

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Dieter, Heribert

# **Working Paper**

The End of Grand Expectations: Monetary and Financial Integration After the Crisis in Europe

ADB Working Paper Series on Regional Economic Integration, No. 127

## **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila

Suggested Citation: Dieter, Heribert (2014): The End of Grand Expectations: Monetary and Financial Integration After the Crisis in Europe, ADB Working Paper Series on Regional Economic Integration, No. 127, Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila, https://hdl.handle.net/11540/1973

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/109620

### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# THE END OF GRAND EXPECTATIONS: MONETARY AND FINANCIAL INTEGRATION AFTER THE CRISIS IN EUROPE

Heribert Dieter

NO. 127

April 2014

ADB WORKING PAPER SERIES ON REGIONAL ECONOMIC INTEGRATION



ADB Working Paper Series on Regional Economic Integration

# The End of Grand Expectations: Monetary and Financial Integration After the Crisis in Europe

Heribert Dieter\*
No. 127 | April 2014

\*Senior Fellow, German Institute for International and Security Affairs, Berlin, and Visiting Professor for International Political Economy, Zeppelin University, Lake Constance. heribert.dieter@swp-berlin.org



The ADB Working Paper Series on Regional Economic Integration focuses on topics relating to regional cooperation and integration in the areas of infrastructure and software, trade and investment, money and finance, and regional public goods. The Series is a quick-disseminating, informal publication that seeks to provide information, generate discussion, and elicit comments. Working papers published under this Series may subsequently be published elsewhere.

## Disclaimer:

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Asian Development Bank (ADB) or its Board of Governors or the governments they represent.

ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this publication and accepts no responsibility for any consequence of their use.

By making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area, or by using the term "country" in this document, ADB does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

Unless otherwise noted, "\$" refers to US dollars.

© 2014 by Asian Development Bank April 2014 Publication Stock No. WPS146443

# Contents

Abstract	iv
1. Introduction	1
2. The Contractions in the Treaty of Maastricht	1
2.1 No Bailout and Exit	2
2.2 The Inability of Economies to Protect Themselves against Overheating	5
3. Options for Europe: Between Deep Integration and a Return to Maastricht	8
4. Monetary and Financial Integration in Asia: Exit Option and Restrictions on Capital Flows	8
5. Conclusions	10
References	12
ADB Working Paper Series on Regional Economic Integration	13
Table	
Economic Development in Ireland and Spain (2003–2012)	5

# Abstract

The financial crisis in Europe has resulted in a new assessment of monetary and financial integration both in Europe and in Asia. Before the current crisis, regional integration in monetary and fiscal affairs including mechanisms to stabilize exchange rates enjoyed a lot of academic and political support. The crisis served as a reminder of the risks associated with monetary and financial integration and has resulted in a much more cautious appraisal. But despite the crisis, monetary and financial integration continues to be attractive. In particular, the facilitation of intra-regional trade through stable exchange rates and the potential development of joint lines of defense against financial volatility, e.g. a regional liquidity facility, are potential benefits of monetary and financial integration.

However, the crisis in Europe has exposed a number of weaknesses in the approach taken in the eurozone. In Europe, there was an unresolved tension between the so-called no-bailout clause and the absence of an exit mechanism. In addition, participating economies that suffered from a high inflow of foreign capital, i.e. Ireland and Spain, were not able to (temporarily) restrict capital inflows, but had to bear the consequences. Thus, after the crisis in Europe the concept of monetary regionalism needed to be modified, but did not have to be discarded.

Keywords: European integration, monetary regionalism, financial integration, Treaty of Maastricht

JEL Classification: F 15, F 33, F 36

# 1. Introduction

Since 1973, the year that marks the end of the regime of Bretton Woods, there has been a lively debate about the potential and risks of regional cooperation in monetary affairs. Of course, this debate on monetary regionalism and the options for exchange rate stabilization are not surprising since both the gold standard from the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century to 1914 and the Bretton Woods system provided economic agents with relatively stable exchange rates. Moreover, both regimes provided economic agents with exchange rates that fluctuated only mildly, and thus facilitated international trade.

In Europe, the search for exchange rate stability resulted in the presentation of the first plan for a new regime even before the system of Bretton Woods had collapsed. In 1970, Luxembourg's Prime Minister Pierre Werner introduced a concept of fixed exchange rates within the European Community. About 30 years later, the euro was introduced, and in its first years of existence the new currency seemed to work as expected. However, since early 2010 the common currency began experiencing a turbulent phase. Despite various rescue measures and the establishment of a new, regional institution, such as the European Stability Mechanism (ESM), the euro's log-term survival was far from certain.

The continuing financial crises in some member countries of the eurozone have intensified the debate about reforms of the monetary union. It is obvious that the original architecture has to be revised. The two alternatives suggested by the proponents of deeper integration - either deeper integration of monetary and fiscal policy, or return to antagonistic, national policies are far from being inevitable. By contrast, it is possible to make the monetary union more crisisproof while at the same time giving European nations a high degree of liability for their own economic development. The frequently cited assertion that transferring - i.e., centralizing hitherto national competencies to the European level would make fiscal policy and financial regulation easier to manage has substantial downsides. That approach ignores the downside of centralization. Far-reaching centralization may result in new problems and will weaken, not strengthen the economic dynamism of the European Union (EU).

In this article, several aspects of monetary and financial integration are examined. First, I will analyze the contradictions in the Treaty of Maastricht and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU). While these accords continue to have several elements that reflect the diversity of European economies and societies, both have structural flaws that have been exposed by Greece in particular. Second, I will examine the options for Europe in the medium term. In essence, Europe has the choice between returning to a (modified) Maastricht and fast-forwarding to full fiscal and financial integration. Third, I will discuss the consequences of the current turmoil in Europe for the concept of monetary and financial integration in Asia.

# 2. The Contractions in the Treaty of Maastricht

For many observers, the Treaty of Maastricht was the high point of European integration. German Chancellor Helmut Kohl argued that Maastricht and the subsequent introduction of the common currency, the euro, made European integration irreversible. But in the euphoria that characterized the debate in the 1990s, two flaws of the treaty were not considered: First, the contradiction between the no-bailout clause and the absence of an exit option, and second the inability of member countries to defend their economies against overheating caused by inflows of capital.

#### 2.1 No Bailout and Exit

The so-called no-bailout clause was initially contained in Article 104 (b) of the Treaty of Maastricht (1992). Today, the no-bailout clause is contained in Article 125 of the TFEU. It clearly reaffirms the independence of member countries with regard to their fiscal policy. In essence, no member country shall be responsible for the liabilities of any other member country. In other words, all economies have to be able to finance themselves. Neither temporary nor permanent transfers are part of the current regime that all member countries have accepted. In contrast to the currently popular interpretation that Article 125 permits transfers, a narrow interpretation would suggest that even the voluntary assumption of liabilities is prohibited. Governments have been violating these regulations in the current financial crisis in order to ensure the sustainability of the common currency.

While the rules of Article 125 appear to be clear and coherent, both the Treaty of Maastricht and the TFEU made no explicit provision for the event of a member country facing insolvency. The illusion of the architects of the European monetary project was that this event – the illiquidity, let alone insolvency of a sovereign European country – would never occur. The strict budget discipline – part of the process of European monetary integration – should and would ensure that no country would ever face a situation frequently experienced by emerging economies many times, including in the last three decades: A combination of high levels of debt and rising interest rates results in a liquidity crisis, which can quickly deteriorate into a solvency crisis.

But in Europe, such scenarios were deliberately excluded when the European Monetary Union was constructed. A combination of hubris and ignorance resulted in the flawed design that has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kohl has repeatedly made that argument, for instance in 2002:\_http://ec.europa.eu/economy\_finance/emu10/quotes\_kohl\_de.htm

See the text of the Treaty, available at: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/de/treaties/dat/11992M/htm/ 11992M.html (accessed on 4 September 2013).

The text prohibits both aids from the European Union (EU) and from individual member states. Exceptions are permitted for specific projects: "The Union shall not be liable for or assume the commitments of central governments, regional, local or other public authorities, other bodies governed by public law, or public undertakings of any Member State, without prejudice to mutual financial guarantees for the joint execution of a specific project. A Member State shall not be liable for or assume the commitments of central governments, regional, local or other public authorities, other bodies governed by public law, or public undertakings of another Member State, without prejudice to mutual financial guarantees for the joint execution of a specific project." (see The Official Journal of the European Union (EU), available at http://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?url=OJ:C:2008: 115:0047:0199:en:PDF (downloaded on 29 May 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Faulty assessments of this kind are of course not new. In the early 1980s, Walter Wriston, the Chairman of Citigroup, the world's largest bank at the time, frequently argued that "states don't go bankrupt". A few months later, Citigroup and other banks had to digest the shockwaves originating from the default of Argentina, Brazil and Mexico.

been creating today's severe turbulence. The bankruptcy of a European country was considered impossible. Of course, this was both an improper reading of financial history and a faulty incentive structure.

Thus, the no-bailout clause suffered from weak credibility. Of course, citizens in those Northern European economies that joined the monetary union reluctantly believed that Article 104 (b) provided sufficient protection against an unwanted transfer obligation. But the shield against liabilities that originated in profligate spending patterns elsewhere was weak. Societies that borrowed heavily, and often abroad, had an incentive to do so. As a consequence of monetary union, interest rates in those economies that previously had to pay high interest rates suddenly enjoyed low single-digit rates. Since the Treaty of Maastricht has no explicit provision for bankruptcy or for an exit from monetary union, Greece in particular tested Article 125.

The financial calamities of Athens, exposed soon after the 2009 elections, caught the other European governments off guard. While many observers had suggested that the fiscal position of some member countries was unsustainable, few had expected outright financial fraud. Yet the crisis exposed that Greek statistics were not all that accurate. In 2009, Greece generated a fiscal deficit of 15.8% of GDP, the top rank in the entire Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). In the years before, government liabilities had been understated, while revenue was overestimated.

The response of European policy makers was predictable, but did not solve the problem. Initially, both a Greek default and a Greek exit from the eurozone were publicly declared unthinkable. In order to pacify her domestic constituency, German Chancellor Angela Merkel declared that there is no alternative to the path taken.<sup>5</sup> She declared the rescue operation to be without alternative.<sup>6</sup>

By claiming that a rescue operation for Greece was in fact a rescue operation for the common currency, European leaders and the IMF sent a problematic message to Greek society. Essentially, the other member countries of the eurozone would help – no matter what happens in Greece. The absence of a credible alternative to a successful rescue operation - either default or exit from the eurozone - has delayed structural adjustment in Greece and has strengthened those political forces in Greece that have declared the transformation of the Greek economy both unnecessary and undesirable.

The situation in Greece has highlighted the first flaw in the Treaty of Maastricht. If member countries insist on sovereignty in fiscal policy, the consequence is potential failure. The indispensable addition to Article 125 would be a mechanism for default, or perhaps even an exit

Of course, she was not very successful with her public relation work. German voters continue to reject the transfers to Greece. In a poll taken just days after the May 2012 elections in Greece, 78% of the interviewees rejected any additional help for Athens. That represented a significant rise with months: In February 2012, 62% of respondents were opposed to transferring fresh money (see http://www.deutschland.net/tags/emnid, downloaded on 29 May 2012).

In German, she labeled the operation as "alternativlos". The Society for the German Language declared that term as the faux-pas word of 2010.

clause. Without this amendment, both member countries and creditors have reason to believe that a rescue operation will eventually be orchestrated.

In order to create a credible plan B, an amendment to the Treaty of Maastricht could be an exit clause in the event of a sovereign default. A defaulting member country of the eurozone would have to leave the monetary union after, say, six months. This amendment would leave the responsibility for sustainable fiscal policy where it belongs: in the member countries of the eurozone. The potential loss of economic benefits of membership in the monetary union would offer a sufficient incentive to implement a sustainable fiscal policy. The amendment would also send a signal to financial markets. Monetary cooperation is not independent of the fiscal policies in the member countries. Risks differ. The undesirable developments prior to 2008 – when markets did not distinguish appropriately between individual countries and demanded relatively uniform interest rates – will not reoccur.

Critics of such an amendment can argue that membership in the eurozone would thus no longer be considered permanent by financial markets. By opening the window for either default or exit, financial markets would be able to differentiate between economies much more than they have in the first years of the eurozone's existence. After the introduction of the euro, spreads were very small – too small in fact. Financial markets were dormant for years. The inability of markets to differentiate between good risks and bad risks has in fact contributed to the emergence of the crisis by sending inappropriate signals to profligate spenders. In those first years, markets (correctly) implied that all member countries of the eurozone would eventually be rescued.

By amending either a detailed default scenario or even an explicit exit option, the Treaty of Maastricht would lose what had been so important for supporters of the European integration process. Effectively, membership in the eurozone would be equivalent to establishing a very rigid exchange rate regime. Depending on the credibility of a country's economic policy, markets would demand varying compensations for country risk. There would not be a return to those days in which all countries in the eurozone paid more or less identical interest on government debt.

Of course, some observers find the prospect of the provision of discipline by financial markets – in fact the standard recipe outside the European Union (EU) – a disturbing idea. But even hard-nosed defenders of the autonomy of a society's fiscal policy do not propose the complete absence of mechanisms that are supposed to ensure fiscal prudence. Their competing concept is the creation of incentives by law – either with a compact on fiscal policy or the creation of a centralized supervising body. Of course, the consequences of this approach are similar to a market-based incentive structure: At some point, additional borrowing will become either more costly or impossible.

The alternative – disciplining societies with peer pressure or through centralized institutions – is not convincing. Europeans would have to discuss the appropriateness of certain items in other countries' budgets. The key weakness of centralized fiscal policy is the lack of ownership of economic policies. Societies would cease to be responsible for their economic development,

and they would blame European integration for their economic problems. The centralization of responsibility would weaken - rather than strengthen - economic governance in the eurozone.

# 2.2 The Inability of Economies to Protect Themselves against Overheating

But fiscal policy is not the only area which requires an amendment to the Treaty of Maastricht. As the cases of Ireland and Spain have clearly shown, the temporary overheating of an economy is as problematic and dangerous as imprudent fiscal policy.<sup>7</sup> A monetary integration scheme ought to provide instruments to protect an economy from the undesirable side-effects of a boom. Failure to do so will result in avoidable financial calamities.

In the first decade of the 21st century, neither Ireland nor Spain appeared to be steering an overly risky economic course. Both economies grew quickly and had low levels of public debt. Essentially, the future appeared to be rosy.

Table: Economic Development in Ireland and Spain (2003-2012)

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ireland: Real GDP (change in %)	4.2	4.5	5.3	5.3	5.2	-3.0	-7.0	-0.4	1.2	0.9
<b>Spain</b> : Real GDP (change in %)	3.1	3.3	3.6	4.1	3.5	0.9	37	-0.1	0.7	-1.4
Ireland: Public debt (in % of GDP)	34.5	3.3.1	32.9	29.2	28.7	49.6	71.1	98.5	112.6	123.3
<b>Spain</b> : Public debt (in % of GDP)	53.3	50.7	46.2	42.3	47.7	62.9	67.1	74.1	77.2	90.5
Ireland: Current account balance (in % of GDP)	0.0	-0.6	-3.5	-3.5	-5.3	-5.6	-2.9	1.1	1,1	4.9
Spain: Current account balance (in % of GDP)	-3.5	-5.2	-7.4	-9.0	-10.0	-9.6	-5.2	-4.5	-3.7	-1.1
Ireland: House prices (% change, inflation adjusted)	14.2	11.2	8.1	14.5	8.5	-5.9	-18.3	-13.1	-13.2	-12.8
<b>Spain:</b> House prices (% change, inflation adjusted)	20.0	18.3	14.6	10.0	5.5	0.2	-7.6	-3.6	- 6.1	-8.9

Source: OECD Economic Outlook 90 and 93 databases (Tables 1, 32, 51, 59).

The data in the table demonstrates the specific problems of booming economic economies within a monetary union. Both Ireland and Spain were showing above average economic growth before the financial crisis led to a significant reduction of economic activity in 2008. But

For a detailed discussion of the Spanish crisis and its origins see Dieter 2012a.

before that, both economies appeared to be poster children of the European Union (EU). Ireland was hailed by some observers as a "Celtic Tiger". Ironically, Spain was the new "El Dorado" for citizens from countries hit hard by financial crises. For example, many Argentineans left their country after it was hit by the 2002 financial meltdown. Between 2003 and 2010, the Spanish population grew from 42 million to 46 million people. Both labor and capital flowed to Spain (Dieter 2012).

However, both economies were not on a sound trajectory. Capital inflows financed the overheating of real estate prices. House prices in Ireland had been growing for a number of years: Between 1994 and 2002, prices had risen on average by over 13% annually. But in both countries, the introduction of the euro resulted in lower interest rate levels, which in turn fuelled the bubbles even further.

In hindsight, it is clear that neither country should have permitted the rise of real estate prices to the levels prevailing before the crash. However, neither government had any powerful instrument to reduce the asset price inflation at its disposal. Of course, in a monetary union there is no tailor-made level of interest rates. The interest rate level set by the European Central Bank tried to accommodate both the need of slowly growing economies such as France and Germany with those of the rapidly growing ones. For both Ireland and Spain, those interest rate levels were too low.

But apart from interest rates, monetary policy left these booming economies with no potent tools to fight the emergence of asset price inflation. Any regulatory tightening at the national level – for instance the raising of reserve requirements – could have been bypassed by borrowers keen on participating in the boom. Instead of borrowing from Irish or Spanish banks, they could have borrowed directly from French or German banks.

The high levels of capital inflows – the flipside of current account deficits – were thus the Achilles heel of these two seemingly well-run economies. What they needed would have been an instrument to reduce the inflow of capital. Without foreign capital, neither the bubble nor the subsequent hangover would have reached the same disturbing levels. As Reinhart and Rogoff have demonstrated, so-called capital inflow bonanzas are good markers for financial crises, in particular since the liberalization of capital flows in the 1970s (Reinhart and Rogoff 2009).

But the construction of the eurozone has not considered those potential risks. While it is evident that the economies participating in a monetary union differ substantially, there have not been any instruments in the toolkit of the eurozone. After the Irish and Spanish experience, this negligence is no longer appropriate. A revised Treaty of Maastricht should include an instrument to ward off unsustainable capital inflows.

Hitherto, any restrictions of capital flows within the European Union (EU) have been considered inappropriate. But without measures that curb inflows, a repetition of the boom and bust pattern that characterized the developments in both Ireland and Spain will be inevitable. Of course, from today's point of view the restriction of capital flows does not appear to be an urgent matter. If anything, capital outflows seem to require the attention of

authorities. But the current crisis will sooner or later end, and subsequently a return to unwanted capital inflows is only a matter of time.

In autumn 2012, a Commission of the Brookings Institution published a report on the risks and benefits of cross-border capital flows. Some of the most prominent American economists (Barry Eichengreen, Kenneth Rogoff, Dani Rodrik, Mohamed El-Erian) have concluded that cross-border flows at times require government intervention. While global regulation may in theory be superior, the inability to regulate financial markets at the global level has resulted in the need for second-best solutions: "... governments may need to resort to a second best approach in which they seek actively managed capital flows" (Brookings 2012, p. V).

While in the past, for example in the Bretton Woods era, measures that administratively curbed capital flows were the preferred instruments. In today's economic environment, taxes on inflows appear to be a possible tool. A tax of between 1% and 5% - levied on all capital inflows with exceptions for current account transactions and foreign direct investment - would most probably suffice to reach the desired cooling of the domestic economy.

Advocates of deep monetary and financial integration will reject such measures because they contribute to the segmentation of financial markets within the European Union (EU). The aim of achieving a fully integrated financial market will be - while taxes on inflows are implemented - temporarily suspended. But there is no need to tax capital inflows permanently. Taxes on inflows ought to be used as temporary measures to ward off potential financial instability.

For integration projects, temporary taxes on capital inflows (for economies experiencing unwanted levels of capital inflows) as well as on capital outflows offer an improved toolkit. Surplus countries will most probably be reluctant to tax outflows, but this reluctance may be due to lack of reflection of the consequences of large outflows.

The eurozone, or any project of monetary and financial integration, would benefit from the two additional instruments that I have suggested. First, an exit clause, or at least an unambiguous bankruptcy procedure, would inject an element of discipline into the fiscal policy of member countries that markets sometimes fail to provide. An exit option would ensure that fiscal policy does not have to be centralized, because member countries would have an incentive to make sure they implement a prudent fiscal policy. In addition, Article 125 of the TFEU would finally be credible.

The second amendment would be the introduction of instruments that permit the temporary restriction of capital inflows. There can be too much of a good thing. For those rare moments, policy makers need an instrument that permits them to avoid unwanted overheating.

# 3. Options for Europe: Between Deep Integration and a Return to Maastricht

Many observers have suggested the way forward for Europe is deeper integration, and only deeper integration. Whether full political union, a complete fiscal integration or a so-called banking union, integration is supposed to correct the mistakes of the Treaty of Maastricht and shall provide a sustainable economic development of the member countries of the EU.

However, most of the arguments in favor of ever deeper integration do not withstand scrutiny. More integration at this juncture of European integration may in fact weaken the integration process and may result in the potential departure of some more prudently managed economies from the eurozone. If the wrong incentives are provided, deeper integration may thus result in shallower integration in the future. Europe might well make one step forward, and two steps back.

Both political union and a fiscal union are aimed at implementing sustainable economic and fiscal policies in the member countries. The consequences of a political union are far reaching: The member countries of the EU would reduce their sovereignty significantly. Of course, even a transfer of sovereignty to the supranational entity would not answer the question whether this step would result in a permanent reduction of sovereignty or whether the member countries of the EU would temporarily transfer powers to Brussels. Given the possibility of member countries to exit the European Union (EU) – a provision that was introduced in the Treaty of Lisbon – the latter interpretation is more convincing. The EU is the child, not the parent of the member countries.

As a consequence, the ultimate location of authority continues to be the member country, and any member country will be entitled to boycott a decision on economic and fiscal policy that Brussels has taken. Rather than improving the prospects for cooperation in Europe, a political union at this historical juncture would deteriorate the prospects for the EU.

In essence, a fiscal union would result in a situation that is not structurally different from 2013's arrangement in Greece. Greek society is fighting a battle against the foreign authority – the Troika comprised of the European Central Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the European Commission. Instead of finding solutions for the country's economic mess, citizens in Greece no longer own their economic policy. They have been deprived of this essential ingredient of successful development. Creating these kinds of flawed incentives for the entire EU would weaken, rather than strengthen, the integration process.

# 4. Monetary and Financial Integration in Asia: Exit Option and Restrictions on Capital Flows

Policy makers in Asia can benefit from the European experience. The development of monetary and financial integration that avoids the mistakes made in Europe is possible. A key feature would be the consideration of improbable events and the preparation for (inevitable)

crises. It has been a mistake to assume crises away in the European context, and scholars and policy makers in Asia can build a system of cooperation which is not collapsing in the event of a financial calamity. Reducing contagion - a key characteristic of the Asian financial crisis of 1997/98 - must be a paramount objective.

Of course, this type of monetary and financial integration will be shallower than the European version, but probably more durable and less crisis-prone. In effect, Asian economies could create a new approach to integration, which would put financial integration first. As I have argued elsewhere (Dieter and Higgott 2003), the traumatic experience of Asian economies in 1997-98 could serve as a catalyst and raise political support for monetary and financial integration.

In the short- and medium-term, Asian societies will probably refrain from implementing a high-risk strategy for monetary and financial integration. But even at this early stage it will be important to consider some lessons from Europe. The key goal ought to be the deepening of financial markets in Asia without causing unmanageable vulnerabilities. As the crises of the last two decades have shown very clearly, too much confidence in the proper functioning of financial markets ought to be avoided.

Some of the lessons that Europe has been providing for monetary and financial integration in Asia are:

- (1) A no-bailout clause is not credible without a clear mechanism that can be applied in the event of a default. Before starting to implement a monetary union, the participating states should define a mechanism for the bankruptcy of a member country. The form of the response can vary: A predefined sovereign debt restructuring mechanism is as appropriate as a compulsory exit from the integration project. The key dimension is that default should be made very unattractive, and thus avoided.
- (2) Liquidity provision in a financial crisis should be readily available in an Asian integration project. However, it is important to consider the key characteristics of successful last resort lending: Lend freely, at penalty rates, against good collateral. Asian economies could consider a liquidity mechanism - beyond the existing Chiang Mai Initiative - that explicitly builds on Walter Bagehot's recipe: Liquidity against collateral. This approach differs sharply from the European method, which essentially copied the IMF's (failed) policies: liquidity against conditionality.

Considering the negative effects of the IMF's disastrous crisis management in 1997-98, liquidity provision against collateral appears to be particularly useful. Of course, the identification of collateral in a supranational environment is more difficult that in a national context. But it can be done successfully: in 1995, the United States (US) lent \$50 billion to the Mexican government, which in return pledged the future earnings of the state-owned oil company PEMEX. German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt provided liquidity assistance to the Italian government in the late 1970s and demanded a part of the Italian gold reserves in return.

Considering the diversity of Asian societies, ownership of economic policies is particularly important. In the foreseeable future, there will not be a uniform economic model that will be accepted in all Asian societies. Thus, the European approach - attempting to define a single economic policy for all member countries - will be even less convincing in Asia.

- (3) States should be able to leave the integration project if they wish to do so. If states consider the benefits of membership to be lower than the costs, the door should remain open. A project of monetary and financial integration does not have to act as a custodian for societies and impose certain and everlasting monetary and exchange rate policies on them. Compulsory membership turns a project into an ideology, which in turn ceases to be attractive both to members and non-members.
- (4) Individual countries should be permitted to protect themselves against unwanted capital inflows. The prevailing doctrine - only unrestricted capital flows ensure rising prosperity - has to be called into question after recent experiences. Temporary restrictions on capital inflows may enable individual economies to curb excesses in the markets and to shield an economy from their negative effects.

There are numerous examples in financial history that demonstrate the risks associated with large current account deficits. These are reliable indicators for the potential emergence of a debt crisis. This was the case in the Latin American crisis of the early 1980s as well as in the 1997-98 Asian crisis, in addition to the crises in the USA, Iceland, Ireland, Spain, and Greece.

Therefore, temporary restrictions on capital flows could help to protect economies against irrational exuberance." The exact shape of these instruments - whether taxes on cross-border" capital flows or reserve requirements - is of secondary importance. Examples are the taxation of inflows applied in Brazil since 2009 and the reserve requirements Chile demanded in the 1990s.

(5) Crisis management has to put emphasis on national ownership. Supranational solutions should only be considered as a last resort. Stumbling banks should receive regional support only when all national efforts for stabilizing institutes have failed.

# 5. Conclusions

While the benefits of monetary and financial integration are not featuring prominently in the current debate, they nevertheless continue to be enormous. Countries participating in such a project enjoy a range of economic benefits. Both cross-border trade and foreign direct investment are greatly facilitated. But as the experience of the European Monetary Union has shown, the existing framework of the Treaty of Maastricht is not sufficient and has not prevented the emergence of an existential crisis in Europe.

The two main categories essential for an efficient market economy - risk and accountability ought to be feature prominently in an integration project, whether in Asia or in Europe. Too much emphasis on rescuing the financial sector will weaken economies rather than strengthen

them. Bailing out banks that cannot compete creates moral hazards and will result in a feeble not a strong - region. Countries are well advised to depart from systems in which taxpayers bear the risk while financial markets are protected against the consequences of their own activities.

In this article, I have suggested an alternative approach to monetary and financial integration. An exit option, the provision of liquidity against collateral and the permission of permanent restrictions of cross-border capital flows are key features of that model.

For the debate in Asia, the European experience continues to have important lessons. Most importantly, it appears advisable to construct monetary and financial integration in a more prudent manner and to include to the greatest extent possible seemingly unlikely events. Financial crises, including sovereign defaults, have characterized financial history for the last eight centuries (Reinhart and Rogoff 2009). The European folly was to assume that it could not happen here. Countries in Asia do not have to make the same mistake.

# References

- Brookings Institution. 2012. Banks and Cross-Border Capital Flows: Policy Challenges and Regulatory Responses. http://www.brookings.edu/~/media/research/files/reports/2012/9/ciepr/09%20ciepr%20banking%20capital%20flows
- H. Dieter. 2012a. After the El Dorado Decade. Spain's Troubled Path in Managing its Crisis. SWP-Comment 23/2012.July. http://www.swp-berlin.org/fileadmin/contents/products/comments/2012C23\_dtr.pdf
- H. Dieter. 2012b. Maastricht 2.o. Alternatives for monetary union beyond the centralization fetish. SWP Comment 31/2012. September. http://www.swp-berlin.Org/fileadmin/contents/products/comments/2012C31\_dtr.pdf
- H. Dieter and R. Higgott.2003. Exploring alternative theories of economic regionalism: From trade to finance in Asian co-operation? *Review of International Political Economy*,10 (3). pp. 430-455.
- C. Reinhardt and K. Rogoff. 2009. This Time is Different: Eight Centuries of Financial Folly. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

# ADB Working Paper Series on Regional Economic Integration<sup>\*</sup>

- 1. "The ASEAN Economic Community and the European Experience" by Michael G. Plummer
- "Economic Integration in East Asia: Trends, Prospects, and a Possible 2. Roadmap" by Pradumna B. Rana
- "Central Asia after Fifteen Years of Transition: Growth, Regional Cooperation, 3. and Policy Choices" by Malcolm Dowling and Ganeshan Wignaraja
- "Global Imbalances and the Asian Economies: Implications for Regional 4. Cooperation" by Barry Eichengreen
- "Toward Win-Win Regionalism in Asia: Issues and Challenges in Forming 5. Efficient Trade Agreements" by Michael G. Plummer
- "Liberalizing Cross-Border Capital Flows: How Effective Are Institutional 6. Arrangements against Crisis in Southeast Asia" by Alfred Steinherr, Alessandro Cisotta, Erik Klär, and Kenan Šehović
- "Managing the Noodle Bowl: The Fragility of East Asian Regionalism" 7. by Richard E. Baldwin
- "Measuring Regional Market Integration in Developing Asia: A Dynamic Factor 8. Error Correction Model (DF-ECM) Approach" by Duo Qin, Marie Anne Cagas, Geoffrey Ducanes, Nedelyn Magtibay-Ramos, and Pilipinas F. Quising
- 9. "The Post-Crisis Sequencing of Economic Integration in Asia: Trade as a Complement to a Monetary Future" by Michael G. Plummer and Ganeshan Wignaraja
- "Trade Intensity and Business Cycle Synchronization: The Case of East Asia" 10. by Pradumna B. Rana
- "Inequality and Growth Revisited" by Robert J. Barro 11.
- "Securitization in East Asia" by Paul Lejot, Douglas Arner, and Lotte Schou-12. Zibell

- 13. "Patterns and Determinants of Cross-border Financial Asset Holdings in East Asia" by Jong-Wha Lee
- 14. "Regionalism as an Engine of Multilateralism: A Case for a Single East Asian FTA" by Masahiro Kawai and Ganeshan Wignaraja
- 15. "The Impact of Capital Inflows on Emerging East Asian Economies: Is Too Much Money Chasing Too Little Good?" by Soyoung Kim and Doo Yong Yang
- 16. "Emerging East Asian Banking Systems Ten Years after the 1997/98 Crisis" by Charles Adams
- 17. "Real and Financial Integration in East Asia" by Soyoung Kim and Jong-Wha Lee
- 18. "Global Financial Turmoil: Impact and Challenges for Asia's Financial Systems" by Jong-Wha Lee and Cyn-Young Park
- 19. "Cambodia's Persistent Dollarization: Causes and Policy Options" by Jayant Menon
- 20. "Welfare Implications of International Financial Integration" by Jong-Wha Lee and Kwanho Shin
- 21. "Is the ASEAN-Korea Free Trade Area (AKFTA) an Optimal Free Trade Area?" by Donghyun Park, Innwon Park, and Gemma Esther B. Estrada
- 22. "India's Bond Market—Developments and Challenges Ahead" by Stephen Wells and Lotte Schou- Zibell
- 23. "Commodity Prices and Monetary Policy in Emerging East Asia" by Hsiao Chink Tang
- 24. "Does Trade Integration Contribute to Peace?" by Jong-Wha Lee and Ju Hyun Pyun
- 25. "Aging in Asia: Trends, Impacts, and Responses" by Jayant Menon and Anna Melendez-Nakamura
- 26. "Re-considering Asian Financial Regionalism in the 1990s" by Shintaro Hamanaka

- "Managing Success in Viet Nam: Macroeconomic Consequences of Large 27. Capital Inflows with Limited Policy Tools" by Jayant Menon
- 28. "The Building Block versus Stumbling Block Debate of Regionalism: From the Perspective of Service Trade Liberalization in Asia" by Shintaro Hamanaka
- "East Asian and European Economic Integration: A Comparative Analysis" 29. by Giovanni Capannelli and Carlo Filippini
- "Promoting Trade and Investment in India's Northeastern Region" 30. by M. Govinda Rao
- "Emerging Asia: Decoupling or Recoupling" by Soyoung Kim, Jong-Wha Lee, 31. and Cyn-Young Park
- "India's Role in South Asia Trade and Investment Integration" by Rajiv Kumar 32. and Manjeeta Singh
- "Developing Indicators for Regional Economic Integration and Cooperation" 33. by Giovanni Capannelli, Jong-Wha Lee, and Peter Petri
- "Beyond the Crisis: Financial Regulatory Reform in Emerging Asia" by Chee 34. Sung Lee and Cyn-Young Park
- "Regional Economic Impacts of Cross-Border Infrastructure: A General 35. Equilibrium Application to Thailand and Lao People's Democratic Republic" by Peter Warr, Jayant Menon, and Arief Anshory Yusuf
- 36. "Exchange Rate Regimes in the Asia-Pacific Region and the Global Financial Crisis" by Warwick J. McKibbin and Waranya Pim Chanthapun
- 37. "Roads for Asian Integration: Measuring ADB's Contribution to the Asian Highway Network" by Srinivasa Madhur, Ganeshan Wignaraja, and Peter Darjes
- 38. "The Financial Crisis and Money Markets in Emerging Asia" by Robert Rigg and Lotte Schou-Zibell
- "Complements or Substitutes? Preferential and Multilateral Trade 39. Liberalization at the Sectoral Level" by Mitsuyo Ando, Antoni Estevadeordal, and Christian Volpe Martincus

- 40. "Regulatory Reforms for Improving the Business Environment in Selected Asian Economies—How Monitoring and Comparative Benchmarking can Provide Incentive for Reform" by Lotte Schou-Zibell and Srinivasa Madhur
- 41. "Global Production Sharing, Trade Patterns, and Determinants of Trade Flows in East Asia" by Prema-chandra Athukorala and Jayant Menon
- 42. "Regionalism Cycle in Asia (-Pacific): A Game Theory Approach to the Rise and Fall of Asian Regional Institutions" by Shintaro Hamanaka
- 43. "A Macroprudential Framework for Monitoring and Examining Financial Soundness" by Lotte Schou-Zibell, Jose Ramon Albert, and Lei Lei Song
- 44. "A Macroprudential Framework for the Early Detection of Banking Problems in Emerging Economies" by Claudio Loser, Miguel Kiguel, and David Mermelstein
- 45. "The 2008 Financial Crisis and Potential Output in Asia: Impact and Policy Implications" by Cyn-Young Park, Ruperto Majuca, and Josef Yap
- 46. "Do Hub-and-Spoke Free Trade Agreements Increase Trade? A Panel Data Analysis" by Jung Hur, Joseph Alba, and Donghyun Park
- 47. "Does a Leapfrogging Growth Strategy Raise Growth Rate? Some International Evidence" by Zhi Wang, Shang-Jin Wei, and Anna Wong
- 48. "Crises in Asia: Recovery and Policy Responses" by Kiseok Hong and Hsiao Chink Tang
- 49. "A New Multi-Dimensional Framework for Analyzing Regional Integration: Regional Integration Evaluation (RIE) Methodology" by Donghyun Park and Mario Arturo Ruiz Estrada
- 50. "Regional Surveillance for East Asia: How Can It Be Designed to Complement Global Surveillance?" by Shinji Takagi
- 51. "Poverty Impacts of Government Expenditure from Natural Resource Revenues" by Peter Warr, Jayant Menon, and Arief Anshory Yusuf
- 52. "Methods for Ex Ante Economic Evaluation of Free Trade Agreements" by David Cheong

- "The Role of Membership Rules in Regional Organizations" by Judith Kelley 53.
- "The Political Economy of Regional Cooperation in South Asia" by V.V. Desai 54.
- "Trade Facilitation Measures under Free Trade Agreements: Are They 55. Discriminatory against Non-Members?" by Shintaro Hamanaka, Aiken Tafgar, and Dorothea Lazaro
- "Production Networks and Trade Patterns in East Asia: Regionalization or 56. Globalization?" by Prema-chandra Athukorala
- "Global Financial Regulatory Reforms: Implications for Developing Asia" 57. by Douglas W. Arner and Cyn-Young Park
- "Asia's Contribution to Global Rebalancing" by Charles Adams, Hoe Yun Jeong, 58. and Cyn-Young Park
- "Methods for Ex Post Economic Evaluation of Free Trade Agreements" 59. by David Cheong
- "Responding to the Global Financial and Economic Crisis: Meeting the 60. Challenges in Asia" by Douglas W. Arner and Lotte Schou-Zibell
- 61. "Shaping New Regionalism in the Pacific Islands: Back to the Future?" by Satish Chand
- 62. "Organizing the Wider East Asia Region" by Christopher M. Dent
- "Labour and Grassroots Civic Interests In Regional Institutions" by Helen E.S. 63. Nesadurai
- "Institutional Design of Regional Integration: Balancing Delegation and 64. Representation" by Simon Hix
- "Regional Judicial Institutions and Economic Cooperation: Lessons for Asia?" 65. by Erik Voeten
- "The Awakening Chinese Economy: Macro and Terms of Trade Impacts on 10 66. Major Asia-Pacific Countries" by Yin Hua Mai, Philip Adams, Peter Dixon, and Jayant Menon

- 67. "Institutional Parameters of a Region-Wide Economic Agreement in Asia: Examination of Trans-Pacific Partnership and ASEAN+ $\alpha$  Free Trade Agreement Approaches" by Shintaro Hamanaka
- 68. "Evolving Asian Power Balances and Alternate Conceptions for Building Regional Institutions" by Yong Wang
- 69. "ASEAN Economic Integration: Features, Fulfillments, Failures, and the Future" by Hal Hill and Jayant Menon
- 70. "Changing Impact of Fiscal Policy on Selected ASEAN Countries" by Hsiao Chink Tang, Philip Liu, and Eddie C. Cheung
- 71. "The Organizational Architecture of the Asia-Pacific: Insights from the New Institutionalism" by Stephan Haggard
- 72. "The Impact of Monetary Policy on Financial Markets in Small Open Economies: More or Less Effective During the Global Financial Crisis?" by Steven Pennings, Arief Ramayandi, and Hsiao Chink Tang
- 73. "What do Asian Countries Want the Seat at the High Table for? G20 as a New Global Economic Governance Forum and the Role of Asia" by Yoon Je Cho
- 74. "Asia's Strategic Participation in the Group of 20 for Global Economic Governance Reform: From the Perspective of International Trade" by Taeho Bark and Moonsung Kang
- 75. "ASEAN's Free Trade Agreements with the People's Republic of China, Japan, and the Republic of Korea: A Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis" by Gemma Estrada, Donghyun Park, Innwon Park, and Soonchan Park
- 76. "ASEAN-5 Macroeconomic Forecasting Using a GVAR Model" by Fei Han and Thiam Hee Ng
- 77. "Early Warning Systems in the Republic of Korea: Experiences, Lessons, and Future Steps" by Hyungmin Jung and Hoe Yun Jeong
- 78. "Trade and Investment in the Greater Mekong Subregion: Remaining Challenges and the Unfinished Policy Agenda" by Jayant Menon and Anna Cassandra Melendez

- "Financial Integration in Emerging Asia: Challenges and Prospects" by Cyn-79. Young Park and Jong-Wha Lee
- "Sequencing Regionalism: Theory, European Practice, and Lessons for Asia" 80. by Richard E. Baldwin
- "Economic Crises and Institutions for Regional Economic Cooperation" 81. by C. Randall Henning
- 82. "Asian Regional Institutions and the Possibilities for Socializing the Behavior of States" by Amitav Acharya
- "The People's Republic of China and India: Commercial Policies in the Giants" 83. by Ganeshan Wignaraja
- 84. "What Drives Different Types of Capital Flows and Their Volatilities?" by Rogelio Mercado and Cyn-Young Park
- "Institution Building for African Regionalism" by Gilbert M. Khadiagala 85.
- "Impediments to Growth of the Garment and Food Industries in Cambodia: 86. Exploring Potential Benefits of the ASEAN-PRC FTA" by Vannarith Chheang and Shintaro Hamanaka
- 87. "The Role of the People's Republic of China in International Fragmentation and Production Networks: An Empirical Investigation" by Hyun-Hoon Lee, Donghyun Park, and Jing Wang
- 88. "Utilizing the Multiple Mirror Technique to Assess the Quality of Cambodian Trade Statistics" by Shintaro Hamanaka
- "Is Technical Assistance under Free Trade Agreements WTO-Plus?" A Review 89. of Japan-ASEAN Economic Partnership Agreements" by Shintaro Hamanaka
- "Intra-Asia Exchange Rate Volatility and Intra-Asia Trade: Evidence by Type of 90. Goods" by Hsiao Chink Tang
- "Is Trade in Asia Really Integrating?" by Shintaro Hamanaka 91.

- 92. "The PRC's Free Trade Agreements with ASEAN, Japan, and the Republic of Korea: A Comparative Analysis" by Gemma Estrada, Donghyun Park, Innwon Park, and Soonchan Park
- 93. "Assessing the Resilience of ASEAN Banking Systems: The Case of the Philippines" by Jose Ramon Albert and Thiam Hee Ng
- 94. "Strengthening the Financial System and Mobilizing Savings to Support More Balanced Growth in ASEAN+3" by A. Noy Siackhachanh
- 95. "Measuring Commodity-Level Trade Costs in Asia: The Basis for Effective Trade Facilitation Policies in the Region" by Shintaro Hamanaka and Romana Domingo
- 96. "Why do Imports Fall More than Exports Especially During Crises? Evidence from Selected Asian Economies" by Hsiao Chink Tang
- 97. "Determinants of Local Currency Bonds and Foreign Holdings: Implications for Bond Market Development in the People's Republic of China" by Kee-Hong Bae
- 98. "ASEAN-China Free Trade Area and the Competitiveness of Local Industries: A Case Study of Major Industries in the Lao People's Democratic Republic" by Leebeer Leebouapao, Sthabandith Insisienmay, and Vanthana Nolintha
- 99. "The Impact of ACFTA on People's Republic of China-ASEAN Trade: Estimates Based on an Extended Gravity Model for Component Trade" by Yu Sheng, Hsiao Chink Tang, and Xinpeng Xu
- 100. "Narrowing the Development Divide in ASEAN: The Role of Policy" by Jayant Menon
- 101. "Different Types of Firms, Products, and Directions of Trade: The Case of the People's Republic of China" by Hyun-Hoon Lee, Donghyun Park, and Jing Wang
- 102. "Anatomy of South–South FTAs in Asia: Comparisons with Africa, Latin America, and the Pacific Islands" by Shintaro Hamanaka
- 103. "Japan's Education Services Imports: Branch Campus or Subsidiary Campus?" by Shintaro Hamanaka

- "A New Regime of SME Finance in Emerging Asia: Empowering Growth-104. Oriented SMEs to Build Resilient National Economies" by Shigehiro Shinozaki
- "Critical Review of East Asia South America Trade" by Shintaro Hamanaka 105. and Aiken Tafgar
- "The Threat of Financial Contagion to Emerging Asia's Local Bond Markets: 106. Spillovers from Global Crises" by Iwan J. Azis, Sabyasachi Mitra, Anthony Baluga, and Roselle Dime
- "Hot Money Flows, Commodity Price Cycles, and Financial Repression in the 107. US and the People's Republic of China: The Consequences of Near Zero US Interest Rates" by Ronald McKinnon and Zhao Liu
- "Cross-Regional Comparison of Trade Integration: The Case of Services" 108. by Shintaro Hamanaka
- "Preferential and Non-Preferential Approaches to Trade Liberalization in East 109. Asia: What Differences Do Utilization Rates and Reciprocity Make?" by Jayant Menon
- "Can Global Value Chains Effectively Serve Regional Economic Development 110. in Asia?" by Hans-Peter Brunner
- "Exporting and Innovation: Theory and Firm-Level Evidence from the People's 111. Republic of China" by Faqin Lin and Hsiao Chink Tang
- "Supporting the Growth and Spread of International Production Networks in 112. Asia: How Can Trade Policy Help?" by Jayant Menon
- "On the Use of FTAs: A Review of Research Methodologies" 113. by Shintaro Hamanaka
- "The People's Republic of China's Financial Policy and Regional Cooperation in 114. the Midst of Global Headwinds" by Iwan J. Azis
- "The Role of International Trade in Employment Growth in Micro- and Small 115. Enterprises: Evidence from Developing Asia" by Jens Krüger
- "Impact of Euro Zone Financial Shocks on Southeast Asian Economies" 116. by Jayant Menon and Thiam Hee Ng

- "What is Economic Corridor Development and What Can It Achieve in Asia's Subregions?" by Hans-Peter Brunner
- 118. "The Financial Role of East Asian Economies in Global Imbalances: An Econometric Assessment of Developments after the Global Financial Crisis" by Hyun-Hoon Lee and Donghyun Park
- 119. "Learning by Exporting: Evidence from India" by Apoorva Gupta, Ila Patnaik, and Ajay Shah
- 120. "FDI Technology Spillovers and Spatial Diffusion in the People's Republic of China" by Mi Lin and Yum K. Kwan
- 121. "Capital Market Financing for SMEs: A Growing Need in Emerging Asia" by Shigehiro Shinozaki
- "Terms of Trade, Foreign Direct Investment, and Development: A Case of Intra-Asian Kicking Away the Ladder?" by Konstantin M. Wacker, Philipp Grosskurth, and Tabea Lakemann
- "Can Low Interest Rates be Harmful: An Assessment of the Bank Risk-Taking Channel in Asia" by Arief Ramayandi, Umang Rawat, and Hsiao Chink Tang
- "Explaining Foreign Holdings of Asia's Debt Securities" by Charles Yuji Horioka, Takaaki Nomoto, and Akiko Terada-Hagiwara
- 125. "South Caucasus–People's Republic of China Bilateral Free Trade Agreements: Why It Matters" by Hasmik Hovhanesian and Heghine Manasyan
- "Enlargement of Economic Framework in Southeast Asia and Trade Flows in Lao PDR by Sithanonxay Suvannaphakdy, Hsiao Chink Tang, and Alisa DiCaprio

<sup>\*</sup>These papers can be downloaded from (ARIC) http://aric.adb.org/archives.php? section=o&subsection=workingpapers or (ADB) http://www.adb.org/publications/series/regional-economic-integration-working-papers

### The End of Grand Expectations

Monetary and Financial Integration After the Crisis in Europe

Europe's financial crisis has resulted in the need for a new evaluation of monetary and financial integration. The difficulties of the European integration project have underlined the necessity for more cautious and prudent approach. In essence, monetary regionalism requires the consideration of worst-case scenarios, including the default of a member country. While supranational financial cooperation continues to be an attractive concept, after the crisis in Europe it needs to be augmented.

## About the Asian Development Bank

ADB's vision is an Asia and Pacific region free of poverty. Its mission is to help its developing member countries reduce poverty and improve the quality of life of their people. Despite the region's many successes, it remains home to approximately two-thirds of the world's poor: 1.6 billion people who live on less than \$2 a day, with 733 million struggling on less than \$1.25 a day. ADB is committed to reducing poverty through inclusive economic growth, environmentally sustainable growth, and regional integration.

Based in Manila, ADB is owned by 67 members, including 48 from the region. Its main instruments for helping its developing member countries are policy dialogue, loans, equity investments, guarantees, grants, and technical assistance.

