

Qin, Duo; Cagas, Marie Anne; Ducanes, Geoffrey; Magtibay-Ramos, Nedelyn;
Quising, Pilipinas

Working Paper

Empirical Assessment of Sustainability and Feasibility of Government Debt: The Philippines Case

ERD Working Paper Series, No. 64

Provided in Cooperation with:

Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila

Suggested Citation: Qin, Duo; Cagas, Marie Anne; Ducanes, Geoffrey; Magtibay-Ramos, Nedelyn; Quising, Pilipinas (2005) : Empirical Assessment of Sustainability and Feasibility of Government Debt: The Philippines Case, ERD Working Paper Series, No. 64, Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila,
<https://hdl.handle.net/11540/1892>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/109267>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo>

ERD Working Paper

ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

SERIES

No. 64

Empirical Assessment of Sustainability and Feasibility of Government Debt: The Philippines Case

Duo Qin, Marie Anne Cagas, Geoffrey Ducanes,
Nedelyn Magtibay-Ramos, and Pilipinas Quising

February 2005

Asian Development Bank

ERD Working Paper No. 64

Empirical Assessment of Sustainability and Feasibility of Government Debt: The Philippines Case

DUO QIN, MARIE ANNE CAGAS, GEOFFREY DUCANES, NEDELYN MAGTIBAY-RAMOS
AND PILIPINAS QUISING

February 2005

Duo Qin is an economist, Marie Anne Cagas and Geoffrey Ducanes are consultants, and Nedelyn Magtibay-Ramos and Pilipinas Quising are economic analysts in the Economics and Research Department, Asian Development Bank. The authors thank W. Bikales, X-L. Liu, R. S. Ondrik, and other ADB colleagues for valuable comments on an earlier draft.

Asian Development Bank
P.O. Box 789
0980 Manila
Philippines

©2005 by Asian Development Bank
February 2005
ISSN 1655-5252

The views expressed in this paper
are those of the author(s) and do not
necessarily reflect the views or policies
of the Asian Development Bank.

FOREWORD

The ERD Working Paper Series is a forum for ongoing and recently completed research and policy studies undertaken in the Asian Development Bank or on its behalf. The Series is a quick-disseminating, informal publication meant to stimulate discussion and elicit feedback. Papers published under this Series could subsequently be revised for publication as articles in professional journals or chapters in books.

CONTENTS

Abstract	vii
I. Introduction	1
II. The Fiscal and Government Debt Situation in the Philippines	1
III. Theories of Government Debt Sustainability and Feasibility	5
IV. Empirical Tests of Government Debt Sustainability and Feasibility	8
V. Conclusions	19
Appendix: Data Description and Sources	20
References	21

ABSTRACT

This paper assesses empirically the sustainability and feasibility of the government debt in the Philippines using the No Ponzi Game criterion. Both historical data and forecasts generated by a quarterly macroeconometric model of the Philippines are used in the assessment. Stochastic simulations are carried out to mimic future uncertainty. The test results show that, up to the end of the present administration in 2010, the Philippine government debt is not sustainable but weakly feasible, that the feasibility is vulnerable to major adverse shocks, and that simple budgetary deficit control policy is inadequate for achieving debt sustainability or strengthening feasibility.

I. INTRODUCTION

The huge public debt in the Philippines has raised serious and growing concerns about the ability of the Philippine government to manage its debt obligations and the long-run sustainability of government fiscal policy. Several studies done on the Philippines have shown, by detailed analyses of the fiscal position over recent years, how the country's public debt has been fluctuating from sustainable to unsustainable levels (see e.g., Paderanga 1995 and 2001, and Manasan 1997 and 2004).

The present paper extends these studies by subjecting the national government debt position up to 2014 to an array of formal empirical tests based on available theories of long-run sustainability and feasibility of public debt. Two branches of theories are considered. One uses the No Ponzi Game (NPG) condition as the criterion for long-run sustainability within the framework of an infinite-horizon representative agent model (see Chalk and Hemming 2000, and Bergman 2001). The other establishes, within the framework of a stochastic overlapping generations model, the feasibility conditions for Ponzi games in a situation where the government manages its bond portfolio to a lower debt rate than the market rate. (A government is said to be playing a Ponzi game when it just keeps on paying old debt by issuing new ones. However, the government cannot continuously engage in a Ponzi scheme: its debt cannot grow indefinitely.) As both theories are explicitly expressed in terms of future debt and interest rate trends, stochastic forecasts are generated from a quarterly macroeconomic model of the Philippines to extend the testing period to 22 years, i.e., 1993Q1–2014Q4. In addition, several shocks are also introduced during the forecasting period to examine how vulnerable the government debt situation would be in the face of significant adverse risks.


The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section II briefly describes the fiscal and public debt situation in recent years. Section III outlines the testable theories of public debt sustainability and feasibility. Section IV reports the empirical test results. The last section concludes.

II. THE FISCAL AND GOVERNMENT DEBT SITUATION IN THE PHILIPPINES

Chronic deficits have marked the Philippine government's fiscal position since the early years of the country's development.¹ There was brief respite in the mid-1990s when the government's fiscal position improved enough to register a surplus of less than 1 percent for the period 1994–1997. The occurrence of the Asian financial crisis, however, pushed the fiscal balance back to the negative plane when it fell to –1.9 percent of gross domestic product (GDP) in 1998, and then plummeted to –5.2 percent in 2002. In 2003, the deficit stood at 4.6 percent of GDP (see Figure 1).

¹ In the 1960s, government was in deficit 8 out of the 10 years and fiscal deficit averaged about 1 percent of GDP for the decade. In the 1970s it was in deficit 7 out of the 10 years and the fiscal deficit averaged about one-half of 1 percent of GDP. In the 1980s the government was in deficit all 10 years and fiscal deficit averaged about 2.5 percent of GDP.


FIGURE 1
 GROWTH OF GDP AND RATIO OF FISCAL BALANCE TO GDP



Sources: National Statistical Coordination Board; Bureau of Treasury.


The deterioration of the fiscal balance is mostly due to shortfalls in government revenues, especially tax revenues (which accounts for more than 85 percent of the total). The national government's revenue efforts have declined from a peak of 19.9 percent of GDP in 1994 to 14.6 percent of GDP in 2003 (see Figure 2). From its peak of about 17 percent in 1997, the tax effort has slid to 12.5 percent in 2003. Government expenditures, on the other hand, have been fairly stable, averaging about 18 percent of GDP for the period 1980-2003. Its growth has been kept to a minimum and has been on a downward trend since 2000. In particular, primary spending (i.e.,

FIGURE 2
 FISCAL AGGREGATES



Source: Bureau of Treasury.


national government spending net of interest payments) has been reined in, with its share to GDP falling from 16.3 percent in 1999 to 14.0 percent in 2003. Capital expenditures have been reduced: after reaching its peak of more than a quarter of total expenditures in the early 1980s, it is down to 13.5 percent in 2003.² In contrast, the amount spent for servicing of debt escalated. From an average of 4.6 percent in 1975-1979, it went up to about 7 percent in 1980-1983, ballooned to almost 25 percent in 1984-1989, and has averaged more than 24 percent in 2000-2003 (Figure 3).



Source: Department of Budget and Management.


A major threat to the national government's fiscal position is the large stock of national government debt and the associated costs of servicing that debt. In the 1970s and 1980s, large debt inflows were used to stimulate the economy and to provide a cushion against external shocks that had often plagued the economy in the early years of its development. Then in the 1990s it also became a means to service the liabilities of ailing government agencies. By this time, domestic resources have become a significant part of Philippine public debt reflecting the government's struggle to service its foreign debt while incurring fiscal deficits (Figure 4). From a peak of PHP95.4 billion in 1994, primary balance (i.e., total revenues less nondebt expenditures) went into deficit in 2002 (PHP24.9 billion) before registering a surplus of PHP26.5 billion in 2003.

² Of note is the fact that infrastructure spending of the national government has remained repressed and has not exceeded 2 percent of GDP. Indeed, the brunt of fiscal adjustment has primarily been absorbed by infrastructure and other development spending—expenditures developing countries like the Philippines badly need.



Source: Bureau of Treasury.

The national government's total outstanding debt stood at PHP3.36 trillion (which is 78 percent of GDP) at the end of 2003. Including contingent liabilities, this would amount to about PHP4.1 trillion (or 94.5 percent of GDP). The consolidated public sector debt is much higher at PHP5.9 trillion—a whopping 137 percent of GDP. All three are on an upward trend (Figure 5).



Note: NG means national government. Simple regression of the consolidated public debt on the NG outstanding debt using data from 1993 onward shows a relatively constant relationship between the two, with the slope coefficient estimate of 1.7.

Sources: CEIC Data Company Ltd., Bureau of Treasury.

The growth of public debt has been very high, averaging above 15 percent between 1999 and 2003. The national government debt has been growing at a higher rate for the same period, with the increase largely attributed to the continuing national government deficits. However, an equally sizeable amount (about 37 percent) of the increase in debt is due to nonbudgetary items and assumed liabilities of government corporations (see Figure 5), underscoring the continuous practice of condoning inefficiency and irresponsibility of government-owned and controlled corporations (GOCC).

Warnings of the public debt problem have recently been voiced at an increasing volume by economists and institutional investors in the Philippines as well as internationally (e.g., see De Dios et al. 2004). However, most of these are based on case analyses rather than rigorous empirical tests. This paper attempts to fill in this gap.

III. THEORIES OF GOVERNMENT DEBT SUSTAINABILITY AND FEASIBILITY

The key consideration for any government to resort to debt is the availability and feasibility of debt financing. This consideration underlies the theoretical approach to determine the debt sustainability on the lenders' constraint, which is commonly expressed by the present value constraint (PVC).

Under the highly idealistic assumptions of an economy with one sector, in steady state and on a dynamically efficient growth path, the PVC-based theory of government debt results in the long-run condition of No Ponzi Games. The theory starts from the government debt accounting identity. With respect to the Philippine case, this identity results in:

$$B_{t+1} = q_{t+1}B_t + D_{t+1} + L_{t+1} \quad (1)$$

where B_t denotes the government debt at time t ; q_t the one-period interest rate factor, e.g., $q_t = 1 + r_t$, with r_t being the equilibrium interest rate with respect to the marginal rate of substitution derived from the optimization of consumers' preference function; D_t is the primary fiscal deficit, i.e., budget deficit excluding interest payment; and L_t denotes the off-budget account deficit, due mainly to the contingent liabilities of GOCCs.³ Forward substitution of (1) yields:

$$B_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{-(D+L)_{t+j}}{\prod_{i=1}^j q_{t+i}} + \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_{t+\tau}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\tau} q_{t+j}} \quad (2)$$

Since the first term on the right-hand side of (2) is expected to balance out in general, the lenders' constraint results in the NPG condition:

³ Notice that the term L_t is absent in the standard debt accounting identity, where only net debt is considered (e.g., see Lebow 2004).

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_{t+\tau}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\tau} q_{t+j}} = 0 \quad (3)$$

The limit in (3) defines the necessary condition for the long-run debt sustainability. It implies that government debt cannot grow faster than the average interest rate in the long run.

A popular alternative is to examine the sustainability condition in terms of the debt-to-income ratio, instead of debt alone, based on the argument that all the budget variables are highly dependent on the macroeconomic situation (see for example Cuddington 1996). Let us define the debt ratio by $b_t = B_t / Y_t$; the primary deficit ratio by $d_t = D_t / Y_t$; and the off-budget account deficit ratio by $l_t = L_t / Y_t$, where Y_t is the aggregate income and often represented by GDP or gross national product (GNP). Equation (2) can be rewritten as:

$$b_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \frac{g_{t+i}}{q_{t+i}} \right) - (d + l)_{t+j} + \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{g_{t+j}}{q_{t+j}} b_{t+\tau} \quad (4)$$

where g_t is the growth factor: $Y_{t+1} = g_{t+1} Y_t$. The NPG condition corresponding to (3) becomes:

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{g_{t+j}}{q_{t+j}} b_{t+\tau} = 0 \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) highlights the importance of the dynamic efficiency assumption, since it is necessary to have the interest rates larger than the economic growth rates for the nontrivial case of $b_{t+\tau} \neq 0$ in (5).⁴

Empirical tests of the debt sustainability conditions (3) or (5) entail knowledge of the time-series properties of the variables in these equations, since these conditions require us to infer the asymptotic properties of the limit functions from finite data samples. In particular, it is crucial to know the time-series properties of the debt or debt ratio series, as the interest rate and the economic growth rate are normally expected to be either stationary or nontrended random walk.⁵ Following Bergman (2001), we assume that the government debt be generated by a first-order autoregressive, i.e., AR(1), process:⁶

$$\begin{aligned} B_t &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 B_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \alpha_0 \alpha_1^k + \alpha_1^t B_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \alpha_1^k \varepsilon_{t-k} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

⁴ The assumption is embodied in the infinite-horizon representative consumer model (Bohn 1995).

⁵ A number of empirical tests are built on the time-series relationship between the fiscal deficit and the debt series (Quintos 1995, Bohn 1998). However, this approach is not applicable here since there is an off-budget deficit component in the Philippine government debt.

⁶ This is a testable assumption. The results below can be extended to an AR(n) process when $n > 1$.

where e_t is a zero-mean stationary process. When $\alpha_1 < 1$, the NPG condition (3) is satisfied. When the debt series is nonstationary, i.e., $\alpha_1 \geq 1$, the NPG condition (3) can be examined by combining it with (6):

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_{t+\tau}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\tau} q_{t+j}} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{\tau} q_{t+j}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{t+\tau-1} \alpha_0 \alpha_1^k + \alpha_1^{t+\tau} B_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{t+\tau-1} \alpha_1^k \varepsilon_{t+\tau-k} \right) \right) \quad (7)$$

The NPG condition now becomes:

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \alpha_0 \alpha_1^k}{\prod_{j=1}^{\tau} q_{t+j}} = 0, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_1^{\tau}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\tau} q_{t+j}} = 0 \quad (8)$$

Condition (8) requires that the degree of explosiveness in the roots of the debt series be no larger than what the compounding interest rates could dampen out in the long run.

The same approach applies if empirical tests are based on the debt ratio. Starting from an AR(1) process:

$$b_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 b_{t-1} + v_t \quad (9)$$

where v_t is a zero-mean stationary process, and combining it with (5):

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{g_{t+j}}{q_{t+j}} b_{t+\tau} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{g_{t+j}}{q_{t+j}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{t+\tau-1} \beta_0 \beta_1^k + \beta_1^{t+\tau} b_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{t+\tau-1} \beta_1^k v_{t+\tau-k} \right) \right), \quad (10)$$

we obtain the following convergence conditions:

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{g_{t+j}}{q_{t+j}} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau} \beta_0 \beta_1^k = 0, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{g_{t+j}}{q_{t+j}} \beta_1^{\tau} = 0 \quad (11)$$

It is a widely known fact that government bonds normally enjoy significantly lower interest rates than the market equilibrium rates. Moreover, many governments utilize the bond market to reduce their debt interest payments by issuing bonds of different maturities to roll over government debt (Bohn 1995 and 1998). As a result, the aggregate interest rate of the government bond portfolio is normally lower than the growth rate of the economy, making the simple NPG scheme (5) implausible (Blanchard and Weil 2001). Under this situation, the issue then becomes to what extent the government can violate the present value budget constraint and make it feasible to play debt Ponzi games.

In a recent paper, Barbie et al. (2004) investigate this issue by means of the stochastic overlapping generations model. They establish the necessary and sufficient conditions of the feasibility of government debt Ponzi games under a scenario where the government utilizes rollover bond issuance strategies.⁷ Their conditions essentially boil down to the nondivergence of the ratio of the aggregate interest rate of the public bond portfolio to the economic growth rate under all kinds of stochastic shocks:

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{q^b(z)_{t+j}}{g(z)_{t+j}} < \infty \quad (\text{necessary condition}) \quad (12)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{q^b(z)_{t+j}}{g(z)_{t+j}} \right)_j \leq \phi < \infty \quad (\text{necessary and sufficient condition}) \quad (13)$$

where q^b denotes aggregate interest rate factor of the government bond portfolio, z denotes the state of random shocks, and f is a finite positive bound representing the credit constraint faced by the government. Conditions (12) and (13) show that government Ponzi games would not be possible unless the government could obtain debt finance at a lower interest rate than the average economic growth rate in the long run. Barbie et al. (2004) refer to the ratio, q^b / g , as the real interest rate of debt payment, and to ϕ as setting a fixed upper bound for the debt ratio. The latter is not difficult to see if we assume (5) converges to a positive number instead of zero when the interest rate is the lower-than market bond rate, i.e.:

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{g_{t+j}}{q^b_{t+j}} b_{t+\tau} = \lambda > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} b_{t+\tau} = \lambda \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{q^b_{t+j}}{g_{t+j}}, \quad (14)$$

$$\text{provided that } \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{g_{t+j}}{q^b_{t+j}} \neq 0.$$

IV. EMPIRICAL TESTS OF GOVERNMENT DEBT SUSTAINABILITY AND FEASIBILITY


In this section, empirical tests are conducted on the Philippine national government debt. Ideally, the tests should be conducted on the consolidated public debt. But this series is available only at annual frequency. As the consolidated public debt is roughly 1.7 times the national government debt (see the footnote in Figure 5), the conclusions that we draw from the empirical tests on the national government debt should be also applicable to the consolidated public debt.

Almost all the empirical tests of government debt sustainability in the literature have been carried out using historical time-series data (Bohn 1998, Chalk and Hemming 2000). However, a major weakness of these tests is that the past results may not be directly projected into the future, where all the PVC theories are really focused on. This can be especially worrisome considering that the dynamics of the government debt tends to be highly susceptible to the macroeconomic environment in a small and open economy like the Philippines.

⁷ Notice that the condition for feasibility is weaker than that for sustainability (Barbie et al. 2001).

Here, we conduct the tests using a quarterly time-series sample combining historical data with future data forecasted by a quarterly macroeconomic model of the Philippines built by the Asian Development Bank (we refer to this model as the ADB Philippine model). The model contains over 80 variables and is estimated using the data sample from 1990Q1 to 2004Q2, although some data series are shorter, e.g., the government fiscal account series, including the debt series to be used in our tests, start from 1993Q1 (see Ducanes et al. 2005 for more detailed description of the model). The forecast period is 2004Q3–2014Q4. Forecast values of some exogenous variables are partly based on forecasts from the OECD Economic Outlook and Oxford Economic Forecasting World Model; otherwise, the forecasts of an exogenous variable are extrapolated from its present time path. During the forecast period, a large number of stochastic simulations are computed using the bootstrap method for shock generation.⁸ This method enables us to empirically mimic the z component of equations (12) and (13) in accordance with the random patterns of the ADB Philippine model residuals. Quantiles are calculated from the large set (200 in our experiments) of the simulation results to illustrate the distribution of the stochastic forecasts. In particular, values at 2 and 97 percent quantiles are used as the approximate 95 percent confidence band of the simulation mean values. Below, we refer to the data series of the simulation mean values as the “mean” data series and the other two as the “upper” and “lower” data series, respectively. Figure 6 shows the debt and debt ratio series with these forecasting bands.

Let us first examine the simple time-series properties of the government debt and debt/GDP ratio series respectively. As shown from the unit-root test results in Table 1, both series exhibit strong nonstationary properties, with the debt series showing certain explosive tendency. The test results are also reflected in the ensuing regression analysis. We start by running an AR(4) model for the debt and debt ratio series in order to test the assumption of AR(1) in equations (6) and



Note: The solid lines are the mean data series; the dotted lines are the upper and the lower series forming approximately 95% confidence interval.

⁸ The method randomly draws shocks from single equation residuals over a specified historical sample period and adds them to each forecast period. For more details, see Pierse (2001).

TABLE 1
UNIT ROOT TESTS BY AUGMENTED DICKY-FULLER (ADF) TEST

DEBT SERIES				
AUGMENTED LAGS	ADF-TEST	β FOR Y_{T-1}	T-VALUE OF LONGEST LAG	SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL OF LONGEST LAG
3	2.03	1.01	-0.52	0.60
2	2.00	1.01	4.49	0.00
1	4.78	1.02	-1.55	0.12
0	4.52	1.01		
DEBT RATIO SERIES				
3	-0.74	0.98	0.91	0.37
2	-0.66	0.99	-1.83	0.07
1	-0.86	0.98	-0.06	0.95
0	-0.88	0.98		

Note: The null hypothesis is $\beta = 0$. The critical values of ADF tests are: -2.90 at 5% and -3.51 at 1%. The sample covers 1994Q1 - 2014Q4. Seasonal dummies are added in the debt ratio test, as the series exhibits significant seasonal feature inherent from GDP.

(9). As visible from Table 2, the assumed AR(1) process is accepted for the debt ratio series in both the full-sample and subsample estimations, whereas the debt process is captured by an AR(3) in the full-sample estimation and by an AR(1) only in the subsample estimations. Moreover, the one-lag coefficient estimates for the debt ratio exhibit stronger time invariance than those for the debt series, conforming to what was expected in the previous section.

In view of the regression results, we have conducted the sustainability tests on the debt ratio only. It is discernible from the recursive $\hat{\beta}_1$ of (9) in Figure 7 that this coefficient drifts below unity in the aftermath of the Asian financial crisis and converges to unity during the forecasting period of the sample, even though the unit value is within the 95 percent band for the entire sample. Considering the finite-sample uncertainty in $\hat{\beta}_1$, two versions of condition (11) are tested, one using the full sample estimate $\hat{\beta}_1$ and the other the recursive $\hat{\beta}_{1,t+j}$:

$$\left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{g_{t+j}}{q_{t+j}} \hat{\beta}_1^{\tau} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \frac{g_{t+j}}{q_{t+j}} \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} \hat{\beta}_{1,t+j} \right\} \quad (11')$$

The condition relating to the intercept term is disregarded here because its estimates are insignificant, as shown in Table 2.


In the NPG theories, government bonds are assumed to bear the same rate as the equilibrium interest rate. However, this assumption seldom holds in reality. Thus, in order to examine the different effects of interest rates, we consider three rates: market lending rate, 91-day Treasury bill (TB) rate, and government debt portfolio rate derived from the government debt interest payment and the debt series. As seen from Figure 8, the government rates are remarkably lower than the market rate. More interestingly, the derived portfolio rate is far smoother than the TB rate, possibly reflecting government efforts in debt portfolio management to minimize and stabilize the debt cost payment.

TABLE 2
AR(4) ESTIMATIONS FOR THE MEAN SERIES OF DEBT AND DEBT RATIO

COEFFICIENT	LAG 1	LAG 2	LAG 3	LAG 4	INTERCEPT
Full Sample: 1994Q1 to 2014Q4					
Debt	0.9410 (0.1087)*	0.5521 (0.1485)*	-0.5482 (0.1516)*	0.0630 (0.1209)	26180 (13810)
Debt Ratio	0.9950 (0.1102)*	-0.2063 (0.1511)	0.2951 (0.1514)	-0.1004 (0.1102)	0.0474 (0.0632)
Subsample: 1994Q1 to 2009Q4					
Debt	1.0037 (0.1243)*	0.2291 (0.1783)	-0.1526 (0.1807)	-0.0629 (0.1274)	8866 (13270)
Debt Ratio	0.9875 (0.1274)*	-0.0895 (0.1745)	0.1760 (0.1749)	-0.0874 (0.1271)	0.0401 (0.0776)
Historical data: 1994Q1 to 2004Q2					
Debt	0.9432 (0.1544)*	0.0138 (0.2053)	0.0968 (0.2064)	0.0117 (0.1624)	-47302 (25420)
Debt Ratio	1.0462 (0.157)*	-0.0173 (0.225)	0.1146 (0.2272)	-0.1995 (0.1582)	0.1385 (0.1339)


Note: The statistics in parentheses are standard errors. Those marked by * are significant at 5%. Seasonal dummies are added in the AR(4) model for the debt ratio.

FIGURE 7
RECURSIVE ESTIMATES OF β_1 in Equation (9)



Note: The dotted lines are 95% confidence intervals.

FIGURE 8
INTEREST RATES




Note: TB rate denotes 91-day Treasury bill rate. The solid lines are the mean data series; the dotted lines are the upper and the lower series forming approximately 95% confidence interval.

To check whether the chosen rates represent adequately the market rates for the government bonds, Figure 9 plots these rates together with the JP Morgan bond yield of the weighted Philippine sovereign bonds for the period of 2000Q1–2004Q2. Discernibly, the 91-day TB rate and the portfolio rate are a bit lower than the JP Morgan bond yield while the lending rate is higher. This suggests that the test results from the three rates should provide us with a fairly good confidence region.

Three pairs of the series in (11') are calculated, each using one of the three interest rates. The results are plotted in Figure 10. Noticeably, the results using the full-sample coefficient estimate show significantly higher values than those using the recursive results. This is due to the fact that $\hat{\beta}_1$ exceeds its subsample estimates for over one third of the sample period, as shown in Figure 7. However, the full sample $\hat{\beta}_1$ should be relatively reliable for out-of-sample inference based on the recursive results as it converges to a highly constant value with the sample size. Notice that the lending rate appears to provide the only case where the NPG condition is likely to be satisfied

FIGURE 9
INTEREST RATES AND YIELD OF GOVERNMENT BONDS



Note: JP Morgan Asia Bond Weighted Yield of Philippine Sovereign Bonds comes from Datastream.

in the infinite future, as it gradually decreases with time. The series based on the portfolio rate also appears to be converging very slowly and is estimated to be approximately zero around 2020, indicating that a government Ponzi game is present during the current regime.⁹

To directly assess the feasibility of the Ponzi game, we calculate the test series of (12) and (13) using the portfolio rate and the TB rate, respectively, and plot them in Figure 10. The results show that only the necessary condition is satisfied up to 2014, not the sufficient condition. This indicates the feasibility of the debt Ponzi game played by the government to be near the borderline of becoming infeasible for the foreseeable future. Nevertheless, the sufficient condition (13) is likely to hold for the infinite future as both test series under (12) show a downward trend toward zero. Noticeably, the series for the necessary condition using the portfolio rate shows a visibly slower converging speed than that using the TB rate, suggesting that the government bond portfolio faces a tighter credit constraint than short-term bills. This suggests the increasing risk that investors attach to the government bonds of longer terms.


Indeed, practical concerns over the future uncertainty of the debt situation is asymmetric, i.e., investors are far more watchful of those uncertain situations when the sustainability or feasibility of government debt is at risk of being violated than vice versa. The worry is warranted by a number of government debt default crises triggered by adverse shocks in small and open economies with weak governments, such as Argentina and Brazil.¹⁰ Since the feasibility test results in Figure 10 indicate that the present debt situation in the Philippines is about marginally feasible, we run a model simulation to examine how much an adverse shock would worsen the government debt situation. The simulation assumes the adverse shock to be a currency crisis occurring in 2005Q4–2006Q4, with the peso-dollar exchange rate devaluing 40 percent in total (see Figure 11).¹¹

⁹ The next election year is 2010.

¹⁰ Calvo et al. (2003) demonstrate how a mismatch in the public debt composition led to a crisis in Argentina triggered by its currency devaluation shock; Razin and Sadka (2002) show how the then forthcoming October 2002 election in Brazil, which indicates expected regime change, could trigger a debt crisis even though the debt ratio is relatively low and the fundamentals are sound.


¹¹ The exchange rate is exogenous in the Philippine model. Since the model also assumes world trade demand as exogenous the simulation does not reflect the possible reactions of this variable to the devaluation shocks.

FIGURE 10
 TESTS OF (11'), (12) AND (13)



Note: It takes about 6 more years for the portfolio rate series in (11') to converge to zero. The solid curves in (12) and (13) are mean series and the dotted lines are the lower and upper series forming a 95% confidence interval. The portfolio series in (12) would take about 4 more years to converge to zero.

FIGURE 11
ASSUMED EXCHANGE RATE DEVALUATION (PESO/US\$)




Both the sustainability test (11') and the feasibility tests (12) and (13) are recalculated using the simulation results for the forecasting subsample, see Figure 12. In comparison with Figure 10, the sustainability results (11') are now in a visibly worse state, especially with the disappearance of the downward trend in the series based on the portfolio rate and the lending rate; the test results using the portfolio rate no longer hold for either (11') or (12), illustrating that the currently feasible state of the government debt is indeed fragile and highly susceptible to adverse external shocks.

Given the severity of the government debt situation, we run another simulation to examine whether fiscal policy adjustments would help improve the situation. We set the simulation as achieving zero deficit by 2010, in accordance with the target of the current government. Experimenting with various schemes of curbing fiscal expenditure and raising tax revenue, we find that this target is achievable by having the tax revenue increase by 11 percent per annum¹² together with a capped annual growth at 5 percent of the government expenditure net of interest payment for six years, i.e., 2004Q4-2010Q3. Figure 13 shows the dynamic path of the budget deficit under this simulation as compared with that of the default simulation (the left panel), and the impact of this simulation on GDP growth as well as interest rate (the right panel). Noticeably, the fiscal target leads to prompt deficit deterioration post the target period and a persistent slowdown of the economy during the target period, suggesting that such a severe target is highly likely to incur grave fiscal burden for the next regime while depressing the overall economy during the present regime. This kind of policy consequence is hardly surprising in view of the already undersized public sector in the Philippines, as described in Section II. What is surprising are the simulation test results, which show no chance of achieving the sustainability condition or the feasibility condition within the present regime (see Figure 14), in spite of the heavy policy cost. Our finding reveals the inadequacy of designing fiscal policy around controlling budget deficit alone in order to achieve debt sustainability. Much more comprehensive policies are required.

¹² Notice that increase in tax revenue does not necessarily depend on raising tax rates. In the Philippine case, improvement in taxation efficiency and promotion of faster economic growth are the paramount factors.

FIGURE 12
TESTS OF (11'), (12) AND (13) UNDER EXCHANGE RATE SHOCK SIMULATION



Note: In this simulation, the exchange rate devalues by 11, 14, 10, and 5 percent for the consecutive four quarters starting from 2005Q4; recovers by 5 percent in 2006Q4; drops by 2 percent in 2007Q1; and stays constant afterward. Convergence to zero is unachievable for the portfolio rate series in (11') and (12).

FIGURE 13
FISCAL SIMULATION IMPACT: BUDGET DEFICIT AND GDP GROWTH





FIGURE 14
TESTS OF (11'), (12) AND (13) UNDER FISCAL SIMULATION



Note: In the model simulation, tax revenue is assumed to increase by 11% annually and government noninterest expenditure growth is controlled to not exceed 5% annually during 2005-2010. It takes about 2 more years for the portfolio rate series to converge to zero in (11'). It takes over 5 more years for it to converge to zero in (12).

V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper assesses empirically the sustainability and feasibility of the government debt situation in the Philippines. The assessment is based on the NPG criterion and mainly carried out on the debt-to-GDP ratio using both its historical data and forecasts generated by a macroeconometric model of the Philippine economy.

Our assessment shows that the government debt situation is not sustainable as far as the present regime is concerned. One key reason for the existing high government debt is the fact that the government still enjoys lower bond rates than the market lending rates. In other words, the Philippine government bonds are still perceived as having relatively low default risk. Our assessment also shows that the Philippine government is playing a weakly feasible debt Ponzi game. The debt strategy satisfies the necessary condition but fails the sufficiency condition for feasibility up to 2014, although it might satisfy both conditions for the infinitely remote future. These results indicate the vulnerability of the debt situation.

The vulnerability is further confirmed by our experiment of a shock simulation using the Philippine model. We find that the government debt no longer satisfies the debt feasibility condition under a hypothetical exchange rate crisis. This result shows that the government is facing a high risk of running into a debt crisis in the event of a major adverse shock to the economy.

Our findings provide strong support to the warnings about the critical government debt situation and highlight the difficulty and the urgency of improving the government's fiscal position in the present Philippine economy. Indeed, our model simulation shows that the simple fiscal policy of medium-term budget deficit control alone is inadequate for reversing the unsustainable debt situation. This underscores the importance of studying the dynamic interaction between proposed corrective policies to control public debt and the underlying macroeconomic variables. Any policy aimed at addressing the debt sustainability problem must take into account not just its effect on debt but also its effect on other economic variables, such as interest rates and the overall economic growth, which are themselves factors that determine debt sustainability. What is highly needed are more comprehensive and well-coordinated policies aimed at promoting sustained economic growth, increasing resilience to exogenous shocks as well as improving debt management.

The results further point at the nonevadable responsibility that public debt creditors and donors should take in helping the heavily debt-burdened country to avoid a debt crisis. In particular, large institutional creditors must review lending policies to ensure that their loans and accompanying provisions are carefully based on the debt sustainability of the country concerned as derived from its macroeconomic framework. If loan provisions are not based on market perceived risk or if debt service can largely be covered by grants, aid, or debt relief, then the government will have little incentive to pursue sound macroeconomic policies and increase its capacity to pay (IMF and IDA 2004).

What would therefore be the optimal policy strategy to attain debt and fiscal sustainability for the current regime? The solution is beyond the scope of the present study, but the results, hopefully, would help policy making move toward the right direction.

APPENDIX DATA DESCRIPTION AND SOURCES

DATA SERIES	DESCRIPTION	SOURCE ¹
91-day Treasury Bill Rate (%)	Weighted averages per annum	CEIC Data Company Ltd., BSP, ADB Philippine Model
Bond Yield	JP Morgan Asia Bond Weighted Yield of Philippine Sovereign Bonds	Datastream
Capital Outlays	In billion pesos	DBM
Consolidated Public Sector Debt	In million pesos	CEIC Data Company Ltd., Btr
Expenditure	In million pesos	CEIC Data Company Ltd., Btr
Fiscal Deficit	Revenue less expenditure	BTr
Gross Domestic Product	Current price (in million pesos)	CEIC Data Company Ltd., ADB Philippine Model
Interest Payments	Current price (in million pesos)	CEIC Data Company Ltd., BTr, ADB Philippine Model
Lending Rate (%)	Weighted averages per annum. Annual rates are averages of monthly rates. Monthly rates are annual percentage equivalent of all commercial banks' actual monthly interest income on their peso-denominated loans to the total outstanding levels of their peso-denominated loans, bills discounted, mortgage contract receivables and restructured loans.	CEIC Data Company Ltd., BSP, ADB Philippine Model
MOOE	In billion pesos	DBM
National Government Debt	In million pesos	CEIC Data Company Ltd., Btr
National Government Outstanding Debt	Outstanding Domestic Debt + Outstanding Foreign Debt, Current price (in million pesos)	CEIC Data Company Ltd., BTr, ADB Philippine Model
Personal Services	In billion pesos	DBM
Portfolio rate (%)	Interest payments/National government outstanding debt	CEIC Data Company Ltd., Btr, ADB Philippine Model
Primary Deficit	Revenue less primary spending	BTr
Primary Spending	Expenditure less interest payments	BTr
Revenue	In million pesos	CEIC Data Company Ltd., Btr

BTr means Bureau of Treasury.

DBM means Department of Budget and Management.

¹Actual data are sourced from CEIC Data Company Ltd. and/or official sources. Forecast data are sourced from the ADB Philippine Model.

REFERENCES

- Barbie, M., M. Hagedorn, and A. Kaul, 2001. Government Debt as Insurance against Macroeconomic Risk. IZA Discussion Papers No. 481, Available: http://www.iza.org/index_html?lang=en&mainframe=http%3A//www.iza.org/en/webcontent/personnel/photos/index_html%3Fkey%3D493&topSelect=personnel&subSelect=fellowsPlace.
- , 2004. "On the Feasibility of Debt Ponzi Schemes—A Bond Portfolio Approach: Theory and Some Evidence. Paper presented at the Econometric Society 2004 North American Winter Meetings, 22-23 October, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Bergman, M., 2001. "Testing Government Solvency and the No Ponzi Game Condition." *Applied Economics Letters* 8:27-9.
- Blanchard, O.-J., and P. Weil, 2001. "Dynamic Efficiency, the Riskless Rate, and Debt Ponzi Games under Uncertainty." *The B. E. Journal in Macroeconomics*. Available: <http://www.bepress.com/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1031&context=bejm>.
- Bohn, H., 1995. "The Sustainability of Budget Deficits in a Stochastic Economy." *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 27:257-71.
- , 1998. "The Behavior of U.S. Public Debt and Deficits." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 113:949-63.
- Calvo, G. A., A. Izquierdo, and E. Talvi, 2003. Sudden Stops, the Real Exchange Rate and Fiscal Sustainability: Argentina's Lessons. NBER Working Paper No. 9828, National Bureau of Economic Research, Massachusetts.
- Chalk, N., and R. Hemming, 2000. Assessing Fiscal Sustainability in Theory and Practice. IMF Working Paper No. WP/00/81, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Cuddington, J. T., 1996. Analyzing the Sustainability of Fiscal Deficits in Developing Countries. Georgetown University Working Paper No. 97-01. Available: <http://www.worldbank.org/html/dec/Publications/Workpapers/WPS1700series/wps1784/wps1784.pdf>.
- De Dios, E. S., B. E. Diokno, E. F. Esguerra, R. V. Fabella, Ma. S. Gochoco-Bautista, F. M. Medalla, S. C. Monsod, E. M. Pernia, R. E. Reside, Jr., G. P. Sicat, and E. A. Tan, 2004. The Deepening Crisis: The Real Score on Deficits and the Public Debt. Discussion Paper DP2004-09, School of Economics, University of the Philippines.
- Ducanes, G., M. A. Cagas, D. Qin, P. F. Quising, and N. Magtibay-Ramos, 2005. A Small Macroeconometric Model of the Philippine Economy. ERD Working Paper 62, Economics and Research Department, Asian Development Bank.
- IMF and International Development Association, 2004. *Debt Sustainability in Low-Income Countries—Proposal for an Operational Framework and Policy Implications*. Available: <http://www.imf.org/external/np/pdr/sustain/2004/020304.htm>.
- Lebow, D. E., 2004. Recent Fiscal Policy in Selected Industrial countries. BIS Working Papers 162. Available: <http://www.bis.org/publ/work162.pdf>.
- Manasan, R. G., 1997. Fiscal Adjustment in the Context of Growth and Equity, 1986-1997. Discussion Paper Series 1998-11, Philippine Institute for Development Studies, Manila, Philippines.
- , 2004. Fiscal Reform Agenda: Getting Ready for the Bumpy Ride Ahead. Discussion Paper Series 2004-24, Philippine Institute for Development Studies, Manila, Philippines.
- Paderanga, Jr. C., 1995. "Debt Management in the Philippines." In R. V. Fabella and H. Sakai, eds., *Towards Sustained Growth*. Institute of Developing Economies, Tokyo.
- , 2001. *Recent Fiscal Developments in the Philippines*. School of Economics, University of Philippines.
- Pierse, R., 2001. *Winsolve Manual*. Department of Economics, University of Surrey, UK.

- Quintos, C. E., 1995. "Sustainability of the Deficit Process with Structural Shifts." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 13:409-17.
- Razin, A., and E. Sadka, 2002. A Brazilian Debt-crises Model. NBER Working Paper No. 9211, National Bureau of Economic Research, Massachusetts.

PUBLICATIONS FROM THE ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

ERD WORKING PAPER SERIES (WPS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>No. 1 Capitalizing on Globalization
—<i>Barry Eichengreen, January 2002</i></p> <p>No. 2 Policy-based Lending and Poverty Reduction: An Overview of Processes, Assessment and Options
—<i>Richard Bolt and Manabu Fujimura, January 2002</i></p> <p>No. 3 The Automotive Supply Chain: Global Trends and Asian Perspectives
—<i>Francisco Veloso and Rajiv Kumar, January 2002</i></p> <p>No. 4 International Competitiveness of Asian Firms: An Analytical Framework
—<i>Rajiv Kumar and Doren Chadee, February 2002</i></p> <p>No. 5 The International Competitiveness of Asian Economies in the Apparel Commodity Chain
—<i>Gary Gereffi, February 2002</i></p> <p>No. 6 Monetary and Financial Cooperation in East Asia—The Chiang Mai Initiative and Beyond
—<i>Pradumna B. Rana, February 2002</i></p> <p>No. 7 Probing Beneath Cross-national Averages: Poverty, Inequality, and Growth in the Philippines
—<i>Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia, March 2002</i></p> <p>No. 8 Poverty, Growth, and Inequality in Thailand
—<i>Anil B. Deolalikar, April 2002</i></p> <p>No. 9 Microfinance in Northeast Thailand: Who Benefits and How Much?
—<i>Brett E. Coleman, April 2002</i></p> <p>No. 10 Poverty Reduction and the Role of Institutions in Developing Asia
—<i>Anil B. Deolalikar, Alex B. Brillantes, Jr., Raghav Gaiha, Ernesto M. Pernia, Mary Racelis with the assistance of Marita Concepcion Castro-Guevara, Liza L. Lim, Pilipinas F. Quising, May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 11 The European Social Model: Lessons for Developing Countries
—<i>Assar Lindbeck, May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 12 Costs and Benefits of a Common Currency for ASEAN
—<i>Srinivasa Madhur, May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 13 Monetary Cooperation in East Asia: A Survey
—<i>Raul Fabella, May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 14 Toward A Political Economy Approach to Policy-based Lending
—<i>George Abonyi, May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 15 A Framework for Establishing Priorities in a Country Poverty Reduction Strategy
—<i>Ron Duncan and Steve Pollard, June 2002</i></p> | <p>No. 16 The Role of Infrastructure in Land-use Dynamics and Rice Production in Viet Nam's Mekong River Delta
—<i>Christopher Edmonds, July 2002</i></p> <p>No. 17 Effect of Decentralization Strategy on Macroeconomic Stability in Thailand
—<i>Kanokpan Lao-Araya, August 2002</i></p> <p>No. 18 Poverty and Patterns of Growth
—<i>Rana Hasan and M. G. Quibria, August 2002</i></p> <p>No. 19 Why are Some Countries Richer than Others? A Reassessment of Mankiw-Romer-Weil's Test of the Neoclassical Growth Model
—<i>Jesus Felipe and John McCombie, August 2002</i></p> <p>No. 20 Modernization and Son Preference in People's Republic of China
—<i>Robin Burgess and Juzhong Zhuang, September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 21 The Doha Agenda and Development: A View from the Uruguay Round
—<i>J. Michael Finger, September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 22 Conceptual Issues in the Role of Education Decentralization in Promoting Effective Schooling in Asian Developing Countries
—<i>Jere R. Behrman, Anil B. Deolalikar, and Lee-Ying Son, September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 23 Promoting Effective Schooling through Education Decentralization in Bangladesh, Indonesia, and Philippines
—<i>Jere R. Behrman, Anil B. Deolalikar, and Lee-Ying Son, September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 24 Financial Opening under the WTO Agreement in Selected Asian Countries: Progress and Issues
—<i>Yun-Hwan Kim, September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 25 Revisiting Growth and Poverty Reduction in Indonesia: What Do Subnational Data Show?
—<i>Arsenio M. Balisacan, Ernesto M. Pernia, and Abuzar Asra, October 2002</i></p> <p>No. 26 Causes of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis: What Can an Early Warning System Model Tell Us?
—<i>Juzhong Zhuang and J. Malcolm Dowling, October 2002</i></p> <p>No. 27 Digital Divide: Determinants and Policies with Special Reference to Asia
—<i>M. G. Quibria, Shamsun N. Ahmed, Ted Tschang, and Mari-Len Reyes-Macasaquit, October 2002</i></p> <p>No. 28 Regional Cooperation in Asia: Long-term Progress, Recent Retrogression, and the Way Forward
—<i>Ramgopal Agarwala and Brahm Prakash, October 2002</i></p> |
|---|---|

- No. 29 How can Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Viet Nam Cope with Revenue Lost Due to AFTA Tariff Reductions?
—*Kanokpan Lao-Araya*
November 2002
- No. 30 Asian Regionalism and Its Effects on Trade in the 1980s and 1990s
—*Ramon Clarete, Christopher Edmonds, and Jessica Seddon Wallack*
November 2002
- No. 31 New Economy and the Effects of Industrial Structures on International Equity Market Correlations
—*Cyn-Young Park and Jaejoon Woo*
December 2002
- No. 32 Leading Indicators of Business Cycles in Malaysia and the Philippines
—*Wenda Zhang and Juzhong Zhuang*
December 2002
- No. 33 Technological Spillovers from Foreign Direct Investment—A Survey
—*Emma Xiaoqin Fan*
December 2002
- No. 34 Economic Openness and Regional Development in the Philippines
—*Ernesto M. Pernia and Pilipinas F. Quising*
January 2003
- No. 35 Bond Market Development in East Asia: Issues and Challenges
—*Raul Fabella and Srinivasa Madhur*
January 2003
- No. 36 Environment Statistics in Central Asia: Progress and Prospects
—*Robert Ballance and Bishnu D. Pant*
March 2003
- No. 37 Electricity Demand in the People's Republic of China: Investment Requirement and Environmental Impact
—*Bo Q. Lin*
March 2003
- No. 38 Foreign Direct Investment in Developing Asia: Trends, Effects, and Likely Issues for the Forthcoming WTO Negotiations
—*Douglas H. Brooks, Emma Xiaoqin Fan, and Lea R. Sumulong*
April 2003
- No. 39 The Political Economy of Good Governance for Poverty Alleviation Policies
—*Narayan Lakshman*
April 2003
- No. 40 The Puzzle of Social Capital
A Critical Review
—*M. G. Quibria*
May 2003
- No. 41 Industrial Structure, Technical Change, and the Role of Government in Development of the Electronics and Information Industry in Taipei, China
—*Yeo Lin*
May 2003
- No. 42 Economic Growth and Poverty Reduction in Viet Nam
—*Arsenio M. Balisacan, Ernesto M. Pernia, and Gemma Esther B. Estrada*
June 2003
- No. 43 Why Has Income Inequality in Thailand Increased? An Analysis Using 1975-1998 Surveys
—*Taizo Motonishi*
June 2003
- No. 44 Welfare Impacts of Electricity Generation Sector Reform in the Philippines
—*Natsuko Toba*
June 2003
- No. 45 A Review of Commitment Savings Products in Developing Countries
—*Nava Ashraf, Nathalie Gons, Dean S. Karlan, and Wesley Yin*
July 2003
- No. 46 Local Government Finance, Private Resources, and Local Credit Markets in Asia
—*Roberto de Vera and Yun-Hwan Kim*
October 2003
- No. 47 Excess Investment and Efficiency Loss During Reforms: The Case of Provincial-level Fixed-Asset Investment in People's Republic of China
—*Duo Qin and Haiyan Song*
October 2003
- No. 48 Is Export-led Growth Passe? Implications for Developing Asia
—*Jesus Felipe*
December 2003
- No. 49 Changing Bank Lending Behavior and Corporate Financing in Asia—Some Research Issues
—*Emma Xiaoqin Fan and Akiko Terada-Hagiwara*
December 2003
- No. 50 Is People's Republic of China's Rising Services Sector Leading to Cost Disease?
—*Duo Qin*
March 2004
- No. 51 Poverty Estimates in India: Some Key Issues
—*Savita Sharma*
May 2004
- No. 52 Restructuring and Regulatory Reform in the Power Sector: Review of Experience and Issues
—*Peter Choynowski*
May 2004
- No. 53 Competitiveness, Income Distribution, and Growth in the Philippines: What Does the Long-run Evidence Show?
—*Jesus Felipe and Grace C. Sipin*
June 2004
- No. 54 Practices of Poverty Measurement and Poverty Profile of Bangladesh
—*Faizuddin Ahmed*
August 2004
- No. 55 Experience of Asian Asset Management Companies: Do They Increase Moral Hazard?
—Evidence from Thailand
—*Akiko Terada-Hagiwara and Gloria Pasadilla*
September 2004
- No. 56 Viet Nam: Foreign Direct Investment and Postcrisis Regional Integration
—*Vittorio Leproux and Douglas H. Brooks*
September 2004
- No. 57 Practices of Poverty Measurement and Poverty Profile of Nepal
—*Devendra Chhetry*
September 2004
- No. 58 Monetary Poverty Estimates in Sri Lanka: Selected Issues
—*Neranjana Gunetilleke and Dinushka Senanayake*
October 2004
- No. 59 Labor Market Distortions, Rural-Urban Inequality, and the Opening of People's Republic of China's Economy
—*Thomas Hertel and Fan Zhai*
November 2004

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>No. 60 Measuring Competitiveness in the World's Smallest Economies: Introducing the SSMECI
—Ganeshan Wignaraja and David Joiner
November 2004</p> <p>No. 61 Foreign Exchange Reserves, Exchange Rate Regimes, and Monetary Policy: Issues in Asia
—Akiko Terada-Hagiwara
January 2005</p> <p>No. 62 A Small Macroeconometric Model of the Philippine Economy
—Geoffrey Ducanes, Marie Anne Cagas, Duo Qin, Pilipinas Quising, and Nedelyn Magtibay-Ramos
January 2005</p> | <p>No. 63 Developing the Market for Local Currency Bonds by Foreign Issuers: Lessons from Asia
—Tobias Hoschka
February 2005</p> <p>No. 64 Empirical Assessment of Sustainability and Feasibility of Government Debt: The Philippines Case
—Duo Qin, Marie Anne Cagas, Geoffrey Ducanes, Nedelyn Magtibay-Ramos, and Pilipinas Quising
February 2005</p> |
|--|--|

ERD TECHNICAL NOTE SERIES (TNS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>No. 1 Contingency Calculations for Environmental Impacts with Unknown Monetary Values
—David Dole
February 2002</p> <p>No. 2 Integrating Risk into ADB's Economic Analysis of Projects
—Nigel Rayner, Anneli Lagman-Martin, and Keith Ward
June 2002</p> <p>No. 3 Measuring Willingness to Pay for Electricity
—Peter Choynowski
July 2002</p> <p>No. 4 Economic Issues in the Design and Analysis of a Wastewater Treatment Project
—David Dole
July 2002</p> <p>No. 5 An Analysis and Case Study of the Role of Environmental Economics at the Asian Development Bank
—David Dole and Piya Abeygunawardena
September 2002</p> <p>No. 6 Economic Analysis of Health Projects: A Case Study in Cambodia</p> | <p>—Erik Bloom and Peter Choynowski
May 2003</p> <p>No. 7 Strengthening the Economic Analysis of Natural Resource Management Projects
—Keith Ward
September 2003</p> <p>No. 8 Testing Savings Product Innovations Using an Experimental Methodology
—Nava Ashraf, Dean S. Karlan, and Wesley Yin
November 2003</p> <p>No. 9 Setting User Charges for Public Services: Policies and Practice at the Asian Development Bank
—David Dole
December 2003</p> <p>No. 10 Beyond Cost Recovery: Setting User Charges for Financial, Economic, and Social Goals
—David Dole and Ian Bartlett
January 2004</p> <p>No. 11 Shadow Exchange Rates for Project Economic Analysis: Toward Improving Practice at the Asian Development Bank
—Anneli Lagman-Martin
February 2004</p> |
|--|---|

ERD POLICY BRIEF SERIES (PBS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>No. 1 Is Growth Good Enough for the Poor?
—Ernesto M. Pernia, October 2001</p> <p>No. 2 India's Economic Reforms
What Has Been Accomplished?
What Remains to Be Done?
—Arvind Panagariya, November 2001</p> <p>No. 3 Unequal Benefits of Growth in Viet Nam
—Indu Bhushan, Erik Bloom, and Nguyen Minh Thang, January 2002</p> <p>No. 4 Is Volatility Built into Today's World Economy?
—J. Malcolm Dowling and J.P. Verbiest, February 2002</p> <p>No. 5 What Else Besides Growth Matters to Poverty Reduction? Philippines
—Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia, February 2002</p> | <p>No. 6 Achieving the Twin Objectives of Efficiency and Equity: Contracting Health Services in Cambodia
—Indu Bhushan, Sheryl Keller, and Brad Schwartz, March 2002</p> <p>No. 7 Causes of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis: What Can an Early Warning System Model Tell Us?
—Juzhong Zhuang and Malcolm Dowling, June 2002</p> <p>No. 8 The Role of Preferential Trading Arrangements in Asia
—Christopher Edmonds and Jean-Pierre Verbiest, July 2002</p> <p>No. 9 The Doha Round: A Development Perspective
—Jean-Pierre Verbiest, Jeffrey Liang, and Lea Sumulong
July 2002</p> |
|--|---|

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>No. 10 Is Economic Openness Good for Regional Development and Poverty Reduction? The Philippines
—<i>E. M. Pernia and P. F. Quising</i>
October 2002</p> <p>No. 11 Implications of a US Dollar Depreciation for Asian Developing Countries
—<i>Emma Fan</i>
July 2002</p> <p>No. 12 Dangers of Deflation
—<i>D. Brooks and P. F. Quising</i>
December 2002</p> <p>No. 13 Infrastructure and Poverty Reduction—What is the Connection?
—<i>I. Ali and E. Pernia</i>
January 2003</p> <p>No. 14 Infrastructure and Poverty Reduction—Making Markets Work for the Poor
—<i>Xianbin Yao</i>
May 2003</p> <p>No. 15 SARS: Economic Impacts and Implications
—<i>Emma Xiaoqin Fan</i>
May 2003</p> <p>No. 16 Emerging Tax Issues: Implications of Globalization and Technology
—<i>Kanokpan Lao Araya</i>
May 2003</p> <p>No. 17 Pro-Poor Growth: What is It and Why is It Important?
—<i>Ernesto M. Pernia</i>
May 2003</p> <p>No. 18 Public-Private Partnership for Competitiveness
—<i>Jesus Felipe</i>
June 2003</p> <p>No. 19 Reviving Asian Economic Growth Requires Further Reforms
—<i>Ifzal Ali</i>
June 2003</p> <p>No. 20 The Millennium Development Goals and Poverty: Are We Counting the World's Poor Right?
—<i>M. G. Quibria</i>
July 2003</p> <p>No. 21 Trade and Poverty: What are the Connections?
—<i>Douglas H. Brooks</i>
July 2003</p> | <p>No. 22 Adapting Education to the Global Economy
—<i>Olivier Dupriez</i>
September 2003</p> <p>No. 23 Avian Flu: An Economic Assessment for Selected Developing Countries in Asia
—<i>Jean-Pierre Verbiest and Charissa Castillo</i>
March 2004</p> <p>No. 25 Purchasing Power Parities and the International Comparison Program in a Globalized World
—<i>Bishnu Pant</i>
March 2004</p> <p>No. 26 A Note on Dual/Multiple Exchange Rates
—<i>Emma Xiaoqin Fan</i>
May 2004</p> <p>No. 27 Inclusive Growth for Sustainable Poverty Reduction in Developing Asia: The Enabling Role of Infrastructure Development
—<i>Ifzal Ali and Xianbin Yao</i>
May 2004</p> <p>No. 28 Higher Oil Prices: Asian Perspectives and Implications for 2004-2005
—<i>Cyn-Young Park</i>
June 2004</p> <p>No. 29 Accelerating Agriculture and Rural Development for Inclusive Growth: Policy Implications for Developing Asia
—<i>Richard Bolt</i>
July 2004</p> <p>No. 30 Living with Higher Interest Rates: Is Asia Ready?
—<i>Cyn-Young Park</i>
August 2004</p> <p>No. 31 Reserve Accumulation, Sterilization, and Policy Dilemma
—<i>Akiko Terada-Hagiwara</i>
October 2004</p> <p>32 The Primacy of Reforms in the Emergence of People's Republic of China and India
—<i>Ifzal Ali and Emma Xiaoqin Fan</i>
November 2004</p> <p>33 Population Health and Foreign Direct Investment: Does Poor Health Signal Poor Government Effectiveness?
—<i>Ajay Tandon</i>
January 2005</p> |
|--|--|

SERIALS

(Co-published with Oxford University Press; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Associated Companies, and Agents)

1. Asian Development Outlook (ADO; annual)
\$36.00 (paperback)
2. Key Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries (KI; annual)
\$35.00 (paperback)

JOURNAL

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

1. Asian Development Review (ADR; semiannual)
\$5.00 per issue; \$8.00 per year (2 issues)

MONOGRAPH SERIES

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

EDRC REPORT SERIES (ER)

- | | | | |
|--------|---|--------|--|
| No. 1 | ASEAN and the Asian Development Bank
— <i>Seiji Naya, April 1982</i> | No. 22 | Effects of External Shocks on the Balance of Payments, Policy Responses, and Debt Problems of Asian Developing Countries
— <i>Seiji Naya, December 1983</i> |
| No. 2 | Development Issues for the Developing East and Southeast Asian Countries and International Cooperation
— <i>Seiji Naya and Graham Abbott, April 1982</i> | No. 23 | Changing Trade Patterns and Policy Issues: The Prospects for East and Southeast Asian Developing Countries
— <i>Seiji Naya and Ulrich Hiemenz, February 1984</i> |
| No. 3 | Aid, Savings, and Growth in the Asian Region
— <i>J. Malcolm Dowling and Ulrich Hiemenz, April 1982</i> | No. 24 | Small-Scale Industries in Asian Economic Development: Problems and Prospects
— <i>Seiji Naya, February 1984</i> |
| No. 4 | Development-oriented Foreign Investment and the Role of ADB
— <i>Kiyoshi Kojima, April 1982</i> | No. 25 | A Study on the External Debt Indicators Applying Logit Analysis
— <i>Jungsoo Lee and Clarita Barretto, February 1984</i> |
| No. 5 | The Multilateral Development Banks and the International Economy's Missing Public Sector
— <i>John Lewis, June 1982</i> | No. 26 | Alternatives to Institutional Credit Programs in the Agricultural Sector of Low-Income Countries
— <i>Jennifer Sour, March 1984</i> |
| No. 6 | Notes on External Debt of DMCs
— <i>Evelyn Go, July 1982</i> | No. 27 | Economic Scene in Asia and Its Special Features
— <i>Kedar N. Kohli, November 1984</i> |
| No. 7 | Grant Element in Bank Loans
— <i>Dal Hyun Kim, July 1982</i> | No. 28 | The Effect of Terms of Trade Changes on the Balance of Payments and Real National Income of Asian Developing Countries
— <i>Jungsoo Lee and Lutgarda Labios, January 1985</i> |
| No. 8 | Shadow Exchange Rates and Standard Conversion Factors in Project Evaluation
— <i>Peter Warr, September 1982</i> | No. 29 | Cause and Effect in the World Sugar Market: Some Empirical Findings 1951-1982
— <i>Yoshihiro Iwasaki, February 1985</i> |
| No. 9 | Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Establishments in ASEAN Countries: Perspectives and Policy Issues
— <i>Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, January 1983</i> | No. 30 | Sources of Balance of Payments Problem in the 1970s: The Asian Experience
— <i>Pradumna Rana, February 1985</i> |
| No. 10 | A Note on the Third Ministerial Meeting of GATT
— <i>Jungsoo Lee, January 1983</i> | No. 31 | India's Manufactured Exports: An Analysis of Supply Sectors
— <i>Ifzal Ali, February 1985</i> |
| No. 11 | Macroeconomic Forecasts for the Republic of China, Hong Kong, and Republic of Korea
— <i>J.M. Dowling, January 1983</i> | No. 32 | Meeting Basic Human Needs in Asian Developing Countries
— <i>Jungsoo Lee and Emma Banaria, March 1985</i> |
| No. 12 | ASEAN: Economic Situation and Prospects
— <i>Seiji Naya, March 1983</i> | No. 33 | The Impact of Foreign Capital Inflow on Investment and Economic Growth in Developing Asia
— <i>Evelyn Go, May 1985</i> |
| No. 13 | The Future Prospects for the Developing Countries of Asia
— <i>Seiji Naya, March 1983</i> | No. 34 | The Climate for Energy Development in the Pacific and Asian Region: Priorities and Perspectives
— <i>V.V. Desai, April 1986</i> |
| No. 14 | Energy and Structural Change in the Asia-Pacific Region, Summary of the Thirteenth Pacific Trade and Development Conference
— <i>Seiji Naya, March 1983</i> | No. 35 | Impact of Appreciation of the Yen on Developing Member Countries of the Bank
— <i>Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna Rana, and Ifzal Ali, May 1986</i> |
| No. 15 | A Survey of Empirical Studies on Demand for Electricity with Special Emphasis on Price Elasticity of Demand
— <i>Wisarn Pupphavesa, June 1983</i> | No. 36 | Smuggling and Domestic Economic Policies in Developing Countries
— <i>A.H.M.N. Chowdhury, October 1986</i> |
| No. 16 | Determinants of Paddy Production in Indonesia: 1972-1981—A Simultaneous Equation Model Approach
— <i>T.K. Jayaraman, June 1983</i> | No. 37 | Public Investment Criteria: Economic Internal Rate of Return and Equalizing Discount Rate
— <i>Ifzal Ali, November 1986</i> |
| No. 17 | The Philippine Economy: Economic Forecasts for 1983 and 1984
— <i>J.M. Dowling, E. Go, and C.N. Castillo, June 1983</i> | No. 38 | Review of the Theory of Neoclassical Political Economy: An Application to Trade Policies
— <i>M.G. Quibria, December 1986</i> |
| No. 18 | Economic Forecast for Indonesia
— <i>J.M. Dowling, H.Y. Kim, Y.K. Wang, and C.N. Castillo, June 1983</i> | No. 39 | Factors Influencing the Choice of Location: Local and Foreign Firms in the Philippines
— <i>E.M. Pernia and A.N. Herrin, February 1987</i> |
| No. 19 | Relative External Debt Situation of Asian Developing Countries: An Application of Ranking Method
— <i>Jungsoo Lee, June 1983</i> | No. 40 | A Demographic Perspective on Developing Asia and Its Relevance to the Bank
— <i>E.M. Pernia, May 1987</i> |
| No. 20 | New Evidence on Yields, Fertilizer Application, and Prices in Asian Rice Production
— <i>William James and Teresita Ramirez, July 1983</i> | No. 41 | Emerging Issues in Asia and Social Cost Benefit Analysis
— <i>I. Ali, September 1988</i> |
| No. 21 | Inflationary Effects of Exchange Rate Changes in Nine Asian LDCs
— <i>Pradumna B. Rana and J. Malcolm Dowling, Jr., December 1983</i> | No. 42 | Shifting Revealed Comparative Advantage: |

- Experiences of Asian and Pacific Developing Countries
—*P.B. Rana, November 1988*
- No. 43 Agricultural Price Policy in Asia: Issues and Areas of Reforms
—*I. Ali, November 1988*
- No. 44 Service Trade and Asian Developing Economies
—*M.G. Quibria, October 1989*
- No. 45 A Review of the Economic Analysis of Power Projects in Asia and Identification of Areas of Improvement
—*I. Ali, November 1989*
- No. 46 Growth Perspective and Challenges for Asia: Areas for Policy Review and Research
—*I. Ali, November 1989*
- No. 47 An Approach to Estimating the Poverty Alleviation Impact of an Agricultural Project
—*I. Ali, January 1990*
- No. 48 Economic Growth Performance of Indonesia, the Philippines, and Thailand: The Human Resource Dimension
—*E.M. Pernia, January 1990*
- No. 49 Foreign Exchange and Fiscal Impact of a Project: A Methodological Framework for Estimation
—*I. Ali, February 1990*
- No. 50 Public Investment Criteria: Financial and Economic Internal Rates of Return
—*I. Ali, April 1990*
- No. 51 Evaluation of Water Supply Projects: An Economic Framework
—*Arlene M. Tadler, June 1990*
- No. 52 Interrelationship Between Shadow Prices, Project Investment, and Policy Reforms: An Analytical Framework
—*I. Ali, November 1990*
- No. 53 Issues in Assessing the Impact of Project and Sector Adjustment Lending
—*I. Ali, December 1990*
- No. 54 Some Aspects of Urbanization and the Environment in Southeast Asia
—*Ernesto M. Pernia, January 1991*
- No. 55 Financial Sector and Economic Development: A Survey
—*Jungsoo Lee, September 1991*
- No. 56 A Framework for Justifying Bank-Assisted Education Projects in Asia: A Review of the Socioeconomic Analysis and Identification of Areas of Improvement
—*Etienne Van De Walle, February 1992*
- No. 57 Medium-term Growth-Stabilization Relationship in Asian Developing Countries and Some Policy Considerations
—*Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1993*
- No. 58 Urbanization, Population Distribution, and Economic Development in Asia
—*Ernesto M. Pernia, February 1993*
- No. 59 The Need for Fiscal Consolidation in Nepal: The Results of a Simulation
—*Filippo di Mauro and Ronald Antonio Butiong, July 1993*
- No. 60 A Computable General Equilibrium Model of Nepal
—*Timothy Buehrer and Filippo di Mauro, October 1993*
- No. 61 The Role of Government in Export Expansion in the Republic of Korea: A Revisit
—*Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1994*
- No. 62 Rural Reforms, Structural Change, and Agricultural Growth in the People's Republic of China
—*Bo Lin, August 1994*
- No. 63 Incentives and Regulation for Pollution Abatement with an Application to Waste Water Treatment
—*Sudipto Mundle, U. Shankar, and Shekhar Mehta, October 1995*
- No. 64 Saving Transitions in Southeast Asia
—*Frank Harrigan, February 1996*
- No. 65 Total Factor Productivity Growth in East Asia: A Critical Survey
—*Jesus Felipe, September 1997*
- No. 66 Foreign Direct Investment in Pakistan: Policy Issues and Operational Implications
—*Ashfaq H. Khan and Yun-Hwan Kim, July 1999*
- No. 67 Fiscal Policy, Income Distribution and Growth
—*Sailesh K. Jha, November 1999*

ECONOMIC STAFF PAPERS (ES)

- No. 1 International Reserves: Factors Determining Needs and Adequacy
—*Evelyn Go, May 1981*
- No. 2 Domestic Savings in Selected Developing Asian Countries
—*Basil Moore, assisted by A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury, September 1981*
- No. 3 Changes in Consumption, Imports and Exports of Oil Since 1973: A Preliminary Survey of the Developing Member Countries of the Asian Development Bank
—*Dal Hyun Kim and Graham Abbott, September 1981*
- No. 4 By-Passed Areas, Regional Inequalities, and Development Policies in Selected Southeast Asian Countries
—*William James, October 1981*
- No. 5 Asian Agriculture and Economic Development
—*William James, March 1982*
- No. 6 Inflation in Developing Member Countries: An Analysis of Recent Trends
—*A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury and J. Malcolm Dowling, March 1982*
- No. 7 Industrial Growth and Employment in Developing Asian Countries: Issues and Perspectives for the Coming Decade
—*Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1982*
- No. 8 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part 1: Regional Adjustments and the World Economy
—*Burnham Campbell, April 1982*
- No. 9 Developing Asia: The Importance of Domestic Policies
—*Economics Office Staff under the direction of Seiji Naya, May 1982*
- No. 10 Financial Development and Household Savings: Issues in Domestic Resource Mobilization in Asian Developing Countries
—*Wan-Soon Kim, July 1982*
- No. 11 Industrial Development: Role of Specialized Financial Institutions
—*Kedar N. Kohli, August 1982*
- No. 12 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part II: Debt Problems and an Evaluation of Suggested Remedies
—*Burnham Campbell, September 1982*
- No. 13 Credit Rationing, Rural Savings, and Financial Policy in Developing Countries
—*William James, September 1982*
- No. 14 Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing

- Establishments in ASEAN Countries: Perspectives and Policy Issues
—*Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1983*
- No. 15 Income Distribution and Economic Growth in Developing Asian Countries
—*J. Malcolm Dowling and David Soo, March 1983*
- No. 16 Long-Run Debt-Servicing Capacity of Asian Developing Countries: An Application of Critical Interest Rate Approach
—*Jungsoo Lee, June 1983*
- No. 17 External Shocks, Energy Policy, and Macroeconomic Performance of Asian Developing Countries: A Policy Analysis
—*William James, July 1983*
- No. 18 The Impact of the Current Exchange Rate System on Trade and Inflation of Selected Developing Member Countries
—*Pradumna Rana, September 1983*
- No. 19 Asian Agriculture in Transition: Key Policy Issues
—*William James, September 1983*
- No. 20 The Transition to an Industrial Economy in Monsoon Asia
—*Harry T. Oshima, October 1983*
- No. 21 The Significance of Off-Farm Employment and Incomes in Post-War East Asian Growth
—*Harry T. Oshima, January 1984*
- No. 22 Income Distribution and Poverty in Selected Asian Countries
—*John Malcolm Dowling, Jr., November 1984*
- No. 23 ASEAN Economies and ASEAN Economic Cooperation
—*Narongchai Akrasanee, November 1984*
- No. 24 Economic Analysis of Power Projects
—*Nitin Desai, January 1985*
- No. 25 Exports and Economic Growth in the Asian Region
—*Pradumna Rana, February 1985*
- No. 26 Patterns of External Financing of DMCs
—*E. Go, May 1985*
- No. 27 Industrial Technology Development the Republic of Korea
—*S.Y. Lo, July 1985*
- No. 28 Risk Analysis and Project Selection: A Review of Practical Issues
—*J.K. Johnson, August 1985*
- No. 29 Rice in Indonesia: Price Policy and Comparative Advantage
—*I. Ali, January 1986*
- No. 30 Effects of Foreign Capital Inflows on Developing Countries of Asia
—*Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna B. Rana, and Yoshihiro Iwasaki, April 1986*
- No. 31 Economic Analysis of the Environmental Impacts of Development Projects
—*John A. Dixon et al., EAPI, East-West Center, August 1986*
- No. 32 Science and Technology for Development: Role of the Bank
—*Kedar N. Kohli and Ifzal Ali, November 1986*
- No. 33 Satellite Remote Sensing in the Asian and Pacific Region
—*Mohan Sundara Rajan, December 1986*
- No. 34 Changes in the Export Patterns of Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: An Empirical Overview
—*Pradumna B. Rana, January 1987*
- No. 35 Agricultural Price Policy in Nepal
—*Gerald C. Nelson, March 1987*
- No. 36 Implications of Falling Primary Commodity Prices for Agricultural Strategy in the Philippines
—*Ifzal Ali, September 1987*
- No. 37 Determining Irrigation Charges: A Framework
—*Prabhakar B. Ghate, October 1987*
- No. 38 The Role of Fertilizer Subsidies in Agricultural Production: A Review of Select Issues
—*M.G. Quibria, October 1987*
- No. 39 Domestic Adjustment to External Shocks in Developing Asia
—*Jungsoo Lee, October 1987*
- No. 40 Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization through Financial Development: Indonesia
—*Philip Erquiaga, November 1987*
- No. 41 Recent Trends and Issues on Foreign Direct Investment in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries
—*P.B. Rana, March 1988*
- No. 42 Manufactured Exports from the Philippines: A Sector Profile and an Agenda for Reform
—*I. Ali, September 1988*
- No. 43 A Framework for Evaluating the Economic Benefits of Power Projects
—*I. Ali, August 1989*
- No. 44 Promotion of Manufactured Exports in Pakistan
—*Jungsoo Lee and Yoshihiro Iwasaki, September 1989*
- No. 45 Education and Labor Markets in Indonesia: A Sector Survey
—*Ernesto M. Pernia and David N. Wilson, September 1989*
- No. 46 Industrial Technology Capabilities and Policies in Selected ADCs
—*Hiroshi Kakazu, June 1990*
- No. 47 Designing Strategies and Policies for Managing Structural Change in Asia
—*Ifzal Ali, June 1990*
- No. 48 The Completion of the Single European Community Market in 1992: A Tentative Assessment of its Impact on Asian Developing Countries
—*J.P. Verbiest and Min Tang, June 1991*
- No. 49 Economic Analysis of Investment in Power Systems
—*Ifzal Ali, June 1991*
- No. 50 External Finance and the Role of Multilateral Financial Institutions in South Asia: Changing Patterns, Prospects, and Challenges
—*Jungsoo Lee, November 1991*
- No. 51 The Gender and Poverty Nexus: Issues and Policies
—*M.G. Quibria, November 1993*
- No. 52 The Role of the State in Economic Development: Theory, the East Asian Experience, and the Malaysian Case
—*Jason Brown, December 1993*
- No. 53 The Economic Benefits of Potable Water Supply Projects to Households in Developing Countries
—*Dale Whittington and Venkateswarlu Swarna, January 1994*
- No. 54 Growth Triangles: Conceptual Issues and Operational Problems
—*Min Tang and Myo Thant, February 1994*
- No. 55 The Emerging Global Trading Environment and Developing Asia
—*Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria, and Narhari Rao, July 1996*
- No. 56 Aspects of Urban Water and Sanitation in the Context of Rapid Urbanization in Developing Asia
—*Ernesto M. Pernia and Stella LF. Alabastro, September 1997*
- No. 57 Challenges for Asia's Trade and Environment
—*Douglas H. Brooks, January 1998*
- No. 58 Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects: A Review of Issues, Methods, and Approaches
—*Ramesh Adhikari, Paul Gertler, and Anneli Lagman, March 1999*
- No. 59 The Asian Crisis: An Alternate View
—*Rajiv Kumar and Bibek Debroy, July 1999*
- No. 60 Social Consequences of the Financial Crisis in Asia
—*James C. Knowles, Ernesto M. Pernia, and Mary Racelis, November 1999*

OCCASIONAL PAPERS (OP)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>No. 1 Poverty in the People's Republic of China: Recent Developments and Scope for Bank Assistance
—K.H. Moinuddin, November 1992</p> <p>No. 2 The Eastern Islands of Indonesia: An Overview of Development Needs and Potential
—Brien K. Parkinson, January 1993</p> <p>No. 3 Rural Institutional Finance in Bangladesh and Nepal: Review and Agenda for Reforms
—A.H.M.N. Chowdhury and Marcelia C. Garcia, November 1993</p> <p>No. 4 Fiscal Deficits and Current Account Imbalances of the South Pacific Countries: A Case Study of Vanuatu
—T.K. Jayaraman, December 1993</p> <p>No. 5 Reforms in the Transitional Economies of Asia
—Pradumna B. Rana, December 1993</p> <p>No. 6 Environmental Challenges in the People's Republic of China and Scope for Bank Assistance
—Elisabetta Capannelli and Omkar L. Shrestha, December 1993</p> <p>No. 7 Sustainable Development Environment and Poverty Nexus
—K.F. Jalal, December 1993</p> <p>No. 8 Intermediate Services and Economic Development: The Malaysian Example
—Sutanu Behuria and Rahul Khullar, May 1994</p> <p>No. 9 Interest Rate Deregulation: A Brief Survey of the Policy Issues and the Asian Experience
—Carlos J. Glower, July 1994</p> <p>No. 10 Some Aspects of Land Administration in Indonesia: Implications for Bank Operations
—Sutanu Behuria, July 1994</p> <p>No. 11 Demographic and Socioeconomic Determinants of Contraceptive Use among Urban Women in the Melanesian Countries in the South Pacific: A Case Study of Port Vila Town in Vanuatu
—T.K. Jayaraman, February 1995</p> | <p>No. 12 Managing Development through Institution Building
—Hilton L. Root, October 1995</p> <p>No. 13 Growth, Structural Change, and Optimal Poverty Interventions
—Shiladitya Chatterjee, November 1995</p> <p>No. 14 Private Investment and Macroeconomic Environment in the South Pacific Island Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis
—T.K. Jayaraman, October 1996</p> <p>No. 15 The Rural-Urban Transition in Viet Nam: Some Selected Issues
—Sudipto Mundle and Brian Van Arkadie, October 1997</p> <p>No. 16 A New Approach to Setting the Future Transport Agenda
—Roger Allport, Geoff Key, and Charles Melhuish, June 1998</p> <p>No. 17 Adjustment and Distribution: The Indian Experience
—Sudipto Mundle and V.B. Tulasidhar, June 1998</p> <p>No. 18 Tax Reforms in Viet Nam: A Selective Analysis
—Sudipto Mundle, December 1998</p> <p>No. 19 Surges and Volatility of Private Capital Flows to Asian Developing Countries: Implications for Multilateral Development Banks
—Pradumna B. Rana, December 1998</p> <p>No. 20 The Millennium Round and the Asian Economies: An Introduction
—Dilip K. Das, October 1999</p> <p>No. 21 Occupational Segregation and the Gender Earnings Gap
—Joseph E. Zveglic, Jr. and Yana van der Meulen Rodgers, December 1999</p> <p>No. 22 Information Technology: Next Locomotive of Growth?
—Dilip K. Das, June 2000</p> |
|--|---|

STATISTICAL REPORT SERIES (SR)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>No. 1 Estimates of the Total External Debt of the Developing Member Countries of ADB: 1981-1983
—I.P. David, September 1984</p> <p>No. 2 Multivariate Statistical and Graphical Classification Techniques Applied to the Problem of Grouping Countries
—I.P. David and D.S. Maligalig, March 1985</p> <p>No. 3 Gross National Product (GNP) Measurement Issues in South Pacific Developing Member Countries of ADB
—S.G. Tiwari, September 1985</p> <p>No. 4 Estimates of Comparable Savings in Selected DMCs
—Hananto Sigit, December 1985</p> <p>No. 5 Keeping Sample Survey Design and Analysis Simple
—I.P. David, December 1985</p> <p>No. 6 External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries
—I.P. David and Jungsoo Lee, March 1986</p> <p>No. 7 Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South Pacific Developing Member Countries. Part I: Existing National Accounts of SPDMCs—Analysis of Methodology and Application of SNA Concepts
—P. Hodgkinson, October 1986</p> <p>No. 8 Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South Pacific Developing Member Countries. Part II: Factors Affecting Inter-country Comparability of Per Capita GNP
—P. Hodgkinson, October 1986</p> <p>No. 9 Survey of the External Debt Situation</p> | <p>in Asian Developing Countries, 1985
—Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1987</p> <p>No. 10 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries, 1986
—Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1988</p> <p>No. 11 Changing Pattern of Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries
—Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, March 1989</p> <p>No. 12 The State of Agricultural Statistics in Southeast Asia
—I.P. David, March 1989</p> <p>No. 13 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1987-1988
—Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, July 1989</p> <p>No. 14 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1988-1989
—Jungsoo Lee, May 1990</p> <p>No. 15 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1989-1992
—Min Tang, June 1991</p> <p>No. 16 Recent Trends and Prospects of External Debt Situation and Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries
—Min Tang and Aludía Pardo, June 1992</p> <p>No. 17 Purchasing Power Parity in Asian Developing Countries: A Co-Integration Test
—Min Tang and Ronald Q. Butiong, April 1994</p> <p>No. 18 Capital Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: Recent Trends and Future Prospects
—Min Tang and James Villafuerte, October 1995</p> |
|---|---|

SPECIAL STUDIES, OUP (SS,Comm)

(Co-published titles; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Edward Elgar Publishing, and Palgrave MacMillan)

FROM OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS:

Oxford University Press (China) Ltd
18th Floor, Warwick House East
Taikoo Place, 979 King's Road
Quarry Bay, Hong Kong
Tel (852) 2516 3222
Fax (852) 2565 8491
E-mail: webmaster@oupchina.com.hk
Web: www.oupchina.com.hk

1. Informal Finance: Some Findings from Asia
Prabhu Ghatge et. al., 1992
\$15.00 (paperback)
2. Mongolia: A Centrally Planned Economy
in Transition
Asian Development Bank, 1992
\$15.00 (paperback)
3. Rural Poverty in Asia, Priority Issues and Policy
Options
Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1994
\$25.00 (paperback)
4. Growth Triangles in Asia: A New Approach
to Regional Economic Cooperation
Edited by Myo Thant, Min Tang, and Hiroshi Kakazu
1st ed., 1994 \$36.00 (hardbound)
Revised ed., 1998 \$55.00 (hardbound)
5. Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues
Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994
\$18.00 (paperback)
6. Critical Issues in Asian Development:
Theories, Experiences, and Policies
Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1995
\$15.00 (paperback)
\$36.00 (hardbound)
7. Financial Sector Development in Asia
Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995
\$50.00 (hardbound)
8. Financial Sector Development in Asia: Country Studies
Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995
\$55.00 (hardbound)
9. Fiscal Management and Economic Reform
in the People's Republic of China
*Christine P.W. Wong, Christopher Heady,
and Wing T. Woo, 1995*
\$15.00 (paperback)
10. From Centrally Planned to Market Economies:
The Asian Approach
Edited by Pradumna B. Rana and Naved Hamid, 1995
Vol. 1: Overview
\$36.00 (hardbound)
Vol. 2: People's Republic of China and Mongolia
\$50.00 (hardbound)
Vol. 3: Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Viet Nam
\$50.00 (hardbound)
11. Current Issues in Economic Development:
An Asian Perspective
Edited by M.G. Quibria and J. Malcolm Dowling, 1996
\$50.00 (hardbound)
12. The Bangladesh Economy in Transition
Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1997
\$20.00 (hardbound)
13. The Global Trading System and Developing Asia
*Edited by Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria,
and Narhari Rao, 1997*
\$55.00 (hardbound)
14. Social Sector Issues in Transitional Economies of Asia
Edited by Douglas H. Brooks and Myo Thant, 1998
\$25.00 (paperback)
\$55.00 (hardbound)

15. Intergovernmental Fiscal Transfers in Asia: Current
Practice and Challenges for the Future
Edited by Yun-Hwan Kim and Paul Smoke, 2003
\$15.00 (paperback)
16. Local Government Finance and Bond Markets
Edited by Yun-Hwan Kim, 2003
\$15.00 (paperback)

FROM EDWARD ELGAR:

Marston Book Services Limited
PO Box 269, Abingdon
Oxon OX14 4YN
United Kingdom
Tel +44 1235 465500
Fax +44 1235 465555
Email: direct.order@marston.co.uk
Web: www.marston.co.uk

1. Reducing Poverty in Asia: Emerging Issues in Growth,
Targeting, and Measurement
Edited by Christopher M. Edmonds, 2003

FROM PALGRAVE MACMILLAN:

Palgrave Macmillan Ltd
Houndmills
Basingstoke
Hampshire RG21 6XS
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 (0)1256 329242
Fax: +44 (0)1256 479476
Email: orders@palgrave.com
Web: www.palgrave.com/home/

1. Managing FDI in a Globalizing Economy
Asian Experiences
Edited by Douglas H. Brooks and Hal Hill
2004
2. Poverty, Growth, and Institutions in Developing Asia
Edited by Ernesto M. Pernia and Anil B. Deolalikar,
2003

SPECIAL STUDIES, ADB (SS, ADB)

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

1. Rural Poverty in Developing Asia
Edited by M.G. Quibria
Vol. 1: Bangladesh, India, and Sri Lanka, 1994 \$35.00 (paperback)
Vol. 2: Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Philippines, and Thailand, 1996 \$35.00 (paperback)
2. Gender Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries
Asian Development Bank, 1993
\$25.00 (paperback)
3. External Shocks and Policy Adjustments: Lessons from the Gulf Crisis
Edited by Naved Hamid and Shahid N. Zahid, 1995
\$15.00 (paperback)
4. Indonesia-Malaysia-Thailand Growth Triangle: Theory to Practice
Edited by Myo Thant and Min Tang, 1996
\$15.00 (paperback)
5. Emerging Asia: Changes and Challenges
Asian Development Bank, 1997
\$30.00 (paperback)
6. Asian Exports
Edited by Dilip Das, 1999
\$35.00 (paperback)
\$55.00 (hardbound)
7. Development of Environment Statistics in Developing Asian and Pacific Countries
Asian Development Bank, 1999
\$30.00 (paperback)
8. Mortgage-Backed Securities Markets in Asia
Edited by S.Ghon Rhee & Yutaka Shimomoto, 1999
\$35.00 (paperback)
9. Rising to the Challenge in Asia: A Study of Financial Markets
Asian Development Bank
Vol. 1: An Overview, 2000 \$20.00 (paperback)
Vol. 2: Special Issues, 1999 \$15.00 (paperback)
Vol. 3: Sound Practices, 2000 \$25.00 (paperback)
Vol. 4: People's Republic of China, 1999 \$20.00 (paperback)
Vol. 5: India, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
Vol. 6: Indonesia, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
Vol. 7: Republic of Korea, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
Vol. 8: Malaysia, 1999 \$20.00 (paperback)
Vol. 9: Pakistan, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
Vol. 10: Philippines, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
Vol. 11: Thailand, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
Vol. 12: Socialist Republic of Viet Nam, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
10. Corporate Governance and Finance in East Asia: A Study of Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand
J. Zhuang, David Edwards, D. Webb, & Ma. Virginita Capulong
Vol. 1: A Consolidated Report, 2000 \$10.00 (paperback)
Vol. 2: Country Studies, 2001 \$15.00 (paperback)
11. Financial Management and Governance Issues
Asian Development Bank, 2000
Cambodia \$10.00 (paperback)
People's Republic of China \$10.00 (paperback)
Mongolia \$10.00 (paperback)
Pakistan \$10.00 (paperback)
Papua New Guinea \$10.00 (paperback)
Uzbekistan \$10.00 (paperback)
Viet Nam \$10.00 (paperback)
Selected Developing Member Countries \$10.00 (paperback)
12. Government Bond Market Development in Asia
Edited by Yun-Hwan Kim, 2001
\$25.00 (paperback)
13. Intergovernmental Fiscal Transfers in Asia: Current Practice and Challenges for the Future
Edited by Paul Smoke and Yun-Hwan Kim, 2002
\$15.00 (paperback)
14. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects
Asian Development Bank, 1997
\$10.00 (paperback)
15. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Telecommunications Projects
Asian Development Bank, 1997
\$10.00 (paperback)
16. Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects
Asian Development Bank, 1999
\$10.00 (hardbound)
17. Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects
Asian Development Bank, 2000
\$10.00 (paperback)
18. Handbook for Integrating Poverty Impact Assessment in the Economic Analysis of Projects
Asian Development Bank, 2001
\$10.00 (paperback)
19. Handbook for Integrating Risk Analysis in the Economic Analysis of Projects
Asian Development Bank, 2002
\$10.00 (paperback)
20. Guidelines for the Financial Governance and Management of Investment Projects Financed by the Asian Development Bank
Asian Development Bank, 2002
\$10.00 (paperback)
21. Handbook on Environment Statistics
Asian Development Bank, 2002
\$10.00 (hardback)
22. Defining an Agenda for Poverty Reduction, Volume 1
Edited by Christopher Edmonds and Sara Medina, 2002
\$15.00 (paperback)
23. Defining an Agenda for Poverty Reduction, Volume 2
Edited by Isabel Ortiz, 2002
\$15.00 (paperback)
24. Economic Analysis of Policy-based Operations: Key Dimensions
Asian Development Bank, 2003
\$10.00 (paperback)

SPECIAL STUDIES, COMPLIMENTARY (SSC)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

1. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Overview *September 1985*
2. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Bangladesh *July 1986*
3. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Sri Lanka *April 1987*
4. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: India *December 1987*
5. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Overview *January 1988*
6. Study of Selected Industries: A Brief Report *April 1988*
7. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Bangladesh *June 1988*
8. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: India *June 1988*
9. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Indonesia *June 1988*
10. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Nepal *June 1988*
11. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Pakistan *June 1988*
12. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Philippines *June 1988*
13. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Thailand *June 1988*
14. Towards Regional Cooperation in South Asia: ADB/EWC Symposium on Regional Cooperation in South Asia *February 1988*
15. Evaluating Rice Market Intervention Policies: Some Asian Examples *April 1988*
16. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Nepal *November 1988*
17. Foreign Trade Barriers and Export Growth *September 1988*
18. The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Industries in the Industrial Development of the Philippines *April 1989*
19. The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Industries in Industrial Development: The Experience of Selected Asian Countries *January 1990*
20. National Accounts of Vanuatu, 1983-1987 *January 1990*
21. National Accounts of Western Samoa, 1984-1986 *February 1990*
22. Human Resource Policy and Economic Development: Selected Country Studies *July 1990*
23. Export Finance: Some Asian Examples *September 1990*
24. National Accounts of the Cook Islands, 1982-1986 *September 1990*
25. Framework for the Economic and Financial Appraisal of Urban Development Sector Projects *January 1994*
26. Framework and Criteria for the Appraisal and Socioeconomic Justification of Education Projects *January 1994*
27. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Telecommunications Projects *Asian Development Bank, 1997*
28. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects *Asian Development Bank, 1998*
29. Investing in Asia *Co-published with OECD, 1997*
30. The Future of Asia in the World Economy *Co-published with OECD, 1998*
31. Financial Liberalisation in Asia: Analysis and Prospects *Co-published with OECD, 1999*
32. Sustainable Recovery in Asia: Mobilizing Resources for Development *Co-published with OECD, 2000*
33. Technology and Poverty Reduction in Asia and the Pacific *Co-published with OECD, 2001*
34. Asia and Europe *Co-published with OECD, 2002*