

Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Albers, Sönke

Article Editorial

BuR - Business Research

Provided in Cooperation with:

VHB - Verband der Hochschullehrer für Betriebswirtschaft, German Academic Association of Business Research

Suggested Citation: Albers, Sönke (2011): Editorial, BuR - Business Research, ISSN 1866-8658, VHB - Verband der Hochschullehrer für Betriebswirtschaft, German Academic Association of Business Research, Göttingen, Vol. 4, Iss. 2, pp. 123-, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03342752

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/103700

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



BuR - Business Research Official Open Access Journal of VHB German Academic Association for Business Research (VHB) Volume 4 | Issue 2 | December 2011 | 123

Editorial

Sönke Albers, Editor-in-chief, BuR - Business Research, Kühne Logistics University Hamburg, Germany, E-Mail: editor@business-research.org

With this editorial I would like to draw your interest to two articles that are of particular interest.

Firstly, you will find an article by Eisend who critically assesses whether VHB-JOURQUAL 2, the official journal ranking of the German Academic Association of Business Research (VHB), can be considered as a good measure for the construct "scientific quality". This ranking has gained wide attention so that any study that rigorously investigates its validity is welcome. The correlations with other international rankings that measure the same construct based on different methods show that VHB-JOURQUAL 2 has acceptable, but moderate convergent validity. The validity varies considerably across disciplines, showing that the heterogeneity of business administration is not sufficiently represented by this overall ranking. The variability is related to the variation in members per discipline represented by the German Association for Business Research. Furthermore, the measure shows a weak correlation with acceptance rates as an indicator of nomological validity in some disciplines. As the ranking is derived from subjective evaluations of the members of VHB, the community is asked to critically assess its own evaluations in the light of these findings when it comes to a new survey leading to VHB-JOURQUAL 3.

Secondly, you will find an article by Henningsen, Heuke, and Clement on a meta-analysis that offers the full data base as coded for the statistical analysis on which interested researchers can perform complementing analyses. This is very important because coding involves personal judgment and unless everything is publicly available it is unclear whether everything has been coded correctly. For example, I was involved in one meta-analysis where I found that even the authors disagreed on the elasticities that were reported in a certain meta-analysis. In order to eliminate these shortcomings, this study contributes to extant research by providing the first international, online-access advertising elasticity database in Excel, which includes empirical elasticities from 62 studies and can be downloaded freely. This will hopefully motivate researchers to extend this data base or report conflicting assessments.

Sönke Albers, editor-in-chief