

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Zhai, Fan

Working Paper Preferential Trade Agreements in Asia: Alternative Scenarios of "Hub and Spoke"

ERD Working Paper Series, No. 83

Provided in Cooperation with: Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila

Suggested Citation: Zhai, Fan (2006) : Preferential Trade Agreements in Asia: Alternative Scenarios of "Hub and Spoke", ERD Working Paper Series, No. 83, Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila, https://hdl.handle.net/11540/1885

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/109287

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



ERD Working Paper ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT SERIES No.83

Preferential Trade Agreements in Asia: Alternative Scenarios of "Hub and Spoke"

Fan Zhai

October 2006

Asian Development Bank

ERD Working Paper No. 83

PREFERENTIAL TRADE AGREEMENTS IN ASIA: ALTERNATIVE SCENARIOS OF "HUB AND SPOKE"

Fan Zhai

OCTOBER 2006

Economist, Economics and Research Department, Asian Development Bank, Tel: +632-632-5956, Email: fzhai@adb.org. The views expressed in the paper are those of the authors and should not be attributed to their affiliated institution. The author thanks Joseph Francois, Frank Harrigan, Thomas Hertel, Jayant Menon and Dominique van der Mensbrugghe and the participants of the ADB workshop in November 2005 for helpful comments. Asian Development Bank 6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City 1550 Metro Manila, Philippines www.adb.org/economics

©2006 by Asian Development Bank October 2006 ISSN 1655-5252

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank.

FOREWORD

The ERD Working Paper Series is a forum for ongoing and recently completed research and policy studies undertaken in the Asian Development Bank or on its behalf. The Series is a quick-disseminating, informal publication meant to stimulate discussion and elicit feedback. Papers published under this Series could subsequently be revised for publication as articles in professional journals or chapters in books.

CONTENTS

Abstra	ct		vii			
I.	Introd	uction	1			
II.	Hub a	nd Spoke Configurations in Asia	3			
III.	The Simulation Model					
IV.	Simulations and Results					
	А. В. С.	Aggregate Impacts Sectoral Results Implications for Broader Regional and Global Trade Liberalization	7 11 15			
V.	Deep Integration					
VI.	Concluding Remarks					
	Appendix					
	References 23					

ABSTRACT

The proliferation of preferential trade agreements in Asia may result in a number of hub-and-spoke configurations, with the Association of Southeast Asian Nations, People's Republic of China, and Japan competing as regional hubs of bilateral free trade areas. Using a newly developed global computable general equilibrium model with imperfect competition, increasing returns to scale, and heterogeneous firms, the paper explores the potential economic effects of alternative hub-and-spoke configurations in Asia. Simulation results suggest that the regionalism approach to integration in the Asian context can hardly act as a building block of global trade liberalization, if it is confined to shallow integration only. However, regional trade agreements involving deep integration measures provide a promising path toward global free trade.

I. INTRODUCTION

The past two decades have witnessed a remarkable trend of regional economic integration in Asia, especially in East Asia and Southeast Asia. Asian economies have achieved rapid technological advancement, robust economic growth, and substantial liberalization of trade and foreign direct investment (FDI) regimes under the multilateral framework of the World Trade Organization (WTO). All these factors worked together to result in a tremendous expansion of trade and FDI in the region. Between 1980 and 2004, intra-Asian trade has expanded at an average annual rate of 13.7%, higher than the 10.6% average growth of total Asian trade per year. Within Asia (including Japan and developing Asia), the ratio of intraregional trade to total trade has increased from 32.7% in 1980 to 53.0% in 2004.

Economic integration in Asia has been largely market-driven, with private activities as a primary force. Recognizing the importance of more formal institutional arrangements to facilitate regional integration; and motivated by the formation of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the European Union (EU) trade blocs, Asian countries have mounted collective efforts for regionwide free trade since the early 1990s. Members of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) signed the ASEAN Free Trade Area (AFTA) agreement in 1992, aiming at creating a free trade area (FTA) among member countries by 2003. South Asian countries also announced the plan to create the South Asian Preferential Trade Area (SAPTA) in 1993.

The Asian financial crisis in 1997 further underscored the importance of economic policy cooperation among East and Southeast economies. By the end of the 1990s, a new wave of Asian regionalism featuring bilateral agreements and deep integration had gathered momentum. This was led by Republic of Korea (henceforth Korea), which began its discussion of FTA with Japan in 1998, and which signed a bilateral FTA with Chile in 2002. ASEAN, People's Republic of China (PRC), India, and Japan soon joined the pursuit of PTAs. By 2005, Asian countries (including Central Asia) had implemented 18 bilateral trade agreements and four regional trade agreements (RTAs) and had negotiated at least 30 new preferential trade agreements (PTAs). Asian countries shifted their policy preference from a multilateral approach to global free trade to active participation in regional groups in order to gain substantially in the regional market. This strategic change in Asian commercial policy partly reflects the region's reaction to the slow progress of multilateral negotiations under the WTO and the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum. However, some recent developments in the regional economy, such as the parallel emergence of the PRC and India as important economic powers, have significantly changed the global and regional economic landscape and have also contributed to the proliferation of PTAs in Asia.

It is worth noting that not all these RTAs occurred among geographically contiguous "natural" trading partners. Many RTAs involving Asian countries are inter-regional, such as Japan–Mexico, Korea–Chile, Singapore–United States, Singapore–European Free Trade Association, and Thailand–New Zealand. As Singapore is a small and open economy and has dropped most of its trade barriers, it can pursue as many bilateral trade agreements as possible to maximize gains from free trade

without worries about the negative effects of trade diversion or deterioration of terms of trade. For PRC, Japan, and Korea, their efforts to forge inter-regional bilateral trade agreements may reflect their intention to both secure a larger foreign market access and to facilitate inward and outward foreign direct investment.

Another important feature of the new wave of Asian regionalism is that many of the new PTA initiatives go well beyond traditional trade policies of tariff and nontariff barriers (NTBs) and typically include trade facilitation, services and investment liberalization, harmonization of the regulatory framework, and economic and technical cooperation. For example, the recent Japan-Singapore economic partnership agreement (JSEPA) covers issues such as regulatory reforms; facilitation of customs procedures; cooperation in science and technology, media and broadcasting, electronic commerce, advancing information and communication technology; movement of natural persons; and human resource development. This so-called "deep integration" focuses on the removal of internal barriers that discourage the efficient allocation of international production within the region. It is often driven by the desire of multinational corporations (MNCs) to improve their competitive position within the regional market. Removal of internal barriers facilitates the realization of economies of scale and scope at a regional level through MNCs' locating their affiliates in economies where their operations can perform most efficiently. Recent literature has argued that deep integration can lead significant externalities and productivity gains and mitigate the potential causes of conflict between PTAs and multilateral trade agreements (Laird 1999; ADB 2002; Burfisher, Robinson, and Thierfelder 2004).

The proliferation of bilateralism in Asia is grounded on a number of political, economic, and security considerations. But this trend is not peculiar to Asia. Bilateral trade agreements are often preferred to multilaterals because they are less costly in terms of negotiation and enforcement efforts. They also more easily incorporate the provision of cross-broad harmonization of national policies and regulation in an effort to gain from deep integration. However, compared to the multilateral and global approach of trade liberalization, bilateralism and regionalism are still second-best options. Since the seminal contribution of Viner (1950), it has long been recognized that PTA is welfare-reducing if the trade diversion it causes dominates trade creation. Moreover, overlapping PTAs with multiple and complicated provisions and rules of origin bring additional transaction costs in international trade, resulting in the famous "spaghetti bowl" phenomenon (Bhagwati, Greenway, and Panagariya 1998). In this sense, skeptics of regionalism conclude that PTAs would undermine the progress of multilateral liberalization due to their discriminatory nature, rendering them as stumbling blocks for global trade liberalization (Bhagwati 1991, Panagariya 1999 and 2000).

How will Asian regionalism evolve? In the foreseeable future, the most likely scenario in Asia is a complex web of intersecting bilateral, regional, and inter-regional trade agreements. Bilateralism is expected to continue, and distance or proximity would not seem to matter (Feridhanusetyawan 2005). As a number of major Asian countries are pursuing PTAs with non-Asian partners, the concern that the formation of a large Asian block might fragment world trade could be misleading. But it remains unclear whether the proliferation of bilateral PTAs will lead to regionwide trade liberalization. As Lloyd (2002) and Baldwin (2002) argue, one important factor behind the spread of bilateralism in Asia is the fear of exclusion from major markets. The formation of PTAs provides strong incentive to outsiders to join or form PTAs, especially to form bilateral PTAs with countries that are their major markets and already have PTAs with other countries, to counter the discrimination they would otherwise face. This leads to a domino effect of all outsiders aggressively pursuing PTAs with the major powers. Baldwin (2004) cautions that the continuing pursuit of bilateralism by the regional large economies, such as the PRC and Japan, may lead to a dangerous outcome. The political economy forces that drives the domino effect in Asia tends to produce overlapping hub and spoke trade arrangements that are economically inefficient and potentially divisive. However, Lloyd (2002) argues that bilateralism will likely have positive effects on the world trading system from a longer-tem perspective. He emphasized that the bilateralism possibility of one country, which is a member of a multi-country PTA, linking on its own with outside countries, offers a natural way toward enlargement and coalescence of existing PTAs. Even though the bilateral agreements lead to hubs and spokes, a spoke bilateral mitigates the effect of large PTAs and may result in enlargement of PTAs.

This paper attempts to shed some light on the debate on regionalism versus multilateralism by analyzing the potential impacts of hub and spoke trade arrangements in Asia. Using a newly developed global computable general equilibrium (CGE) model with imperfect competition, increasing returns to scale technology, and firm heterogeneity in productivity, the paper simulates alternative scenarios of regional hub and spoke configurations. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II discusses the possible hub and spoke configurations in Asia. The simulation model is introduced in Section III and Section IV discusses the simulation results. Concluding remarks follow in Section V.

II. HUB AND SPOKE CONFIGURATIONS IN ASIA

Under multiple PTAs, a hub arises when one country has simultaneous, separate PTAs with individual spoke countries, while the spokes normally do not have PTAs among themselves. Compared with a corresponding full FTA, hub-and-spoke configurations create multilayers of discrimination. As the spokes are isolated in nature, there is a greater threat of trade diversion toward the hub. The "spaghetti bowl" problem is also exacerbated in hub-and-spoke systems. Furthermore, the asymmetry of market access between the hub and spokes gives the hub a strong advantage in attracting investment and tends to marginalize the spoke countries (Puga and Venables 1997). A spoke country can offset its disadvantage by entering into its own set of bilateral or plurilateral RTAs or unilaterally lowering its own tariff, but domestic political economy constraints might prevent spoke–spoke liberalization (Baldwin 2004).

Who will be the hubs in Asia? As the two largest economies in the region, the PRC and Japan are naturally two potential hubs. Although the hub countries are not necessarily better off in huband-spoke configurations than in a large, full FTA, they may be motivated to negotiate bilateral agreements with other Asian countries by political and strategic considerations. If this were the case, a two-hub, "bicycle" system may arise in Asia, with the PRC and Japan as two individual hubs and ASEAN countries, Australia, Korea, and New Zealand as spokes. This two-hub scenario is an inferior option of Asian regionalism and could be divisive economically and politically, according to Baldwin (2004).

The above two-hub configuration can be further complicated by the efforts of ASEAN to establish itself as an alternative hub. ASEAN countries are well aware of the risks of being trapped as spokes in an integrated East Asia. Its efforts to negotiate bilateral PTAs not only with PRC, Japan, and Korea but also with Australia, India, New Zealand, and United States (US) reflect ASEAN's intention to diffuse the dominance of the PRC and Japan and to enhance its negotiating leverage in regional

trade agreements. Actually, given its geographic advantage, ASEAN is potentially well placed to emerge as a regional hub linking East Asia and South Asia, and it is already in a unique position as a hub in a whole series of proposed preferential agreements. However, the large diversity and heterogeneity among ASEAN members may prevent them from acting concertedly as a group in negotiations. Given the sensitivity in each ASEAN economy, any preferential agreement actually signed might differ among members. This will put ASEAN in a spoke rather than hub position, because the unequal market access among ASEAN countries and their PTA partner still diverts trade and investment to the latter. Moreover, the internal liberalization among ASEAN members can be undermined if the members shift attention to integration with their outside favorites, rather than with each other (Findlay, Piei, and Pangestu 2003). Frustrated by the little progress in ASEAN internal economic integration, Singapore and Thailand have aggressively pursued bilateral PTAs with non-ASEAN members. Most regional powers including India, Japan, and Korea prefer to strike bilateral deals with ASEAN members individually to negotiate with ASEAN collectively. The PRC has emphasized negotiations with ASEAN as a group in their early FTA talks, but the recent PRC-Thailand bilateral agreement may signal a shift of the PRC's FTA strategy with ASEAN. Therefore, the internal weakness and external environment of ASEAN might make it hard to reap the benefits of being a hub, unless great efforts are expended to maintain cohesion and deepen its internal integration.

In South Asia, India is a natural hub of PTAs due to its size and economic power. In addition to signing SAPTA, India has entered bilateral free trade agreements with Bhutan, Nepal, and Sri Lanka. But overall, trade integration in South Asia has been very limited. It is also arguable if a South Asian FTA makes sense given the strong economic asymmetry in the region. For instance, Panagariya (2003) argues that South Asian countries may gain much from nondiscriminatory unilateral or multilateral trade liberalization, while PTAs would be harmful overall.

India adopted a "Look East Policy" as early as 1991 to increase its integration with economically dynamic East and Southeast Asia. This strategy is well grounded by the high degree of complementarity between the two regions, as India has strengths in services and software while East Asia has substantial hardware and manufacturing prowess (Kumar 2005). As a part of the Look East Policy, India signed a framework trade agreement with ASEAN in 2003, which involves an FTA to be implemented over a 10-year period. India also has approached individual members of ASEAN such as Singapore and Thailand for a bilateral agreement. India is jointly studying the feasibility of FTAs with PRC, Japan, Korea, and Malaysia. Panagariya (2004) suggested that an India–PRC FTA could be less distorted and will create strong impetus for an Asiawide FTA.

III. THE SIMULATION MODEL

The model used in this study is a static, CGE model of the global economy. It is built on the LINKAGE model developed at the World Bank by Dominique van der Mensbrugghe (2005), and has its intellectual roots in the group of multicountry applied general equilibrium models used over the past two decades to analyze the impact of trade policy reform (Shoven and Whalley 1992, Hertel 1997). The multicountry CGE model has increasingly become a standard tool for trade analysis because it can detail structural adjustments within national economies and elucidate their interactions in international markets.

The model used here generally corresponds to the second-generation CGE models that incorporate scale economies and imperfect competition.¹ Some examples of second-generation CGE include Gasiorek, Smith, and Venables (1992); Harrison, Rutherford, and Tarr (1997); Brown, Deardorff, and Stern (2002); Bchir, Decreux, Guerin, and Jean (2002); and Francois, van Meijl, and van Tongeren (2005). In contrast with the previous models, this paper incorporates firm heterogeneity and fixed cost of exporting into the model. This enables investigation of intraindustry reallocation of resources and exporting decisions by firms. The empirical literature has emphasized the importance of extensive margin in trade expansion and economic growth. However, as Hummels and Klenow (2005) argued, neither traditional CGE model with representative firm and Armington national product differentiation, nor the "new trade theory" model with monopolistic competition and firm-level production differentiation, can match the facts about extensive and intensive export margins. Recently, a number of new heterogeneous-firm models of international trade by Bernard et al. (2003), Melitz (2003), and Yeaple (2002) introduced the extensive margin as a result of the firms' self-selection to export markets. These new models emphasize the interaction of entry costs of exports and productivity differences across firms operating in imperfectly competitive industries. When trade costs decrease, new firms with lower productivity enter the export markets in response to the potentially higher profits. Empirical evidence has largely supported the predictions by these new heterogeneous-firm trade models.

The model presented here has a structure of production similar to that in Melitz (2003), which incorporates the firm productivity heterogeneity in Krugman's (1979) monopolistic competition model. Agriculture, mining, and public administration are assumed to be in perfect competition. In each of these three sectors, there is a representative firm operated under constant returns to scale technology. The manufacturing sector and service sectors are characterized by monopolistic competition. Each of them consists of a continuum of firms differentiated by the varieties they produce and their productivity. Firms face fixed production cost, resulting in increasing returns to scale. There are also fixed costs and variable costs associated with the exporting activities. On the demand side, the agents are assumed to have Dixit-Stiglitz preference over the continuum of varieties.² As each firm is a monopolist for the variety it produces, it sets the price of its product at a constant markup over its marginal cost. The firm enters domestic or export markets if and only if the net profits generated from its domestic sales or exports in a given country are sufficient to cover fixed costs. This zero cutoff profit condition defines the productivity thresholds for a firm entering domestic and export markets, and in turn determines the equilibrium distribution of nonexporting firms and exporting firms, as well as their average productivities. Usually, the combination of fixed export cost and variable export cost ensures that the exporting productivity threshold is higher than that for domestic market production, i.e., only a small fraction of firms with high productivity engages in exports markets. These exporting firms supply for both domestic and export markets. Finally, the free entry of firms requires that the expected value of entry, i.e., the present value of expected average profit flows conditional on successful entry, equals the sunk cost of entry.

The model is calibrated to the GTAP (version 6) global database. It includes 19 countries/regions and 14 sectors. However, some information central to the model, such as degree of returns to scale, shape of productivity distribution, and magnitude of fixed and variable trade cost, are not available

¹ See Lloyd and Maclaren (2004) for a discussion of the three generations of CGE models.

² The paper assumes a more general specification of the utility function with a taste for variety parameter, λ , as in Benassy (1996). $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=1$ correspond to the two extreme cases of "no love of variety" and standard Dixit-Stiglitz "love of variety". Specially, we set $\lambda=0.5$ in the model calibration.

in the GTAP database. These parameters are set based mainly on a search of relevant literature. Table 1 reports some major parameters used in the model. The markup ratios are in the ranges of those chosen by Bchir, Decreux, Guerin, and Jean (2002); Forslid, Haaland, and Knarvik (2002); and Francois, van Meijl, and Togeren (2005). The choices of markup ratios, together with optimal pricing rule of monopolistic firms, imply that the substitution elasticity between differentiated varieties ranges from 5 to 11. Firm productivity is assumed to follow Pareto distribution, of which the scale parameter is calibrated to match the assumed profit ratio in gross output. The variable trade cost takes the iceberg form where around 20% of goods or services is postulated to melt away during the export transit for all sectors. This international trade cost is roughly in line with Obstfeld and Rogoff (2001) and Anderson and van Wincoop (2004). The fixed production cost and fixed trade cost are calibrated from the shares of nonexporting firms and exporting firms in total firms. The model assumes that for each sector, some 80% of firms produce only for domestic markets. The shares of exporting firms are assumed based on the ratio of exports in sectoral output.

	Markup Ratio (percent)	SUBSTITUTION ELASTICITY BETWEEN VARIETIES	Scale Parameter in Productivity Pareto Distribution
Processed foods	10	11.0	16.7
Textiles and apparel	10	11.0	16.7
Chemicals	15	7.7	11.1
Materials	15	7.7	11.1
Electrical equipment and electronics	12	9.3	13.9
Vehicles	15	7.7	11.1
Machines	15	7.7	11.1
Other manufacturing	15	7.7	11.1
Trade	25	5.0	6.7
Transportation and communication	25	5.0	6.7
Private services	25	5.0	6.7

TABLE 1MAJOR PARAMETERS IN THE MODEL

IV. SIMULATIONS AND RESULTS

Based on the analysis in Section II, four scenarios of hub-and-spoke configurations in Asia are simulated. Under the first and second scenarios, the PRC and Japan are hubs and named ChnHub and JpnHub, respectively. The third and fourth scenarios deal with the role of ASEAN. The third scenario (ASEHub1) assumes ASEAN countries have bilateral FTAs with non-ASEAN Asian countries individually, but not among themselves. This is contrasted with the fourth scenario (ASEHub2), under which ASEAN establishes its own FTA and has bilateral FTAs with other Asian countries as a whole. In each of the scenarios, all bilateral distortions, including tariff and export tax to merchandise trade between hub and spokes are eliminated, but trade barriers between spokes are retained. The

model does not take into account rules of origin that lead to higher input costs and reduction of PTA preference margin. Therefore, simulation results may overestimate the welfare effects of PTAs. Scenarios are presented that allow comparison of hub-and-spoke configurations between an Asiawide FTA (AFA) and multilateral global trade liberalization (GTL).

A. Aggregate Impacts

Tables 2 and 3 present the major aggregate results on real income, exports, and terms of trade from the various regional and global trade liberalization scenarios. The changes in real income (measured as equivalent variation) show that the Asian hub-and-spoke arrangement centered around the PRC will produce net welfare gain for the world as a whole of around \$18.5 billion, which is more than two times that from an ASEAN hub, and three times that from a Japan hub. But these gains are not distributed evenly across region. It is not strange that the countries outside of Asia suffer due to lower export demand and lower terms of trade faced by them. However, some Asian countries also suffer loss from the hub-and-spoke configuration. Typically, South Asian countries do not benefit from bilateral FTAs with the PRC and Japan, as their preliberalization levels of import protection are generally high and the existing trade linkages between South Asia and East Asia are not significant—in these cases, trade diversion will dominate. Similarly, bilateral FTAs with Japan are not beneficial for most Asian economies. Japan is relatively open for its manufacturing market and its import protection is mainly applied in the agriculture and food sectors. Only Thailand and Viet Nam gain stronger competitive advantages in the Japanese market through their bilateral FTAs with Japan, because the processed food sector accounts for relatively larger shares of their exports to Japan.

One striking feature of the welfare effects is that the PRC is adversely impacted as a regional FTA hub, and even experiences a bigger loss in the case of an Asiawide FTA. This result can be explained by the special feature of regional trade patterns in Asia. Since the 1990s, facilitated by improvement in transportation and communication services and falling trade and investment barriers, there has been a strong trend toward vertical specialization with the Asian region. This is evidenced by the increasing extent of relocation of industrial activities and massive inflows of FDI into the region, particularly the PRC. As a result, the PRC's demand for intermediate parts and components from Asia has grown sharply while its exports of final goods to advanced economies have also increased significantly. The PRC's role as an essential assembling center for many exports from Asia to the European Union (EU) and the US is reflected in the emergence of the "East Asian trade triangle".³ in which the PRC runs a sustained trade surplus with the EU and the US and a deficit of about the same magnitude with ASEAN; Korea; and Taipei, China. Under this trade pattern, the PRC's bilateral trade liberalization with its regional trade partners raises the relative price of intermediate parts and components in the final goods, resulting in a reduction in the PRC's terms of trade (Table 3). Moreover, as intra-industry trade in intermediate goods accounts for a large proportion of Asian intraregional trade, liberalization toward an Asiawide FTA would further raise the prices of intermediate goods, inducing larger deterioration in the PRC's terms of trade and welfare.

³ See Roland-Holst (2002) for a simulation analysis on development of the "East Asian trade triangle."

	СниНив	JpnHub	ASEHUB1	ASEHUB2	AFA	GTL		
Real Income (EV, S\$ millions)								
Japan	5540	7830	1656	1280	10959	24524		
PRC	-2522	-119	-413	-447	-4356	21830		
Korea	13991	-426	154	62	15732	27086		
Hong Kong, China	1462	-122	280	230	1896	4673		
Taipei,China	1206	-617	375	250	2000	2490		
Indonesia	228	-77	1120	1436	1092	1386		
Malaysia	479	-162	2352	3554	2839	3726		
Philippines	5	-79	258	574	321	479		
Singapore	489	-36	-357	448	1542	1777		
Thailand	1135	1665	4005	4611	3651	4097		
Viet Nam	209	37	1266	1912	1665	1959		
Bangladesh	-55	-46	-121	-125	-211	-114		
India	-1032	-552	898	934	-224	3697		
Sri Lanka	-4	-2	10	8	14	257		
Global	18506	5257	8549	11519	31611	146475		
Real Income (EV, percer	nt of GDP)							
Japan	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6		
PRC	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.4	1.9		
Korea	3.4	-0.1	0.0	0.0	3.8	6.5		
Hong Kong, China	0.9	-0.1	0.2	0.1	1.2	2.9		
Taipei,China	0.4	-0.2	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.9		
Indonesia	0.2	-0.1	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.0		
Malaysia	0.6	-0.2	2.7	4.1	3.3	4.3		
Philippines	0.0	-0.1	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.7		
Singapore	0.6	0.0	-0.4	0.5	1.9	2.1		
Thailand	1.0	1.5	3.6	4.1	3.3	3.7		
Viet Nam	0.7	0.1	4.0	6.0	5.2	6.2		
Bangladesh	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3	-0.3	-0.5	-0.3		
India	-0.2	-0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.8		
Sri Lanka	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.7		
Global	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.5		

TABLE 2IMPACTS ON REAL INCOME

Note: ChnHub means the PRC is a hub; JpnHub means Japan is a hub. ASEHub1 assumes ASEAN countries have bilateral FTAs with non-ASEAN Asian countries individually, but not among themselves. In ASEHub2, ASEAN establishes its own FTA and has bilateral FTAs with other Asian countries as a whole. AFA means Asiawide FTA and GTL means multilateral global trade liberalization. EV means Hicksian equivalent variation. GDP means gross domestic product.

	СниНив	JpnHub	ASEHUB1	ASEHUB2	AFA	GTL
Exports (percent change	relative to ba	ase year)				
Japan	3.2	5.4	1.8	1.6	6.3	12.1
PRC	21.4	4.1	3.4	3.3	20.4	37.5
Korea	11.0	2.2	0.8	0.7	16.1	23.7
Hong Kong, China	1.4	-0.2	-0.4	-0.4	1.3	1.6
Taipei,China	0.5	2.0	0.5	0.5	4.1	6.7
Indonesia	1.4	0.3	4.7	6.0	5.6	10.1
Malaysia	0.8	0.3	4.3	6.9	6.0	7.9
Philippines	0.5	0.9	4.1	7.3	5.9	7.1
Singapore	0.3	-0.3	-1.9	-1.8	-0.3	-3.8
Thailand	3.8	1.6	11.9	16.1	15.5	21.1
Viet Nam	6.1	6.7	29.5	33.2	31.2	39.1
Bangladesh	18.2	1.3	8.6	8.6	54.1	67.7
India	6.2	2.2	14.0	14.0	28.5	61.4
Sri Lanka	0.3	0.1	2.0	2.1	7.4	16.1
Terms of Trade (percent	change relati	ve to base ye	ar)			
Japan	1.0	0.9	-0.1	-0.2	1.6	1.3
PRC	-1.4	-0.4	-0.8	-0.9	-2.0	-2.4
Korea	-1.3	-0.5	0.0	0.0	-1.1	-1.5
Hong Kong, China	1.3	-0.1	0.6	0.4	1.8	3.3
Taipei,China	0.8	-0.6	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.4
Indonesia	0.1	-0.1	1.9	2.2	1.4	1.6
Malaysia	0.1	-0.1	0.9	0.4	-0.3	-0.6
Philippines	-0.1	-0.2	0.2	0.2	-0.2	-0.3
Singapore	0.5	0.0	-0.1	0.8	1.9	2.5
Thailand	0.4	1.4	2.4	2.4	1.3	0.6
Viet Nam	0.1	-1.3	-2.2	-0.8	-1.7	-2.4
Bangladesh	-3.1	-0.4	-1.5	-1.6	-6.6	-5.9
India	-2.0	-0.8	-2.7	-2.8	-5.3	-5.6
Sri Lanka	0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.3	-0.8	2.2

 TABLE 3

 IMPACTS ON EXPORTS AND TERMS OF TRADE (PERCENT)

Note: ChnHub means the PRC is a hub; JpnHub means Japan is a hub. ASEHub1 assumes ASEAN countries have bilateral FTAs with non-ASEAN Asian countries individually, but not among themselves. In ASEHub2, ASEAN establishes its own FTA and has bilateral FTAs with other Asian countries as a whole. AFA means Asiawide FTA and GTL means multilateral global trade liberalization.

Another factor contributing to the PRC's welfare reduction under the scenarios of ChnHub and AFA is the changes in sectoral composition of production resulting from trade liberalization policies. This is related to the effects of firm-level product differentiation and agglomeration, which are not captured in the traditional CGE models with perfect competition and national product differentiation. Japan and Korea are important markets for the PRC's agricultural exports, although these markets are highly protected. Their trade liberalization with the PRC results in expansion of the PRC's agricultural sector, diverting resources out of industrial sectors. As a consequence, the industrial sectors have to contract. Since industrial sectors are assumed to operate under increasing returns to scale technology, the contraction of industrial sectors has negative welfare implications because of the loss of agglomeration and variety effects.⁴

As a spoke country, Korea gains most from the hub-and-spoke configuration centered around the PRC. The PRC is Korea's second largest exports destination next to the United States. A bilateral FTA between the PRC and Korea can significantly boost Korea's exports, which rise by 11.0% under the scenario of ChnHub as shown in Table 3. ASEAN countries only see moderate gains from their spoke positions around the PRC in terms of both welfare improvement and export expansion. Within ASEAN, the welfare gain is relatively large for Thailand, but limited for Indonesia and the Philippines. In South Asia, Bangladesh experiences rapid export growth after a bilateral FTA with the PRC. Bangladesh's exports structure is heavily biased toward the garments sector, which is dependent on the imports of textile materials from the PRC. The removal of tariffs on textile imports from the PRC significantly reduces production costs in Bangladesh's textile and apparel sector, leading to a surge of exports in this sector. Although Bangladesh would benefit from the output expansion of textile and apparel activities, this benefit is partly offset by its worsening terms of trade, because of the geographic concentration of Bangladesh's garments exports on nonregional markets such as the EU and the US. This result suggests the limitation of a regional, preferential, free trade agreement for Bangladesh.

The hub-and-spoke configuration centered around ASEAN exerts slightly positive impact on South Asia, and generally insignificant impact on East Asia. These reflect stronger trade linkages between South Asia and Southeast Asia, and the relatively smaller economic size of ASEAN. Comparing the two scenarios of ASEHub1 and ASEHub2, intra-ASEAN trade liberalization is important for ASEAN countries—raising overall welfare gain by 50% relative to the scenario of bilateral FTAs with nonmembers only (ASEHub1). Moreover, the trade diversion effects of intra-ASEAN free trade are limited for other Asian economies—they are hardly impacted by the formation of an ASEAN free trade area.

In comparison with the standard CGE with representative firm, perfect competition, constant returns to scale, and national product differentiation, the model in this paper generally generates larger welfare gains from trade liberalization and more uneven distribution of these gains.⁵ This is because this model introduces two additional channels through which trade liberalization yields welfare gains. The first is the Dixit-Stiglitz "love-of-variety effect", i.e., welfare gains from the entry of firms and associated increase in variety. Trade liberalization tends to increase the profits of exporting firms and lower the exporting productivity threshold. As a result, new and less productive firms enter the export markets, resulting in a larger number of exporters. On the other hand, the

⁴ The same effects have been found in analyzing the impact of the Doha Round trade liberalization. See Francois, van Meijl, and Togeren (2005).

⁵ The simulation results from a perfect competition version of this model are presented in Appendix for purposes of comparision.

number of domestic firms supplied to domestic markets decreases, because the higher exposure to imports makes the less productive firms unable to survive. However, domestic consumers still enjoy greater product variety if the losses in the number of domestic suppliers are more than offset by the number of new foreign exporters. The second channel, which is elaborated by Melitz (2003), is the productivity gains from intra-industry resource reallocation. The endogenous selection process in domestic markets drives out the least productive firms, enhancing the average productivity of firms. Similarly, the entry of new exporters may also increase average productivity if they are more productive than the average productivity level. Furthermore, the market shares and profits will be reallocated following the reduction of trade costs. All firms lose a portion of their domestic markets, but exporting firms can make up for their loss of domestic sales with increased export sales. As exporting firms are more productive, this reallocation of market shares can also boost aggregate productivity.

These theoretic insights are illuminated by the simulation results. Table 4 reports the changes in the number of nonexporting firms, number of exporting firms, and average productivity of the aggregated monopolistic competition sector. In general, the regional hub and spoke trade arrangements increase the number of exporting firms, but decrease the number of nonexporting firms. Hong Kong, China and Singapore are two exceptions: the number of their exporting firms decreases in most of these trade liberalization scenarios because they typically face stronger competition from other spoke countries in the hub markets. The aggregate productivities of the monopolistic competition sector also increase following regional bilateral trade liberalization, but their magnitude is very small. Again, Hong Kong, China and Singapore may suffer a decline in aggregate productivity under some scenarios, as their new exporting firm entrants are less productive than the average productivity level due to their high number of exporting firms. Overall, the results on firm number and aggregate productivity suggest that "love-of-variety" effects from trade liberalization may dominate the aggregate productivity effects. To better understand these aggregate results, the next subsection discusses sectoral impacts.

B. Sectoral Results

Table 5 presents the changes of output by sector. For simplicity of expression, only two scenarios are reported: ChnHub and AFA. The outputs reported here are measured "at the factory gate", i.e., they are not variety scaled. These sector results indicate that if the PRC becomes a regional FTA hub, its winners are mainly the electronics and electricity equipment sector and the agriculture sector; while the automobile, machinery, and chemicals sectors are the major losers. Korea experiences a large output expansion in textiles and processing food sectors, and significant contraction in the agriculture sector. Southeast Asian countries lose their electronics industry to the PRC, but gain in machinery and chemicals. The impacts on sectoral output of South Asian countries are generally modest.

	Снинив	JpnHub	ASEHUB1	ASEHUB2	AFA	GTL
Number of Domestic Firms						
Japan	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3	-0.4
PRC	-3.5	-0.7	-0.3	-0.3	-3.2	-3.3
Korea	1.3	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	0.6	0.6
Hong Kong, China	-0.3	-0.1	-0.3	-0.1	-0.5	0.3
Taipei,China	0.0	-0.6	-0.1	-0.1	-0.8	-1.3
Indonesia	-0.1	-0.1	-2.1	-2.4	-2.3	-1.2
Malaysia	-0.1	-0.3	-3.4	-2.6	-2.2	-1.5
Philippines	-0.2	-0.3	-0.9	-1.4	-1.3	-1.6
Singapore	0.0	0.1	-0.2	-0.6	-0.7	-1.5
Thailand	-0.5	-2.7	-4.7	-5.9	-5.7	-7.4
Viet Nam	0.0	-0.8	-4.0	-5.8	-5.5	-5.9
Bangladesh	-1.5	-0.1	-0.7	-0.7	-4.5	-4.8
India	-0.3	-0.3	-0.8	-0.8	-2.3	-4.5
Sri Lanka	-0.1	0.0	-0.4	-0.4	-2.5	-5.5
Number of Exporting Firm	5					
Japan	3.5	6.9	2.5	2.3	7.8	13.0
PRC	18.6	4.1	4.0	3.9	16.8	38.2
Korea	10.9	2.2	1.5	1.4	17.6	25.6
Hong Kong, China	0.7	-0.1	0.1	0.1	1.2	1.3
Taipei,China	0.8	1.9	1.2	1.1	5.5	8.4
Indonesia	3.0	0.0	6.2	9.1	8.2	17.3
Malaysia	1.6	0.1	5.4	9.0	8.1	11.8
Philippines	0.3	0.6	4.1	5.9	4.4	6.2
Singapore	0.1	-0.2	-1.5	-1.5	-0.2	-3.4
Thailand	4.2	3.3	15.0	17.9	16.7	22.6
Viet Nam	10.3	9.6	42.3	48.7	44.0	52.8
Bangladesh	20.0	1.2	9.8	9.7	59.4	75.0
India	6.6	2.2	14.4	14.4	30.1	64.9
Sri Lanka	0.6	-0.1	1.8	1.8	7.9	12.1
Average Productivity						
Japan	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3
PRC	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.8	1.4
Korea	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.1	1.5
Hong Kong, China	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Taipei,China	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.7
Indonesia	0.1	-0.1	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.6
Malaysia	0.1	0.0	0.7	1.1	1.1	1.4
Philippines	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
Singapore	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
Thailand	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.5
Viet Nam	0.5	0.0	1.3	1.8	1.7	1.5
Bangladesh	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.2	1.0	1.2
India	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.5	1.1	2.2
Sri Lanka	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.6

TABLE 4 IMPACTS ON NUMBER OF FIRMS AND PRODUCTIVITY (PERCENT)

Note: ChnHub means the PRC is a hub; JpnHub means Japan is a hub. ASEHub1 assumes ASEAN countries have bilateral FTAs with non-ASEAN Asian countries individually, but not among themselves. In ASEHub2, ASEAN establishes its own FTA and has bilateral FTAs with other Asian countries as a whole. AFA means Asiawide FTA and GTL means multilateral global trade liberalization.

12 OCTOBER 2006

	Agri-			TEXTILE AND		
	CULTURE	Mining	Food	APPAREL	CHEMICAL	MATERIAL
ChnHub						
Japan	-1.7	-4.3	-1.4	-0.7	0.0	0.6
PRC	3.7	2.5	3.8	2.2	-3.7	-1.6
Korea	-41.3	-16.3	31.4	40.0	4.8	-1.3
Hong Kong, China	-2.2	-0.6	0.5	10.0	9.8	0.4
Taipei,China	-1.4	-4.6	-2.0	9.9	6.2	1.0
Indonesia	-0.2	-1.5	-0.3	-6.8	3.7	1.5
Malaysia	-0.9	-2.1	1.9	-1.9	7.2	1.3
Philippines	0.2	-1.3	-0.6	-2.3	1.3	0.4
Singapore	-1.3	-6.3	4.9	-13.0	1.4	2.3
Thailand	0.5	6.2	-4.7	-9.0	27.0	-1.5
Viet Nam	-0.4	-6.5	-7.3	0.1	113.5	-3.0
Bangladesh	-0.1	-1.5	-0.5	8.6	-1.1	-1.2
India	-0.1	-4.4	0.1	0.4	1.3	0.6
Sri Lanka	-0.2	0.5	-0.5	0.0	0.6	0.0
AFA						
Japan	-3.2	-6.8	-3.5	-2.3	1.6	2.6
PRC	3.6	1.7	2.3	-0.4	-3.6	-1.3
Korea	-41.0	-22.1	40.0	50.2	6.7	-1.7
Hong Kong, China	-1.8	-0.8	36.3	10.1	12.1	3.0
Taipei,China	-1.8	-8.9	-2.2	33.4	12.1	0.4
Indonesia	1.8	0.0	9.6	-10.7	0.6	1.7
Malaysia	-6.0	-1.9	66.6	27.9	0.8	0.1
Philippines	1.8	-7.8	-3.3	-0.4	3.1	0.9
Singapore	2.2	2.1	64.9	-11.0	13.1	12.6
Thailand	12.3	-10.6	32.1	-24.4	15.9	-6.7
Viet Nam	-4.8	-12.2	-6.3	62.9	99.6	-11.6
Bangladesh	0.1	-11.1	-1.8	33.7	-11.4	-17.3
India Sri Lanka	-0.5 -1.0	-2.3 -2.0	-7.0 -2.8	11.3 3.3	3.8 -0.4	1.2 10.4

 TABLE 5

 CHANGES OF SECTORAL OUTPUT (PERCENT)

continued.

TABLE 5. CONTINUED.

	ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT AND ELEC- TRONICS	VEHICLES	Machine	Other Manufac- turing	TRADE	TRANSPORT AND COMMUNI- CATION
ChnHub						
Japan	-0.4	1.9	2.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
PRC	15.7	-6.6	-3.4	-1.9	-0.7	-0.6
Korea	-6.1	1.5	-4.2	10.8	3.4	-0.3
Hong Kong, China	21.2	-6.7	48.6	4.3	-1.9	-1.9
Taipei,China	-9.9	0.8	6.8	-1.4	0.0	-0.9
Indonesia	8.9	-0.9	2.5	4.1	0.4	0.5
Malaysia	-0.7	-0.9	6.0	0.2	-0.2	-0.3
Philippines	-1.2	0.4	9.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
Singapore	-1.6	-6.0	12.5	-4.1	-0.2	-0.4
Thailand	2.3	1.3	1.4	-5.3	-0.1	-0.7
Viet Nam	-6.5	-3.3	-6.1	-2.8	3.9	0.7
Bangladesh	-4.9	-1.2	-1.9	-0.7	0.2	-1.1
India	-1.1	0.9	0.5	3.7	0.1	0.4
Sri Lanka	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.4
AFA						
Japan	-2.0	5.3	3.0	-0.2	0.1	-0.2
PRC	19.1	-6.5	-3.0	-1.1	-0.5	-0.4
Korea	-7.1	5.3	-7.7	11.7	3.6	-0.7
Hong Kong, China	17.7	-7.8	47.8	8.7	-2.5	-2.4
Taipei,China	-14.8	-2.9	6.8	-0.8	-0.2	-1.5
Indonesia	0.8	-6.6	10.3	-0.5	-0.5	-0.8
Malaysia	-8.0	-19.7	24.9	12.6	-2.0	-0.1
Philippines	-1.4	49.5	15.6	-2.1	0.6	0.0
Singapore	-9.9	-20.1	15.2	1.9	-1.1	-3.6
Thailand	8.4	-10.2	22.0	-17.5	-0.6	-2.2
Viet Nam	-20.6	-12.6	19.0	-5.5	4.6	10.9
Bangladesh	-37.6	-26.5	-15.9	-17.0	-0.5	-3.6
India Sri Lanka	0.3 2.0	-1.5 -11.8	-0.5 -7.2	11.4 1.6	0.3 -0.7	1.2 1.0

Note: ChnHub means the PRC is a hub. AFA means Asiawide FTA.

In the scenario of an Asiawide FTA, the changes in Korea's sectoral output are quite similar to that obtained from the scenario of ChnHub. This reflects the dominant role of the PRC in Korea's foreign trade. The PRC experiences a large increase of output for electronics and electricity equipment, and a decline in almost all other major manufacturing sectors. In ASEAN, Malaysia, Singapore, and Thailand gain in the processed food sector. Malaysia also expands its textile and apparel sector. Viet Nam significantly shifts its output structure toward the textile and apparel sector and the chemical sector, while the Philippines experiences output expansion in vehicles and machinery sectors. All ASEAN countries expand their machinery sector, and shrink their electronics sectors. In South Asia, larger structural adjustment occurs in Bangladesh, which sees a 33.7% increase of its textile and apparel output, and 11.4–37.6% production reduction in other manufacturing sectors.

What factors drive the above structural adjustment in production and trade? The existing pattern of import protection across Asian countries is one key determinant. For instance, the expansion of the processed food sector in ASEAN countries and the agriculture sector in the PRC and Thailand mainly result from agricultural trade liberalization in Japan and Korea. But the large output adjustment in the manufacturing sector is mainly driven by the self-reinforcing forward and backward linkages, which are emphasized by the new economic geography literature and have been used to explain industrial concentration and agglomeration. These linkages stem from the interaction of trade cost, love of variety, and the input-output linkage in production. When trade across borders incurs costs, a larger number of upstream firms in a region implies a lower price level for intermediate inputs to downstream firms in the same region. This mechanism constitutes the forward link. More downstream firms also imply a larger home market for upstream firms, which increase their sales and profits. This constitutes the backward link. The backward and forward linkages create a positive feedback for industrial agglomeration.

The simulation results for the electronics and electrical equipment sector typically reflect the forces of agglomeration. In Asia, due to the rapid rise of regional production chains, trade in electronics is dominated by intra-industry trade in intermediate goods, including parts, components, and semifinished products. Electronic products produced in Asian countries usually comprise a high proportion of value-added produced by other regional countries. For example, in the Philippines and Singapore, the own industry input accounts for around 70% of the value of their electronic outputs, and more than 95% of these industry inputs are imported. Therefore, although a relatively high substitution elasticity between varieties is assumed in the electronics and electrical equipment sector, a strong self-reinforcing forward linkage makes the agglomeration forces in this sector strong and easily triggered by the reduction of import tariff. These agglomeration effects also exist in other manufacturing sectors.

C. Implications for Broader Regional and Global Trade Liberalization

Will the hub-and-spoke configurations analyzed in the above sections facilitate a broader regional and global integration? The above simulation results suggest a pessimistic answer. By observing Table 2, three salient implications can be extracted from the comparison of welfare effects of alternative hub-and-spoke configurations with that of Asiawide FTA and global free trade. First, although Asiawide FTA induces much larger overall welfare gains than the hub and spoke arrangements, it usually represents an inferior option for the hub countries. The PRC is worse off if it loses its hub position as a result of an Asiawide FTA. ASEAN countries, except for Singapore, also lose under the scenario of an Asiawide FTA in comparison with them being a hub with the implementation

of AFTA (scenario ASEHub2). Japan can only be slightly better off with an additional real income increase of 0.1 percentage points. Therefore, these countries may have little incentive to pursue broader regional trade liberalization, given their potential to become the FTA hub.

Second, the PRC's welfare will decrease with either hub and spoke arrangements or Asiawide free trade. This result underscores that as a large and fast-growing country, the PRC's primary interests lie in global multilateral trade liberalization. Its benefits from, and enthusiasm for, regional trade arrangement may be limited.

Third, from the perspective of spoke countries, South Asian countries will lose or gain very little from an Asiawide FTA. Korea can capture most of the benefits accruing from an Asiawide FTA through bilateral agreements with its large trade partners. Therefore, an Asiawide merchandise free trade agreement may not represent the best choice for spoke countries as well.

Table 2 also shows that the welfare effects of global free trade are much larger than that of regional free trade, especially for East Asian countries. But the gains of South Asian countries and some Southeast Asian countries like the Philippines are relatively small. Bangladesh even suffers welfare loss from global trade liberalization, because of the preference erosion in the EU market under global free trade. Trade liberalization is not necessarily beneficial to every participant. Some poor, underdeveloped countries may risk being marginalized and deindustrialized during global trade liberalization. This highlights the importance of complementary structural and institutional reform in those countries as well as national and international efforts in infrastructure investment and technical cooperation. Without these efforts, some less underdeveloped countries may be endangered as net losers of global free trade.

V. DEEP INTEGRATION

The simulations discussed in the last section are limited to shallow integration, i.e., the elimination of tariff and other policy-induced distortions to merchandise trade. As mentioned, the new wave of PTAs in Asia and in the world has gone substantially beyond conventional free trade agreements and includes a number of "behind the border" issues typically not subject to WTO discipline. Some countries do have their own motivations to push forward with deep integration. Singapore is an important pusher of deep integration in the region, driven by its aspiration to become a regional hub of financial, transport, telecommunication, and education services. Trade in services is the main component of most of Singapore's FTAs and largely go beyond the General Agreement on Trade in Services commitments. For ASEAN countries, deep integration is an effective way to revitalize their competitiveness and attract investment, confronted with the prospect of the PRC's emergence (Sussangkarn 2004). In South Asia, given the high trade costs induced by poor infrastructure, weak regulatory environment, cumbersome customs procedures, etc., its regional economic integration depends heavily on adopting deep integration measures.

To evaluate the possible impacts of deep integration in regional trade arrangements in Asia, the six simulations in Section IV are repeated on the assumption that services liberalization and trade facilitation are included in bilateral free trade agreements, in addition to the removal of merchandise trade distortions. Specially, in these new simulations, it is assumed that the bilateral variable trade costs are reduced by 10% of the value of trade in the service sectors, and 5% of the value of trade in the manufacturing sector. Moreover, bilateral fixed exporting costs are also cut by 30%.

Three observations arise out of the scenarios of regional free trade agreements with deep integration (Table 6). First, the rewards of deep integration are significantly higher than that of traditional shallow integration. This is most evident from the remarkable real income changes in some of the most trade-dependent economies such as Hong Kong, China; Malaysia; and Singapore. Second, the results from the scenario of Japan as a hub stand in sharp contrast to that involving shallow integration only. Under shallow integration, only a few countries can benefit from the bilateral free trade agreement with Japan because of the relatively open market in Japan. However, through deep integration, the gains of bilateral agreement with Japan are larger for most Asian economies. ASEAN countries can receive especially large benefits because of their intensive bilateral trade. Third, there are almost no losers from trade liberalization, under either hub and spoke arrangements or regional/global free trade. The two exceptions are India and the Philippines. The Philippines is negatively affected under the ChnHub because of significant industrial relocation toward the PRC in the electronics sector. India still experiences welfare losses under the scenarios of ChnHub and JpnHub because of the weak bilateral trade linkages between India and East Asia.

The larger welfare gains arising from deep integration, and the potential Pareto improvement it brings about, make bilateral trade agreements better serving as building blocks of larger trade liberalization at the regional and global levels. Actually, some important positive externalities of deep integration are not captured by the model in this paper, and the simulation exercises may underestimate the gains of deep integration.⁶ One is the potential nondiscrimination nature of deep integration. The above simulations assume that the reduction in trade costs is applied bilaterally or to PTA members only. However, some PTA-based deep integration reforms can extend their reduction of transactions or market access costs to all trade partners. A clear example is the simplification of custom clearance procedures included in PTAs, which reduces the costs of imports from all sources. Another important positive externality is the role of deep integration in facilitating the integration of production processes across national borders. Deep integration in turn potentially facilitates technology transfer and the realization of scale economy (Evans, Holmes, Iacovone, and Robinson 2004). With these externalities, deep integration offers more opportunity to gain from PTAs, and provides new impetus to global free trade.

⁶ Hertel, Walmsley, and Itakura (2001) analyze the impact of "new age" free trade between Japan and Singapore using a modified version of the dynamic GTAP model. They find this FTA would result in global gains of US\$9 billion annually. The bulk of these gains comes from custom automization in Japan.

	Снинив	JpnHub	ASEHUB1	ASEHUB2	AFA	GTL
Real Income (EV, \$ million	ns)					
Japan	16105	45463	10776	9186	41665	84122
PRC	31837	7734	2961	2185	20673	69826
Korea	19595	2964	2379	1834	30787	52463
Hong Kong, China	10435	2063	3330	3451	17914	29960
Taipei,China	3148	2797	3358	2908	12800	19842
Indonesia	852	1476	6086	7780	6445	9093
Malaysia	1451	2125	10774	16227	13549	19149
Philippines	-86	1374	4565	6318	4882	7225
Singapore	1780	1345	6005	11177	11279	16077
Thailand	2390	4809	12164	15101	12341	16522
Viet Nam	841	615	3921	5140	4334	5480
Bangladesh	183	-21	59	19	711	1557
India	-713	-330	2493	2180	4150	17008
Sri Lanka	30	50	144	114	493	1287
Global	76542	59772	56909	70551	157061	1211800
Real Income (EV, percent	of GDP)					
Japan	0.4	1.1	0.3	0.2	1.0	2.1
PRC	2.8	0.7	0.3	0.2	1.8	6.2
Korea	4.7	0.7	0.6	0.4	7.4	12.6
Hong Kong, China	6.6	1.3	2.1	2.2	11.3	18.8
Taipei,China	1.1	1.0	1.2	1.1	4.7	7.2
Indonesia	0.6	1.0	4.3	5.5	4.5	6.4
Malaysia	1.7	2.4	12.4	18.7	15.6	22.0
Philippines	-0.1	2.0	6.6	9.2	7.1	10.5
Singapore	2.1	1.6	7.2	13.5	13.6	19.4
Thailand	2.1	4.3	10.8	13.5	11.0	14.7
Viet Nam	2.6	1.9	12.3	16.2	13.6	17.3
Bangladesh	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.6	3.4
India	-0.2	-0.1	0.5	0.5	0.9	3.7
Sri Lanka	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.7	3.2	8.3
Global	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	4.0

TABLE 6IMPACTS ON REAL INCOME (DEEP INTEGRATION)

Note: ChnHub means the PRC is a hub; JpnHub means Japan is a hub. ASEHub1 assumes ASEAN countries have bilateral FTAs with non-ASEAN Asian countries individually, but not among themselves. In ASEHub2, ASEAN establishes its own FTA and has bilateral FTAs with other Asian countries as a whole. AFA means Asiawide FTA and GTL means multilateral global trade liberalization. EV means Hicksian equivalent variation. GDP means gross domestic product.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The world trade system is increasingly evolving toward a blend of regional and multilateral disciplines. As a consequence of the proliferation of bilaterism in Asia, a range of hub and spoke trade arrangements are likely to emerge, with ASEAN, PRC, and Japan competing as regional hubs of bilateral FTAs. Using a newly developed global CGE model with particular focus on Asia, this paper explores the possible economic effects of alternative hub-and-spoke configurations in Asia. The simulation results suggest that neither these hub-and-spoke configurations nor an Asiawide FTA can become building blocks of global free trade, if the FTAs are confined to merchandise trade liberalization only. As a major regional power, the PRC's benefits from trade liberalization can only be captured through a multilateral approach. Another regional power, Japan, can only offer limited market growth potential following trade liberalization with Asian countries. South Asia can hardly gain from their free trade agreements with East Asian countries. Therefore, the regionalism approach focusing on shallow integration only does not represent an efficient way for Asian economies to maximize the benefits of trade liberalization.

The regional experience in recent years illustrates that shallow integration may be associated with the pursuit of a deeper integration agenda. Although the real effects of these deep integration measures in RTAs need to be tested by time, this paper argues that the deep integration approach provides more opportunity to gain from FTAs. Deep integration entails increased efficiency and better allocation of resources due to increased competition and lower transaction costs. It can mitigate the discriminatory nature of PTAs as some deep integration measures are applied on a nondiscriminatory basis. As more and more regional FTAs involve deep integration measures, they promise large benefits for Asian economies and will act as stepping stones toward global trade liberalization.

APPENDIX

TABLE A-1

IMPACTS ON REAL INCOME (SHALLOW INTEGRATION, CRTS MODEL)

	СниНив	JpnHub	ASEHUB2	AFA	GTL
Real Income (EV, \$ million	s)				
Japan	3844	5391	123	7345	12670
PRC	1027	218	-984	-1005	13372
Korea	7562	-620	-110	8698	16787
Hong Kong, China	1487	-149	283	1988	3899
Taipei,China	970	-710	127	1329	1379
Indonesia	-25	-72	1330	942	668
Malaysia	220	-114	2839	2070	2170
Philippines	-5	-27	427	218	177
Singapore	353	-8	487	1395	1647
Thailand	669	1378	3661	2754	2856
Viet Nam	24	3	1285	1067	1244
Bangladesh	-140	-33	-151	-411	-451
India	-1349	-539	-282	-1722	287
Sri Lanka	-16	3	-10	-30	239
Global	10034	2427	5484	16410	87408
Real Income (EV, percent of	of GDP)				
Japan	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.3
PRC	0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	1.2
Korea	1.8	-0.1	0.0	2.1	4.0
Hong Kong, China	0.9	-0.1	0.2	1.2	2.5
Taipei,China	0.4	-0.3	0.0	0.5	0.5
Indonesia	0.0	-0.1	0.9	0.7	0.5
Malaysia	0.3	-0.1	3.3	2.4	2.5
Philippines	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.3
Singapore	0.4	0.0	0.6	1.7	2.0
Thailand	0.6	1.2	3.3	2.5	2.5
Viet Nam	0.1	0.0	4.0	3.4	3.9
Bangladesh	-0.3	-0.1	-0.3	-0.9	-1.0
India	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.4	0.1
Sri Lanka	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.2	1.5
Global	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3

Note: ChnHub means the PRC is a hub; JpnHub means Japan is a hub. ASEHub1 assumes ASEAN countries have bilateral FTAs with non-ASEAN Asian countries individually, but not among themselves. In ASEHub2, ASEAN establishes its own FTA and has bilateral FTAs with other Asian countries as a whole. AFA means Asiawide FTA and GTL means multilateral global trade liberalization. EV means Hicksian equivalent variation. GDP means gross domestic product.

	СниНив	JpnHub	ASEHUB1	ASEHUB2	AFA	GTL		
Exports (percent change re	Exports (percent change relative to base year)							
Japan	3.3	5.7	1.6	1.5	6.2	11.0		
PRC	20.4	4.0	3.2	3.1	19.2	34.5		
Korea	9.7	2.0	0.9	0.8	14.5	20.5		
Hong Kong, China	1.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	1.1	1.2		
Taipei,China	1.0	1.8	0.8	0.7	4.5	7.1		
Indonesia	1.2	0.2	5.0	6.3	5.5	8.8		
Malaysia	0.8	0.2	4.4	6.5	5.7	7.1		
Philippines	0.6	0.6	3.7	6.4	5.3	6.8		
Singapore	0.2	-0.1	-1.1	-0.8	0.2	-1.7		
Thailand	3.3	4.1	11.5	14.6	13.7	18.5		
Viet Nam	5.1	5.1	24.3	28.2	26.2	32.1		
Bangladesh	16.8	1.0	6.8	6.8	46.9	58.2		
India	6.0	2.2	13.1	12.9	27.2	60.1		
Sri Lanka	0.2	0.0	1.6	1.6	6.6	13.3		
Terms of Trade (percent ch	ange relative to	base year)						
Japan	0.9	0.9	0.0	-0.1	1.6	1.2		
PRC	-0.4	-0.2	-0.5	-0.5	-1.1	-1.9		
Korea	-0.8	-0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.6	-0.9		
Hong Kong, China	1.2	-0.1	0.4	0.2	1.7	3.1		
Taipei,China	0.8	-0.6	0.1	0.0	0.9	0.7		
Indonesia	0.1	-0.1	1.9	2.3	1.4	1.7		
Malaysia	0.1	-0.1	1.4	1.0	0.3	-0.1		
Philippines	-0.1	-0.1	0.2	0.4	-0.1	-0.3		
Singapore	0.4	0.0	-0.3	0.6	1.7	2.0		
Thailand	0.5	1.0	2.6	2.7	1.6	1.1		
Viet Nam	0.3	-0.4	0.0	0.5	-0.5	-0.9		
Bangladesh	-2.2	-0.3	-0.9	-1.0	-5.2	-5.6		
India	-1.0	-0.5	-2.3	-2.4	-3.7	-5.4		
Sri Lanka	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.4	-0.7	2.6		

 TABLE A-2

 IMPACTS ON EXPORTS AND TERMS OF TRADE (SHALLOW INTEGRATION, CRTS MODEL)

Note: ChnHub means the PRC is a hub; JpnHub means Japan is a hub. ASEHub1 assumes ASEAN countries have bilateral FTAs with non-ASEAN Asian countries individually, but not among themselves. In ASEHub2, ASEAN establishes its own FTA and has bilateral FTAs with other Asian countries as a whole. AFA means Asiawide FTA and GTL means multilateral global trade liberalization.

	СниНив	JpnHub	ASEHUB1	ASEHUB2	AFA	GTL
Real Income (EV, \$ million	ns)					
Japan	10685	31478	6064	4654	27609	51425
PRC	26447	6631	1443	909	18568	46729
Korea	11189	1671	1368	973	18914	34382
Hong Kong, China	7681	1269	2470	2320	13324	21457
Taipei,China	2160	1646	2054	1678	8688	13504
Indonesia	298	1512	4933	5934	4941	5634
Malaysia	722	1430	8254	11733	9495	12398
Philippines	18	877	2742	3825	2952	4239
Singapore	1075	923	3987	7799	8035	11776
Thailand	1310	3373	8245	10193	8166	10718
Viet Nam	463	402	2536	3604	3057	3817
Bangladesh	19	-6	-10	-44	247	700
India	-1299	-277	657	459	1333	9488
Sri Lanka	10	47	108	82	338	1018
Global	48211	38850	33252	41280	98417	840150
Real Income (EV, percent	of GDP)					
Japan	0.3	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.7	1.3
PRC	2.3	0.6	0.1	0.1	1.6	4.1
Korea	2.7	0.4	0.3	0.2	4.5	8.2
Hong Kong, China	4.8	0.8	1.6	1.5	8.4	13.5
Taipei,China	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.6	3.2	4.9
Indonesia	0.2	1.1	3.5	4.2	3.5	4.0
Malaysia	0.8	1.6	9.5	13.5	10.9	14.3
Philippines	0.0	1.3	4.0	5.6	4.3	6.2
Singapore	1.3	1.1	4.8	9.4	9.7	14.2
Thailand	1.2	3.0	7.3	9.1	7.3	9.6
Viet Nam	1.5	1.3	8.0	11.3	9.6	12.0
Bangladesh	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.5	1.5
India	-0.3	-0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	2.0
Sri Lanka	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.5	2.2	6.6
Global	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	2.8

 TABLE A-3

 IMPACTS ON REAL INCOME (DEEP INTEGRATION, CRTS MODEL)

Note: ChnHub means the PRC is a hub; JpnHub means Japan is a hub. ASEHub1 assumes ASEAN countries have bilateral FTAs with non-ASEAN Asian countries individually, but not among themselves. In ASEHub2, ASEAN establishes its own FTA and has bilateral FTAs with other Asian countries as a whole. AFA means Asiawide FTA and GTL means multilateral global trade liberalization. EV means Hicksian equivalent variation. GDP means gross domestic product.

REFERENCES

Anderson, J. E., and E. van Wincoop. 2004. "Trade Costs." Journal of Economic Literature 42(3):691-751.

- Asian Development Bank. 2002. "Preferential Trade Agreements in Asian and the Pacific." In Asian Development Outlook 2002. Manila, Philippines.
- Baldwin, R. E. 2002. "Asian Regionalism: Promises and Pitfalls." Paper presented at the Korea Institute for International Economic Policy Conference on Prospects for Economic Cooperation in East Asia, 27 September, Seoul.
- ———. 2004. The Spoke Trap: Hub-and-Spoke Bilateralism in East Asia. CNAEC Research Series 04-02, Korea Institute for International Economic Policy, Seoul.
- Bchir, H., Y. Decreux, J. L. Guerin, and S. Jean. 2002. MIRAGE, a Computable General Equilibrium Model for Trade Policy Analysis. CEPII Working Paper No. 17, Centre d'Etudes Prospectives et d'Info Internationales, Paris.
- Benassy, J. P. 1996. "Taste for Variety and Optimum Production Patterns in Monopolistic Competition." *Economics Letters* 52:41–7.
- Bernard, A. B., J. Eaton, J. Bradford Jensen, and S. S. Kortum. 2003. "Plant and Productivity in International Trade." *American Economic Review* 93(4):1268–90.
- Bhagwati, J. 1991. The World Trading System at Risk. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press
- Bhagwati, J., D. Greenway, and A. Panagariya. 1998. "Trading Preferentially: Theory and Policy." *The Economic Journal* 108:1128–48.
- Brown, D. K., A. V. Deardorff, and R. M. Stern. 2002. "CGE Modeling and Analysis of Multilateral and Regional Negotiating Options." In R. M. Stern, ed., *Issues and Options for US–Japan Trade Policies*. Michigan: University of Michigan Press.
- Burfisher, M. E., S. Robinson, and K. Thierfelder. 2004. Regionalism: Old and New, Theory and Practice. MTID Discussion Paper No.65, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Dixit, A., and J. Stiglitz. 1977 "Monopolistic Competition and Optimum Product Diversity." *American Economic Review* 67:297–308.
- Evans, D., P. Holmes, L. Iacovone, and S. Ronbinson. 2004. A Framework for Evaluating Regional Trade Arrangement: Deep Integration and New Regionalism. University of Sussex, United Kingdom. Processed.
- Feridhanusetyawan, T. 2005. Preferential Trade Agreements in the Asia-Pacific Region. IMF Working Paper 05/149, International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.
- Findlay, C., H. Piei, and M. Pangestu. 2003. Trading with Favourites: Free Trade Agreements in the Asia Pacific. Pacific Economic Papers No. 335, Australia-Japan Research Centre, Australia National University.
- Forslid, R., J. I. Haaland, and K. H. Midelfart Knarvik. 2002. "A U-shaped Europe?: A Simulation Study of Industrial Location." *Journal of International Economics* 57:273-97.
- Francois, J., H. van Meijl, and F. van Tongeren. 2005. "Trade Liberalization in the Doha Development Round." Economic Policy 20(42):349-91.
- Gasiorek, M., A. Smith, and A. J. Venables. 1992. Trade and Welfare: A General Equilibrium Model. CEPR Discussion Paper No. 672, Centre for Economic Policy Research, London.
- Harrison, G. W., T. F. Rutherford, and D. G. Tarr. 1997. "Quantifying the Uruguay Round." *Economic Journal* 107(444):1405–30.
- Hertel, T. W., ed. 1997. *Global Trade Analysis: Modeling and Applications*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

- Hertel, T., T. Walmsley, and K. Itakura. 2001. "Dynamic Effects of the 'New Age' Free Trade Agreement between Japan and Singapore." *Journal of Economic Integration* 24:1019–49.
- Hummels, D., and P. Klenow. 2005. "The Variety and Quality of National Exports." *American Economic Review* 95(3):704–23.
- Krugman, P. 1979. "Increasing Returns, Monopolistic Competition and International Trade." *Journal of International Economics* 9:469–79.
- Kumar, N. 2005. Regional Trading Arrangements (RTAs) in the World and Asia: Options for India. RIS Policy Brief No. 17, Research and Information System for Developing Countries, New Delhi.
- Laird, S. 1999. "Regional Trade Agreements: Dangerous Liaisons?" The World Economy 22:1179-200.
- Lloyd, P. 2002. "New Bilateralism in the Asia-Pacific." The World Economy 25:1279-96.
- Lloyd, P., and D. Maclaren. 2004. "Gains and Losses from Regional Trading Agreements: A Survey." *The Economic Record* 80(251):445–67.
- Melitz, M. J. 2003. "The Impact of Trade on Intra-Industry Reallocations and Aggregate Industry Productivity." *Econometrica* 71(6):1695–725.
- Obstfeld, M., and K. Rogoff. 2000. "The Six Major Puzzles in International Macroeconomics. Is There a Common Cause?" In B. S. Bernanke and K. Rogoff, eds., *NBER Macroeconomics Annual 2000*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Panagariya, A. 1999. "The Regionalism Debate: An Overview." The World Economy 22:477-511.
- _____. 2000. "Preferential Trade Liberalization: The Traditional Theory and New Development." *Journal of Economic Literature* 37:123–345.
 - _____. 2003. "South Asia: Do Preferential Trade Liberalization Make Sense?" *The World Economy* 26:1279–91.

_____. 2004. "India's Trade Reform: Progress, Impact and Future Strategy." India Policy Forum, National Council for Applied Economic Research. Processed.

- Puga, D., and A. Venables. 1997. "Preferential Trading Arrangements and Industrial Location." *Journal of International Economics* 43:347–68.
- Roland-Holst, D. 2002. An Overview of the PRC's Emergence and East Asian Trade Patterns to 2020. Research Paper No. 44, Asian Development Bank Institute, Tokyo.
- Shoven, J. B., and J. Whalley. 1992. *Applying General Equilibrium*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sussangkarn, C. 2004. "The Emergence of China and ASEAN Revitalization." Paper prepared for the Annual Bank Conference on Development Economics-Europe, 10–11 May, Brussels, Belgium.
- van der Mensbrugghe, D. 2005. "LINKAGE Technical Reference Document." Economic Policy and Prospects Group, The World Bank, Washington, DC. Processed.
- Viner, J. 1950. The Custom Union Issues. Carnegie Foundation for International Peace, New York.
- Yeaple, S. R. 2002. "A Simple Model of Firm Heterogeneity, International Trade and Wages." University of Pennsylvania. Processed.

PUBLICATIONS FROM THE ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

ERD WORKING PAPER SERIES (WPS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

No. 1	Capitalizing on Globalization —Barry Eichengreen, January 2002
No. 2	Policy-based Lending and Poverty Reduction: An Overview of Processes, Assessment and Options — <i>Richard Bolt and Manabu Fujimura, January 2002</i>
No. 3	The Automotive Supply Chain: Global Trends and Asian Perspectives
No. 4	—Francisco Veloso and Rajiv Kumar, January 2002 International Competitiveness of Asian Firms: An Analytical Framework
No. 5	Rajiv Kumar and Doren Chadee, February 2002 The International Competitiveness of Asian Economies in the Apparel Commodity Chain
No. 6	-Gary Gereffi, February 2002 Monetary and Financial Cooperation in East Asia- The Chiang Mai Initiative and Beyond -Pradumna B. Rana, February 2002
No. 7	Probing Beneath Cross-national Averages: Poverty, Inequality, and Growth in the Philippines —Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia, March 2002
No. 8	Poverty, Growth, and Inequality in Thailand —Anil B. Deolalikar, April 2002
No. 9	Microfinance in Northeast Thailand: Who Benefits and How Much? —Brett E. Coleman, April 2002
No. 10	Poverty Reduction and the Role of Institutions in Developing Asia —Anil B. Deolalikar, Alex B. Brilliantes, Jr., Raghav Gaiha, Ernesto M. Pernia, Mary Racelis with the assistance of Marita Concepcion Castro-Guevara, Liza L. Lim,
No. 11	Pilipinas F. Quising, May 2002 The European Social Model: Lessons for Developing Countries
No. 12	 —Assar Lindbeck, May 2002 Costs and Benefits of a Common Currency for ASEAN —Srinivasa Madhur, May 2002
No. 13	Monetary Cooperation in East Asia: A Survey —Raul Fabella, May 2002
No. 14	Toward A Political Economy Approach to Policy-based Lending —George Abonyi, May 2002
No. 15	A Framework for Establishing Priorities in a Country Poverty Reduction Strategy —Ron Duncan and Steve Pollard, June 2002
No. 16	The Role of Infrastructure in Land-use Dynamics and Rice Production in Viet Nam's Mekong River Delta — <i>Christopher Edmonds, July 2002</i>
No. 17	Effect of Decentralization Strategy on Macroeconomic Stability in Thailand —Kanokpan Lao-Araya, August 2002
No. 18	Poverty and Patterns of Growth —Rana Hasan and M. G. Quibria, August 2002
No. 19	Why are Some Countries Richer than Others? A Reassessment of Mankiw-Romer-Weil's Test of the Neoclassical Growth Model —Jesus Felipe and John McCombie, August 2002
No. 20	Modernization and Son Preference in People's Republic of China —Robin Burgess and Juzhong Zhuang, September 2002
No. 21	The Doha Agenda and Development: A View from the Uruguay Round
No. 22	-J. Michael Finger, September 2002 Conceptual Issues in the Role of Education Decentralization in Promoting Effective Schooling in Asian Developing Countries -Jere R. Behrman, Anil B. Deolalikar, and Lee-Ying Son, September 2002
No. 23	Promoting Effective Schooling through Education

No. 23 Promoting Effective Schooling through Education Decentralization in Bangladesh, Indonesia, and

	Philippines —Jere R. Behrman, Anil B. Deolalikar, and Lee-Ying Son,
	September 2002
No. 24	Financial Opening under the WTO Agreement in Selected
	Asian Countries: Progress and Issues
	—Yun-Hwan Kim, September 2002
No. 25	Revisiting Growth and Poverty Reduction in Indonesia:
	What Do Subnational Data Show?
	-Arsenio M. Balisacan, Ernesto M. Pernia,
NL 06	and Abuzar Asra, October 2002
No. 26	Causes of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis: What Can an Early Warning System Model Tell Us?
	<i>—Juzhong Zhuang and J. Malcolm Dowling, October 2002</i>
No. 27	Digital Divide: Determinants and Policies with Special
	Reference to Asia
	—M. G. Quibria, Shamsun N. Ahmed, Ted Tschang,
	and Mari-Len Reyes-Macasaquit, October 2002
No. 28	Regional Cooperation in Asia: Long-term Progress,
	Recent Retrogression, and the Way Forward
NL 20	-Ramgopal Agarwala and Brahm Prakash, October 2002
No. 29	How can Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Viet Nam Cope with Revenue Lost Due to AFTA Tariff Reductions?
	-Kanokpan Lao-Araya, November 2002
No. 30	Asian Regionalism and Its Effects on Trade in the 1980s
	and 1990s
	-Ramon Clarete, Christopher Edmonds, and
	Jessica Seddon Wallack, November 2002
No. 31	New Economy and the Effects of Industrial Structures on
	International Equity Market Correlations
N= 20	-Cyn-Young Park and Jaejoon Woo, December 2002
No. 32	Leading Indicators of Business Cycles in Malaysia and the Philippines
	-Wenda Zhang and Juzhong Zhuang, December 2002
No. 33	Technological Spillovers from Foreign Direct
	Investment—A Survey
	—Emma Xiaoqin Fan, December 2002
No. 34	Economic Openness and Regional Development in the
	Philippines
No. 35	<i>—Ernesto M. Pernia and Pilipinas F. Quising, January 2003</i> Bond Market Development in East Asia: Issues and
NO. 35	Challenges
	-Raul Fabella and Srinivasa Madhur, January 2003
No. 36	Environment Statistics in Central Asia: Progress and
	Prospects
	-Robert Ballance and Bishnu D. Pant, March 2003
No. 37	Electricity Demand in the People's Republic of China:
	Investment Requirement and Environmental Impact
No. 38	<i>—Bo Q. Lin, March 2003</i> Foreign Direct Investment in Developing Asia: Trends,
140. 50	Effects, and Likely Issues for the Forthcoming WTO
	Negotiations
	—Douglas H. Brooks, Emma Xiaoqin Fan,
	and Lea R. Sumulong, April 2003
No. 39	The Political Economy of Good Governance for Poverty
	Alleviation Policies
No. 40	-Narayan Lakshman, April 2003
No. 40	The Puzzle of Social Capital A Critical Review — <i>M. G. Quibria, May 2003</i>
No. 41	Industrial Structure, Technical Change, and the Role of
	change, and are readed

- Government in Development of the Electronics and Information Industry in Taipei, China —Yeo Lin, May 2003
- No. 42 Economic Growth and Poverty Reduction in Viet Nam —Arsenio M. Balisacan, Ernesto M. Pernia, and Gemma Esther B. Estrada, June 2003
- No. 43 Why Has Income Inequality in Thailand Increased? An Analysis Using 1975-1998 Surveys —Taizo Motonishi, June 2003

No. 44	Welfare Impacts of Electricity Generation Sector Reform in the Philippines —Natsuko Toba, June 2003
No. 45	A Review of Commitment Savings Products in Developing Countries —Nava Ashraf, Nathalie Gons, Dean S. Karlan,
No. 46	and Wesley Yin, July 2003 Local Government Finance, Private Resources, and Local Credit Markets in Asia
No. 47	-Roberto de Vera and Yun-Hwan Kim, October 2003 Excess Investment and Efficiency Loss During Reforms: The Case of Provincial-level Fixed-Asset Investment in People's Republic of China
No. 48	Duo Qin and Haiyan Song, October 2003 Is Export-led Growth Passe? Implications for Developing Asia
No. 49	-Jesus Felipe, December 2003 Changing Bank Lending Behavior and Corporate Financing in Asia-Some Research Issues -Emma Xiaoqin Fan and Akiko Terada-Hagiwara, December 2003
No. 50	Is People's Republic of China's Rising Services Sector Leading to Cost Disease? —Duo Qin, March 2004
No. 51	Poverty Estimates in India: Some Key Issues —Savita Sharma, May 2004
No. 52	Restructuring and Regulatory Reform in the Power Sector: Review of Experience and Issues —Peter Choynowski, May 2004
No. 53	Competitiveness, Income Distribution, and Growth in the Philippines: What Does the Long-run Evidence Show? —Jesus Felipe and Grace C. Sipin, June 2004
No. 54	Practices of Poverty Measurement and Poverty Profile of Bangladesh —Faizuddin Ahmed, August 2004
No. 55	Experience of Asian Asset Management Companies: Do They Increase Moral Hazard? —Evidence from Thailand —Akiko Terada-Hagiwara and Gloria Pasadilla,
No. 56	September 2004 Viet Nam: Foreign Direct Investment and Postcrisis
110100	Regional Integration —Vittorio Leproux and Douglas H. Brooks, September 2004
No. 57	Practices of Poverty Measurement and Poverty Profile of Nepal —Devendra Chhetry, September 2004
No. 58	Monetary Poverty Estimates in Sri Lanka: Selected Issues —Neranjana Gunetilleke and Dinushka Senanayake, October 2004
No. 59	Labor Market Distortions, Rural-Urban Inequality, and the Opening of People's Republic of China's Economy —Thomas Hertel and Fan Zhai, November 2004
No. 60	Measuring Competitiveness in the World's Soviet Economies: Introducing the SSMECI —Ganeshan Wignaraja and David Joiner, November 2004
No. 61	Foreign Exchange Reserves, Exchange Rate Regimes, and Monetary Policy: Issues in Asia
No. 62	 —Akiko Terada-Hagiwara, January 2005 A Small Macroeconometric Model of the Philippine Economy —Geoffrey Ducanes, Marie Anne Cagas, Duo Qin, Pilipinas Quising, and Nedelyn Magtibay-Ramos,
No. 63	January 2005 Developing the Market for Local Currency Bonds by Foreign Issuers: Lessons from Asia
No. 64	<i>—Tobias Hoschka, February 2005</i> Empirical Assessment of Sustainability and Feasibility of Government Debt: The Philippines Case
	-Duo Qin, Marie Anne Cagas, Geoffrey Ducanes, Nedelyn Magtibay-Ramos, and Pilipinas Quising, February 2005
No. 65	Poverty and Foreign Aid Evidence from Cross-Country Data
	—Abuzar Asra, Gemma Estrada, Yangseom Kim, and M. G. Quibria, March 2005

No. 66	Measuring Efficiency of Macro Systems: An Application
	to Millennium Development Goal Attainment
	-Ajay Tandon, March 2005

- No. 67 Banks and Corporate Debt Market Development —Paul Dickie and Emma Xiaoqin Fan, April 2005
- No. 68 Local Currency Financing—The Next Frontier for MDBs?
- *—Tobias C. Hoschka, April 2005* No. 69 Export or Domestic-Led Growth in Asia?
- —Jesus Felipe and Joseph Lim, May 2005
 No. 70 Policy Reform in Viet Nam and the Asian Development Bank's State-owned Enterprise Reform and Corporate Governance Program Loan
 —George Abonyi, August 2005
- No. 71 Policy Reform in Thailand and the Asian Development Bank's Agricultural Sector Program Loan —George Abonyi, September 2005
- No. 72 Can the Poor Benefit from the Doha Agenda? The Case of Indonesia
- —Douglas H. Brooks and Guntur Sugiyarto, October 2005
 No. 73 Impacts of the Doha Development Agenda on People's Republic of China: The Role of Complementary Education Reforms
 - -Fan Zhai and Thomas Hertel, October 2005
- No. 74 Growth and Trade Horizons for Asia: Long-term Forecasts for Regional Integration —David Roland-Holst, Jean-Pierre Verbiest, and Fan Zhai, November 2005
- No. 75 Macroeconomic Impact of HIV/AIDS in the Asian and Pacific Region —Ajay Tandon, November 2005
- No. 76 Policy Reform in Indonesia and the Asian Development Bank's Financial Sector Governance Reforms Program Loan
 —George Abonyi, December 2005
- No. 77 Dynamics of Manufacturing Competitiveness in South Asia: Analysis through Export Data —Hans-Peter Brunner and Massimiliano Calì, December 2005
- No. 78 Trade Facilitation
- —Teruo Ujiie, January 2006
 No. 79 An Assessment of Cross-country Fiscal Consolidation
 —Bruno Carrasco and Seung Mo Choi, February 2006
- No. 80 Central Asia: Mapping Future Prospects to 2015 —Malcolm Dowling and Ganeshan Wignaraja, April 2006
- No. 81 A Small Macroeconometric Model of the People's Republic of China
 —Duo Qin, Marie Anne Cagas, Geoffrey Ducanes, Nedelyn Magtibay-Ramos, Pilipinas Quising, Xin-Hua He, Rui Liu, and Shi-Guo Liu, June 2006
- No. 82 Institutions and Policies for Growth and Poverty Reduction: The Role of Private Sector Development —Rana Hasan, Devashish Mitra, and Mehmet Ulubasoglu, July 2006
- No. 83 Preferential Trade Agreements in Asia: Alternative Scenarios of "Hub and Spoke" —Fan Zhai, October 2006

ERD POLICY BRIEF SERIES (PBS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

(·····
No. 1	Is Growth Good Enough for the Poor? —Ernesto M. Pernia, October 2001
No. 2	India's Economic Reforms What Has Been
	Accomplished? What Remains to Be Done? —Arvind Panagariya, November 2001
No. 3	Unequal Benefits of Growth in Viet Nam
	—Indu Bhushan, Erik Bloom, and Nguyen Minh Thang, January 2002
No. 4	Is Volatility Built into Today's World Economy?
NL 5	-J. Malcolm Dowling and J.P. Verbiest, February 2002
No. 5	What Else Besides Growth Matters to Poverty Reduction? Philippines
	-Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia,
	February 2002
No. 6	Achieving the Twin Objectives of Efficiency and Equity: Contracting Health Services in Cambodia
	—Indu Bhushan, Sheryl Keller, and Brad Schwartz,
	March 2002
No. 7	Causes of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis:
	What Can an Early Warning System Model Tell Us? —Juzhong Zhuang and Malcolm Dowling, June 2002
No. 8	The Role of Preferential Trading Arrangements in Asia
	-Christopher Edmonds and Jean-Pierre Verbiest, July 2002
No. 9	The Doha Round: A Development Perspective
	—Jean-Pierre Verbiest, Jeffrey Liang, and Lea Sumulong, July 2002
No. 10	Is Economic Openness Good for Regional Development
	and Poverty Reduction? The Philippines
NT. 11	-E. M. Pernia and Pilipinas Quising, October 2002
No. 11	Implications of a US Dollar Depreciation for Asian Developing Countries
	—Emma Fan, July 2002
No. 12	Dangers of Deflation
No. 13	<i>—D. Brooks and Pilipinas Quising, December 2002</i> Infrastructure and Poverty Reduction—What is the
NO. 15	Connection?
	—Ifzal Ali and Ernesto Pernia, January 2003
No. 14	Infrastructure and Poverty Reduction—
	Making Markets Work for the Poor —Xianbin Yao, May 2003
No. 15	SARS: Economic Impacts and Implications
	—Emma Xiaoqin Fan, May 2003
No. 16	Emerging Tax Issues: Implications of Globalization and Technology
	—Kanokpan Lao Araya, May 2003
No. 17	Pro-Poor Growth: What is It and Why is It Important?
N 10	-Ernesto M. Pernia, May 2003
No. 18	Public–Private Partnership for Competitiveness —Jesus Felipe, June 2003
No. 19	Reviving Asian Economic Growth Requires Further
	Reforms
No. 20	— <i>Ifzal Ali, June 2003</i> The Millennium Development Goals and Poverty:
NO. 20	Are We Counting the World's Poor Right?
	—M. G. Quibria, July 2003
No. 21	Trade and Poverty: What are the Connections?
No. 22	— <i>Douglas H. Brooks, July 2003</i> Adapting Education to the Global Economy
10.22	-Olivier Dupriez, September 2003
No. 23	Avian Flu: An Economic Assessment for Selected
	Developing Countries in Asia
No. 25	<i>—Jean-Pierre Verbiest and Charissa Castillo, March 2004</i> Purchasing Power Parities and the International
- 10. 20	Comparison Program in a Globalized World
	-Bishnu Pant, March 2004
No. 26	A Note on Dual/Multiple Exchange Rates
No. 27	<i>—Emma Xiaoqin Fan, May 2004</i> Inclusive Growth for Sustainable Poverty Reduction in

No. 27 Inclusive Growth for Sustainable Poverty Reduction in Developing Asia: The Enabling Role of Infrastructure

Development —Ifzal Ali and Xianbin Yao, May 2004 No. 28 Higher Oil Prices: Asian Perspectives and Implications for 2004-2005 -Cyn-Young Park, June 2004 No. 29 Accelerating Agriculture and Rural Development for Inclusive Growth: Policy Implications for Developing Asia -Richard Bolt, July 2004 No. 30 Living with Higher Interest Rates: Is Asia Ready? -Cyn-Young Park, August 2004 Reserve Accumulation, Sterilization, and Policy Dilemma No. 31 —Akiko Terada-Hagiwara, October 2004 The Primacy of Reforms in the Emergence of No. 32 People's Republic of China and India -Ifzal Ali and Emma Xiaoqin Fan, November 2004 No. 33 Population Health and Foreign Direct Investment: Does Poor Health Signal Poor Government Effectiveness? —Ajay Tandon, January 2005 No. 34 Financing Infrastructure Development: Asian Developing Countries Need to Tap Bond Markets More Rigorously -Yun-Hwan Kim, February 2005 No. 35 Attaining Millennium Development Goals in Health: Isn't Economic Growth Enough? -Ajay Tandon, March 2005 No. 36 Instilling Credit Culture in State-owned Banks-Experience from Lao PDR -Robert Boumphrey, Paul Dickie, and Samiuela Tukuafu, April 2005 No. 37 Coping with Global Imbalances and Asian Currencies -Cyn-Young Park, May 2005 No. 38 Asia's Long-term Growth and Integration: Reaching beyond Trade Policy Barriers -Douglas H. Brooks, David Roland-Holst, and Fan Zhai, September 2005 Competition Policy and Development No. 39

- —Douglas H. Brooks, October 2005
 No. 40 Highlighting Poverty as Vulnerability: The 2005 Earthquake in Pakistan
 —Rana Hasan and Ajay Tandon, October 2005
- No. 41 Conceptualizing and Measuring Poverty as Vulnerability: Does It Make a Difference?

--Ajay Tandon and Rana Hasan, October 2005 No. 42 Potential Economic Impact of an Avian Flu Pandemic on

Asia —Erik Bloom, Vincent de Wit, and Mary Jane Carangal-San Jose, November 2005

- No. 43 Creating Better and More Jobs in Indonesia: A Blueprint for Policy Action
- --Guntur Sugiyarto, December 2005 No. 44 The Challenge of Job Creation in Asia
- --Jesus Felipe and Rana Hasan, April 2006 No. 45 International Payments Imbalances

—Jesus Felipe, Frank Harrigan, and Aashish Mehta, April 2006

No. 46 Improving Primary Enrollment Rates among the Poor —Ajay Tandon, August 2006

ERD TECHNICAL NOTE SERIES (TNS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

No. 1	Contingency Calculations for Environmental Impacts with Unknown Monetary Values
	-David Dole, February 2002
No. 2	Integrating Risk into ADB's Economic Analysis
	of Projects
	—Nigel Rayner, Anneli Lagman-Martin, and Keith Ward,
	June 2002
No. 3	Measuring Willingness to Pay for Electricity
	-Peter Choynowski, July 2002
No. 4	Economic Issues in the Design and Analysis of a
	Wastewater Treatment Project
	-David Dole, July 2002

- An Analysis and Case Study of the Role of Environmental No. 5 Economics at the Asian Development Bank -David Dole and Piya Abeygunawardena, September 2002
- No. 6 Economic Analysis of Health Projects: A Case Study in Cambodia
- -Erik Bloom and Peter Choynowski, May 2003 No. 7 Strengthening the Economic Analysis of Natural Resource Management Projects
 - -Keith Ward, September 2003
- No. 8 Testing Savings Product Innovations Using an Experimental Methodology -Nava Ashraf, Dean S. Karlan, and Wesley Yin, November 2003
- No. 9 Setting User Charges for Public Services: Policies and Practice at the Asian Development Bank -David Dole, December 2003

SPECIAL STUDIES, COMPLIMENTARY

(Available through ADB Office of External Relations)

- 1. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Overview September 1985
- 2. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Bangladesh July 1986
- 3. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Sri Lanka April 1987
- 4. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: India December 1987
- 5. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries:
- Overview January 1988
- Study of Selected Industries: A Brief Report April 1988 6.
- 7. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Bangladesh June 1988
- 8. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: India June 1988
- 9. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Indonesia June 1988
- 10. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Nepal June 1988
- 11. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Pakistan June 1988
- 12. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Philippines June 1988
- 13. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Thailand June 1988
- 14. Towards Regional Cooperation in South Asia: ADB/EWC Symposium on Regional Cooperation in South Asia February 1988
- 15. Evaluating Rice Market Intervention Policies: Some Asian Examples April 1988
- 16. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development:
 - Nepal November 1988
- 17. Foreign Trade Barriers and Export Growth September 1988
- 18. The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Industries in the Industrial Development of the Philippines April 1989

- No. 10 Beyond Cost Recovery: Setting User Charges for Financial, Economic, and Social Goals -David Dole and Ian Bartlett, January 2004
- No. 11 Shadow Exchange Rates for Project Economic Analysis: Toward Improving Practice at the Asian Development Bank
- –Anneli Lagman-Martin, February 2004 No. 12 Improving the Relevance and Feasibility of Agriculture and Rural Development Operational Designs: How Economic Analyses Can Help -Richard Bolt, September 2005
- Assessing the Use of Project Distribution and Poverty No. 13 Impact Analyses at the Asian Development Bank -Franklin D. De Guzman, October 2005
- Assessing Aid for a Sector Development Plan: Economic No. 14 Analysis of a Sector Loan -David Dole, November 2005
- Debt Management Analysis of Nepal's Public Debt No. 15 -Sungsup Ra, Changyong Rhee, and Joon-Ho Hahm, December 2005
- Evaluating Microfinance Program Innovation with No. 16 Randomized Control Trials: An Example from Group Versus Individual Lending —Xavier Giné, Tomoko Harigaya,Dean Karlan, and Binh T. Nguyen, March 2006
- No. 17 Setting User Charges for Urban Water Supply: A Case Study of the Metropolitan Cebu Water District in the Philippines -David Dole and Edna Balucan, June 2006
- 19. The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Industries in Industrial Development: The Experience of Selected Asian Countries January 1990
- 20. National Accounts of Vanuatu, 1983-1987 January 1990
- 21. National Accounts of Western Samoa, 1984-1986 February 1990
- 22. Human Resource Policy and Economic Development: Selected Country Studies July 1990
- 23. Export Finance: Some Asian Examples September 1990
- 24. National Accounts of the Cook Islands, 1982-1986 September 1990
- 25. Framework for the Economic and Financial Appraisal of Urban Development Sector Projects January 1994
- 26. Framework and Criteria for the Appraisal and Socioeconomic Justification of Education Projects January 1994
- 27. Investing in Asia 1997 (Co-published with OECD)
- 28. The Future of Asia in the World Economy 1998 (Co-published with OECD)
- 29. Financial Liberalisation in Asia: Analysis and Prospects 1999 (Co-published with OECD)
- 30. Sustainable Recovery in Asia: Mobilizing Resources for Development 2000 (Co-published with OECD)
- 31. Technology and Poverty Reduction in Asia and the Pacific 2001 (Co-published with OECD)
- 32. Asia and Europe 2002 (Co-published with OECD)
- 33. Economic Analysis: Retrospective 2003
- 34. Economic Analysis: Retrospective: 2003 Update 2004
- 35. Development Indicators Reference Manual: Concepts and Definitions 2004
- 35. Investment Climate and Productivity Studies Philippines: Moving Toward a Better Investment Climate 2005 The Road to Recovery: Improving the Investment Climate in Indonesia 2005 Sri Lanka: Improving the Rural and Urban Investment Climate 2005

OLD MONOGRAPH SERIES

(Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

EDRC REPORT SERIES (ER)

No. 1	ASEAN and the Asian Development Bank	No. 25	A Study on the
No. 2	—Seiji Naya, April 1982 Development Issues for the Developing East and Southeast		Analysis —Jungsoo Lee
10.2	Asian Countries and International Cooperation	No. 26	Alternatives to 1
	—Seiji Naya and Graham Abbott, April 1982	110.20	Agricultural Sec
No. 3	Aid, Savings, and Growth in the Asian Region		—Jennifer Sour,
	-J. Malcolm Dowling and Ulrich Hiemenz, April 1982	No. 27	Economic Scen
No. 4	Development-oriented Foreign Investment and the Role		—Kedar N. Koh
	of ADB	No. 28	The Effect of Te
	—Kiyoshi Kojima, April 1982		Payments and R
No. 5	The Multilateral Development Banks and the International		Countries
	Economy's Missing Public Sector	NL 20	—Jungsoo Lee
No. 6	<i>—John Lewis, June 1982</i> Notes on External Debt of DMCs	No. 29	Cause and Effect
10.0	-Evelyn Go, July 1982		Some Empirical
No. 7	Grant Element in Bank Loans	No. 30	Sources of Bala
11017	—Dal Hyun Kim, July 1982	1101.00	The Asian Expe
No. 8	Shadow Exchange Rates and Standard Conversion Factors		—Pradumna Ra
	in Project Evaluation	No. 31	India's Manufac
	—Peter Warr, September 1982		Sectors
No. 9	Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Establishments		—Ifzal Ali, Febr
	in ASEAN Countries: Perspectives and Policy Issues	No. 32	Meeting Basic I
N. 10	-Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, January 1983	NL 22	—Jungsoo Lee
No. 10	A Note on the Third Ministerial Meeting of GATT	No. 33	The Impact of F
No. 11	<i>—Jungsoo Lee, January 1983</i> Macroeconomic Forecasts for the Republic of China,		Economic Grow —Evelyn Go, M
140.11	Hong Kong, and Republic of Korea	No. 34	The Climate for
	-J.M. Dowling, January 1983	110. 51	and Asian Regio
No. 12	ASEAN: Economic Situation and Prospects		-V.V. Desai, Ap
	—Seiji Naya, March 1983	No. 35	Impact of Appre
No. 13	The Future Prospects for the Developing Countries of Asia		Countries of the
	—Seiji Naya, March 1983		—Jungsoo Lee,
No. 14	Energy and Structural Change in the Asia—Pacific Region,	No. 36	Smuggling and
	Summary of the Thirteenth Pacific Trade and Development		Countries
	Conference	NL 27	-A.H.M.N. Che
No. 15	— <i>Seiji Naya, March 1983</i> A Survey of Empirical Studies on Demand for Electricity	No. 37	Public Investme Return and Equ
10.15	with Special Emphasis on Price Elasticity of Demand		—Ifzal Ali, Nov
	<i>—Wisarn Pupphavesa, June 1983</i>	No. 38	Review of the T
No. 16	Determinants of Paddy Production in Indonesia: 1972-1981–		An Application
	A Simultaneous Equation Model Approach		—M.G. Quibria
	—T.K. Jayaraman, June 1983	No. 39	Factors Influence
No. 17	The Philippine Economy: Economic Forecasts for 1983		Local and Forei
	and 1984		—E.M. Pernia d
	–J.M. Dowling, E. Go, and C.N. Castillo, June 1983	No. 40	A Demographic
No. 18	Economic Forecast for Indonesia		Its Relevance to
	–J.M. Dowling, H.Y. Kim, Y.K. Wang, and C.N. Castillo, June 1983	No. 41	<i>—E.M. Pernia,</i> Emerging Issue
No. 19	Relative External Debt Situation of Asian Developing	10.41	—I. Ali, Septem
110.17	Countries: An Application of Ranking Method	No. 42	Shifting Reveal
	-Jungsoo Lee, June 1983		Asian and Pacif
No. 20	New Evidence on Yields, Fertilizer Application, and Prices		-P.B. Rana, No
	in Asian Rice Production	No. 43	Agricultural Pri
	—William James and Teresita Ramirez, July 1983		Reforms
No. 21	Inflationary Effects of Exchange Rate Changes in Nine		—I. Ali, Novemi
	Asian LDCs	No. 44	Service Trade a
	—Pradumna B. Rana and J. Malcolm Dowling, Jr.,	NL 45	—M.G. Quibria
No. 22	December 1983 Effects of External Shocks on the Balance of Payments	No. 45	A Review of the in Asia and Ider
10.22	Effects of External Shocks on the Balance of Payments, Policy Responses, and Debt Problems of Asian Developing		—I. Ali, Novemi
	Countries	No. 46	Growth Perspec
	–Seiji Naya, December 1983	1101 10	Areas for Policy
No. 23	Changing Trade Patterns and Policy Issues: The Prospects		—I. Ali, Novemi
	for East and Southeast Asian Developing Countries	No. 47	An Approach to
	—Seiji Naya and Ulrich Hiemenz, February 1984		of an Agricultur
No. 24	Small-Scale Industries in Asian Economic Development:		—I. Ali, Januar
	Problems and Prospects		
	—Seiji Naya, February 1984		

- External Debt Indicators Applying Logit
- and Clarita Barretto, February 1984 Institutional Credit Programs in the
- ctor of Low-Income Countries March 1984 e in Asia and Its Special Features
- hli, November 1984
- erms of Trade Changes on the Balance of Real National Income of Asian Developing
- and Lutgarda Labios, January 1985 ct in the World Sugar Market:
- Findings 1951-1982 asaki, February 1985
- ance of Payments Problem in the 1970s: erience ana, February 1985
- ctured Exports: An Analysis of Supply
- ruary 1985
- Human Needs in Asian Developing Countries and Emma Banaria, March 1985
- Foreign Capital Inflow on Investment and wth in Developing Asia tay 1985
- Energy Development in the Pacific on: Priorities and Perspectives pril 1986
- eciation of the Yen on Developing Member Bank
 - Pradumna Rana, and Ifzal Ali, May 1986 Domestic Economic Policies in Developing
- owdhury, October 1986
- ent Criteria: Economic Internal Rate of alizing Discount Rate ember 1986
- Theory of Neoclassical Political Economy: to Trade Policies , December 1986
- cing the Choice of Location: ign Firms in the Philippines and A.N. Herrin, February 1987
- Perspective on Developing Asia and the Bank
- May 1987 s in Asia and Social Cost Benefit Analysis ber 1988
- led Comparative Advantage: Experiences of fic Developing Countries ovember 1988
- ice Policy in Asia: Issues and Areas of
 - ber 1988
- nd Asian Developing Economies ı, October 1989
- e Economic Analysis of Power Projects ntification of Areas of Improvement ber 1989
- ctive and Challenges for Asia: y Review and Research ber 1989
- Estimating the Poverty Alleviation Impact ral Project y 1990

No. 48	Economic Growth Performance of Indonesia, the
	Philippines, and Thailand: The Human Resource Dimension
	—E.M. Pernia, January 1990
No. 49	Foreign Exchange and Fiscal Impact of a Project:
	A Methodological Framework for Estimation
	—I. Ali, February 1990
No. 50	Public Investment Criteria: Financial and Economic Internal
	Rates of Return
	—I. Ali, April 1990
No. 51	Evaluation of Water Supply Projects: An Economic
	Framework
	—Arlene M. Tadle, June 1990
No. 52	Interrelationship Between Shadow Prices, Project
	Investment, and Policy Reforms: An Analytical Framework
	—I. Ali, November 1990
No. 53	Issues in Assessing the Impact of Project and Sector
	Adjustment Lending
	—I. Ali, December 1990
No. 54	Some Aspects of Urbanization and the Environment in
	Southeast Asia
	-Ernesto M. Pernia, January 1991
No. 55	Financial Sector and Economic Development: A Survey
	—Jungsoo Lee, September 1991

- No. 56 A Framework for Justifying Bank-Assisted Education Projects in Asia: A Review of the Socioeconomic Analysis and Identification of Areas of Improvement —Etienne Van De Walle, February 1992
- No. 57 Medium-term Growth-Stabilization Relationship in Asian Developing Countries and Some Policy Considerations —Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1993

ECONOMIC STAFF PAPERS (ES)

- No. 1 International Reserves: Factors Determining Needs and Adequacy —Evelyn Go, May 1981
- No. 2 Domestic Savings in Selected Developing Asian Countries —Basil Moore, assisted by A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury, September 1981
- No. 3 Changes in Consumption, Imports and Exports of Oil Since 1973: A Preliminary Survey of the Developing Member Countries of the Asian Development Bank —Dal Hyun Kim and Graham Abbott, September 1981
- No. 4 By-Passed Areas, Regional Inequalities, and Development Policies in Selected Southeast Asian Countries —William James, October 1981
- No. 5 Asian Agriculture and Economic Development —William James, March 1982
- No. 6 Inflation in Developing Member Countries: An Analysis of Recent Trends —A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury and J. Malcolm Dowling,

March 1982

- No. 7 Industrial Growth and Employment in Developing Asian Countries: Issues and Perspectives for the Coming Decade —Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1982
- No. 8 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part 1: Regional Adjustments and the World Economy —Burnham Campbell, April 1982
- No. 9 Developing Asia: The Importance of Domestic Policies —Economics Office Staff under the direction of Seiji Naya, May 1982
- No. 10 Financial Development and Household Savings: Issues in Domestic Resource Mobilization in Asian Developing Countries —Wan-Soon Kim, July 1982
- No. 11 Industrial Development: Role of Specialized Financial Institutions —Kedar N. Kohli, August 1982

- No. 58 Urbanization, Population Distribution, and Economic Development in Asia —*Ernesto M. Pernia, February 1993*
- No. 59 The Need for Fiscal Consolidation in Nepal: The Results of a Simulation
- *—Filippo di Mauro and Ronald Antonio Butiong, July 1993* No. 60 A Computable General Equilibrium Model of Nepal
- —Timothy Buehrer and Filippo di Mauro, October 1993
 No. 61 The Role of Government in Export Expansion in the Republic of Korea: A Revisit
- -Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1994 No. 62 Rural Reforms, Structural Change, and Agricultural Growth
- in the People's Republic of China *—Bo Lin, August 1994* No. 63 Incentives and Regulation for Pollution Abatement with
- an Application to Waste Water Treatment —Sudipto Mundle, U. Shankar, and Shekhar Mehta, October 1995
- No. 64 Saving Transitions in Southeast Asia —Frank Harrigan, February 1996
- No. 65 Total Factor Productivity Growth in East Asia: A Critical Survey
- —Jesus Felipe, September 1997
 No. 66 Foreign Direct Investment in Pakistan: Policy Issues and Operational Implications
 —Ashfaque H. Khan and Yun-Hwan Kim, July 1999
- No. 67 Fiscal Policy, Income Distribution and Growth —Sailesh K. Jha, November 1999
- No. 12 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980.
 Part II: Debt Problems and an Evaluation of Suggested Remedies
 —Burnham Campbell, September 1982
- No. 13 Credit Rationing, Rural Savings, and Financial Policy in Developing Countries
- —William James, September 1982
 No. 14 Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Establishments in ASEAN Countries: Perspectives and Policy Issues
- --Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1983 No. 15 Income Distribution and Economic Growth in Developing Asian Countries
- *—J. Malcolm Dowling and David Soo, March 1983* No. 16 Long-Run Debt-Servicing Capacity of Asian Developing
- Countries: An Application of Critical Interest Rate Approach —Jungsoo Lee, June 1983
- No. 17 External Shocks, Energy Policy, and Macroeconomic Performance of Asian Developing Countries: A Policy Analysis —William James, July 1983
- No. 18 The Impact of the Current Exchange Rate System on Trade and Inflation of Selected Developing Member Countries —Pradumna Rana, September 1983
- No. 19 Asian Agriculture in Transition: Key Policy Issues —William James, September 1983
- No. 20 The Transition to an Industrial Economy in Monsoon Asia —Harry T. Oshima, October 1983
- No. 21 The Significance of Off-Farm Employment and Incomes in Post-War East Asian Growth
- *—Harry T. Oshima, January 1984* No. 22 Income Distribution and Poverty in Selected Asian Countries
- —John Malcolm Dowling, Jr., November 1984 No. 23 ASEAN Economies and ASEAN Economic Cooperation —Narongchai Akrasanee, November 1984

No. 24	Economic Analysis of Power Projects
	-Nitin Desai, January 1985
No. 25	Exports and Economic Growth in the Asian Region
NL 26	—Pradumna Rana, February 1985
No. 26	Patterns of External Financing of DMCs
No. 27	<i>—E. Go, May 1985</i> Industrial Technology Development the Republic of Korea
NO. 27	-S.Y. Lo, July 1985
No. 28	Risk Analysis and Project Selection: A Review of Practical
	Issues
	—J.K. Johnson, August 1985
No. 29	Rice in Indonesia: Price Policy and Comparative Advantage
	—I. Ali, January 1986
No. 30	Effects of Foreign Capital Inflows on Developing Countries
	of Asia
	—Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna B. Rana, and Yoshihiro Iwasaki,
	April 1986
No. 31	Economic Analysis of the Environmental
	Impacts of Development Projects
	-John A. Dixon et al., EAPI, East-West Center, August 1986
No. 32	Science and Technology for Development: Role of the Bank
No. 33	-Kedar N. Kohli and Ifzal Ali, November 1986
INO. 55	Satellite Remote Sensing in the Asian and Pacific Region —Mohan Sundara Rajan, December 1986
No. 34	Changes in the Export Patterns of Asian and Pacific
10. 54	Developing Countries: An Empirical Overview
	—Pradumna B. Rana, January 1987
No. 35	Agricultural Price Policy in Nepal
1101.00	—Gerald C. Nelson, March 1987
No. 36	Implications of Falling Primary Commodity
	Prices for Agricultural Strategy in the Philippines
	—Ifzal Ali, September 1987
No. 37	Determining Irrigation Charges: A Framework
	-Prabhakar B. Ghate, October 1987
No. 38	The Role of Fertilizer Subsidies in Agricultural
	Production: A Review of Select Issues
	—M.G. Quibria, October 1987
No. 39	Domestic Adjustment to External Shocks
	in Developing Asia
	-Jungsoo Lee, October 1987
No. 40	Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization
	through Financial Development: Indonesia
No. 41	— <i>Philip Erquiaga, November 1987</i> Recent Trends and Issues on Foreign Direct
NO. 41	Investment in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries
	—P.B. Rana, March 1988
No. 42	Manufactured Exports from the Philippines:
110. 72	A Sector Profile and an Agenda for Reform
	-I. Ali, September 1988
No. 43	A Framework for Evaluating the Economic
	Benefits of Power Projects
	—I. Ali, August 1989

No. 44	Promotion of Manufactured Exports in Pakistan
	-Jungsoo Lee and Yoshihiro Jwasaki September 1989

- No. 45 Education and Labor Markets in Indonesia: A Sector Survey
- *—Ernesto M. Pernia and David N. Wilson, September 1989* No. 46 Industrial Technology Capabilities and Policies in Selected
- ADCs —Hiroshi Kakazu, June 1990
- No. 47 Designing Strategies and Policies for Managing Structural Change in Asia —Ifzal Ali, June 1990
- No. 48 The Completion of the Single European Community Market in 1992: A Tentative Assessment of its Impact on Asian Developing Countries
- —J.P. Verbiest and Min Tang, June 1991
 No. 49 Economic Analysis of Investment in Power Systems
 —Ifzal Ali, June 1991
- No. 50 External Finance and the Role of Multilateral Financial Institutions in South Asia: Changing Patterns, Prospects, and Challenges —Jungsoo Lee, November 1991
- No. 51 The Gender and Poverty Nexus: Issues and Policies —*M.G. Quibria, November 1993*
- No. 52 The Role of the State in Economic Development: Theory, the East Asian Experience, and the Malaysian Case —Jason Brown, December 1993
- No. 53 The Economic Benefits of Potable Water Supply Projects to Households in Developing Countries —Dale Whittington and Venkateswarlu Swarna, January 1994
- No. 54 Growth Triangles: Conceptual Issues and Operational Problems
- *—Min Tang and Myo Thant, February 1994* No. 55 The Emerging Global Trading Environment and Developing Asia
- —Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria, and Narhari Rao, July 1996
- No. 56 Aspects of Urban Water and Sanitation in the Context of Rapid Urbanization in Developing Asia —Ernesto M. Pernia and Stella LF. Alabastro, September 1997
- No. 57 Challenges for Asia's Trade and Environment —Douglas H. Brooks, January 1998
- No. 58 Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects-A Review of Issues, Methods, and Approaches —Ramesh Adhikari, Paul Gertler, and Anneli Lagman, March 1999
- No. 59 The Asian Crisis: An Alternate View —*Rajiv Kumar and Bibek Debroy, July 1999*
- No. 60 Social Consequences of the Financial Crisis in Asia —James C. Knowles, Ernesto M. Pernia, and Mary Racelis, November 1999

OCCASIONAL PAPERS (OP)

- No. 1 Poverty in the People's Republic of China: Recent Developments and Scope for Bank Assistance *—K.H. Moinuddin, November 1992* No. 2 The Eastern Islands of Indonesia: An Overview
- of Development Needs and Potential
 —Brien K. Parkinson, January 1993 No. 3 Rural Institutional Finance in Bangladesh and Nepal: Review and Agenda for Reforms
 —A.H.M.N. Chowdhury and Marcelia C. Garcia,
- November 1993 No. 4 Fiscal Deficits and Current Account Imbalances
- of the South Pacific Countries: A Case Study of Vanuatu —T.K. Jayaraman, December 1993
- No. 5 Reforms in the Transitional Economies of Asia —*Pradumna B. Rana, December 1993*
- No. 6 Environmental Challenges in the People's Republic of China and Scope for Bank Assistance —Elisabetta Capannelli and Omkar L. Shrestha, December 1993
- No. 7 Sustainable Development Environment and Poverty Nexus —K.F. Jalal, December 1993
- No. 8 Intermediate Services and Economic Development: The Malaysian Example —Sutanu Behuria and Rahul Khullar, May 1994
- No. 9 Interest Rate Deregulation: A Brief Survey of the Policy Issues and the Asian Experience —*Carlos J. Glower, July 1994*
- No. 10 Some Aspects of Land Administration in Indonesia: Implications for Bank Operations
- —Sutanu Behuria, July 1994
 No. 11 Demographic and Socioeconomic Determinants of Contraceptive Use among Urban Women in the Melanesian Countries in the South Pacific: A Case Study of Port Vila Town in Vanuatu
 —T.K. Jayaraman, February 1995

- No. 12 Managing Development through Institution Building — Hilton L. Root, October 1995
- No. 13 Growth, Structural Change, and Optimal Poverty Interventions
- -Shiladitya Chatterjee, November 1995 No. 14 Private Investment and Macroeconomic Environment in the
- South Pacific Island Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis —*T.K. Jayaraman, October 1996*
- No. 15 The Rural-Urban Transition in Viet Nam: Some Selected Issues
- —Sudipto Mundle and Brian Van Arkadie, October 1997
 No. 16 A New Approach to Setting the Future Transport Agenda
 —Roger Allport, Geoff Key, and Charles Melhuish,
- June 1998 No. 17 Adjustment and Distribution: The Indian Experience
- -Sudipto Mundle and V.B. Tulasidhar, June 1998 No. 18 Tax Reforms in Viet Nam: A Selective Analysis
- —Sudipto Mundle, December 1998
 No. 19 Surges and Volatility of Private Capital Flows to Asian Developing Countries: Implications for Multilateral Development Banks
 —Pradumna B. Rana, December 1998
- No. 20 The Millennium Round and the Asian Economies: An Introduction
 - —Dilip K. Das, October 1999
- No. 21 Occupational Segregation and the Gender Earnings Gap —Joseph E. Zveglich, Jr. and Yana van der Meulen Rodgers, December 1999
- No. 22 Information Technology: Next Locomotive of Growth? —*Dilip K. Das, June 2000*

STATISTICAL REPORT SERIES (SR)

- No. 1 Estimates of the Total External Debt of the Developing Member Countries of ADB: 1981-1983 —I.P. David, September 1984
- No. 2 Multivariate Statistical and Graphical Classification Techniques Applied to the Problem of Grouping Countries —*I.P. David and D.S. Maligalig, March 1985*
- No. 3 Gross National Product (GNP) Measurement Issues in South Pacific Developing Member Countries of ADB —S.G. Tiwari, September 1985
- No. 4 Estimates of Comparable Savings in Selected DMCs —Hananto Sigit, December 1985
- No. 5 Keeping Sample Survey Design and Analysis Simple —I.P. David, December 1985
- No. 6 External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries —I.P. David and Jungsoo Lee, March 1986
- No. 7 Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South Pacific Developing Member Countries.
 Part I: Existing National Accounts of SPDMCs–Analysis of Methodology and Application of SNA Concepts
 —P. Hodgkinson, October 1986
- No. 8 Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South Pacific Developing Member Countries.
 Part II: Factors Affecting Intercountry Comparability of Per Capita GNP
 —P. Hodgkinson, October 1986
- No. 9 Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries, 1985
 —Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1987

- No. 10 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries, 1986 —Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1988
- No. 11 Changing Pattern of Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries
- —Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, March 1989
 No. 12 The State of Agricultural Statistics in Southeast Asia
 —I.P. David, March 1989
- No. 13 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1987-1988 —Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, July 1989
- No. 14 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1988-1989 —Jungsoo Lee, May 1990
- No. 15 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1989-1992 —*Min Tang, June 1991*
- No. 16 Recent Trends and Prospects of External Debt Situation and Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries —*Min Tang and Aludia Pardo, June 1992*
- No. 17 Purchasing Power Parity in Asian Developing Countries: A Co-Integration Test —Min Tang and Ronald Q. Butiong, April 1994
- No. 18 Capital Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: Recent Trends and Future Prospects
 —Min Tang and James Villafuerte, October 1995

SERIALS

(Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

- 1. Asian Development Outlook (ADO; annual) \$36.00 (paperback)
- 2. Key Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries (KI; annual) \$35.00 (paperback)
- 3. Asian Development Review (ADR; semiannual) \$5.00 per issue; \$10.00 per year (2 issues)

SPECIAL STUDIES, CO-PUBLISHED

(Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Edward Elgar Publishing, and Palgrave MacMillan)

FROM OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS: Oxford University Press (China) Ltd 18th Floor, Warwick House East Taikoo Place, 979 King's Road Quary Bay, Hong Kong Tel (852) 2516 3222 Fax (852) 2565 8491 E-mail: webmaster@oupchina.com.hk Web: www.oupchina.com.hk

- Informal Finance: Some Findings from Asia Prabhu Ghate et. al., 1992 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Mongolia: A Centrally Planned Economy in Transition Asian Development Bank, 1992 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Rural Poverty in Asia, Priority Issues and Policy Options Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1994 \$25.00 (paperback)
- Growth Triangles in Asia: A New Approach to Regional Economic Cooperation Edited by Myo Thant, Min Tang, and Hiroshi Kakazu 1st ed., 1994 \$36.00 (hardbound) Revised ed., 1998 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994 \$18.00 (paperback)
- Critical Issues in Asian Development: Theories, Experiences, and Policies Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback) \$36.00 (hardbound)
- Financial Sector Development in Asia Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$50.00 (hardbound)
- Financial Sector Development in Asia: Country Studies Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Fiscal Management and Economic Reform in the People's Republic of China Christine P.W. Wong, Christopher Heady, and Wing T. Woo, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback)
- From Centrally Planned to Market Economies: The Asian Approach Edited by Pradumna B. Rana and Naved Hamid, 1995 Vol. 1: Overview \$36.00 (hardbound) Vol. 2: People's Republic of China and Mongolia \$50.00 (hardbound) Vol. 3: Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Viet Nam \$50.00 (hardbound)

- Current Issues in Economic Development: An Asian Perspective Edited by M.G. Quibria and J. Malcolm Dowling, 1996 \$50.00 (hardbound)
- The Bangladesh Economy in Transition Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1997 \$20.00 (hardbound)
- The Global Trading System and Developing Asia Edited by Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria, and Narhari Rao, 1997 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Social Sector Issues in Transitional Economies of Asia Edited by Douglas H. Brooks and Myo Thant, 1998 \$25.00 (paperback) \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Intergovernmental Fiscal Transfers in Asia: Current Practice and Challenges for the Future Edited by Yun-Hwan Kim and Paul Smoke, 2003 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Local Government Finance and Bond Markets *Edited by Yun-Hwan Kim*, 2003 \$15.00 (paperback)

FROM EDWARD ELGAR: Marston Book Services Limited PO Box 269, Abingdon Oxon OX14 4YN, United Kingdom Tel +44 1235 465500 Fax +44 1235 465555 Email: direct.order@marston.co.uk Web: www.marston.co.uk

 Reducing Poverty in Asia: Emerging Issues in Growth, Targeting, and Measurement Edited by Christopher M. Edmonds, 2003

FROM PALGRAVE MACMILLAN: Palgrave Macmillan Ltd Houndmills, Basingstoke Hampshire RG21 6XS, United Kingdom Tel: +44 (0)1256 329242 Fax: +44 (0)1256 479476 Email: orders@palgrave.com Web: www.palgrave.com/home/

- 1. Labor Markets in Asia: Issues and Perspectives Edited by Jesus Felipe and Rana Hasan, 2006
- 2. Competition Policy and Development in Asia Edited by Douglas H. Brooks and Simon Evenett, 2005
- Managing FDI in a Globalizing Economy Asian Experiences Edited by Douglas H. Brooks and Hal Hill, 2004
- Poverty, Growth, and Institutions in Developing Asia Edited by Ernesto M. Pernia and Anil B. Deolalikar, 2003

SPECIAL STUDIES, IN-HOUSE

(Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

- Rural Poverty in Developing Asia *Edited by M.G. Quibria* Vol. 1: Bangladesh, India, and Sri Lanka, 1994 \$35.00 (paperback) Vol. 2: Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Philippines, and Thailand, 1996 \$35.00 (paperback)
- Gender Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries Asian Development Bank, 1993 \$25.00 (paperback)
- External Shocks and Policy Adjustments: Lessons from the Gulf Crisis Edited by Naved Hamid and Shahid N. Zahid, 1995
- \$15.00 (paperback)4. Indonesia-Malaysia-Thailand Growth Triangle:
- Theory to Practice Edited by Myo Thant and Min Tang, 1996 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Emerging Asia: Changes and Challenges Asian Development Bank, 1997 \$30.00 (paperback)
- 6. Asian Exports Edited by Dilip Das, 1999 \$35.00 (paperback) \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Development of Environment Statistics in Developing Asian and Pacific Countries
 - Asian Development Bank, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
- Mortgage-Backed Securities Markets in Asia Edited by S.Ghon Rhee & Yutaka Shimomoto, 1999 \$35.00 (paperback)
- 9. Rising to the Challenge in Asia: A Study of Financial Markets Asian Development Bank
 - Vol. 1: An Overview, 2000 \$20.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 2: Special Issues, 1999 \$15.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 3: Sound Practices, 2000 \$25.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 4: People's Republic of China, 1999 \$20.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 5: India, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 6: Indonesia, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 7: Republic of Korea, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 8: Malaysia, 1999 \$20.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 9: Pakistan, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 10: Philippines, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 11: Thailand, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 12: Socialist Republic of Viet Nam, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
- Corporate Governance and Finance in East Asia: A Study of Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand J. Zhuang, David Edwards, D. Webb, & Ma. Virginita Capulong
 - Vol. 1: A Consolidated Report,2000 \$10.00 (paperback)Vol. 2: Country Studies,2001 \$15.00 (paperback)

- 11. Financial Management and Governance Issues Asian Development Bank, 2000 Cambodia \$10.00 (paperback) \$10.00 (paperback) People's Republic of China Mongolia \$10.00 (paperback) Pakistan \$10.00 (paperback) Papua New Guinea \$10.00 (paperback) Uzbekistan \$10.00 (paperback) \$10.00 (paperback) Viet Nam Selected Developing Member Countries \$10.00 (paperback) Government Bond Market Development in Asia 12. Edited by Yun-Hwan Kim, 2001 \$25.00 (paperback)
- Intergovernmental Fiscal Transfers in Asia: Current Practice and Challenges for the Future Edited by Paul Smoke and Yun-Hwan Kim, 2002 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects Asian Development Bank, 1997 \$10.00 (paperback)
- Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Telecommunications Projects Asian Development Bank, 1997
 - \$10.00 (paperback)
- Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects Asian Development Bank, 1999 \$10.00 (hardbound)
- Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects Asian Development Bank, 2000 \$10.00 (paperback)
- Handbook for Integrating Povery Impact Assessment in the Economic Analysis of Projects Asian Development Bank, 2001 \$10.00 (paperback)
- Handbook for Integrating Risk Analysis in the Economic Analysis of Projects Asian Development Bank, 2002 \$10.00 (paperback)
- 20. Handbook on Environment Statistics *Asian Development Bank, 2002 \$10.00 (hardback)*
- Defining an Agenda for Poverty Reduction, Volume 1 Edited by Christopher Edmonds and Sara Medina, 2002 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Defining an Agenda for Poverty Reduction, Volume 2 *Edited by Isabel Ortiz, 2002* \$15.00 (paperback)
- Economic Analysis of Policy-based Operations: Key Dimensions Asian Development Bank, 2003 \$10.00 (paperback)

Preferential Trade Agreements in Asia: Alternative Scenarios of "Hub and Spoke"

Fan Zhai analyzes the impact of alternative hub-and-spoke regional free trade arrangements in Asia. Although the regionalism approach focusing on shallow integration can hardly act as a building block of global trade liberalization in the Asian context, regional trade agreements involving deep integration provide a promising path toward global free trade.

About the Asian Development Bank

The work of the Asian Development Bank (ADB) is aimed at improving the welfare of the people in Asia and the Pacific, particularly the 1.9 billion who live on less than \$2 a day. Despite many success stories, Asia and the Pacific remains home to two thirds of the world's poor. ADB is a multilateral development finance institution owned by 64 members, 46 from the region and 18 from other parts of the globe. ADB's vision is a region free of poverty. Its mission is to help its developing member countries reduce poverty and improve the quality of life of their citizens.

ADB's main instruments for providing help to its developing member countries are policy dialogue, loans, technical assistance, grants, guarantees, and equity investments. ADB's annual lending volume is typically about \$6 billion, with technical assistance usually totaling about \$180 million a year.

ADB's headquarters is in Manila. It has 26 offices around the world and has more than 2,000 employees from over 50 countries.

Asian Development Bank 6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City 1550 Metro Manila, Philippines www.adb.org/economics ISSN: 1655-5252 Publication Stock No.