

Kim, Yun-Hwan

**Working Paper**

## Financial Opening under the WTO Agreement in Selected Asian Countries: Progress and Issues

ERD Working Paper Series, No. 24

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila

*Suggested Citation:* Kim, Yun-Hwan (2002) : Financial Opening under the WTO Agreement in Selected Asian Countries: Progress and Issues, ERD Working Paper Series, No. 24, Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila,  
<https://hdl.handle.net/11540/1966>

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10419/109248>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo>

# **Financial Opening under the WTO Agreement in Selected Asian Countries: Progress and Issues**

**Yun-Hwan Kim**

September 2002

ERD Working Paper No. 24

**FINANCIAL OPENING UNDER THE WTO AGREEMENT  
IN SELECTED ASIAN COUNTRIES:  
PROGRESS AND ISSUES**

**YUN-HWAN KIM**

September 2002

Yun-Hwan Kim is a Principal Economist at the Economics and Research Department, Asian Development Bank.

Asian Development Bank  
P.O. Box 789  
0980 Manila  
Philippines

©2002 by Asian Development Bank  
September 2002  
ISSN 1655-5252

The views expressed in this paper  
are those of the author(s) and do not  
necessarily reflect the views or policies  
of the Asian Development Bank.

## **Foreword**

The ERD Working Paper Series is a forum for ongoing and recently completed research and policy studies undertaken in the Asian Development Bank or on its behalf. The Series is a quick-disseminating, informal publication meant to stimulate discussion and elicit feedback. Papers published under this Series could subsequently be revised for publication as articles in professional journals or chapters in books.

## Contents

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Relation between Financial Services Liberalization and Capital Account Liberalization	2
III.	Overview of Financial Opening under GATS in Selected Asian Countries	4
	A. Definition of Financial Services	4
	B. Commitments of Individual Countries	4
IV.	Implications of Banking Sector Opening	14
	A. People's Republic of China	14
	B. Republic of Korea	17
	C. Indonesia and Malaysia	19
V.	Comparative Assessment of Financial Opening Policies	22
VII.	Conclusion	26
	References	27

## Abstract

Opening of financial services has enormous policy implications for a member country. As demonstrated by the 1997 Asian crisis, mismanagement of financial opening may lead to disastrous economic consequences. Only prudent financial policies, including implementation of commitments under the General Agreement on Trade in Services, can result in macroeconomic stability, sustained output growth, and financial sector development. This paper examines six selected countries, i.e., People's Republic of China (PRC), Indonesia, Republic of Korea (Korea), Malaysia, Singapore, and Thailand. For the PRC, successful liberalization of financial services trade is of great importance. For the five other countries, all crisis-affected, there is a need to review ongoing financial liberalization policies and explore future directions.

The paper examines the relationship between financial services liberalization and capital account liberalization, GATS and/or multilateral commitments made by the countries, progress in compliance of the commitments and their impact, and implications and issues of financial opening with focus on the banking sector. The paper suggests three guiding principles in opening financial services: (i) *resource mobilization* for economic recovery and sustained development, (ii) *financial stability*, and (iii) *market competition*.

---

## I. INTRODUCTION

The General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) provides an international regulatory framework for administering global liberalization of trade in services.<sup>1</sup> Its major component is trade in financial services. The increasing importance of services trade led to the establishment of the GATS as one of the new areas in the Uruguay Round negotiations, although developing countries, in general, initially had reservations. The reservations were based on the perception that services, unlike goods, are exported more from developed economies to developing economies, and that domestic service industries remained at an underdeveloped stage requiring further protection and regulation.

Against this backdrop, the GATS allows remarkable flexibility within which the member governments of the World Trade Organization (WTO) determine their level of obligations. Four main elements of flexibility underlie the GATS (WTO 2002): (i) Member governments choose those service sectors or subsectors in which they will make commitments, guaranteeing the right of foreign suppliers to provide the service. Each member must have a schedule of commitments, but there is *no minimum required* coverage—members may cover only a small part of one sector. (ii) For those services that are committed, the governments may set *limitations* specifying the level of market access and the degree of national treatment they are prepared to guarantee. (iii) The governments are able to *limit commitments* to one or more of the four recognized “modes of supply”<sup>2</sup> through which services are traded, and they may also *withdraw and renegotiate* commitments. (iv) In order to provide more favorable treatment to certain partners, the governments may take *exemptions*, in principle limited to 10 years, from the most-favored-nation (MFN) principle,<sup>3</sup> which is otherwise applicable to all services, whether scheduled or not. This flexibility in the scheduling of commitments contributed to the early resolution of the north-south controversy over services trade.

---

<sup>1</sup> Generally, the GATS rules over all services with two exceptions, namely the services provided in the exercise of governmental authority and, in the air transport sector, air traffic rights and all services directly related to the exercise of traffic rights.

<sup>2</sup> GATS identifies four different modes of trade in services. Mode 1 (cross-border) means that the service is delivered to consumers in the trade partner country across borders; in Mode 2 (consumption abroad), consumers go to the service provider; in Mode 3 (commercial presence), the providers establish a branch or subsidiary; and in Mode 4 (movement of natural persons), individuals travel from their own country to supply services in another. In the case of the first two, the provider of services stays in the home country, whereas the provider comes to the country of the consumers in Mode 3. Mode 3 is presently the dominant mode of financial service trade (WTO 2002).

<sup>3</sup> The MFN principle applies also to newly acceding countries. The MFN principle or nondiscrimination principle means treating one’s trading partners equally. It guarantees equal opportunities for suppliers from all WTO members. However, it does not require any degree of market openness (WTO 2002).



The Fourth WTO Ministerial Conference declaration in November 2001 in Doha, Qatar provides the mandate for negotiations on a wide range of subjects and sector issues. Of primary importance is the declaration that sets 1 January 2005 as the date for completing all but two of the negotiations (i.e., the Dispute Settlement Understanding and a multilateral register of geographic indications for wines and spirits by 2003). It is therefore during the period 2002–2004 that a new round of negotiations on financial services should be held and concluded. Services negotiations have been ongoing as mandated since January 2002. WTO countries should submit initial requests for specific commitments by 30 June 2002, and initial offers by 31 March 2003; all services negotiations should conclude by the end of 2004.

Opening of financial services has enormous policy implications for a member country. As demonstrated by the Asian crisis, which erupted in 1997, mismanagement of financial opening may lead to disastrous economic consequences. Only prudent financial policies, including implementation of GATS commitments, can result in macroeconomic stability, sustained output growth, and financial sector development. This paper examines six selected countries, i.e., the People's Republic of China (PRC), Indonesia, Republic of Korea (Korea), Malaysia, Singapore, and Thailand. For the PRC, as a new WTO member, successful liberalization of financial services trade is of great importance. For the five other countries, all crisis-affected, there arises the need to review ongoing financial liberalization policies and explore future directions.

The first four sections of this paper will examine the following: (i) relations between financial services liberalization and capital account liberalization, (ii) GATS and/or multilateral commitments made by these countries, (iii) progress in compliance of the commitments and their impact, and (iv) implications and issues of financial opening with focus on the banking sector. The last section presents conclusions.

## **II. RELATION BETWEEN FINANCIAL SERVICES LIBERALIZATION AND CAPITAL ACCOUNT LIBERALIZATION**

It is desirable to clarify both the difference and linkage between opening up of financial services within the context of the GATS and capital account liberalization before discussing opening up of financial services. Confusion arises frequently in that people do not always distinguish between cross-border capital flows and transactions of financial services. Strictly speaking, the latter does not necessarily always entail the first (for example, provision of financial information only by foreign financial organizations), although most of financial transactions involve cross-border capital flows (e.g., foreign currency lending by a foreign [resident] bank using money sourced from abroad). If a domestic bank sources foreign currency funds from overseas markets/institutions, this is not opening up of financial services but simply international capital movements related to capital account liberalization. Table 1 provides an example on differences between domestic financial deregulation, capital account liberalization, and financial services liberalization.

The GATS requires *only* the liberalization of capital flows as crucial in the financial services provided by foreign financial institutions. Commitments to cross-border trade liberalization (Mode 1) require the liberalization of inflows and outflows of capital, which are an *essential* part of the concerned services; while commitments to commercial presence (Mode 3) require the liberalization of capital *inflows that are related to* the supply of the services<sup>4</sup> (Kono and Schuknecht 2000), which might include capital for foreign financial institutions to establish branches or companies and to facilitate their operations. Capital outflows related to the supply of services under Mode 3 do not have to be liberalized. The GATS does *not* oblige WTO members to fully liberalize capital flows related to the activities of such local establishments. This means that opening up of financial services is consistent with the existence of certain capital account restrictions. This is particularly important to most of developing countries where domestic financial markets remain underdeveloped and small, and balance of payments positions are weak.

**Table 1. Comparison of Domestic Deregulation, Capital Account Liberalization, and Financial Services Liberalization**

	Domestic Funds (I)	International Funds (II)
Credit provided by domestic supplier (A)	Domestic deregulation: neither financial services trade nor international capital flow	Capital account liberalization: international capital flow only
Credit provided by overseas supplier (B)	Financial services liberalization	Capital account liberalization, and financial services liberalization
Credit provided by foreign resident supplier (C)	Domestic deregulation and financial services liberalization	Capital account liberalization and financial services liberalization

It should be noted, however, that there is a coherent linkage between the opening up of financial services and liberalization of the capital account. For example, a high level of capital control will discourage the entry of foreign financial institutions into the country, because of the poor prospects of local currency convertibility and withdrawals/remittances of the concerned capital. This is why opening up of financial services can be implemented successfully only when a prudent, sound policy on capital account liberalization is in place. An orderly and well-designed sequencing of capital account liberalization is therefore critical to reap the benefits of financial services opening in developing countries.

---

<sup>4</sup> Refer to GATS Article XVI, Footnote 8.

### III. OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL OPENING UNDER GATS IN SELECTED ASIAN COUNTRIES

#### A. Definition of Financial Services

According to definitions provided in the Annex on Financial Services (the Annex) of the GATS, “a financial service is any service of a financial nature offered by a financial service supplier of a Member.” The term “financial service supplier” does not include a public entity that provides services in the exercise of government authority. Financial services include the following activities:

##### 1. Insurance and Insurance-related services

This covers (i) direct insurance (including co-insurance), both life and nonlife; (ii) reinsurance and retrocession; (iii) insurance intermediation, such as brokerage and agency; and (iv) services auxiliary to insurance, such as consultancy, actuarial, risk assessment, and claim settlement services.

##### 2. Banking and Other Financial Services (Excluding Insurance)

This covers deposit taking; lending; leasing; payment and monetary transmission; guarantees and commitments; financial trading (money market instruments, foreign exchange, derivative products, swaps, forward rate agreements, transferable securities, and other negotiable instruments); money brokering; asset management; settlement and clearing services; provision of financial information; and advisory services.

#### B. Commitments of Individual Countries

The six countries under examination have generally made commitments to all financial areas but differences among the countries exist. Individual country commitments are composed of the *horizontal* commitments and *sector* commitments; the first deals with across-the-board agreements while the latter with sector-specific ones.

In addition to the GATS, the ASEAN Framework Agreement on Services (AFAS)<sup>5</sup> was born out of the Bangkok Summit of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) in 1995, which provides a basis for ASEAN countries to launch negotiations on seven areas of services, i.e., banking, tourism, air transportation, maritime transportation, telecommunications, construction, and professional services. Commitments were made only in primary sectors such as finance and telecommunications in September 1998. The GATS framework provided the basis for the AFAS negotiations and a positive list approach was adopted in liberalizing the service sectors. Slow

---

<sup>5</sup> The commitments under AFAS are slightly more liberalized than those under the GATS.

progress in the AFAS is attributed to weak political will, legal restrictions, and institutional limitations. The PRC joined the WTO as a new member on 11 December 2001 after the WTO approved the accession protocol in November 2001.

## **1. People's Republic of China**

The PRC made substantial commitments in the banking sector during WTO entry negotiations. Its financial markets will be opened on a step-by-step basis. Two years after the PRC's entry into WTO, foreign banks will be allowed to undertake some local currency business with all their customers. Five years after the PRC's entry, foreign banks will be able to fully engage in local currency services and receive national treatment in banking services without restrictions in terms of geography, branching, and scope.

As of the end of 2000, there were 178 foreign banking institutions in the PRC with total assets of \$34.6 billion, including \$18.8 billion of foreign currency loans accounting for 22.7 percent of total foreign currency loans in the PRC. However, because of various restrictions, foreign banks account for only 2 percent of total banking business in the PRC, a much lower level than in most of the other developing and transition economies.

### **a. Major Horizontal Commitments**

- (i) Regarding commercial presence, foreign investment<sup>6</sup> in an equity joint venture should be no less than 25 percent of the registered capital; establishment of branches by foreign enterprises is boundless unless otherwise indicated in specific sectors.
- (ii) Regarding presence of natural persons, senior employees (managers, executives, and specialists) of a corporation are permitted for an initial term of three years.

### **b. Commitments on Specific Financial Services**

- (i) Regarding insurance and insurance-related services, two areas are vital: foreign equity participation and geographic coverage. As to the former, foreign nonlife insurers will be permitted to establish a branch or a joint venture with 51 percent foreign ownership going up to 100 percent within two years after accession of the PRC into WTO. Life insurers will be allowed 50 percent foreign ownership in a joint venture upon accession. Brokerages of insurance/reinsurance will be allowed no more than 50 percent foreign ownership upon accession, up to 51 percent within three years after accession, and up to 100 percent within five years after accession.

---

<sup>6</sup> According to the Schedule of Specific Commitments of the PRC, "foreign invested enterprises" include (i) foreign capital enterprises (also referred to as wholly foreign-owned enterprises) and (ii) joint ventures that are either equity joint ventures or contractual ventures.

As to geographic coverage, upon accession, foreign life and nonlife insurers and insurance brokers will be permitted to provide services in Shanghai, Guangzhou, Dalian, Shenzhen, and Foshan. Within three years after accession, no restrictions will be imposed.

- (ii) Regarding banking and other financial services, the PRC has made commitments in two areas. One, in terms of geographic coverage, foreign currency businesses will have no geographic restriction upon accession. Local currency business is possible only in Shanghai, Shenzhen, Tianjin, and Dalian upon accession; within one year after accession, Guangzhou, Zhuhai, Qingdao, Nanning and Wuhan; within two years, Jiaxing, Fuzhou, Chengdu and Chongqing; within three years, Kunming, Beijing, and Xiamen; and within four years, Shantou, Ningbo, Shenyang, and Xian. There will be no more restrictions thereafter.

Two, in terms of licensing, foreign financial institutions with total assets of at least \$10 billion at the end of the year prior to filing of application may establish a subsidiary; for establishment of a branch of a foreign bank, total assets should be at least \$20 billion; and for establishment of a joint bank or a joint finance company, total assets should be at least \$10 billion.

## 2. Indonesia

Indonesia's commitments to the AFAS (September 1998) and GATS (February 1998) on the banking sector (horizontal and general conditions) are summarized as follows: (i) all market access and national treatment limitations specified will be eliminated by 2010 (2020 for the GATS) subject to a similar commitment by other members; (ii) foreign bank(s) and foreign legal entity(ies) in cooperation with Indonesian national(s) and/or Indonesian legal entity(ies) are allowed to establish or acquire locally incorporated banks with existing regulations (a new license not allowed for GATS); (iii) branch offices of foreign banks and joint venture banks may open their offices in the cities of Jakarta, Surabaya, Semarang, Bandung, Medan, Denpasar, Batam Island, Padang, Manado, Ambon, and in all other provincial capitals subject to economic need test (only one sub-branch and one auxiliary office per foreign bank branch); (iv) acquisition of local existing banks through purchase of shares in the stock exchange is allowed up to 49 percent (51 percent for AFAS) of the listed shares; and (v) as to the presence of natural persons, a non-Indonesian employed as manager or technical expert is required to have at least two Indonesian nationals as understudies during his/her term.

The commitment is generally conservative but in reality it is much more liberal. For example, ownership of a domestic bank by foreign investors is limited to a maximum of 49 percent in GATS (51 percent in AFAS) but the country is in fact allowing up to 99 percent upon approval by the government. With regard to the entry of foreign banks, the issue on hand is finding means to attract more funds from these banks, rather than restricting their business scope, given the large

net outflows of foreign capital from Indonesia in recent years. Presence of natural persons is generally prohibited except for a non-Indonesian manager or technical expert. Temporary entry may be granted to technical experts/advisors of foreign bank branches for joint venture banks for a maximum of three months per person for any given year.

Indonesia imposes several specific restrictions on nonbanking finance: market access and national treatment for cross-border supply of nonlife insurance, life insurance, factoring services, securities business, and investment advisory services is not allowed. These will be eliminated by the year 2020 subject to similar commitments by other WTO members. To conduct securities business, foreign brokers/companies need to establish a broker/securities company. For the others, the following horizontal restrictions should be complied with. First, the share of ownership of a foreign services supplier is bound by prevailing laws and regulations. Second, shares of nonbank financial companies listed in the stock exchange may be 100 percent owned by foreign investors. Third, in relation to the presence of natural persons, expatriates may assume the positions of directors, managers, and experts/advisors with a term of 3 years that can be extended.

### **3. Republic of Korea**

The policies on financial opening in Republic of Korea (Korea) exhibited sharp contrast before and after the Asian crisis. Opening up of the financial sector after the crisis progressed more rapidly than in the precrisis period though there had been significant opening efforts immediately before the crisis to prepare for Korea's accession to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). The rapid postcrisis progress was attributed to a combination of these factors: (i) the urgent and impending need for foreign funds to fill the financial gap created after the crisis; (ii) the new shift in the government's attitude into one that is highly favorable of foreign investments, and (iii) international pressures by the OECD and international financial agencies for Korea to deregulate and open up its financial sector as a member of the OECD.

In the early part of 1998 a spectrum of major policy actions were taken to increase the commercial presence of foreign financial institutions in Korea. In April 1998 foreign banks and securities firms were allowed to establish subsidiaries; 100 percent foreign ownership of Korean financial institutions was also made possible. In May 1998 Korean banks were able to recruit foreign nationals as directors. As seen in Table 2, commercial presence of all financial institutions (establishment of branches, subsidiaries, and joint ventures) became possible by 2000. Foreign ownership of up to 100 percent in a Korean bank was permitted in April 1999.<sup>7</sup> In principle, any foreign bank can enter Korean markets and enjoy virtually the same national treatment.

---

<sup>7</sup> Prior limit was 4 percent for a nationwide bank, 8 percent for a bank converted from other financial institutions, and 15 percent for a regional bank.

Table 2: **Commercial Presence of Foreign Financial Institutions in Korea (2000)**

	Bank	Security	Investment Trust company	Investment Advisory	Life Insurance	Nonlife Insurance
Branch	Open	Open	Open	Open	Open	Open
Subsidiary	Open	Open	Open	Open	Open	Open
Joint Venture	Open	Open	Open	Open	Not Open	Not Open
Cross-Border Trade	Partially Open	Not Open	Partially Open	Open	Open	Aviation, Hull (open)

Source: Korea Institute of Finance (2000).

Toward the end of 1997, Korea opened its domestic bond market and accelerated the opening of stock and money markets to attract foreign funds in the wake of the crisis.<sup>8</sup> By May 1998, ceilings on *stock investment* by foreigners in nonstate-owned companies were completely removed. In the case of state-owned companies, the ceiling was raised to 30 percent from the 18 percent set a year earlier. Ceilings on stock investments in private companies have been drastically reduced: to 23 percent in May 1997, 26 percent in November 1997, 55 percent in December 1997, and 100 percent in May 1998. Foreign investment in private companies' equities was fully liberalized in only six months after the crisis.

In bond markets, investments in and trading of both corporate and government bonds, which had not been allowed for foreigners till the end of November 1997, were fully opened by the end-of 1997. Other important opening measures include:

- (i) Markets for commercial papers and trade bills were opened in February 1998.
- (ii) All money market instruments including certificates of deposit (CDs) and repurchase agreements (RPs) were opened in May 1998.
- (iii) Trading of listed bonds in over-the-counter markets was permitted in May 1998.
- (iv) Trading of nonlisted bonds was allowed in July 1998.

Further, the government took various actions to liberalize *foreign exchange markets*. In July 1998, medium-term foreign loans were permitted in order to facilitate borrowing of foreign funds by the business sector. In April 1999 the Foreign Exchange Management Act that had long

<sup>8</sup> This section on Korea is based on Hwang and Shin (2000).

provided the legal basis for foreign exchange control in the country was replaced by the Foreign Exchange Transactions Act (FETA) to support financial liberalization. Offshore issuance of securities and foreign borrowing by Korean firms and financial institutions became much easier. Since 2001, many restrictions on foreign exchange transactions by foreign and Korean individuals have been removed.

Korean laws largely prohibit cross-border financial services trade, which is increasingly important within the context of GATS negotiations. Although cross-border banking is not allowed in principle, a limited number of cross-border transactions are possible under the FETA (partially open). Table 1 shows the liberalization status of cross-border trade as well as commercial presence by type of financial services. With respect to cross-border trade, banking and mutual fund investment are partially open, securities transactions are not open, while life insurance and investment advisory are fully open. As of March 2002, there were 61 foreign bank branches and 26 foreign representative offices.

#### 4. Malaysia

Malaysia has kept a financial liberalization schedule within the context of the GATS (February 1998) and AFAS (September 1998). The 10-year Financial Sector Master Plan also provides the country's financial sector with a roadmap on financial reform, opening of domestic markets, and development direction.

Market access and national treatment for cross-border supply; consumption abroad; and presence of natural persons of *offshore* banks, offshore investment banks, and offshore insurance companies are generally not allowed. Concerning market access, commercial presence of these offshore financial institutions is allowed only in Labuan and entry is limited to establishment of a branch or a subsidiary incorporated in Malaysia. There is no restriction on national treatment.

Market access and national treatment for cross-border supply and consumption abroad of *commercial* banks and *merchant* banks are not generally allowed. The 13 wholly foreign-owned foreign banks are permitted to remain 100 percent foreign owned; new licenses are not allowed. Natural persons are not allowed to hold office on a temporary basis except senior managers and specialists. Entry of wholly foreign-owned banks is limited to equity participation by foreign banks in Malaysian-owned or controlled commercial banks and merchant banks. Aggregate foreign shareholding in a commercial or merchant bank shall not exceed 30 percent. Shareholding by a single person individually or jointly is limited to a maximum of 20 percent.

Market access and national treatment for cross-border supply and consumption abroad of *direct insurance companies* are not allowed in general. On commercial presence, branches of foreign insurance companies are required to be locally incorporated by 30 June 1998 and new licenses are not allowed. Acquisition by a foreign insurance company of more than 5 percent aggregate shareholding in a locally incorporated insurance company is possible with the approval of the government, provided certain conditions are met.

The basic principles behind market access and national treatment for cross-border supply and consumption abroad of securities business are summarized as follows:



- (i) Offshore financial institutions are only for nonresident customers.
- (ii) Issues and placements as agents are open to merchant banks and a locally incorporated joint venture company.
- (iii) Underwriting companies require commercial presence and authorization.
- (iv) Asset management companies require commercial presence and authorization.
- (v) Securities broking companies require equity participation in an existing stockholding company or establishment of a locally incorporated joint venture company with a Malaysian stock brokering company.
- (vi) Aggregate foreign shareholding in the company should not exceed 30 percent.

To cope with challenges in this age of globalization, Malaysia is considering a banking consolidation program within which banks will be merged into three to four large banks to provide a full range of banking services, with another three to four medium-sized banks consolidated to perform specialized services. The consolidated banks will be better capitalized to meet international standards and to undertake a wider scope of business. The operational business integration process and rationalization exercise have been the major components of the recent consolidation efforts to reduce duplication of resources and/or functions and to attain higher levels of economies of scale and efficiency in banking institutions. There are currently 14 foreign banks operating in Malaysia out of a total of 47 banking institutions (composed of commercial banks, finance companies, and merchant banks). Foreign bank branches totaled 145 out of 2,557 branches in the entire banking system.

## 5. Singapore

Cross-border supply and consumption abroad of all financial services are generally prohibited. Presence of natural persons is also limited.

The schedule of specific commitments within the context of the GATS (February 1998) and AFAS (September 1998) deals with insurance and insurance-related services, banking, securities, and other financial services. The presence of natural persons is unbound, except for intracorporate transfers of managers, executives, and specialists. The entry of these intracorporate transfers is limited to three years, which may be extended for up to two additional years (horizontal restrictions). Specific commitments under GATS are summarized as follows:

- (i) For life insurance and nonlife insurance, consumption abroad is allowed and foreign parties can acquire aggregate equity stakes of up to 49 percent in locally owned insurance companies, provided the acquisition does not result in any foreign party being the largest shareholder.
- (ii) As to acceptance of deposits and other payable funds from the public (banks), market access is granted only for institutions approved as banks, merchant banks, and finance companies; foreign banks can operate only one office and cannot establish

- off-premise ATMs, ATM networking, or new sub-branches.
- (iii) As to bank lending, Mode 2 (consumption abroad) is allowed; each offshore bank's lending in Singapore dollars to residents shall not exceed \$200 million in aggregate; there is no limit on the establishment of off-premise cash dispensing machines for credit and charge cards.
  - (iv) For financial leasing, guarantees, and money and foreign exchange market transactions, there are generally no restrictions.
  - (v) Participation in issues of securities including underwriting and placement as agents is limited to foreign stockbrokerage companies; as non-members of the Stock Exchange of Singapore (SES), they can become Approved Foreign Brokers to trade directly in non-Singapore dollar-denominated securities quoted on SES.
  - (vi) For advisory and other auxiliary financial services, commercial presence is required, and establishment of branches, subsidiaries, or representatives is allowed.

While the schedule generally keeps a conservative stance toward trade in these financial services, the Singapore financial authorities have, since 1998, taken many important steps to expedite internationalization and liberalization of Singapore's financial markets and the Singaporean dollar. According to the Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS), Singapore has, since the Asian financial crisis, reviewed the East Asian growth model that emphasizes high savings, reliance on foreign investment, and outward orientation. Some economists have argued that East Asian economies should lower savings and stimulate domestic demand, develop indigenous enterprises, and insulate themselves from volatile external conditions.<sup>9</sup> However the review has reached a conclusion that, in particular, small economies like Singapore relying on small domestic markets and traditional-type indigenous enterprises to stimulate its growth and investment seems inherently implausible, and Singapore's economic regime should remain externally oriented.

Against this backdrop, Singapore has shifted from the previously conservative and risk-averse regulatory approach to an internationalized and liberalized approach supported by prudential supervision, while at the same time accepting calculated risks in order to promote competition and efficiency of the financial industry. The core of this liberalization policy comprises two key elements: progressive internationalization of the Singaporean dollar; and fostering capital markets, particularly bond markets with a goal to diversify Singapore's financial markets and develop the country as a global financial hub. On the first element, through two amendments in banking laws and regulations, most of policy restrictions propping up the long-standing noninternationalization policy have been phased out over the past four years. Singapore is retaining only two basic restrictions to prevent currency speculation: (i) financial institutions may not extend Singapore dollar credit facilities exceeding S\$5 million to nonresident financial entities, where they have

---

<sup>9</sup> Refer to the Special Keynote Address delivered by Lee Hsien Loong, Deputy Prime Minister and Chairman of the Monetary Authority of Singapore, at the Euromoney Asia-Pacific Issuers and Investors Forum, 19 March 2002.

reason to believe that proceeds may be used for speculation against the Singapore dollar exchange rate; and (ii) when a nonresident entity wishes to obtain a Singapore dollar loan, or tap Singapore equity or bond markets to fund overseas activities, it must swap or convert the proceeds in Singapore dollars into foreign currency as and when it uses the proceeds offshore.

Concerning the second point, the country's efforts have yielded encouraging results. The outstanding volume of Singapore Government Securities (SGS) since 1998 had doubled to S\$54 billion by 2001, and average daily turnover had increased about three times to S\$1.9 billion in 2001. New corporate debt issuance has also continued to grow rapidly, with Singapore dollar and non-Singapore dollar corporate bond issues totaling a record amount of S\$72 billion in 2001, an almost eight-fold growth over issuance volumes in 1998. The tenors of corporate issues range evenly across a spectrum of maturity of up to 15 years, exhibiting a greater diversity of corporate bonds.

The functions of local bankers on the entry of foreign financial institutions into Singapore is highly favorable and generally support the aforementioned liberalization measures that will create more efficient and vibrant markets. A reservation is possible overcompetition in local retail banking and insurance markets, with international financial institutions taking away the business ground of smaller-sized local banks and insurance companies. In line with this, the MAS has licensed only six Qualifying Full Banks (QFBs) till now, which are allowed to establish additional local branches, off-premise ATMs, and ATM sharing to undertake retail banking. Further, a deposit insurance scheme is under consideration to protect small savers. The entry of insurers and independent intermediaries is allowed based on strict MAS criteria, despite the general liberalization stance in place since early 2000. Securities business is virtually fully open.

About 200 foreign banks are operating in Singapore, with their share in total public loans (i.e., loans to nonbank clients) and total deposits being about 50 and 35 percent, respectively. It is a significantly high share, noting a liberalized business environment in Singapore. Major clients of foreign banks, except for the six QFBs, are home country enterprises.

## 6. Thailand

The following is a summary of major commitments under GATS:

- (i) As to horizontal conditions, (a) commercial presence is permitted in principle only through a limited liability company registered in Thailand, in which foreign equity participation must not exceed 49 percent of the registered capital<sup>10</sup> and the number of foreign shareholders must be less than half of the total number of shareholders of the company concerned; (b) national treatment for this mode has no limit in principle; (c) temporary movement of natural persons is unlimited except for corporate transfers at the managerial or executive level or for specialists for a one-year period (altogether for not more than three years); and (d) foreigners are not

---

<sup>10</sup> In reality, the Stock Exchange of Thailand allows any share exceeding 49 percent to be held in a special Fund. The exceeding portion is not entitled to vote.

<sup>11</sup> Some changes have recently been made to allow foreigners to purchase land to construct manufacturing facilities.

- allowed to purchase or own land.<sup>11</sup>
- (ii) On specific commitments: (a) “Cross-border supply” and “consumption abroad” are generally not allowed for banking, securities services, and nonlife insurance. (b) The ceiling for foreign share holding is: life and nonlife insurance, 25 percent of registered share capital; services auxiliary (excluding pension funds), 49 percent; representative office of commercial banks, none; local incorporated banks, 25 percent (each limited to 5 percent); securities companies and credit foncier, companies 25 percent (each limited to 10 percent); securities companies, 49 percent; asset management companies, 25 percent for the first five years followed by 49 percent after five years; and financial leasing, factoring services, credit cards, and charge and debit cards, 49 percent.<sup>12</sup> (c) As to presence of natural citizens, for life and nonlife insurance, only senior managerial personnel, specialists, and technical assistants are allowable, subject to the approval of the Insurance Commissioner. (d) On banking, consumption abroad and cross-border supply is without limit except for financial advisory and financial data processing; commercial presence has generally no restrictions for existing foreign bank branches. (e) For securities companies (brokerage, dealing, underwriting, investment advisory services) market access and national treatment for consumption abroad is fine; national treatment for cross-border supply is allowed; limitations on market access for commercial presence are absent for representative offices; share acquisition of existing companies is allowed up to 100 percent of paid-up capital; and national treatment allowed.

Percentage of foreign share holding in Thai banks has, since the Asian crisis, increased significantly due to the government’s policy encouraging foreign participation under multi purposes such as recapitalization of domestic troubled banks and transfer of advanced banking techniques. In June 1997 before the outbreak of the crisis, foreign share in the financial sector was zero but rose to six percent as of December 2000 (all in commercial banks).<sup>13</sup> The number of foreign bank branches decreased slightly from 21 in 1997 to 18 in March 2002 in the wake of the general consolidation of banking institutions in the country since the crisis. Local clients of foreign banks generally have a positive perception of these banks, noting that they can contribute to mobilizing foreign funds for the country and that the major cause of the crisis was not simply capital inflows but, more importantly, lack of prudential supervision of financial activities.

---

<sup>12</sup> The commitment schedule under the AFAS is different from the GATS in that three more commitments have been added: foreigners’ share holding of securities companies, increase in number of expatriates of a securities company from two to three, and pension fund consulting services.

<sup>13</sup> Percent foreign share as of March 2002 was 48.77, Bangkok Bank; 46.82, SCB; 48.98, Thai Farmer; 2.97, Thai Military; 50.27, DBS Thai Danu; 75, ABN Amro Asia; 75.02, Standard Chartered Nakornthon; 75.02, UOB Radanasin; and minimal in Ayudhaya, Krung Thai, Bank Thai, Siam City Bank, and Metropolitan Bank.

## IV. IMPLICATIONS OF BANKING SECTOR OPENING

### A. People's Republic of China<sup>14</sup>

#### 1. Implications and Issues

The PRC has made far-reaching commitments in WTO negotiations in opening up its financial sector. During the phase-out period of five years, the PRC will gradually remove the geographic and regulatory restrictions for foreign financial institutions and liberalize the scope of businesses. The final commitments will open the sector to foreign access while maintaining some limitation on cross-border supply and foreign equity participation.

Given the rapid development of the PRC financial sector and its integration into the global financial sector, the country faces a daunting task of improving its legal and regulatory system to address existing weaknesses and prepare for future challenges. Substantial development of the legal and regulatory framework for the financial sector has greatly accelerated the reform and growth of its banking and nonbanking sectors. One noticeable example is the recent enactment of the Trust Law, which provides underlying principles for fiduciary duties and governing rules on the Board of Trustees. The law lays the foundation for development of legal trusts in the PRC. The future laws and regulations governing various trusts will comprise two general tiers: basic law such as the Trust Law, and other laws pertaining to detailed rules on specific trusts, such as pension funds and investment funds.

However, there are a number of weaknesses in the legal and regulatory system, which may hamper financial sector development. There are still gaps or gray areas where no suitable law or subordinate legislation can apply. For example, there is no legal code for handling bankruptcy of financial institutions, nor are there regulations governing electronic transactions, antimoney laundering, and nonbank financial companies, such as trust and investment companies, finance and leasing companies, etc., as they are regulated by various regulations and decrees. A consistent and effective approach in enacting and amending laws is also lacking, as well as transparent procedures to bring in stakeholders' participation into the legislation process.

Enforcement laws and regulations are inadequate and on many occasions, financial sector supervisors would have to rely on the interpretation of the Supreme Court when implementing the law. Foreign participation in the PRC financial sector has been governed mostly by separate sets of temporary regulations and provisions. However, the PRC's entry into the WTO requires a complete overhaul of these temporary regulations and makes them consistent with the PRC's WTO commitment regarding opening up of the financial industry.

---

<sup>14</sup> This section is heavily indebted to an internal paper prepared by Tang (2002) of the Asian Development Bank.

The segregated regulatory system has overemphasized the regulation of market behaviors compared with the prudential regulation of financial institutions. Prudential supervision proves to be more difficult in a segregated system where financial institutions are engaged in cross-sector activities. There is no mechanism in the PRC to address solvency issues within a financial conglomerate such as double or multiple gearing, risks incurred by unregulated entities, and erection of firewalls between subsidiaries and between subsidiaries and parent companies.

International experience shows that in the long run, increased foreign participation in the banking sector has a generally positive effect on countries. The banking sector will also benefit in many ways from involvement in the process of global financial integration.

First, involvement in global integration and competition will act as a catalyst for banks to reform and improve efficiency, thus accelerating the process of economic development. Second, internationalization can help in the process of building more robust and efficient financial systems by introducing international best practices and standards; by improving the quality, efficiency of financial services; and by attracting more stable sources of funds. Third, domestic banks surviving the competition will learn to establish more sophisticated services and systems that meet international standards and thereby increase their productivity. Fourth, it will facilitate the PRC's access to international markets and the opportunity for PRC banks to open up overseas operations. Finally, a liberalized and efficient financial sector will make a significant contribution to the overall development of the economy. Better and more efficient banking services will stimulate the development of the industrial, agricultural, and service sectors.

In the short term there will be costs associated with WTO accession. Once the current protective measures are removed, the PRC's state-owned commercial banks would be placed in a rather unfavorable competitive position.

One of the important drawbacks will be a fall in the market share of domestic banks. Estimates suggest that five years after the PRC's entry to the WTO, the market share of foreign banks in the total banking business would increase from the current 2 percent to about 15 percent. Ten years later, the market share of foreign banks will rise to one third of the total banking business. This increase in the foreign banks' share indicates a corresponding decrease in domestic banks' share.

Another drawback is the decline in the profitability of local banks. Some high-quality clients with good creditworthiness, particularly those located in the coastal areas, may shift to foreign banks, leaving the less creditworthy clients and some policy-based business with the domestic banks. This probable shift is a major threat to the domestic banks.

Increased competition with international banks may adversely affect the liquidity of domestic banks as some of the funds available for domestic banks may gradually shift to foreign banks. Given the high proportion of bad assets among domestic banks, especially the state-owned commercial banks, the loss of liquidity will worsen the precarious situation of these banks and threaten their survival.

With the strength of their flexible management mechanisms and better remuneration packages, international companies would likely attract skilled personnel from domestic banks,

leading to a “brain drain” of the PRC’s financial firms. This will pose a threat to their operation and management and force domestic salary scales to increase. In fact, competition for personnel has already started. Initial estimates show that about one third of the managers or higher positions employed by foreign banks in Beijing and Shanghai came from domestic banks.

Domestic banks are given five years to assess these challenges and take necessary measures. The reform of the financial sector must be accelerated to face the challenges arising from international banks.

## 2. Addressing Nonperforming Loans

The key challenge for the government is to strengthen domestic banks by addressing the problem of nonperforming loans (NPLs). The official figure for NPLs of the big four state-owned commercial banks is about 25 percent of their total loan portfolio. This excludes the 10 percent equivalent of total portfolio NPLs transferred to the four Asset Management Companies established in 1999. However, if a more strict, international NPL classification were adopted, NPLs may be close to half of the loan portfolio of the four state-owned banks. The NPLs of other commercial banks are lower due to their shorter operational history and less exposure to the state-owned enterprises. Further, the NPLs in the rural and urban credit cooperatives could be as high as, or even higher, than that in the four big banks.

Table 3. **Nonperforming Loan Rates in Selected Asian Countries, 2001**  
(percent of total loans)

	People’s Republic of China	Indonesia	Korea	Malaysia	Philippines	Thailand
NPLs	25	18	5.4	9.4	16.7	17
NPLs (broad)*	35	57	16	16	NA	27

\* Includes NPLs transferred to asset management companies.

A comparison of the level of NPLs in other countries suggests a severity of the NPL problem in the PRC. Table 3 shows that with the exception of Indonesia, the ratio of NPLs in the PRC is higher than many of the countries badly hit by the Asian financial crisis. However, a simple comparison of the NPLs in the PRC with that of the other countries may be somewhat misleading. A large proportion of NPLs in the PRC is part of the transition cost from a centrally planned economy to a market economy, particularly related to reform of state-owned enterprises. The NPL situation in the PRC has to be addressed as part of the ongoing transition and structural changes of the economy, a long-term process involving many steps.

### **3. Commercialization of State-owned Banks**

Accelerating the pace of commercialization of state-owned banks is another important task if domestic banks are to enhance their international competitiveness. As a first step, domestic banks should establish an effective corporate governance structure. The Governor of the Central Bank has recently announced that a number of state-owned banks will be listed in the stock exchange in the next few years. To do so, the banks must operate under market rules and improve disclosure and transparency.

To improve the competitiveness of domestic banks, expeditious development of private-owned banks is strongly suggested. For a long period of time, banking regulations have restricted private sector participation. The significance of developing a private-owned banking system has great merit in two areas. It facilitates competition by ending the monopoly of major state-owned banks. Creating a competitive environment will improve efficiency, innovation, and development of new services. Second, development of private banks will help address the distortion in credit allocation in which the state sector benefits at the expense of the nonstate sector, particularly small and medium enterprises. State-owned industrial enterprises contribute less than 30 percent of total industrial output. However, they receive more than 70 percent of the credit allocated by the banking sector. If a nonstate sector banking system is developed, SMEs, private enterprises, and consumers will have better access to bank credit.

### **4. Human Resource Development**

Domestic banks must develop better human resource management systems, compensation systems, and incentive packages. There is an acute shortage of high-quality and senior personnel familiar with modern commercial bank management. The Central Bank is considering employing an officer from Hong Kong as its Deputy Governor. The government also plans to modify its policy by allowing domestic banks and brokerages to hire overseas Chinese professionals as departmental heads, in an effort to accelerate their development as commercial entities. This shows the government's willingness to upgrade professional skills to better respond to the changing environment.

## **B. Republic of Korea**

Table 3 shows that in Korea, the market share of foreign banks remained at 6-7 percent except for 8.0 percent in 1997 when the crisis started. The share is low, indicating that the role of foreign banks in Korea is far from significant and that Korea's financial opening has not led to any major change in the foreign banks' share in the Korean market. However, it is noted that their share has steadily increased since 1998. It is not clear, though, whether this is a long-term trend or a temporary occurrence.



Hwang and Shin (2000) examined cyclical characters of foreign and domestic currency loans by foreign banks in Korea for the period 1981-1999. They ran regressions to find out the relationship between growth rates of foreign and domestic currency loans, and nominal GDP growth rates and interest rate differentials between Korea and the United States. The first finding is that domestic banks provided a more stable lending service in domestic currency while foreign banks did so in *foreign currency*. Looking into the relationship between loan growth and nominal GDP growth, this study concludes that domestic currency loans by foreign banks are procyclical, whereas no significant relationship was found in the case of domestic banks. However, highly contrasting results were achieved in the case of foreign currency loans. GDP growth did not have any significant explanatory power in foreign currency loans by the foreign banks but it had a significant positive relationship with domestic banks' foreign currency loans. Given this result, they concluded that foreign banks played a stabilizing role in economic fluctuations. Similarly Goldberg et al. (2000) found that foreign banks in Argentina and Mexico had lower volatility in lending, contributing to financial stability in times of financial crisis and economic depression.

In relation to the Asian financial crisis, foreign banks had not contributed to the eruption of the crisis given that foreign banks' assets (both in size and share) including loans did not show any sharp increase immediately before the crisis (Table 4). Their market share in the precrisis period was even much lower than in the postcrisis period.

On the contrary, since 1998 foreign banks have been increasingly relying on domestic sources in mobilizing their operating funds (Table 5). This trend is attributed to the country's active liberalization policy under the new government since the crisis, which provides a more favorable operational environment to foreign banks. This signifies localization of foreign banks. Till 1997, the share of domestic liabilities of foreign banks remained at about 20 percent of total liabilities but it sharply rose to 37.4 percent in 1998, followed by 62.3 percent in 2000, and 68 percent by the end-November 2001. Now the major source of liabilities is deposits received from domestic customers in both Korean won and foreign currencies.

Table 4. **Market Share of Foreign Banks in Korea, End of Period**

	Assets of Foreign Banks (trillion won) (A)	Assets of All Banks (trillion won) (B)	Market Share (A/B) (%)
1995	19.4	379.5	5.1
1996	24.7	451.2	5.5
1997	45.8	573.7	8.0
1998	33.8	576.9	5.9
1999	34.7	640.0	5.4
2000	46.9	737.8	6.4
November 2001	49.3	762.1	6.5

Source: The Bank of Korea ( 2002).

**Table 5. Source of Operating Funds of Foreign Banks in Korea, End of Period  
(trillion won)**

	Domestic	Foreign	Total Liabilities
1995	3.1 (23.5)	10.1 (76.5)	13.2 (100.0)
1996	3.2 (18.6)	14.0 (81.4)	17.2 (100.0)
1997	7.1 (21.1)	26.5 (78.9)	33.6 (100.0)
1998	9.2 (37.4)	15.4 (62.6)	24.6 (100.0)
1999	12.5 (45.6)	14.9 (54.4)	27.4 (100.0)
2000	24.3 (62.3)	14.7 (37.7)	39.0 (100.0)
November 2001	30.2 (68.0)	14.2 (32.0)	44.4 (100.0)

Figures in parentheses represent percent in total.  
Source: The Bank of Korea (2002).

It has been argued that opening of domestic banking markets involves both costs and benefits. Possible costs include dominance in local markets, increased influence of foreign capital in economic activities, and intervention in corporate management. Benefits include increased competition, introduction of advanced banking and risk management techniques, diversification in resource mobilization of the country, and facilitation of foreign trade and investment. In the case of Korea, while benefits appear to be dominant and costs nonexistent or minimal, this observation has not been tested by a field survey. Foreign banks contributed to higher competition and introduction of new banking and financial techniques and helped Korean companies expand foreign trade with new clients.

### C. Indonesia and Malaysia

The share of foreign banks' loans in total loans has been checked to examine whether foreign banks in Indonesia were procyclical or countercyclical after the crisis. Table 6 shows the share of foreign and joint banks in the period 1996-2001. The share, which remained at 9.4 percent in 1996, has risen every year till 2000 when it reached 27.7 percent. The growth rate of foreign and joint banks loans has been higher than that of domestic banks at the time the Indonesian economy was severely depressed, foreign capital flows reversed, and enormous financial and corporate restructuring efforts were made. This pattern notes that foreign banks' lending was more countercyclical and stable than that of domestic banks, contributing to financial and economic recovery and private sector investment. Sector lending performance indicates that the share of foreign banks' loans to manufacturing has steadily increased in the postcrisis period but the services and trade sectors suffered a sharp decline in the same period. Foreign banks should have also contributed to manufacture of goods for exports and employment opportunities.

In Malaysia, the share of foreign banks' lending in total lending has been stable at 23-24 percent but increased steadily year by year since the crisis (Table 9). The rate of increase of foreign banks' lending appears to be slightly higher than that of domestic banks since the crisis. Foreign

banks in Malaysia did not cut down their lending after the crisis, rather, showed a countercyclical lending pattern that must have contributed to the country's economic recovery, employment creation, and financial stabilization in this period.

**Table 6. Credits of Foreign and Joint Banks, Indonesia  
(trillion rupiah)**

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	Nov 2001
Foreign & Joint Banks (A)	27.6	48.6	66.7	50.0	74.4	74.9
All Banks (B)	292.9	378.1	487.4	225.1	269.0	303.0
Share (A/B, %)	9.4	12.8	13.7	22.2	27.7	24.7

Source: Bank Indonesia (2001).

**Table 7. Growth of GDP and Bank Credits, Indonesia  
(percent)**

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001 (est.)
GDP (current)	17.8	57.6	13.0	15.3	13.0
(real)	4.7	-13.1	0.9	4.8	3.3
Credits	76.1	37.2	-25.0	48.8	0.7
(Foreign and Joint Banks)					
Local Banks	24.1	27.6	-58.4	11.1	17.2

Sources: Asian Development Bank, Statistical Data Base System (SDBS); and Bank Indonesia (2001).

**Table 8. Credits of Foreign and Joint Banks to Economic Sectors, Indonesia  
(percent)**

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	Nov 2001
Agriculture	1.4	2.7	2.5	3.4	3.8	3.2
Mining	1.4	1.9	2.2	3.8	4.3	1.2
Manufacturing	55.4	59.3	61.9	62.4	65.5	65.6
Trade	17.4	15.6	16.5	14.4	6.5	6.5
Service Industry	18.5	16.5	14.2	12.4	12.4	15.0
Others	5.8	4.1	2.7	3.6	7.5	8.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: Bank Indonesia (2001).

**Table 9. Credits of Foreign Banks, Malaysia**  
(billion ringgit; end-of-period)

	1996	1997	1999	20001	2001 (Nov)
Foreign Banks					
Assets	80.1 (22.2)	87.5 (22.5)	109.1 (22.6)	124.0 (24.2)	130.0 (24.9)
Loans	50.3 (23.1)	55.6 (23.6)	68.0 (23.8)	72.1 (23.8)	80.3 (24.6)
Domestic Banks					
Assets	280.0 (77.8)	300.8 (77.5)	373.6 (77.4)	388.7 (75.8)	392.6 (75.1)
Loans	167.6 (76.9)	179.9 (76.4)	216.6 (76.2)	231.2 (76.2)	245.8 (75.4)
Country Total					
Assets	360.1 (100.0)	388.3 (100.0)	482.7 (100.0)	512.7 (100.0)	522.6 (100.0)
Loans	217.9 (100.0)	235.5 (100.0)	285.2 (100.0)	303.3 (100.0)	326.1 (100.0)

Source: Bank Negara Malaysia (2001).

**Table 10. Growth of GDP and Bank Credits, Malaysia**  
(percent)

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001 (est)
GDP (current)					
(real)	11.1 7.3	0.5 -7.4	6.0 6.1	13.5 8.3	6 0.4
Loans: Foreign Banks	10.5	11.1 (est)	11.1 (est)	6.0	13.1
Local Banks	7.3	10.2 (est)	10.2 (est)	6.7	7.9

est means estimate.

Source: Bank Negara Malaysia (2001).

## V. COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF FINANCIAL OPENING POLICIES

Many studies indicate that the opening up of financial services involves both advantages and risks. Advantages include reduction in unit costs by facilitating economies of scale, increasing competition, reducing price markups, and increasing managerial efficiency (Cecchini 1988). Local consumers can benefit from increased competition and access to foreign expertise in several ways: a wider choice of financial products; better credit assessment procedures and information services; faster access to advanced services; and increased availability in credit. However, there are also reservations to market opening, of which the most noteworthy would be the following argument: opening is often accompanied by capital account liberalization, and banking and financial crises in most cases are associated with capital account liberalization or wrong sequencing of liberalization measures.

The debate on the source of the Asian financial crisis has uncovered several causes of the crisis, but the root cause would be the serious mismanagement of huge overseas borrowing by *domestic* (not *foreign*) banks and finance companies and business corporations. International hedge funds, the size of which was much smaller than bank borrowing, also played a significant role, though it is not the primary factor. All these are associated with the capital account liberalization of the crisis-hit countries that had been actively pursued since the late 1980s in these countries. In contrast, the size of foreign banks' lending and assets remained too small to be a major contributor to the crisis.

Table 11. **Comparison of Domestic and Overseas Interest Rates before the Crisis<sup>a</sup>**  
(percent per annum; period average)

	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	October 1999
Indonesia	20.6	17.8	18.9	19.2	21.8	32.2	22.8
Korea, Republic of	8.6	8.5	9.0	8.8	11.9	15.3	9.0
Malaysia	9.1	7.6	7.6	8.9	9.5	10.6	6.8
Thailand	11.2	10.9	13.3	13.4	13.7	14.4	8.3
LIBOR <sup>b</sup> (US\$)	3.64	5.59	6.24	5.78	6.08	5.53	5.7 (July)

<sup>a</sup> Commercial bank lending rates, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>b</sup> For one year.

Source: Kim (2000).

**Table 12. Purchasing Power Parity of Crisis Economies' Currencies before the Crisis  
(1990 = 100)**

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
<b>Indonesia</b>							
Relative price (I)	100	104.9	109.6	119.7	128.0	135.7	140.6
Exchange rate (II)	100	105.8	110.2	113.3	117.3	122.0	127.1
PPP (II/I)	100	100.9	100.5	94.6	91.6	89.9	90.4
<b>Korea</b>							
Relative price (I)	100	104.9	108.1	110.0	113.9	115.8	118.1
Exchange rate (II)	100	103.6	110.3	113.4	113.5	109.0	113.7
PPP (II/I)	100	98.8	102.0	103.1	99.6	94.1	96.3
<b>Malaysia</b>							
Relative price (I)	100	100.2	101.8	102.4	103.5	106.0	106.7
Exchange rate (II)	100	101.7	94.2	95.2	97.0	92.6	93.0
PPP (II/I)	100	101.5	92.5	93.0	93.7	87.4	87.2
<b>Thailand</b>							
Relative price (I)	100	101.4	102.4	102.8	105.4	108.4	111.5
Exchange rate (II)	100	101.4	101.8	101.0	99.6	100.1	101.5
PPP (II/I)	100	100	99.4	98.2	94.5	92.3	91.0

Note: If the PPP number is less than 100 it is overvalued; undervalued if over 100.  
Source: Kim (2000).

Immediately before the crisis from the early 1990s to the second quarter of 1997, *domestic* commercial banks, finance companies, and large corporations continued to borrow enormous short-term funds from international banking markets. The borrowing was spurred by a big difference between domestic and foreign interest rates and a rigid foreign exchange policy in the crisis-hit countries, which resulted in significantly cheaper financing through overseas bank borrowing (for details, see Kim 2000). The misalignment of interest and foreign exchange rates is shown in Tables 11 and 12.

Among the countries reviewed in this paper, Korea's financial markets are most liberalized, followed by Singapore and other ASEAN countries (a summary is shown in Table 13). Foreign equity holding of up to 100 percent in Korea is allowed for any kind of financial institution, while other countries restrict it to below 50 percent in general. Commercial presence of *all* types in foreign financial institutions is possible in Korea. Participation in capital markets is much easier in Korea than in the four ASEAN countries. Both corporate and government bond markets have been fully opened to foreigners since December 1997, while in May 1998 ceilings of stock investments by foreigners in nonstate-owned enterprises were removed. This action has promoted a large amount of foreign portfolio investment in Korea since 1998. Foreigners' share in the Korean stock market in 2001 was as high as 36.6 percent, compared to Japan, 12.4 percent; Singapore, 9.6 percent; and Taipei, China, 9.4 percent.

Table 13. **Highlights of Individual Countries' Opening of Financial Services within GATS**

Country	Banking	Equity Holding (financial institutions)	Presence of Natural Persons	Other Restrictions
Indonesia	Branch offices operate only in 10 cities in principle. Foreign-Indonesian joint ownership is allowed with existing banks, but a new license is not allowed for GATS.	Local existing bank: 49% of the listed shares. Nonbank finance company listed in the stock exchange: 100% Others: 49%	Expatriate directors, managers, experts, advisors can be engaged for 3 years and can be extended. Manager or technical experts require two Indonesian under-studies at a minimum.	Cross-border supply and consumption abroad are generally prohibited. Securities brokers must establish a local company to run the business.
Korea	No restriction in general. In 1998 after the crisis, most restrictions were removed to increase commercial presence.	100% for any kind of financial institution. Stock investments in private companies: 100% (May 1998). Bond markets are fully open.	Allowed. Korean banks can recruit foreign nationals as directors (May 1998).	Cross-border and consumption abroad are partially allowed for banking and investment advisory services.
Malaysia	The 13 wholly foreign-owned foreign banks are permitted to remain wholly foreign owned. New licenses are not allowed.	Entry of foreign banks is limited to equity participation in local commercial banks and merchant banks. Aggregate foreign shareholding in a bank shall not exceed 30%. Securities company (a locally incorporated joint-venture): not to exceed 30 percent	Not allowed except for temporary presence of senior managers and specialists in relation to establishing commercial presence.	For cross-border and consumption abroad, similar to Indonesia. Offshore institutions allowed in Labuan.
Singapore	One office only and cannot establish off-premise ATMs and new sub-branches. Money and foreign exchange market transactions generally unrestricted.	Banks: 40% Insurance: 49% of locally owned insurance companies. Securities companies: unbound	Unbound, except for intracorporate transfers of managers, executives, and specialists. Limited to a 3-year period that may be extended for up to two additional years	For cross-border and consumption abroad, similar to Indonesia, but insurance is allowed.
Thailand	Commercial presence generally has no restrictions for existing foreign bank branches. Foreign bank share: zero in 1997, 6% as of December 2000.	Foreign equity participation up to 49% in principle. But the following only 25%: life and nonlife insurance, local incorporated banks, securities companies.	Unbound except for corporate transfers at the managerial or executive level, or for specialists for a 1-year period (altogether not more than three years).	For cross-border and consumption abroad, similar to Indonesia. Foreigners are not allowed to purchase or own land.

An interesting feature of Korea's liberalization is that the country opened its domestic markets more actively in the postcrisis period compared to the precrisis period.<sup>15</sup> Singapore has taken a similar policy, while other ASEAN countries have not taken new major measures since the crisis but have generally kept the commitments originally made in 1998. This difference and its implications deserve a careful study. A comparative study on the Korean and ASEAN pattern will be able to draw some interesting and useful lessons. In terms of economic growth and financial restructuring since the crisis, Korea has performed better than the other crisis-hit countries. The question is whether such better performance has encouraged the Korean government to expedite opening of domestic markets, or, on the other hand, the active opening policy has contributed to fast growth and restructuring. Given that most of the liberalization measures were taken not long after the eruption of the crisis in Korea, the latter appears to be more convincing, implying that financial opening has had a significant positive impact on the domestic economy and financial markets in Korea. Also it should be noted that foreign banks' lending has been more countercyclical than domestic banks'.

Foreign banks in these countries generally concentrate their business on expatriate home-country enterprises. There are two views on the role of foreign banks in developing countries (Clark et al. 2000). The first, referred to as the traditional view (Aliber 1984), is that foreign banks follow their domestic clients to finance their trade and service their needs in other countries. The second view envisions a more active role by foreign banks in the development of the host country's banking sector. Drawing on the theory of comparative advantage, the second view posits that foreign banks can use management technology and banking expertise developed for their home use at a very low marginal cost abroad. In the ASEAN countries in general (except for the Singapore offshore market), the role of foreign banks is basically consistent with the first view. In Korea, however, some foreign banks such as Citibank are rapidly cornering local clients, exacting a more positive and deep impact on the local banking sector. Foreign banks generally enjoy more advanced banking practices than local banks, and thus contribute to introduction of new techniques into local markets. Foreign banks hold comparative advantages particularly in risk analysis and management, loan project assessment, credit analysis, portfolio management, and computerization.

---

<sup>15</sup> Foreign investment in Korea: Foreign direct investment, \$52 billion for 1998-2001 (\$24.6 billion for 1962-1997); foreign portfolio investment, \$29.1 billion for 1998-2001 (\$17.8 billion for 1962-1997). Foreigners' share in stock market as of 2001: Korea, 36.6; Japan, 12.4; Taipei, China, 9.4; and Singapore, 9.6 percent.



## VII. CONCLUSION

The four ASEAN countries studied in this paper have retained their original commitments since 1998. Korea has revised its original commitments significantly in 1999 while the PRC has just become a member of WTO. Given this, the ASEAN countries may have to consider substantial revisions, particularly in response to the Doha agreement. In opening financial services, however, it is advisable to take three guiding principles into account: (i) *resource mobilization* for economic recovery and sustained development, (ii) *financial stability*, and (iii) *market competition*. Some policy suggestions within this context are presented below.

First, ASEAN countries may have to consider more active liberalization of financial services in light of their extensive economic exposure to foreign trade and the global economy as well as the immense need for foreign capital and financial services. Although economic circumstances in these countries are different from those in Korea, they may draw valuable lessons from the Korean experience after the crisis in order to figure out the best liberalization strategy. The ASEAN countries are committed to not only the GATS but also to the ASEAN Framework Agreement on Services (AFAS) arising from the ASEAN Bangkok Summit in 1995, which provides a basis for liberalization of seven areas of services, i.e., banking, tourism, air transportation, maritime transportation, telecommunications, construction, and professional services. However, the scope of commitments either in the GATS or in the AFAS is not broad enough and the progress in liberalization is slow.

Second, sequencing of liberalization is of prime importance. In this regard, priority should be placed on the banking sector. Foreign banks bring foreign capital, facilitate foreign investment through their support of trade and production activities of foreign investors in the host country, and increase market competition in the banking industry. This paper found that foreign banks' lending attitude is more countercyclical than domestic banks.' In the case of Singapore, it would be important to develop its offshore financial market more vigorously within the context of its goal to transform into an international financial center.

Third, Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand may need to consider further opening up its other nonbank financial markets to minimize inflows of short-term speculative money and at the same time promote foreigners' long-term direct investment in local infrastructure and productive sectors. Opening of leasing, guarantees, local bond markets, underwriting of international bonds issued by domestic enterprises, and foreign direct investments in local banks/securities companies are consistent with this objective. Each country needs to carefully envision its long-term financial sector objectives through undertaking a thorough research study, and then formulate short- and medium-term negotiation strategies to maximize the benefits of financial opening.

Fourth, issues on "commercial presence" of foreign suppliers should be addressed, followed by those on "cross-border" supplies, given that the latter involves free movement of services, capital, and information (e.g., international hedge funds) without sufficient supervisory mechanisms in place.

Fifth, it is crucial to implement sound capital account policies in parallel with financial opening, given that opening of financial services is closely associated with capital account

liberalization. A great lesson drawn from the Asian crisis is that it is not advisable for developing countries to fully liberalize their capital accounts until effective regulatory and supervisory regimes for their financial systems are operational and appropriate macroeconomic policies, including a well-aligned exchange rate regime, are in place.

Lastly, domestic equity markets need to be opened up in a phased manner, taking into account capital market development, corporate sector capacity, and the urgency for foreign currency resources. It is also important to establish regulations to prevent sudden and large capital flights, while financial authorities monitor capital flows so that they may take relevant policy actions if necessary. Equity investment flows into and out of a country are determined by many factors, both external and domestic. Domestic factors include economic reforms, capital control, explicit and implicit government guarantees, and transparency and disclosure of information. External factors include changes in interest rates in the United States, terms of trade shocks, and increases in international risk premia.

## REFERENCES

- Aliber, R. Z., 1984. "International Banking: A Survey." *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 16(4):661-78.
- Cecchini, P., 1988. *The European Challenge in 1992: The Benefits of a Single Market*. Aldershot: Gower.
- Clarke, G., R. Cull, L. D'Amato and A. Molinari, 2000. "On the Kindness of Strangers? The Impact of Foreign Entry on Domestic Banks in Argentina." In S. Claessens and M. Jansen, eds., *The Internationalization of Financial Services*. Kluwer Law International, The Hague, London, Boston.
- Dobson, W., and P. Jacquet, 1998. *Financial Services Liberalization in the WTO*. Institute for International Economics, Washington, D. C.
- Goldberg, L., B. Gerard Dages, and D. Kinney, 2000. Foreign and Domestic Bank Participation in Emerging Markets: Lessons from Mexico and Argentina. NBER Working Paper 7714, National Bureau of Economics, Massachusetts.
- Hwang, S. I., and I. S. Shin, 2000. The Liberalization of Banking Sector in Korea: Impact on the Korean Economy. Working Paper, Korea Institute for International Economic Policy, Seoul, Korea.
- Kim, Y. H., 2000. "Post-Crisis Policy Agenda for Reforming the Financial Sector in Asia." In *Sustainable Recovery in Asia – Mobilizing Resource for Development*, Asian Development Bank and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development.
- Kono, M., and L. Schuknecht, 2000. "How Does Financial Services Trade Affect Capital Flows and Financial Stability?" In S. Claessens and M. Jansen, *The Internationalization of Financial Services*. Kluwer Law International, The Hague, London, Boston.
- WTO, 2002. "GATS—Fact and Fiction." Available: <http://www.wto.org>.

# PUBLICATIONS FROM THE ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

## ERD WORKING PAPER SERIES (WPS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>No. 1 Capitalizing on Globalization<br/>—Barry Eichengreen, <i>January 2002</i></p> <p>No. 2 Policy-based Lending and Poverty Reduction: An Overview of Processes, Assessment and Options<br/>—Richard Bolt and Manabu Fujimura<br/><i>January 2002</i></p> <p>No. 3 The Automotive Supply Chain: Global Trends and Asian Perspectives<br/>—Francisco Veloso and Rajiv Kumar<br/><i>January 2002</i></p> <p>No. 4 International Competitiveness of Asian Firms: An Analytical Framework<br/>—Rajiv Kumar and Doren Chadee<br/><i>February 2002</i></p> <p>No. 5 The International Competitiveness of Asian Economies in the Apparel Commodity Chain<br/>—Gary Gereffi<br/><i>February 2002</i></p> <p>No. 6 Monetary and Financial Cooperation in East Asia—The Chiang Mai Initiative and Beyond<br/>—Pradumna B. Rana<br/><i>February 2002</i></p> <p>No. 7 Probing Beneath Cross-national Averages: Poverty, Inequality, and Growth in the Philippines<br/>—Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia<br/><i>March 2002</i></p> <p>No. 8 Poverty, Growth, and Inequality in Thailand<br/>—Anil B. Deolalikar<br/><i>April 2002</i></p> <p>No. 9 Microfinance in Northeast Thailand: Who Benefits and How Much?<br/>—Brett E. Coleman<br/><i>April 2002</i></p> <p>No. 10 Poverty Reduction and the Role of Institutions in Developing Asia<br/>—Anil B. Deolalikar, Alex B. Brillantes, Jr., Raghav Gaiha, Ernesto M. Pernia, Mary Racelis with the assistance of Marita Concepcion Castro-Guevara, Liza L. Lim, Pilipinas F. Quising<br/><i>May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 11 The European Social Model: Lessons for Developing Countries<br/>—Assar Lindbeck<br/><i>May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 12 Costs and Benefits of a Common Currency for ASEAN<br/>—Srinivasa Madhur<br/><i>May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 13 Monetary Cooperation in East Asia: A Survey<br/>—Raul Fabella</p> | <p style="text-align: right;"><i>May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 14 Toward A Political Economy Approach to Policy-based Lending<br/>—George Abonyi<br/><i>May 2002</i></p> <p>No. 15 A Framework for Establishing Priorities in a Country Poverty Reduction Strategy<br/>—Ron Duncan and Steve Pollard<br/><i>June 2002</i></p> <p>No. 16 The Role of Infrastructure in Land-use Dynamics and Rice Production in Viet Nam's Mekong River Delta<br/>—Christopher Edmonds<br/><i>July 2002</i></p> <p>No. 17 Effect of Decentralization Strategy on Macroeconomic Stability in Thailand<br/>—Kanokpan Lao-Araya<br/><i>August 2002</i></p> <p>No. 18 Poverty and Patterns of Growth<br/>—Rana Hasan and M. G. Quibria<br/><i>August 2002</i></p> <p>No. 19 Why are Some Countries Richer than Others? A Reassessment of Mankiw-Romer-Weil's Test of the Neoclassical Growth Model<br/>—Jesus Felipe and John McCombie<br/><i>August 2002</i></p> <p>No. 20 Modernization and Son Preference in People's Republic of China<br/>—Robin Burgess and Juzhong Zhuang<br/><i>September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 21 The Doha Agenda and Development: A View from the Uruguay Round<br/>—J. Michael Finger<br/><i>September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 22 Conceptual Issues in the Role of Education Decentralization in Promoting Effective Schooling in Asian Developing Countries<br/>—Jere R. Behrman, Anil B. Deolalikar, and Lee-Ying Son<br/><i>September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 23 Promoting Effective Schooling through Education Decentralization in Bangladesh, Indonesia, and Philippines<br/>—Jere R. Behrman, Anil B. Deolalikar, and Lee-Ying Son<br/><i>September 2002</i></p> <p>No. 24 Financial Opening under the WTO Agreement in Selected Asian Countries: Progress and Issues<br/>—Yun-Hwan Kim<br/><i>September 2002</i></p> |
|---|--|

## ERD TECHNICAL NOTE SERIES (TNS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>No. 1 Contingency Calculations for Environmental Impacts with Unknown Monetary Values<br/>—David Dole<br/><i>February 2002</i></p> <p>No. 2 Integrating Risk into ADB's Economic Analysis of Projects<br/>—Nigel Rayner, Anneli Lagman-Martin, and Keith Ward<br/><i>June 2002</i></p> | <p>No. 3 Measuring Willingness to Pay for Electricity<br/>—Peter Choynowski<br/><i>July 2002</i></p> <p>No. 4 Economic Issues in the Design and Analysis of a Wastewater Treatment Project<br/>—David Dole<br/><i>July 2002</i></p> |
|---|---|

## ERD POLICY BRIEF SERIES (PBS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

- |       |  |       |  |
|-------|--|-------|--|
| No. 1 | Is Growth Good Enough for the Poor?<br>— <i>Ernesto M. Pernia, October 2001</i>  | No. 6 | Achieving the Twin Objectives of Efficiency and Equity: Contracting Health Services in Cambodia<br>— <i>Indu Bhushan, Sheryl Keller, and Brad Schwartz, March 2002</i> |
| No. 2 | India's Economic Reforms<br>What Has Been Accomplished?<br>What Remains to Be Done?<br>— <i>Arvind Panagariya, November 2001</i>         | No. 7 | Causes of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis: What Can an Early Warning System Model Tell Us?<br>— <i>Juzhong Zhuang and Malcolm Dowling, June 2002</i>                   |
| No. 3 | Unequal Benefits of Growth in Viet Nam<br>— <i>Indu Bhushan, Erik Bloom, and Nguyen Minh Thang, January 2002</i>                         | No. 8 | The Role of Preferential Trading Arrangements in Asia<br>— <i>Christopher Edmonds and Jean-Pierre Verbiest, July 2002</i>  |
| No. 4 | Is Volatility Built into Today's World Economy?<br>— <i>J. Malcolm Dowling and J.P. Verbiest, February 2002</i>                          | No. 9 | The Doha Round: A Development Perspective<br>— <i>Jean-Pierre Verbiest, Jeffrey Liang, and Lea Sumulong, July 2002</i>   |
| No. 5 | What Else Besides Growth Matters to Poverty Reduction? Philippines<br>— <i>Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia, February 2002</i> |       |  |

## MONOGRAPH SERIES

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

### EDRC REPORT SERIES (ER)

- |        |   |        |   |
|--------|---|--------|---|
| No. 1  | ASEAN and the Asian Development Bank<br>— <i>Seiji Naya, April 1982</i>   |        | Pacific Trade and Development Conference<br>— <i>Seiji Naya, March 1983</i>   |
| No. 2  | Development Issues for the Developing East and Southeast Asian Countries and International Cooperation<br>— <i>Seiji Naya and Graham Abbott, April 1982</i>       | No. 15 | A Survey of Empirical Studies on Demand for Electricity with Special Emphasis on Price Elasticity of Demand<br>— <i>Wisarn Pupphavesa, June 1983</i>                |
| No. 3  | Aid, Savings, and Growth in the Asian Region<br>— <i>J. Malcolm Dowling and Ulrich Hiemenz, April 1982</i>  | No. 16 | Determinants of Paddy Production in Indonesia: 1972-1981—A Simultaneous Equation Model Approach<br>— <i>T.K. Jayaraman, June 1983</i>                               |
| No. 4  | Development-oriented Foreign Investment and the Role of ADB<br>— <i>Kiyoshi Kojima, April 1982</i>  | No. 17 | The Philippine Economy: Economic Forecasts for 1983 and 1984<br>— <i>J.M. Dowling, E. Go, and C.N. Castillo, June 1983</i>  |
| No. 5  | The Multilateral Development Banks and the International Economy's Missing Public Sector<br>— <i>John Lewis, June 1982</i>  | No. 18 | Economic Forecast for Indonesia<br>— <i>J.M. Dowling, H.Y. Kim, Y.K. Wang, and C.N. Castillo, June 1983</i>   |
| No. 6  | Notes on External Debt of DMCs<br>— <i>Evelyn Go, July 1982</i>   | No. 19 | Relative External Debt Situation of Asian Developing Countries: An Application of Ranking Method<br>— <i>Jungsoo Lee, June 1983</i>                                 |
| No. 7  | Grant Element in Bank Loans<br>— <i>Dal Hyun Kim, July 1982</i>   | No. 20 | New Evidence on Yields, Fertilizer Application, and Prices in Asian Rice Production<br>— <i>William James and Teresita Ramirez, July 1983</i>                       |
| No. 8  | Shadow Exchange Rates and Standard Conversion Factors in Project Evaluation<br>— <i>Peter Warr, September 1982</i>  | No. 21 | Inflationary Effects of Exchange Rate Changes in Nine Asian LDCs<br>— <i>Pradumna B. Rana and J. Malcolm Dowling, Jr., December 1983</i>                            |
| No. 9  | Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Establishments in ASEAN Countries: Perspectives and Policy Issues<br>— <i>Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, January 1983</i> | No. 22 | Effects of External Shocks on the Balance of Payments, Policy Responses, and Debt Problems of Asian Developing Countries<br>— <i>Seiji Naya, December 1983</i>      |
| No. 10 | A Note on the Third Ministerial Meeting of GATT<br>— <i>Jungsoo Lee, January 1983</i>   | No. 23 | Changing Trade Patterns and Policy Issues: The Prospects for East and Southeast Asian Developing Countries<br>— <i>Seiji Naya and Ulrich Hiemenz, February 1984</i> |
| No. 11 | Macroeconomic Forecasts for the Republic of China, Hong Kong, and Republic of Korea<br>— <i>J.M. Dowling, January 1983</i>  | No. 24 | Small-Scale Industries in Asian Economic Development: Problems and Prospects<br>— <i>Seiji Naya, February 1984</i>  |
| No. 12 | ASEAN: Economic Situation and Prospects<br>— <i>Seiji Naya, March 1983</i>  | No. 25 | A Study on the External Debt Indicators   |
| No. 13 | The Future Prospects for the Developing Countries of Asia<br>— <i>Seiji Naya, March 1983</i>  |        |   |
| No. 14 | Energy and Structural Change in the Asia-Pacific Region, Summary of the Thirteenth  |        |   |

- Applying Logit Analysis  
—*Jungsoo Lee and Clarita Barretto, February 1984*
- No. 26 Alternatives to Institutional Credit Programs in the Agricultural Sector of Low-Income Countries  
—*Jennifer Sour, March 1984*
- No. 27 Economic Scene in Asia and Its Special Features  
—*Kedar N. Kohli, November 1984*
- No. 28 The Effect of Terms of Trade Changes on the Balance of Payments and Real National Income of Asian Developing Countries  
—*Jungsoo Lee and Lutgarda Labios, January 1985*
- No. 29 Cause and Effect in the World Sugar Market: Some Empirical Findings 1951-1982  
—*Yoshihiro Iwasaki, February 1985*
- No. 30 Sources of Balance of Payments Problem in the 1970s: The Asian Experience  
—*Pradumna Rana, February 1985*
- No. 31 India's Manufactured Exports: An Analysis of Supply Sectors  
—*Ifzal Ali, February 1985*
- No. 32 Meeting Basic Human Needs in Asian Developing Countries  
—*Jungsoo Lee and Emma Banaria, March 1985*
- No. 33 The Impact of Foreign Capital Inflow on Investment and Economic Growth in Developing Asia  
—*Evelyn Go, May 1985*
- No. 34 The Climate for Energy Development in the Pacific and Asian Region: Priorities and Perspectives  
—*V.V. Desai, April 1986*
- No. 35 Impact of Appreciation of the Yen on Developing Member Countries of the Bank  
—*Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna Rana, and Ifzal Ali, May 1986*
- No. 36 Smuggling and Domestic Economic Policies in Developing Countries  
—*A.H.M.N. Chowdhury, October 1986*
- No. 37 Public Investment Criteria: Economic Internal Rate of Return and Equalizing Discount Rate  
—*Ifzal Ali, November 1986*
- No. 38 Review of the Theory of Neoclassical Political Economy: An Application to Trade Policies  
—*M.G. Quibria, December 1986*
- No. 39 Factors Influencing the Choice of Location: Local and Foreign Firms in the Philippines  
—*E.M. Pernia and A.N. Herrin, February 1987*
- No. 40 A Demographic Perspective on Developing Asia and Its Relevance to the Bank  
—*E.M. Pernia, May 1987*
- No. 41 Emerging Issues in Asia and Social Cost Benefit Analysis  
—*I. Ali, September 1988*
- No. 42 Shifting Revealed Comparative Advantage: Experiences of Asian and Pacific Developing Countries  
—*P.B. Rana, November 1988*
- No. 43 Agricultural Price Policy in Asia: Issues and Areas of Reforms  
—*I. Ali, November 1988*
- No. 44 Service Trade and Asian Developing Economies  
—*M.G. Quibria, October 1989*
- No. 45 A Review of the Economic Analysis of Power Projects in Asia and Identification of Areas of Improvement  
—*I. Ali, November 1989*
- No. 46 Growth Perspective and Challenges for Asia: Areas for Policy Review and Research  
—*I. Ali, November 1989*
- No. 47 An Approach to Estimating the Poverty Alleviation Impact of an Agricultural Project  
—*I. Ali, January 1990*
- No. 48 Economic Growth Performance of Indonesia, the Philippines, and Thailand: The Human Resource Dimension  
—*E.M. Pernia, January 1990*
- No. 49 Foreign Exchange and Fiscal Impact of a Project: A Methodological Framework for Estimation  
—*I. Ali, February 1990*
- No. 50 Public Investment Criteria: Financial and Economic Internal Rates of Return  
—*I. Ali, April 1990*
- No. 51 Evaluation of Water Supply Projects: An Economic Framework  
—*Arlene M. Tadler, June 1990*
- No. 52 Interrelationship Between Shadow Prices, Project Investment, and Policy Reforms: An Analytical Framework  
—*I. Ali, November 1990*
- No. 53 Issues in Assessing the Impact of Project and Sector Adjustment Lending  
—*I. Ali, December 1990*
- No. 54 Some Aspects of Urbanization and the Environment in Southeast Asia  
—*Ernesto M. Pernia, January 1991*
- No. 55 Financial Sector and Economic Development: A Survey  
—*Jungsoo Lee, September 1991*
- No. 56 A Framework for Justifying Bank-Assisted Education Projects in Asia: A Review of the Socioeconomic Analysis and Identification of Areas of Improvement  
—*Etienne Van De Walle, February 1992*
- No. 57 Medium-term Growth-Stabilization Relationship in Asian Developing Countries and Some Policy Considerations  
—*Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1993*
- No. 58 Urbanization, Population Distribution, and Economic Development in Asia  
—*Ernesto M. Pernia, February 1993*
- No. 59 The Need for Fiscal Consolidation in Nepal: The Results of a Simulation  
—*Filippo di Mauro and Ronald Antonio Butiong, July 1993*
- No. 60 A Computable General Equilibrium Model of Nepal  
—*Timothy Buehrer and Filippo di Mauro, October 1993*
- No. 61 The Role of Government in Export Expansion in the Republic of Korea: A Revisit  
—*Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1994*
- No. 62 Rural Reforms, Structural Change, and Agricultural Growth in the People's Republic of China  
—*Bo Lin, August 1994*
- No. 63 Incentives and Regulation for Pollution Abatement with an Application to Waste Water Treatment  
—*Sudipto Mundle, U. Shankar, and Shekhar Mehta, October 1995*
- No. 64 Saving Transitions in Southeast Asia  
—*Frank Harrigan, February 1996*
- No. 65 Total Factor Productivity Growth in East Asia: A Critical Survey  
—*Jesus Felipe, September 1997*
- No. 66 Foreign Direct Investment in Pakistan: Policy Issues and Operational Implications  
—*Ashfaq H. Khan and Yun-Hwan Kim, July 1999*
- No. 67 Fiscal Policy, Income Distribution and Growth  
—*Sailesh K. Jha, November 1999*

## ECONOMIC STAFF PAPERS (ES)

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>No. 1 International Reserves:<br/>Factors Determining Needs and Adequacy<br/>—<i>Evelyn Go, May 1981</i></p> <p>No. 2 Domestic Savings in Selected Developing Asian Countries<br/>—<i>Basil Moore, assisted by A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury, September 1981</i></p> <p>No. 3 Changes in Consumption, Imports and Exports of Oil Since 1973: A Preliminary Survey of the Developing Member Countries of the Asian Development Bank<br/>—<i>Dal Hyun Kim and Graham Abbott, September 1981</i></p> <p>No. 4 By-Passed Areas, Regional Inequalities, and Development Policies in Selected Southeast Asian Countries<br/>—<i>William James, October 1981</i></p> <p>No. 5 Asian Agriculture and Economic Development<br/>—<i>William James, March 1982</i></p> <p>No. 6 Inflation in Developing Member Countries: An Analysis of Recent Trends<br/>—<i>A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury and J. Malcolm Dowling, March 1982</i></p> <p>No. 7 Industrial Growth and Employment in Developing Asian Countries: Issues and Perspectives for the Coming Decade<br/>—<i>Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1982</i></p> <p>No. 8 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part 1: Regional Adjustments and the World Economy<br/>—<i>Burnham Campbell, April 1982</i></p> <p>No. 9 Developing Asia: The Importance of Domestic Policies<br/>—<i>Economics Office Staff under the direction of Seiji Naya, May 1982</i></p> <p>No. 10 Financial Development and Household Savings: Issues in Domestic Resource Mobilization in Asian Developing Countries<br/>—<i>Wan-Soon Kim, July 1982</i></p> <p>No. 11 Industrial Development: Role of Specialized Financial Institutions<br/>—<i>Kedar N. Kohli, August 1982</i></p> <p>No. 12 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part II: Debt Problems and an Evaluation of Suggested Remedies<br/>—<i>Burnham Campbell, September 1982</i></p> <p>No. 13 Credit Rationing, Rural Savings, and Financial Policy in Developing Countries<br/>—<i>William James, September 1982</i></p> <p>No. 14 Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Establishments in ASEAN Countries: Perspectives and Policy Issues<br/>—<i>Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1983</i></p> <p>No. 15 Income Distribution and Economic Growth in Developing Asian Countries<br/>—<i>J. Malcolm Dowling and David Soo, March 1983</i></p> <p>No. 16 Long-Run Debt-Servicing Capacity of Asian Developing Countries: An Application of Critical Interest Rate Approach<br/>—<i>Jungsoo Lee, June 1983</i></p> <p>No. 17 External Shocks, Energy Policy, and Macroeconomic Performance of Asian Developing Countries: A Policy Analysis<br/>—<i>William James, July 1983</i></p> <p>No. 18 The Impact of the Current Exchange Rate System on Trade and Inflation of Selected Developing Member Countries<br/>—<i>Pradumna Rana, September 1983</i></p> <p>No. 19 Asian Agriculture in Transition: Key Policy Issues<br/>—<i>William James, September 1983</i></p> <p>No. 20 The Transition to an Industrial Economy</p> | <p>in Monsoon Asia<br/>—<i>Harry T. Oshima, October 1983</i></p> <p>No. 21 The Significance of Off-Farm Employment and Incomes in Post-War East Asian Growth<br/>—<i>Harry T. Oshima, January 1984</i></p> <p>No. 22 Income Distribution and Poverty in Selected Asian Countries<br/>—<i>John Malcolm Dowling, Jr., November 1984</i></p> <p>No. 23 ASEAN Economies and ASEAN Economic Cooperation<br/>—<i>Narongchai Akrasanee, November 1984</i></p> <p>No. 24 Economic Analysis of Power Projects<br/>—<i>Nitin Desai, January 1985</i></p> <p>No. 25 Exports and Economic Growth in the Asian Region<br/>—<i>Pradumna Rana, February 1985</i></p> <p>No. 26 Patterns of External Financing of DMCs<br/>—<i>E. Go, May 1985</i></p> <p>No. 27 Industrial Technology Development the Republic of Korea<br/>—<i>S.Y. Lo, July 1985</i></p> <p>No. 28 Risk Analysis and Project Selection: A Review of Practical Issues<br/>—<i>J.K. Johnson, August 1985</i></p> <p>No. 29 Rice in Indonesia: Price Policy and Comparative Advantage<br/>—<i>I. Ali, January 1986</i></p> <p>No. 30 Effects of Foreign Capital Inflows on Developing Countries of Asia<br/>—<i>Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna B. Rana, and Yoshihiro Iwasaki, April 1986</i></p> <p>No. 31 Economic Analysis of the Environmental Impacts of Development Projects<br/>—<i>John A. Dixon et al., EAPI, East-West Center, August 1986</i></p> <p>No. 32 Science and Technology for Development: Role of the Bank<br/>—<i>Kedar N. Kohli and Ifzal Ali, November 1986</i></p> <p>No. 33 Satellite Remote Sensing in the Asian and Pacific Region<br/>—<i>Mohan Sundara Rajan, December 1986</i></p> <p>No. 34 Changes in the Export Patterns of Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: An Empirical Overview<br/>—<i>Pradumna B. Rana, January 1987</i></p> <p>No. 35 Agricultural Price Policy in Nepal<br/>—<i>Gerald C. Nelson, March 1987</i></p> <p>No. 36 Implications of Falling Primary Commodity Prices for Agricultural Strategy in the Philippines<br/>—<i>Ifzal Ali, September 1987</i></p> <p>No. 37 Determining Irrigation Charges: A Framework<br/>—<i>Prabhakar B. Ghate, October 1987</i></p> <p>No. 38 The Role of Fertilizer Subsidies in Agricultural Production: A Review of Select Issues<br/>—<i>M.G. Quibria, October 1987</i></p> <p>No. 39 Domestic Adjustment to External Shocks in Developing Asia<br/>—<i>Jungsoo Lee, October 1987</i></p> <p>No. 40 Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization through Financial Development: Indonesia<br/>—<i>Philip Erquiaga, November 1987</i></p> <p>No. 41 Recent Trends and Issues on Foreign Direct Investment in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries<br/>—<i>P.B. Rana, March 1988</i></p> <p>No. 42 Manufactured Exports from the Philippines: A Sector Profile and an Agenda for Reform<br/>—<i>I. Ali, September 1988</i></p> <p>No. 43 A Framework for Evaluating the Economic Benefits of Power Projects<br/>—<i>I. Ali, August 1989</i></p> <p>No. 44 Promotion of Manufactured Exports in Pakistan</p> |
|---|--|

- Jungsoo Lee and Yoshihiro Iwasaki,  
September 1989
- No. 45 Education and Labor Markets in Indonesia:  
A Sector Survey  
—Ernesto M. Pernia and David N. Wilson,  
September 1989
- No. 46 Industrial Technology Capabilities  
and Policies in Selected ADCs  
—Hiroshi Kakazu, June 1990
- No. 47 Designing Strategies and Policies  
for Managing Structural Change in Asia  
—Ifzal Ali, June 1990
- No. 48 The Completion of the Single European Commu-  
nity Market in 1992: A Tentative Assessment of  
its Impact on Asian Developing Countries  
—J.P. Verbiest and Min Tang, June 1991
- No. 49 Economic Analysis of Investment in Power  
Systems  
—Ifzal Ali, June 1991
- No. 50 External Finance and the Role of Multilateral  
Financial Institutions in South Asia:  
Changing Patterns, Prospects, and Challenges  
—Jungsoo Lee, November 1991
- No. 51 The Gender and Poverty Nexus: Issues and  
Policies  
—M.G. Quibria, November 1993
- No. 52 The Role of the State in Economic Development:  
Theory, the East Asian Experience,  
and the Malaysian Case
- No. 53 —Jason Brown, December 1993  
The Economic Benefits of Potable Water Supply  
Projects to Households in Developing Countries  
—Dale Whittington and Venkateswarlu Swarna,  
January 1994
- No. 54 Growth Triangles: Conceptual Issues  
and Operational Problems  
—Min Tang and Myo Thant, February 1994
- No. 55 The Emerging Global Trading Environment  
and Developing Asia  
—Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria,  
and Narhari Rao, July 1996
- No. 56 Aspects of Urban Water and Sanitation in  
the Context of Rapid Urbanization in  
Developing Asia  
—Ernesto M. Pernia and Stella LF. Alabastro,  
September 1997
- No. 57 Challenges for Asia's Trade and Environment  
—Douglas H. Brooks, January 1998
- No. 58 Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects-  
A Review of Issues, Methods, and Approaches  
—Ramesh Adhikari, Paul Gertler, and  
Anneli Lagman, March 1999
- No. 59 The Asian Crisis: An Alternate View  
—Rajiv Kumar and Bibek Debroy, July 1999
- No. 60 Social Consequences of the Financial Crisis in  
Asia  
—James C. Knowles, Ernesto M. Pernia, and  
Mary Racelis, November 1999

#### OCCASIONAL PAPERS (OP)

- No. 1 Poverty in the People's Republic of China:  
Recent Developments and Scope  
for Bank Assistance  
—K.H. Moinuddin, November 1992
- No. 2 The Eastern Islands of Indonesia: An Overview  
of Development Needs and Potential  
—Brien K. Parkinson, January 1993
- No. 3 Rural Institutional Finance in Bangladesh  
and Nepal: Review and Agenda for Reforms  
—A.H.M.N. Chowdhury and Marcelia C. Garcia,  
November 1993
- No. 4 Fiscal Deficits and Current Account Imbalances  
of the South Pacific Countries:  
A Case Study of Vanuatu  
—T.K. Jayaraman, December 1993
- No. 5 Reforms in the Transitional Economies of Asia  
—Pradumna B. Rana, December 1993
- No. 6 Environmental Challenges in the People's Republic  
of China and Scope for Bank Assistance  
—Elisabetta Capannelli and Omkar L. Shrestha,  
December 1993
- No. 7 Sustainable Development Environment  
and Poverty Nexus  
—K.F. Jalal, December 1993
- No. 8 Intermediate Services and Economic  
Development: The Malaysian Example  
—Sutanu Behuria and Rahul Khullar, May 1994
- No. 9 Interest Rate Deregulation: A Brief Survey  
of the Policy Issues and the Asian Experience  
—Carlos J. Glower, July 1994
- No. 10 Some Aspects of Land Administration  
in Indonesia: Implications for Bank Operations  
—Sutanu Behuria, July 1994
- No. 11 Demographic and Socioeconomic Determinants  
of Contraceptive Use among Urban Women in  
the Melanesian Countries in the South Pacific:  
A Case Study of Port Vila Town in Vanuatu  
—T.K. Jayaraman, February 1995
- No. 12 Managing Development through  
Institution Building  
—Hilton L. Root, October 1995
- No. 13 Growth, Structural Change, and Optimal  
Poverty Interventions  
—Shiladitya Chatterjee, November 1995
- No. 14 Private Investment and Macroeconomic  
Environment in the South Pacific Island  
Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis  
—T.K. Jayaraman, October 1996
- No. 15 The Rural-Urban Transition in Viet Nam:  
Some Selected Issues  
—Sudipto Mundle and Brian Van Arkadie,  
October 1997
- No. 16 A New Approach to Setting the Future  
Transport Agenda  
—Roger Allport, Geoff Key, and Charles Melhuish  
June 1998
- No. 17 Adjustment and Distribution:  
The Indian Experience  
—Sudipto Mundle and V.B. Tulasidhar, June 1998
- No. 18 Tax Reforms in Viet Nam: A Selective Analysis  
—Sudipto Mundle, December 1998
- No. 19 Surges and Volatility of Private Capital Flows to  
Asian Developing Countries: Implications  
for Multilateral Development Banks  
—Pradumna B. Rana, December 1998
- No. 20 The Millennium Round and the Asian Economies:  
An Introduction  
—Dilip K. Das, October 1999
- No. 21 Occupational Segregation and the Gender  
Earnings Gap  
—Joseph E. Zveglic, Jr. and Yana van der Meulen  
Rodgers, December 1999
- No. 22 Information Technology: Next Locomotive of  
Growth?  
—Dilip K. Das, June 2000

## STATISTICAL REPORT SERIES (SR)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>No. 1 Estimates of the Total External Debt of the Developing Member Countries of ADB: 1981-1983<br/>—<i>I.P. David, September 1984</i></p> <p>No. 2 Multivariate Statistical and Graphical Classification Techniques Applied to the Problem of Grouping Countries<br/>—<i>I.P. David and D.S. Maligalig, March 1985</i></p> <p>No. 3 Gross National Product (GNP) Measurement Issues in South Pacific Developing Member Countries of ADB<br/>—<i>S.G. Tiwari, September 1985</i></p> <p>No. 4 Estimates of Comparable Savings in Selected DMCs<br/>—<i>Hananto Sigit, December 1985</i></p> <p>No. 5 Keeping Sample Survey Design and Analysis Simple<br/>—<i>I.P. David, December 1985</i></p> <p>No. 6 External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries<br/>—<i>I.P. David and Jungsoo Lee, March 1986</i></p> <p>No. 7 Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South Pacific Developing Member Countries. Part I: Existing National Accounts of SPDMCs—Analysis of Methodology and Application of SNA Concepts<br/>—<i>P. Hodgkinson, October 1986</i></p> <p>No. 8 Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South Pacific Developing Member Countries. Part II: Factors Affecting Inter-country Comparability of Per Capita GNP<br/>—<i>P. Hodgkinson, October 1986</i></p> | <p>No. 9 Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries, 1985<br/>—<i>Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1987</i></p> <p>No. 10 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries, 1986<br/>—<i>Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1988</i></p> <p>No. 11 Changing Pattern of Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries<br/>—<i>Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, March 1989</i></p> <p>No. 12 The State of Agricultural Statistics in Southeast Asia<br/>—<i>I.P. David, March 1989</i></p> <p>No. 13 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1987-1988<br/>—<i>Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, July 1989</i></p> <p>No. 14 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1988-1989<br/>—<i>Jungsoo Lee, May 1990</i></p> <p>No. 15 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1989-1992<br/>—<i>Min Tang, June 1991</i></p> <p>No. 16 Recent Trends and Prospects of External Debt Situation and Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries<br/>—<i>Min Tang and Aludia Pardo, June 1992</i></p> <p>No. 17 Purchasing Power Parity in Asian Developing Countries: A Co-Integration Test<br/>—<i>Min Tang and Ronald Q. Butiong, April 1994</i></p> <p>No. 18 Capital Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: Recent Trends and Future Prospects<br/>—<i>Min Tang and James Villafuerte, October 1995</i></p> |
|--|---|

## SPECIAL STUDIES, COMPLIMENTARY (SSC)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>1. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Overview <i>September 1985</i></p> <p>2. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Bangladesh <i>July 1986</i></p> <p>3. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Sri Lanka <i>April 1987</i></p> <p>4. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: India <i>December 1987</i></p> <p>5. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Overview <i>January 1988</i></p> <p>6. Study of Selected Industries: A Brief Report <i>April 1988</i></p> <p>7. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Bangladesh <i>June 1988</i></p> <p>8. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: India <i>June 1988</i></p> <p>9. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Indonesia <i>June 1988</i></p> <p>10. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Nepal <i>June 1988</i></p> <p>11. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Pakistan <i>June 1988</i></p> <p>12. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Philippines <i>June 1988</i></p> <p>13. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Thailand <i>June 1988</i></p> <p>14. Towards Regional Cooperation in South Asia: ADB/EWC Symposium on Regional Cooperation in South Asia <i>February 1988</i></p> <p>15. Evaluating Rice Market Intervention Policies: Some Asian Examples <i>April 1988</i></p> <p>16. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Nepal <i>November 1988</i></p> <p>17. Foreign Trade Barriers and Export Growth</p> | <p><i>September 1988</i></p> <p>18. The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Industries in the Industrial Development of the Philippines<br/><i>April 1989</i></p> <p>19. The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Industries in Industrial Development: The Experience of Selected Asian Countries<br/><i>January 1990</i></p> <p>20. National Accounts of Vanuatu, 1983-1987<br/><i>January 1990</i></p> <p>21. National Accounts of Western Samoa, 1984-1986<br/><i>February 1990</i></p> <p>22. Human Resource Policy and Economic Development: Selected Country Studies<br/><i>July 1990</i></p> <p>23. Export Finance: Some Asian Examples<br/><i>September 1990</i></p> <p>24. National Accounts of the Cook Islands, 1982-1986<br/><i>September 1990</i></p> <p>25. Framework for the Economic and Financial Appraisal of Urban Development Sector Projects <i>January 1994</i></p> <p>26. Framework and Criteria for the Appraisal and Socioeconomic Justification of Education Projects<br/><i>January 1994</i></p> <p>27. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects<br/><i>February 1997</i></p> <p>28. Investing in Asia<br/><i>1997</i></p> <p>29. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Telecommunication Projects<br/><i>1998</i></p> <p>30. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects<br/><i>1999</i></p> |
|--|--|



## SPECIAL STUDIES, ADB (SS, ADB)

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

1. Rural Poverty in Developing Asia  
*Edited by M.G. Quibria*  
Vol. 1: Bangladesh, India, and Sri Lanka, 1994  
\$35.00 (paperback)  
Vol. 2: Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Philippines, and Thailand, 1996  
\$35.00 (paperback)
2. External Shocks and Policy Adjustments: Lessons from the Gulf Crisis  
*Edited by Naved Hamid and Shahid N. Zahid, 1995*  
\$15.00 (paperback)
3. Gender Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries  
*Asian Development Bank, 1993*  
\$25.00 (paperback)
4. Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues  
*Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994*  
\$20.00 (paperback)
5. Indonesia-Malaysia-Thailand Growth Triangle: Theory to Practice  
*Edited by Myo Thant and Min Tang, 1996*  
\$15.00 (paperback)
6. Emerging Asia: Changes and Challenges  
*Asian Development Bank, 1997*  
\$30.00 (paperback)
7. Asian Exports  
*Edited by Dilip Das, 1999*  
\$35.00 (paperback)  
\$55.00 (hardbound)
8. Mortgage-Backed Securities Markets in Asia  
*Edited by S.Ghon Rhee & Yutaka Shimomoto, 1999*  
\$35.00 (paperback)
9. Corporate Governance and Finance in East Asia: A Study of Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand  
*J. Zhuang, David Edwards, D. Webb, & Ma. Virginita Capulong*  
Vol. 1, 2000 \$10.00 (paperback)  
Vol. 2, 2001 \$15.00 (paperback)
10. Financial Management and Governance Issues  
*Asian Development Bank, 2000*  
Cambodia \$10.00 (paperback)  
People's Republic of China \$10.00 (paperback)  
Mongolia \$10.00 (paperback)  
Pakistan \$10.00 (paperback)  
Papua New Guinea \$10.00 (paperback)  
Uzbekistan \$10.00 (paperback)  
Viet Nam \$10.00 (paperback)  
Selected Developing Member Countries \$10.00 (paperback)
11. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects  
*Asian Development Bank, 1997*  
\$10.00 (paperback)
12. Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects  
*Asian Development Bank, 1999*  
\$15.00 (hardbound)
13. Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects  
*Asian Development Bank, 2000*  
\$10.00 (paperback)

## SPECIAL STUDIES, OUP (SS,OUP)

(Co-published with Oxford University Press; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Associated Companies, and Agents)

1. Informal Finance: Some Findings from Asia  
*Prabhu Ghatte et. al., 1992*  
\$15.00 (paperback)
2. Mongolia: A Centrally Planned Economy in Transition  
*Asian Development Bank, 1992*  
\$15.00 (paperback)
3. Rural Poverty in Asia, Priority Issues and Policy Options  
*Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1994*  
\$25.00 (paperback)
4. Growth Triangles in Asia: A New Approach to Regional Economic Cooperation  
*Edited by Myo Thant, Min Tang, and Hiroshi Kakazu*  
1st ed., 1994 \$36.00 (hardbound)  
Revised ed., 1998 \$55.00 (hardbound)
5. Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues  
*Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994*  
\$18.00 (paperback)
6. Critical Issues in Asian Development: Theories, Experiences, and Policies  
*Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1995*  
\$15.00 (paperback)  
\$36.00 (hardbound)
7. From Centrally Planned to Market Economies: The Asian Approach  
*Edited by Pradumna B. Rana and Naved Hamid, 1995*  
Vol. 1: Overview  
\$36.00 (hardbound)  
Vol. 2: People's Republic of China and Mongolia  
\$50.00 (hardbound)  
Vol. 3: Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Viet Nam  
\$50.00 (hardbound)
8. Financial Sector Development in Asia  
*Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995*  
\$50.00 (hardbound)
9. Financial Sector Development in Asia: Country Studies  
*Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995*  
\$55.00 (hardbound)
10. Fiscal Management and Economic Reform in the People's Republic of China  
*Christine P.W. Wong, Christopher Heady, and Wing T. Woo, 1995*  
\$15.00 (paperback)
11. Current Issues in Economic Development: An Asian Perspective  
*Edited by M.G. Quibria and J. Malcolm Dowling, 1996*  
\$50.00 (hardbound)
12. The Bangladesh Economy in Transition  
*Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1997*  
\$20.00 (hardbound)
13. The Global Trading System and Developing Asia  
*Edited by Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria, and Narhari Rao, 1997*  
\$55.00 (hardbound)
14. Social Sector Issues in Transitional Economies of Asia  
*Edited by Douglas H. Brooks and Myo Thant, 1998*  
\$25.00 (paperback)  
\$55.00 (hardbound)
15. Rising to the Challenge in Asia: A Study of Financial Markets  
*Asian Development Bank, 1999*  
Vol. 1 \$20.00 (paperback)  
Vol. 2 \$15.00 (paperback)  
Vol. 3 \$25.00 (paperback)  
Vols. 4-12 \$20.00 (paperback)

## **SERIALS**

(Co-published with Oxford University Press; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Associated Companies, and Agents)

1. Asian Development Outlook (ADO; annual)  
*\$36.00 (paperback)*
2. Key Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries (KI; annual)  
*\$35.00 (paperback)*

## **JOURNAL**

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

1. Asian Development Review (ADR; semiannual)  
*\$5.00 per issue; \$8.00 per year (2 issues)*