

Bartling, Björn; Engl, Florian; Weber, Roberto

**Working Paper**

## Game form misconceptions are not necessary for a willingness-to-pay vs. willingness-to-accept gap

Working Paper, No. 180

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Department of Economics, University of Zurich

*Suggested Citation:* Bartling, Björn; Engl, Florian; Weber, Roberto (2015) : Game form misconceptions are not necessary for a willingness-to-pay vs. willingness-to-accept gap, Working Paper, No. 180, University of Zurich, Department of Economics, Zurich, <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-100888>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/111237>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



University of  
Zurich<sup>UZH</sup>

University of Zurich  
Department of Economics

Working Paper Series  
ISSN 1664-7041 (print)  
ISSN 1664-705X (online)

---

Working Paper No. 180

# **Game Form Misconceptions are Not Necessary for a Willingness-to-Pay vs. Willingness-to-Accept Gap**

Björn Bartling, Florian Engl and Roberto A. Weber

Revised version, April 2015

---

# Game Form Misconceptions are Not Necessary for a Willingness-to-Pay vs. Willingness-to-Accept Gap<sup>\*</sup>

Björn Bartling  
University of Zurich

Florian Engl  
University of Zurich

Roberto A. Weber  
University of Zurich

April 6, 2015

*Journal of the Economic Science Association*, forthcoming

*Abstract:* Cason and Plott (2014) show that subjects' misconception about the incentive properties of the Becker-DeGroot-Marschak (BDM) value elicitation procedure can generate data patterns that look like—and might thus be misinterpreted as evidence for—preferences constructed from endowments or reference points. We test whether game form misconceptions are necessary to produce willingness-to-pay (WTP) vs. willingness-to-accept (WTA) gaps in a valuation experiment in which subjects are randomly assigned to the role of either buyer or seller. We employ a design that allows us to identify whether a subject understood the incentive properties of a price-list version of the BDM mechanism. We find a robust WTP-WTA gap, even among subjects whose elicited valuations for a good of induced and known monetary value and whose ability to identify the payoffs resulting from their choices indicate an understanding of the incentive properties of the BDM mechanism. We conclude that game form misconceptions are not a necessary condition for the emergence of WTP-WTA gaps.

*Keywords:* WTP-WTA gap, game form misconception, BDM mechanism, endowment effect, experimental methods, highly replicable laboratory environment

*JEL classification:* C91, D03

---

<sup>\*</sup> Contact: Department of Economics, Blümlisalpstrasse 10, CH-8006 Zurich. Bartling: [bjoern.bartling@econ.uzh.ch](mailto:bjoern.bartling@econ.uzh.ch), Tel.: +41 44 634 3722; Engl: [florian.engl@econ.uzh.ch](mailto:florian.engl@econ.uzh.ch), Tel.: +41 44 634 2006; Weber: [roberto.weber@econ.uzh.ch](mailto:roberto.weber@econ.uzh.ch), Tel.: +41 44 634 3688. We thank the editor, Nikos Nikiforakis, and two anonymous referees for insightful and constructive comments and participants in the Reading Group in Experimental Economics at the University of Zurich for valuable discussion.

## 1. Introduction

A recent article by Cason and Plott (2014) argues for caution in drawing inferences about non-standard preferences from choice data using experimental value elicitation procedures, such as the mechanism introduced by Becker, DeGroot and Marschak (1964, henceforth, BDM). This argument raises challenges to parts of the behavioral literature, especially the literature on willingness-to-pay (WTP) vs. willingness-to-accept (WTA) gaps—i.e., the tendency for subjects endowed with an object to state higher valuation for the object than subjects not endowed with it—which is one of the most widely studied phenomena in behavioral economics (see, e.g., Kahneman, Knetsch and Thaler, 1991; Ericson and Fuster, 2014).

Cason and Plott support their argument with evidence that a systematic bias in subjects' stated valuations for an item with a known dollar value is reflective of subjects' misunderstanding of the game form—that is, subjects systematically fail to understand the incentive properties of the BDM mechanism and therefore over-report their WTA. Specifically, Cason and Plott conduct a classroom experiment in which they endow subjects with a card that is redeemable from the experimenter for \$2, and allow subjects to state sales price offers through the BDM procedure. A random posted price between \$0 and an upper price limit ranging from \$4 to \$8 is generated, and a subject sells his card to the experimenter only if this posted price exceeds the subjects' stated offer price. Using this design, Cason and Plott find that a large majority of subjects (83 percent) do not select offers within 5 cents of the dominant strategy of \$2. This frequency of apparently confused subjects decreases, to 69 percent, with feedback and repetition. Moreover, subjects' offers are biased upward, and are also influenced by the upper range of the distribution from which the posted prices are drawn. From this evidence, Cason and Plott argue that studies in which researchers attempt to make inferences about preferences from experiments using the BDM elicitation procedure should be taken with caution.

The possibility that game form misconceptions may account for behavior inconsistent with standard models of choice in some experiments is intriguing—indeed, this seems to be the case in Cason and Plott's experiment. In this paper, we ask if game form misconceptions are *necessary* to produce such data, or whether WTP-WTA gaps are observed even among subjects with no apparent misconceptions about the incentive properties of the BDM.

To test whether subjects' misunderstanding of the incentive properties of the BDM mechanism is necessary in order to observe WTP-WTA gaps, we follow the recommendation that

“experimental procedures should be designed to avoid ‘subject misconceptions’” (Plott and Zeiler, 2005). But, we also go further and focus the analysis on those subjects for whom we have evidence that there is no misconception. If subject misconceptions about the BDM procedure are largely absent, but subjects’ choices nevertheless exhibit significant WTP-WTA gaps, then we can exclude that the observed behavior is entirely driven by mistakes in understanding the incentive properties of the BDM.

To address this question, we conduct a valuation experiment in which subjects are randomly assigned to the role of either buyer or seller and where we elicit, respectively, subjects’ WTP or WTA values. Our experiment consists of two parts. First, in Part I, we elicit buying and selling prices for a card worth precisely 8.50 Swiss francs (CHF). We employ a price-list representation of the BDM procedure, in which subjects are presented with a series of prices and asked, for each price, whether they are willing to trade at that price (Kahneman, Knetsch and Thaler, 1990; Murphy, et al., 2010). Part II of the study is identical to Part I, except for exactly one difference: in Part II subjects do not trade an object of induced value (a card worth 8.50 CHF) but an item with private and heterogeneous valuation—a box of chocolates.

Furthermore, after subjects indicate their choices in each part, but before they can finalize their choices, we also require them to identify the actual payoff consequences for each choice and, in case they make a mistake, we provide feedback and ask them to correct their mistake. Hence, all subjects, at the time of implementing a choice, have correctly entered all of the payoff consequences from their choices. Moreover, we can identify those subjects who entered their payoffs correctly on the very first attempt.

These procedures allow us to identify a subset of subjects who satisfy three conditions that are likely to mean they understand the BDM mechanism and its payoff consequences. First, we consider only subjects who provide payoff-maximizing valuations in Part I, where values are common and known. Second, we further eliminate any subjects who do not enter their payoffs entirely correctly on their first attempt in Part I. Third, we also eliminate subjects who do not enter their payoffs correctly on their first attempt in Part II, when bidding or offering prices for the box of chocolates. Hence, what remains are subjects who *i*) make optimal choices in the BDM with known value, *ii*) identify all payoff consequences correctly in the BDM with known value on their first attempt, and *iii*) identify all payoff consequences correctly in the BDM for the box of chocolates on their first attempt. These “sophisticated” subjects are unlikely to have misconceptions about the BDM game form.

We test whether a WTP-WTA gap for the object of unknown value—the box of chocolates—still obtains within this subset of our population. Our main result is that, looking only at the subjects with no apparent game form misconception, we nevertheless replicate a strong WTP-WTA gap in Part II. Hence, WTP-WTA gaps obtain even among a population for which misconception of the incentive properties of the BDM mechanism is likely not an issue.

However, while we observe that a majority of subjects, 70 percent, provide the payoff-maximizing valuation in Part I of the experiment, we also observe that 30 percent do not. Hence, we confirm the limitations of the BDM as a valuation mechanism observed by Cason and Plott (2014), providing support for their claim that caution is warranted when relying on valuations elicited with this mechanism due to subject miscomprehension of the incentive properties.

Before proceeding to our design and results, we note that we do not attempt to provide evidence supporting any particular explanation for WTP-WTA gaps. Thus, we make no claims that the WTP-WTA gap in our data is driven by loss aversion, or whether it results from other behavioral phenomena, such as misperception of the value of a commodity or alternative sources of utility or disutility (cf. Plott and Zeiler, 2005 and 2007; Weaver and Frederick, 2012). Instead, our simpler goal is to test whether game form misconceptions are a necessary condition to produce WTP-WTA gaps, or whether such gaps obtain even when such misconceptions are absent.

## **2. Experimental Design**

Subjects participated in two decision tasks, presented as Parts I and II of the experiment. Part I consisted of a BDM value elicitation choice task for an object with fixed and known value. Part II consisted of an identical choice task for a good with heterogeneous and unobservable value. Subjects were informed that either Part I or Part II would count for their earnings in the study, but not both, and that the decisions in one part had no consequences for the respective other part. No feedback was given between Part I and Part II.

At the beginning of the study, subjects were randomized to be in the role of either buyer or seller. Randomization took place on the session level; that is, in a given session all subjects were either buyers or sellers. At the outset of the study, subjects were informed that they received an initial endowment of CHF 25, as a show-up fee.

## 2.1 Part I

Subjects in a buyer session could buy a “card” from the experimenter. The card was a small piece of paper located at the subject’s computer terminal, which stated, “This card is worth 8.50 CHF.” If a subject bought the card, he or she could redeem it for 8.50 CHF from the experimenter at the end of the study. The BDM mechanism was used to elicit the highest price a subject was willing to pay to buy the card. At the end of the study, the computer drew an individually randomized price between 0 and 20 CHF, in increments of 1 CHF. Each subject decided, for all possible 21 prices, whether or not to buy the card at that price. If a subject bought the card, he or she could redeem it for 8.50 CHF, but had to pay the price out of the initial endowment of 25 CHF. If the subject did not buy the card, he or she could not redeem it, but did not pay the randomly drawn price. Subjects entered their choices in a table in which each row corresponded to a possible price, by clicking either on a box stating “I buy at this price” or on a box stating “I do not buy at this price.”<sup>2</sup> Prices were ordered from 0 CHF (top row) to 20 CHF (bottom row). Subjects were informed that they should indicate the maximum price they were willing to pay for the card, and that they should therefore only have one price at which they switched from buying to not buying, as their decisions would otherwise be inconsistent.<sup>3</sup> For each possible price, we also displayed the payoff consequences of the two possible choices on the corresponding row.

Subjects in a seller session were endowed with the card—the instructions explicitly stated “The card is yours, you own it.”—but had the option to sell it to the experimenter. They had to decide, for each possible price, whether or not to sell the card at that price. If they sold the card, they could not redeem it for 8.50 CHF, but received the randomly drawn price, in addition to their initial 25 CHF. If they did not sell the card, they could redeem it for 8.50 CHF, in addition to their initial 25 CHF, but did not receive the price. Each seller indicated his or her choice in a table that was equivalent to the one presented to buyers. For each of the 21 possible prices, a seller had to click either on a box stating “I sell at this price” or on a box stating “I do not sell at this price.” As with buyers, the software enforced unique switching points and also displayed payoff information for the two possible choices in each row.

Clearly, with an induced value of 8.50 CHF, payoff-maximizing subjects should buy the card for prices of at most 8 CHF and sell it for prices of 9 CHF or higher. Hence, a buyer who switches from buying the card at a price of 8 CHF to not buying at a price of 9 CHF or a seller

---

<sup>2</sup> Instructions and choice interfaces are provided in the Online Supplementary Material.

<sup>3</sup> If a subject indicated more than one switching point, the computer would not allow the subject to proceed.

who switches from not selling at a price of 8 CHF to selling at a price of 9 CHF is less likely to suffer from game form misconception than if they behaved otherwise.

We also included an additional test of whether subjects understood the incentive properties of the BDM mechanism in Part I. After they made their choices for each price and clicked an “OK” button to proceed, subjects had to identify the exact payoffs that would result for each of the possible 21 prices, given their choice (buy/not buy or sell/not sell) at that price. Panel A of Figure 1 provides part of this interface for buyers. Subjects could always alter their choices for any of the 21 possible prices by returning from the payoff entry screen to the decision screen before confirming their payoff entries. Once subjects were ready to proceed, the computer verified whether they had entered all of the resulting payoffs correctly. If a subject entered all 21 payoffs correctly on the first attempt, given his or her final choices, the subject earned an additional 2 CHF. If there was a mistake in any of the payoff entries, the subject was asked to identify the resulting payoffs once more. The computer allowed a subject to proceed only once he or she entered all payoffs correctly.

**Figure 1:** Computer Interfaces of the Payoff Entry Screens

Final payoff (25 CHF - price + value of card)	Buy at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	Do not buy at this price	Final payoff (25 CHF)	Your final payoff (in CHF)
33.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	0 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
32.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
31.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
30.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	

Panel A – Part I

Final payoff (25 CHF - price + chocolate)	Buy at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	Do not buy at this price	Final payoff (25 CHF)	Your final payoff (in CHF)	Your final payoff (chocolate)
25 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	0 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes <input type="radio"/>
24 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes <input type="radio"/>
23 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes <input type="radio"/>
22 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes <input type="radio"/>

Panel B – Part II

**Notes:** Screen shots of parts of the payoff entry stages for buyers in Part I (shown in Panel A) and Part II (shown in Panel B). Subjects’ choices for each price are displayed in grey (in the above example, the subject bought at all shown prices). The full screens contain all 21 rows for prices from 0 CHF to 20 CHF. The screens for sellers are equivalent. All screens are included in full in the Online Supplementary Material. In Part II the payoff entry screen also required subjects to indicate whether or not the final payoff additionally included the box of chocolates.

## 2.2 Part II

Part II of the study was identical to Part I except for exactly one difference: subjects did not trade an object of induced value (a card worth 8.50 CHF) but a box of chocolates.<sup>4</sup> The box of chocolates was purchased from a well-known upmarket Swiss confectionary at a retail price of 17 CHF, but subjects were not informed about this price.

As in Part I, subjects in a buyer session could buy the box of chocolates from the experimenter. A box was located at each subject's computer terminal. We used exactly the same BDM mechanism as in Part I to elicit a subject's maximum willingness to pay, with random prices between 0 and 20 CHF (individually drawn at the end of the study), in increments of 1 CHF. The screen that was employed to elicit buying decisions for each possible price corresponded exactly to the screen used in Part I. If a subject bought the box, the subject could take it home, but had to pay the price out of the initial endowment of 25 CHF. If a subject did not buy the box, the subject could not take it home, but did not have to pay the drawn price. A unique switching point was enforced by the software, as in Part I.

Subjects in a seller session were endowed with the box of chocolates but had the option to sell it to the experimenter. As in Part I subjects had to decide, for each possible price between 0 and 20 CHF, whether or not to sell the box of chocolate at that price. If a subject sold the box, he or she could not take it home, but received the randomly drawn price, in addition to the initial 25 CHF. If a subject did not sell the box, he or she could take it home, in addition to the initial 25 CHF, but did not receive the price. The interface that was employed to elicit selling decisions for each possible price corresponded exactly to the screen used in Part I. The software enforced a unique switching point.

As with Part I, subjects again had to identify all possible realized payoffs based on their specific choices, before proceeding. Subjects now had to enter their resulting monetary earnings and whether they would own or not own the box of chocolates, for each of the possible 21 prices, given their choices at that price. Panel B of Figure 1 provides a screen shot of part of the payoff entry screen. At that point, subjects had the option to change any of their previous buying or selling decisions, and they earned an additional 2 CHF only if they entered all 21 potentially

---

<sup>4</sup> We did not counterbalance the order of the two tasks. Cason and Plott (2014) find that subject misconception about the BDM decreases with experience. Therefore, by having the task that we use to measure misconception first, our classification of subject comprehension in the second task is conservative.

resulting payoffs correctly on the first attempt. The computer allowed a subject to proceed only once he or she entered all payoffs correctly.

An important feature of the experimental design is that using an item of induced value in Part I, together with the incentivized calculation of the resulting payoffs in Parts I and II, allows us to identify which subjects understand the incentive properties of the BDM mechanism and thus to restrict our analysis of Part II behavior to subjects who revealed such understanding of the mechanism. Subjects who make payoff-maximizing choices in Part I and identify all of their payoffs correctly in Parts I and II, on the first attempt, presumably hold very little misconception about the BDM procedure. Hence, for these subjects, we assume that elicited valuations in Part II for the box of chocolate are unlikely to be biased by game form misconception.

### **2.3 Additional Measurements**

After subjects completed Part II, we administered two measures of cognitive ability: a Cognitive Reflection Test (Frederic, 2005) and a computerized 12-item Raven Progressive Matrices test (Raven et al., 1998). Subjects received additional 0.50 CHF for each correctly solved puzzle in the Raven test.

### **2.4 Methodological Note: Highly Replicable Laboratory Environment**

Our design also employs a novel procedure that allows high degrees of replicability across experimental sessions and, in principle, across experimental laboratories. Specifically, subjects received instructions both through printed instructions at their desk and through an audio recording played via loudspeaker in the laboratory.<sup>5</sup> Hence, the key elements of our experimental environment can be reliably replicated across sessions in our laboratory or elsewhere. We consider this enhanced replicability important for at least two reasons. First, the use of pre-recorded audio files to deliver instructions ensures that these are delivered in *exactly* the same manner across multiple sessions and by a speaker unaware of the experimental hypotheses. Second, it lowers the barriers to direct replication, since a researcher at any point in the future has a clearer understanding of the precise laboratory environment and the ability to replicate it more closely. Indeed, a researcher need only distribute paper instructions, play the audio files, and start the experimental software to conduct a session virtually identical to the ones in this study.

---

<sup>5</sup> Prior to conducting the experiment, we contracted a professional voice artist (hired through fiverr.com) to record audio files of the instructions. The audio files, along with other experimental program files, are provided in the Online Supplementary Material.

## 2.5 Information on Sessions, Subjects, and Earnings

We conducted four sessions on two consecutive days in November 2014, with 140 subjects in total. On each day, we conducted one buyer session and one seller session. The order of the buyer and seller sessions was reversed on the second day. Overall, 69 subjects participated in the role of buyer and 71 subjects in the role of seller.

All sessions took place at the Laboratory for Behavioral and Experimental Economics of the Department of Economics at the University of Zurich. The experiments were run with the software “z-Tree” (Fischbacher, 2007). Subjects were students from the University of Zurich and the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich. We used the software “hroot” (Bock et al., 2014) for recruitment.<sup>6</sup> Each subject participated only once, either as seller or buyer.<sup>7</sup> Sessions lasted about 75 minutes. On average, subjects earned CHF 36.22, which includes a show-up fee of CHF 25. In addition, 19 of our subjects also took home a box of chocolates.

## 3. Results

We start by analyzing the decisions of buyers and sellers in Part I. Buyers and sellers indicated, respectively, their maximum WTP and minimum WTA for a card worth 8.50 CHF. If subjects simply seek to maximize monetary earnings, then subjects’ true WTP and WTA for this card should both be 8.50 CHF. Our price-list design does not allow us to observe valuations with high precision. What we can identify is that, for instance, a buyer who is willing to pay  $X$  but not  $X + 1$  must have a valuation in the interval,  $WTP \in [X, X + 1)$ . Similarly, a seller who is willing to accept  $X + 1$  but not  $X$  must have a valuation in the interval,  $WTA \in (X, X + 1]$ . Therefore, in our analysis, we use the mid-point of the two values between which a buyer switches from buying to not buying or a seller switches from not selling to selling,  $(X + X + 1)/2$ , as our estimate of the WTA or WTP value for that subject.<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> The study was conducted in English. One subject in the role of seller had to be excused from the study due to a lack of fluency in English. The analysis thus comprises 139 subjects in total.

<sup>7</sup> Our subjects generally had little prior experience with laboratory experiments. On average, they had participated in 0.94 experiments in our lab prior to our study, with a median of 0. Of those who had prior experience with laboratory experiments, only nine subjects had participated in experiments that were labeled (in our recruiting software) as “simple value-based choice tasks.” Thus, it is unlikely that many of our subjects had prior exposure to the BDM mechanism or WTP-WTA type experiments.

<sup>8</sup> If a subject chose a corner solution, i.e. chose to buy or sell at any or at no price in the list, we use the respective boundary of the price list as WTP or WTA. For example, if a subject chose not to sell the box of chocolate at any price, then we assign a WTA of 20 for that subject. In total, 2 subjects made such choices.

Overall, 98 of 139 subjects (70.5 percent) made choices consistent with WTA and WTP values of 8.50 CHF. More precisely, 53 out of 69 subjects (76.8 percent) in the buyer condition switched from buying to not buying between 8 and 9 CHF and 45 out of 70 subjects (63.4 percent) in the seller condition switched from not selling to selling in the same interval.

The mean estimates of WTP and WTA for the card are 8.43 CHF and 9.01 CHF, respectively. These values are also provided in the first column of Table 1. The left panel of Figure 2 presents the cumulative frequencies of estimated WTP and WTA values. The two frequencies overlap considerably, indicating little difference between the estimated valuations for buyers and sellers for the card with known value of 8.50 CHF. Consistent with the differences in means, there are slightly more high value estimates for the sellers than for buyers. However, the two means and the two distributions do not differ significantly (Wilcoxon rank-sum test,  $p=0.219$ ; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test,  $p=0.698$ ).

**Table 1: WTP-WTA Gaps**

	Card (all subjects)	Chocolate (all subjects)	Chocolate (sophisticated)	Chocolate (confused)	p-value (sophisticated vs. confused)
WTP (Buyer)	8.43 (0.191, N=69)	4.78 (0.377, N=69)	4.63 (0.468, N=41)	5.00 (0.635, N=28)	0.711
WTA (Seller)	9.01 (0.276, N=70)	8.62 (0.612, N=70)	7.65 (0.765, N=40)	9.91 (0.962, N=30)	0.054
p-value (WTP vs. WTA)	0.219	0.000	0.005	0.000	

**Notes:** Mean estimated WTP and WTA in CHF. Numbers in brackets are standard errors and number of subjects. P-values are from Wilcoxon rank-sum tests.

In both conditions, we observe underpaying as well as overpaying. Of the 16 buyers with estimated WTP other than 8.50 CHF, exactly 8 underpaid and 8 overpaid for the card. In the seller condition, 9 subjects yielded WTA estimate values below 8.50 CHF and 16 gave values greater than 8.50 CHF. However, neither of these proportions differs significantly from 50% (two-sided binomial test:  $p=1$  and  $p=0.230$ , respectively).

These findings demonstrate, firstly, that our price-list version of the BDM procedure for eliciting WTP and WTA resulted in fewer deviations from the optimum than the stated-price

version in Cason and Plott (2014). They find that only 41 out of 245 (16.7 %) of their subjects chose the payoff maximizing WTA.<sup>9</sup> Secondly, we find that deviations are relatively balanced and thus do not indicate that our implementation of the BDM mechanism leads subjects to exhibit a clear systematic bias in the sense of stating either too high or too low WTP or WTA values, respectively.


**Result 1:** *Overall, 98 out of 139 subjects provided choices that maximized their monetary payoffs in the valuation task for the object with known value. For those subjects who did not do so, we find little evidence of systematic mistakes in one direction or of differences between buyers and sellers.*

After subjects indicated their WTP or WTA in Part I, we asked them to identify, for every possible random price realization, their final payoff given their choice at that price. Overall, 54 out of 69 buyers (78.3 percent) and 58 out of 70 sellers (82.9 percent) correctly provided their final payoffs for every possible price on the first attempt. Hence, this second measurement tool shows that on aggregate, 80.6 percent of subjects indicated good understanding of the payoff implications of their choices.<sup>10</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> Given that we use a price-list version of the BDM with increments of 1 CHF, we cannot rule out that we classify a subject who switched between 8 and 9 CHF as behaving consistently with a valuation of 8.50 CHF even though this subject would have stated a switching point other than exactly 8.50 CHF in a stated-price version of the BDM mechanism. Strictly speaking we thus interpret choices within 0.50 CHF from the real price of 8.50 CHF as consistent with payoff-maximizing behavior. (Note, however, that subjects whom we later classify as “sophisticated” also had to identify the payoff consequences of their choices and we did not allow for any error margin in this respect.) Cason and Plott (2014) employ a narrower tolerance interval, of plus or minus \$0.05 from the real value of \$2, for their classification of payoff-maximizing behavior. However, this difference in size of the intervals does not account for the higher fraction of “confused” subjects in their study. Applying a \$0.50 interval to Cason and Plott’s data (available online) only increases conformance with payoff maximization to 23.27 percent.

<sup>10</sup> Out of those 53 buyers with estimated WTP of 8.50 CHF, 43 correctly identified all the final payoffs on the first try and so did 11 out of the 16 buyers with estimated WTP different from 8.50 CHF. Out of those 45 sellers with estimated WTA of 8.50 CHF, 40 correctly identified all the final payoffs on the first try and so did 18 out of 25 with estimated WTA different from 8.50 CHF. Therefore, for both buyers and sellers, the proportion of those who correctly entered their final payoff on the first try is higher for subjects who made the payoff maximizing choice (81.1 percent vs 68.8 percent and 88.9 percent vs. 72 percent, respectively).



**Figure 2:** Cumulative distribution functions of WTP and WTA estimates in Part I (Card) and Part II (Chocolate).

In Part II, subjects indicated their WTP and WTA for a box of chocolates. We again obtain WTP and WTA estimates for each subject by taking the midpoint of the prices at which a subject switched from buying to not buying or from not selling to selling. Taking all subjects into account, the mean WTA for the box of chocolates was significantly higher than the WTP (4.78 CHF vs. 8.62 CHF; Wilcoxon rank-sum test,  $p < 0.01$ ). Hence, taking into account all subjects, our study replicates the common finding of a substantial WTP-WTA gap.

However, our main research question is whether the gap remains if we consider only those subjects whose choices indicate that they understood the incentive properties of the BDM mechanism. To see whether heterogeneity in subject misconceptions can account for the WTP-WTA gap in Part II, we separately identify “sophisticated” subjects who appear to understand the incentive properties of the BDM mechanism. This group consists of those subjects who chose the payoff maximizing switching point in Part I (between prices of 8 and 9 CHF) and who correctly identified the final payoffs for *all* possible prices on their first attempts in both Part I and Part II.

Hence, this group, which consists of 41 buyers and 40 sellers,<sup>11</sup> or 58.3 percent of our total sample, satisfies fairly strong requirements for being classified as understanding the incentive properties of the BDM mechanism. This group did not only make the payoff-maximizing choice in the Part I valuation task—which is Cason and Plott’s test for BDM game-form sophistication—but also provided two sets of 21 correct payoff entries, each on their first attempt.

The remaining group, which we label “confused,” comprises subjects who failed at least one of the three conditions. Hence, this group either made dominated choices in Part I, or made at least one mistake in one of the two sets of payoff entries.

A closer look at the composition of the two groups reveals that those subjects who score higher on two measures of cognitive ability—the Raven Progressive Matrices test and the Cognitive Reflection Test—are significantly more likely to be “sophisticated;” see Models (1) and (2) in Table 2, respectively. Model (3) reveals that only the Raven Progressive Matrices test remains significant when we consider both tests simultaneously. Hence, by at least one measure, subjects in the “sophisticated” group are smarter on average, which lends further support to the notion that those subjects understood the BDM mechanism correctly.

**Table 2:** Probit regressions

Dependent variable: “Sophistication”	(1)	(2)	(3)
Raven test score	0.072*** (0.023)		0.065*** (0.025)
CRT score		0.085** (0.039)	0.028 (0.043)
Observations	139	139	139
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	0.059	0.026	0.061

*Notes:* The dependent variable is a binary variable taking on value 1 if a subject is categorized as “sophisticated” and 0 otherwise. *Raven test score* denotes the number of correctly solved puzzles (out of 12). *CRT score* measures the number of correct answers in the Cognitive Reflection Test (out of 3). The coefficients report marginal effects from a probit regression calculated at the mean. Robust standard errors are reported in parenthesis.  
\*\*\* denote significance at 1 percent, \*\* at 5 percent, and \* at 10 percent.

As Table 1 reveals, there is no difference in WTP estimates and a marginally significant difference in WTA estimates for the box of chocolate between the sophisticated and confused subjects (WTP(sophisticated) = 4.63 CHF vs. WTP(confused) = 5.00 CHF, Wilcoxon rank-sum

<sup>11</sup> Only 1 out of 70 sellers and 3 out of 69 buyers incorrectly entered their final payoffs in Part II on their first attempt.

test,  $p=0.711$ ;  $WTA(\text{sophisticated}) = 7.65 \text{ CHF}$  vs.  $WTA(\text{confused}) = 9.91 \text{ CHF}$ , Wilcoxon rank-sum test,  $p=0.054$ ).<sup>12</sup> Furthermore, the distributions of estimated WTP and WTA values are similar for the two groups and do not differ significantly (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test,  $p=1.000$  and  $p=0.451$ , respectively).<sup>13</sup>

Finally, and most importantly for the research question addressed in this paper, WTA estimates are significantly greater than WTP estimates, even when we only consider sophisticated subjects ( $4.63 \text{ CHF}$  vs  $7.64 \text{ CHF}$ , Wilcoxon rank-sum test,  $p=0.005$ ). This difference is also evident when comparing the distributions in the right panel of Figure 1 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test,  $p=0.010$ ). Hence, we have our main result: WTP-WTA gaps persist even in the absence of game form misconceptions.

**Result 2:** *Estimated WTA for a box of chocolates is significantly higher than estimated WTP for the same box of chocolates. This result holds even for subjects who revealed a high level of understanding of the incentive properties of the BDM mechanism.*

## 4. Conclusion

We follow up on the claim by Cason and Plott (2014) that “the failure of game form recognition can masquerade as support for the theory of framing, such as preferences constructed from reference points.” They support this claim by showing that a BDM value elicitation experiment in which there is a lot of misconception can produce data that could be misinterpreted as reflecting support for a non-standard preference theory of framing or endowments, while the behavioral patterns in the data merely reflect subjects’ game form misconception. While this claim might be true in some data sets, such as the one collected by Cason and Plott, they leave unanswered the important question whether such behavioral patterns persist for subjects who do not suffer from game form misconceptions. That is, they leave open the question of whether subject misconception is *necessary* to produce such behavioral effects.

---

<sup>12</sup> We find a similar pattern in Part I where there is no difference in WTP estimates and a marginally significant difference in WTA estimates between sophisticated and confused subjects (Wilcoxon rank-sum test:  $p=1.000$  and  $p=0.052$ , respectively).

<sup>13</sup> We find no significant relation when we regress, separately and jointly, the WTA of sellers or the WTP of buyers in Part II on the subjects’ Raven test or CRT scores. However, the coefficients for the effects on the WTA are both negative and not close to zero, which suggests that subjects who score higher on these tests tend to state somewhat lower WTA values. The coefficients for the effects on the WTP show no such relationship; they are very close to zero and differ in signs.

In our paper, we employ a design that allows us to identify whether subjects comprehend the incentive properties of a price-list version of the BDM mechanism. Our main result is that the WTP-WTA gap in a standard valuation task persists for subjects who revealed their understanding of the game form of the BDM mechanism. We can thus conclude that game form misconceptions are not a necessary condition for the emergence of a WTP-WTA gap.<sup>14</sup>

Finally, our study also provides some evidence that supports Cason and Plott's argument that researchers must be careful when using the BDM mechanism. We, too, found a non-trivial proportion of subjects, about 30 percent, that make sub-optimal choices when stating valuations for a good with known value—even though we used procedures intended to minimize confusion regarding the mechanism. Furthermore, we find some evidence that supports Cason and Plott's claim that confusion can lead subjects to display behavior that appears consistent with framing effects. First, while the proportion of overpaying to underpaying buyers in Part I is perfectly balanced, it is not so for sellers—more sellers overpaid than underpaid in Part I. This latter difference could be misinterpreted as evidence of framing effects, though it is not statistically significant in our data. Second, sellers who we classified as “confused” stated, on average, a marginally significantly higher WTA than “sophisticated” sellers in both Parts I and II. This biases the data in the direction of what is commonly interpreted as a framing or endowment effect, by increasing the WTP-WTA gap. However, for buyers in Part II the opposite holds. “Confused” buyers stated, on average, a higher WTP than “sophisticated” buyers. This goes in the opposite direction of misconceptions masquerading as typical framing effects, though the difference is not statistically significant.

Overall, our results do not contradict Cason and Plott's argument that misconceptions can lead to data that looks consistent with and might thus be misinterpreted as evidence for non-standard preferences. However, we demonstrate that a large and significant WTP-WTA gap remains even absent any evidence of subject misconceptions.

## References

**Becker, Gordon M., Morris H. DeGroot, and Jacob Marschak.** 1964. “Measuring Utility by a Single-Response Sequential Method,” *Behavioral Science*, 9(3): 226-232.

---

<sup>14</sup> In related research, Fehr et al. (2015) fail to replicate Plott and Zeiler's (2005) findings that the WTP-WTA gap disappears when procedures, such as training rounds, are employed to reduce misunderstanding.

- Bock, Olaf, Ingmar Baetge, and Andreas Nicklisch.** 2014. "hroot: Hamburg Registration and Organization Online Tool," *European Economic Review*, 71: 117.
- Cason, Timothy N. and Charles R. Plott.** 2014. "Misconceptions and Game Form Recognition: Challenges to Theories of Revealed Preferences and Framing," *Journal of Political Economy*, 122(6): 1235-1270.
- Ericson, Keith M. Marzilli and Andreas Fuster.** 2014. "The Endowment Effect," *Annual Review of Economics*, 6: 555-79.
- Fehr, Dietmar, Rustamdjan Hakimov, and Dorothea Küber.** 2015. "The Willingness to Pay-Willingness to Accept Gap: A Failed Replication of Plott and Zeiler," WZB Discussion Paper SP II 2015-204.
- Fischbacher, Urs.** 2007. "z-Tree: Zurich Toolbox for Ready-made Economic Experiments," *Experimental Economics*, 10: 171-78.
- Frederick, Shane.** 2005. "Cognitive reflection and decision making," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 19(4): 25-42.
- Kahneman, Daniel, Jack L. Knetsch, and Richard H. Thaler.** 1990. "Experimental Tests of the Endowment Effect and the Coase Theorem," *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(6): 1325-48.
- Kahneman, Daniel, Jack L. Knetsch, and Richard H. Thaler.** 1991. "Anomalies: The Endowment Effect, Loss Aversion, and Status Quo Bias," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 5(1): 193-206.
- Murphy, James J., Thomas H. Stevens and Lava Yadav.** 2010. "A Comparison of Induced Value and Home-Grown Value Experiments to Test for Hypothetical Bias in Contingent Valuation," *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 47(1): 111-123.
- Plott, Charles R. and Kathryn Zeiler.** 2005. "The Willingness to Pay-Willingness to Accept Gap, the "Endowment Effect," Subject Misconceptions, and Experimental Procedures for Eliciting Valuations," *American Economic Review*, 95(3): 530-45.
- Plott, Charles R. and Kathryn Zeiler.** 2007. "Exchange Asymmetries Incorrectly Interpreted as Evidence of Endowment Effect Theory and Prospect Theory?" *American Economic Review*, 97(4): 1449-66.
- Raven, John, Raven, John C., and John Court.** 1998. *Manual for Raven's Progressive Matrices and Vocabulary Scales*. Harcourt Assessment, San Antonio, TX.
- Weaver, Ray and Shane Frederick.** 2012. "A Reference Price Theory of the Endowment Effect." *Journal of Marketing Research*, 49(5): 696-707.

## **Online Supplementary Material**

# **Game Form Misconceptions are Not Necessary for a Willingness-to-Pay vs. Willingness-to-Accept Gap**

Björn Bartling  
University of Zurich

Florian Engl  
University of Zurich

Roberto A. Weber  
University of Zurich

This document contains the following information:

A.1 Instructions for Buyers	p. 2
A.2 Decision screens for Buyers	p. 7
B.1 Instructions for Sellers	p. 9
B.2 Decision screens Sellers	p. 14
C Consent form	p. 16

## **A.1 Instructions for Buyers**

### **Instructions for Participants**

Welcome to this study.

Please read through the following instructions, while they are read aloud. They explain everything you need to know for participating in the study. If you have any questions after listening to the instructions, please raise your hand and wait. We will then come to you and answer them.

During the study, speaking with the other participants and the use of mobile phones are forbidden. Violation of these rules will lead to exclusion from the study and loss of all associated payments.

### **The Study**

You begin the study with an endowment of 25 CHF. During the study, the amount of money you have may vary, based on your decisions. Whatever final amount you end up with will be paid to you in cash at the end of the study.

In this study you will make two decisions; one in Part I, and one in Part II. You will first receive instructions for Part I and will make your decision for Part I. You will then receive instructions and make your decision for Part II. The decision you make in one part will have no effect on anything that happens in the other part.

At the end of the study, one of the two parts will be randomly drawn with equal probability to be the only part that counts for determining your payment. The part that is not selected to count will have no effect on your earnings. That is, either Part I or Part II, but not both, will determine your entire earnings for the study.

## Part I

In Part I you have the option to **buy a card worth 8.5 CHF**. The card is located in front of you at your computer terminal. If you buy the card, you can redeem it for 8.5 CHF from the experimenter at the end of the study.

**Your task in Part I is to indicate the highest price you are willing to pay to buy the card.**

At the end of the study, the computer will randomly draw a price between 0 and 20 CHF, in increments of 1 CHF. This will be the price at which you can buy the card. You will not know the actual price until the end of the study.

You will decide, **for each possible price**, whether to buy or not buy the card at that price.

- If you buy the card, you can redeem it for 8.5 CHF, but you have to pay the price out of your initial 25 CHF.
- If you do not buy the card, you cannot redeem it for 8.5 CHF, but you do not have to pay any price out of your initial 25 CHF.

You will make your buying decision on a screen that looks like the table below. Please take a moment to look at this table.

Final Payoff (25 CHF – price + value of card)	I buy at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	I do not buy at this price	Final Payoff (25 CHF)
33.5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>0 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
32.5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>1 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
31.5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>2 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
...	...	...	...	...
26.5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>7 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
25.5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>8 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
24.5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
...	...	...	...	...
15.5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>18 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
14.5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>19 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
13.5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>20 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF

Each row in the table corresponds to one of the possible prices. The prices are indicated in the middle column of the table, in bold. Remember that the computer will randomly select one price to count. Your choice in this row, if Part I is selected to count, will determine your payoff from the study.

You will choose, for each possible row, whether to buy or not buy the card at the price given in that row. You will indicate your choice in each row, by clicking the box on the left if you choose to buy at that price and the box on the right if you choose not to buy at that price.

The final payoff that you receive from buying at a given price is shown in the left column and the final payoff from not buying at a given price is shown in the right column.

*Examples:*

- *Suppose you indicate that you want to buy the card at a price of 2 CHF (by checking the left box in that line) and the price of 2 CHF is randomly drawn, then your payoff would be 31.5 CHF (25 CHF – 2 CHF + 8.5 CHF). If, instead, you indicate not to buy the card at a price of 2 CHF, your payoff would be 25 CHF.*
- *Suppose you indicate that you want to buy the card at a price of 18 CHF and the price of 18 CHF is randomly drawn, then your payoff would be 15.5 CHF (25 CHF – 18 CHF + 8.5 CHF). If, instead, you indicate not to buy the card at a price of 18 CHF, your payoff would be 25 CHF.*

Remember that we are asking you for the **highest price** at which you are willing to buy the card. This means that you should select “buy” for all of the rows that correspond to prices below the highest price you are willing to pay, and should select “do not buy” for all the rows that correspond to prices above the highest price you are willing to pay. The computer will not allow you to make inconsistent choices in which you indicate not to buy the card at some price, but then indicate to buy it at a higher price. That is, there should be only one price at which you switch from buying the card to not buying the card.

Remember that there is a 50 percent chance that Part I will be selected to determine all your earnings for the study. Therefore, please think carefully about your decision.

Are there any questions about Part I, before we proceed to the decision screen? If you have a question, please raise your hand and wait for an experimenter.

## Part II

In Part II you have the option to **buy a box of chocolate**. The box is located in front of you at your computer terminal. If you buy the box, you can take it home with you at the end of the study.

**Your task in Part II is to indicate the highest price you are willing to pay to buy the box of chocolate.**

At the end of the study, the computer will randomly draw a price between 0 and 20 CHF, in increments of 1 CHF. This will be the price at which you can buy the box of chocolates. You will not know the actual price until the end of the study.

You will decide, **for each possible price**, whether to buy or not buy the box of chocolate at that price.

- If you buy the box of chocolate, you can take it home, but you have to pay the price out of your initial 25 CHF.
- If you do not buy the box of chocolate, you cannot take it home, but you do not have to pay any price out of your initial 25 CHF.

You will make your buying decision on a screen that looks like the table below. Please take a moment to look at this table.

Final Payoff (25 CHF – price + box of chocolate)	I buy at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	I do not buy at this price	Final Payoff (25 CHF)
25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>0 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
24 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>1 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
23 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>2 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
...	...	...	...	...
18 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>7 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
17 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>8 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
16 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
...	...	...	...	...
7 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>18 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
6 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>19 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
5 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>20 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF

Each row in the table corresponds to one of the possible prices. The prices are indicated in the middle column of the table, in bold. Remember that the computer will randomly select one price to count. Your choice in this row, if Part II is selected to count, will determine your payoff from the study.

You will choose, for each possible row, whether to buy or not buy the box of chocolate at the price given in that row. You will indicate your choice in each row, by clicking the box on the left if you choose to buy at that price and the box on the right if you choose not to buy at that price.

The final payoff that you receive from buying at a given price is shown in the left column and the final payoff from not buying at a given price is shown in the right column.

*Examples:*

- *Suppose you indicate that you want to buy the box of chocolate at a price of 2 CHF (by checking the left box in that line) and the price of 2 CHF is randomly drawn, then your payoff would be 23 CHF ( $25 \text{ CHF} - 2 \text{ CHF}$ ) plus the box of chocolate. If, instead, you indicate not to buy the box of chocolate at a price of 2 CHF, your payoff would be 25 CHF.*
- *Suppose you indicate that you want to buy the box of chocolate at a price of 18 CHF and the price of 18 CHF is randomly drawn, then your payoff would be 7 CHF ( $25 \text{ CHF} - 18 \text{ CHF}$ ) plus the box of chocolate. If, instead, you indicate not to buy the box of chocolate at a price of 18 CHF, your payoff would be 25 CHF.*

Remember that we are asking you for the **highest price** at which you are willing to buy the box of chocolate. This means that you should select “buy” for all of the rows that correspond to prices below the highest price you are willing to pay, and should select “do not buy” for all the rows that correspond to prices above the highest price you are willing to pay. The computer will not allow you to make inconsistent choices in which you indicate not to buy the box of chocolate at some price, but then indicate to buy it at a higher price. That is, there should only be one price at which you switch from buying the box of chocolate to not buying the box of chocolate.

Remember that there is a 50 percent chance that Part II will be selected to determine all your earnings for the study. Therefore, please think carefully about your decision.

Are there any questions about Part II, before we proceed to the decision screen? If you have a question, please raise your hand and wait for an experimenter.

## A.2 Decision screens for Buyers

**The card in front of you is worth 8.5 CHF.**

Remember that the computer will randomly select one price to count.

Please indicate, for each possible price, whether you prefer to buy at that price or not buy at that price. Make your choice by clicking the appropriate box in each line.

The computer will not allow you to make inconsistent choices in which you indicate not to buy the card at some price, but then indicate to buy it at a higher price. That is, there should be only one price at which you switch from buying the card to not buying the card.

Final payoff (25 CHF - price + value of card)	I buy at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	I do not buy at this price	Final payoff (25 CHF)
33.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	0 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
32.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
31.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	2 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
30.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
29.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
28.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
27.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	6 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
26.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	7 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
25.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	8 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
24.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	9 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
23.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	10 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
22.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	11 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
21.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	12 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
20.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	13 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
19.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	14 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
18.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	15 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
17.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	16 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
16.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	17 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
15.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	18 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
14.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	19 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
13.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	20 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF

Once you have made your choice for every possible price, please click "OK" to proceed.

**OK**

Below, you can see the choice you made for each possible price. If you want to make changes to your choices, please click on "Change".

If you do not want to change any of your choices, you need to complete the column on the right with your final payoff for each possible price, given the choice that you made in that row. Please enter the amount of money you would end up with if that row were selected to count.

Once you are ready to proceed, click "Confirm." **If the payoffs you have entered in the final column are all correct, then you will earn an additional 2 CHF.**

Final payoff (25 CHF - price + value of card)	Buy at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	Do not buy at this price	Final payoff (25 CHF)	Your final payoff (in CHF)
33.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	0 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
32.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
31.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
30.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
29.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
28.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
27.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
26.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
25.50 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
24.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	9 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
23.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	10 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
22.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	11 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
21.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	12 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
20.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	13 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
19.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	14 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
18.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	15 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
17.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	16 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
16.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	17 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
15.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	18 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
14.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	19 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	
13.50 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	20 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF	

**Confirm**   **Change**

You do not own the box of chocolate in front of you.

Remember that the computer will randomly select one price to count.

Please indicate, for each possible price, whether you prefer to buy at that price or not buy at that price. Make your choice by clicking the appropriate box in each line.

The computer will not allow you to make inconsistent choices in which you indicate not to buy the box of chocolate at some price, but then indicate to buy it at a higher price. That is, there should be only one price at which you switch from buying the box of chocolate to not buying the box of chocolate.

Final payoff (25 CHF - price + chocolate)	I buy at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	I do not buy at this price	Final payoff (25 CHF)
25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	0 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
24 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
23 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	2 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
22 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
21 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
20 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
19 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	6 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
18 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	7 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
17 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	8 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
16 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	9 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
15 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	10 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
14 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	11 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
13 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	12 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
12 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	13 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
11 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	14 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
10 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	15 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
9 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	16 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
8 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	17 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
7 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	18 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
6 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	19 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF
5 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	20 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF

Once you have made your choice for every possible price, please click "OK" to proceed.

OK

Below, you can see the choice you made for each possible price. If you want to make changes to your choices, please click on "Change".

If you do not want to change any of your choices, you need to complete the column on the right with your final payoff for each possible price, given the choice that you made in that row. Please enter the amount of money and chocolate you would end up with if that row were selected to count.

Once you are ready to proceed, click "Confirm." If the payoffs you have entered in the final column are all correct, then you will earn an additional 2 CHF.

Final payoff (25 CHF - price + chocolate)	Buy at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	Do not buy at this price	Final payoff (25 CHF)	Your final payoff (in CHF)	Your final payoff (chocolate)
25 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	0 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
24 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
23 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
22 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
21 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
20 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
19 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
18 CHF + chocolate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
17 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	8 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
16 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	9 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
15 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	10 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
14 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	11 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
13 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	12 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
12 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	13 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
11 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	14 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
10 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	15 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
9 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	16 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
8 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	17 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
7 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	18 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
6 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	19 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes
5 CHF + chocolate	<input type="checkbox"/>	20 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF		no <input type="radio"/> yes

Confirm

Change

## **B.1 Instructions for Sellers**

### **Instructions for Participants**

Welcome to this study.

Please read through the following instructions, while they are read aloud. They explain everything you need to know for participating in the study. If you have any questions after listening to the instructions, please raise your hand and wait. We will then come to you and answer them.

During the study, speaking with the other participants and the use of mobile phones are forbidden. Violation of these rules will lead to exclusion from the study and loss of all associated payments.

### **The Study**

You begin the study with an endowment of 25 CHF. During the study, the amount of money you have may vary, based on your decisions. Whatever final amount you end up with will be paid to you in cash at the end of the study.

In this study you will make two decisions; one in Part I, and one in Part II. You will first receive instructions for Part I and will make your decision for Part I. You will then receive instructions and make your decision for Part II. The decision you make in one part will have no effect on anything that happens in the other part.

At the end of the study, one of the two parts will randomly be drawn with equal probability to be the only part that counts for determining your payment. The part that is not selected to count will have no effect on your earnings. That is, either Part I or Part II, but not both, will determine your entire earnings for the study.

## Part I

In Part I you have the option to **sell a card worth 8.5 CHF**. The card is located in front of you at your computer terminal. **The card is yours, you own it**. If you do not sell the card, you can redeem it for 8.5 CHF from the experimenter at the end of the study.

**Your task in Part I is to indicate the lowest price you are willing to accept to sell the card.**

At the end of the study, the computer will randomly draw a price between 0 and 20 CHF, in increments of 1 CHF. This will be the price at which you can sell the card. You will not know the actual price until the end of the study.

You will decide, **for each possible price**, whether to sell or not sell the card at that price.

- If you sell the card, you cannot redeem it for 8.5 CHF, but you receive the price, in addition to your initial 25 CHF.
- If you do not sell the card, you can redeem it for 8.5 CHF, in addition to your initial 25 CHF, but you do not receive the price.

You will make your buying decision on a screen that looks like the table below. Please take a moment to look at this table.

Final Payoff (CHF 25 + price)	I sell at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	I do not sell at this price	Final Payoff (CHF 25 + value of card)
25 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>0 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
26 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>1 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
27 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>2 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
...	...	...	...	...
32 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>7 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
33 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>8 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
34 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
...	...	...	...	...
43 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>18 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
44 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>19 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
45 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>20 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF

Each row in the table corresponds to one of the possible prices. The prices are indicated in the middle column of the table, in bold. Remember that the computer will randomly select one price to count. Your choice in this row, if Part I is selected to count, will determine your payoff from the study.

You will choose, for each possible row, whether to sell or not sell the card at the price given in that row. You will indicate your choice in each row, by clicking the box on the left if you choose to sell at that price and the box on the right if you choose not to sell at that price.

The final payoff that you receive from selling at a given price is shown in the left column and the final payoff from not selling at a given price is shown in the right column.

*Examples:*

- *Suppose you indicate that you want to sell the card at a price of 2 CHF (by checking the left box in that line) and the price of 2 CHF is randomly drawn, then your payoff would be 27 CHF (25 CHF + 2 CHF). If, instead, you indicate not to sell the card at a price of 2 CHF, your payoff would be 33.5 CHF (25 CHF + 8.5 CHF).*
- *Suppose you indicate that you want to sell the card at a price of 18 CHF and the price of 18 CHF is randomly drawn, then your payoff would be 43 CHF (25 CHF + 18 CHF). If, instead, you indicate not to sell the card at a price of 18 CHF, your payoff would be 33.5 CHF (25 CHF + 8.5 CHF).*

Remember that we are asking you for the **lowest price** at which you are willing to sell the card. This means that you should select “sell” for all of the rows that correspond to prices above the lowest price you are willing to accept, and should select “do not sell” for all the rows that correspond to prices below the lowest price you are willing to accept. The computer will not allow you to make inconsistent choices in which you indicate to sell the card at some price, but then indicate not to sell it at a higher price. That is, there should only be one price at which you switch from not selling the card to selling the card.

Remember that there is a 50 percent chance that Part I will be selected to determine all your earnings for the study. Therefore, please think carefully about your decision.

Are there any questions about Part I, before we proceed to the decision screen? If you have a question, please raise your hand and wait for an experimenter.

## Part II

In Part II you have the option to **sell a box of chocolate**. The box is located in front of you at your computer terminal. **The box is yours, you own it.** If you do not sell the box, you can take it home with you at the end of the study.

**Your task in Part II is to indicate the lowest price you are willing to accept to sell the box of chocolate.**

At the end of the study, the computer will randomly draw a price between 0 and 20 CHF, in increments of 1 CHF. This will be price at which you can sell the box of chocolate. You will not know the actual price until the end of the study.

You will decide, **for each possible price**, whether to sell or not sell the box of chocolate at that price.

- If you sell the box of chocolate, you cannot take it home, but you receive the price in addition to your initial 25 CHF.
- If you do not sell the box of chocolate, you can take it home, in addition to your initial 25 CHF, but you do not receive the price.

You will make your selling decision on a screen that looks like the table below. Please take a moment to look at this table.

Final Payoff (CHF 25 + price)	I sell at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	I do not sell at this price	Final Payoff (CHF 25 + chocolate)
25 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>0 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
26 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>1 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
27 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>2 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
32 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>7 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
33 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>8 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
34 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
43 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>18 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
44 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>19 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
45 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>20 CHF</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate

Each row in the table corresponds to one of the possible prices. The prices are indicated in the middle column of the table, in bold. Remember that the computer will randomly select one price to count. Your choice in this row, if Part II is selected to count, will determine your payoff from the study.

You will choose, for each possible row, whether to sell or not sell the box of chocolate at the price given in that row. You will indicate your choice in each row, by clicking the box on the left if you choose to sell at that price and the box on the right if you choose not to sell at that price.

The final payoff that you receive from selling at a given price is shown in the left column and the final payoff from not selling at a given price is shown in the right column.

*Examples:*

- *Suppose you indicate that you want to sell the box of chocolate at a price of 2 CHF (by checking the left box in that line) and the price of 2 CHF is randomly drawn, then your payoff would be 27 CHF (25 CHF + 2 CHF). If, instead, you indicate not to sell the box of chocolate at a price of 2 CHF, your payoff would be CHF 25 plus the box of chocolate.*
- *Suppose you indicate that you want to sell the box of chocolate at a price of 18 CHF and the price of 18 CHF is randomly drawn, then your payoff would be 43 CHF (25 CHF + 18 CHF). If, instead, you indicate not to sell the box of chocolate at a price of 18 CHF, your payoff would be CHF 25 plus the box of chocolate.*

Remember that we are asking you for the **lowest price** at which you are willing to sell the box of chocolate. This means that you should select “sell” for all of the rows that correspond to prices above the lowest price you are willing to accept, and should select “do not sell” for all the rows that correspond to prices below the lowest price you are willing to accept. The computer will not allow you to make inconsistent choices in which you indicate to sell the box of chocolate at some price, but then indicate not to sell it at a higher price. That is, there should only be one price at which you switch from not selling the box of chocolate to selling the box of chocolate.

Remember that there is a 50 percent chance that Part II will be selected to determine all your earnings for the study. Therefore, please think carefully about your decision.

Are there any questions about Part II, before we proceed to the decision screen? If you have a question, please raise your hand and wait for an experimenter.

## B.2 Decision screens for Sellers

**The card you own is worth 8.5 CHF.**

Remember that the computer will randomly select one price to count.

Please indicate, for each possible price, whether you prefer to sell at that price or not sell at that price. Make your choice by clicking the appropriate box in each line.

The computer will not allow you to make inconsistent choices in which you indicate to sell the card at some price, but then indicate not to sell it at a higher price. That is, there should be only one price at which you switch from not selling the card to selling the card.

Final payoff (25 CHF + price)	I sell at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	I do not sell at this price	Final payoff (25 CHF + value of card)
25 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	0 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
26 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
27 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	2 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
28 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
29 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
30 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
31 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	6 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
32 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	7 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
33 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	8 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
34 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	9 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
35 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	10 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
36 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	11 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
37 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	12 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
38 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	13 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
39 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	14 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
40 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	15 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
41 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	16 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
42 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	17 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
43 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	18 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
44 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	19 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF
45 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	20 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF

Once you have made your choice for every possible price, please click "OK" to proceed.

Below, you can see the choice you made for each possible price. If you want to make changes to your choices, please click on "Change".

If you do not want to change any of your choices, you need to complete the column on the right with your final payoff for each possible price, given the choice that you made in that row. Please enter the amount of money you would end up with if that row were selected to count.

Once you are ready to proceed, click "Confirm." **If the payoffs you have entered in the final column are all correct, then you will earn an additional 2 CHF.**

Final payoff (25 CHF + price)	Sell at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	Do not sell at this price	Final payoff (25 CHF + value of card)	Your final payoff (in CHF)
25 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	0 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
26 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
27 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	2 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
28 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
29 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
30 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
31 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	6 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
32 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	7 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
33 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	8 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
34 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
35 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
36 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
37 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	12 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
38 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	13 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
39 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	14 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
40 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	15 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
41 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	16 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
42 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	17 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
43 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
44 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	
45 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.5 CHF	

You own the box of chocolate in front of you.

Remember that the computer will randomly select one price to count.

Please indicate, for each possible price, whether you prefer to sell at that price or not sell at that price. Make your choice by clicking the appropriate box in each line.

The computer will not allow you to make inconsistent choices in which you indicate to sell the box of chocolate at some price, but then indicate not to sell it at a higher price. That is, there should be only one price at which you switch from not selling the box of chocolate to selling the box of chocolate.

Final payoff (25 CHF + price)	I sell at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	I do not sell at this price	Final payoff (25 CHF + chocolate)
25 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	0 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
26 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
27 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	2 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
28 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
29 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
30 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
31 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	6 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
32 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	7 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
33 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	8 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
34 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	9 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
35 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	10 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
36 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	11 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
37 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	12 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
38 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	13 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
39 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	14 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
40 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	15 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
41 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	16 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
42 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	17 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
43 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	18 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
44 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	19 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate
45 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	20 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate

Once you have made your choice for every possible price, please click "OK" to proceed.

OK

Below, you can see the choice you made for each possible price. If you want to make changes to your choices, please click on "Change".

If you do not want to change any of your choices, you need to complete the column on the right with your final payoff for each possible price, given the choice that you made in that row. Please enter the amount of money and chocolate you would end up with if that row were selected to count.

Once you are ready to proceed, click "Confirm." If the payoffs you have entered in the final column are all correct, then you will earn an additional 2 CHF.

Final payoff (25 CHF + price)	Sell at this price	Price (one row randomly selected to count)	Do not sell at this price	Final payoff (25 CHF + chocolate)	Your final payoff (in CHF)	Your final payoff (chocolate)
25 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	0 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
26 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	1 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
27 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	2 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
28 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
29 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	4 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
30 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
31 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	6 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
32 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	7 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
33 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	8 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
34 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	9 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
35 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
36 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
37 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	12 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
38 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	13 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
39 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	14 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
40 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	15 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
41 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	16 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
42 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	17 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
43 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
44 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes
45 CHF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20 CHF	<input type="checkbox"/>	25 CHF + chocolate	<input type="text"/>	no <input type="radio"/> yes

Confirm

Change

## C Consent form



**Universität  
Zürich** UZH

### Department of Economics

University of Zurich  
Blümlisalpstrasse 10  
CH-8006 Zurich  
Telefax +41 (0)44 634 49 07  
[www.econ.uzh.ch](http://www.econ.uzh.ch)

#### Informed consent

#### Attendance confirmation: Lab experiment at Blümlisalpstrasse 10, Zurich / Econ Lab

You will work on a computer while making decisions to a given set up.  
Furthermore you will be paid for the participation. The payment will be handed out at the end of the study.

There is no risk involved by participating in this study.

Your participation in this study is purely voluntary, and you may withdraw your participation or your data at any time without any penalty to you. There will be no pay off if you decide to withdraw your participation.

Your data will be kept completely confidential by not recording your name in combination with your data. When the research is completed, the data that is kept does not include personal information, only choices are kept.

If you have any question regarding this study, do not hesitate to ask the experimenter. If questions arise at a later stage, please use the contact details below.

I confirm that I have read the form above and I give my consent to participate.

**Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Prenome:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

#### Contact:

Cornelia Schnyder, Department of Economics, University of Zurich  
Email: [cornelia.schnyder@econ.uzh.ch](mailto:cornelia.schnyder@econ.uzh.ch)  
[www.econ.uzh.ch](http://www.econ.uzh.ch)

Anmeldestelle für Studienteilnehmer der Universität Zürich und ETH,  
Department of Economics, University of Zurich  
E-Mail: [info@uast.uzh.ch](mailto:info@uast.uzh.ch)