

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Edmonds, Christopher M.

Working Paper The Role of Infrastructure in Land-use Dynamics and Rice Production in Viet Nam

ERD Working Paper Series, No. 16

Provided in Cooperation with: Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila

Suggested Citation: Edmonds, Christopher M. (2002) : The Role of Infrastructure in Land-use Dynamics and Rice Production in Viet Nam, ERD Working Paper Series, No. 16, Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila, https://hdl.handle.net/11540/1933

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/109237

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.







ERD WORKING PAPER SERIES NO. 16 ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

The Role of Infrastructure in Land-use Dynamics and Rice Production in Viet Nam's Mekong River Delta

Christopher Edmonds

July 2002

Asian Development Bank

ERD Working Paper No. 16 THE ROLE OF INFRASTRUCTURE IN LAND-USE DYNAMICS AND RICE PRODUCTION IN VIET NAM'S MEKONG RIVER DELTA

July 2002

Christopher Edmonds is an Economist with the Development Indicators and Policy Research Division of the Economics and Research Department, Asian Development Bank. The author acknowledges the close collaboration of S.P. Kam (GIS Specialist at the International Rice Research Institute) in GIS aspects of the research; H.C. Viet (Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Ho Chi Minh City) in the data collection and interpretation; and L. Villano (IRRI) in programming and research assistance. The support and assistance of several other individuals were important in enabling this research to be carried out, namely, from the Institute of Agricultural Sciences of Vietnam: Professors P.V. Bien, H.T. Quoc, and T.T. Khai; from IRRI: C.T. Hoanh and T.P. Tuong; from Can Tho University: V.Q. Minh; and from the Sub-Institute for Agricultural Planning and Projection: Dr. N.V. Nhan. Any errors are the sole the responsibility of the author. The research was funded in part by the Rockefeller Foundation Social Science Research in Agriculture Program. Asian Development Bank P.O. Box 789 0980 Manila Philippines

©2002 by Asian Development Bank July 2002 ISSN 1655-5252

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank.

Foreword

The ERD Working Paper Series is a forum for ongoing and recently completed research and policy studies undertaken in the Asian Development Bank or on its behalf. The Series is a quick-disseminating, informal publication meant to stimulate discussion and elicit feedback. Papers published under this Series could subsequently be revised for publication as articles in professional journals or chapters in books.

Contents

| | Abstract | vii |
|------|--|-----|
| I. | Introduction | 1 |
| II. | Description of the Study Area | 2 |
| III. | Land Use Model | 7 |
| IV. | Estimation Strategy and Results | 8 |
| V. | Simulation Model for Evaluation of Investments | 15 |
| VI. | Conclusion | 16 |
| | References | 17 |

Abstract

This study examines the role of infrastructure development and technical change in explaining increases in agricultural production and changes in land use in the Mekong Delta Region of Viet Nam during the mid-1990s. The study relies on econometric analysis of household-level longitudinal farm survey data covering about 150 farms from eight villages in the Mekong River Delta from 1994 to 1998. A model is developed that combines spatial factors in a neoclassical production framework to examine changes in land use and agricultural technology. Estimates make use of panel data estimation procedures that control for the effect of unobserved variables. Major findings emerging from the study are that the transportation costs involved in moving agricultural input and output between farms and markets significantly effect farm land use and production decisions. Greater transport costs reduced the likelihood that farms adopt intensive cropping patterns or cultivate nonrice crops. Improvements in roads and waterways both reduce transport costs in the area. Results suggest the quality of local water management infrastructure is much more important than transport costs in explaining the increased intensity of land use and level of production observed in the Mekong Delta during the 1990s. A simulation model is developed to highlight the implications of findings for policy aiming to increase rice production or alter land use in the Mekong Delta in the future. Unfortunately, lack of information on the costs of alternative infrastructure investments limits the policy conclusions that can be drawn from the study.

I. INTRODUCTION

The increase in rice production in Viet Nam during the 1990s represents one of the recent success stories of Asian agricultural development. The increase in national production took the country from having a large deficit between rice demand and supply to becoming the third largest rice exporter worldwide. This expansion contributed to the country's high rate of GNP growth by providing urban areas with cheap food and generating foreign exchange earnings. Increases in rice production in the Mekong River Delta, which supplies about half of Viet Nam's total rice production, averaged about 6.3 percent per year during the 1990s according to official statistics. Although the rapid growth in rice production in Viet Nam is widely known, there have been few studies of the changes in market and physical infrastructure that prompted farm-level changes in rice production techniques and land use, and led to the production increases.

Both biophysical and socioeconomic constraints influence land use decisions and limit the production activities of farming families in the Mekong River Delta. Infrastructure development and changes in economic policies modify both types of constraints. This makes understanding these constraints essential to developing technologies and advising on policies to increase agricultural production and spur economic development in the region. Integration of traditional econometric techniques with data organized in a geographic information system (GIS) offers a promising method for modeling constraints. This paper reviews a microeconomic model developed to explore the relationship between biophysical and socioeconomic characteristics and to derive hypotheses concerning the importance of local infrastructure development, market expansion, new technology adoption, and changes in input application in the mid-1990s in explaining production changes observed in the Mekong Delta. Hypotheses are examined using available data. Different areas in the Delta can be understood as being emblematic of different levels of agricultural development in the transition from rainfed to irrigated rice agriculture. This makes it a useful case to study, and findings carry implications for other areas in Asia making the transition between rainfed and irrigated agriculture.

This paper begins by characterizing the changes in the agricultural environment and the household-level responses to these changes as captured in farm survey, GIS, and provincial level statistics. Two important developments in the study area during the 1990s were the "deepening" and geographic extension of market reforms started in 1988, and the installation of new water control and transport infrastructure. This latter development increased both the area protected from saline water intrusion and the reach of irrigation for dry season rice cultivation. The major changes in policies, institutions, and infrastructure relevant to rice agriculture during the 1990s are also briefly considered. Our review of the biophysical characteristics of surveyed villages relied on GIS data compiled by the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) and collaborating research

institutions in Viet Nam. We capture farm-level changes in rice output and land use from a longitudinal household survey (1994 to 1997). The survey data were collected by the Institute of Agricultural Sciences (IAS) of Viet Nam and Unité d'Economie Générale, Faculté Universitaire des Sciences Agronomiques de Gembloux, Belgique, for a separate study of rice marketing channels in southern Viet Nam. In our estimates, we use data covering 149 farms from eight villages in three Mekong River Delta provinces. Because of nonreporting of some villages and to a lesser extent farm attrition from the survey, the sample size varies over time. Sampled villages represent a range of agroecological and production situations.

The paper presents a model that combines spatial factors in a neoclassical production framework to examine changes in land use and agricultural technology that led to the increased output. Estimable forms of the production, land use, and revenue functions implied by the model are derived. Econometric models make use of panel data estimation procedures that control for the effect of unobserved variables. Estimations on single years of the survey use instrumental variable and system of equation estimators to correct for endogeneity bias in estimates of the effect of variables that are simultaneously determined with the outcomes of interest (e.g., cropping intensity, choice, and production level). The paper concludes by discussing estimation results. A simulation model is developed to highlight policy implications of findings.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA

The study area was characterized according to the following biophysical variables: location of rice producers and accessibility of markets, soils, rainfall and temperatures, and seasonal flooding and saltwater intrusion on farmland. Figure 1 in the Appendix superimposes land use as reported by farms in the eight surveyed villages on a land use map for the Mekong Delta (circa 1996). The figure indicates the high correspondence between farm land use captured from remote sensing presented on the map and that reported by farms completing the longitudinal survey. The map also describes how land use in the surveyed villages changed over time.

Beginning in 1988 with the adoption of Resolution 10 by the Politburo, Viet Nam undertook an ambitious program of decollectivizing its agriculture and liberalizing agricultural markets. Resolution 10 established farm households as autonomous economic entities in rural areas, and farms were permitted to own capital and land. Land formerly held in agricultural cooperatives was assigned to individual farms under long-term lease agreements. Because agricultural cooperatives functioned largely as a legal formality in the Mekong River Delta region where household farms were the *ex facto* productive unit earlier, the effect of Resolution 10 in that region was lesser here than in other regions of Viet Nam.

In 1993, the Seventh Party Congress adopted Resolution 5 and the Road to Industrialization, which strengthen earlier reforms and adopted measures to promote rural industry and migration of workers out of employment in traditional agriculture. Investments in technology transfer (particularly in dissemination of higher yielding varieties) and water management infrastructure



Source: Base land use map from V.Q. Minh, Soil Science Department, College of Agriculture, Can Tho University, Vietnam; villages, roads, and village-level land use trends by the author.

spurred continued rice production increases. Terms of land leases were lengthened, and farms were given the right to exchange, transfer, lease, inherit, and mortgage land. Resolution 5 also sought to renovate and modernize remaining agricultural cooperatives and state-owned industries.

Accompanying economic reforms lowered trade restrictions (although export quotas on rice remained) and devalued the national currency during this same period. Price controls were gradually relaxed on selected inputs and products over the course of the 1990s, and new agricultural firms entered into input and output markets. Marketing channels expanded to more remote rural areas under more competitive conditions than existed previously. The prices of rice and the major chemical inputs to rice production evolved as a result of these market changes, and changes in world price and local supply and demand. The years covered by the panel survey used in this survey were marked by large increases in rice production and in rice exports. The number of firms permitted to export rice increased and some glutting of the market led to real price declines in rice during 1996 and the first half of 1997, but the government subsequently increased regulation of the operations of rice exporters, leading to increases in prices despite continued growth in production.

Accessibility to markets plays a key role in determining the land use and rice-cropping intensity adopted by farms. Accessibility indicators were calculated for the eight surveyed villages based on travel distances and times between single markets (the nearest local market to the farm and Ho Chi Minh City) and average distances/times for transport between the farm and all surrounding markets. Indicators can be divided into two groups: Those that consider accessibility from the supply perspective, (i.e., service areas from the point of view of a facility, such as the serviceable area of a tube well), and measures of accessibility from the demand perspective. This study focused on accessibility from the demand perspective (i.e., the ease of reaching or accessing services, economic and social opportunities by a user, or how many markets are within a given travel time or travel effort). Particular emphasis was placed on the issue of physical accessibility as a measure of the degree of market integration and its influence on the economics of agricultural production.

Spatial economic models emphasize the importance of the spatial location of economic agents relative to market centers, economic infrastructure, and to one another in determining the economic activities pursued by the agents. They offer a good framework for considering the effects on land use of the biophysical characteristics and changes in such characteristics due to infrastructure development. Accessibility indicators included in the model are used to predict farmers' land use and production decisions.

Survey and secondary data (official statistical and information generated using GIS) are used to characterize the demographic characteristics and resource endowments of surveyed farms, and to examine changes in agricultural and market development in the Mekong River Delta in the 1990s. Table 1 summarizes selected descriptive statistics from the database used in this study.

| | | 1994 (1 | N=89) | 1995 (N | I=149) | 1996 (N | =122) | 1997 (N | =105) | All y | years |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|-------|----------------|--|---|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Variable | Units | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | Min. | Max. |
| Number of reporting villages | | 6 of | 10 | 10 o | f 10 | 8 of | 10 | 9 of | 10 | | |
| Household demographic charac | teristics | | | | | | | | | | |
| Years since family settled in area ¹ Age of the head of household ¹ Most educated HH member (primary) ¹ | years years 0/1 dummy | | | | | 42.3 53.0 0.29 | 19.2 15.4 | | 0 | 1 21 1 | 85 85 |
| Most educated member (secondary) ¹ | 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.23 | _ | | Ū | 0 | 1 |
| Most educated member (post-seconndary) ¹ | 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.04 | _ | | 0 | 1 | |
| household Land/Labor ratio in | individuals | 4.7 | 1.52 | 5.8 | 1.79 | 5.8 | 1.71 | 5.6 | 1.66 | 2 | 13 |
| household | has./workers | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0 | 3.96 |
| Landholding and biophysical ci | haracteristics | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total land owned by farm Farming plots cultivated | hectares | 0.91 | 0.63 | 1.22 | 0.79 | 1.15 | 0.70 | 1.07 | 0.64 | 0.13 | 4 |
| Quality adjusted landholding | quality adj. has | . 1.14 | 1.11 | 1.5 | 1.92 | 1.30 | 1.81 | 1.34 | 1.91 | 0.04 | 17.84 |
| Alluvial soil ² Medium-slightly acid sulfate soil ² | 0/1 dummy 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.51 0.10 | _ | | | 0 | 1 |
| Saline soils with dry season saltwater 2 | 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.21 | _ | | 0 | 1 | |
| Rice production, marketing, and | d land use | | | | | | | | | | |
| Paddy yield during winter-spring Area cultivated to rice | kilos/hectare | 3841 | 1382 | 5288 | 1490 | 5670 | 1073 | 5023 | 1377 | 1053 | 9000 |
| autumn-winter | hectares | 0.88 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 0.92 | 0.62 | 0.79 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 3.5 |
| in province Rice cropping intensity | 1000 m. tons number | 1.8 | 0.79 | $10529 \\ 1.9$ | $\begin{array}{c} 5430\\ 0.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 13792\\ 1.9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 3615\\ 0.76\end{array}$ | $11539 \\ 2.1$ | 5939 0.58 | 0 0 | $ 18032 \\ 3 $ |
| crop Paddy sold by form during | 0/1 dummy | 0.12 | _ | 0.55 | | 0.59 | _ | 0.56 | _ | 0 | 1 |
| year | kilos | — | _ | 5459 | 5901 | 5541 | 5486 | 5405 | 5106 | 0 | 32060 |
| Average sale price of paddy during year ³ | '97 \$US/kilo | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.30 |
| price during year | '97 \$US/kilo | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.20 |

Table 1. Sample Means from Data Set Used in Study

(continued)

Table 1. (cont'd.)

| | | 1994 (] | N=89) | 1995 (N | N=149) | 1996 (N | I=122) | 1997 (N | N=105) | All y | years |
|---|----------------|---------|-------|---------|--------|---------|----------------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
| Variable | Units | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | Min. | Max. |
| Agricultural technology, practic | es, and inputs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Traditional nonglutinous rice | 0/1 dummy | 0.18 | _ | 0.11 | _ | 0.11 | _ | 0.07 | _ | 0 | 1 |
| Modern short-duration | 0/1 | 0.90 | | 0.25 | | 0.97 | | 0 49 | | 0 | 1 |
| Modern medium/long-duration | 0/1 dummy | 0.20 | _ | 0.55 | _ | 0.57 | | 0.45 | | 0 | 1 |
| nonglutinous | 0/1 dummy | 0.52 | _ | 0.40 | _ | 0.34 | _ | 0.24 | | 0 | 1 |
| Urea per hectare year | | | | | | | | | | | |
| average | kilos/hectare | 160 | 87 | 160 | 74 | 169 | 71 | 149 | 73 | 0 | 533 |
| vearly average) | '97 \$US/kilo | 0 244 | 0.028 | 0 236 | 0.022 | 0.248 | 0.016 | 0 219 | 0.023 | 0 123 | 0 337 |
| Local market price urea | οι φου/Μισ | 0.211 | 0.020 | 0.200 | 0.011 | 0.210 | 0.010 | 0.210 | 0.020 | 0.120 | 0.001 |
| (yearly average) | '97 \$US/kilo | 0.35 | 0.009 | 0.302 | 0.005 | 0.232 | 0.014 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.36 |
| No mechanized tractor used ¹ | 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.3 | | | | 0 | 1 |
| Whether homestead with | 0/1 1 | | | | | 0.9 | | | | 0 | 1 |
| dry. court | 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.3 | | | | 0 | 1 |
| Water management infrastructi | ıre (water) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Land leveling carried out | | | | | | | | | | | |
| on farm | 0/1 dummy | 0.38 | | 0.28 | _ | 0.29 | | 0.38 | | 0 | 1 |
| Dike constructed by farm | 0/1 dummy | 0.48 | | 0.36 | _ | 0.34 | | 0.39 | | 0 | 1 |
| Interpolated annual rainfall | | | | | | | | | | | |
| at village | millimeters | 1251 | 66 | 1616 | 216 | 1863 | 107 | 1513 | 145 | 1174 | 2076 |
| Flooding .5-1 m. lasting | 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.1 | | | | 0 | 1 |
| Brackish (>4g/l) | 0/1 duilility | | | | | 0.1 | | | | 0 | T |
| water > 6 months ² | 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.1 | | | | 0 | 1 |
| Rainfed farm (no irrigation) ² | 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.1 | _ | | | 0 | 1 |
| Limited irrigation available ² | 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.1 | _ | | | 0 | 1 |
| Reliable irrigation on farm ² | 0/1 dummy | | | | | 0.6 | — | | | 0 | 1 |
| Market accessibility and travel | distances | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distance to nearest local | uisiunces | | | | | | | | | | |
| market ² | kilometers | | | | | 19.8 | 4.6 | | | 12 | 28 |
| Accessibility index distance | | | | | | | | | | | |
| nearest market ² | kilometers | | | | | 19.5 | 4.7 | | | 11 | 28 |
| Accessibility index time all | | | | | | 120.9 | 70.0 | | | E 1 | 955 |
| | minutes | | | | | 130.2 | 70.2 | | | 51 | 299 |

Notes: 1 Figures reported come from: (i) a baseline survey that did not include all households later interviewed for the longitudinal survey, and (ii) from interpolation or overlay of values generated from GIS coverages. Number of observations for particular variables can vary from general sample sizes reported. ² Soil, water availability, and accessibility measures where derived from GIS coverages available in Mekong Delta Provinces only. ³ Calculated as the weighted average (by quantity of rice sold) sale price of rice reported by surveyed farms.

III. LAND USE MODEL

Building upon a von Thünen type framework and the work of Chomitz and Gray (1995), the effect of travel distances between farms and markets on cropping patterns and land use intensity of farms are modeled. Model formulation begins with the assumption that farmers will use land for the activity that generates the highest rent given the physical characteristics of the plot (local climate, basis of land tenure, labor available for farming), and farm-gate input and output prices that depend upon the cost of transport. A revenue function for each alternative use of the plot can then be defined.

$$R_{ik} = P_{ik}Q_{ik}(P_{ik}, C_{ik}, Z_i) - C_{ik}X_{ik}(P_{ik}, C_{ik}) + u_{ik}$$
(1)

where R_{ik} gives the rent on plot/point *i* in use *k*

 P_{ik} is the price of output/crop k at plot/point i (farm gate price of k)

 C_{ik} is a vector of prices of inputs needed for production of crop k at plot/point i

 $Z_i\,$ is a vector of fixed characteristics of the plot that influence the land's production efficiency in use $k\,$

 X_{ik} is the optimal input level for production of crop k per unit at land at point i Q_{ik} is the potential output of crop k at plot/point i (potential production) u_{ik} is a random disturbance term.

The prices of inputs and outputs in the revenue equation depend upon the distance of the farm from the market. It is assumed that the prices of inputs increase, and the prices farms can obtain for output decrease, as farms move further away from markets.

A functional relationship between the level of input applied to farming and the amount of output produced by the farm is specified. The level of output produced depends upon input levels, agroclimatic conditions, and other fixed land characteristics. Using the production function and the expressions for net revenue associated with cultivation of each crop, the relationships between the factors determining net revenue and production and the demand for inputs by the farm can be derived. The demand for inputs for crop k cultivated at location i is a function of the cost of the inputs, the farm gate price of the output, the characteristics of the plot, and the efficiency of production of crop k on the plot.

Using the expressions for input demand, production, and the effect of travel distances on revenues, an expression for the net returns associated with cultivation of crop k on parcel i that incorporates the effects of travel cost and the production technology of the farm is defined. Two travel distances are considered in the model. D_i is the distance between the homestead and the farming plot or plots operated by the family, while T_i is the average distance between the homestead and the input/output market(s) accessible to the farm. Both distances are relevant in the model since various inputs used in farming (e.g., labor, fertilizer, seed, etc.) and the outputs produced are transported between homesteads, farm plots, and markets over the course of a production

season. The expression generates the hypothesis that the likelihood a plot will be applied to cultivation of a particular crop, and its intensity of use, will fall as the distance between the plot and the output/ input market increases. At the extreme, very distant plots will not be cultivated, while plots located closest to markets are expected to be used for intensive commercial farming.

An expression for net revenue from cultivation of crop k on plot i, which is amenable to estimation from earlier equations can then be formed:

$$ln(R_{ik}) = a_{0k} + a_{1k}ln(D_i) + a_{2k}ln(T_i) + a_{3k}ln(z_{1i}) + a_{4k}ln(z_{2i}) + \dots + a_{Nk}ln(z_{Li}) + u_{ik}$$
(2)

Adding technical assumptions concerning the distribution of error terms (u_{ik}) and the correlation of errors, the probability of any crop k being cultivated on plot i can be assumed to be distributed according to the multinomial logit distribution. This provides the basis for using the multinomial logit model in empirical tests of the model. If one is able to rank the alternative land uses—as is possible when the sample is limited to farms cultivating rice and the model is applied to explain rice cropping intensity—the model can be modified to take the form of an ordered logit model.

Under the model, the coefficients on distances $(D_i \text{ and } T_i)$ are expected to be negative, while those on productivity-enhancing land characteristics (s_{ik}) are expected to have a positive sign. The magnitude of the estimation coefficients will depend upon per unit costs of transportation of different crops and the relevance of a particular land characteristic to the production of a particular crop. Whether the crop being cultivated on the plot is destined for commercial or subsistence use will also affect the influence of distance on the likelihood that a particular crop is produced and its cropping intensity—subsistence crop production being less influenced by distance.

IV. ESTIMATION STRATEGY AND RESULTS

The model provides the basic framework applied in analyzing farm survey data, and establishes the multinomial logit and ordered probit estimators as appropriate for estimating land use and cropping intensity. The form of the estimation equation is given by equation (2) above. The key variables of interest in estimates are the distances between the homestead and the farming plots, and the distances between the homestead and markets accessible to the farm. The effect of farm accessibility to markets would be expected to have its greatest effect on farm commercial cultivation of perishable crops such as fruits or vegetables and the cropping intensity and inputs applied.

The exogenous or predetermined z variables in equation (2) are other household or farm characteristics expected to influence household land use decisions, and include characteristics of the biophysical environment where farms are located, family characteristics, and variables capturing market conditions in surveyed villages. Standard microeconomic production and supply analysis also guides the selection of variables and our expectations regarding their signs, but these are not reviewed in the interest of brevity. Different sets of right hand side variables are employed in estimates, depending upon the relevance of variables to the left hand side variable. In some estimates, the number of right hand side variables had to be reduced in order for the estimator to solve. These difficulties resulted from missing data and the relatively small sample size of the panel survey.

Estimates use both cross-section- and panel data-based estimation procedures. Panel data estimation procedures provide more robust estimates because they can account for the effect of unobserved variables and have the potential to measure more precisely the effect of changes in explanatory variables. The empirical analysis also uses cross-sectional data-based estimators for two reasons. Panel data estimators cannot accommodate the use of time invariant right hand side variables in estimation equations, and many of the right hand side variables of interest were invariant or observed only a single time during the years of the survey.

In the estimates, cropping patterns and land uses are defined by cardinal rankings (e.g., monocropping, double cropping) and according to the type of crop cultivated. Crops are divided into broad categories: (i) rice; (ii) upland row crops (e.g., sugarcane, potato, vegetables); and (iii) fruit trees or perennial fruit crops (e.g., dragon fruit) or trees maintained by farms for wood (e.g., eucalyptus). In order to apply panel data estimators, it is necessary to define cropping patterns and land use intensity as binary outcomes.

Table 2 reports the results of three estimations that used a random effects probit estimation procedure: (i), farm cultivation of nonrice crops, (ii) farm cultivation of fruit trees or other perennial crops on its land, and (iii) cultivation of two or three rice crops per year. Because household-specific error terms are included in the models, the number of right hand side variables that could be considered in panel estimates was limited. The variables considered are: the on-farm land-labor ratio (acres per full-time equivalent family worker), age of the head of household, rice variety cultivated, and farm investment in dikes or land leveling. It is expected that households with lower land-labor ratios are more likely to farm land more intensively. Older farm operators and farmers with lower levels of educational attainment are expected to be more traditional and hesitant to adopt new technologies. The rice variety planted by farms clearly influences the feasible cropping intensity. Dummy variables are used to define farms growing short-duration, modern varieties and medium- or long-duration varieties. Because rice variety choice is endogenous with the choice of cropping pattern, estimates are open to endogeneity bias under the present specification. Unfortunately, data needed for suitable estimation procedures to control for endogeneity could not be identified. The parameter Rho indicates the significance of farm specific error estimates.

The three models were each highly statistically significant. Several measures of the overall performance of the models in explaining land use are shown at the bottom of Table 2. Psuedo- R^2 measures vary between 44.7 and 6.4 percent across measures and models. Lastly, the table reports the share of land use categories correctly predicted by each model, and the distribution of actual versus predicted land use. This shows all three models performed well, predicting farm's land use decisions correctly.

| LHS/Dependent Variable | | | Cult | tivates | | | Farm fruit | cultiv. | | | Far | m trij | ple |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|------|----------------|----|-----|---------------|----------|----|----|-----|--------------------|-----|
| Estimation coefficient | | | cr | op(s) | | | tr | rees | | | (vs | 2 ric | e) |
| (Standard error of estimate) | | | 199 | 94-97 | | | 199 | 94-97 | | | 1 | 994-97 | 7 |
| (| | | (N= | =436) | | | (N= | =436) | | | (1 | V=354 |) |
| Land-labor ratio on farm | | | - | 1.058 * | | | (|).056 | | | -(|).365 | *** |
| (hectares per HH laborer) | | | (| 0.578 | | | (| 0.591 | | | (|).153 | |
| Age of the household head | | | -(| 0.050 * | ** | | (| 0.014 ** | | | -(| 0.003 | |
| | | | (| 0.014 | | | (| 0.007 | | | (| 0.002 | |
| Cultivated short-duration | | | -(| 0.131 | | | -(| 0.018 | | | (| 0.088 | |
| modern varieties of rice | | | (| 0.670 | | | (| 0.452 | | | (| 0.156 | |
| Cultivated medium- or long- | | | 4 | 2.221 * | ** | | -(|).334 | | | (|).846 | *** |
| duration modern rice varieties | 8 | | (| 0.775 | | | (|).364 | | | (| 0.172 | |
| Farm invested in land leveling | g or | | -(| 0.116 | | | -(|).902 ** | | | (| 0.068 | |
| other soil improvement | - | | (| 0.467 | | | (|).450 | | | (|).148 | |
| Farm invested in dike constru | ıct- | | (| 0.646 * | | | -(|).769 * | | | (| 0.080 | |
| ion or other water manageme | nt | | (| 0.381 | | | (|).449 | | | (|).149 | |
| Rho | | | (| 0.787 * | ** | | (|).970 ** | * | | (|).812 [°] | *** |
| | | | (| 0.225 | | | (| 0.081 | | | (| 0.125 | |
| Goodness of fit diagnostics: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C | Pseudo R ² : Cragg-Uhler | | (| 0.130 | | | (|).447 | | | (|).117 | |
| | Maddela | | (| 0.064 | | | (| 0.317 | | | (| 0.079 | |
| | McFadden | | (| 0.098 | | | (|).309 | | | (| 0.073 | |
| Likelihood ratio (X^2) test | | | 28 | 8.693 * | ** | | 166 | 3.549 ** | * | | 3 | 5.846 | *** |
| [degrees of freedom] | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| - 0 | Pct. correctly predicted | l | (| 0.842 | | | (| 0.672 | | | (|).624 | |
| | Actual/Predicted | 1 (|) 1 | tot. | | | 0 1 | tot. | | (|) 1 | tot. | |
| | 0 | 342 | 17 | 359 | | 107 | 126 | 233 | 1 | 36 | 39 | 175 | |
| | 1 | 52 | 25 | 77 | | 66 | 137 | 203 | | 94 | 85 | 179 | |
| | total | 394 | 42 | 436 | | 173 | 263 | 436 | 23 | 0 | 124 | 354 | |

Table 2. Summary of Estimates of Land Use (Panel Data Estimators)

Notes:

*** estimated coefficient is statistically significant at a 99% confidence level

** estimated coefficient is statistically significant at a 95% confidence level

* estimated coefficient is statistically significant at a 90% confidence level

Estimates used the random effects probit estimator for panel data.

The estimates of whether the farm cultivated a nonrice crop show that the land-labor ratio and the head of household's age both had statistically significant negative affects on the probability that the farm cultivated a crop besides rice. Use of medium- or long-duration rice varieties and farm investments in water management infrastructure were found to increase significantly the likelihood of farm cultivation of a nonrice crop.¹ The estimated marginal effect of a one percent

¹ The random effects probit estimator is nonlinear, so estimation coefficients cannot be interpreted directly. The marginal effect of a change in a right hand side variable on the probability that a farm chose a particular land use at the mean values of the right hand side variables must be estimated using an approximation algorithm (see Greene 2000).

increase in the land-labor ratio of farms is a reduction of 4.0 percent in the likelihood that the farm cultivated more than a single crop per year. An increase of ten years in the age of the household head was associated with only a 0.2 percent decrease in the likelihood the farm cultivated a nonrice crop. Farm use of medium- or long-duration modern rice was associated with an 8.4 percent increase in the likelihood the farm grew a crop besides rice. The signs of the estimation coefficients are consistent with the expected signs outline in the previous section of the report.

Farm-level investments in land leveling and water management were estimated to have a statistically significant effect on the likelihood that the farm cultivated tree crops, while older farm operators where significantly more likely to cultivate tree crops. Farms that invested in land leveling or other soil improvement or in water management infrastructure were, respectively, 35.9 and 30.6 percent less likely to cultivate a tree crop. The negative effect of the investments to improve the farm on tree crop cultivation is consistent with the understanding that such investments act as substitute responses to tree crop cultivation in addressing water scarcity and poor soil quality.

Farms with a large amount of land per family worker were significantly less likely to cultivate three rice crops. A one percent increase in the land-to-labor ratio was associated with a 14.6 percent decrease in the likelihood of triple cropping. Farm use of medium- or long-duration varieties of rice was also found to have a positive statistically significant effect on the likelihood of triple cropping, although—surprisinging—use of short-duration varieties did not. Farms planting medium-or long-duration varieties were 33.7 percent more likely to grow three crops of rice a year.

To summarize the discussion of Table 2, across these estimates it was found that farm size, particularly the relative abundance or scarcity of family agricultural labor in relation to the land operated by the farm, plays an important role in driving farm land use as expected. Farms with scarce labor relative to their farm size are less likely to cultivate land intensively. The choice of rice variety and corresponding crop maturation period of chosen varieties is closely related to broader land use choices of farms. Finally, investments in farm- or plot-level improvements in water management were also clearly linked to land use choices. One of the benefits of dike construction appears to be the opportunities it creates for farms to cultivate nonrice crops. In the absence of such investments, farms appeared to adopt land use options (i.e., fruit trees and other perennial crops) with greater immunity to the effects of poor water management. Lastly, the statistical significance of the estimation parameter *Rho* suggests that unobserved farm characteristics significantly influence land use choices, which underscores the complexity and idiosyncrasy of the land use choices of farms.

Measures of market accessibility and variables characterizing biophysical conditions in the surveyed villages used in estimates were fixed over time or observed at only a single point in time. This makes it impossible to examine the principal hypotheses of the model related to these variables using the panel estimators. Instead, cross-sectional estimates of cropping patterns and rice cropping intensity are used to estimate the effect of time invariant regressors. Rice cropping intensity is a categorical variable where the categories have a natural ordering, so an ordered probit estimator is used. Rice cropping intensity estimates are significant overall in each of the four years, according to the goodness of fit measures reported on Table 3. Variables of particular interest in estimates are the measures of the distance between farming villages and the average travel time to all local markets, and the distance between homesteads and the plot or plots cultivated. The greater these distances, the lower the likely rice cropping intensity to be adopted by the farm. Estimation results

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----|----------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|----|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|----|
| Left-hand side/dependent | ot | | cı ir | Ric ropp iten | e- oing sity 048 | | | F cro int | Rice- oppin ensi | ng Ity 5a | | | R croj inte | ice- opin onsit | lg ty | | | F cro int | lice ppi ensi | ng ity 7a | |
| (estimated standard error) | 10 | | (| N = | 60) | | (N = 114) | | | | | (N = 114) | | | | | (N = 77) | | | 77) | |
| Constant | | | , | 7 28 | 73 | | | _17 | 884 | 1*** | | | 16 | 590 |)*** | | | _34 | 870 |)*** | - |
| Constant | | | (5 | 5.55 | 89) | | | (4 | .217 | 7) | | | (4 | .678 | , 3) | | | (11 | .088 | , 3) | |
| Average distance between | | | (| 0.00 | 11 | | | _0 | .131 | Ĺ | | | _0 | .062 | Ś | | | -0 | .025 | í | |
| homestead and plot or plots | S | | ((|).11 | 83) | | | (0 | .138 | 3) | | | (0 | .139 |)) | | | (0 | 185 | i) | |
| Average travel time to all | | | (| 0.03 | 23 | | | -0 | .041 | L | | | 0 | .020 |)*** | | | -0 | .060 |)*** | |
| accessible local markets | | | ((|).03 | 33) | | | (0 | .011 |) | | | (0 | .007 | 7) | | | (0 | .027 |) | |
| Land-labor ratio on farm | | | (| 0.03 | 08 | | | 0 | .689 |) | | | 0 | .356 | 6 | | | -0 | 100 |) | |
| (hectares/household labore | r) | | ((|).99 | 18) | | | (0 | .521 |) | | | (0 | .464 | L) | | | (0 | .834 |) | |
| Years since family settled in | | | (| 0.00 | 64 | | | -0 | .007 | 7 | | | -0 | .003 | 3 | | | -0 | .012 | 2 | |
| current place of residence | | | ((| 0.01 | 10) | | | (0 | .007 | 7) | | | (0 | .007 | 7) | | | (0 | 014 |) | |
| Maximum educational attain | ment | | (| 0.00 | 20 | | | 0 | .311 | L | | | 0 | .071 | <u>_</u> | | | 0 | 526 | ; | |
| of any family member | _ | | (0.5324) | | | | (0.296) | | | | | (0.294) | | | | | (0.461) | | |) | |
| Whether farm served by good | d- | | 2 | 2.20 | 76 | | | 2 | .053 | 3*** | | 4.266 | | | | | 4.704^{***} | | | | |
| quality water control system | m | | (] | 1.51 | 33) | | | (0 | .466 | 3) | | (0.843) | | | | | (1.668) | | | | |
| Annual precipitation at | | | -(| 0.00 | 91 | | | 0 | .013 | 3 | | -0.011 | | | | | (0.025) | | | | |
| locality where farm is locat | ed | | ((|).00 | 56) | | | (0 | .003 | }) *** | | | (0 | .005 | }) *** | | | (0) | .008 | S) ·** | |
| Mu(1) | | | (|).32 | 67 | | | 2 | .208 | 3 | | | 1 | .801 | | | | 4 | .765 |) | |
| | | | ((|).14 | 87) | | | (0 | .330 |)) | | | (0 | .305 |)) | | | (2 | 182 | () | |
| Goodness of fit diagnostics: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pseudo \mathbb{R}^2 : | Cragg-Uhler | | (|).43 | 2 | | | 0 | .633 | 3 | | | 0 | .521 | _ | | | 0 | 768 | ; | |
| | Maddela | | (|).36 | 1 | | | 0 | .555 | 5 | | | 0 | .460 |) | | | 0 | .654 | - | |
| | McFadden | | (|).24 | 8 | | | 0 | .386 | 3 | | | 0 | .288 | 3 | | | 0 | 556 | ; | |
| Likelihood ratio (X^2) test | | | 26 | 3.86 | 2^{***} | | | 92 | .256 |)*** | | | 70 | .189 |)*** | | | 81 | 707 | *** | |
| (degrees of freedom) | | | 7 | 7 | | | | 7 | | | | | 7 | | | | | 7 | | | |
| % cor | rectly predicted | | (|).80 | 0 | | | 0 | .719 |) | | | 0 | .632 | 2 | | | 0 | 805 | 5 | |
| 1 | Actual/predicted | | 0 | 1 | 2 To | ot. | | 0 | 1 2 | Tot | 5. | | 0 | 1 2 | Tot | t. | | 0 | $1 \ 2$ | Tot | t. |
| | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | | 21 | 12 | 0 | 33 | | 18 | 13 | 0 | 31 | | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 | |
| | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 | | 4 | 45 | 6 | 55 | | 5 | 35 | 11 | 51 | | 2 | 38 | 5 | 45 | |
| | 2 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 16 | | 0 | 10 | 16 | 26 | | 0 | 13 | 19 | 32 | | 0 | 2 | 18 | 20 | |
| | Total | 48 | 0 | 12 | 60 | | 25 | 67 | 30 | 114 | | 23 | 61 | 30 | 114 | - | 8 | 46 | 23 | 77 | _ |

Table 3. Summary of Estimates of Rice-cropping Intensity

^aModel estimated using the ordered probit estimator.

*** = estimated coefficient statistically significant at 99% confidence level,

** = estimated coefficient statistically significant at 95% confidence level,

* = estimated coefficient statistically significant at 90% confidence level.

generally support the model's hypotheses. Greater distances between farms and markets were associated with a reduced probability of intensive rice cultivation by the farm in 1995 and 1997, and estimated parameters were highly statistically significant. According to 1995 estimation results, a ten minute increase in the average travel time between the farm and available local markets was associated with 14 and 21 percent decreases in the probability of cultivating two and three crops during the year, respectively. The distance between farms and local markets in 1996 had a positive and statistically significant effect on rice cropping intensity. This result appears to be related to the heavy rains and the sample of villages surveyed that year. The distance between plots and homesteads had a negative, but not statistically significant effect on rice cropping intensity in 1995 through 1997.

The availability of low-saline irrigation water to farms had a positive and statistically significant effect on the intensity of land use in all estimates. The magnitude of the effect of highquality irrigation on cropping intensity was much greater than the effects of other explanatory variables included in the model. Rainfall levels had mixed effects on the cropping intensity of surveyed farms. In years with normal to high rainfall, increased rain was associated with increased cropping intensity. Rains in 1996 were particularly heavy and higher rainfall in that year was associated with significantly reduced levels of cropping intensity among surveyed farms likely due to flooding problems associated with the heavy rains. Results show rice variety selection was clearly linked to cropping intensity, with the adoption of modern, short-duration rice varieties enabling more intensive rice cultivation by farm. Farm-level investments in land leveling or dike construction increased the likelihood that farms adopted intensive rice agriculture. Other variables such as the level of education in the household, the age of the household head, or the farming experience of the family did not have consistent statistically significant effects.

Rice production estimates explained most of the observed variation in the levels of rice output across surveyed farms. Results of production function estimates, which are used in the simulation model discussed next, are summarized on Table 4. Adjusted R² coefficient estimates across the production models ranged between 0.76 and 0.89. All four models were highly statistically significant overall. The cropping intensity had consistent and statistically significant effect on annual production levels in all estimates. Monocropping was associated with significantly lower levels of output and triple-cropping was associated with significantly higher output levels compared to double-cropping. The land area cultivated and the amount of rice seed used were also associated with significantly higher levels of output in all estimates. The amount of hired labor applied on the farm had a positive and statistically significant effect on output in all the estimates except the 1994 cross-sectional estimate. The level of fertilizer applied on the farm had a positive and statistically significant effect on rice output in 1996 and 1997. The amount of family labor applied on farm was difficult to measure accurately from available data, but had a negative and significant effect on rice output in 1994 and a positive and significant effect in 1995. Pesticide application had a positive and statistically significant effect on output only in 1994. The signs of these estimated coefficients all conform to expectations. The one exception involved the use of modern varieties, which had inconsistent effects on rice production across estimates. It had statistically significant

| Left-hand side/dependent variable estimation coefficient (estimated standard error) | Rice production in 1995 ^a (N = 117) | Rice production in 1996 ^a (N = 134) | Rice production in 1997 ^a (N = 121) | Rice production in 1995-97 ^b (N = 372) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Constant(s) | 7.493*** | 4.642*** | 6.295*** | N.R.*** |
| Single-cropped rice | -1.281^{***} 0.253 | -3.479 ^{***} 0.469 | -1.947^{***} 0.271 | -0.416 0.453 |
| Triple-cropped rice | 0.608^{***} 0.132 | 1.570^{***} 0.257 | 0.972^{***} 0.130 | -0.015 0.226 |
| Largest area planted to rice in any season | 0.789^{***} 0.075 | 0.469^{***} 0.194 | $0.558^{***} \\ 0.070$ | 1.090^{***} 0.254 |
| Total household expenditure on hired labor | $0.067 \\ 0.081$ | 0.483^{***} 0.077 | 0.098^{***} 0.029 | 0.210^{***} 0.052 |
| Imputed value of family labor applied on farm | -0.194^{**} 0.097 | 0.429^{***} 0.165 | $0.102 \\ 0.098$ | $0.176 \\ 0.127$ |
| Expenditure on fertilizer | $0.029 \\ 0.060$ | $0.205^{st}\ 0.108$ | 0.151^{**} 0.068 | $0.033 \\ 0.072$ |
| Expenditure on pesticides or herbicides | 0.096^{***} 0.038 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.010\\ 0.075\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.016\\ 0.034\end{array}$ | -0.320 0.048 |
| Average quantity of rice seed used per season cultivated | 0.622^{***} 0.125 | 0.735^{***} 0.236 | 0.747^{***} 0.101 | -0.419^{**} 0.192 |
| Use of any modern variety of rice seed | -0.123^{*} 0.067 | 0.226^{*} 0.134 | -0.119^{**} 0.058 | $0.295 \\ 0.092$ |
| Year 1995 | | | | -0.010 0.069 |
| Year 1996 | _ | - | - | -0.103^{***} 0.063 |
| Goodness of fit diagnostics: Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2 F-ratio [degrees of freedom] Likelihood ratio (X^2) test [degrees of freedom] | $\begin{array}{c} 0.892 \\ 107.710^{***} \\ [9, 107] \\ 270.097^{***} \\ [9] \end{array}$ | 0.755 46.450*** [9, 124] 197.658*** [9] | 0.888 106.220*** [9, 111] 273.827*** [9] | $0.835 \\ 12.210^{***} \\ [167, 204] \\ 891.838^{***} \\ [167]$ |

Table 4. Rice Production Estimates (cross sectional estimators)

Notes:

*** estimated coefficient statistically significant at a 99% confidence level

** estimated coefficient statistically significant at a 95% confidence level

* estimated coefficient statistically significant at a 90% confidence level

^aEstimated in logarithms using the ordinary least squares estimator.

^bEstimated in logarithms using the fixed effects estimator for panel data. The results of the Hausman test (46.950^{***} with 11 d.f.) supported use of the fixed effects specificat.

N.R. means household-specific intercepts are not reported.

negative effects in estimates carried out using data from 1995 and 1997 and a positive effect in 1996. One explanation for this is that the variable was imprecisely defined due to aggregation across many distinct varieties. A second reason is that due to collinearity with rice cropping intensities, the principal effect of modern variety use seems to have been to enable farms to pursue more intensive rice production. Considered together, the various estimates provide a clear indication of the factors driving farm land use, production, and marketing decisions.

V. SIMULATION MODEL FOR EVALUATION OF INVESTMENTS

The implications of model estimates for evaluating the effect of development of different types of infrastructure can be better understood by generating a simulation model using estimation parameters. The results of a simulation model derived from empirical estimates are summarized in Tables 5 and 6. Table 5 shows the distribution of rice cropping intensities among surveyed farms. The actual distribution of farms in each of the four years of the survey is shown, along with the projected distribution under alternative scenarios. One scenario involves improvements in travel networks between surveyed villages and local markets. The second considers the effect of land transport improvements or land consolidation that brings homesteads and farm plots closer. The third contemplates extension of water control infrastructure to an additional 10 percent of the

| Rice Cropping intensity | | Act distri of f | tual bution čarms | L | Trans R | Simu sporta educir to m by 10 | ulated tion sy ng trav arket minut | distril vstem: vel es | bution Lan Red fro: b | d of far d cons lucing m hom y 1 kil | rms wi olidati distar ne to p omete | ith im ion: nce olot er | prover V in In co | Water control infrastructure: Increasing area | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------|------------|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------|------|--|
| | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | |
| Monocropping | 37 | 33 | 31 | 12 | 36 | 21 | 26 | 12 | 40 | 29 | 33 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 0 | 12 | |

Table 5. Simulation of Effects of Investments on Distribution of Farm Rice-cropping Intensity

Table 6. Simulation of Effects of Investments on Rice Production among Surveyed Farms (tons)

| | Act | ual pr | oducti | ion ^a | Predicted production for travel to market (-10 min) ^a | | | | Prec for rec | licted dista home luced | produ nce fr to plo by 1 k | ction om t m ^a | Predicted production for better water management extension $+10\%^a$ | | | | |
|---|------|--------|--------|------------------|---|------|------|------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|------|------|------|--|
| | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | |
| 1 × rice farm production | 25 | 22 | 11 | 7 | 24 | 14 | 10 | 7 | 27 | 20 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 7 | |
| production | 5 | 41 | 24 | 28 | 5 | 47 | 24 | 28 | 5 | 43 | 24 | 28 | 6 | 50 | 24 | 24 | |
| 3 × rice farm production | 13 | 22 | 26 | 16 | 14 | 26 | 30 | 16 | 12 | 23 | 25 | 17 | 31 | 28 | 51 | 21 | |
| Total rice production % change in total | 44 | 85 | 61 | 51 | 44 | 86 | 64 | 51 | 43 | 86 | 60 | 51 | 47 | 87 | 75 | 52 | |
| production | | | | | 0.4 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | -0.9 | 1.0 | -2.1 | 0.4 | 7.6 | 4.9 | 31.5 | 2.6 | |

^a Columns may not sum to total rice production due to rounding error.

Double cropping Triple cropping surveyed farms. Using results of production function estimates, the implied changes in the share of farms that double- or triple-crop rice can be applied to calculate an implied increase in aggregate rice output across farms. The production estimates provide a measure of the average change in annual rice yield associated with mono, double, or triple cropping of rice. Table 6 details the changes in total rice production from the scenarios.

The simulation model shows a large effect of investments in irrigation extension on rice production, and more moderate effects obtained from improvements in the transportation system or land consolidation. Incorporating the estimates obtained in this research with other linear programming or general simulation models would be an important extension of this research.

VI. CONCLUSION

These results generally support the hypothesis that the time and direct cost of transporting inputs and outputs between rural homesteads, farm plots, and markets influence the land use and production decisions of farming households. Estimation results confirm our expectation that greater transport distances reduce the cropping intensity and make the cultivation of nonrice crops less likely. However, results suggest the quality of the water management infrastructure is far more important in determining land use than transport infrastructure. The magnitude of the effect of having high quality water management infrastructure dwarfed the effect of other variables. Other variables including the use of modern seed varieties, the age of the farm operator, the land-to-labor ratio of the farm, and rainfall influenced farm land use as predicted. Results suggest that investments in water management offer more promise in improving farm land use options and increasing rice production than transport infrastructure investments in the Delta. However, information on the relative costs of extending road and water management infrastructure is necessary before it would be appropriate to offer policy conclusions in this regard. This study relied on existing sources of data originally collected for a cost-price accounting study, and as a result encountered data constraints in analyses.

References

- Chomitz, K., and D. Gray, 1995. Roads, Lands, Markets, and Deforestation. Policy Research Working Paper No. 1444, The World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Deichmann, U., 1998. "Spatial Scale and Resolution in the Analysis of Socioeconomic and Demographic Data." Paper presented at the Workshop on Scaling Methodologies in Ecoregional Approaches for Natural Resources Management, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam, 22-24 June.
- de Janvry, A., M. Fafchamps, and E. Sadoulet, 1991. "Peasant Household Behavior with Missing Markets: Some Paradoxes Explained." *Economics Journal* 101:1400-17.
- Edmonds C.M., S. P. Kam, H. C. Viet, and L. Villano, 2001. "Land-use Dynamics and Changes in Rice Production in the Mekong Delta in the 1990s: An Econometric Analysis using Longitudinal Household Survey Data. In S. Peng and B. Hardy, eds., *Rice Research for Food Security and Poverty Alleviation*. Proceedings of the International Rice Research Conference, 31 March-3 April 2000, International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines.
- Greene, W.H., 2000. Econometric Analysis. 4th ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Hoanh C.T., R. Roetter, D.M. Jansen, P.K. Aggarwal, F.P. Lansigan, N.X. Lai, I.A. Bakar, and A. Tawang, 1998. "Generalizing SysNet Methodologies for Land Use Planning at the Subnational Level." In R. Roetter, C.T. Hoanh, N.V. Luat, M.K. Van Ittersum, and H.H. Van Laar, eds., Exchange of Methodologies in Land Use Planning. SysNet Research Paper Series No. 1, International Rice Research InstituteLos Baños, Philippines.
- International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), 1996. "Rice Monitoring and Policy Options Study: Final Report." Paper prepared for TA No. 2224-VIE. Asian Development Bank, Manila.
- Institute of Agricultural Sciences, 1997. "Competitiveness of Rice Channel in Mekong Region: Study Report 1994, 1995, 1996." Research report of the Competitiveness of Rice Production in the Mekong River Delta Project, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam.
 —, 1998. "Annual Report: Comparative Analysis of Economic Efficiency in Rice Production 1994-1997." Research report of the Competitiveness of Rice Production in the Mekong River Delta Project, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam.
- Government Statistical Office, 1998. Socio-economic Statistical Data of 61 Provinces and Cities in Vietnam. Hanoi: Statistical Publishing House.
- Kam, S.P., V.Q. Minh, T.P. Tuong, C.T. Hoanh, S.C. Liew, and P. Chen, 1998. "Remote Sensing and GIS Approaches to Studying Changes in Rice Cropping Systems in the Mekong River Delta, Vietnam." Paper presented at the GISDECO 1998 Meeting, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Minh, V.Q., 1995. Use of Soil and Agrohydrological Characteristics in Developing Technology Extrapolation Methodology: A Case Study of the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. M.S. thesis. University of the Philippines Los Baños, Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines.
- Minot, N., and F. Goletti, 1998. "Export Liberalization and Household Welfare: The Case of Rice in Vietnam." American Journal of Agricultural Economics 80:738-49.

- Pingali, P.L., and V. T. Xuan, 1990. Vietnam: Decollectivization and Rice Productivity Growth. IRRI Social Sciences Division Papers No. 89-16, International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines.
- Thanh, D.N., L. T. Duong, and F. B. Gascon, 1995. "Changes from Deepwater to Irrigated Ecosystem in Mekong Delta of Vietnam: Impact on Productivity and Efficiency in Resource Use." *Journal* of Asian Farming Systems Association 1:187-214.
- Tuong, T.P., C. T. Hoanh, and N. T. Khiem, 1991. "Agro-hydrological Factors as Land Qualities in Land Evaluation for Rice Cropping Patterns in the Mekong Delta of Vietnam." In P. Deturck and F. M. Ponnamperuma, eds., *International Rice Production on Acid Soils in the Tropics*. Institute of Fundamental Studies, Kandy, Sri Lanka.
- United Nations Statistics Division, 1997. Accessibility Indicators in GIS. Report of the Department for Economic and Social Information and Policy Analysis. Geneva.
- Ut, T. T., and M. Hossain, 1999. "Effects of Improved Technology on Rice Production and Impact on Income Distribution and Poverty Alleviation: A Case Study of Vietnam." Paper presented at the International Workshop on the Role of Agricultural Research on Poverty Alleviation, San José, Costa Rica, 12-15 September.

PUBLICATIONS FROM THE ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

ERD WORKING PAPER SERIES (WPS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

| No. 1 | Capitalizing on Globalization |
|--------|--|
| | -Barry Eichengreen, January 2002 |
| No. 2 | Policy-based Lending and Poverty Reduction: |
| | An Overview of Processes, Assessment |
| | and Options |
| | —Richard Bolt and Manabu Fujimura |
| | January 2002 |
| No. 3 | The Automotive Supply Chain: Global Trends |
| | and Asian Perspectives |
| | —Francisco Veloso and Rajiv Kumar |
| | January 2002 |
| No. 4 | International Competitiveness of Asian Firms: |
| | An Analytical Framework |
| | -Rajiv Kumar and Doren Chadee |
| | February 2002 |
| No. 5 | The International Competitiveness of Asian |
| | Economies in the Apparel Commodity Chain |
| | –Garv Gereffi |
| | February 2002 |
| No. 6 | Monetary and Financial Cooperation in East |
| | Asia—The Chiang Mai Initiative and Beyond |
| | -Pradumna R Rana |
| | February 2002 |
| No 7 | Probing Beneath Cross-national Averages: Poverty |
| 110. 1 | Inequality and Growth in the Philippines |
| | _Arsenio M Balisacan and Ernesto M Pernia |
| | March 2002 |
| No 8 | Poverty Growth and Inequality in Thailand |
| 110. 0 | |
| | April 2002 |
| No 0 | Migrafinance in Northeast Theiland: Who Bonofits |
| 110. 9 | and How Much? |

ERD TECHNICAL NOTE SERIES (TNS)

—Brett E. Coleman April 2002

- No. 10 PovertyReduction and the Role of Institutions in Developing Asia
 —Anil B. Deolalikar, Alex B. Brilliantes, Jr., Raghav Gaiha, Ernesto M. Pernia, Mary Racelis with the assistance of Marita Concepcion Castro-Guevara, Liza L. Lim, Pilipinas F. Quising May 2002
- No. 11 The European Social Model: Lessons for Developing Countries —Assar Lindbeck May 2002
- No. 12 Costs and Benefits of a Common Currency for ASEAN —Srinivasa Madhur
 - May 2002
- No. 13 Monetary Cooperation in East Asia: A Survey —Raul Fabella May 2002
- No. 14 Toward A Political Economy Approach to Prolicy-based Lending —George Abonyi May 2002
- No. 15 A Framework for Establishing Priorities in a Country Poverty Reduction Strategy —Ron Duncan and Steve Pollard June 2002
- No. 16 The Role of Infrastructure in Land-use Dynamics and Rice Production in Viet Nam's Mekong River Delta —Christopher Edmonds

July 2002

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

| No. 1 | Contingency Calculations for Environmental |
|-------|---|
| | Impacts with Unknown Monetary Values |
| | -David Dole |
| | February 2002 |
| No. 2 | Integrating Risk into ADB's Economic Analysis |
| | of Projects |
| | —Nigel Rayner, Anneli Lagman-Martin, |
| | and Keith Ward |
| | June 2002 |

ERD POLICY BRIEF SERIES (PBS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

| No. 1 | Is Growth Good Enough for the Poor? |
|-------|---|
| | —Ernesto M. Pernia, October 2001 |
| No. 2 | India's Economic Reforms |
| | What Has Been Accomplished? |
| | What Remains to Be Done? |
| | —Arvind Panagariya, November 2001 |
| No. 3 | Unequal Benefits of Growth in Viet Nam |
| | -Indu Bhushan, Erik Bloom, and Nguyen Minh |
| | Thang, January 2002 |
| No. 4 | Is Volatility Built into Today's World Economy? |
| | -J. Malcolm Dowling and J.P. Verbiest, |
| | February 2002 |
| No. 5 | What Else Besides Growth Matters to Poverty |
| | Reduction? Philippines |
| | -Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia, |
| | February 2002 |

- No. 3 Measuring Willingness to Pay for Electricity —Peter Choynowski July 2002
- No. 4 Economic Issues in the Design and Analysis of a Wastewater Treatment Project —David Dole July 2002
- No. 6 Achieving the Twin Objectives of Efficiency and Equity: Contracting Health Services in Cambodia —Indu Bhushan, Sheryl Keller, and Brad Schwartz, March 2002
- No. 7 Causes of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis: What Can an Early Warning System Model Tell Us? —Juzhong Zhuang and Malcolm Dowling, June 2002
- No. 8 The Role of Preferential Trading Arrangements in Asia —Christopher Edmonds and Jean-Pierre Verbiest, July 2002
- No. 9 The Doha Round: A Development Perspective —Jean-Pierre Verbiest, Jeffrey Liang, and Lea Sumulong, July 2002

MONOGRAPH SERIES

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

EDRC REPORT SERIES (ER)

| No. 1 | ASEAN and the Asian Development Bank |
|-------------|--|
| No. 2 | Development Issues for the Developing East |
| | and Southeast Asian Countries |
| | and International Cooperation |
| NT (| -Seiji Naya and Graham Abbott, April 1982 |
| No. 3 | Aid, Savings, and Growth in the Asian Region |
| | April 1982 |
| No. 4 | Development-oriented Foreign Investment |
| | and the Role of ADB |
| | —Kiyoshi Kojima, April 1982 |
| No. 8 | The Multilateral Development Banks |
| | and the International Economy's Missing |
| | - John Lawis June 1982 |
| No. 6 | Notes on External Debt of DMCs |
| 1.0. | -Evelyn Go, July 1982 |
| No. 7 | Grant Element in Bank Loans |
| | —Dal Hyun Kim, July 1982 |
| No. 8 | Shadow Exchange Rates and Standard |
| | Conversion Factors in Project Evaluation |
| No 9 | —Peter warr, September 1982 Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing |
| 110 | Establishments in ASEAN Countries: |
| | Perspectives and Policy Issues |
| | -Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, |
| | January 1983 |
| No. 1 | .0 A Note on the Third Ministerial Meeting of GATT |
| No 1 | -Jungsoo Lee, January 1983 |
| 10. 1 | of China Hong Kong and Republic of Korea |
| | -J.M. Dowling, January 1983 |
| No. 1 | 2 ASEAN: Economic Situation and Prospects |
| | —Seiji Naya, March 1983 |
| No. 1 | 3 The Future Prospects for the Developing |
| | Countries of Asia |
| No 1 | -Seiji Naya, March 1983 |
| 110. 1 | Pacific Region. Summary of the Thirteenth |
| | Pacific Trade and Development Conference |
| | —Seiji Naya, March 1983 |
| No. 1 | 5 A Survey of Empirical Studies on Demand |
| | for Electricity with Special Emphasis on Price |
| | Elasticity of Demand |
| No 1 | |
| 110. 1 | 1972-1981–A Simultaneous Equation Model |
| | Approach |
| | —T.K. Jayaraman, June 1983 |
| No. 1 | 7 The Philippine Economy: Economic |
| | Forecasts for 1983 and 1984 |
| | -J.M. Dowling, E. Go, and C.N. Castillo, June 1983 |
| No. 1 | 8 Economic Forecast for Indonesia |
| 1.00. 1 | -J.M. Dowling, H.Y. Kim, Y.K. Wang, |
| | and C.N. Castillo, June 1983 |
| No. 1 | 9 Relative External Debt Situation of Asian |
| | Developing Countries: An Application |
| | of Ranking Method |
| No 9 | -Jungsoo Lee, June 1965 New Evidence on Vields Fertilizer Application |
| -10. 2 | and Prices in Asian Rice Production |
| | —William James and Teresita Ramirez, July 1983 |
| No. 2 | 21 Inflationary Effects of Exchange Rate |
| | Changes in Nine Asian LDCs |
| | —Pradumna B. Rana and J. Malcolm Dowling, |

Jr., December 1983 No. 22 Effects of External Shocks on the Balance of Payments, Policy Responses, and Debt Problems of Asian Developing Countries —Seiji Naya, December 1983 No. 23 Changing Trade Patterns and Policy Issues: The Prospects for East and Southeast Asian **Developing Countries** -Seiji Naya and Ulrich Hiemenz, February 1984 Small-Scale Industries in Asian Economic No. 24 **Development: Problems and Prospects** -Seiji Naya, February 1984 No. 25 A Study on the External Debt Indicators Applying Logit Analysis -Jungsoo Lee and Clarita Barretto, February 1984 No. 26 Alternatives to Institutional Credit Programs in the Agricultural Sector of Low-Income Countries —Jennifer Sour, March 1984 No. 27 Economic Scene in Asia and Its Special Features -Kedar N. Kohli, November 1984 No. 28 The Effect of Terms of Trade Changes on the Balance of Payments and Real National Income of Asian Developing Countries -Jungsoo Lee and Lutgarda Labios, January 1985 Cause and Effect in the World Sugar Market: No. 29 Some Empirical Findings 1951-1982 -Yoshihiro Iwasaki, February 1985 Sources of Balance of Payments Problem No. 30 in the 1970s: The Asian Experience —Pradumna Rana, February 1985 No. 31 India's Manufactured Exports: An Analysis of Supply Sectors —Ifzal Ali, February 1985 No. 32 Meeting Basic Human Needs in Asian **Developing Countries** -Jungsoo Lee and Emma Banaria, March 1985 The Impact of Foreign Capital Inflow No. 33 on Investment and Economic Growth in Developing Asia -Evelyn Go, May 1985 The Climate for Energy Development No. 34 in the Pacific and Asian Region: Priorities and Perspectives -V.V. Desai, April 1986 No. 35 Impact of Appreciation of the Yen on Developing Member Countries of the Bank -Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna Rana, and Ifzal Ali, May 1986 No. 36 Smuggling and Domestic Economic Policies in Developing Countries -A.H.M.N. Chowdhury, October 1986 Public Investment Criteria: Economic Internal No. 37 Rate of Return and Equalizing Discount Rate —Ifzal Ali, November 1986 Review of the Theory of Neoclassical Political No. 38 Economy: An Application to Trade Policies —M.G. Quibria, December 1986 No. 39 Factors Influencing the Choice of Location: Local and Foreign Firms in the Philippines -E.M. Pernia and A.N. Herrin, February 1987 No. 40 A Demographic Perspective on Developing

- Asia and Its Relevance to the Bank —E.M. Pernia, May 1987 No. 41 Emerging Issues in Asia and Social Cost
- Benefit Analysis

| | —I. Ali, September 1988 |
|---------|---|
| No. 42 | Shifting Revealed Comparative Advantage: |
| | Experiences of Asian and Pacific Developing |
| | Countries |
| | –P.B. Rana, November 1988 |
| No. 43 | Agricultural Price Policy in Asia: |
| | Issues and Areas of Reforms |
| | -I. Ali. November 1988 |
| No 44 | Service Trade and Asian Developing Economies |
| 110. 11 | _M G Quibria October 1989 |
| No. 45 | A Review of the Economic Analysis of Power |
| 110. 40 | Projects in Asia and Identification of Areas |
| | of Improvement |
| | I Ali November 1980 |
| No. 46 | Crowth Porspective and Challenges for Asia: |
| 10. 40 | Aroos for Policy Review and Research |
| | I Ali November 1980 |
| No. 47 | An Approach to Estimating the Deverty |
| NO. 47 | All Approach to Estimating the Foverty |
| | L Ali January 1000 |
| No. 49 | -I. All, January 1990 Economic Crowth Derformance of Indonesia |
| NO. 48 | Economic Growth Performance of Indonesia, |
| | The Human Damage Dimension |
| | The Human Resource Dimension |
| N. 40 | -E.M. Pernia, January 1990 |
| No. 49 | Foreign Exchange and Fiscal Impact of a Project: |
| | A Methodological Framework for Estimation |
| N. 50 | -I. All, February 1990 |
| No. 50 | Public Investment Criteria: Financial |
| | and Economic Internal Rates of Return |
| NT 71 | -I. Ali, April 1990 |
| N0. 51 | Evaluation of Water Supply Projects: |
| | An Economic Framework |
| | -Arlene M. Tadle, June 1990 |
| No. 52 | Interrelationship Between Shadow Prices, Project |
| | Investment, and Policy Reforms: |
| | An Analytical Framework |
| | -I. Ali, November 1990 |
| No. 53 | Issues in Assessing the Impact of Project |
| | and Sector Adjustment Lending |
| | -I. Ali, December 1990 |
| No. 54 | Some Aspects of Urbanization |
| | and the Environment in Southeast Asia |
| | -Ernesto M. Pernia, January 1991 |
| No. 55 | Financial Sector and Economic |
| | |

Development: A Survey —Jungsoo Lee, September 1991

- No. 56 A Framework for Justifying Bank-Assisted Education Projects in Asia: A Review of the Socioeconomic Analysis and Identification of Areas of Improvement *—Etienne Van De Walle, February 1992* No. 57 Medium-term Growth-Stabilization
- Relationship in Asian Developing Countries and Some Policy Considerations —Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1993
- No. 58 Urbanization, Population Distribution, and Economic Development in Asia —Ernesto M. Pernia, February 1993
- No. 59 The Need for Fiscal Consolidation in Nepal: The Results of a Simulation —Filippo di Mauro and Ronald Antonio Butiong, July 1993
- No. 60 A Computable General Equilibrium Model of Nepal —Timothy Buehrer and Filippo di Mauro, October 1993
- No. 61 The Role of Government in Export Expansion in the Republic of Korea: A Revisit —Yun-Hwan Kim. February 1994
- No. 62 Rural Reforms, Structural Change, and Agricultural Growth in the People's Republic of China —Bo Lin, August 1994
- No. 63 Incentives and Regulation for Pollution Abatement with an Application to Waste Water Treatment -Sudipto Mundle, U. Shankar, and Shekhar Mehta, October 1995
- No. 64 Saving Transitions in Southeast Asia —Frank Harrigan, February 1996
- No. 65 Total Factor Productivity Growth in East Asia: A Critical Survey —Jesus Felipe, September 1997
- No. 66 Foreign Direct Investment in Pakistan: Policy Issues and Operational Implications —Ashfaque H. Khan and Yun-Hwan Kim, July 1999
- No. 67 Fiscal Policy, Income Distribution and Growth —Sailesh K. Jha, November 1999

ECONOMIC STAFF PAPERS (ES)

| No. | 1 | International Reserves: |
|-----|----------|---|
| | | Factors Determining Needs and Adequacy |
| | | -Evelyn Go, May 1981 |
| No. | 2 | Domestic Savings in Selected Developing |
| | | Asian Countries |
| | | -Basil Moore, assisted by |
| | | A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury, September 1981 |
| No. | 3 | Changes in Consumption, Imports and Exports |
| | | of Oil Since 1973: A Preliminary Survey of |
| | | the Developing Member Countries |
| | | of the Asian Development Bank |
| | | -Dal Hyun Kim and Graham Abbott, |
| | | September 1981 |
| No. | 4 | By-Passed Areas, Regional Inequalities, |
| | | and Development Policies in Selected |
| | | Southeast Asian Countries |
| | | —William James, October 1981 |
| No. | 5 | Asian Agriculture and Economic Development |
| | | -William James, March 1982 |
| No. | 6 | Inflation in Developing Member Countries: |
| | | An Analysis of Recent Trends |
| | | -A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury and |
| | | J. Malcolm Dowling, March 1982 |
| No | 7 | Industrial Growth and Employment in |

No. 7 Industrial Growth and Employment in Developing Asian Countries: Issues and

Perspectives for the Coming Decade -Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1982 No. 8 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part 1: Regional Adjustments and the World Economy —Burnham Campbell, April 1982 Developing Asia: The Importance No. 9 of Domestic Policies -Economics Office Staff under the direction of Seiji Naya, May 1982 No. 10 Financial Development and Household Savings: Issues in Domestic Resource Mobilization in Asian Developing Countries -Wan-Soon Kim, July 1982 No. 11 Industrial Development: Role of Specialized Financial Institutions —Kedar N. Kohli, August 1982 No. 12 Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part II: Debt Problems and an Evaluation of Suggested Remedies -Burnham Campbell, September 1982 No. 13 Credit Rationing, Rural Savings, and Financial Policy in Developing Countries -William James, September 1982

No. 14 Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing

| | | Establishments in ASEAN Countries: Perspectives and Policy Issues |
|-----|----|--|
| No. | 15 | -Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1983 Income Distribution and Economic Growth in Developing Asian Countries |
| No. | 16 | -J. Malcolm Dowling and David Soo, March 1983 Long-Run Debt-Servicing Capacity of Asian Developing Countries: An Application |
| | | of Critical Interest Rate Approach —Jungsoo Lee, June 1983 |
| No. | 17 | External Shocks, Energy Policy, and Macroeconomic Performance of Asian Developing Countries: A Policy Analysis |
| No. | 18 | William James, July 1983 The Impact of the Current Exchange Rate System on Trade and Inflation of Selected |
| No. | 19 | -Pradumna Rana, September 1983 Asian Agriculture in Transition: Key Policy Issues William James Santamber 1983 |
| No. | 20 | The Transition to an Industrial Economy in Monsoon Asia |
| No. | 21 | -Harry T. Oshima, October 1983 The Significance of Off-Farm Employment and Incomes in Post-War East Asian Growth |
| No. | 22 | -Harry T. Oshima, January 1984 Income Distribution and Poverty in Selected Asian Countries |
| No. | 23 | -John Malcolm Dowling, Jr., November 1984 ASEAN Economies and ASEAN Economic Cooperation |
| No. | 24 | Narongchai Akrasanee, November 1984 Economic Analysis of Power Projects |
| No. | 25 | |
| No. | 26 | Patterns of External Financing of DMCs -E. Go, May 1985 |
| No. | 27 | Industrial Technology Development the Republic of Korea |
| No. | 28 | Risk Analysis and Project Selection: A Review of Practical Issues |
| No. | 29 | Advantage |
| No. | 30 | -1. Alt, January 1986 Effects of Foreign Capital Inflows on Developing Countries of Asia |
| No. | 31 | and Yoshihiro Iwasaki, April 1986 Economic Analysis of the Environmental Impacts of Development Projects -John A. Dixon et al., EAPI, |
| No. | 32 | East-West Center, August 1986 Science and Technology for Development: Role of the Bank |
| No. | 33 | -Kedar N. Konti and 172at Att, November 1986 Satellite Remote Sensing in the Asian and Pacific Region |
| No. | 34 | Changes in the Export Patterns of Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: An Empirical Overview |
| No. | 35 | Pradumna B. Rana, January 1987 Agricultural Price Policy in Nepal Garadd C. Nelson, March 1987 |
| No. | 36 | Implications of Falling Primary Commodity Prices for Agricultural Strategy in the Philippines |
| No. | 37 | |
| No. | 38 | The Role of Fertilizer Subsidies in Agricultural Production: A Review of Select Issues — <i>M.G. Quibria, October 1987</i> |

- No. 39 Domestic Adjustment to External Shocks in Developing Asia -Jungsoo Lee, October 1987
- Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization No. 40 through Financial Development: Indonesia -Philip Erquiaga, November 1987
- No. 41 Recent Trends and Issues on Foreign Direct Investment in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries -P.B. Rana, March 1988
- No. 42 Manufactured Exports from the Philippines: A Sector Profile and an Agenda for Reform —I. Ali, September 1988
- No. 43 A Framework for Evaluating the Economic Benefits of Power Projects —I. Ali, August 1989
- Promotion of Manufactured Exports in Pakistan No. 44 -Jungsoo Lee and Yoshihiro Iwasaki, September 1989
- No. 45 Education and Labor Markets in Indonesia: A Sector Survey -Ernesto M. Pernia and David N. Wilson, September 1989
- No. 46 Industrial Technology Capabilities and Policies in Selected ADCs —Hiroshi Kakazu, June 1990
- No. 47 Designing Strategies and Policies for Managing Structural Change in Asia —Ifzal Ali, June 1990
- No. 48 The Completion of the Single European Community Market in 1992: A Tentative Assessment of its Impact on Asian Developing Countries -J.P. Verbiest and Min Tang, June 1991
- Economic Analysis of Investment in Power No. 49 Systems —Ifzal Ali, June 1991
- No. 50 External Finance and the Role of Multilateral Financial Institutions in South Asia: Changing Patterns, Prospects, and Challenges -Jungsoo Lee, November 1991
- No. 51 The Gender and Poverty Nexus: Issues and Policies
- -M.G. Quibria, November 1993 No. 52 The Role of the State in Economic Development: Theory, the East Asian Experience, and the Malaysian Case —Jason Brown, December 1993
- The Economic Benefits of Potable Water Supply No. 53 Projects to Households in Developing Countries -Dale Whittington and Venkateswarlu Swarna, January 1994
- Growth Triangles: Conceptual Issues No. 54 and Operational Problems -Min Tang and Myo Thant, February 1994
- The Emerging Global Trading Environment No. 55 and Developing Asia -Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria, and Narhari Rao, July 1996
- No. 56 Aspects of Urban Water and Sanitation in the Context of Rapid Urbanization in Developing Asia -Ernesto M. Pernia and Stella LF. Alabastro,
- September 1997 No. 57 Challenges for Asia's Trade and Environment
- —Douglas H. Brooks, January 1998
- No. 58 Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects-A Review of Issues, Methods, and Approaches -Ramesh Adhikari, Paul Gertler, and Anneli Lagman, March 1999
- No. 59 The Asian Crisis: An Alternate View
- -Rajiv Kumar and Bibek Debroy, July 1999 Social Consequences of the Financial Crisis in No. 60
 - Asia -James C. Knowles, Ernesto M. Pernia, and Mary Racelis, November 1999

OCCASIONAL PAPERS (OP)

| No. 1 | Poverty in the People's Republic of China: Recent Developments and Scope |
|--------|---|
| | for Bank Assistance |
| | —K.H. Moinuddin, November 1992 |
| No. 2 | The Eastern Islands of Indonesia: An Overview |
| | of Development Needs and Potential |
| | —Brien K. Parkinson, January 1993 |
| No. 3 | Rural Institutional Finance in Bangladesh |
| | and Nepal: Review and Agenda for Reforms |
| | —A.H.M.N. Chowdhury and Marcelia C. Garcia, November 1993 |
| No. 4 | Fiscal Deficits and Current Account Imbalances |
| | of the South Pacific Countries: |
| | A Case Study of Vanuatu |
| | —T.K. Jayaraman, December 1993 |
| No. 5 | Reforms in the Transitional Economies of Asia |
| | —Pradumna B. Rana, December 1993 |
| No. 6 | Environmental Challenges in the People's Republic |
| | of China and Scope for Bank Assistance |
| | —Elisabetta Capannelli and Omkar L. Shrestha, |
| | December 1993 |
| No. 7 | Sustainable Development Environment |
| | and Poverty Nexus |
| | —K.F. Jalal, December 1993 |
| No. 8 | Intermediate Services and Economic |
| | Development: The Malaysian Example |
| | —Sutanu Behuria and Rahul Khullar, May 1994 |
| No. 9 | Interest Rate Deregulation: A Brief Survey |
| | of the Policy Issues and the Asian Experience |
| | -Carlos J. Glower, July 1994 |
| No. 10 | Some Aspects of Land Administration |
| | in Indonesia: Implications for Bank Operations |
| | —Sutanu Behuria, July 1994 |
| No. 11 | Demographic and Socioeconomic Determinants |
| | of Contraceptive Use among Urban Women in |
| | the Melanesian Countries in the South Pacific: |
| | A Case Study of Port Vila Town in Vanuatu |
| | —T.K. Jayaraman, February 1995 |

- No. 12 Managing Development through Institution Building — Hilton L. Root, October 1995
- No. 13 Growth, Structural Change, and Optimal Poverty Interventions
- --Shiladitya Chatterjee, November 1995 No. 14 Private Investment and Macroeconomic
- Environment in the South Pacific Island Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis —T.K. Jayaraman, October 1996
- No. 15 The Rural-Urban Transition in Viet Nam: Some Selected Issues —Sudipto Mundle and Brian Van Arkadie, October 1997
- No. 16 A New Approach to Setting the Future Transport Agenda —Roger Allport, Geoff Key, and Charles Melhuish June 1998
- No. 17 Adjustment and Distribution: The Indian Experience —Sudipto Mundle and V.B. Tulasidhar, June 1998
- No. 18 Tax Reforms in Viet Nam: A Selective Analysis —Sudipto Mundle, December 1998
- No. 19 Surges and Volatility of Private Capital Flows to Asian Developing Countries: Implications for Multilateral Development Banks —Pradumna B. Rana, December 1998
- No. 20 The Millennium Round and the Asian Economies: An Introduction
- —Dilip K. Das, October 1999
 No. 21 Occupational Segregation and the Gender Earnings Gap
 —Joseph E. Zveglich, Jr. and Yana van der Meulen Rodgers, December 1999
- No. 22 Information Technology: Next Locomotive of Growth? —Dilip K. Das, June 2000

STATISTICAL REPORT SERIES (SR)

| No. 1 | Estimates of the Total External Debt of |
|-------|--|
| | the Developing Member Countries of ADB: |
| | 1981-1983 |
| | —I.P. David, September 1984 |
| No. 2 | Multivariate Statistical and Graphical |
| | Classification Techniques Applied |
| | to the Problem of Grouping Countries |
| | -I.P. David and D.S. Maligalig, March 1985 |
| No. 3 | Gross National Product (GNP) Measurement |
| | Issues in South Pacific Developing Member |
| | Countries of ADB |
| | —S.G. Tiwari, September 1985 |
| No. 4 | Estimates of Comparable Savings in Selected |
| | DMCs |
| | —Hananto Sigit, December 1985 |
| No. 5 | Keeping Sample Survey Design |
| | and Analysis Simple |
| | —I.P. David, December 1985 |
| No. 6 | External Debt Situation in Asian |
| | Developing Countries |
| | —I.P. David and Jungsoo Lee, March 1986 |
| No. 7 | Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the |
| | South Pacific Developing Member Countries. |
| | Part I: Existing National Accounts |
| | of SPDMCs-Analysis of Methodology |
| | and Application of SNA Concepts |
| | -P. Hodgkinson, October 1986 |
| No. 8 | Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South |
| | Pacific Developing Member Countries. |
| | Part II: Factors Affecting Intercountry |
| | Comparability of Per Capita GNP |
| | -P. Hodgkinson, October 1986 |

| No. 9 | Survey of the External Debt Situation |
|--------|---|
| | in Asian Developing Countries, 1985 |
| | -Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1987 |
| No. 10 | A Survey of the External Debt Situation |
| | |

- in Asian Developing Countries, 1986 —Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1988
- No. 11 Changing Pattern of Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries —Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, March 1989
- No. 12 The State of Agricultural Statistics in Southeast Asia —I.P. David, March 1989
- No. 13 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1987-1988
 - -Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, July 1989
- No. 14 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1988-1989 —Jungsoo Lee, May 1990
- No. 15 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countrie s: 1989-1992 —Min Tang, June 1991
- No. 16 Recent Trends and Prospects of External Debt Situation and Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries —Min Tang and Aludia Pardo, June 1992
- No. 17 Purchasing Power Parity in Asian Developing Countries: A Co-Integration Test —Min Tang and Ronald Q. Butiong, April 1994
- No. 18 Capital Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: Recent Trends and Future Prospects —Min Tang and James Villafuerte, October 1995

SPECIAL STUDIES, COMPLIMENTARY (SSC)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

- 1. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Overview September 1985
- 2. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Bangladesh July 1986
- 3. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Sri Lanka April 1987
- 4. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: India December 1987
- 5. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Overview January 1988
- 6. Study of Selected Industries: A Brief Report April 1988
- 7. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Bangladesh *June 1988*
- 8. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: India June 1988
- 9. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Indonesia June 1988
- 10. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Nepal June 1988
- 11. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Pakistan June 1988
- 12. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Philippines June 1988
- 13. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Thailand June 1988
- Towards Regional Cooperation in South Asia: ADB/EWC Symposium on Regional Cooperation in South Asia February 1988
- 15. Evaluating Rice Market Intervention Policies: Some Asian Examples April 1988
- 16. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Nepal November 1988
- 17. Foreign Trade Barriers and Export Growth

September 1988

- The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Industries in the Industrial Development of the Philippines April 1989
- The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Industries in Industrial Development: The Experience of Selected Asian Countries January 1990
- 20. National Accounts of Vanuatu, 1983-1987 January 1990
- National Accounts of Western Samoa, 1984-1986 February 1990
- Human Resource Policy and Economic Development: Selected Country Studies July 1990
- 23. Export Finance: Some Asian Examples September 1990
- 24. National Accounts of the Cook Islands, 1982-1986 September 1990
- 25. Framework for the Economic and Financial Appraisal of Urban Development Sector Projects January 1994
- Framework and Criteria for the Appraisal and Socioeconomic Justification of Education Projects January 1994
- 27. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects February 1997
- 28. Investing in Asia 1997
- 29. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Telecommunication Projects 1998
- Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects 1999

SPECIAL STUDIES, ADB (SS, ADB)

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

- Rural Poverty in Developing Asia Edited by M.G. Quibria Vol. 1: Bangladesh, India, and Sri Lanka, 1994 \$35.00 (paperback) Vol. 2: Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Philippines, and Thailand, 1996 \$35.00 (paperback)
- External Shocks and Policy Adjustments: Lessons from the Gulf Crisis Edited by Naved Hamid and Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Gender Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries Asian Development Bank, 1993 \$25.00 (paperback)
- Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994 \$20.00 (paperback)
- Indonesia-Malaysia-Thailand Growth Triangle: Theory to Practice Edited by Myo Thant and Min Tang, 1996 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Emerging Asia: Changes and Challenges Asian Development Bank, 1997 \$30.00 (paperback)
- Asian Exports Edited by Dilip Das, 1999 \$35.00 (paperback) \$55.00 (hardbound)
- 8. Mortgage-Backed Securities Markets in Asia

Edited by S.Ghon Rhee & Yutaka Shimomoto, 1999 \$35.00 (paperback)

- Corporate Governance and Finance in East Asia: 9 A Study of Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand J. Zhuang, David Edwards, D. Webb, & Ma. Virginita Capulong Vol. 1, 2000 \$10.00 (paperback) Vol. 2, 2001 \$15.00 (paperback) 10. Financial Management and Governance Issues Asian Development Bank, 2000 Cambodia \$10.00 (paperback) People's Republic of China \$10.00 (paperback) Mongolia \$10.00 (paperback) Pakistan \$10.00 (paperback) Papua New Guinea \$10.00 (paperback) Uzbekistan \$10.00 (paperback) Viet Nam \$10.00 (paperback) Selected Developing Member Countries \$10.00 (paperback) Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects Asian Development Bank, 1997 \$10.00 (paperback) 12. Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects Asian Development Bank, 1999 \$15.00 (hardbound) 13. Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects
 - Asian Development Bank, 2000 \$10.00 (paperback)

SPECIAL STUDIES, OUP (SS,OUP)

(Co-published with Oxford University Press; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Associated Companies, and Agents)

- Informal Finance: Some Findings from Asia Prabhu Ghate et. al., 1992 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Mongolia: A Centrally Planned Economy in Transition Asian Development Bank, 1992 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Rural Poverty in Asia, Priority Issues and Policy Options Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1994
- \$25.00 (paperback)
 4. Growth Triangles in Asia: A New Approach to Regional Economic Cooperation Edited by Myo Thant, Min Tang, and Hiroshi Kakazu 1st ed., 1994 \$36.00 (hardbound) Revised ed., 1998 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994 \$18.00 (paperback)
- Critical Issues in Asian Development: Theories, Experiences, and Policies Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback) \$36.00 (hardbound)
- From Centrally Planned to Market Economies: The Asian Approach Edited by Pradumna B. Rana and Naved Hamid, 1995 Vol. 1: Overview \$36.00 (hardbound) Vol. 2: People's Republic of China and Mongolia \$50.00 (hardbound)

Vol. 3: Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Viet Nam \$50.00 (hardbound)

- Financial Sector Development in Asia Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$50.00 (hardbound)
- Financial Sector Development in Asia: Country Studies Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Fiscal Management and Economic Reform in the People's Republic of China Christine P.W. Wong, Christopher Heady, and Wing T. Woo, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Current Issues in Economic Development: An Asian Perspective Edited by M.G. Quibria and J. Malcolm Dowling, 1996 \$50.00 (hardbound)
- The Bangladesh Economy in Transition Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1997 \$20.00 (hardbound)
- The Global Trading System and Developing Asia Edited by Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria, and Narhari Rao, 1997 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- 14. Rising to the Challenge in Asia: A Study of Financial Markets
 - Asian Development Bank, 1999 Vol. 1 \$20.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 1 \$20.00 (paperback) Vol. 2 \$15.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 2 \$15.00 (paperback) Vol. 3 \$25.00 (paperback)
 - Vols. 4-12 *\$20.00 (paperback)*

SERIALS

(Co-published with Oxford University Press; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Associated Companies, and Agents)

- 1. Asian Development Outlook (ADO; annual) \$36.00 (paperback)
- 2. Key Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries (KI; annual) \$35.00 (paperback)

JOURNAL

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

1. Asian Development Review (ADR; semiannual) \$5.00 per issue; \$8.00 per year (2 issues)