

Afonso, António; Rault, Christophe

Working Paper

Long-run determinants of sovereign yields

CESifo Working Paper, No. 3155

Provided in Cooperation with:

Ifo Institute – Leibniz Institute for Economic Research at the University of Munich

Suggested Citation: Afonso, António; Rault, Christophe (2010) : Long-run determinants of sovereign yields, CESifo Working Paper, No. 3155, Center for Economic Studies and ifo Institute (CESifo), Munich

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39848>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Long-run Determinants of Sovereign Yields

António Afonso
Christophe Rault

CESIFO WORKING PAPER NO. 3155
CATEGORY 6: FISCAL POLICY, MACROECONOMICS AND GROWTH
AUGUST 2010

An electronic version of the paper may be downloaded

- *from the SSRN website:* www.SSRN.com
- *from the RePEc website:* www.RePEc.org
- *from the CESifo website:* www.CESifo-group.org/wp

Long-run Determinants of Sovereign Yields

Abstract

We study sovereign bond yields in OECD countries with a dynamic panel by checking for cross-section dependence; assessing panel cointegration; and estimating panel error-correction models. The results show that markets consider budgetary and external imbalances and inflation as relevant determinants of sovereign yields.

JEL-Code: C23, E43, E62, G10, H62.

Keywords: long-term yields, panel cointegration, bootstrap.

António Afonso
European Central Bank
Directorate General Economics
Kaiserstrasse 29
60311 Frankfurt am Main
Germany
antonio.afonso@ecb.europa.eu

Christophe Rault
LEO
University of Orleans
Rue de Blois-B.P. 6739
45067 Orléans Cedex 2
France
chrault@hotmail.com

The opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Central Bank or the Eurosystem.

1. Introduction

The long-run relationship between fiscal variables and sovereign bond yields constitutes part of policymakers' conventional wisdom. Increases in the debt ratio or in the government deficit ratio may imply an increase in long-term interest rates, by impinging negatively on credit risk and on the quality of the outstanding debt. Under such conditions market participants perceive an additional risk stemming from the loosening of fiscal stance (Ardagna et al., 2004), while liquidity risk also plays a role notably in times of market unrest (Beber et al., 2009).¹ Moreover, fiscal developments are relevant determinants of sovereign ratings (Afonso et al., 2010).

We assess the long-run determinants of real long-term sovereign yields in the OECD employing a dynamic panel approach to test for the existence of cointegration. Furthermore, we also consider cross-country dependence (for instance, common fiscal behaviour, notably in the European Union, financial markets' integration and liberalisation, business cycle synchronization), and estimate a complete panel error-correction model to uncover the short-run parameters. Results show that budgetary and external imbalances and inflation determine sovereign yields.

2. Methodology

The specification for the real long-term sovereign yield, r , is

$$r_{it} = (i_{it} - \pi_{it}) = \alpha_i + \gamma_i X_{it} + u_{it} \quad (1)$$

where i is the long-term nominal sovereign yield, π is the inflation rate, and X includes additional explanatory variables. i denotes the country, t indicates the period, α_i stands for the individual effects for each country i , and the disturbances u_{it} follow the standard assumptions.

An error-correction form for the real long-term interest rates is given by

$$\Delta(i_{it} - \pi_{it}) = \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^k [\beta_j \Delta(i_{it-j} - \pi_{it-j}) + \theta_j \Delta X_{it-j}] + \delta [(i_{it-1} - \pi_{it-1}) - \gamma_i X_{it-1}] + v_{it} \quad (2)$$

where the disturbances v_{it} follow the standard assumptions.

Among the several long-run factors influencing the long-term sovereign yields, we consider the budget balance ratio, the debt ratio, the current account balance ratio, and inflation.

¹ See Orr, Edey, and Kennedy (1995), Codogno et al. (2003), and Laubach (2009).

Since with high inflation governments can partially inflate away fiscal indebtedness, the need for a higher nominal and real long-term bond yields cannot be discarded. Therefore, we build a measure of inflation surprises (π^e) taking the difference between actual inflation and a 2-year moving average of past inflation.

Moreover, external imbalances are linked to fiscal imbalances, notably when private savings do not increase sufficiently to offset the effects of higher budget deficits, therefore, impinging via such channel also on long-term bond yields.

3. Analysis

For the period 1973-2008 we consider the following countries: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Sweden, Spain, UK, Canada, Japan, and U.S.²

To test for cross-section dependence we use the test of Pesaran (2004) by computing the Cross section Dependence statistic, and we can reject the null of cross-section independence. Moreover, using a 2nd generation unit root test from Pesaran (2007), with the null being the unit root, results support the existence of a unit root in all series.³

We then apply the bootstrap panel cointegration test of Westerlund and Edgerton (2007), which accommodates correlation within and between individual cross-sections. In case of non-rejection of the null, we can assume that there is cointegration between real long-term interest rates and their determinants.

The asymptotic test results (Table 1) indicate the absence of cointegration. However, this is computed on the assumption of cross-sectional independence, not the case in our panel. Consequently, we also used bootstrap critical values. In this case we conclude that there is a long-run relationship between real long-term interest rates and their determinants, implying that over the longer run real they move together.

² Government Bond Yield, IFS 61.Z.F, International Financial Statistics (IFS), IMF. Consumer Price Index, IFS 64.XZF, IFS, IMF. Government debt ratio, 1.0.319.0.UDGGL, European Commission (EC) AMECO. Budget balance-to-GDP ratio, 1.0.319.0.UBLGE, EC AMECO. GDP at market prices, 1.0.0.0.UVGD, EC AMECO. Current Balance, % of GDP, CBGDPR, Balance of Payments, OECD Economic Outlook.

³ Results are available from the authors. Trending turned out not to be very pronounced and the results are not very sensitive to the inclusion of a trend in addition to a constant in the estimated equation. We also checked using the tests of Pesaran (2007) and the bootstrap tests of Smith et al. (2004) that first differences are stationary.

Table 1 – Panel cointegration between Real Long-Term Interest Rates and determinants
(with a constant)

	LM-stat	Asymptotic p-value	Bootstrap p-value #
$X_1 = (\Pi^e, CA, DR)$	7.430	0.000	0.840
$X_2 = (\Pi^e, CA, GBR)$	7.385	0.000	0.782

Notes: bootstrap based on 2000 replications.

a - null hypothesis: cointegration of Real Long-Term Interest Rates and determinant series.

Test based on Westerlund and Edgerton (2007).

Therefore, we estimate the system of long-run relationships (one by country), given by equation (1), by the Zellner approach to handle cross-sectional dependence using the SUR estimator. Results in Table 2 show that real sovereign yields are statistically and positively affected by changes in the debt ratio in 12 countries. Inflation has a statistically significant negative effect on real long-term interest rates in ten cases. Since improvements in the external balance reduce real sovereign yields in ten countries, the deterioration of current account balances may signal a widening gap between savings and investment, pushing long-term interest rates upwards. Moreover, when the budget balance ratio is used (Table 3) a better fiscal balance reduces the real sovereign yields in almost all countries

Table 2 – SUR estimation, $X_1 = (\Pi^e, CA, DR)$

Country	Coefficients	t-Statistic	Probab.	Country	Coefficients	t-Statistic	Probab.		
Austria	const	7.305	11.510	0.000	Luxembourg	const	-0.935	-17.980	0.000
	Π^e	-0.717	-13.415	0.000		Π^e	0.231	6.819	0.000
	CA	-0.304	-9.177	0.000		CA	0.063	1.351	0.177
	DR	-0.029	-3.244	0.001		DR	4.256	6.416	0.000
Belgium	const	1.050	1.598	0.111	Netherlands	const	-0.521	-10.642	0.000
	Π^e	-0.576	-12.520	0.000		Π^e	-0.309	-7.084	0.000
	CA	-0.772	-17.248	0.000		CA	0.038	4.414	0.000
	DR	0.065	12.607	0.000		DR	0.005	0.003	0.998
Canada	const	1.858	1.940	0.053	Portugal	const	-0.460	-11.272	0.000
	Π^e	-0.377	-6.455	0.000		Π^e	0.386	6.071	0.000
	CA	-0.226	-4.541	0.000		CA	0.140	5.447	0.000
	DR	0.049	4.776	0.000		DR	11.491	6.876	0.000
Denmark	const	0.281	0.436	0.663	Spain	const	-0.853	-11.572	0.000
	Π^e	0.039	0.573	0.567		Π^e	0.561	7.068	0.000
	CA	-0.250	-3.375	0.001		CA	-0.033	-1.336	0.182
	DR	0.080	10.204	0.000		DR	2.351	2.558	0.011
Finland	const	11.673	14.894	0.000	Sweden	const	-0.545	-8.673	0.000
	Π^e	-1.123	-17.669	0.000		Π^e	-0.330	-4.807	0.000
	CA	-0.389	-8.224	0.000		CA	0.087	7.253	0.000
	DR	-0.068	-4.125	0.000		DR	4.899	5.235	0.000
France	const	11.197	16.550	0.000	UK	const	-0.578	-18.412	0.000
	Π^e	-0.773	-18.527	0.000		Π^e	0.305	3.717	0.000
	CA	-0.414	-6.566	0.000		CA	0.040	2.211	0.027
	DR	-0.104	-8.965	0.000		DR	7.897	18.106	0.000
Germany	const	8.093	16.096	0.000	Japan	const	-0.879	-28.964	0.000
	Π^e	-0.663	-13.594	0.000		Π^e	-0.152	-1.593	0.112
	CA	-0.064	-2.186	0.029		CA	-0.036	-9.675	0.000
	DR	-0.053	-6.574	0.000		DR	11.484	11.167	0.000
Ireland	const	0.346	0.875	0.382	U.S.	const	-0.932	-19.099	0.000
	Π^e	-0.530	-16.331	0.000		Π^e	0.331	5.057	0.000
	CA	-0.204	-5.620	0.000		CA	-0.064	-4.265	0.000
	DR	0.079	18.191	0.000		DR	-0.935	-17.980	0.000
Italy	const	-0.133	-0.063	0.950					
	Π^e	-0.383	-5.349	0.000					
	CA	0.086	0.808	0.420					
	DR	0.062	3.494	0.001					

Note: linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix. Balanced system, total observations: 612.

Table 3 – SUR estimation, $X_2 = (\Pi^e, CA, GBR)$

Country	Coefficients	t-Statistic	Probab.	Country	Coefficients	t-Statistic	Probab.		
Austria	const	4.815	15.391	0.000	Luxembourg	const	3.852	8.346	0.000
	Π^e	-0.488	-9.391	0.000		Π^e	-0.964	-21.013	0.000
	CA	-0.286	-6.190	0.000		CA	0.206	6.006	0.000
	GBR	-0.080	-1.233	0.218		GBR	-0.021	-0.421	0.674
Belgium	const	5.441	14.197	0.000	Netherlands	const	5.494	13.366	0.000
	Π^e	-0.844	-19.923	0.000		Π^e	-0.557	-12.082	0.000
	CA	-0.214	-3.564	0.000		CA	-0.212	-3.933	0.000
	GBR	-0.392	-12.136	0.000		GBR	-0.298	-5.395	0.000
Canada	const	5.285	17.943	0.000	Portugal	const	5.644	9.346	0.000
	Π^e	-0.561	-13.562	0.000		Π^e	-0.685	-26.644	0.000
	CA	0.027	0.422	0.673		CA	0.371	8.736	0.000
	GBR	-0.351	-8.788	0.000		GBR	-0.771	-10.086	0.000
Denmark	const	6.086	20.367	0.000	Spain	const	4.748	6.404	0.000
	Π^e	-0.361	-7.029	0.000		Π^e	-0.595	-13.076	0.000
	CA	-0.435	-6.633	0.000		CA	0.008	0.097	0.922
	GBR	-0.467	-11.140	0.000		GBR	-0.724	-6.999	0.000
Finland	const	9.304	21.059	0.000	Sweden	const	8.516	19.727	0.000
	Π^e	-1.048	-16.989	0.000		Π^e	-0.847	-17.010	0.000
	CA	-0.413	-8.572	0.000		CA	-0.476	-8.002	0.000
	GBR	0.018	0.393	0.695		GBR	-0.090	-2.871	0.004
France	const	5.152	11.254	0.000	UK	const	6.569	15.468	0.000
	Π^e	-0.548	-12.871	0.000		Π^e	-0.629	-21.870	0.000
	CA	-0.374	-5.301	0.000		CA	0.236	2.854	0.005
	GBR	-0.198	-2.404	0.017		GBR	-0.159	-3.024	0.003
Germany	const	4.700	17.069	0.000	Japan	const	7.469	14.237	0.000
	Π^e	-0.381	-8.285	0.000		Π^e	-0.919	-20.591	0.000
	CA	-0.061	-1.947	0.052		CA	-0.993	-7.246	0.000
	GBR	-0.062	-1.372	0.171		GBR	0.256	5.033	0.000
Ireland	const	5.951	17.211	0.000	U.S.	const	6.611	12.136	0.000
	Π^e	-0.775	-19.682	0.000		Π^e	-0.750	-12.057	0.000
	CA	0.017	0.408	0.683		CA	0.104	1.137	0.256
	GBR	-0.489	-13.543	0.000		GBR	0.036	0.496	0.620
Italy	const	4.209	7.338	0.000					
	Π^e	-0.682	-17.039	0.000					
	CA	0.250	2.588	0.010					
	GBR	-0.498	-8.166	0.000					

Note: linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix. Balanced system, total observations: 612.

To estimate the complete panel error-correction model given in (2) we recover from each of the cointegration relations the estimated coefficients to construct the residual quantity $\left[(i_{it} - \pi_{it}) - \gamma_i X_{it} \right]$. Afterwards, we estimate a complete VAR in first differences, with country effects, α_i , with the necessary lags of the abovementioned residual variable. The lag length structure k is chosen using the Schwarz and Hannan-Quinn selection criteria, and by carrying out a standard likelihood ratio testing-down type procedure, to examine the lag significance from a long-lag structure (started with $k=6$) to a more parsimonious one. In order to improve the statistical specification of the model, we systematically implemented Wald tests of exclusion of variables from the short-run dynamic (not reported here) to eliminate insignificant short-run estimates at the 5% level. The results of the estimations using Full Information Maximum Likelihood are reported in Table 4.

Table 4 – Error-correction estimates for Δr_{it}

$X_1 = (\Pi^e, CA, DR)$, short-run parameters				
Δr_{it-1}	ΔCA_{it-1}	$\Delta \pi_{it-2}^e$	$\Delta \pi_{it-3}^e$	$\Delta \pi_{it-4}^e$
-0.2910	0.137722	0.229459	0.08515	0.190028
[-7.49]	[2.47]	[7.07]	[2.47]	[5.76]
Loading factor δ				
0.163733				
[3.13]				
$X_2 = (\Pi^e, CA, GBR)$, short-run parameters				
Δr_{it-1}	$\Delta \pi_{it-2}^e$	$\Delta \pi_{it-3}^e$	$\Delta \pi_{it-4}^e$	
-0.198459	0.195994	0.059376	0.138118	
[-4.79]	[5.04]	[2.00]	[3.60]	
ΔCA_{it-1}	ΔGBR_{it-4}			
0.164672	-0.15368			
[2.87]	[-2.34]			
Loading factor δ				
0.128283				
[2.39]				

Note: total observations, 560; t-statistics in brackets.

r – real long-term interest rate; CA – current account balance; π^e – inflation surprises; DR – debt ratio; GBR – budget balance ratio.

Inflation has a significant short-run effect on real long-term sovereign yields, and a fall in inflation would imply a decline in real rates. Interestingly, the long-run effect associated with the panel results (Table 4), can in this case be computed to be around -0.4. This is in line with the long-run cointegration relationship reported for the countries in the previous SUR analysis, and implies that an increase in inflation surprises of 1 percentage point could lead to a long-run decline of around 0.4 percentage point in the real long-term interest rate. Regarding the short-run effects of the fiscal determinants, an improvement of the government budget balance also reduces the real interest rate.

4. Conclusion

We studied the long-run behaviour of sovereign yields for OECD countries, for the period 1973-2008. The use of a dynamic panel approach allowed to reflect financial and economic integration, and to increase the performance and accuracy of the tests. In this framework, cross-country dependences in the sovereign bond segment of the capital markets were also taken into account.

We rejected cross-section independence for the real long-term interest rates (and for its determinants). From an economic point of view such cross-section dependence provides evidence of capital markets integration in the OECD. After having established

with 2nd generation panel unit root tests that all the series in the panel are I(1), we undertook a bootstrap panel cointegration analysis.

Finally, our analysis shows that better government budget balances mostly reduce real sovereign yields, while higher sovereign indebtedness increases them. Additionally, deteriorating current account balances, signalling a widening gap between savings and investment, push sovereign yields upwards.

References

- Afonso, A.; Gomes, P., Rother, P. 2010. Short- and Long-run Determinants of Sovereign Debt Credit Ratings. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, forthcoming, doi: 10.1002/ijfe.416.
- Ardagna, S., Caselli, F. and Lane, T. 2004. Fiscal Discipline and the Cost of Public Debt Service: Some Estimates for OECD Countries. ECB WP 411.
- Beber, A., Brandt, M. and Kavajecz, K. 2009. Flight-to-Quality or Flight-to-Liquidity? Evidence from the Euro-Area Bond Market. *Review of Financial Studies*, 22 (3), 925-957.
- Codogno, L., Favero, C., Missale, A. 2003. Yield spreads on EMU government bonds. *Economic Policy* 18, 211-235.
- Laubach, T. 2009. New Evidence on the Interest Rate Effects of Budget Deficits and Debt. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 7 (4), 1-28.
- Orr, A., Edey, M. and Kennedy, M. 1995. Real Long-Term Interest Rates: The Evidence from Pooled Time-Series. *OECD Economic Studies*, 25, 45-107.
- Pesaran, M. 2004. General Diagnostic Tests for Cross Section Dependence in Panels. CESifo WP 1229.
- Pesaran, M. 2007. A Simple Panel Unit Root Test in the Presence of Cross-Section Dependence. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 22, 265-312.
- Smith, V., Leybourne, S. and Kim, T.-H. 2004. More Powerful Panel Unit Root Tests with an Application to the Mean Reversion in Real Exchange Rates. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 19, 147–170.
- Westerlund, J. and Edgerton, D. 2007. A Panel Bootstrap Cointegration Test. *Economics Letters* 97, 185-190.

CESifo Working Paper Series

for full list see www.cesifo-group.org/wp

(address: Poschingerstr. 5, 81679 Munich, Germany, office@cesifo.de)

- 3093 Huw David Dixon, A Unified Framework for Using Micro-Data to Compare Dynamic Wage and Price Setting Models, June 2010
- 3094 Helmuth Cremer, Firouz Gahvari and Pierre Pestieau, Accidental Bequests: A Curse for the Rich and a Boon for the Poor, June 2010
- 3095 Frank Lichtenberg, The Contribution of Pharmaceutical Innovation to Longevity Growth in Germany and France, June 2010
- 3096 Simon P. Anderson, Øystein Foros and Hans Jarle Kind, Hotelling Competition with Multi-Purchasing: Time Magazine, Newsweek, or both?, June 2010
- 3097 Assar Lindbeck and Mats Persson, A Continuous Theory of Income Insurance, June 2010
- 3098 Thomas Moutos and Christos Tsitsikas, Whither Public Interest: The Case of Greece's Public Finance, June 2010
- 3099 Thomas Eichner and Thorsten Upmann, Labor Markets and Capital Tax Competition, June 2010
- 3100 Massimo Bordignon and Santino Piazza, Who do you Blame in Local Finance? An Analysis of Municipal Financing in Italy, June 2010
- 3101 Kyriakos C. Neanidis, Financial Dollarization and European Union Membership, June 2010
- 3102 Maela Giofré, Investor Protection and Foreign Stakeholders, June 2010
- 3103 Andrea F. Presbitero and Alberto Zazzaro, Competition and Relationship Lending: Friends or Foes?, June 2010
- 3104 Dan Anderberg and Yu Zhu, The Effect of Education on Marital Status and Partner Characteristics: Evidence from the UK, June 2010
- 3105 Hendrik Jürges, Eberhard Kruk and Steffen Reinhold, The Effect of Compulsory Schooling on Health – Evidence from Biomarkers, June 2010
- 3106 Alessandro Gambini and Alberto Zazzaro, Long-Lasting Bank Relationships and Growth of Firms, June 2010
- 3107 Jenny E. Ligthart and Gerard C. van der Meijden, Coordinated Tax-Tariff Reforms, Informality, and Welfare Distribution, June 2010

- 3108 Vilen Lipatov and Alfons Weichenrieder, Optimal Income Taxation with Tax Competition, June 2010
- 3109 Malte Mosel, Competition, Imitation, and R&D Productivity in a Growth Model with Sector-Specific Patent Protection, June 2010
- 3110 Balázs Égert, Catching-up and Inflation in Europe: Balassa-Samuelson, Engel's Law and other Culprits, June 2010
- 3111 Johannes Metzler and Ludger Woessmann, The Impact of Teacher Subject Knowledge on Student Achievement: Evidence from Within-Teacher Within-Student Variation, June 2010
- 3112 Leif Danziger, Uniform and Nonuniform Staggering of Wage Contracts, July 2010
- 3113 Wolfgang Buchholz and Wolfgang Peters, Equity as a Prerequisite for Stable Cooperation in a Public-Good Economy – The Core Revisited, July 2010
- 3114 Panu Poutvaara and Olli Ropponen, School Shootings and Student Performance, July 2010
- 3115 John Beirne, Guglielmo Maria Caporale and Nicola Spagnolo, Liquidity Risk, Credit Risk and the Overnight Interest Rate Spread: A Stochastic Volatility Modelling Approach, July 2010
- 3116 M. Hashem Pesaran, Predictability of Asset Returns and the Efficient Market Hypothesis, July 2010
- 3117 Dorothee Crayen, Christa Hainz and Christiane Ströh de Martínez, Remittances, Banking Status and the Usage of Insurance Schemes, July 2010
- 3118 Eric O'N. Fisher, Heckscher-Ohlin Theory when Countries have Different Technologies, July 2010
- 3119 Huw Dixon and Hervé Le Bihan, Generalized Taylor and Generalized Calvo Price and Wage-Setting: Micro Evidence with Macro Implications, July 2010
- 3120 Laszlo Goerke and Markus Pannenberg, 'Take it or Go to Court' – The Impact of Sec. 1a of the German Protection against Dismissal Act on Severance Payments -, July 2010
- 3121 Robert S. Chirinko and Daniel J. Wilson, Can Lower Tax Rates be Bought? Business Rent-Seeking and Tax Competition among U.S. States, July 2010
- 3122 Douglas Gollin and Christian Zimmermann, Global Climate Change and the Resurgence of Tropical Disease: An Economic Approach, July 2010
- 3123 Francesco Daveri and Maria Laura Parisi, Experience, Innovation and Productivity – Empirical Evidence from Italy's Slowdown, July 2010

- 3124 Carlo V. Fiorio and Massimo Florio, A Fair Price for Energy? Ownership versus Market Opening in the EU15, July 2010
- 3125 Frederick van der Ploeg, Natural Resources: Curse or Blessing?, July 2010
- 3126 Kaisa Kotakorpi and Panu Poutvaara, Pay for Politicians and Candidate Selection: An Empirical Analysis, July 2010
- 3127 Jun-ichi Itaya, Makoto Okamura and Chikara Yamaguchi, Partial Tax Coordination in a Repeated Game Setting, July 2010
- 3128 Volker Meier and Helmut Rainer, On the Optimality of Joint Taxation for Non-Cooperative Couples, July 2010
- 3129 Ryan Oprea, Keith Henwood and Daniel Friedman, Separating the Hawks from the Doves: Evidence from Continuous Time Laboratory Games, July 2010
- 3130 Mari Rege and Ingeborg F. Solli, The Impact of Paternity Leave on Long-term Father Involvement, July 2010
- 3131 Olaf Posch, Risk Premia in General Equilibrium, July 2010
- 3132 John Komlos and Marek Brabec, The Trend of BMI Values by Centiles of US Adults, Birth Cohorts 1882-1986, July 2010
- 3133 Emin Karagözoğlu and Arno Riedl, Information, Uncertainty, and Subjective Entitlements in Bargaining, July 2010
- 3134 John Boyd, Gianni De Nicolò and Elena Loukoianova, Banking Crises and Crisis Dating: Theory and Evidence, July 2010
- 3135 Michael R. Baye, Dan Kovenock and Casper G. de Vries, The Herodotus Paradox, July 2010
- 3136 Martin Kolmar and Hendrik Rommeswinkel, Group Contests with Complementarities in Efforts, July 2010
- 3137 Carolina Manzano and Xavier Vives, Public and Private Learning from Prices, Strategic Substitutability and Complementarity, and Equilibrium Multiplicity, July 2010
- 3138 Axel Löffler, Gunther Schnabl and Franziska Schobert, Inflation Targeting by Debtor Central Banks in Emerging Market Economies, July 2010
- 3139 Yu-Fu Chen and Michael Funke, Global Warming and Extreme Events: Rethinking the Timing and Intensity of Environmental Policy, July 2010
- 3140 Lawrence M. Kahn, Labor Market Policy: A Comparative View on the Costs and Benefits of Labor Market Flexibility, July 2010

- 3141 Ben J. Heijdra, Jochen O. Mierau and Laurie S.M. Reijnders, The Tragedy of Annuity, July 2010
- 3142 Erkki Koskela, Outsourcing Cost and Tax Progression under Nash Wage Bargaining with Flexible Outsourcing, July 2010
- 3143 Daniel Osberghaus and Christiane Reif, Total Costs and Budgetary Effects of Adaptation to Climate Change: An Assessment for the European Union, August 2010
- 3144 Philip E. Graves, Benefit-Cost Analysis of Environmental Projects: A Plethora of Systematic Biases, August 2010
- 3145 Sabrina Di Addario and Daniela Vuri, Entrepreneurship and Market Size. The Case of Young College Graduates in Italy, August 2010
- 3146 Shoshana Amyra Grossbard and Alfredo Marvão Pereira, Will Women Save more than Men? A Theoretical Model of Savings and Marriage, August 2010
- 3147 Jarko Fidrmuc, Time-Varying Exchange Rate Basket in China from 2005 to 2009, August 2010
- 3148 Ilja Neustadt and Peter Zweifel, Is the Welfare State Sustainable? Experimental Evidence on Citizens' Preferences for Redistribution, August 2010
- 3149 Marcus Dittrich and Andreas Knabe, Wage and Employment Effects of Non-Binding Minimum Wages, August 2010
- 3150 Shutao Cao, Enchuan Shao and Pedro Silos, Fixed-Term and Permanent Employment Contracts: Theory and Evidence, August 2010
- 3151 Ludger Woessmann, Cross-Country Evidence on Teacher Performance Pay, August 2010
- 3152 Lorenzo C. G. Pozzi, Casper G. de Vries and Jorn Zenhorst, World Equity Premium Based Risk Aversion Estimates, August 2010
- 3153 Volker Grossmann, Thomas M. Steger and Timo Trimborn, Dynamically Optimal R&D Subsidization, August 2010
- 3154 Alexander Haupt, Tim Krieger and Thomas Lange, A Note on Brain Gain and Brain Drain: Permanent Migration and Education Policy, August 2010
- 3155 António Afonso and Christophe Rault, Long-run Determinants of Sovereign Yields, August 2010