceding chapters, Germany has undergone long periods of imbalances in her foreign economic relations. Experience has also shown that up to now it was not possible to overcome these imbalances exerting conventional monetary, fiscal and incomes policies. Also several revaluations and the floating of the currency gave at best short-term mitigation. So it seems highly questionable whether such conventional measures of stabilization policy are able to reach both internal and external balance of the economy, being pre-requisitory for improving the quality of life of the population.

The general hypothesis of this paper has been that the persistent tendency of the German economy towards rapid trade expansion and activating the trade balance should be seen as being mainly a consequence of structural differences in exports and imports, divergent elasticities of demand, and of different degrees of internalization of the social costs of production, setting free cumulative processes of foreign trade dynamics. Two kinds of non-internalization of externalities seem to be most important in the case of German exports: the social costs of environmental disruption (and neglect of infrastructure in general), and the social costs of labour migration. Both result in a more than optimum output in the export sector, binding too many resources in this field of economic activity, which are then no longer available for other social purposes upon which to a large extent the quality of life really depends.

An explanation of trade flows which puts emphasis on structural factors should also put emphasis on structural therapy; additional and/or alternative measures must be applied in order to remedy imbalances at the roots of trade dynamics. Instruments of a more special character, of qualitative nature are needed that can influence the production and consumption structures and can direct the relevant behavioural patterns towards a balanced situation.³⁷⁾ To decrease or eliminate the social costs of trade by internalizing the externalities, to put the value of common-property resources into the price structure, in the German case would very probably have a positive effect towards that direction.

Measures of this kind belong to a group of structural measures which are, however, more extensive³⁸⁾ and therefore should further be discussed in this concluding chapter.

In countries with a strong development potential and capacity to transform, the weaknesses of conventional policy come out very clearly. The competitiveness of active export industries may even be strengthened when the government or the central bank have recourse to stern measures. In such cases the gap in productivity between active and passive exporters and between exporting and import-competing industries is widened since the active industries will gain most (or lose least) from such policies, conventional measures may thus cause counter-intuitive results. The 'logic' trouble of monetary policy is that it does not command on the foreign component of money supply and cannot, without offsetting convertibility, guide the international money and capital flows in a sufficient manner. The 'technical' difficulty of incomes policy is that it cannot regulate completely the foreign claims on the capacity of the economy. Revaluation of the currency also is insufficient for stabilizing, because it does not directly influence strong export activity^m and other non-price factors of competitive advantage.³⁹⁾ Circulation-oriented measures may, therefore, have to be supplemented by other measures which either indirectly do influence the development process thus steering production and consumption towards the right level and direction, or do modify investment behaviour as the main motivating force of this process directly.

1. Structural Development Policies

For countries with a structurally initiated active cumulation of export activities a structural development policy seems needed, that either strengthens the position of those domestic industries falling behind during the process of greater export-orientation and/or leads to a partial re-orientation of leading export industries towards the domestic market.

In other words, three basic strategies are to be considered: (a) export substitution; (b) import expansion; (c) export substitution and import expansion.

H. GRÜTER, one of the first advocates of the structural explanation thesis, accepted the volume of exports as given and confined himself mainly to strategy (b) including, however, an active restructuring in the German imports by closing down those industries of low productivity and high labour input (as textiles etc.) and shifting the resources set free to more productive sectors. One problem of strategy (b) therefore is, not to induce even greater exports by this shifting of resources, i.e. to prevent a net increase in exports and export growth. The success of such a strategy thus depends on several conditions:

- the resources set free in the import-competing industries should not lead to an increase of exports, they must be shifted into domestic-oriented production, as e.g. infrastructure and services. However, if this condition would be met, the infrastructure improvements may in a second round lead to increasing productivity in the export sector (productivity effect of infrastructure investment). Therefore, public investments should now mainly go into non-industrial infrastructure, as e.g. social infrastructure, or living-environment oriented infrastructure (welfare effect of infrastructure investment).
- Insofar as such productivity effects favoring exporting industries cannot be excluded, a general or specific decrease in working hours may be carried out alternatively, or the cheap import of labour be severed.

Strategy (a) - export substitution - has already been touched in connection with environmental control policies. If it is politically decided upon that export and export growth rates must no longer be as high as they are for exports' own sake, the problem still lies more with the question of how to slow down expansion of the active industries than to speed up growth of the more passive industries, since growth and export growth in particular since long have been and still are thought to be basic criteria for economic success. Of course, structural development policy need not to confine itself on the production structure. However, to believe that it would be easy to direct domestic consumer demand on to the products of active exporting industries, so that they may

shift some part of their activities towards the domestic market, may to some extent be wishful thinking. This the more in case the tendency towards decreasing profits per unit of output is strong. Structural adjustment, furthermore, is very susceptible and apt to lead to export cartels which, in turn, may lead to even wider deviation from optimum foreign trade.

Empirical evidence shows that theoretically different or exclusive strategies in practice are never realized in its pure form. The idea is near to combine both strategies in the German case and to simultaneously strive for (c) export substitution and import expansion. A dilemma however, remains: production must be reduced relatively (or even absolutely) in certain branches, while at the same time reallocating resources to those activities not stimulating exports. These two tasks might well over-stress the possibilities of economic policy in the market system.

This rather pessimistic assessment of structural policy with regard to stabilize foreign economic relations in the German case is illustrated and verified by a number of examples. Especially, it has not been possible to terminate production in labor-intensive industries strongly competing with developing countries. These industries mostly succeeded to get government subsidies, tariff protection, or cheap labour via the liberal migration policy. So indirect structural policies may very well supplement conventional policy, but may in the end remain insufficient.

2. Policies of Influencing Business Behaviour

Practice shows that a change in the external data ('Datenkranz') of individual behaviour does not guarantee a change in that behaviour. Therefore, it may be necessary for economic policy to include all three elements of business decisions into its radius of action: a change in the Datenkranz, influencing behavioural patterns through a change in the objectives, and a change in the actual behaviour of business enterprise. Information policy, institutionalized conduct, codes of business behaviour, guiding exports, etc.

are potential measures of this kind, some of which being strongly dependent on the consent of the EEC partners.

It will, furthermore, be quite difficult to explain a fundamentally dualistic pattern of policy, i.e. applying the brakes on the active exporters, while stimulating domestic activity, promoting passive industries while opening up chances for more imports from the developing countries. Repressively influencing active exporting industries collides with their long-term strategy. Further trade expansion however collides among others with ecology. Pointing to the fact that the social costs of active exporting may surpass the individual gains of exporting, though true from overall and comprehensive economic and political reasoning, may not at all be accepted by the exporters concerned - the more so when a quantitative calculation of the negative effects on the quality of life of the population as a whole is difficult or not very accurate.

In conclusion, we may say, that a policy of stabilization and development that makes use of those instruments as directly influencing the behaviour of entrepreneurs in addition to indirect structural and competitive measures, will be very complex and more difficult to handle than the conventional type of policy (including revaluations of the currency). Moreover, the implications the suggested measures may have on the economic order may be substantial and have not yet been fully explored.

However, avoidance of change in trade patterns is not a fundamental end in itself since the purpose of trade among others should be to improve the quality of life of whole the population. The practical problems the persistent imbalances in the foreign economic relations of Germany pose are so great that economists should not recoil from suggesting new instruments to remedy imbalances at their roots.⁴¹⁾ Foreign currency reserves which run up to new heights of some 95 billion DM in July, 1973, and an active trade balance of probably 23 billion DM in 1973, are actually too big to be born for long by the international system as well as by the German population at large.

Footnotes:

- 1) J.A.Wagar, Growth versus the Quality of Life, in: Science, Vol.168, 1970, pp.1179-1184.
- 2) This may spring from an impatience with inquiries needed when talking about quality of life that are non-economic in the conventional sense. To put it differently: "economists are ...nervous of becoming sucked into a morass of quasi-sociological considerations, which are either essentially qualitative or, if quantitative, do not correspond to any clear theoretical framework such as that lying behind the measurement of national product". OECD, Problems of Environmental Economics, Paris 1972, p.23.
- 3) OECD, Problems of Modern Society. Economic Growth, Environment and Welfare, Paris 1969 (mimeographed), p.2.
- 4) Ibid.
- 5) For while the purchasers of products pay market prices to the producers of them, those who are victims of the external diseconomies of trade and the production of tradeable goods do not in general get compensation for the reduction of their welfare.
- 6) Cf. Monatsberichte der Deutschen Bundesbank, 25., 1973, 6, p.74⁺.
- 7) "Kein anderes großes Welthandelsland leistet sich im Verhältnis zu seinem Handelsvolumen derart hohe Bestände an vergleichsweise unrentabel angelegtem Volksvermögen", in: Jahresgutachten des Sachverständigenrates, 1968/69, point 95.
- 8) Later this figure was set at 1.5 %.
- 9) Gutachten des Wissenschaftlichen Beirats beim Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft über Grundfragen der Stabilitätspolitik, 10. April 1973.
- 10) These ~~quota~~ on the integration of certain industries into world trade do not include indirect exports which could be computed by input-output techniques, and would increase the respective total ~~quota~~ considerably. Cf. F. Stäglin, Direkte und indirekte Exportabhängigkeit der Industrie der Bundesrepublik, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung, Wochenbericht, 20, 1969.
- 11) H. Körner, Dynamische Aspekte der außenwirtschaftlichen Absicherung, Göttingen 1970, p.65.
- 12) E.J. Mishan, Technology and Growth: The Price we Pay, 3rd ed., New York, Washington 1971, p.170.
- 13) The main decisions regarding the Deutsche Mark in recent years were as follows:
 - Revaluation, 27.10.1969: 9.3%,
 - Floating, 10.5.-17.12.1971,
 - Realignment, 18.12.1971, Revaluation effect: 5.7%,
 - Devaluation of the dollar, 14.2.1972: 10%,
 - Blockfloating, 19.3.1973--; Revaluation of DM against Floating-Block: 3 %, .
 - Revaluating against Floating-Block, 29.6.1973: 5.5 %.
- 14) H.G. Johnson, International Trade and Economic Growth, Cambridge 1958.

- 15) H.S.Houthakker/S.P.Kagee, Income and Price Elasticities in World Trade, in: The Review of Economics and Statistics, 51, 1969, 2, p. 112.
- 16) K.Henkner, Der Einfluß der Regional- und Warenstruktur auf die Exportentwicklung in der Bundesrepublik, in: Vierteljahreshefte zur Wirtschaftsforschung, Berlin 1966, p. 296.
- 17) Cf. E.Küng, Zahlungsbilanzpolitik, Tübingen, Zürich 1959.
- 18) H.Grüter, Strukturelle Aspekte des deutschen Außenhandels, in: Die Aussprache, 19, 1969, pp. 190.
- 19) Ibid., p. 192.
- 20) Cf. V.Merx, Ausländerbeschäftigung und Flexibilität des Arbeitsmarktes der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Köln 1972.
- 21) H.Bullinger/K.Huber, Die volkswirtschaftliche Bedeutung der Beschäftigung ausländischer Arbeitnehmer, quoted from K.Nikolinakos, op. cit., p. 76.
- 22) Gutachten des Wissenschaftlichen Beirats beim Bundeswirtschaftsministerium zur Wirtschaftspolitischen Problematik der deutschen Exportüberschüsse, 30. April 1957, published in 1961, Bonn 1961, p. 2.
- 23) Ibid., p. 3.
- 24) It should be problematic to call such effects 'unintended' (unbeabsichtigt). Those responsive for expanding exports overproportionately do this, of course, very intendedly. One might explain this expression as the confession that economic policy cannot do much about it... There are many more such 'lapsus linguae' in German economic language.
- 25) "Die Beseitigung der bestehenden Störungen muß in jedem Fall auf der Basis einer weiteren Steigerung der weltwirtschaftlichen Verflechtung der Bundesrepublik durch stetige Zunahme sowohl des Export- wie des Importvolumens gesucht werden..." Op. cit., p. 5.
- 26) It's cold comfort that at a moment where these mechanisms are going to be understood by a larger portion of the public, the fee enterprises have to pay for engaging an additional foreign worker ('Anwerbegelder') was increased to 1000 DM, certainly being no equivalent for securing a decent living of the people concerned.
- 27) Cf. Jahresgutachten des Sachverständigenrates, 1968/69, point 23.
- 28) For the following cf. I. Walter, Environmental Control and Consumer Protection, New York 1972 (mimeographed).
- 29) B.P.Klotz, The Trade Effects of Unilateral Pollution Standards, in: OECD Problems of Environmental Economics, Paris 1972, pp. 219-225.
- 30) R.C. D'Arge, International Trade, Domestic Income, and Environmental Controls: Some Empirical Estimates, in: A.V.Kneese/S.E.Polfe/ J.W. Harned, Managing the Environment. International Economic Cooperation for Pollution Control, New York, Washington, London 1971, pp. 289-315.
- 31) F.Meyer zu Schlochtern/ A.Yajima, OECD Trade Model: 1970 Version, in: Occasional Studies, OECD Economic Outlook, Paris, December, 1970.

- 32) Cf. Umweltprogramm der Bundesregierung, Bundestagsdrucksache VI/2710, 14. October, 1971.
- 33) It is hoped that more reliable and more detailed data will be available soon.
- 34) Cf. I. Walter, Environmental Control and Consumer Protection, op. cit.
- 35) Cf. Development and Environment. Report and Working Papers of a Panel of Experts Convened by the Secretary-General of the UN Conference on the Human Environment, Paris, The Hague 1972; Political Economy of Environment. Problems and Method, Papers presented at the Symposium held at the Maison des Sciences de L'Homme, Paris, The Hague 1972.
- 36) Cf. Umweltprogramm der Bundesregierung, op. cit.
- 37) Cf. H. Körner, Ungelöste Probleme der 'außenwirtschaftlichen Absicherung', in: Jahrbuch für Sozialwissenschaft, 20, 1969, pp. 235-249.
- 38) Cf. H. Adebahr, Zu den Ursachen der chronischen deutschen Exportüberschüsse, in: Schmollers Jahrbuch, 91, 1971, 4, pp. 385-409.
- 39) Comparing the industrial structure of Germany with the average of the group of industrial countries ('normal structure'), the most imaginative difference is manufacturing industry, being 8.9 M per cent points above average in 1956 and some 9.8 in 1965. For all other sectors, the deviation was in the margin of plus and minus 1.6 per cent points. The study of the Institut für Weltwirtschaft in Kiel names four factors contributing to the extraordinarily high sectoral share of the manufacturing industry, the weight of which is dominating the German industry as well as pollution problems:
- elastic supply of labour,
 - recovery from war,
 - an industrial structure meeting rapidly growing foreign demand,
 - an economic policy creating favourable conditions for export-oriented industries, this process being strengthened by a rather modest wages policy ~~erzielt~~ by the trade unions, and by a mostly undervalued currency (valuta dumping).
- Cf. Die Weltwirtschaft, 1970, p. 64.
- 40) H. Grüter, Strukturelle Aspekte des deutschen Außenhandels, op. cit.
- 41) A study by the Ifo-Institut in München says: "when trying to judge the effects of the latest revaluation we come to the conclusion that in face of the rapid export growth being expected for 1973, the reduction in demand due to the revaluation must be called low indeed... Measured against the expected growth of exports and imports... the stabilizing effect of the revaluation can be neglected". Cf. Die jüngsten Wechselkurskorrekturen und der Außenhandel der Bundesrepublik, in: Berichte zur Wirtschaftslage, Ifo-Schnelldienst, 9, 28. 2. 1973, p. 24.