

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Fratzscher, Marcel; Wittenberg, Erich

## Article

"There are many analogies between the GDR in 1990 and Greece today": Four questions to Marcel Fratzscher

**DIW Economic Bulletin** 

## **Provided in Cooperation with:**

German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin)

Suggested Citation: Fratzscher, Marcel; Wittenberg, Erich (2015): "There are many analogies between the GDR in 1990 and Greece today": Four questions to Marcel Fratzscher, DIW Economic Bulletin, ISSN 2192-7219, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (DIW), Berlin, Vol. 5, Iss. 27, pp. 365-

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/111669

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Prof. Marcel Fratzscher, Ph.D., President of the German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin)

## FOUR OUESTIONS TO MARCEL FRATZSCHER

# »There Are Many Analogies Between the GDR in 1990 and Greece Today«

- 1. Professor Fratzscher, German monetary union came into force 25 years ago on July 1, 1990. On the same day, capital controls in Europe were removed, laying the foundations for a European monetary union and the euro. What lessons can Europe learn from the German monetary union? In 1990, there was considerable criticism because German monetary union was implemented so rapidly. In hindsight, I think that this was the right step to take as, ultimately, a common currency in the form of the D-Mark was a key prerequisite for establishing new industries and maintaining and creating employment, and therefore crucial for the integration of East Germany. This is one of the lessons learned from the German experience: a common currency can provide not only stability and growth, but it is also an important stimulus for deeper integration. Second, it was also essential to complete this process of integration quickly. Even today, in Europe, we continue to debate whether important reforms should be implemented swiftly or carried out gradually over a period of many years. With the former East Germany, rapid implementation was a success. Within the first ten years, eastern Germany gained considerable ground and income per capita rapidly grew to 82 percent of the western German level. The third lesson is that regional differences are inherent in a monetary union. There are significant disparities between the individual member states of the European monetary union and, despite Germany's strong common currency in the 1990s, there were also massive differences, not only between eastern and western Germany, but also between the north and south of the country.
- 2. Was or is the euro the pacesetter for European integration? To a certain extent, the euro is a product of the process of integration which began very slowly in Europe in the 1950s. The euro continued this process. In Europe, we now have a banking union and joint supervision of major banks. This would not have been possible without the euro. The banking union brought considerable advantages in its wake, as did other measures resulting from the introduction of the euro, such

- as the harmonization of industrial standards. The euro has brought numerous benefits for many countries, not least for Germany. So the answer is that the euro is both a product and a driver of integration. Clearly, it is not possible to have a common currency at the beginning of every process of integration but a common currency can provide added impetus and have extremely important economic benefits.
- 3. Ultimately, East Germany's economy had no chance of surviving. Can we draw parallels with Greece? There are many interesting parallels between the GDR in 1990 and Greece today. These two countries have or had no functional institutions; nor were their economic structures capable of surviving long term. Interestingly, another similarity is that, in the past, politicians made significant promises to the population which were impossible to keep. In East Germany, at the time, we pledged "blossoming landscapes" but it took substantially longer before the promise of such economic prosperity was actually fulfilled. The Greek government is doing exactly the same today by pledging the world yet, at the same time, knowing that such promises are impossible to keep.
- 4. What does Europe have to do to stabilize the monetary union? Europe does not need a political union but we do need reforms in various areas: first, the completion of the banking union including the joint supervision of banks. We still have some way to go here. Second, we need a capital market union so that, for example, it becomes easier for German banks to lend abroad and German companies to invest in other countries. Third, structural policy needs to be aligned with a view to securing a certain level of competitiveness for all countries. Fourth, we need a fiscal union that consists of joint binding rules to ensure that, when it comes to spending policy, all member states behave in a manner that does not impact negatively on their neighbors.

Interview by Erich Wittenberg

DIW Economic Bulletin 27.2015 365



#### DIW Berlin — Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung e.V. Mohrenstraße 58, 10117 Berlin

+49 30 897 89 -0 +49 30 897 89 -200

#### Publishers

Prof. Dr. Pio Baake

Prof. Dr. Tomaso Duso

Dr. Ferdinand Fichtner

Prof. Marcel Fratzscher, Ph.D.

Prof. Dr. Peter Haan

Prof. Dr. Claudia Kemfert

Dr. Kati Krähnert

Prof. Dr. Lukas Menkhoff

Prof. Karsten Neuhoff, Ph.D.

Prof. Dr. Jürgen Schupp

Prof. Dr. C. Katharina Spieß

Prof. Dr. Gert G. Wagner

#### Reviewer

Karl Brenke

Dr. Ferdinand Fichtner

Dr. Philipp König

Prof. Dr. Lukas Menkhoff

Dr. Katharina Pijnenburg

# Editors in chief

Sylvie Ahrens-Urbanek

Dr. Kurt Geppert

## **Editorial staff**

Renate Bogdanovic

Andreas Harasser

Sebastian Kollmann Marie Kristin Marten

Dr. Wolf-Peter Schill

Dr. Vanessa von Schlippenbach

## Translation

HLTW Übersetzungen GbR

## Layout and Composition

eScriptum GmbH & Co KG, Berlin

## Press office

Renate Bogdanovic Tel. +49-30-89789-249

presse@diw.de

# Sale and distribution

DIW Berlin

Reprint and further distribution – including extracts – with complete reference and consignment of a specimen copy to DIW Berlin's Communication Department (kundenservice@diw.berlin) only.

Printed on 100 % recycled paper.