ECONSTOR Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Wignaraja, Ganeshan; Joiner, David

Working Paper Measuring Competitiveness in the World's Smallest Economies: Introducing the SSMECI

ERD Working Paper Series, No. 60

Provided in Cooperation with: Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila

Suggested Citation: Wignaraja, Ganeshan; Joiner, David (2004) : Measuring Competitiveness in the World's Smallest Economies: Introducing the SSMECI, ERD Working Paper Series, No. 60, Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila, https://hdl.handle.net/11540/1916

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/109263

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



ERD Working Paper ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT SERIES No.60

Measuring Competitiveness in the World's Smallest Economies: Introducing the SSMECI

Ganeshan Wignaraja and David Joiner

November 2004

Asian Development Bank

http://www.adb.org/Economics

ERD Working Paper No. 60

Measuring Competitiveness in the World's Smallest Economies: Introducing the SSMECI

GANESHAN WIGNARAJA AND DAVID JOINER

November 2004

Ganeshan Wignaraja is Senior Economist at the East and Central Asia Department, Asian Development Bank and David Joiner is Senior Economist with Maxwell Stamp PLC. The views expressed in the paper are solely the responsibility of the authors. Asian Development Bank P.O. Box 789 0980 Manila Philippines

©2004 by Asian Development Bank November 2004 ISSN 1655-5252

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank.

FOREWORD

The ERD Working Paper Series is a forum for ongoing and recently completed research and policy studies undertaken in the Asian Development Bank or on its behalf. The Series is a quick-disseminating, informal publication meant to stimulate discussion and elicit feedback. Papers published under this Series could subsequently be revised for publication as articles in professional journals or chapters in books.

CONTENTS

ABSTRA	АСТ		vii					
I.	INTRO	DUCTION	1					
II.	CURREN AND TH	CURRENT BENCHMARKING INITIATIVES AND THEIR APPROPRIATENESS FOR SMALL STATES						
III.	A SMA	LL-STATES SPECIFIC COMPETITIVENESS INDEX	7					
IV.	A. B. C. EXPLAI	Country-level Findings Findings by Region, Income Group, and Country Size Comparison of Results with Other Indices NING INDUSTRIAL COMPETITIVENESS PERFORMANCE	7 11 14 15					
	А. В.	T-Test and Variables The T-Test Results	16 17					
۷.	CONCLU	JSIONS	20					
	APPEN	DIX: CONSTRUCTION OF THE SSMECI	21					
	REFERE	INCES	26					

ABSTRACT

Recent attempts to measure competitiveness across countries have typically neglected the world's smallest economies. Hence, a simple composite index, the small state manufactured export competitiveness index or SSMECI, was developed to benchmark industrial competitiveness. The SSMECI represents the first attempt to provide a comprehensive picture of the competitiveness performance of small states. The performance of small states varies across geographical regions, income groups, and country size classes. Europe was the best performing region, with Malta and Estonia occupying the top two positions in the index. Small states in Southern Africa were the next most successful, with Mauritius and the four Southern African states all in the top 11 of the index. Meanwhile, performance in the Pacific and Western Africa was poor. Statistical analysis of the determinants of competitiveness indicate that high-performing small states had better macroeconomic conditions, higher levels of foreign investment, more trade openness, better levels of education, and modern infrastructure. The paper concludes that a coherent, marketoriented competitiveness strategy in small states is vital to success on international markets.

I. INTRODUCTION

The world's smallest economies are increasingly preoccupied with industrial competitiveness in the wake of rapid globalization. There are two aspects to this interest. On one hand, the process of world economic integration is associated with unprecedented adjustment challenges for small states and enterprises within them. Faced with falling trade barriers and aggressive foreign investors, there is deep concern about the prospect of declining domestic enterprises and even industrial marginalization in an open, integrated world economy. On the other hand, small states are keen to reap the positive aspects of globalization—access to new markets, industrial skills, and technologies for enterprise development. These issues have fuelled studies on appropriate policy responses to globalization in small states (see Commonwealth Secretariat 1997, Wignaraja 1997, Peretz et al. 2001, Jessen and Rodriguez 1999, Gounder and Xayavong 2001, Wint 2003, Holden et al. 2004, Briguglio and Cordina 2004).

This paper seeks to contribute to the process of new policy development in small states by measuring their industrial competitiveness record using a composite index and benchmarking them against each other. Benchmarking exercises of this type allow small states to assess their country's performance in relation to:

- (i) countries at a similar level of development, or of similar characteristics, which they would like to outperform; and
- (ii) countries at a higher level of development, whose performance they wish to emulate, and whose policy strategies they could learn from in order to achieve it.

Section II explores other efforts to benchmark competitiveness and highlights the lack of coverage of small economies in these exercises. Section III tries to remedy this gap by constructing a small states manufactured export competitiveness index (SSMECI) and presenting the results. This is a simple composite index made up of three variables (manufactured exports per capita, growth rate of manufactured exports, and share of manufacturing in gross domestic product or GDP). Section IV undertakes a T-test to shed light on the performance of small states, while Section V concludes.

There are many ways (e.g., GDP and population) to define a small state and each has merits depending on the purpose at hand. Following Commonwealth Secretariat (1997), this study defines a small state as an economy with 1.5 million people of less. Accordingly, 40 economies are considered small states in this study.¹

¹ Included in this group are five somewhat larger states (Botswana, Jamaica, Lesotho, Namibia, and Papua New Guinea), which share many of the physical and economic characteristics of small states in their respective regions. Appendix Table 2 contains a basic profile of the 40 small states.

II. CURRENT BENCHMARKING INITIATIVES AND THEIR APPROPRIATENESS FOR SMALL STATES

The concept of competitiveness is somewhat elusive, particularly at the national level, and has been intensely debated to clarify its meaning and economic relevance. It has often been equated with macroeconomic issues (e.g., changes in exchange rates or wages) or microeconomic issues (e.g., entrepreneurship, economic incentives and bureaucratic regulations on business, and firm-level technological capabilities and institutional support) (see Faggerberg 1988, Porter 1990, Corden 1994, Krugman 1994, Dahlman and Aubert 2001, Lall 2001a, and ADB 2003). An examination of the theoretical debate on competitiveness is beyond the scope of this paper. Suffice it to say that both macroeconomic and microeconomic approaches to competitiveness offer valuable insights, depending on the purpose at hand. There is increasing recognition that building technological capabilities at the firm level is associated with competitiveness performance in a world of rapid globalization and technological progress. Furthermore, that appropriate economic incentives and supportive institutions can help firms to overcome market and systems failures in technological learning. This paper's focus is on the empirical literature on competitiveness particularly on recent exercises to benchmark competitiveness performance across countries using different composite indices.² These include the following:

- (i) World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Report (WEF 2003);
- (ii) International Institute for Management Development's World Competitiveness Yearbook (IMD 2003);
- (iii) United Nations Industrial Development Organisation's *World Industrial Development Report* 2002/2003 (UNIDO 2003); and
- (iv) Wignaraja and Taylor (2003).

Table 1 summarizes the key features of these four initiatives.

The work of the WEF and the IMD, both based in Switzerland, has largely dominated the global competitiveness benchmarking industry. Annual rankings of competitiveness in developed and developing countries have been produced for 24 years by the WEF's *Global Competitiveness Report* and for 13 years by the IMD's *World Competitiveness Yearbook*. Both indices focus on the micro level business perspective, and examine the extent to which nations provide an environment in which enterprises can compete. In line with this, rather than focusing on trying to calculate a measure of *actual* competitive performance, both adopt an approach of looking at a wide range of factors that could *affect* national competitiveness. To this end they use a large basket of variables (160 for WEF and 321 for IMD in 2003), which include both "hard" published statistics and "soft" data from surveys of businessmen. The sample size of these surveys is rapidly increasing with 7,741 responses to the WEF "Executive Opinion Survey" in 2003, as opposed to 4,600 in 2001.

Both indices are widely used, gaining widespread media attention. They have also generated a wealth of empirical data. What light then can they shed on the competitiveness of small states? Unfortunately the answer is very little. Despite increasing its coverage from 80 to 102 countries, the WEF index only has eight countries that are among the 40 small states in this study. The situation

² Composite indices of the type used in this paper are only one possible way to capture competitiveness. Other popular methods include labor productivity, unit labor cost, real effective exchange rates, and revealed comparative advantage. See ADB (2003) for a discussion of the different methods.

with the IMD index is even worse, with no small states among the 59 countries included. The precise reasons for this lack of coverage are unknown, and without discussion with the institutions involved, any attempt to determine such reasons remain simple guesses. However, one of the most significant factors is likely to be that the very complexity of both the indices means that the data requirements simply cannot be met in small states. With small populations and often underdeveloped institutions there is simply no capacity or demand to collect the data required.

The specific issues of small states may also mean that the general theory of competitiveness espoused by both the WEF and IMD is perhaps inappropriate for the measurement of competitiveness in the small states context. In small, developing economies, focus on the basic economic fundamentals (e.g., macroeconomic stability, outward-oriented trade policies, high levels of human capital and efficient infrastructure) is perhaps more appropriate than worrying about the 200 subcomplexities found in sophisticated multisectoral economies of the developed world.

PUBLICATION	WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM (2003)	INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT (2003)	UNIDO (2002)	WIGNARAJA AND Taylor (2003)
Name of Index	Growth Competitiveness Index	World Competitiveness Scoreboard	Competitiveness Industrial Performance Index	Manufactured Export Competitiveness Index
Concept	Business school approach to measuring national level competitiveness, using both performance and explanatory variables	Business school approach to measuring national level competitiveness, using both performance and explanatory variables	Focus on industrial performance and national ability to produce manufactures competitively	Focus on industrial performance and national ability to produce manufactures competitively
Number of Variables	160	321	4	3
Weighting System	Two-tier approach based on a concept of "core" or "noncore" innovator countries; different aggregations and weightings apply to each group in the final index	20 categories each weighted at 5%	4 variables, equally weighted	3 variables weighted at 30, 30, and 40 percent (with technology intensity of exports weighted higher)
Data Source Type	Published Data and Entrepreneur Surveys (7,741 responses)	Published Data and Entrepreneur Surveys (over 4000 responses)	Published Data	Published Data
Country Coverage (including small states)	Covers 102 countries (8 small states)	Covers 59 countries (O small states)	Covers 87 countries (3 small states)	Covers 80 countries (11 small states)
First Published/ Frequency	Yearly since 1979	Yearly since 1990	2002 and henceforth periodically	2003

TABLE 1 FEATURES OF RECENT COMPETITIVENESS INDICES

Quite apart from the lack of attention given to small states, the WEF and IMD competitiveness indices have attracted criticism on technical grounds. Lall (2001b) provides a comprehensive analysis of the WEF index of 2000 and finds flaws in its definition of competitiveness, model specification, choice of variables, identification of casual relations, and use of data. Lall goes on to offer some insights into the construction of competitiveness indices, and while not writing with small states in mind, his comments are perhaps particularly relevant in the context of small states:

"To be analytically acceptable, however, all such efforts should be more limited in coverage, focusing on particular sectors rather than economies as a whole and using a smaller number of critical variables rather than putting in everything the economics, management, strategy and other disciplines suggest. They should also be more modest in claiming to quantify competitiveness: the phenomenon is too multifaceted and complex to permit easy measurement" (Lall 2001b, 1520).

ADB (2003) points out similar flaws in the WEF competitiveness index. For instance, ADB notes that the weights used to construct the WEF index is arbitrary and the index displays an overly negative view of the role of government. Furthermore, that it relies extensively on qualitative data obtained through questionnaires that are only tenuously related to the notion of competitiveness.

Wignaraja and Taylor (2003) also offer a critique of the theory and methodology used by WEF and the IMD, including a detailed exploration of the IMD index of 2001. In summary they find that the IMD rankings have:

- (i) Ambiguous theoretical basis. The theoretical linkages between the input determinants and national competitiveness are weak. The "fundamentals" of the IMD 2001 index (IMD 2001, 43-9), which details the "four fundamental forces of competitiveness", are more of a schema than a theory.
- (ii) Problems of Index Construction. The justification for the weightings given to each of the indicators is sometimes weak and often nontransparent. There also seems to be a lack of distinction between variables that indicate competitiveness and those that determine it, with both types used. These lead to problems in interpreting the results and applying lessons to other countries.
- (iii) Ad hoc Data and Proliferation of Components. The use of survey data can be problematic in that the perceptions of businessmen in one country cannot be directly compared with the views of businessmen in another country without some kind of moderation. The justification of the recent proliferation of indicators is also weak, with no explanation as to what is being gained by their addition.

Building on this critique, and the argument that such indices need to be less ambitious and analytically simpler, recent work by UNIDO (2002) and Wignaraja and Taylor (2003) have emphasized the industrial competitiveness performance of developing countries.³ This is a departure from the somewhat broader (and more vague) concept of national competitiveness implicit in the WEF and IMD work. The two newer indices were developed from a general developing country perspective,

³ The UNCTAD/WTO International Trade Centre (ITC) also produces a Trade Performance Index, which benchmarks across developing countries at an industry/product level (see ITC 2000). It is not discussed here due to the current paper's focus on national level competitiveness, rather than individual industries/products. However, for policymakers interested in such detail it can be a valuable tool.

rather than being small-states specific, but come closer to the methodology appropriate for the focus of this study, and in the context of data-sparse small states.

The UNIDO Competitive Industrial Performance Index focuses on the national ability to produce manufactures competitively, and is constructed from four basic indicators of industrial performance (see UNIDO 2002):

- (i) manufacturing value added (MVA) per capita
- (ii) manufactured exports per capita
- (iii) share of medium- and high-tech activities in MVA
- (iv) share of medium- and high-tech products in manufactured exports

The UNIDO index provides valuable insights into the industrial record of the developing world. Unfortunately out of 87 countries listed in the index, only three are small states, as defined in this study. Again, the reasons are unclear, but perhaps even such a simplified index still poses data availability problems.

Wignaraja and Taylor (2003) found a similar analytical underpinning to the UNIDO work and construct a Manufactured Export Competitiveness Index (MECI) of 80 developing countries using three variables:

- (i) manufacturing exports per capita (1999)
- (ii) average manufactured export growth per annum (1980-99)
- (iii) technology-intensive exports as percent of total merchandise exports (1998)⁴

Of the 80 countries in the MECI, 11 are small states. The results for these economies are shown in Table 2. The top and bottom three results in the overall MECI are also shown in order to give context to the data and index values for small states.

⁴ Technology-intensive exports include electronics, petrochemicals and chemicals, iron and steel, engineering, plastics, and industrial ceramics.

			MANUFACTURED EXPORTS PER CAPITA, 1999		AVERAGE MANUFACTURED EXPORT GROWTH, 1980-1999		TECHNOLOGY-INTENSIVE EXPORTS, 1998 (PERCENT OF TOTAL MERCHANDISE EXPORTS)	
OVERALL RANK	ECONOMY	MECI INDEX VALUE	RANK	VALUE (CURRENT \$US)	RANK	VALUE (PERCENT)	RANK	VALUE (PERCENT)
1	Singapore	0.93	1	25,039	13	13.4	1	70
2	Malaysia	0.82	5	2,988	3	19.2	4	55
3	Taipei,China	0.79	3	5,477	31	9.4	3	58
15	Trinidad and Tobago	0.52	16	645	37	7.7	14	23
24	Mauritius	0.45	12	984	15	12.8	43	3
26	Cyprus	0.45	15	684	62	3.1	23	17
30	Bahrain	0.42	13	953	19	11.6	65	0
38	Dominica	0.38	21	393	34	9.2	65	0
45	Jamaica	0.35	22	377	64	2.8	43	3
50	St. Kitts and Nevis	0.33	26	300	57	3.8	65	0
55	Grenada	0.31	52	45	42	7.2	65	0
58	Belize	0.29	41	86	69	0.4	49	2
61	Guyana	0.27	53	37	67	0.9	43	3
67	Tonga	0.24	72	6	50	5.9	65	0
78	Congo, DR	0.15	76	1	74	-2.1	58	1
79	Nigeria	0.13	80	1	71	-1.2	58	1
80	Yemen, Rep. of	0.00	78	1	80	-18.0	65	0

TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF RESULTS FROM MECI

Source: Wignaraja and Taylor (2003).

The 11 small states are fairly evenly spread through the middle section of the index, but even the highest performers have MECI values substantially below East Asian tiger economies (such as Malaysia; Singapore; and Taipei,China) at the top of the rankings, putting perspective on the performance of small states. One of the reasons for this is perhaps the universally low level of high-technology exports in the small states (whether due to lack of such productive capacity or lack of data). While the share of high technology exports was an appropriate variable for the study of 80 developing countries, its applicability for work that focuses on small states exclusively is called into question, as it is either not available or not distinctive enough among a small-states sample.

Significant differences in the performance of individual small states are visible. Cyprus, Mauritius, and Trinidad and Tobago stand out among the sample of 11 small states in the MECI rankings. In contrast, smaller Caribbean economies (Belize, Grenada, Guyana, and St. Kitts and Nevis) and Tonga in the Pacific have performed poorly compared to the three leading small states.

III. A SMALL-STATES SPECIFIC COMPETITIVENESS INDEX

Bearing in mind the limited coverage of small states in the mainstream competitiveness literature and the specific issues surrounding measurement of their performance, efforts to benchmark the export performance of small states requires a new small-states specific index. As many of the existing methodologies are inappropriate for small states, the design of such an index and the interpretation of its results need to be handled with care. Building on the empirical work of Wignaraja and Taylor (2003), a simple, transparent SSMECI was developed. The key features of this index are highlighted in Box 1 while the rest of the section presents the results by country and various aggregate categories.

A. Country-level Findings

Country-level rankings of competitiveness generate considerable interest in academic and policy circles. Of particular interest are the top performers. Before considering the composite SSMECI rankings, it is useful to start with a brief look at the component variables. Table 3 shows the top 10 performers for each of the three component variables in the SSMECI. It is noticeable that there is considerable difference in the ranking of the three tables, and that top performers in one component are not necessarily the top in others. However, some countries rank consistently high, for example Estonia, which ranks 3rd, 3rd, and 4th, respectively. The Seychelles also figures in all three lists, albeit at the bottom end. Some countries that figure highly in two of the components, such as Mauritius in per capita manufactured exports and manufacturing value added (MVA) as a percent of GDP, do not figure well in the third (average manufactured export growth) and this ultimately leads to a lower overall ranking in overall SSMECI. At the same time, a particularly high ranking on a single variable can push up a country on the overall SSMECI rankings. Swaziland, which is at the top in terms of share of manufacturing in GDP, is a case in point.⁵

⁵ Swaziland's large share of manufacturing in GDP seems due to the following: (i) 26 garment factories established by Taipei, China investors to take advantage of the Africa Growth and Opportunities Act, which provides ready access to the American market; (ii) one of Coca Cola's five worldwide plants that produces coke concentrate; (iii) various sugar pulp factories; and (iv) other light industries established by South African investors to take advantage of the South African Customs Union market.

Box 1 The Small States Manufactured Export Competitiveness Index (SSMECI)

The small states manufactured export competitiveness index (SSMECI) emphasizes the ability to produce manufactures competitively in the world's smallest economies. It has been designed in light of the problems with data availability in some small states and the need to build in realistic data requirements in order to make the country coverage of the index as wide as possible. The SSMECI is composed of just three variables, each of which captures a different aspect of industrial competitiveness and which combine to create a simple but effective snapshot of the economy's overall international competitiveness in this area. The three factors captured are:

- (i) current performance in world export markets scaled by size
- (ii) dynamism of this performance over time, i.e., growth rates
- (iii) size of the manufacturing base in the structure of the wider economy

The first factor captures an economy's actual record of competing in international markets rather than simply alluding to an ability to be competitive. The second captures how dynamic this performance is, and whether the economy's performance is on an upward or downward trend. The third looks at more structural issues, recognizing that in a small state where economies of scale are such an issue, a larger manufacturing base is likely to reflect an advantage in achieving competitiveness. To reflect these three concepts and in light of the data issues, three specific variables were selected for the small states index, namely:

- (i) manufactured export value per capita in 2001 (US\$)
- (ii) average manufactured export growth per annum 1990-2001
- (iii) manufacturing value added as a percent of GDP in 1999

Using these variables, the SSMECI was constructed for 40 small states in the sample set. This sample size is sufficient to permit basic statistical analysis of determinants. Calculations were performed to give each country a value between 0 and 1 for each of the three variables, and these were then weighted to produce a final index figure for each country, which could then be ranked. Higher values in the SSMECI indicate greater levels of competitiveness, thus for example, Malta, with a SSMECI of 0.72 is perceived to be more competitive than Djibouti with a SSMECI of 0.22 in Table 4.

In interpreting the findings, readers should be aware of the sensitivity of results in small states. When the overall production base is so small, the establishment or closure of a single factory can substantially affect the overall figures for that year. The quality/reliability of the data obtained can also often be poor, due to underdeveloped/understaffed statistics institutions in small states. To a degree such factors may have influenced the overall rankings, and led to marginally higher or lower placement than would be expected. This needs to be taken into account when interpreting the results, though it is unlikely to change the basic patterns observed.

Full details of data sources, definitions, and the specific methodology used to construct the SSMECI are given in the Appendix.

	MANUFACTURED EXPO PER CAPITA 2001	ORTS	AVI EXPO	ERAGE MANUFACTU RT GROWTH, 1990	RED -2001	MANUFACTURING VALUE ADDED AS PERCENT OF GDP, 1999			
RANK	COUNTRY	VALUE (CURRENT \$US)	RANK	COUNTRY	VALUE (PERCENT)	RANK	COUNTRY	MVA	
1	Malta	4469	1	Brunei	19.50	1	Swaziland	31.69	
2	Botswana	2891	2	Maldives	17.07	2	Mauritius	24.56	
3	Estonia	2203	3	Estonia	16.86	3	Namibia	15.45	
4	Trinidad and Tobago	1666	4	Lesotho	15.70	4	Estonia	15.43	
5	Qatar	1331	5	Trinidad & Tobago	13.25	5	Lesotho	15.13	
6	Bahrain	1080	6	Bahamas	12.89	6	Belize	14.81	
7	Mauritius	940	7	Fiji Islands	12.75	7	Fiji Islands	14.11	
8	Brunei	773	8	Grenada	12.48	8	Jamaica	13.93	
9	Cyprus	605	9	Seychelles	11.19	9	Seychelles	13.73	
10	Seychelles	576	10	Suriname	10.36	10	Malta	12.03	

 Table 3

 Country Rankings for the Three Separate Variables

Sources: Data primarily from ITC, using COMTRADE Database; *World Development Indicators* (2001, 2002, 2003); and other regional and national sources. See Appendix for full details of data sources and methodology.

Table 4 shows the full SSMECI ranking for the 40 small states, with the component indices, the ranking in each individual variable, and the underlying data values.

			MANUFA EXP PER C 20	ACTURED ORTS APITA, 01ª	AVERAGE MANUFACTURED EXPORT GROWTH, 1990-2001 ^b		MANUFACTURING VALUE ADDED AS PERCENT OF G 1999 ^c	
OVERALL RANK	COUNTRY	SSMECI INDEX VALUE	RANK	VALUE (CURRENT \$US)	RANK	VALUE (PERCENT)	RANK	MVA
1	Malta	0.72	1	4,469	16	5.36	10	12.03
2	Estonia	0.71	3	2,203	3	16.86	4	15.43
3	Swaziland	0.69	17	299	12	7.10	1	31.69
4	Mauritius	0.65	7	940	22	3.14	2	24.56
5	Trinidad and Tobago	0.59	4	1,666	5	13.25	22	7.99
6	Brunei	0.58	8	773	1	19.50	19	8.42
7	Seychelles	0.57	10	576	9	11.19	9	13.73
8	Lesotho	0.56	24	113	4	15.70	5	15.13
9	Botswana	0.55	2	2,891	25	2.25	34	4.97
10	Fiji Islands	0.55	18	266	7	12.75	7	14.11

TABLE 4 Overall SSMECI Ranking

(continued next page)

TABLE 4 (CONTINUED)

			MANUFA EXP PER C 20	ACTURED ORTS APITA, 01ª	ED AVERAGE MANUFACTURED , EXPORT GROWTH, 1990-2001 ^b		MANUFA VALUE AS PERCEN 19	CTURING ADDED NT OF GDP, 99 ^c
OVERALL RANK	COUNTRY	SSMECI INDEX VALUE	RANK	VALUE (CURRENT \$US)	RANK	VALUE (PERCENT)	RANK	MVA
11	Namibia	0.51	14	398	26	2.15	3	15.45
12	Bahrain	0.51	6	1,080	21	3.25	15	9.88
13	Qatar	0.49	5	1,331	28	1.73	23	7.30
14	Guyana	0.49	19	207	11	10.02	14	10.15
15	Grenada	0.49	16	319	8	12.48	24	7.26
16	Maldives	0.49	23	116	2	17.07	26	6.46
17	St. Kitts and Nevis	0.48	11	514	20	3.82	13	10.33
18	Jamaica	0.48	26	105	18	4.51	8	13.93
19	Bahamas	0.47	12	508	6	12.89	38	3.20
20	Barbados	0.46	13	468	23	2.82	16	9.32
21	Belize	0.46	22	122	30	0.00	6	14.81
22	Bhutan	0.46	28	59	14	6.86	11	11.56
23	Cyprus	0.46	9	605	31	-1.68	12	10.54
24	Dominica	0.45	15	357	19	3.94	17	8.48
25	Suriname	0.43	30	21	10	10.36	21	8.12
26	St. Vincent/Grenadines	0.41	25	111	17	5.16	25	6.54
27	Gabon	0.39	29	48	13	6.89	32	5.16
28	Solomon Islands	0.39	21	148	27	1.89	33	5.12
29	Samoa	0.37	34	9	15	5.53	28	6.02
30	Vanuatu	0.34	33	9	29	0.53	27	6.35
31	Papua New Guinea	0.32	32	10	33	-5.37	20	8.28
32	Tonga	0.31	35	4	24	2.33	36	3.89
33	St. Lucia	0.31	27	83	34	-9.79	29	5.96
34	Cape Verde	0.30	31	21	36	-10.96	18	8.45
35	Antigua and Barbuda	0.27	20	197	37	-13.97	39	2.25
36	São Tomé and Príncipe	0.24	39	0	32	-3.65	35	4.52
37	Djibouti	0.22	37	2	35	-10.90	37	3.60
38	Gambia, The	0.20	36	2	38	-16.74	30	5.60
39	Comoros	0.13	38	1	39	-26.09	31	5.43
40	Kiribati	0.00	40	0	40	-29.07	40	0.99

^a In some cases where data from 2001 was not available, 2000 or 1999 data was used. See Appendix for full details.

^b Where data was not available for 1990 or 2001, the nearest available year was used. Growth rates were calculated using a compound method, adjusting for length of time period as appropriate. See Appendix for full details.

 $^{\rm c}$ $\,$ Where 1999 data was not available, 1998 or 2000 was used. See Appendix for full details.

Sources: Data primarily from ITC, using COMTRADE Database; *World Development Indicators* (2001, 2002, 2003); and other regional and national sources. See Appendix for full details of data sources and methodology.

As might have been expected, results show that two European countries, Malta and Estonia, occupy the first two places in the ranking, perhaps reflecting both the greater access to market and the positive effect of sustained competitive pressure from their large European neighbors.⁶ The rest of the top 10 is made up of some of the traditional small state powerhouses of the various regions, such as Mauritius from the Indian Ocean, Trinidad and Tobago from the Caribbean, and Fiji Islands from the Pacific.

Of noteworthy interest is the performance of the "BLNS" countries that make up the Southern African Customs Union with South Africa. In the rankings all four score highly, Swaziland is 3rd, Lesotho 8th, Botswana 9th, and Namibia 11th. This high performance may again be due in part to proximity to large markets, and the trade and investment stimulus that an agreement such as the Southern African Customs Union produces for its "satellites."

Some countries do not perform as well as might be expected. For example, Cyprus, ranked 23, did not perform as well as the other European countries in the sample. While it scored fairly highly in terms of per capita exports and MVA, manufactured exports have actually fallen over the last 10 years, possibly reflecting a fall in comparative competitiveness, and this negative average growth brings down the overall SSMECI ranking score.

B. Findings by Region, Income Group, and Country Size

In an attempt to establish patterns of performance and provide analytical insights, the 40 small states have been grouped into various categories as follows:

- (i) geographical region to facilitate comparisons across regions
- (ii) income per head to permit analysis of different income groups
- (iii) population to enable analysis by country size

In each case, the group values for each of the three variables have been calculated using weighted averages, which have then been indexed, using the same methodology as before. Simple averages are also shown for each grouping, calculated using average index values for each country in the group.

Table 5 aggregates the results according to geography, allowing the regional breakdown of the results to be analyzed.

⁶ Calculations were also done including Costa Rica; Singapore; and Taipei, China, in order to check the robustness of the theory, and to set context to the SSMECI figures. Not surprisingly, these three economies came out at the top of the index.

			MANUFAC EXPOI PER CA 200		MANUFACTURED EXPORTS PER CAPITA, 2001		AV MANUI EXPORT 199	ERAGE FACTURED GROWTH, 0-2001	MANUFA VALUE A PERCENT	ACTURING ADDED AS F OF GDP, 999
RANK	REGIONAL GROUPING ^a	NO.	WEIGHTED AVERAGE SSMECI ^b	SIMPLE AVERAGE SSMECI	RANK	VALUE (CURRENT \$US)	RANK	VALUE (PERCENT)	RANK	MVA
1	Europe	3	0.79	0.63	1	2,076	3	8.70	2	12.24
2	Africa	12	0.49	0.42	3	602	5	2.74	1	12.86
3	Asia	3	0.45	0.51	5	351	1	16.95	5	8.46
4	Caribbean/									
	Latin America	13	0.37	0.45	4	481	2	9.84	4	9.04
5	Middle East	2	0.28	0.50	2	1,200	6	2.41	6	8.21
6	Pacific	7	0.14	0.33	6	51	4	5.01	3	9.53

TABLE 5 SSMECI PERFORMANCE BY REGION

^a Regional groupings according to *World Development Indicators 2002* (World Bank 2002).

^b Group values calculated from weighted components of subindices for members of each region. Where original data for manufactured exports for 1990 and 2001 were not available, data for these years has been extrapolated using average growth rates of that country. SSMECI values were calculated using sample maximum and minimum levels.

Sources: Author's calculations; COMTRADE Database; *World Development Indicators* (2001, 2002, 2003); and other regional and national sources. See also the Appendix for full details of data sources and methodology.

The high performance of the European region is probably to be expected, as discussed above. In comparison, the relatively high performance of the African region is more surprising, and closer inspection shows that there are in fact two tiers of performance within the region. At the top level, the four BLNS countries, Mauritius, and the Seychelles are all in the top 11 of the SSMECI rankings. At the other end, a number of African countries, particularly in Western Africa, occupy the bottom ten positions. Overall, the contributions of the top-tier performers are enough to obtain a high average in comparison to the other regions.

Also of note is the particular poor performance of the Pacific region, which was not strong in any of the three variables, and significantly lower in the SSMECI rankings.⁷ Apart from the Fiji Islands at 10 in the overall SSMECI, the other countries of the Pacific were all in the bottom 15.

⁷ There are about 12 small states in the Pacific by our definition but five could not be included in the final SSMECI due to data constraints. As a result, the sample for the Pacific is not complete and may be biased. However, lack of data is often correlated to poor performance, and it is unlikely that inclusion of these countries, if data were available, would significantly improve overall regional performance. See Holden et al. (2004) for an analysis of constraints facing the private sector in the Pacific. These include a weak macroeconomic environment, poor governance, frequent political instability, excessive state involvement combined with weak regulation, underdeveloped financial markets, and a poor investment policy environment for business.

						MANUFACTURED EXPORTS PER CAPITA, 2001		MANUFACTURED AVERAGE EXPORTS MANUFACTURED PER CAPITA, EXPORT GROWTH, 2001 1990-2001		MANUFA VALUE A PERCENT	CTURING ADDED AS OF GDP, 1999
RANK	REGIONAL GROUPING ^a	NO.	WEIGHTED AVERAGE SSMECI ^b	SIMPLE AVERAGE SSMECI	RANK	VALUE (CURRENT \$US)	RANK	VALUE (PERCENT)	RANK	MVA	
1	Upper Middle Income	11	0.84	0.52	1	1,520	1	6.23	2	11.06	
2	Lower Middle Income	14	0.55	0.40	3	193	2	4.93	1	13.98	
3	High Income	8	0.36	0.50	2	1,308	4	3.80	4	8.49	
4	Low Income	7	0.13	0.33	4	38	3	4.62	3	9.09	

 TABLE 6

 SSMECI Performance by Income Grouping

^a Income groupings according to World Development Indicators 2003(World Bank 2003).

^b Group values calculated from weighted components of subindices for members of each income group. Where original data for manufactured exports for 1990 and 2001 were not available, data for these years had been extrapolated using average growth rates of that country. SSMECI values were calculated using sample maximum and minimum levels.

Sources: Author's calculations; COMTRADE Database; *World Development Indicators* (2001, 2002, 2003); and other regional and national sources. See also the Appendix for full details of data sources and methodology.

Table 6 shows the performance by income grouping, which reveals some very interesting results. Rather than running from high income down to low income in a linear fashion, the performance of the four groups is more erratic. High-income countries perform only third best out of the four, with the lowest average growth rates in manufacturing exports, and the lowest MVA as a percentage of GDP. They do have the second highest manufactured exports per capita though, which prevents them from being below the low-income countries. This pattern of results could reflect "mature" economies that have developed a manufacturing export base, as shown in the high per capita figures, but have then diversified their economies into other sectors such as services, particularly financial services and high-end tourism. In such a case, the per capita exports in manufacturing would still be relatively high, but growth in manufacturing exports would slow, and value added in manufacturing as a share of total GDP would fall.

					MANUFACTURED EXPORTS PER CAPITA, 2001		ACTURED AVERAGE PORTS MANUFACTURED CAPITA, EXPORT GROWTH, 001 1990-2001			ACTURING ADDED AS T OF GDP, 999
RANK	REGIONAL GROUPING ^a	NO.	WEIGHTED AVERAGE SSMECI ^b	SIMPLE AVERAGE SSMECI	RANK	VALUE (CURRENT \$US)	RANK	VALUE (PERCENT)	RANK	MVA
1 2 3	More than 1m 250,000 to 1m Less than 250,000	11 16 13	1.00 ^c 0.63 ^c 0.00 ^c	0.52 0.45 0.36	1 2 3	615 592 123	1 2 3	5.96 4.34 0.48	1 2 3	12.42 8.72 8.27

 TABLE 7

 SSMECI Performance by Population Size Grouping

^a Population groups per authors' definition.

^b Group values calculated from weighted components of subindices for members of each population group. Where original data for manufactured exports for 1990 and 2001 were not available, data for these years had been extrapolated using average growth rates of that country. SSMECI values were calculated using sample maximum and minimum levels.

^c The extreme range of the weighted average SSMECI index values obtained (1.00 and 0.00) reflects the strength of the correlation. The group with population of over 1 million, was ranked first in all three variables, thus achieving an index value of 1.00 for all three variables. When weighted, this gives an overall SSMECI of 1.00. For the group with a population under 250,000 the reverse is true, with last place rankings in each variable giving 0.00 index values, and an overall SSMECI of 0.00.

Sources: Author's calculations; COMTRADE Database; *World Development Indicators* (2001, 2002, 2003); and other regional and national sources. See also the Appendix for full details of data sources and methodology.

Table 7 shows the SSMECI performance grouped by population size. This distinction is particularly important to capture the record of tiny, micro states compared to larger small states. In the absence of a universally accepted definition of subcategories by size, the sample was divided into countries with populations under 250,000 (micro states); between 250,000 and 1 million; and over 1 million. The striking finding is that the micro states record a particularly weak competitiveness performance. This suggests that even within the world's smallest economies, country size matters for industrial competitiveness. Perhaps unsurprisingly, the performance of the larger states was better than the smaller two categories, though the magnitude of this is perhaps unexpected. Many factors probably explain the gap in industrial competitiveness performance between larger states and micro states. These include: the larger small states have somewhat bigger markets than smaller ones; have access to a larger pool of technical and managerial skills; are more attractive to inflows of FDI; are better able to finance costly infrastructure project (e.g., setting up a national airline); and, possibly, are less susceptible to natural disasters.

C. Comparison of Results with Other Indices

As stated earlier, one of the reasons for developing the SSMECI is the lack of coverage that existing work gives to small states. The IMD index contains none of the small states in the SSMECI, so comparison of results is not possible. The WEF index however, has eight common countries, and the MECI of Wignaraja and Taylor (2003) has 11 similarities. A comparison of the resulting rankings is given in Table 8.

COUNTRY	SSMECI RANKING	MECI (WIGNARAJA AND TAYLOR 2003)	WEF GROWTH COMPETITIVENESS RANKING, 2003
Malta	1		19
Estonia	2		22
Mauritius	4	24	46
Trinidad and Tobago	5	15	49
Botswana	9		36
Namibia	11		52
Bahrain	12	30	
Guyana	14	61	
Grenada	15	55	
St. Kitts and Nevis	17	50	
Jamaica	18	45	67
Belize	21	58	
Cyprus	23	26	
Dominica	24	38	
Tonga	32	67	
Gambia, The	38		55

TABLE 8 COMPARISON OF RESULTS FROM SSMECI, MECI, AND WEF GROWTH COMPETITIVENESS INDEX

... means not available.

Sources: WEF (2003), authors' calculations.

Only three countries appear in all three indices, and so comparison across all at the same time is difficult. However, if the SSMECI is compared individually against each other, the results, while not identical, show some correlation. Against the WEF, the results are broadly similar, and while Botswana and The Gambia fare slightly better in the WEF rankings than in the SSMECI, the rankings are otherwise fairly similar. The correlation with the MECI is somewhat surprisingly less strong, with a number of countries having significantly different rankings. However, if these outliers, including Cyprus, Dominica, and Guyana are excluded, the overall pattern of correlation is again visible.

IV. EXPLAINING INDUSTRIAL COMPETITIVENESS PERFORMANCE

Ranking intercountry patterns of competitiveness performance is only the first step in analyzing competitiveness. A second and more interesting step is investigating what factors led to high, or low, performance. In other words, what are the determinants of manufacturing export competitiveness and what lessons can be learned for future policy development.

A. T-Test and Variables

The analysis of the determinants of competitiveness in small states has been conducted using a simple statistical test, a two sample t-test of the variable means.⁸ It analyzes whether the two sample means are equal, and thus whether the two groups are distinct in statistical terms. By using the top 20 performers in the SSMECI, and the bottom 20 as our two samples, we can determine whether the mean for a particular determinant is different in the two groups. If, for example, the mean value for a particular determinant (e.g., foreign investment) is higher in the top 20 sample to a level that is statistically significant, this would imply that high levels of foreign investment are associated with high SSMECI performance, which further implies it has an impact on competitiveness.⁹

Tests of this nature were conducted on 25 separate variables, to see which factors were statistically significant. The variables utilized are divided into seven subcategories:

- Macro Environment. A stable and predictable macroeconomic environment, characterized by low inflation and interest rates, sustained GDP growth, and high levels of saving and investment, is widely accepted as a fundamental condition for business activity. Five variables are used in this category covering a wide scope of macroeconomic variables.
- (ii) Country Size. Recent literature has shown that country size is inversely correlated with susceptibility to economic, political, and environmental risks. Traditional economic theory would also suggest that larger country size may allow greater economies of scale and scope. Population is used as the proxy for country size as this has been shown to have the same result as more complex indices based on variables such as total GNP, population, and total arable land.
- (iii) Trade and Investment Regime. An open trade and investment regime exposes the business sector to overseas competition, encourages economies of scale through increased market access, and facilitates technological transfer. Three proxies of openness are used as well as inward foreign direct investment (FDI) stock.
- (iv) Vulnerability. "Vulnerability", whether in the form of susceptibility to natural disasters, or over reliance on one commodity may hamper the competitiveness of economies. Six variables are used to test this hypothesis, including both singular and composite measures of vulnerability.
- (v) Structural. The overall structure of economic activity may impact competitiveness, with a move away from low value-adding agriculture into manufacturing and services, freeing labor and benefiting the overall competitiveness of the economy. However, conversely at the opposite extreme, a lack of agricultural and mineral activity may prevent exploitation of potential for value-added industries based on natural resources. Two basic measures of economic structure are used.

⁸ Recent attempts at statistical analysis of the factors affecting competitiveness in developing countries include Ul Haque (1995), James and Romijn (1997), Wignaraja and Taylor (2003), and Wint (2003).

⁹ An important qualification about the testing procedure should be noted. The simple t-test shows significantly different means between two samples for individual variables. However, it does not indicate causality, and is thus less powerful than full econometric analysis. That said, it does provide insights into those underlying factors correlated with competitive success in comparisons of strong and weak national performance.

- (vi) Infrastructure. Efficient and cost-competitive physical infrastructure allows businesses to compete in the global market without constraint, and for small states, particularly modern ICT infrastructure allows the possibility to escape the "tyranny of distance", and stay abreast of the latest technological innovation and production techniques. Three variables of modern ICT infrastructure are used.
- (vii) *Human Capital*. A strong base of productive human capital is recognized as the basis for industrial innovation and competitiveness. Education and training provides productive numerate workers with the skills to compete successfully. Four variables are used covering enrolment rates at different stages of education and adult literacy.
- (viii) *"Development."* While not strictly a "determinant" of competitiveness, a country's level of development would be expected to be correlated with its level of competitiveness, even if the direction of causality is complicated. As such three variables are used to proxy for overall "development."

B. The T-Test Results

Table 9 shows the results of the t-tests on the means of the variables for high-performing sample countries (top 20), and the low performers (bottom 20). Data availability determined the sample size for a given t-test. In some cases the sample size would ideally have been higher, but all have enough for statistical relevance and are not low by cross national statistical analysis standards.

The main findings are as follows:

- (i) Macro Environment. The higher-performing sample countries had significantly higher average savings ratios, and lower interest rates (both at the 5 percent confidence level). This may suggest that cost and availability of capital is a driver of SSMECI performance. The means of GDP growth of the two samples are statistically different at the 5 percent level (5.6 compared to 3.5 percent between 1990-1999). While the high-performing sample countries do have a lower mean inflation rate, the difference is not statistically significant at the 10 percent level. Nor was the gross capital formation ratio.
- (ii) Country Size. Using the full data set, the difference in the means of population size for the two samples was not statistically significant. However, if Papua New Guinea is not included in the sample (at 5.25 million, it is something of an outlier in the group), then the means are highly significant at the 1 percent confidence level. This backs up the theory that size, even within the small states grouping, is a significant factor in SSMECI performance.

	HIGH PERFORMERS (TOP 20)		LC PERFO (BOTTO)W RMERS DM 20)		SIGNIFICANT
DETERMINANTS	MEAN	OBSER- VATIONS	MEAN	OBSER- VATIONS	T-STAT	(* ALSO AT 1% LEVEL)
Macro Fundamentals Inflation, average 1996-2000, (percent) ^b GDP Growth, average 1990-1999 (percent) ^b Interest Rate, 1999 (percent) ^{b,c} Gross Domestic Saving as percent of GDP (1999) ^b Gross Capital Formation as percent of GDP (1999) ^a	4.4 5.6 13.1 20.8 26.4	20 17 17 16 16	12.0 3.5 16.8 12.8 25.9	20 19 15 16 16	-1.10 1.75 -1.75 2.14 0.15	ü ü ü
Country Size Population (2001) ^a Population (excluding PNG) ^a	886,869 886,869	20 20	666,785 425,429	20 19	0.73 2.49	ü*
Trade and Investment Regime FDI Inward Stock percentof GDP (2000) ^d Imports as percent of GDP (1999) ^b Exports as percent of GDP (1999) ^b Imports/Exports as percent of GDP (1999) ^b	75.4 62.5 51.4 111.3	18 20 19 20	42.8 66.1 30.9 97.0	18 20 20 20	1.86 -0.31 2.10 0.92	ü ü
Vulnerability Vulnerability to Natural Disasters ^e Composite Vulnerability Index ^e Export Dependence ^e UNCTAD Diversification Index (2000) ^f UNCTAD Concentration Index (2000) ^f No. of Commodities Exported (2000) ^f	127 7.55 64.66 0.77 0.46 81.9	17 17 17 15 16 16	170 7.41 43.49 0.69 0.51 25.3	20 20 20 13 14 14	-0.72 0.21 2.66 1.97 -0.76 3.62	ü* ü ü*
Structural Agriculture Value Added, 1999 (percent GDP) ^b Services Value Added, 1999 (% GDP) ^b	7.9 59.4	18 18	18.4 58.9	19 18	-3.28 0.09	ü*
Infrastructure Telephones/Mobiles per 1,000 population (2000) ^a Internet Users (2001) ^a Personal Computers per 1000 population (2001) ^a	379 46,000 87.2	20 20 17	220 33,974 79.4	17 19 16	1.90 0.50 0.33	ü
Human Capital Adult Literacy as percent of population, 1999 ^a Secondary Enrolment, 2000 ^a Tertiary Enrolment, 2000 ^a	88.6 66.2 14.9	18 13 13	71.5 57.8 11.5	13 11 10	3.07 0.90 0.62	ü *
Development GDP per Capita, 2001 (Current US\$) ^a GDP per Capita, 2001 (PPP US\$) ^g HDI Index Value, 2003 ^g	6,833 10,203 0.76	20 20 20	2,531 5,145 0.67	20 18 18	2.62 3.07 2.34	ü* ü* ü

TABLE 9 T-TESTS TO EXAMINE SIGNIFICANCE OF DETERMINANTS

Sources:

^a World Development Indicators (World Bank 2003)

^d UNCTAD, World Investment Report 2002

b Small States, Economic Review & Basic Statistics (Commonwealth Secretariat 2002) ^c IMF, various country reports

е Atkins, Mazzi, and Easter (2001)

f

f Handbook of Statistics (UNCTAD 2002)
 g Human Development Report (UNDP 2003)

- (iii) *Trade and Investment Regime*. The high-performing sample countries have significantly greater means for FDI stock (at the 5 percent confidence level), which would confirm the suggestion that FDI is a driver of competitiveness, through generation of export production and technological transfer. Unsurprisingly, openness as measured by the exports/GDP ratio was significant, but imports/GDP and the combination of exports and imports to GDP were not significant. On one hand this is surprising but perhaps reflects that all small states are by nature fairly reliant on imports, perhaps even more so if lacking competitiveness.
- (iv) Vulnerability. Some measures of vulnerability showed high levels of significance, particularly those relating to the structure and diversity of production. Dependence on exports, and the number of commodities exported were both significant at the 1 percent level, while the UNCTAD diversification measure was significant at the 5 percent level. Perhaps surprisingly, the recent attempts to produce vulnerability indices were not significant, with neither the Natural Disasters vulnerability index, nor the composite vulnerability index producing statistically significantly different means across the samples.
- (v) Structural. The structural variable showed that high-performing SSMECI countries had a significantly lower mean for the share of agricultural value added in GDP than the lowerperforming group (at the 1 percent confidence level). Given the nature of the index this is perhaps not surprising and represents the traditional shift from agricultural production to manufacturing and industry. The share of services value added in GDP was not significant at the 10 percent level.
- (vi) Infrastructure. In the area of modern infrastructure the difference in means for telephone connections (fixed lines and mobile) was significant at the 5 percent level, suggesting that communication and information flow is a factor in competitiveness. The number of Internet connections and PCs was not significant however, and this may be because it is too early for such new technology to be feeding through to the indicators found in the SSMECI.
- (vii) *Human Capital*. The importance of human capital in determining competitiveness may be suggested by the high significance (at the 1 percent confidence level) in the difference in means between samples for levels of adult literacy. For both secondary and tertiary level education enrolment rates, the higher-performing SSMECI countries had greater means than the lower, however this was not statistically significant at the 10 percent level. This lack of significance may have been affected by poor data availability in these data sets.
- (viii) *Development*. As expected the relationship between overall development and performance in the SSMECI was strong. Both measures of GDP per capita had significantly higher means in the top-performing SSMECI countries (at the 1 percent confidence level), while for the Human Development Index the means were significantly different at the 5 percent confidence level.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Exercises to benchmark competitiveness performance across countries, such as that undertaken here, have become increasingly popular in recent years, with the indices of the World Economic Forum and International Institute for Management Development gaining particular popular note. The coverage of such work has recently broadened from including just developed countries to including the developing world as well. To date, however, little attempt has been made to include small states, let alone focus on them particularly. This paper presents a first attempt at such an index, and develops a small state manufactured export competitiveness index (SSMECI) based on three subcomponents, namely manufactured exports per capita, average growth in manufactured exports, and share of manufacturing in GDP.

As ever with work of this kind some results are expected and fit with *a priori* expectations. However, other results take more analysis and explanation. The very size of the countries in question leads to increased data volatility, and this may affect the results, perhaps causing a few anomalies and raised eyebrows. This can never be avoided, but while one or two may have performed above or below expectations, the general pattern of results is sound, and provides insight.

Not surprisingly the European small states (such as Malta and Estonia) perform well, as do other traditional regional small state "powerhouses", such as Fiji Islands, Mauritius, and Trinidad and Tobago. This shows that small states can successfully transit from a state of vulnerability to developing a viable, internationally competitive industrial sector. The high performance of the BLNS countries in the Southern African Customs Union is of note, and perhaps points toward the benefits of integrated trade and investment relationships with larger neighbors. At the other end of the performance spectrum, tiny microstates record a particularly weak competitiveness performance, suggesting that even within the world's smallest economies, country size matters for competitiveness. Factors like the lack of domestic markets, technical manpower, and foreign direct investment may help to explain the poor performance of microstates.

Unfortunately, greater use of econometric techniques was hampered by the lack of data on key variables, and so the ability to analyze the determinants of competitiveness was constrained. However, simple t-test analysis indicates that the determinants of competitiveness include a number of variables, covering both the policy environment and supply side factors. High-performing small states had better macroeconomic conditions, higher levels of FDI, more trade openness, better levels of education, and modern infrastructure. This suggests that the adoption of a coherent market-oriented, competitiveness strategy in small states is vital to success on international markets.¹⁰

Ultimately, even with better data availability that would have enabled more complex econometric analysis to be undertaken, exercises of this type can only begin to shed light on competitive performance and its drivers. The complex nature of factors involved in export competitiveness, and the particular circumstances and constraints of different countries, mean that the lessons a particular policymaker can draw are normally only at the macro level. To truly understand the drivers of competitiveness, there is a need for greater exploration of specific policy environment, and institutional and firm-level competitiveness factors, which requires detailed case studies of individual small states.¹¹

APPENDIX CONSTRUCTION OF THE SSMECI

This appendix covers the technical details of the methodology used to construct the small states manufacturing export competitiveness index (SSMECI), along with notes on data sources and definitions.

Data Definitions and Sources

Definition of "Manufacturing"

The commonly used international definition of manufacturing is used throughout, which is defined using the Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) codes. The manufacturing sector is represented by the addition of the values for SITC code level 5, 6, 7, and 8, minus the value of code level 68.

The use of such a definition has both benefits and costs, but in light of the data constraints of small states, was the only realistic option. In order to put together data for as many countries as possible, a variety of sources had to be used (see below). The use of an international definition made this task both more accurate in terms of common definitions across multiple sources, and more realistic as far as availability is concerned.

Ideally, it would have been useful to define manufacturing to include more of the food processing industry, as this is often a large component of small states export production. However, without access to disaggregated data for each country this was not possible, and in the interest of larger samples, a more standardized definition was more appropriate.

Definition of Small States and Countries Used

The standard Commonwealth definition of small states has been used throughout this paper, and is again used here. From this 32 small states are identified that are Commonwealth members. This includes four countries with small-state characteristics despite their larger populations (Lesotho, Namibia, Papua New Guinea, and Swaziland). To increase the sample size slightly further, the IMF definition of small states was also used; this identifies 43 small states, and when combined with the Commonwealth list, produces a sample of 47 countries. Data constraints meant, however, that a final sample of 40 was available for this study.

Data Sources

As mentioned above, given the difficulties of obtaining data in many small states, a number of sources were used. For the first two variables, the main source was the International Trade Centre, with data extracted from the COMTRADE database. This was supplemented using data from UNCTAD *Handbook of Statistics*, ITC's *PC-TAS*, and the World Bank *World Development Indicators*. National sources were also used where there were gaps in the data, or to verify data. In certain circumstances, gaps in data have been estimated using standard imputation techniques from other data from that country. The specific sources of all data are detailed in Appendix Table 1.

¹⁰ See Wignaraja (1997 and 2003) and Wignaraja et al. (2004) for more details of these and other elements of a coherent competitiveness strategy.

¹¹ For recent examples of detailed competitiveness studies on small states such as Jamaica, Malta, and Mauritius see World Bank (1994), Harris (1997), Lall and Wignaraja (1998), and Malta Ministry of Economic Services (1999). On Singapore, see Singapore Ministry of Trade and Industry (1998).

		MANUFACTURED EXPORTS								
COUNTRY	YEAR	SOURCE	YEAR	SOURCE	YEAR					
Antigua and Barbuda	1991 ^h	WTO ^a /ITC	1999	ITC	1999					
Bahamas	1995	ITC ^b	2001	ITC	1999 ^g					
Bahrain	1994	ITC	2001	ITC	1997					
Barbados	1990	ITC	2001	ITC	1999					
Belize	1992	ITC	2000	ITC	1999					
Bhutan	1991	ITC	1999	UNCTAD HOS	1998					
Botswana	1991 ^h	ITC/WTO	2001	ITC	1999					
Brunei	1990	ITC	1998	ITC	1999 ^g					
Cape Verde	1995	ITC	2001	ITC	1999					
Comoros	1995	ITC	2000	ITC	1999					
Cyprus	1990	ITC	2001	ITC	1999 ^g					
Djibouti	1990	ITC	1995 ^h	UNCTAD/WTO	1999 ^g					
Dominica	1990	UNCTAD ^c	2001	ITC	1999					
Estonia	1995	ITC	2001	ITC	1999					
Fiji Islands	1988	ITC	2000	ITC	1999					
Gabon	1993	ITC	2000	ITC	1999					
Gambia, The	1995	ITC	2000	PCTAS	1999					
Grenada	1990	ITC	2001	ITC	1999					
Guyana	1991 ^h	FTAA Web ^d	1998	FTAA Web	1999					
Jamaica	1990	ITC	2000	ITC	1999					
Kiribati	1990	ITC	1999	UNCTAD HOS	1998					
Lesotho	1991	NATIONAL ^e	2001	NATIONAL	1999 ^g					
Maldives	1995	ITC	2001	UNCTAD HOS	1998					
Malta	1990	UNCTAD	2001	ITC	1999 ^g					
Mauritius	1990	ITC	2001	UNCTAD HOS	1999					
Namibia	1991	WTO/ITC	2001	ITC	1999					
Papua New Guinea	1990	ITC	2000	ITC	1999					
Qatar	1990	ITC	2001	ITC	1999 ^g					
Samoa	1990	ITC	2001 ^h	ITC/WTO	1997					
São Tomé and Príncipe	1995 ^h	UNCTAD/WTO	2001 ^h	UNCTAD/WTO	1999					
Seychelles	1990	ITC	2001 ^h	WTO-ITC	1999					
Solomon Islands	1990	WTO-HDI ^e	2001 ^h	HDI-WTO	1999 ^g					
St. Kitts and Nevis	1988	UNCTAD HOS	2001	ITC	1999					
St. Lucia	1990	ITC	2001	ITC	1999					
St. Vincent/Grenadines	1993	ITC	2000	ITC	1999					
Suriname	1990	ITC	2000	UNCTAD	1998					
Swaziland	1990	WTO-HDI	2001	ITC	1999					
Tonga	1991 ^h	ITC/WTO	2000	UNCTAD HOS	1998					
Trinidad and Tobago	1990	ITC	2001	ITC	1999					
Vanuatu	1990	ITC	2000	UNCTAD HOS	1999 ^g					

Appendix Table 1 Sources of all Data in Small States SSMECI

(continued)

APPENDIX TABLE 1 (CONTINUED)

- a World Trade Statistics (World Trade Organisation 2002).
- ^b International Trade Centre using COMTRADE database.
- ^c Handbook of Statistics (United Nations Centre for Trade and Development 2003).
- ^d Free Trade Agreement of the Americas (FTAA) website (http://www.ftaa-alca.org).
- ^e Data from national sources (e.g., Central Bank or Statistical Office).
- f Human Development Indicators 2001 (United Nations 2001).
- ^g World Development Indicators 2001 unless otherwise specified.
- ^h Imputed from figure for alternative reference year (1990 or 2001), using total export figures from the WTO and using the assumption that the percent of manufactured exports in total exports stays the same.

Construction of the SSMECI

The SSMECI is a composite index constructed using a methodology similar to that used for the UNDP Human Development Index (HDI).¹²

Indexing the Variables

For each of the three variables an index value was calculated using the following general formula:

Index = Actual Value – Minimum Value

Maximum Value – Minimum Value

A key consideration in such a calculation was determining the minimum and maximum values that were appropriate. In the absence of a theoretical rationale suggesting definite alternatives, the maximum and minimum values in the relevant sample set were used.

For example: Value added from manufacturing (MVA) as a percent of GDP of the Fiji Islands was 14.11 percent in 1999, the sample maximum is 31.69 in Swaziland, and the sample minimum 1 percent in Kiribati. The index for Fiji is therefore:

M VA Index =
$$\frac{14.11 - 1}{31.69 - 1}$$

0.43

This method was used for the MVA variable and the growth of manufactured exports variable. However, for the manufactured exports per capita variable, the extreme high values of some countries in the sample meant that all, except for three countries, had an index value of below 0.4. This has the effect of introducing a large bias in the overall index in favor of the top three countries. In order to discount these extreme variables, logarithms were used in the calculations. However, this overcompensated for the bias, and even low performers were attaining index values of above 0.8. In order to even out the effect, an average of the two was used, i.e., the average of the two values produced from using logarithms and from not using them.

¹² The HDI is an index produced annually by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). It uses a weighted sum of three indices representing life expectancy, educational attainment, and adjusted GDP per capita. For each country, each of the three variables is indexed to a value between 0 and 1, and then the three indices are combined with equal weights to form the HDI. See UNDP (2003).

Rank correlation calculations were used to measure the effect of the use/nonuse of logarithms on the SSMECI order. The rank correlation between the SSMECI based on a logarithmic approach and the "average" method above is 0.985, while the rank correlation between the SSMECI based on a nonlogarithmic approach and the "average" method above is 0.993. Thus while the average method refines the index, its overall impact is relatively limited.

Weighting the Indices

The three variables were weighted 40:30:30 percent, with manufacturing exports per capita gaining the largest 40 percent weight. This approach has been adopted, rather than perhaps the more obvious choice of equal thirds, given the particular interest in current performance, and the need to account for the varying sizes of the countries involved.

As above, the ranking is robust compared to the use of an equal weighting, with a rank correlation of 0.993 between the results of the two methods.

COUNTRY	POPULATION (2001)	GDP PER CAPITA (CURRENT US\$ 2001)	GDP PER CAPITA (PPP US\$ 2001)	HDI RANK (2003) ^a	HDI INDEX VALUE (2003)
Antinua and Davhuda	60 (00	0.061	10.170		0.700
Rehamas	08,490	9,901	10,170	50	0.798
Ballallias	309,840	15,550	10,270	49	0.812
Dallidili	051,000	12,109	10,000	27	0.039
	208,190	10,281	15,500	21	0.888
Bellze	247,110	3,258	5,090	0/	0.770
Botewana	020,040	057	1,033	100	0.511
Bouswalla	1,095,000	5,000	7,020	125	0.014
Gana Varda	544,000	14,000	19,210	51 102	0.072
	440,400	1,204	5,570	105	0.727
Company	5/1,690	300 12.00/	1,070	154	0.526
Ditheuti	700,050	12,004	21,190	20	0.691
	044,330	894	2,370	153	0.402
Dominica Estavia	/1,8/0	3,007	5,520	08	0.776
	1,304,000	4,051	10,170	41	0.833
Fiji Islands	817,000	2,062	4,850	81	0.754
	1,200,790	3,437	5,990	118	0.053
Gambia, The	1,340,770	291	2,050	151	0.403
Grenada	100,410	3,905	6,740	93	0.738
	/66,260	912	4,690	92	0.740
Jamaica	2,590,000	3,005	3,720	/8	0./5/
	92,810	430	0 (00	-	-
Lesotho	2,061,730	380	2,420	137	0.510
Maldives	280,320	2,229	4,798	86	0.751
Malta	395,000	9,150	13,160	33	0.856
Mauritius	1,200,000	3,//1	9,860	62	0.779
Namibia	1,792,060	1,/30	7,120	124	0.627
Papua New Guinea	5,252,530	552	2,570	132	0.548
Qatar	597,550	27,536	19,844	44	0.826
Samoa	174,000	1,404	6,180	70	0.775
Sao Iomé & Principe	151,100	311	1,317	122	0.639
Seychelles	82,420	6,912	17,030	36	0.840
Solomon Islands	430,760	683	1,910	123	0.632
St. Kitts and Nevis	45,050	7,609	11,300	51	0.808
St. Lucia	156,700	4,222	5,260	71	0.775
St.Vincent/Grenadines	115,880	3,007	5,330	80	0.755
Suriname	419,660	1,803	4,599	11	0.762
Swaziland	1,067,940	1,175	4,330	133	0.547
longa	100,720	1,371		-	-
Irinidad and Tobago	1,309,610	6,983	9,100	54	0.802
Vanuatu	201,190	1,096	3,190	128	0.568

APPENDIX TABLE 2 BASIC PROFILE OF SMALL STATES, MOST RECENT ESTIMATES

^a Rank out of 175 countries in HDI Sample

Source: UNDP Human Development Report 2003, World Development Indicators 2003

REFERENCES

- Asian Development Bank, 2003. "Special Chapter: Competitiveness in Developing Asia." In Asian Development Outlook 2003. Oxford: Oxford University Press for the Asian Development Bank.
- Atkins, J. P., S. Mazzi, and C. D. Easter, 2001. "Small States: A Composite Vulnerability Index." In D. Peretz, R. Faruqi, and E. Kissanga, ed., *Small States in the Global Economy*. Commonwealth Secretariat, London.

Briguglio, L., and G. Cordina, eds. 2004. Competitiveness Strategies for Small States. Formatek Ltd., Malta.

Commonwealth Secretariat, 1997. *A Future for Small States: Overcoming Vulnerability*. Report of a Commonwealth Advisory Group, Commonwealth Secretariat, London.

Commonwealth Secretariat, 2002. *Small States, Economic Review and Basic Statistics*. Commonwealth Secretariat, London.

Corden, M., 1994. Economic Policy, Exchange Rates and the International System. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Dahlman, C. J., and J. E. Aubert, 2001. *China and the Knowledge Economy: Seizing the 21st Century*. World Bank Institute, Washington D.C.

Faggerberg, J., 1988. "International Competitiveness." *Economic Journal* 98(June):355-74.

Gounder, R., and V. Xayavong, 2001. Globalisation and the Island Economies of the South Pacific. United Nations University World Institute for Development Economic Research (UNUWIDER), Discussion Paper No. 2001/41, Helsinki.

Harris, D. J., 1997. Jamaica's Export Economy: Towards a Strategy of Export-Led Growth. Critical Issues in Caribbean Development Series No. 5, Ian Randle Publishers, Kingston.

Holden, P., M. Bale, and S. Holden, 2004. Swimming Against the Tide? An Assessment of the Private Sector in the Pacific. Pacific Studies Series, Asian Development Bank, Manila, Philippines.

IMD, various years. *The World Competitiveness Yearbook*. International Institute for Management Development, Lausanne.

ITC, 2000. "The Trade Performance Index: Background Paper." Market Analysis Section, UNCTAD/WTO International Trade Centre, Geneva.

James. J., and H. Romijn, 1997. "Determinants of Technological Capability: A Cross-Country Analysis." Oxford Development Studies 25(2):189-207.

Jessen, A., and E. Rodriguez, 1999. The Caribbean Community: Facing the Challenges of Regional and Global Integration. INTAL-ITD Occasional Paper 2 (Institute for the Integration of Latin America and the Caribbean, INTAL, and Inter-American Development Bank, IDB). Available: http://www.iadb.org/int/pub.

Krugman, P., 1994. "Competitiveness: A Dangerous Obsession." Foreign Affairs 73(2):28-44.

Lall, S., 2001a. *Competitiveness, Technology and Skills*. Aldershot, UK: Edward Elgar.

_____, 2001b. "Competitiveness Indices and Developing Countries: An Economic Evaluation of the Global Competitiveness Report." *World Development* 29(9):1501-25.

Lall, S., and G. Wignaraja, 1998. Mauritius: Dynamising Export Competitiveness. Commonwealth Economic Paper No. 33, Commonwealth Secretariat, London.

Malta Ministry of Economic Services, 1999. *Prosperity in Change: Challenges and Opportunities for Industry*. Ministry of Economic Services, Malta.

Peretz, D., R. Faruqi, and E. Kissanga, eds., 2001. *Small States in the Global Economy*. Commonwealth Secretariat, London.

Porter, M. E., 1990. The Competitive Advantage of Nations. London: Macmillan Press.

- Singapore Ministry of Trade and Industry (1998), *Committee on Singapore's Competitiveness*. Ministry of Trade and Industry, Singapore.
- Ul Haque, I., 1995. "Introduction." In I. Ul Haque, ed., *Trade, Technology and International Competitiveness*. Economic Development Institute, World Bank, Washington D.C.

UNCTAD, 2002. World Investment Report, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva.

UNCTAD, various years. *Handbook of International Trade Statistics*. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva.

UNDP, 2003. Human Development Report. New York: Oxford University Press.

- UNIDO, 2002. World Industrial Development Report 2002/2003: Competing Through Innovation. United Nations Industrial Development Organization, Vienna.
- WEF, 2003. *Global Competitiveness Report 2003*. Oxford University Press for the World Economic Forum: New York. Available: http://www.weforum.org.
- Wignaraja, G., 1997. "Manufacturing Competitiveness With Special Reference to Small States." In *Small States: Economic Review and Basic Statistics*, Vol. 3. Commonwealth Secretariat, London.
 - _____, 2003. "Competitiveness Analysis and Strategy." In G. Wignaraja, *Competitiveness Strategy in Developing Countries*. London: Routledge.
 - _____, 2004. "Building Business Competitiveness." Session Paper prepared for UNCTAD XI. Sao Paolo, Brazil. Available: http://www.intracen.org/UNCTADXI/welcome.htm.
- Wignaraja, G., and A. Taylor, 2003. "Benchmarking Competitiveness: A First Look at the MECI." In G. Wignaraja, Competitiveness Strategy in Developing Countries. London: Routledge.
- Wignaraja, G., M. Lezama, and D. Joiner, 2004. *Small States in Transition: From Vulnerability to Competitiveness*. Commonwealth Secretariat, London.
- Wint, A. G., 2003. Competitiveness in Small Developing Economies: Insights from the Caribbean. Kingston: University of West Indies Press.
- World Bank, 1994. Mauritius: Technology Strategy for Competitiveness. Report No. 12518-MAS, World Bank, Washington D.C.

_____, various years. *World Development Indicators*. New York: Oxford University Press. WTO, 2002. *World Trade Statistics*. World Trade Organization, Geneva.

PUBLICATIONS FROM THE ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

ERD WORKING PAPER SERIES (WPS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

No. 1	Capitalizing on Globalization —Barry Eichengreen, January 2002
No. 2	Policy-based Lending and Poverty Reduction: An Overview of Processes, Assessment
	and Options —Richard Bolt and Manabu Fujimura
N	January 2002
No. 3	and Asian Perspectives
	—Francisco Veloso and Rajiv Kumar January 2002
No. 4	International Competitiveness of Asian Firms:
	An Analytical Framework —Rajiv Kumar and Doren Chadee Reherance 2002
No. 5	The International Competitiveness of Asian
	Economies in the Apparel Commodity Chain —Gary Gereffi
	February 2002
No. 6	Monetary and Financial Cooperation in East Asia—The Chiang Mai Initiative and Beyond —Pradumna B Rana
	February 2002
No. 7	Probing Beneath Cross-national Averages: Poverty,
	-Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia
No. 8	Poverty, Growth, and Inequality in Thailand
	—Anil B. Deolalikar April 2002
No. 9	Microfinance in Northeast Thailand: Who Benefits
	-Brett E. Coleman
	April 2002
No. 10	Poverty Reduction and the Role of Institutions in
	—Anil B. Deolalikar, Alex B. Brilliantes, Jr.
	Raghav Gaiha, Ernesto M. Pernia, Mary Racelis
	with the assistance of Marita Concepcion Castro-
	Guevara, Liza L. Lim, Pilipinas F. Quising Mav 2002
No. 11	The European Social Model: Lessons for
	Developing Countries
	May 2002
No. 12	Costs and Benefits of a Common Currency for
	ASEAN —Srinivasa Madhur
	May 2002
No. 13	Monetary Cooperation in East Asia: A Survey —Raul Fabella May 2002
No. 14	Toward A Political Economy Approach
	to Policy-based Lending
	—George Abonyi May 2002
No. 15	A Framework for Establishing Priorities in a
	Country Poverty Reduction Strategy
	-Ron Duncan and Steve Pollard June 2002

No. 16	The Role of Infrastructure in Land-use Dynamics
	and Rice Production in Viet Nam's Mekong River
	Delta

- —Christopher Edmonds July 2002
- No. 17 Effect of Decentralization Strategy on Macroeconomic Stability in Thailand —Kanokpan Lao-Araya August 2002
- No. 18 Poverty and Patterns of Growth —Rana Hasan and M. G. Quibria August 2002
- No. 19 Why are Some Countries Richer than Others? A Reassessment of Mankiw-Romer-Weil's Test of the Neoclassical Growth Model —Jesus Felipe and John McCombie August 2002
- No. 20 Modernization and Son Preference in People's Republic of China —Robin Burgess and Juzhong Zhuang September 2002
- No. 21 The Doha Agenda and Development: A View from the Uruguay Round -J. Michael Finger
 - September 2002
- No. 22 Conceptual Issues in the Role of Education Decentralization in Promoting Effective Schooling in Asian Developing Countries
 —Jere R. Behrman, Anil B. Deolalikar, and Lee-Ying Son September 2002
- No. 23 Promoting Effective Schooling through Education Decentralization in Bangladesh, Indonesia, and Philippines
 —Jere R. Behrman, Anil B. Deolalikar, and Lee-Ying Son
- September 2002 No. 24 Financial Opening under the WTO Agreement in Selected Asian Countries: Progress and Issues —Yun-Hwan Kim September 2002
- No. 25 Revisiting Growth and Poverty Reduction in Indonesia: What Do Subnational Data Show? —Arsenio M. Balisacan, Ernesto M. Pernia, and Abuzar Asra October 2002
- No. 26 Causes of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis: What Can an Early Warning System Model Tell Us? -Juzhong Zhuang and J. Malcolm Dowling October 2002
- No. 27 Digital Divide: Determinants and Policies with Special Reference to Asia
 —M. G. Quibria, Shamsun N. Ahmed, Ted Tschang, and Mari-Len Reyes-Macasaquit October 2002
- No. 28 Regional Cooperation in Asia: Long-term Progress, Recent Retrogression, and the Way Forward —Ramgopal Agarwala and Brahm Prakash October 2002

No. 2	How can Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Vi Nam Cope with Revenue Lost Due to AFTA Tarif Reductions?	et f
	-Kanokpan Lao-Araya	
No. 3	November 2002 Asian Regionalism and Its Effects on Trade in th	e
	1980s and 1990s	
	—Ramon Clarete, Christopher Edmonds, and Jessica Seddon Wallack	
	November 2002	
No. 3	1 New Economy and the Effects of Industrial Structures on International Equity Market	
	Correlations	
	—Cyn-Young Park and Jaejoon Woo December 2002	
No. 3	2 Leading Indicators of Business Cycles in Malaysi	a
	and the Philippines —Wenda Zhang and Juzhong Zhuang	
	December 2002	
No. 3	3 Technological Spillovers from Foreign Direct	
	—Emma Xiaoqin Fan	
No	December 2002	
INO. 6	the Philippines	1
	-Ernesto M. Pernia and Pilipinas F. Quising	
No. 3	5 Bond Market Development in East Asia:	
	Issues and Challenges	
	—Raul Fabella and Srinivasa Madhur Januarv 2003	
No. 3	6 Environment Statistics in Central Asia: Progress	
	and Prospects —Robert Ballance and Bishny D Pant	
	March 2003	
No. 3	7 Electricity Demand in the People's Republic of China: Investment Requirement and	
	Environmental Impact	
	—Bo Q. Lin March 2003	
No. 3	8 Foreign Direct Investment in Developing Asia:	
	Trends, Effects, and Likely Issues for the Fortheoming WTO Norotintians	
	—Douglas H. Brooks, Emma Xiaoqin Fan,	
	and Lea R. Sumulong	
No. 3	9 The Political Economy of Good Governance for	
	Poverty Alleviation Policies	
	—Narayan Lakshman April 2003	
No. 4	The Puzzle of Social Capital	
	– <i>M. G. Quibria</i>	
	May 2003	
No. 4	Role of Government in Development of the	
	Electronics and Information Industry in	
	Taipei,China —Yeo Lin	
	May 2003	
No. 4	2 Economic Growth and Poverty Reduction in Viet Nam	
	—Arsenio M. Balisacan, Ernesto M. Pernia, and	
	Gemma Esther B. Estrada June 2003	
No. 4	3 Why Has Income Inequality in Thailand	
	Increased? An Analysis Using 1975-1998 Surveys	
	June 2003	

No. 44	Welfare Impacts of Electricity Generation Sector Reform in the Philippines —Natsuko Toba
No. 45	A Review of Commitment Savings Products in Developing Countries —Nava Ashraf, Nathalie Gons, Dean S. Karlan, and Wesley Yin July 2003
No. 46	Local Government Finance, Private Resources, and Local Credit Markets in Asia —Roberto de Vera and Yun-Hwan Kim October 2003 July 2003
No. 47	Excess Investment and Efficiency Loss During Reforms: The Case of Provincial-level Fixed-Asset Investment in People's Republic of China —Duo Qin and Haiyan Song October 2003
No. 48	Is Export-led Growth Passe? Implications for Developing Asia —Jesus Felipe December 2003
No. 49	Changing Bank Lending Behavior and Corporate Financing in Asia—Some Research Issues —Emma Xiaoqin Fan and Akiko Terada-Hagiwara December 2003
No. 50	Is People's Republic of China's Rising Services Sector Leading to Cost Disease? Duo Qin March 2004
No. 51	Poverty Estimates in India: Some Key Issues Savita Sharma May 2004
No. 52	Restructuring and Regulatory Reform in the Power Sector: Review of Experience and Issues —Peter Choynowski May 2004
No. 53	Competitiveness, Income Distribution, and Growth in the Philippines: What Does the Long-run Evidence Show? —Jesus Felipe and Grace C. Sipin June 2004
No. 54	Practices of Poverty Measurement and Poverty Profile of Bangladesh —Faizuddin Ahmed August 2004
No. 55	Experience of Asian Asset Management Companies: Do They Increase Moral Hazard? —Evidence from Thailand —Akiko Terada-Hagiwara and Gloria Pasadilla September 2004
No. 56	Viet Nam: Foreign Direct Investment and Postcrisis Regional Integration —Vittorio Leproux and Douglas H. Brooks September 2004
No. 57	Practices of Poverty Measurement and Poverty Profile of Nepal —Devendra Chhetry Sastambar 2004
No. 59	Poverty Measurement and Poverty Estimates in the Philippines: Selected Issues Virginia Ganac October 2004
No. 60	Measuring Competitiveness in the World's Smallest Economies: Introducing the SSMECI —Ganeshan Wignaraja and David Joiner November 2004

ERD TECHNICAL NOTE SERIES (TNS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

No. 1	Contingency Calculations for Environmental
	Impacts with Unknown Monetary Values
	—David Dole
	February 2002
No. 2	2 Integrating Risk into ADB's Economic Analysis
	of Projects
	—Nigel Rayner, Anneli Lagman-Martin,
	and Keith Ward
	June 2002
No. 3	8 Measuring Willingness to Pay for Electricity
	—Peter Choynowski
	July 2002
No. 4	Economic Issues in the Design and Analysis of a
	Wastewater Treatment Project
	—David Dole
	July 2002
No. 8	5 An Analysis and Case Study of the Role of
	Environmental Economics at the Asian
	Development Bank
	—David Dole and Piya Abeygunawardena
	September 2002
No. 6	Economic Analysis of Health Projects: A Case Study
	in Cambodia

	—Erik Bloom and Peter Choynowski May 2003
No. 7	Strengthening the Economic Analysis of Natural
	Resource Management Projects
	-Keith Ward
	September 2003
No. 8	Testing Savings Product Innovations Using an
	Experimental Methodology
	—Nava Ashraf, Dean S. Karlan, and Wesley Yin
	November 2003
No. 9	Setting User Charges for Public Services: Policies
	and Practice at the Asian Development Bank
	-David Dole
	December 2003
No. 10	Beyond Cost Recovery: Setting User Charges for
	Financial, Economic, and Social Goals
	-David Dole and Ian Bartlett
	January 2004
No. 11	Shadow Exchange Rates for Project Economic
	Analysis: Toward Improving Practice at the Asian
	Development Bank
	—Anneli Lagman-Martin
	February 2004

ERD POLICY BRIEF SERIES (PBS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

No. 1	Is Growth Good Enough for the Poor? —Ernesto M. Pernia, October 2001
No. 2	India's Economic Reforms
	What Has Been Accomplished?
	What Remains to Be Done?
	—Arvind Panagariya, November 2001
No. 3	Unequal Benefits of Growth in Viet Nam
	Thang, January 2002
No. 4	Is Volatility Built into Today's World Economy?
	-J. Malcolm Dowling and J.P. Verbiest,
	February 2002
No. 5	What Else Besides Growth Matters to Poverty
	Reduction? Philippines
	-Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia,
	February 2002
No. 6	Achieving the Twin Objectives of Efficiency and
	Equity: Contracting Health Services in Cambodia
	—Indu Bhushan, Sheryl Keller, and Brad Schwartz,
	March 2002
No. 7	Causes of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis: What
	Can an Early Warning System Model Tell Us?
	—Juzhong Zhuang and Malcolm Dowling,
	June 2002
No. 8	The Role of Preferential Trading Arrangements
	in Asia
	-Christopher Edmonds and Jean-Pierre Verbiest,
	July 2002
No. 9	The Doha Round: A Development Perspective
	–Jean-Pierre Verbiest, Jeffrey Liang, and Lea
	Sumulong
	July 2002
No. 10	Is Economic Openness Good for Regional
	Development and Poverty Reduction? The

Philippines —E. M. Pernia and P. F. Quising October 2002

- No. 11 Implications of a US Dollar Depreciation for Asian Developing Countries —Emma Fan July 2002
- No. 12 Dangers of Deflation -D. Brooks and P. F. Quising December 2002
- No. 13 Infrastructure and Poverty Reduction— What is the Connection? —I. Ali and E. Pernia January 2003
- No. 14 Infrastructure and Poverty Reduction— Making Markets Work for the Poor —Xianbin Yao May 2003
- No. 15 SARS: Economic Impacts and Implications —Emma Xiaoqin Fan May 2003
- No. 16 Emerging Tax Issues: Implications of Globalization and Technology —Kanokpan Lao Araya
- May 2003 No. 17 Pro-Poor Growth: What is It and Why is It Important?
 - —Ērnesto M. Pernia May 2003
- No. 18 Public-Private Partnership for Competitiveness —Jesus Felipe June 2003
- No. 19 Reviving Asian Economic Growth Requires Further Reforms

		Ifzal Ali
No. 2	20	The Millennium Development Goals and Poverty:
		Are We Counting the World's Poor Right?
		—M. G. Quibria
		July 2003
No. 2	21	Trade and Poverty: What are the Connections?
		—Douglas H. Brooks
		July 2003
No. 2	22	Adapting Education to the Global Economy
		-Olivier Dupriez
		September 2003
No. 2	23	Avian Flu: An Economic Assessment for Selected
		Developing Countries in Asia
		—Jean-Pierre Verbiest and Charissa Castillo
		March 2004
No. 2	25	Purchasing Power Parities and the International
		Comparison Program in a Globalized World
		—Bishnu Pant
		March 2004
No. 2	26	A Note on Dual/Multiple Exchange Rates
		—Emma Xiaoqin Fan
		May 2004

No. 27	Inclusive Growth for Sustainable Poverty Reduction
	in Developing Asia: The Enabling Role of
	Infrastructure Development
	—Ifzal Ali and Xianbin Yao
	May 2004
No. 28	Higher Oil Prices: Asian Perspectives and

- No. 28 Figher On Frices: Asian Perspectives and Implications for 2004-2005 —Cyn-Young Park June 2004 No. 29 Accelerating Agriculture and Rural Develop
- No. 29 Accelerating Agriculture and Rural Development for Inclusive Growth: Policy Implications for Developing Asia —*Richard Bolt* July 2004
- No. 30 Living with Higher Interest Rates: Is Asia Ready? —Cyn-Young Park August 2004
- No. 31 Reserve Accumulation, Sterilization, and Policy Dilemma —Akiko Terada-Hagiwara October 2004

SERIALS

(Co-published with Oxford University Press; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Associated Companies, and Agents)

- 1. Asian Development Outlook (ADO; annual) \$36.00 (paperback)
- 2. Key Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries (KI; annual) \$35.00 (paperback)

JOURNAL

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

1. Asian Development Review (ADR; semiannual) \$5.00 per issue; \$8.00 per year (2 issues)

MONOGRAPH SERIES

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

EDRC REPORT SERIES (ER)

No. 1	ASEAN and the Asian Development Bank
No 2	—Seiji Naya, April 1982 Development Issues for the Developing East
110. 2	and Southeast Asian Countries
	and International Cooperation
No 3	-Seiji Naya and Graham Abbott, April 1982
110. 5	-J. Malcolm Dowling and Ulrich Hiemenz.
	April 1982
No. 4	Development-oriented Foreign Investment
	-Kivoshi Kojima April 1982
No. 5	The Multilateral Development Banks
	and the International Economy's Missing
	-John Lewis June 1982
No. 6	Notes on External Debt of DMCs
	-Evelyn Go, July 1982
No. 7	Grant Element in Bank Loans
No. 8	Shadow Exchange Rates and Standard
	Conversion Factors in Project Evaluation
No 9	—Peter Warr, September 1982 Small and Medium Scale Manufacturing
110. 5	Establishments in ASEAN Countries:
	Perspectives and Policy Issues
	-Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz,
No. 10	A Note on the Third Ministerial Meeting of GATT
	—Jungsoo Lee, January 1983
No. 11	Macroeconomic Forecasts for the Republic
	-J.M. Dowling, January 1983
No. 12	ASEAN: Economic Situation and Prospects
No. 19	-Seiji Naya, March 1983
NO. 13	Countries of Asia
	—Seiji Naya, March 1983
No. 14	Energy and Structural Change in the Asia-
	Pacific Trade and Development Conference
	—Seiji Naya, March 1983
No. 15	A Survey of Empirical Studies on Demand
	for Electricity with Special Emphasis on Price
	—Wisarn Pupphavesa, June 1983
No. 16	Determinants of Paddy Production in Indonesia:
	Approach
	-T.K. Jayaraman, June 1983
No. 17	The Philippine Economy: Economic
	-IM Dowling E Go and C N Castillo
	June 1983
No. 18	Economic Forecast for Indonesia
	-J.M. Dowling, H.Y. Kim, Y.K. Wang, and C.N. Castillo, June 1983
No. 19	Relative External Debt Situation of Asian
	Developing Countries: An Application
	of Kanking Method -Jungsoo Lee June 1983
No. 20	New Evidence on Yields, Fertilizer Application,
	and Prices in Asian Rice Production
No 91	-William James and Teresita Ramirez, July 1983 Inflationary Effects of Exchange Rate
110. 41	Changes in Nine Asian LDCs
	-Pradumna B. Rana and J. Malcolm Dowling,
	Jr., December 1983

No. 22	Effects of External Shocks on the Balance of Payments, Policy Responses, and Debt Problems of Asian Developing Countries —Seiji Nava December 1983
No. 23	Changing Trade Patterns and Policy Issues: The Prospects for East and Southeast Asian Developing Countries
No. 24	-Seigi Naya and Orich Hiemenz, February 1984 Small-Scale Industries in Asian Economic Development: Problems and Prospects -Seiji Naya, February 1984
No. 25	A Study on the External Debt Indicators Applying Logit Analysis —Jungsoo Lee and Clarita Barretto, February 1984
No. 26	Alternatives to Institutional Credit Programs in the Agricultural Sector of Low-Income Countries —Jennifer Sour, March 1984
No. 27	Economic Scene in Asia and Its Special Features -Kedar N. Kohli November 1984
No. 28	The Effect of Terms of Trade Changes on the Balance of Payments and Real National Income of Asian Developing Countries
No. 29	-Jungsoo Lee and Lutgarda Labios, January 1985 Cause and Effect in the World Sugar Market: Some Empirical Findings 1951-1982 Yoshihiro Iwasaki, February 1985
No. 30	Sources of Balance of Payments Problem in the 1970s: The Asian Experience —Pradumna Rana, February 1985
No. 31	India's Manufactured Exports: An Analysis of Supply Sectors
No. 32	Meeting Basic Human Needs in Asian Developing Countries
No. 33	The Impact of Foreign Capital Inflow on Investment and Economic Growth in Developing Asia —Evelvn Go. May 1985
No. 34	The Climate for Energy Development in the Pacific and Asian Region: Priorities and Perspectives
No. 35	Impact of Appreciation of the Yen on Developing Member Countries of the Bank -Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna Rana, and Ifzal Ali, May 1986
No. 36	Smuggling and Domestic Economic Policies in Developing Countries —A H M N. Chowdhury, October 1986
No. 37	Public Investment Criteria: Economic Internal Rate of Return and Equalizing Discount Rate
No. 38	Review of the Theory of Neoclassical Political Economy: An Application to Trade Policies
No. 39	-M.G. Quibria, December 1986 Factors Influencing the Choice of Location: Local and Foreign Firms in the Philippines
No. 40	-E.M. Pernia and A.N. Herrin, February 1987 A Demographic Perspective on Developing Asia and Its Relevance to the Bank
No. 41	E.M. Pernia, May 1987 Emerging Issues in Asia and Social Cost Benefit Analysis
	-I. Ali. September 1988

No. 42 Shifting Revealed Comparative Advantage:

		Experiences of Asian and Pacific Developing
		Countries
		–P.B. Rana, November 1988
No.	43	Agricultural Price Policy in Asia:
		Issues and Areas of Reforms
		–I. Ali, November 1988
No.	44	Service Trade and Asian Developing Economies
		—M.G. Quibria, October 1989
No.	45	A Review of the Economic Analysis of Power
		Projects in Asia and Identification of Areas
		of Improvement
		—I. Ali, November 1989
No.	46	Growth Perspective and Challenges for Asia:
		Areas for Policy Review and Research
		—I. Ali, November 1989
No.	47	An Approach to Estimating the Poverty
		Alleviation Impact of an Agricultural Project
		—I. Ali, January 1990
No.	48	Economic Growth Performance of Indonesia,
		the Philippines, and Thailand:
		The Human Resource Dimension
		—E.M. Pernia, January 1990
No.	49	Foreign Exchange and Fiscal Impact of a Project:
		A Methodological Framework for Estimation
		—I. Ali, February 1990
No.	50	Public Investment Criteria: Financial
		and Economic Internal Rates of Return
		—I. Ali, April 1990
No.	51	Evaluation of Water Supply Projects:
		An Economic Framework
		-Arlene M. Tadle, June 1990
No.	52	Interrelationship Between Shadow Prices, Project
		Investment, and Policy Reforms:
		An Analytical Framework
	-	-I. Ali, November 1990
No.	53	Issues in Assessing the Impact of Project
		and Sector Adjustment Lending
NT	- 1	-I. Ali, December 1990
No.	54	Some Aspects of Urbanization
		and the Environment in Southeast Asia
N -	EE	-Ernesto M. Pernia, January 1991
10.	99	Financial Sector and Economic
		Lungace Lee Sentember 1001
		—Jungsoo Lee, September 1991

No. 56	A Framework for Justifying Bank-Assisted
	Education Projects in Asia: A Review
	of the Socioeconomic Analysis
	and Identification of Areas of Improvement
	—Etienne Van De Walle, February 1992

- No. 57 Medium-term Growth-Stabilization Relationship in Asian Developing Countries and Some Policy Considerations -Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1993
- Urbanization, Population Distribution, No. 58 and Economic Development in Asia -Ernesto M. Pernia, February 1993
- The Need for Fiscal Consolidation in Nepal: No. 59 The Results of a Simulation -Filippo di Mauro and Ronald Antonio Butiong, July 1993
- No. 60 A Computable General Equilibrium Model of Nepal -Timothy Buehrer and Filippo di Mauro,
- October 1993 The Role of Government in Export Expansion No. 61 in the Republic of Korea: A Revisit —Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1994
- No. 62 Rural Reforms, Structural Change, and Agricultural Growth in the People's Republic of China -Bo Lin, August 1994
- Incentives and Regulation for Pollution Abatement No. 63 with an Application to Waste Water Treatment -Sudipto Mundle, U. Shankar, and Shekhar Mehta, October 1995
- Saving Transitions in Southeast Asia No. 64 -Frank Harrigan, February 1996
- No. 65 Total Factor Productivity Growth in East Asia: A Critical Survey
- —Jesus Felipe, September 1997 Foreign Direct Investment in Pakistan: No. 66 Policy Issues and Operational Implications -Ashfaque H. Khan and Yun-Hwan Kim, July 1999
- Fiscal Policy, Income Distribution and Growth No. 67 —Sailesh K. Jha, November 1999

ECONOMIC STAFF PAPERS (ES)

No. 8

No.	1	International Reserves:
		Factors Determining Needs and Adequacy
		-Evelyn Go, May 1981
No.	2	Domestic Savings in Selected Developing
		Asian Countries
		-Basil Moore, assisted by
		A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury, September 1981
No.	3	Changes in Consumption, Imports and Exports
		of Oil Since 1973: A Preliminary Survey of
		the Developing Member Countries
		of the Asian Development Bank
		—Dal Hyun Kim and Graham Abbott,
		September 1981
No.	4	By-Passed Areas, Regional Inequalities,
		and Development Policies in Selected
		Southeast Asian Countries
		—William James, October 1981
No.	5	Asian Agriculture and Economic Development
		—William James, March 1982
No.	6	Inflation in Developing Member Countries:
		An Analysis of Recent Trends
		—A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury and
		J. Malcolm Dowling, March 1982
No.	7	Industrial Growth and Employment in
		Developing Asian Countries: Issues and

Perspectives for the Coming Decade —Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1982 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part 1: Regional Adjustments and the World Economy —Burnham Campbell, April 1982 Developing Asia: The Importance No. 9 of Domestic Policies -Economics Office Staff under the direction of Seiji Naya, May 1982 Financial Development and Household

- No. 10 Savings: Issues in Domestic Resource Mobilization in Asian Developing Countries -Wan-Soon Kim, July 1982
- No. 11 Industrial Development: Role of Specialized Financial Institutions
- —Kedar N. Kohli, August 1982 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. No. 12
- Part II: Debt Problems and an Evaluation of Suggested Remedies -Burnham Campbell, September 1982
- No. 13 Credit Rationing, Rural Savings, and Financial Policy in Developing Countries -William James, September 1982
- No. 14 Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing

		Establishments in ASEAN Countries:
		-Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1983
No.	15	Income Distribution and Economic
		Growth in Developing Asian Countries
ът	10	-J. Malcolm Dowling and David Soo, March 1983
INO.	10	Asian Developing Countries: An Application
		of Critical Interest Rate Approach
		-Jungsoo Lee, June 1983
No.	17	External Shocks, Energy Policy,
		and Macroeconomic Performance of Asian
		William James July 1082
No	18	The Impact of the Current Exchange Rate
110.	10	System on Trade and Inflation of Selected
		Developing Member Countries
		-Pradumna Rana, September 1983
No.	19	Asian Agriculture in Transition: Key Policy Issues
No	20	The Transition to an Industrial Economy
110.	20	in Monsoon Asia
		—Harry T. Oshima, October 1983
No.	21	The Significance of Off-Farm Employment
		and Incomes in Post-War East Asian Growth
No	<u> </u>	-Harry T. Oshima, January 1984 Income Distribution and Powerty in Selected
110.	22	Asian Countries
		-John Malcolm Dowling, Jr., November 1984
No.	23	ASEAN Economies and ASEAN Economic
		Cooperation
No	94	-Narongchai Akrasanee, November 1984
110.	24	-Nitin Desai January 1985
No.	25	Exports and Economic Growth in the Asian Region
		—Pradumna Rana, February 1985
No.	26	Patterns of External Financing of DMCs
No	97	-E. Go, May 1985 Industrial Technology Development
110.	21	the Republic of Korea
		-S.Y. Lo, July 1985
No.	28	Risk Analysis and Project Selection:
		A Review of Practical Issues
No	20	-J.K. Johnson, August 1985 Bigo in Indonesia: Price Policy and Comparative
110.	23	Advantage
		—I. Ali, January 1986
No.	30	Effects of Foreign Capital Inflows
		on Developing Countries of Asia
		-Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna B. Rana, and Voshihiro Iugoghi April 1986
No.	31	Economic Analysis of the Environmental
		Impacts of Development Projects
		—John A. Dixon et al., EAPI,
		East-West Center, August 1986
No.	32	Science and Technology for Development:
		-Kedar N. Kohli and Ifzal Ali, November 1986
No.	33	Satellite Remote Sensing in the Asian
		and Pacific Region
		-Mohan Sundara Rajan, December 1986
INO.	34	Changes in the Export Patterns of Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: An Empirical
		Overview
		—Pradumna B. Rana, January 1987
No.	35	Agricultural Price Policy in Nepal
NT	0.0	-Gerald C. Nelson, March 1987
No.	36	Implications of Falling Primary Commodity
		-Ifzal Ali. September 1987
No.	37	Determining Irrigation Charges: A Framework
		-Prabhakar B. Ghate, October 1987
No.	38	The Role of Fertilizer Subsidies in Agricultural
		Production: A Review of Select Issues M = Output Details = 1007
		-m. G. Guilliu, Ocioler 1301

No. 39	Domestic Adjustment to External Shocks
	in Developing Asia
	—Jungsoo Lee, October 1987

- No. 40 Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization through Financial Development: Indonesia —Philip Erquiaga, November 1987
- No. 41 Recent Trends and Issues on Foreign Direct Investment in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries —P.B. Rana, March 1988
- No. 42 Manufactured Exports from the Philippines: A Sector Profile and an Agenda for Reform —I. Ali, September 1988
- No. 43 A Framework for Evaluating the Economic Benefits of Power Projects —I. Ali, August 1989
- No. 44 Promotion of Manufactured Exports in Pakistan —Jungsoo Lee and Yoshihiro Iwasaki, September 1989
- No. 45 Education and Labor Markets in Indonesia: A Sector Survey —Ernesto M. Pernia and David N. Wilson, September 1989
- No. 46 Industrial Technology Capabilities and Policies in Selected ADCs —Hiroshi Kakazu, June 1990
- No. 47 Designing Strategies and Policies for Managing Structural Change in Asia —Ifzal Ali, June 1990
- No. 48 The Completion of the Single European Community Market in 1992: A Tentative Assessment of its Impact on Asian Developing Countries —JP. Verbiest and Min Tang, June 1991
- No. 49 Economic Analysis of Investment in Power Systems —Ifzal Ali, June 1991
- No. 50 External Finance and the Role of Multilateral Financial Institutions in South Asia: Changing Patterns, Prospects, and Challenges —Jungsoo Lee, November 1991
- No. 51 The Gender and Poverty Nexus: Issues and Policies
- --M.G. Quibria, November 1993 No. 52 The Role of the State in Economic Development: Theory, the East Asian Experience, and the Malaysian Case --Jason Brown, December 1993
- No. 53 The Economic Benefits of Potable Water Supply Projects to Households in Developing Countries —Dale Whittington and Venkateswarlu Swarna, January 1994
- No. 54 Growth Triangles: Conceptual Issues and Operational Problems —Min Tang and Myo Thant, February 1994
- No. 55 The Emerging Global Trading Environment and Developing Asia —Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria,
- and Narhari Rao, July 1996 No. 56 Aspects of Urban Water and Sanitation in the Context of Rapid Urbanization in Developing Asia —Fraeto M. Pernia and Stalla IF. Alabastr
 - —Ernesto M. Pernia and Stella LF. Alabastro, September 1997
- No. 57 Challenges for Asia's Trade and Environment —Douglas H. Brooks, January 1998
- No. 58 Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects-A Review of Issues, Methods, and Approaches —Ramesh Adhikari, Paul Gertler, and Anneli Lagman, March 1999
- No. 59 The Asian Crisis: An Alternate View —Rajiv Kumar and Bibek Debroy, July 1999
- No. 60 Social Consequences of the Financial Crisis in Asia

—James C. Knowles, Ernesto M. Pernia, and Mary Racelis, November 1999

OCCASIONAL PAPERS (OP)

No. 1	Poverty in the People's Republic of China: Recent Developments and Scope for Bank Assistance
No. 2	-K.H. Moinuddin, November 1992 The Eastern Islands of Indonesia: An Overview of Development Needs and Potential
No. 3	-Brien K. Parkinson, January 1993 Rural Institutional Finance in Bangladesh and Nepal: Review and Agenda for Reforms -A.H.M.N. Chowdhury and Marcelia C. Garcia,
No. 4	November 1993 Fiscal Deficits and Current Account Imbalances of the South Pacific Countries: A Case Study of Vanuatu —TK Javaraman, December 1993
No. 5	Reforms in the Transitional Economies of Asia —Pradumna B. Rana, December 1993
No. 6	Environmental Challenges in the People's Republic of China and Scope for Bank Assistance —Elisabetta Capannelli and Omkar L. Shrestha, December 1993
No. 7	Sustainable Development Environment and Poverty Nexus
No. 8	Intermediate Services and Economic Development: The Malaysian Example Sutrony Bohuria and Bahul Khullar, May 1994
No. 9	Interest Rate Deregulation: A Brief Survey of the Policy Issues and the Asian Experience
No. 10	
No. 11	Demographic and Socioeconomic Determinants of Contraceptive Use among Urban Women in the Melanesian Countries in the South Pacific: A Case Study of Port Vila Town in Vanuatu —T.K. Jayaraman, February 1995

- No. 12 Managing Development through Institution Building — Hilton L. Root, October 1995
- No. 13 Growth, Structural Change, and Optimal Poverty Interventions
- --Shiladitya Chatterjee, November 1995 No. 14 Private Investment and Macroeconomic Environment in the South Pacific Island
- Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis —T.K. Jayaraman, October 1996
- No. 15 The Rural-Urban Transition in Viet Nam: Some Selected Issues —Sudipto Mundle and Brian Van Arkadie, October 1997
- No. 16 A New Approach to Setting the Future Transport Agenda —Roger Allport, Geoff Key, and Charles Melhuish June 1998
- No. 17 Adjustment and Distribution: The Indian Experience —Sudipto Mundle and V.B. Tulasidhar, June 1998
- No. 18 Tax Reforms in Viet Nam: A Selective Analysis —Sudipto Mundle, December 1998
- No. 19 Surges and Volatility of Private Capital Flows to Asian Developing Countries: Implications for Multilateral Development Banks —Pradumna B. Rana, December 1998
- No. 20 The Millennium Round and the Asian Economies: An Introduction
- -Dilip K. Das, October 1999 No. 21 Occupational Segregation and the Gender Earnings Gap -Joseph E. Zveglich, Jr. and Yana van der Meulen
- Rodgers, December 1999 No. 22 Information Technology: Next Locomotive of Growth?
 - —Dilip K. Das, June 2000

STATISTICAL REPORT SERIES (SR)

No. 1	Estimates of the Total External Debt of
	the Developing Member Countries of ADB:
	1981-1983
	—I.P. David, September 1984
No. 2	Multivariate Statistical and Graphical
	Classification Techniques Applied
	to the Problem of Grouping Countries
	-I.P. David and D.S. Maligalig, March 1985
No. 3	Gross National Product (GNP) Measurement
	Issues in South Pacific Developing Member
	Countries of ADB
	—S.G. Tiwari, September 1985
No. 4	Estimates of Comparable Savings in Selected
	DMCs
	—Hananto Sigit, December 1985
No. 5	Keeping Sample Survey Design
	and Analysis Simple
	–I.P. David, December 1985
No. 6	External Debt Situation in Asian
	Developing Countries
	-I.P. David and Jungsoo Lee, March 1986
No. 7	Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the
	South Pacific Developing Member Countries.
	Part I: Existing National Accounts
	of SPDMCs–Analysis of Methodology
	and Application of SNA Concepts
	—P. Hodgkinson, October 1986
No. 8	Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South
	Pacific Developing Member Countries.
	Part II: Factors Affecting Intercountry
	Comparability of Per Capita GNP
	–P. Hodgkinson, October 1986
No. 9	Survey of the External Debt Situation

in Asian Developing Countries, 1985 –Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1987 No. 10 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries, 1986 -Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1988 Changing Pattern of Financial Flows to Asian No. 11 and Pacific Developing Countries -Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, March 1989 No. 12 The State of Agricultural Statistics in Southeast Asia -I.P. David, March 1989 A Survey of the External Debt Situation No. 13 in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1987-1988 -Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, July 1989 No. 14 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1988-1989 —Jungsoo Lee, May 1990 No. 15 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1989-1992 —Min Tang, June 1991 Recent Trends and Prospects of External Debt No. 16 Situation and Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries –Min Tang and Aludia Pardo, June 1992 No. 17 Purchasing Power Parity in Asian Developing Countries: A Co-Integration Test -Min Tang and Ronald Q. Butiong, April 1994 No. 18 Capital Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: Recent Trends and Future Prospects -Min Tang and James Villafuerte, October 1995

SPECIAL STUDIES, OUP (SS,Comm)

(Co-published titles; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Edward Elgar Publishing, and Palgrave MacMillan)

FROM OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS: Oxford University Press (China) Ltd 18th Floor, Warwick House East Taikoo Place, 979 King's Road Quarry Bay, Hong Kong Tel (852) 2516 3222 Fax (852) 2565 8491 E-mail: webmaster@oupchina.com.hk Web: www.oupchina.com.hk

- Informal Finance: Some Findings from Asia Prabhu Ghate et. al., 1992 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Mongolia: A Centrally Planned Economy in Transition Asian Development Bank, 1992 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Rural Poverty in Asia, Priority Issues and Policy Options Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1994 \$25.00 (paperback)
- 4. Growth Triangles in Asia: A New Approach to Regional Economic Cooperation Edited by Myo Thant, Min Tang, and Hiroshi Kakazu 1st ed., 1994 \$36.00 (hardbound) Revised ed., 1998 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994 \$18.00 (paperback)
- Critical Issues in Asian Development: Theories, Experiences, and Policies Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback) \$36.00 (hardbound)
- Financial Sector Development in Asia Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$50.00 (hardbound)
- Financial Sector Development in Asia: Country Studies Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Fiscal Management and Economic Reform in the People's Republic of China Christine P.W. Wong, Christopher Heady, and Wing T. Woo, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback)
- From Centrally Planned to Market Economies: The Asian Approach Edited by Pradumna B. Rana and Naved Hamid, 1995 Vol. 1: Overview \$36.00 (hardbound) Vol. 2: People's Republic of China and Mongolia \$50.00 (hardbound) Vol. 3: Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Viet Nam \$50.00 (hardbound)
 Current Issues in Economic Development: An Asian Perspective Edited by M.G. Quibria and J. Malcolm Dowling, 1996
- Edited by M.G. Quibria and J. Malcolm Dowling, 1996 \$50.00 (hardbound) 12. The Bangladesh Economy in Transition
- Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1997 \$20.00 (hardbound)
- The Global Trading System and Developing Asia Edited by Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria, and Narhari Rao, 1997 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Social Sector Issues in Transitional Economies of Asia Edited by Douglas H. Brooks and Myo Thant, 1998 \$25.00 (paperback) \$55.00 (hardbound)

- Intergovernmental Fiscal Transfers in Asia: Current Practice and Challenges for the Future Edited by Yun-Hwan Kim and Paul Smoke, 2003 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Local Government Finance and Bond Markets *Edited by Yun-Hwan Kim, 2003* \$15.00 (paperback)

FROM EDWARD ELGAR: Marston Book Services Limited PO Box 269, Abingdon Oxon OX14 4YN United Kingdom Tel +44 1235 465500 Fax +44 1235 465555 Email: direct.order@marston.co.uk Web: www.marston.co.uk

 Reducing Poverty in Asia: Emerging Issues in Growth, Targeting, and Measurement Edited by Christopher M. Edmonds, 2003

FROM PALGRAVE MACMILLAN:

Palgrave Macmillan Ltd Houndmills Basingstoke Hampshire RG21 6XS United Kingdom Tel: +44 (0)1256 329242 Fax: +44 (0)1256 479476 Email: orders@palgrave.com Web: www.palgrave.com/home/

- 1. Managing FDI in a Globalizing Economy Asian Experiences Edited by Douglas H. Brooks and Hal Hill 2004
- 2. Poverty, Growth, and Institutions in Developing Asia Edited by Ernesto M. Pernia and Anil B. Deolalikar, 2003

SPECIAL STUDIES, ADB (SS, ADB)

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

- Rural Poverty in Developing Asia Edited by M.G. Quibria Vol. 1: Bangladesh, India, and Sri Lanka, 1994 \$35.00 (paperback) Vol. 2: Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Philippines, and Thailand, 1996 \$35.00 (paperback)
 Gender Indicators of Developing Asian
- 2. Gender Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries Asian Development Bank, 1993 \$25.00 (paperback)
- External Shocks and Policy Adjustments: Lessons from the Gulf Crisis Edited by Naved Hamid and Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Indonesia-Malaysia-Thailand Growth Triangle: Theory to Practice Edited by Myo Thant and Min Tang, 1996
- \$15.00 (paperback)
 5. Emerging Asia: Changes and Challenges Asian Development Bank, 1997
 \$30.00 (paperback)
- 6. Asian Exports
 Edited by Dilip Das, 1999
 \$35.00 (paperback)
 \$55 00 (hardbound)
- Development of Environment Statistics in Developing Asian and Pacific Countries Asian Development Bank, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
- Mortgage-Backed Securities Markets in Asia Edited by S.Ghon Rhee & Yutaka Shimomoto, 1999 \$35.00 (paperback)
- 9. Rising to the Challenge in Asia: A Study of Financial Markets
 - Asian Development Bank
 - Vol. 1: An Overview, 2000 \$20.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 2: Special Issues, 1999 \$15.00 (paperback)
 - Vol 3: Sound Practices, 2000 \$25.00 (paperback) Vol. 4: People's Republic of China, 1999 \$20.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 5: India, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 6: Indonesia, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 7: Republic of Korea, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 7. Republic of Rolea, 1999 \$50.00 (paperback) Vol. 8: Malaysia, 1999 \$20.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 8. Maraysia, 1999 \$20.00 (paperback) Vol. 9: Pakistan, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 9: Pakistan, 1999 \$50.00 (paperback) Vol. 10: Philippines, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 10. Finippines, 1999 \$50.00 (paperback) Vol. 11: Thailand, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 12: Socialist Republic of Viet Nam, 1999 \$30.00 (paperback)
- Corporate Governance and Finance in East Asia: A Study of Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand J. Zhuang, David Edwards, D. Webb,
 - & Ma. Virginita Capulong
 - Vol. 1: A Consolidated Report, 2000 \$10.00 (paperback) Vol. 2: Country Studies, 2001 \$15.00 (paperback)

- Financial Management and Governance Issues
 Asian Development Bank, 2000
 Cambodia \$10.00 (paperback)
 People's Republic of China \$10.00 (paperback)
 Mongolia \$10.00 (paperback)
 Pakistan \$10.00 (paperback)
 Papua New Guinea \$10.00 (paperback)
 Uzbekistan \$10.00 (paperback)
 Viet Nam \$10.00 (paperback)
 Selected Developing Member Countries \$10.00 (paperback)

 Government Bond Market Development in Asia
- Government bold Market Development in Asia Edited by Yun-Hwan Kim, 2001 \$25.00 (paperback)
 Intergovernmental Fiscal Transfers in Asia: Current Practice
- and Challenges for the Future Edited by Paul Smoke and Yun-Hwan Kim, 2002 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects Asian Development Bank, 1997 \$10.00 (paperback)
- Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Telecommunications Projects Asian Development Bank, 1997 \$10.00 (paperback)
- Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects Asian Development Bank, 1999 \$10.00 (hardbound)
- Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects Asian Development Bank, 2000 \$10.00 (paperback)
- Handbook for Integrating Povery Impact Assessment in the Economic Analysis of Projects Asian Development Bank, 2001 \$10.00 (paperback)
- Handbook for Integrating Risk Analysis in the Economic Analysis of Projects Asian Development Bank, 2002 \$10.00 (paperback)
- 20. Guidelines for the Financial Governance and Management of Investment Projects Financed by the Asian Development Bank Asian Development Bank, 2002 \$10.00 (paperback)
- Handbook on Environment Statistics Asian Development Bank, 2002 \$10.00 (hardback)
- Defining an Agenda for Poverty Reduction, Volume 1 Edited by Christopher Edmonds and Sara Medina, 2002 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Defining an Agenda for Poverty Reduction, Volume 2 Edited by Isabel Ortiz, 2002 \$15.00 (paperback)
- 24. Economic Analysis of Policy-based Operations: Key Dimensions Asian Development Bank. 2003
 - \$10.00 (paperback)

SPECIAL STUDIES, COMPLIMENTARY (SSC)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

- 1. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Overview September 1985
- 2. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Bangladesh July 1986
- 3. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Sri Lanka April 1987
- 4. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: India December 1987
- 5. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Overview January 1988
- 6. Study of Selected Industries: A Brief Report April 1988
- 7. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Bangladesh June 1988
- 8. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: India June 1988
- 9. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Indonesia June 1988
- 10. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Nepal June 1988
- 11. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Pakistan June 1988
- 12. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Philippines June 1988
- 13. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Thailand June 1988
- Towards Regional Cooperation in South Asia: ADB/EWC Symposium on Regional Cooperation in South Asia February 1988
- 15. Evaluating Rice Market Intervention Policies: Some Asian Examples *April 1988*
- 16. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Nepal November 1988
- 17. Foreign Trade Barriers and Export Growth September 1988
- The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Industries in the Industrial Development of the Philippines April 1989

- The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Industries in Industrial Development: The Experience of Selected Asian Countries January 1990
- 20. National Accounts of Vanuatu, 1983-1987 January 1990
- 21. National Accounts of Western Samoa, 1984-1986 February 1990
- Human Resource Policy and Economic Development: Selected Country Studies July 1990
- 23. Export Finance: Some Asian Examples September 1990
- 24. National Accounts of the Cook Islands, 1982-1986 September 1990
- 25. Framework for the Economic and Financial Appraisal of Urban Development Sector Projects January 1994
- 26. Framework and Criteria for the Appraisal and Socioeconomic Justification of Education Projects January 1994
- 27. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Telecommunications Projects Asian Development Bank, 1997
- 28. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects Asian Development Bank, 1998
- 29. Investing in Asia Co-published with OECD, 1997
- 30. The Future of Asia in the World Economy
- Co-published with OECD, 1998 31. Financial Liberalisation in Asia: Analysis and Prospects Co-published with OECD, 1999
- 32. Sustainable Recovery in Asia: Mobilizing Resources for Development
- Co-published with OECD, 2000 33. Technology and Poverty Reduction in Asia and the Pacific Co-published with OECD, 2001
- 34. Asia and Europe
 - Co-published with OECD, 2002